2009

Discovery Of The Energetic Pulsar J1747–2809 In The Supernova Remnant G0.9+0.1

F. Camilo

S. M. Ransom

B. M. Gaensler

D. R. Lorimer

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/faculty_publications

Digital Commons Citation

Camilo, F.; Ransom, S. M.; Gaensler, B. M.; and Lorimer, D. R., "Discovery Of The Energetic Pulsar J1747–2809 In The Supernova Remnant G0.9+0.1" (2009). *Faculty Scholarship*. 10.
https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/faculty_publications/10

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by The Research Repository @ WVU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact ian.harmon@mail.wvu.edu.

DISCOVERY OF THE ENERGETIC PULSAR J1747–2809 IN THE SUPERNOVA REMNANT G0.9+0.1

F. CAMILO¹, S. M. RANSOM², B. M. GAENSLER³, AND D. R. LORIMER⁴

¹ Columbia Astrophysics Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

² National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA

³ Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

⁴ Department of Physics, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA

Received 2009 May 21; accepted 2009 June 17; published 2009 June 30

ABSTRACT

The supernova remnant G0.9+0.1 has long been inferred to contain a central energetic pulsar. In observations with the NRAO Green Bank Telescope at 2 GHz, we have detected radio pulsations from PSR J1747–2809. The pulsar has a rotation period of 52 ms, and a spin-down luminosity of $\dot{E} = 4.3 \times 10^{37}$ erg s^{−1}, the second largest among known Galactic pulsars. With a dispersion measure of $DM = 1133$ pc cm^{−3}, PSR J1747–2809 is distant, at ≈ 13 kpc according to the NE2001 electron density model, although it could be located as close as the Galactic center. The pulse profile is greatly scatter-broadened at a frequency of 2 GHz, so that it is effectively undetectable at 1.4 GHz, and is very faint, with period-averaged flux density of 40 μ Jy at 2 GHz.

Key words: ISM: individual (G0.9+0.1) – pulsars: individual (PSR J1747–2809) – stars: neutron

1. INTRODUCTION

With a Galactic core-collapse supernova rate of 1–3 per century (e.g., Diehl et al. 2006), young neutron stars (with age $\lesssim 10$ kyr) are rare. Nevertheless, even by those standards the known sample is woefully incomplete. Only 12 rotation-powered pulsars with characteristic age $\tau_c < 10$ kyr are known in the Galaxy, and less than 20 such pulsar–supernova remnant (SNR) associations are firmly established. Developing a more complete picture of the young pulsar population contributes to an understanding of the birthrate of neutron stars and of the physics of their creation in stellar core collapses.

While most SNRs are in principle good locations to search for young pulsars, wholesale searches require multiple telescope pointings each with potentially inadequate sensitivity and have had limited success (e.g., Gorham et al. 1996; Kaspi et al. 1996; Lorimer et al. 1998). A more recent and successful approach has been to target, with single deep observations, compact pulsar wind nebulae (PWNe), identified via X-ray or radio imaging and spectroscopy, which indicate the presence of a young neutron star even in the absence of the detection of pulsations (e.g., Camilo et al. 2002a, 2002b, 2002c, 2006; Halpern et al. 2001; Roberts et al. 2002). Detection of the period P , $\dot{P}$, and derived quantities, underlies significant further understanding of the pulsar, its relativistic wind, PWN, and environment (see, e.g., Gaensler & Slane 2006).

