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A new regime of fast magnetic reconnection with an out-of-plane (guide) magnetic field is reported in which the key role is played by an electron pressure anisotropy described by the Chew-Goldberger-Low gyrotrropic equations of state in the generalized Ohm’s law, which even dominates the Hall term. A description of the physical cause of this behavior is provided and two-dimensional fluid simulations are used to confirm the results. The electron pressure anisotropy causes the out-of-plane magnetic field to develop a quadrupole structure of opposite polarity to the Hall magnetic field and gives rise to dispersive waves. In addition to being important for understanding what causes reconnection to be fast, this mechanism should dominate in plasmas with low plasma beta and a high in-plane plasma beta with electron temperature comparable to or larger than ion temperature, so it could be relevant in the solar wind and some tokamaks.

Magnetic reconnection allows for large-scale conversion of magnetic energy into kinetic energy and heat by changing magnetic topology. It occurs in a wide range of environments, such as solar eruptions, planetary magnetospheres, fusion devices, and astrophysical settings \cite{1}. One key unsolved problem is what determines the rate of reconnection \cite{2, 3}. A description of the physical cause of this behavior is provided and two-dimensional fluid simulations are used to confirm the results. The electron pressure anisotropy causes the out-of-plane magnetic field to develop a quadrupole structure of opposite polarity to the Hall magnetic field and gives rise to dispersive waves. In addition to being important for understanding what causes reconnection to be fast, this mechanism should dominate in plasmas with low plasma beta and a high in-plane plasma beta with electron temperature comparable to or larger than ion temperature, so it could be relevant in the solar wind and some tokamaks.

A new regime of fast magnetic reconnection with an out-of-plane (guide) magnetic field is reported in which the key role is played by an electron pressure anisotropy described by the Chew-Goldberger-Low gyrotrropic equations of state in the generalized Ohm’s law, which even dominates the Hall term. A description of the physical cause of this behavior is provided and two-dimensional fluid simulations are used to confirm the results. The electron pressure anisotropy causes the out-of-plane magnetic field to develop a quadrupole structure of opposite polarity to the Hall magnetic field and gives rise to dispersive waves. In addition to being important for understanding what causes reconnection to be fast, this mechanism should dominate in plasmas with low plasma beta and a high in-plane plasma beta with electron temperature comparable to or larger than ion temperature, so it could be relevant in the solar wind and some tokamaks.

When pressure anisotropies are used, we employ the CGL equations, equivalent to \( p_{\|}/B^2/p^3 \) and \( p_{\perp}/\rho B \) being \cite{26} for species \( \sigma \). We write them as evolution equations \cite{see Eqs. (17) and (18) in Ref. [46]} with \( \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J} \) omitted for simplicity. The numerical implementation is benchmarked using Alfvén waves and the firehose...
and mirror instabilities. For isotropic plasmas, \( \rho_e/\rho_0^{5/3} = \text{constant} \).

All quantities are normalized: magnetic fields to the reconnecting magnetic field \( B_0 \), densities to the value \( \rho_0 \) far from the current sheet, velocities to the Alfvén speed \( c_A = B_0/(4\pi\rho_0)^{1/2} \), lengths to the ion inertial length \( d_i = c/\omega_{pi} \), electric fields to \( c_A B_0/c \), resistivities to \( 4\pi c_A d_i/c^2 \), and pressures to \( B_0^2/4\pi \). The simulation size is \( L_x \times L_y = 204.8 \times 102.4 \) in a doubly periodic domain with 4096 \times 2048 cells. This system is large enough that boundaries do not play a role; a steady state prevails for an extended time.

The initial reconnecting magnetic field is \( B_z(y) = \tanh[(y + L_y/4)/0.5] - \tanh[(y - L_y/4)/0.5] - 1 \). Unless otherwise stated, the guide field is large at 5 and increases at the current sheet to balance total pressure. The density \( \rho = 1 \) and pressure \( p_e = 5 \) are initially uniform (\( p_{e\perp} = p_{e||} = 5 \) for anisotropic). When electron pressure is evolved, ions are cold, and vice versa.

