

2009

Time-Use Patterns and Women Entrepreneurs

Tami Gurley-Calvez

Amelia Biehl

Katherine Harper

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/faculty_publications

Digital Commons Citation

Gurley-Calvez, Tami; Biehl, Amelia; and Harper, Katherine, "Time-Use Patterns and Women Entrepreneurs" (2009). *Faculty Scholarship*. 405.

https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/faculty_publications/405

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by The Research Repository @ WVU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact ian.harmon@mail.wvu.edu.

HUMAN CAPITAL ACQUISITION AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP[†]

Time-Use Patterns and Women Entrepreneurs

By TAMI GURLEY-CALVEZ, AMELIA BIEHL, AND KATHERINE HARPER*

The twentieth century saw a dramatic increase in the number of women in the labor force, as well as a steady increase in the number of self-employed women during the past three decades. This increase in labor force participation represents a striking change in the allocation of women's time between work and home activities. Despite the growing literature examining self-employed women, little is known about how self-employed women divide their time between work and other life activities.

The flexibility afforded by self-employment is often regarded as a way to better balance work and home activities. Indeed, the existing econometric studies indicate that women choose self-employment primarily because of family or lifestyle factors (Theresa Devine 1994; Richard K. Caputa and Arthur Dolinsky 1998; Richard J. Boden 1999; Greg Hundley 2000). Yet studies outside the economics literature seem to indicate that self-employed women do not necessarily experience more family satisfaction (Holly E. Buttner and Dorothy P. Moore 1997; Saroj Parasuraman and Claire A. Simmers 2001; Richard DeMartino, Robert Barbato, and Paul H. Jacques 2006). A source of the difference might be that the econometric studies use

older data or focus on an older cohort that views gender roles differently. We use recently available American Time Use Survey (ATUS) data for 2003 to 2006 to compare the daily activities of self-employed women with those of self-employed men and wage and salary workers of both genders. Specifically, we examine whether differences exist in time-use patterns between genders and across employment sectors, and whether these differences are consistent with the hypothesis that women select self-employment for family and lifestyle reasons.

I. Description of Data

The ATUS is the first US comprehensive, federal government-sponsored time-use survey, administered by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The data provide researchers with detailed information on how Americans allocate their hours among activities such as working, eating, providing child care, and sleeping. The data include about 13,000 respondents per year and are drawn from the larger Current Population Survey (CPS) sample.

Time spent providing child care is classified into two categories: primary child care and secondary child care. Child care qualifies as primary care if providing child care is the respondent's main activity. Secondary child care is defined as care for any household children under age 13 while doing another primary activity (such as cooking dinner or working at home). These secondary child care estimates are derived by summing the duration of activities during which respondents reported having a child in their care while doing other activities.

To collect time-use data, one individual, age 15 or older, is randomly chosen from each household in the sample to respond to detailed questions on his or her use of time during the previous day. This designated person, or respondent, is interviewed only once and reports his or

[†]*Discussants:* Lauren Malone, CNA Corporation; Deborah Reed, Mathematica Policy Research, Public Policy Institute of California; Melinda Pitts, Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

*Gurley-Calvez: BBER, College of Business and Economics, West Virginia University, Post Office Box 6025, Morgantown, WV 26508 (e-mail: tami.calvez@mail.wvu.edu); Biehl: Department of Economics, University of Michigan-Flint, 220 David M. French Hall, Flint, MI 48502 (e-mail: abiehl@umflint.edu); Harper: Center for Business and Economics Research, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Stokely Management Center, Floor 7, 916 Volunteer Blvd., Knoxville, TN 37996-0570 (e-mail: kharper8@utk.edu). The authors wish to acknowledge the support of the US Small Business Administration, from which funding was received to undertake this research. (SBA Contract No. SBAHQ-07-M-0409).

her activities for a 24-hour period. Respondents are asked to identify their primary activity if they report doing more than one activity at a time, and data are not collected on secondary activities except for secondary child care. We chose to limit our sample to individuals 25 years of age and older in order to focus primarily on working-age adults.

Respondents are preassigned a day of the week about which to report. They are divided approximately equally between weekdays and weekend days. Because most respondents maintain similar schedules for weekdays, approximately 10 percent are assigned to each weekday. Of the remaining total respondents, approximately 25 percent are assigned to Saturday and 25 percent to Sunday. We opt to include all seven days in our analysis to increase sample sizes and because it is unclear what would constitute "regular" work hours or days, especially for the self-employed.