The composite SNR G0.9+0.1 consists of a radio shell 8′ in diameter surrounding a 2′ PWN (Helfand & Becker 1987; see Figure 1). Based on the very high interstellar absorption ($N_H \approx 1.3 \times 10^{23}$ cm^{−2}; Gaensler et al. 2001; Porquet et al. 2003; Sidoli et al. 2000, 2004), its distance is large, here parameterized by $d_{10} = d/(10$ kpc). The PWN is luminous in radio, with $L_r (10^7\text{--}10^{12.4}$ Hz) = $1.7 \times 10^{35} d_{10}^2$ erg s^{−1} (Dubner et al. 2008), and filled with X-ray synchrotron emission with $L_X (2\text{--}10$ keV) = $0.4 L_r$ (Porquet et al. 2003). It is also a very-high-energy γ -ray source, with $L_\gamma (>0.2$ TeV) = $0.4 L_X$ (Aharonian et al. 2005). Based on these energetics and a variety of empirical relations, it has been predicted that the pulsar powering this PWN has $P \sim 0.1\text{--}0.2$ s and spin-down luminosity $\dot{E} \sim 2 \times 10^{37}$ erg s^{−1} (Dubner et al. 2008; Mattana et al. 2009; Sidoli et al. 2000), while the SNR shell size implies

an age of about 1–7 kyr (Mereghetti et al. 1998). The hard X-ray point source CXOU J174722.8–280915, with 1% the luminosity of the PWN and surrounded by small-scale ordered structure, is likely emission from the pulsar (Gaensler et al. 2001). We have searched this location for a radio pulsar, and in this Letter report the discovery of PSR J1747–2809, the central pulsar in SNR G0.9+0.1.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

We began our search for the pulsar in SNR G0.9+0.1 at the ATNF Parkes telescope, where during 2002–2005 we used three combinations of search frequencies and filterbank data acquisition systems to do four very long observations (see Table 1). The Cordes & Lazio (2002) NE2001 free electron distribution model predicts that in this direction, for $d_{10} = 1$, the expected dispersion measure would be $DM = 750$ pc cm^{−3}, and that the pulse broadening due to interstellar scattering at the standard search frequency of 1.4 GHz would be $\tau_{1.4} \gtrsim 10$ ms. Given the possibility of larger actual DM and $\tau_{1.4}$, one of our observations was at 3 GHz.

We analyzed all search data with standard pulsar search techniques implemented in PRESTO (Ransom 2001; Ransom et al. 2002), including the excision of radio frequency interference (RFI) and a nearly optimal set of trial DMs (for more details see, e.g., Camilo et al. 2006). We dedispersed at up to twice the maximum Galactic DM of 1580 pc cm^{−3} predicted by NE2001 in this direction. No new pulsars were detected in any of the Parkes data sets.

The Parkes sensitivity limits at 1.4 GHz correspond to luminosity $L_{1.4} \equiv S_{1.4} d^2 \lesssim 7 d_{10}^2$ mJy kpc², provided that scattering was not the limiting factor. At 3 GHz, although the sky background temperature was reduced, any ordinary pulsar would have had an even more significantly reduced flux, and the equivalent luminosity limit was worse. Since young pulsars can have luminosities at least as small as $L_{1.4} \approx 0.5$ mJy kpc² (Camilo et al. 2002d), we did a deeper search at the NRAO Green Bank Telescope (GBT).

In 2006 January, we observed G0.9+0.1 at the GBT at a central frequency of 1.95 GHz using the Spigot autocorrelation spectrometer (Kaplan et al. 2005). For a typical spectral index

Table 1
Observations of G0.9+0.1 and PSR J1747–2809

Date	Telescope	Frequency (GHz)	Bandwidth (MHz)	Sample Time (ms)	Integration Time (hr)	P^a (ms)
2002 May 16	Parkes	1.4	512×0.5	2.0	9.3	...
2002 Nov 5	Parkes	1.4	512×0.5	1.6	7.5	...
2003 Nov 5	Parkes	2.9	192×3.0	0.25	5.6	...
2005 Oct 20	Parkes	1.4	96×3.0	0.25	9.4	...
2006 Jan 8	GBT	1.9	768×0.78	0.08	6.0	52.137293(2)
2009 Mar 11	GBT	2.0	512×1.56	0.16	5.8	52.152855(2)

Note. ^a The uncertainties in barycentric periods, given on the last digit in parentheses, are the 1σ values obtained from TEMPO fits.