All simulations use \( m_e = m_i/25 \) unless otherwise stated, which is acceptable because \( E \) is insensitive to \( m_e \) and length scales for the ions \( (c_s/\Omega_{ci} \approx 0.7) \) and electrons \( (d_e = c/\omega_{pe} = 0.2) \) are sufficiently separated [89]. The resistivity is 0.005, chosen so that if reconnection is Sweet-Parker-like, the layer thickness is \( (\eta L_x/4)^{1/2} \approx 0.5 \) which makes it marginal against secondary islands [7]. Reconnection is seeded using a coherent magnetic perturbation of amplitude 0.014. Initial random velocity perturbations of amplitude 0.04 break symmetry. The equations employ fourth order diffusion with coefficient \( D_4 = 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \) to damp noise at the grid.

Benchmark simulations using two-fluid simulations (with the Hall term, electron inertia, and isotropic electron pressure) reveal a well-known open exhaust, as shown by the out-of-plane current density \( J_z \) in Fig. 1(a). Various simulations are then performed without the Hall term. When the CGL equations are used on the electrons (which we call eCGL), an open exhaust occurs (panel b). Panel (c) is for the same system but with \( m_e = 0 \), showing that electron inertia does not cause the open exhaust. Panel (d) is when the CGL equations are used on the ions (which we call iCGL). The current sheet is elongated like in Sweet-Parker reconnection. To further identify the key physics, a simulation of an unphysical system is tested: the electron pressure anisotropy is included in the momentum equation [Eq. (2)] but not in generalized Ohm’s law [Eq. (1)]. The result is an elongated current sheet (panel e). The three with open exhausts are fast, \( E \approx 0.06 - 0.1 \), while the elongated sheets give the Sweet-Parker rate of 0.01. The thickness of the current sheets in (a) and (b) are near 0.2, showing that layers in eCGL go down to \( d_e \) as in two-fluid reconnection. In contrast, the layer thickness for (d) and (e) is 0.525 and 0.6 (the Sweet-Parker thickness). The conclusion is clear: the eCGL equations give rise to fast reconnection even with no Hall term, and the key physics is the electron pressure gradient in generalized Ohm’s law.

The physics when electron pressure anisotropies dominate bears similarities to Hall reconnection with a guide field [19]. The \( z \) component of Eq. (1) in terms of the flux function \( \psi \) defined as \( E_z = (1/c)\partial \psi /\partial t \) is

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} - \frac{\mathbf{J}}{ne}) \cdot \nabla \psi = -\frac{c}{ne} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}_e) \frac{d}{dt} \nabla^2 \psi.
\]

With the Hall term present, the left side reveals magnetic flux is convected by electrons, so electrons carrying the current drag the reconnecting magnetic field out of the plane [60]. This produces a quadrupole out-of-plane magnetic field \( B_z \), shown for the two-fluid simulation in Fig. 2(a). With a strong guide field, the gas pressure (not shown) develops a quadrupole with opposite polarity to maintain total pressure balance [19].

Without the Hall term, Eq. (3) implies magnetic flux is convected by ions [22]. The magnetic field is dragged out of the plane by ions, giving a \( B_z \) quadrupole with opposite polarity as in Hall reconnection, displayed for the eCGL simulation in Fig. 2(b). (An instability is visible in the exhaust. The system is not firehose or mirror unstable; it is likely a drift instability.) To balance total pressure, \( p_{e\perp} \) develops a quadrupole of opposite polarity, displayed in Fig. 2(c). The density (not shown) develops a quadrupole like that of \( p_{e\perp} \). This requires a parallel electric field pointing from low density to high, which comes from a parallel electron pressure high \( p_{e||} \) in regions of low \( p_{e\perp} \). Some support of opposite polarity as \( p_{e\perp} \), shown in Fig. 2(d). Thus, an electron pressure anisotropy is self-generated. It contributes to the reconnect electric field as \( E_{pe,z} = -(1/\eta e)(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p}_e) \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{v} = -(1/\eta e)(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)((p_{e\perp} - p_{e||})B_z/B^2) \), plotted as the solid line in a vertical cut through the X-line in Fig. 2(e). For
comparison, the dashed line shows the Hall electric field \( E_{Hz} \) in the two-fluid simulation and the dash-dot line shows the resistive electric field \( E_\eta \) in the iCGL simulation. The structure of \( E_{pe,z} \) is similar to the known \( E_{Hz} \) profile in two-fluid reconnection. Note that eCGL with \( m_e = 0 \) also has quadrupoles, but iCGL with slow reconnection does not.