II. Research Methodology

We use a combination of descriptive statistics and multivariate analysis to examine two main issues: (1) Do differences exist in time-use patterns across gender and employment sectors? and (2) Are these differences consistent with women selecting self-employment for family or lifestyle decisions? We examine time spent working, in primary and secondary child care activities, and in off-the-job activities such as volunteering and socializing.

We apply ATUS sample weights and estimate a linear regression model as follows:

$$(1) \quad Time_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Female_i + \beta_2 Ed_i \\ + \beta_3 Ind_i + \beta_4 \mathbf{D}_i + \beta_5 T_t + e_i,$$

where the dependent variable (*Time*) is the percent of time spent in a given activity (work, primary child care, or secondary child care). *Female* indicates whether the respondent is a woman. We also control for education (*Ed*) and industry (*Ind*) using a series of dummy variables. Demographic characteristics, such as marital status, age, and number of children are included in \mathbf{D} , and *T* is a series of time dummy variables. All control variables represent lagged values, as they are taken from the CPS file collected two to five months prior to the ATUS. In addition to

controlling for gender, we estimate specifications separately for male and female respondents.

III. Results

On average, means from the ATUS indicate that self-employed women spent the least amount of time on work activities, 6.2 hours per day. Wage and salary employed women and self-employed men worked an average of 7.3 and 7.6 hours per day, respectively. Wage and salary men spent the most time working, 8.2 hours per day. Over the course of a week, self-employed women worked about 14 fewer hours than wage and salary employed men.

Limiting our analysis to respondents with children, we find that time spent in primary and secondary child care was greatest for self-employed women. Self-employed women spent an average of 14 hours per week in primary child care, whereas wage and salary employed women spent 11.9 hours and men spent between 9.8 and 11.2 hours per week on primary child care. Summary statistics for secondary child care are presented in Table 1 and tell an even more striking story. Self-employed women spent about one hour per day more on secondary child care than wage and salary employed women, translating into a difference of 7.7 hours per week. Secondary child care time for men was about two-thirds of the amount for self-employed women.

We find some evidence that opportunities for child care, particularly secondary child care, might be greater in industries that are more closely related to household production activities traditionally completed by women. Self-employed women in both the education and health services and the leisure and hospitality industries spent the most time on secondary child care. Self-employed women, with the exception of those with master's or doctoral degrees, spent more time in secondary child care than wage and salary women and men, regardless of the subcategory.

These patterns are consistent with the hypothesis that women choose self-employment to accommodate family or lifestyle priorities. Self-employed women spent less time working and more time caring for children. Regression results presented in Tables 2 and 3 confirm that these patterns remain after controlling for the factors in equation (1). Self-employed women worked

TABLE 1—MEAN NUMBER OF HOURS SPENT ON SECONDARY CHILDCARE

	Wage and salary			Self-employed		
	Female	Male	Difference	Female	Male	Difference
All	4.6	3.7	0.9***	5.7	3.6	2.1***
Industry						
Financial activities	4.4	3.8	0.6**	5.5	3.6	1.9**
Professional and business services	4.6	4.1	0.5	5.8	4.0	1.8***
Educational and health services	4.9	3.8	1.1***	6.3	3.4	2.9***
Leisure and hospitality	4.2	3.1	1.1***	6.3	2.8	3.5***
Education						
High school	4.5	3.5	1.0***	5.6	4.0	1.6***
Some college	4.6	3.9	0.7***	5.4	3.9	1.5***
Bachelor's degree	4.6	3.9	0.7***	6.5	3.3	3.2***
Master's or PhD	4.5	3.8	0.7***	4.5	3.1	1.4**
Income						
Less than \$20,000	4.6	3.7	0.9***	6.6	4.3	2.3**
\$20,000 to \$40,000	4.6	3.7	0.9***	6.3	3.3	3.0***
\$40,000 to \$60,000	4.9	3.8	1.1***	5.9	4.4	1.5**
\$60,000 to \$80,000	4.9	4.1	0.8***	6.0	3.9	2.1***
\$80,000 to \$100,000	4.6	3.6	1.0***	5.7	4.0	1.7***
\$100,000 to \$150,000	3.8	3.2	0.6**	5.7	2.9	2.8***
\$150,000 or more	4.3	3.3	1.0***	4.9	2.9	2.0***

Notes: Entries represent mean hours per day from the ATUS-CPS, years 2003–2006. Statistics restricted to respondents with children.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

*Significant at the 10 percent level.