Figure 1. Composite radio and X-ray image of SNR G0.9+0.1 and its central PWN. In red is shown a 1.5 GHz image, using VLA data taken in 1984 (reproduced from Gaensler et al. 2001); in green is displayed a 0.5–10 keV X-ray image using the EPIC PN detector on *XMM-Newton*, produced from an archival observation taken in 2003 (see Sidoli et al. 2004). Yellow denotes the radio- and X-ray-bright core of the PWN, within which is located the hard X-ray point source CXOU J174722.8–280915 (Gaensler et al. 2001) that likely is emission from PSR J1747–2809. The VLA data are at an angular resolution of $15'' \times 11''$, while the *XMM-Newton* data have been smoothed with a $5''$ Gaussian. The white circle shows the half-power point of the GBT beam for the pulsar search at 2 GHz. The X-ray source inside the SNR shell and to the southwest of the X-ray/radio core is the X-ray and γ -ray transient XMMU J174716.1–281048 = IGR J17464–2811 (Del Santo et al. 2007). The X-ray source outside and to the west of the SNR shell is coincident with the *Chandra* source CXO J174705.4–280859 (Muno et al. 2006).

of ≈ -1.6 (Lorimer et al. 1995), the pulsar would be fainter by a factor of about 1.7 by comparison with 1.4 GHz. However, this was more than made up for by the larger gain and bandwidth at the GBT, such that our observation was a factor of about 2 more sensitive than the best Parkes search, both compared at the same fiducial frequency of 1.4 GHz. In addition, by using a higher search frequency, the scattering timescale was reduced by a factor of about 4, which proved crucial in light of the actual pulsar period and the amount of observed scattering.

We identified in these data a highly dispersed ($DM = 1145$ pc cm^{-3}) and scattered pulsar candidate with period $P = 52$ ms (Figure 2). It is a faint signal, with flux density $S_2 = 45$ μ Jy, compared to the detection threshold in this observation for a pulsar with these characteristics of approximately 20 μ Jy. We confirmed the pulsar in an equivalent observation using the Green

Figure 2. Detections of PSR J1747–2809 at GBT. Each 2 GHz profile ($P = 52$ ms) is repeated in phase, and is shown as a function of time (bottom) and as the sum of more than 400,000 pulses (top). Left: discovery observation from 2006 January 8 with Spigot. Right: confirmation observation from 2009 March 11 with GUPPI. The observed pulse FWHM increases from $0.14 P$ at 2.35 GHz to $0.30 P$ at 1.75 GHz, due to interstellar scattering.

Bank Ultimate Pulsar Processing Instrument (GUPPI)⁵ on 2009 March 11 (Figure 2), for which $S_2 = 35$ μ Jy. In the presence of RFI, GUPPI, an FPGA-based digital spectrometer, has vastly improved performance compared to Spigot. This proved vital, since the RFI environment has degraded enormously in the intervening three years.

To measure the amount of scattering that clearly affects the pulse profile of PSR J1747–2809 (Figure 2), we did a fit to the GUPPI profile simultaneously in seven 100 MHz wide sub-bands, assuming that the scattering timescale τ_ν scales with observing frequency as $\nu^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 4$ (see, e.g., Bhat et al. 2004). We obtain $\tau_1 = (0.21 \pm 0.03)$ s, scaled to the usually reported frequency of 1 GHz (for α in the range 3.6–4.4, τ_1 varies over 0.16–0.28 s). This compares to $\tau_1 = 0.12$ s predicted by Cordes & Lazio (2002) for this DM and direction. The observed

⁵ <https://wikio.nrao.edu/bin/view/CICADA/GUPPIUsersGuide>

DM is biased by the large degree of scattering, and in our fitting process we obtain the corrected DM = (1133 ± 3) pc cm⁻³.