The guide field is key to the physics. If it is too large, the ion Larmor radius falls below electron or resistive scales which prevents fast reconnection, analogous to Hall reconnection [53]. If it is too small, the pressure change due to the \( B_z \) quadrupole is small, so the effect in the previous paragraph is negligible. We quantify this by finding when \( E_{pe,z} \) is dominated by other contributions to Ohm’s law, which for the present simulations is the resistive term. A scaling analysis gives \( E_{pe,z}/E_\eta \sim 0.1\beta_\rho(B_g/B_{rec})/(2\eta c^2/4\pi ceA_{te}) \), where 0.1 is \( E \) for fast reconnection, \( \beta_0 = 8\pi p_0/(B_{tec}^2 + B_g^2) \) is the electron plasma beta, and \( B_{rec} \) and \( B_g \) are the reconnecting and guide field strengths. This ratio is small if \( B_g \) is sufficiently big or small. We confirm this in simulations with \( p_{e\perp} = p_{e\parallel} = 1 \); the predicted range is \( 0.05 \ll B_g \ll 20 \). (Formally, the CGL model is invalid for small \( B_g \), so this tests fundamental physics independent of the appropriateness of the CGL model.) We find reconnection is Sweet-Parker-like for \( B_g = 0 \) and 0.1, has a short current layer with \( E \simeq 0.03 \) for a transitional guide field \( B_g = 0.25 \), is fast with \( E \simeq 0.05 \) for \( B_g = 0.5 \), 5, and 7.5, and is again Sweet-Parker-like for \( B_g = 15 \). These results agree with the prediction.

This system yields an interesting way to study the cause of fast reconnection. It was proposed that reconnection is fast if linear perturbations to a homogeneous equilibrium permit dispersive waves with faster phase speeds at smaller scales [54], such as the whistler or kinetic Alfvén wave in Hall-MHD. This has been controversial because reconnecting fields are not homogeneous.

We present the linear theory of a plasma with pressure anisotropies, the Hall term, and electron inertia. Rather than using CGL, we generalize by taking ion and electron pressures to be arbitrary functions of \( \rho \) and \( B \), i.e., \( p_{e\perp} = p_{e\perp}(\rho, B) \) and \( p_{e\parallel} = p_{e\parallel}(\rho, B) \). This captures adiabatic, CGL, and Egedal’s equations of state [43, 44]. The dispersion relation relating the frequency \( \omega \) to the wavevector \( k \) is

\[
\omega^6 - C_4\omega^4 + C_2\omega^2 - C_0 = 0,
\]

where

...
Here, $D = 1 + k^2 d^2_e$, $p_B = B^2/8\pi$ is the magnetic pressure, $\bar{\epsilon} = 1 - 4 \pi (p_{i0}/p_{\perp0})/B_0^2$ is the equilibrium anisotropy parameter for the total pressure, $\bar{\epsilon}_e$ is similarly defined for the electrons, $\partial (A_1, A_2)/\partial (x, y) = (\partial A_1/\partial x)(\partial A_2/\partial y) - (\partial A_1/\partial y)(\partial A_2/\partial x)$ is a Poisson bracket-type operator, and the 0 subscript denotes equilibrium quantities. This reduces to known results in the limits of anisotropic-MHD with the CGL equations ($d_e \to 0, p_{\perp} = \text{constant}$, $p_{i0}B^2/\rho^3 = \text{constant}$) and isotropic two-fluid ($p_{ei} = p_{e\perp}$) [54].