TABLE 2—REGRESSION RESULTS FOR TIME SPENT ON WORK AND WORK-RELATED ACTIVITIES

	Wage and salary			Self-employed		
	All	Female	Male	All	Female	Male
Age	0.003*** (3.93)	0.004*** (3.97)	0.003** (1.97)	0.008*** (3.95)	0.009** (2.57)	0.007*** (2.76)
Age squared	−0.000*** (4.36)	−0.000*** (4.56)	−0.000** (2.24)	−0.000*** (5.04)	−0.000*** (3.10)	−0.000*** (3.79)
Female	−0.035*** (15.00)			−0.065*** (8.22)		
Married	0.000 (0.16)	−0.009*** (3.15)	0.010** (2.43)	0.003 (0.31)	−0.032** (2.32)	0.023** (2.12)
Number of children	−0.004*** (3.62)	−0.009*** (6.03)	−0.001 (0.85)	−0.009** (2.35)	−0.015** (2.20)	−0.006 (1.28)
White	0.002 (0.45)	−0.003 (0.47)	0.007 (1.02)	0.033 (1.56)	0.033 (0.89)	0.035 (1.48)
African American	0.001 (0.21)	−0.001 (0.18)	0.001 (0.13)	0.024 (0.90)	0.087* (1.87)	−0.006 (0.22)

Notes: Entries are coefficients from a linear regression model. All regressions include education, industry, and year controls. Education controls are a series of dummy variables for high school education, some college, bachelor's degree, master's or doctoral degree, and professional degree. *t* statistics in parentheses.

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

*Significant at the 10 percent level.

TABLE 3—REGRESSION RESULTS FOR TIME SPENT ON SECONDARY CHILDCARE

	Wage and salary			Self-employed		
	All	Female	Male	All	Female	Male
Age	-0.005*** (3.52)	-0.008*** (4.20)	-0.001 (0.35)	-0.017*** (4.19)	-0.023*** (3.92)	-0.008 (1.43)
Age squared	0.00002 (0.93)	0.00005** (2.08)	-0.00003 (1.42)	0.0001*** (3.17)	-0.0002*** (2.85)	0.00006 (0.90)
Female	0.035*** (9.41)			0.077*** (7.69)		
Married	0.027*** (6.25)	0.025*** (4.57)	0.028*** (4.05)	0.028** (1.96)	0.021 (1.11)	0.034* (1.80)
Number of children	0.026 (12.98)	0.032*** (10.17)	0.020*** (8.04)	0.030*** (5.65)	0.051*** (7.49)	0.014** (2.06)
White	-0.016** (2.23)	-0.005 (0.48)	-0.024** (2.50)	-0.019 (1.00)	-0.053 (1.59)	0.004 (0.17)
African American	-0.023*** (2.60)	-0.020 (1.61)	-0.023* (1.85)	-0.019 (0.61)	-0.116** (2.52)	0.036 (0.92)

Notes: Entries are coefficients from a linear regression model. All regressions include education, industry, and year controls. Education controls are a series of dummy variables for high school education, some college, bachelor's degree, master's or doctoral degree, and professional degree. *t* statistics in parentheses

***Significant at the 1 percent level.

**Significant at the 5 percent level.

*Significant at the 10 percent level.

an average of 6.5 percentage points (1.56 hours per day) less than self-employed men. Given that the mean percent of time spent working for the self-employed is 27.5 percent (6.6 hours per day), this represents a reduction of 23.6 percent. Similarly, wage and salary employed women worked 0.84 hours per day less than their male counterparts.

The last two columns of Table 2 contain regressions estimated separately by gender. It is clear from these results that family factors affect men and women differently. Marriage decreases hours worked by 3.2 percentage points for self-employed women but increases time worked by 2.3 percentage points for men. An additional child reduces time spent working by about 1.5 percentage points for self-employed women, but does not have a statistically significant effect on hours worked for men. Interestingly, the effects of marital status and number of children are much smaller for wage and salary employed women. Finally, self-employed African American women work considerably more (8.7 percentage points) than those in the other race category.