A comparison of the barycentric periods for the discovery and confirmation observations (Table 1) shows that the pulsar period increased by $\Delta P = (15.562 \pm 0.003)$ μ s. The time interval between these observations was $\Delta T = 1157.8$ days (MJD 54901.5–53743.7). The average period derivative is thus $\dot{P} = \Delta P / \Delta T = (1.5557 \pm 0.0003) \times 10^{-13}$. The corresponding derived pulsar parameters are $\dot{E} = 4.3 \times 10^{37}$ erg s⁻¹, $\tau_c = P / (2\dot{P}) = 5.3$ kyr, and surface magnetic dipole field strength $B = 2.9 \times 10^{12}$ G. For the observed DM, the NE2001 model yields $d \approx 13$ kpc, though with substantial uncertainty.

PSR J1747–2809 has a magnetic field strength at its light cylinder of $B_{lc} = 1.9 \times 10^5$ G, which is the seventh highest among pulsars known in the Galactic disk. It has been suggested that B_{lc} is a controlling parameter for the emission of very narrow “giant” radio pulses (Cognard et al. 1996), and among the four pulsars known to emit giant pulses, PSR B0540–69, in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), has the smallest value, $B_{lc} = 3.6 \times 10^5$ G. Therefore, in addition to the periodicity searches of the data listed in Table 1 (we also did a more sensitive folding analysis of all the Parkes data sets, using the subsequently determined values of P and $\dot{P}$, but did not detect the pulsar), we carried out a search for dispersed individual pulses in the two GBT data sets. We used standard matched-filter techniques described in detail by Cordes & McLaughlin (2003) and implemented in the SIGPROC pulsar search package.⁶ No single pulses were detected. However, our sensitivity was much degraded as a consequence of the large single-channel dispersion smearing (1–2 ms) and the huge scattering (about 13 ms at 2 GHz), but for which we could easily have detected giant pulses like those observed from PSR B0540–69 by Johnston & Romani (2003).

3. DISCUSSION

PSR J1747–2809 is a rare young neutron star in that its spin-down luminosity is surpassed among known Galactic pulsars only by the Crab. We have estimated the chance probability of detecting such a pulsar in our search by simulating an inner-Galactic GBT survey with individual 6 hr Spigot observations at 2 GHz. Considering the best population model of Lorimer et al. (2006), we find that such a survey would detect one ordinary (nonmillisecond) pulsar every 0.07 deg². Our beam area is 11% of this, which is also the probability of finding one such pulsar blindly. Until now, only the Crab was known with $\dot{E} > 4 \times 10^{37}$ erg s⁻¹ among 1600 ordinary Galactic pulsars. The probability of finding any such high $\dot{E}$ pulsar by chance is thus $\sim 0.11/1600 = 7 \times 10^{-5}$. PSR J1747–2809 is also very distant, based on its large DM, as expected for the pulsar in SNR G0.9+0.1 from the high N_H to its PWN. The current positional uncertainty of PSR J1747–2809 is $\pm 3'$, which is sufficient to locate it in projection within the G0.9+0.1 shell (Figure 1). Within this area, there are no radio or X-ray sources other than the G0.9+0.1 PWN that could plausibly account for a young pulsar with $\dot{E} = 4 \times 10^{37}$ erg s⁻¹, despite the great sensitivity of existing VLA, *Chandra X-ray Observatory*, and *XMM-Newton* observations. There is therefore no doubt that PSR J1747–2809 and G0.9+0.1 are associated.

The electron density model is not well constrained toward the distant inner Galactic regions (see Cordes & Lazio 2002). Prior to the discovery of PSR J1747–2809, only nine pulsars

were known with DM > 1100 pc cm⁻³, of which only two are within 20 degrees of the Galactic center (GC), none with independently estimated distances (Manchester et al. 2005). Therefore, the NE2001 distance of 13 kpc for PSR J1747–2809 could be substantially in error. It is not excluded that the pulsar could be located at 8–9 kpc, physically near the GC. However, given both the very large N_H and DM, it is unlikely that the pulsar is located substantially closer than the GC. Also, the ratio $N_H/\text{DM} \approx 40$ for PSR J1747–2809 is higher than seen toward all but about four other pulsars (see Gaensler et al. 2004; Camilo et al. 2006). Gaensler et al. argue that this indicates a location behind substantial intervening molecular material, which would not be surprising for a location near or beyond the GC. It is also intriguing that two pulsars located only 0.3 degrees in projection from the GC (at a lateral distance of about 40 pc if near it) have DM ≈ 1100 pc cm⁻³ (Johnston et al. 2006), like PSR J1747–2809, which among known pulsars is the third nearest to the GC in projection. The scattering timescale for those two pulsars is a factor of a few larger than for PSR J1747–2809, but still very small compared to the levels expected if they were located within the scattering screen thought to surround the GC at a distance estimated as 50–330 pc by Lazio & Cordes (1998). Johnston et al. (2006) therefore argue that those two pulsars are located somewhat in front of the GC. The same may apply to PSR J1747–2809, with a larger lateral separation of about 130 pc, but we also cannot exclude a substantially larger distance. We consider it likely that $0.8 \lesssim d_{10} \lesssim 1.6$.

The spin parameters of the new pulsar are similar to those of PSR J1833–1034 in SNR G21.5–0.9, which has $P = 61$ ms, $\dot{E} = 3.4 \times 10^{37}$ erg s⁻¹, $\tau_c = 4.9$ kyr, and $d = 4.7$ kpc (Camilo et al. 2006; Tian & Leahy 2008). It is therefore of interest to compare the properties of both systems. The radius of the central PWN in G0.9+0.1 is $R_{\text{PWN}} = 3 d_{10}$ pc, nominally 50% larger than the PWN in G21.5–0.9. The radio luminosities may be similar, although this comparison is uncertain because of the much better frequency coverage for G21.5–0.9 (see Dubner et al. 2008; Bock et al. 2001, and references therein). In X-rays (2–10 keV), $L_X(\text{G0.9+0.1}) \approx 0.7 d_{10}^2 L_X(\text{G21.5–0.9})$ (Porquet et al. 2003; Slane et al. 2000). At the highest energies, both PWNe are unresolved TeV sources, and colocated with their lower-energy counterparts, but G0.9+0.1 is $\approx 5 d_{10}^2$ times more luminous than G21.5–0.9 in a comparable band (Aharonian et al. 2005; Djannati-Atai et al. 2008; Gallant et al. 2008; Mattana et al. 2009).

Although the characteristic ages of both PSRs J1747–2809 and J1833–1034 are $\tau_c = 5$ kyr, the actual age of PSR J1833–1034 and its SNR is only $\tau \approx 1$ kyr (Bietenholz & Bartel 2008; Bocchino et al. 2005; Camilo et al. 2006). PSR J1747–2809 could therefore be the older of the two, and one might be tempted to appeal to this possible difference to explain the larger size of the G0.9+0.1 PWN (and of its shell, whose radius R_{SNR} is also nominally 50% larger than that of G21.5–0.9) and (along with a smaller nebular magnetic field) its possibly lower X-ray efficiency. However, the nominal difference in the two efficiencies is small compared to the scatter observed among young pulsars. Dissimilar SN explosion kinetic energies and ejected masses could instead explain the different radii (see, e.g., van der Swaluw et al. 2001). Some observables point to the possibility that also for G0.9+0.1, $\tau < \tau_c$. The G0.9+0.1 shell is relatively bright in radio, unlike G21.5–0.9. This could be due to a different circumstellar environment, or may suggest that the SNR has swept enough mass and is transitioning to the adiabatic phase. Nevertheless,