Turning now to time spent on secondary child care, self-employed women spent far more time (7.7 percentage points) on secondary care than

their male counterparts (Table 3). Among self-employed women, African American women spent 11.6 percentage points less time on secondary child care than those in the other race category. This result is consistent with our finding that African American women spent more time on work activities.

For wage and salary workers, time spent in secondary care increased by more than 2.5 percentage points if respondents were married, and by a similar amount for each additional child. Both white and African American males who are wage and salary employed spent less time in secondary child care than those in the other race category. However, there is no evidence that white or African American women in the wage sector spent less time on secondary child care.

Men and women also differ in mean time spent on other off-the-job activities (Table 4). Women spent more time on primary child care, personal care, household activities, and consumer purchases. Specifically, self-employed women spent the most time on primary child care, 2.1 more hours per week than wage and salary women and about 3 hours more per week than men in either sector. Differences in time spent on primary care remain after controlling for the factors in equation (1), but are not

TABLE 4—HOURS SPENT IN SELECTED OFF-THE-JOB ACTIVITIES

Percent of time spent:	Wage and salary			Self-employed		
	Female	Male	Difference	Female	Male	Difference
On primary child care (sample with children)	1.7	1.4	0.3***	2.0	1.6	0.4***
On personal care	9.1	8.7	0.4***	9.0	8.7	0.3***
On household activities	2.3	1.9	0.4***	2.8	1.9	0.9***
On consumer purchases	1.0	0.8	0.2***	1.0	0.7	0.3***
Eating and drinking	1.1	1.2	-0.1***	1.2	1.3	-0.1***
Socializing, relaxing, and leisure	3.6	4.0	-0.4***	3.5	3.8	-0.3***
On sports, exercise, and recreation	1.3	1.9	-0.6***	1.2	1.9	-0.7***

Notes: Entries represent mean hours per day from the ATUS-CPS, years 2003–2006.

*** Significant at the 1 percent level.

** Significant at the 5 percent level.

* Significant at the 10 percent level.

as large as the differences in secondary care presented above. Self-employed women spent considerably more time on household activities, 30 minutes per day, or 3.5 hours per week, than wage and salary employed women, and 6.3 hours per week more than men in either sector. Wage and salary employed women spent 12 more minutes per day (1.4 hours per week) on consumer purchases than their male counterparts, and self-employed women spent 18 more minutes per day (2.1 hours per week) on consumer purchases than self-employed men.

IV. Discussion

Our results indicate striking differences in time spent working, caring for children, and on household activities by gender and employment sector. Self-employed women spent the least amount of time working and the most time on child care activities, even after controlling for education, industry, and a variety of other demographic characteristics. Differences are greatest in time spent on secondary child care, and suggest that self-employed women value being near their children, even if they are not primarily engaged in child care. Separate regressions by gender reveal different coefficient estimates for men and women on a variety of factors with the largest differences in the effects of marriage and number of children for the self-employed. Overall, the observed differences are consistent with the consensus among previous econometric studies that women are motivated to choose self-employment for family, not earnings, reasons.

From a policy perspective, this indicates a couple of areas for targeting programs to support

self-employment among women. First, programs that enhance work-life balance or facilitate secondary child care opportunities could potentially be used to address the chronically lower rates of self-employment among women. Second, more research is needed to assess whether there are too few self-employed women because they do not view self-employment as a viable option for advancing career or earnings goals, and whether these views are due to factors such as liquidity constraints or risk aversion.

REFERENCES

- Boden, Richard J., Jr.** 1999. "Flexible Working Hours, Family Responsibilities, and Female Self-Employment: Gender Differences in Self-Employment Selection." *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 58(1): 71–83.
- Buttner, E. Holly, and Dorothy P. Moore.** 1997. "Women's Organizational Exodus to Entrepreneurship: Self-Reported Motivations and Correlates with Success." *Journal of Small Business Management*, 35(1): 34–46.
- Caputo, Richard K., and Arthur Dolinsky.** 1998. "Women's Choice to Pursue Self-Employment: The Role of Financial and Human Capital of Household Members." *Journal of Small Business Management*, 36(3): 8–17.
- DeMartino, Richard, Robert Barbato, and Paul H. Jacques.** 2006. "Exploring the Career/Achievement and Personal Life Orientation Differences between Entrepreneurs and Nonentrepreneurs: The Impact of Sex and Dependents." *Journal of Small Business Management*, 44(3): 350–68.