⁶ <http://sigproc.sourceforge.net>

the PWN still retains approximate circular symmetry and a central location within the SNR shell, which likely indicates that a strong reverse shock has not yet formed. In that case, for the observed ratio $R_{\text{PWN}}/R_{\text{SNR}} \approx 0.25$ (similar to that of G21.5–0.9), the PWN evolutionary models of Blondin et al. (2001) indicate a small age. The PWN energetics also indicate a small age: for G0.9+0.1, the PWN magnetic and particle energies add to $\sim 10^{48} d_{10}^2$ erg (Dubner et al. 2008). This is smaller than $\dot{E}\tau_c = 7 \times 10^{48}$ erg, suggesting that $\tau \ll \tau_c$ (see Chevalier 2005). All these ideas are outlined more fully for PSR J1833–1034/G21.5–0.9 by Camilo et al. (2006), including a discussion of the very significant differences with PSR J0205+6449 and its PWN 3C 58, despite comparable spin parameters. All these considerations point in the case of PSR J1747–2809/G0.9+0.1 to a system that, while not necessarily quite as young as PSR J1833–1034/G21.5–0.9, may have an age of no more than about 2–3 kyr. In turn, for spin evolution under constant magnetic moment with braking index in the observed range 2–3 (Livingstone et al. 2007), this would imply a birth period of $\gtrsim 40$ ms.

The TeV γ -ray emission observed from both G0.9+0.1 and G21.5–0.9 is most likely due to inverse Compton scattering of relativistic pulsar wind electrons. The seed photons for such scattering in general arise from dust, the cosmic microwave background, and star light. If PSR J1747–2809 is located close to the GC, the main contribution to the photon field in G0.9+0.1 likely originates in star light (Aharonian et al. 2005), and this could account for much of the greater TeV luminosity of G0.9+0.1 compared to G21.5–0.9. If on the other hand, PSR J1747–2809 is located at a substantially greater distance, we would infer an even larger TeV luminosity for G0.9+0.1, while the stellar photon field would have lower energy density. Thus, careful modeling of the TeV emission from the G0.9+0.1 PWN may help to constrain the distance to the system. In any case, it may be that much of the observed difference between G0.9+0.1 and G21.5–0.9 arises not so much from intrinsic differences in the particle spectrum injected, respectively, by PSRs J1747–2809 and J1833–1034, as from their different environments.

The pulsars themselves have comparable luminosities and are very faint. For PSR J1833–1034, $L_{1.4} \approx 2$ mJy kpc² and $L_X \approx 3 \times 10^{-5} \dot{E}$ (Camilo et al. 2006). For PSR J1747–2809, $L_{1.4} \approx 7 d_{10}^2$ mJy kpc², and $L_X \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-5} d_{10}^2 \dot{E}$, assuming that CXOU J174722.8–280915 is the counterpart (another potential candidate lies 10'' to its north; Gaensler et al. 2001), which may be tested with the determination of a pulsar position via timing measurements. At GeV energies, pulsations have already been detected from PSR J1833–1034 with the Large Area Telescope on the *Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope*.⁷ PSR J1747–2809 is, at least, at twice the distance, and near the bright GC, and no detection by *Fermi* has yet been reported.

Because of interstellar scattering, PSR J1747–2809 is not detectable at 1.4 GHz without a much more sensitive observation than is possible in practice ($\tau_{1.4} \approx 55$ ms, estimated by scaling from τ_1). Until recently, there were only four Galactic young pulsars known with $P < 61$ ms (the Crab, J1913+1011, B1951+32 in SNR CTB 80, and J2229+6114 associated with 3EG J2227+6122). The bright PSR J1410–6132, with $P = 50$ ms and $\text{DM} = 960$ pc cm^{−3}, but very scattered at 1.4 GHz and discovered instead at 6 GHz (O’Brien et al. 2008), and the similarly scattered but ~ 100 times fainter PSR J1747–2809, show

the importance of doing the utmost in dedicated searches of interesting objects to plumb the depths of the pulsar luminosity distribution and to minimize other biases against detecting short period neutron stars (see also Camilo et al. 2007; Johnston et al. 2006, for detection of other highly scattered pulsars). PSR J1747–2809 lies within the group of low-luminosity young pulsars ($L_{1.4} \lesssim 10$ mJy kpc²), nearly all of which were discovered in deep directed searches. These now make up one-third of all known young pulsars (see discussion in Camilo et al. 2006), and there is little reason to suppose that such pulsars are intrinsically rare. The future, if not bright, appears promising.

The GBT is operated by the National Radio Astronomy Observatory, a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc. We thank the staff at NRAO for quickly approving and scheduling the Rapid Response Science request to confirm the pulsar. The Parkes Observatory is part of the Australia Telescope, which is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO. This work was supported in part by the NSF through grant AST-0908386. B.M.G. acknowledges the support of a Federation Fellowship from the Australian Research Council through grant FF0561298. D.R.L. is partially supported by West Virginia EPSCoR through a Research Challenge Grant.

Facilities: GBT (GUPPI, Spigot), Parkes (PMDAQ)

REFERENCES

- Aharonian, F., et al. 2005, *A&A*, **432**, L25
 Bhat, N. D. R., Cordes, J. M., Camilo, F., Nice, D. J., & Lorimer, D. R. 2004, *ApJ*, **605**, 759
 Bietenholz, M. F., & Bartel, N. 2008, *MNRAS*, **386**, 1411
 Blondin, J. M., Chevalier, R. A., & Frierson, D. M. 2001, *ApJ*, **563**, 806
 Bocchino, F., van der Swaluw, E., Chevalier, R., & Bandiera, R. 2005, *A&A*, **442**, 539
 Bock, D. C.-J., Wright, M. C. H., & Dickel, J. R. 2001, *ApJ*, **561**, L203
 Camilo, F., Lorimer, D. R., Bhat, N. D. R., Gotthelf, E. V., Halpern, J. P., Wang, Q. D., Lu, F. J., & Mirabal, N. 2002a, *ApJ*, **574**, L71
 Camilo, F., Manchester, R. N., Gaensler, B. M., Lorimer, D. L., & Sarkissian, J. 2002b, *ApJ*, **567**, L71
 Camilo, F., Manchester, R. N., Gaensler, B. M., & Lorimer, D. R. 2002c, *ApJ*, **579**, L25
 Camilo, F., Ransom, S. M., Gaensler, B. M., Slane, P. O., Lorimer, D. R., Reynolds, J., Manchester, R. N., & Murray, S. S. 2006, *ApJ*, **637**, 456
 Camilo, F., Ransom, S. M., Halpern, J. P., & Reynolds, J. 2007, *ApJ*, **666**, L93
 Camilo, F., et al. 2002d, *ApJ*, **571**, L41
 Chevalier, R. A. 2005, *ApJ*, **619**, 839
 Cognard, I., Shrauner, J. A., Taylor, J. H., & Thorsett, S. E. 1996, *ApJ*, **457**, L81
 Cordes, J. M., & Lazio, T. J. W. 2002, *arXiv:astro-ph/0207156*
 Cordes, J. M., & McLaughlin, M. A. 2003, *ApJ*, **596**, 1142
 Del Santo, M., Sidoli, L., Mereghetti, S., Bazzano, A., Tarana, A., & Ubertini, P. 2007, *A&A*, **468**, L17
 Diehl, R., et al. 2006, *Nature*, **439**, 45
 Djannati-Atai, A., De Jager, O. C., Terrier, R., Gallant, Y. A., & Hoppe, S. 2008, in Proc. 30th ICRC, Vol. 2, ed. R. Caballero, J. D’Olivo, G. Medina-Tanco, L. Nellen, F. A. Sánchez, & J. F. Valdés-Galicia (Mexico City: UNAM), 823
 Dubner, G., Giacani, E., & Decouchelle, A. 2008, *A&A*, **487**, 1033
 Gaensler, B. M., Pivovarov, M. J., & Garmire, G. P. 2001, *ApJ*, **556**, L107
 Gaensler, B. M., & Slane, P. O. 2006, *ARA&A*, **44**, 17
 Gaensler, B. M., van der Swaluw, E., Camilo, F., Kaspi, V. M., Baganoff, F. K., Yusef-Zadeh, F., & Manchester, R. N. 2004, *ApJ*, **616**, 383
 Gallant, Y. A., et al. 2008, in AIP Conf. Ser. 983, 40 Years of Pulsars: Millisecond Pulsars, Magnetars and More, ed. C. Bassa, Z. Wang, A. Cumming, & V. M. Kaspi (Berlin: Springer), 195
 Gorham, P. W., Ray, P. S., Anderson, S. B., Kulkarni, S. R., & Prince, T. A. 1996, *ApJ*, **458**, 257
 Halpern, J. P., Camilo, F., Gotthelf, E. V., Helfand, D. J., Kramer, M., Lyne, A. G., Leighly, K. M., & Eracleous, M. 2001, *ApJ*, **552**, L125
 Helfand, D. J., & Becker, R. H. 1987, *ApJ*, **314**, 203