- Devine, Theresa J.** 1994. "Changes in Wage-and-Salary Returns to Skill and the Recent Rise in Female Self-Employment." *American Economic Review*, 84(2): 108–13.
- Hundley, Greg.** 2000. "Male/Female Earnings Differences in Self-Employment: The Effects of Marriage, Children, and the Household Division of Labor." *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 54(1): 95–114.
- Parasuraman, Saroj, and Claire A. Simmers.** 2001. "Type of Employment, Work-Family Conflict and Well-Being: A Comparative Study." *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 22(5): 551–68.

This article has been cited by:

1. Katherine Lim. 2018. Do American mothers use self-employment as a flexible work alternative?. *Review of Economics of the Household* **27**. . [[Crossref](#)]
2. Tessa Conroy. 2018. The kids are alright: working women, schedule flexibility and childcare. *Regional Studies* **47**, 1-11. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Janice Byrne, Salma Fattoum, Maria Cristina Diaz Garcia. 2018. Role Models and Women Entrepreneurs: Entrepreneurial Superwoman Has Her Say. *Journal of Small Business Management* **23**. . [[Crossref](#)]
4. Pernilla Andersson Joona. 2018. How does motherhood affect self-employment performance?. *Small Business Economics* **50**:1, 29-54. [[Crossref](#)]
5. David Cesarini, Erik Lindqvist, Matthew J. Notowidigdo, Robert Östling. 2017. The Effect of Wealth on Individual and Household Labor Supply: Evidence from Swedish Lotteries. *American Economic Review* **107**:12, 3917-3946. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
6. Janice Redmond, Elizabeth Anne Walker, Jacquie Hutchinson. 2017. Self-employment: is it a long-term financial strategy for women?. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal* **36**:4, 362-375. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Pernilla Andersson Joona. 2017. Are mothers of young children more likely to be self-employed? The case of Sweden. *Review of Economics of the Household* **15**:1, 307-333. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Christopher Jepsen, Lisa K. Jepsen. 2017. Self-employment, earnings, and sexual orientation. *Review of Economics of the Household* **15**:1, 287-305. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Nadia Simoes, Nuno Crespo, Sandrina B. Moreira. 2016. INDIVIDUAL DETERMINANTS OF SELF-EMPLOYMENT ENTRY: WHAT DO WE REALLY KNOW?. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **30**:4, 783-806. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Marco Caliendo, Jens Hogenacker, Steffen Künn, Frank Wießner. 2015. Subsidized start-ups out of unemployment: a comparison to regular business start-ups. *Small Business Economics* . [[Crossref](#)]
11. Patricia Gabaldon, Celia De Anca, Concepcion Galdón. 2015. Measures of success for self-employed mothers in Spain. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research* **21**:1, 128-145. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Marco Caliendo, Steffen Künn. 2014. Regional Effect Heterogeneity of Start-up Subsidies for the Unemployed. *Regional Studies* **48**:6, 1108-1134. [[Crossref](#)]
13. George W. Hammond, Tami Gurley-Calvez. 2014. Heterogeneity in the Determinants of Local Self-Employment Growth by Gender, Age and Selected Industry. *Regional Studies* **48**:2, 339-349. [[Crossref](#)]
14. George Saridakis, Susan Marlow, David J. Storey. 2013. Do different factors explain male and female self-employment rates?. *Journal of Business Venturing* . [[Crossref](#)]
15. Amelia M. Biehl, Tami Gurley-Calvez, Brian Hill. 2013. Self-employment of older Americans: do recessions matter?. *Small Business Economics* . [[Crossref](#)]
16. Nareatha Studdard, Maurice Dawson, Sharon L. Burton, Naporshia Jackson, Brian Leonard, William Quisenberry, Emad Rahim. Nurturing Social Entrepreneurship and Building Social Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy 247-269. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Nadia Simoes, Sandrina B. Moreira, Nuno Crespo. Determinants of Self-Employment Entry 405-430. [[Crossref](#)]
18. Nareatha Studdard, Maurice Dawson, Sharon L. Burton, Naporshia Jackson, Brian Leonard, William Quisenberry, Emad Rahim. Nurturing Social Entrepreneurship and Building Social Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy 154-175. [[Crossref](#)]