⁷ <http://moriond.in2p3.fr/J09/transparent/caliandro.ppt>

- Johnston, S., Kramer, M., Lorimer, D. R., Lyne, A. G., McLaughlin, M., Klein, B., & Manchester, R. N. 2006, *MNRAS*, **373**, [L6](#)
- Johnston, S., & Romani, R. 2003, *ApJ*, **590**, [L95](#)
- Kaplan, D. L., et al. 2005, *PASP*, **117**, [643](#)
- Kaspi, V. M., Manchester, R. N., Johnston, S., Lyne, A. G., & D'Amico, N. 1996, *AJ*, **111**, [2028](#)
- Lazio, T. J. W., & Cordes, J. M. 1998, *ApJ*, **505**, [715](#)
- Livingstone, M. A., Kaspi, V. M., Gavril, F. P., Manchester, R. N., Gotthelf, E. V. G., & Kuiper, L. 2007, *Ap&SS*, **308**, [317](#)
- Lorimer, D. R., Lyne, A. G., & Camilo, F. 1998, *A&A*, **331**, [1002](#)
- Lorimer, D. R., Yates, J. A., Lyne, A. G., & Gould, D. M. 1995, *MNRAS*, **273**, [411](#)
- Lorimer, D. R., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, **372**, [777](#)
- Manchester, R. N., Hobbs, G. B., Teoh, A., & Hobbs, M. 2005, *AJ*, **129**, [1993](#)
- Mattana, F., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **694**, [12](#)
- Mereghetti, S., Sidoli, L., & Israel, G. L. 1998, *A&A*, **331**, [77](#)
- Muno, M. P., Bauer, F. E., Bandyopadhyay, R. M., & Wang, Q. D. 2006, *ApJS*, **165**, [173](#)
- O'Brien, J. T., et al. 2008, *MNRAS*, **388**, [L1](#)
- Porquet, D., Decourchelle, A., & Warwick, R. S. 2003, *A&A*, **401**, [197](#)
- Ransom, S. M. 2001, PhD thesis, Harvard Univ.
- Ransom, S. M., Eikenberry, S. S., & Middleditch, J. 2002, *AJ*, **124**, [1788](#)
- Roberts, M. S. E., Hessels, J. W. T., Ransom, S. M., Kaspi, V. M., Freire, P. C. C., Crawford, F., & Lorimer, D. R. 2002, *ApJ*, **577**, [L19](#)
- Sidoli, L., Bocchino, F., Mereghetti, S., & Bandiera, R. 2004, *Mem. Soc. Astron. Ital.*, **75**, [507](#)
- Sidoli, L., Mereghetti, S., Israel, G. L., & Bocchino, F. 2000, *A&A*, **361**, [719](#)
- Slane, P., Chen, Y., Schulz, N. S., Seward, F. D., Hughes, J. P., & Gaensler, B. M. 2000, *ApJ*, **533**, [L29](#)
- Tian, W. W., & Leahy, D. A. 2008, *MNRAS*, **391**, [L54](#)
- van der Swaluw, E., Achterberg, A., Gallant, Y. A., & Tóth, G. 2001, *A&A*, **380**, [309](#)