We find pressure anisotropies introduce dispersive waves even when the Hall term is absent. All terms in Eq. [4] with $d^2_e$ give dispersive waves. For the high $\omega$, high $k$ with $\bar{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon}_e = 1$ limit, $\omega^2 \simeq C_4 \simeq \bar{\epsilon}_e k^2 c_A^2 D^2 \{k^2 - k^2_0 [\partial (p_{e\perp} - p_{e\perp})]/[\partial (p_B)]_0 \}$. The $k^2$ term comes from the Hall term and is the standard whistler wave, while the $k^2_0$ term is a whistler-like wave coming from the electron pressure anisotropy in generalized Ohm’s law. For eCGL, this is $\omega^2 = \omega^2_{\text{std}}(3/2)\bar{\epsilon}_0 k^2 c_A^2 D^2$, where $\bar{\epsilon}_0 = p_0/p_B$. Similarly, following Ref. [54], the intermediate frequency range gives $\omega^2 \simeq C_2/C_4$. In the $k_\perp \ll k_\parallel$, short wavelength, cold ion limit, this yields $\omega^2 = (k_\parallel^2 c_A^2 D^2/2) \{ [\partial (p_{e\perp} - p_{e\perp})]/[\partial (p_B)]_0 \}$. In the low $\bar{\epsilon}_0$ limit, the first term gives the standard kinetic Alfvén wave, while the second is a kinetic Alfvén-like wave arising from the pressure anisotropy. In eCGL, this wave has $\omega^2 = (5/2)\bar{\epsilon}_0 k^2 c_A^2 D^2 (p_0/p_0)$.

There are many ways to test the dispersive wave model. For cold ions, dispersive waves from anisotropies persist. However, they vanish identically for cold electrons. The dispersive wave model predicts fast reconnection for eCGL but slow reconnection for iCGL, consistent with our simulations. Eq. [4] implies there are dispersive waves without the Hall term when there is an equilibrium pressure anisotropy, independent of the equations of state, consistent with previous studies [40, 55].

Interestingly, when Egedal’s equations of state [43, 44] are employed in simulations without the Hall term, reconnection is Sweet-Parker-like (J. Egedal, private communication). Thus, simply having an electron pressure anisotropy is insufficient to cause fast reconnection; the pressure anisotropy must have a particular form. Fluid modeling of other equations of state could provide insight about what physically sets the length of the current layer.

We now show that electron pressure anisotropies can dominate the Hall term in real systems. First, we have performed particle-in-cell simulations with parameters similar to the fluid simulations, confirming that the CGL model ($p_i \propto \rho^3/B^2$ and $p_{e\perp} \propto \rho B$) is reasonably reproduced (plots not shown). Also, electron pressure anisotropies dominate the Hall term for the parameters of the simulations in Fig. 1 Fig. 2(f) shows a simulation of eCGL with the Hall term; the contribution to the reconnection electric field of the pressure anisotropy (solid line) dominates the Hall term (dashed line).

We suspect electron pressure anisotropy dominates when dispersive wave terms due to the anisotropy dominate the standard whistler and kinetic Alfvén waves in Eq. [4]. In $C_2$, the first term with $d^2_e$ gives the standard kinetic Alfvén wave. The second term with $d^2_e$ is the most important term arising from the electron pressure anisotropy (by a factor of $\beta$, which is small for many systems of interest). In the $\bar{\epsilon}_e = \bar{\epsilon} = 1$ limit, a simple calculation reveals that the electron pressure contribution of the kinetic Alfvén wave is completely cancelled by part of the electron pressure anisotropy. This implies that it always dominates the Hall term when $T_e > T_i$ with low $\beta$. Therefore, when $T_e > T_i$, the anisotropy is the dominant mechanism for the entire parameter regime previously thought to be the kinetic Alfvén regime of reconnection [44] - low $\beta$, high in-plane $\beta$ based on $B_{rec}$, and strong guide field (but not strong enough to make the ion Larmor radius smaller than $d_e$). Physically, $T_e$ needs to be smaller than $T_i$ because if it is large enough, it can dominate the electron pressure effect discussed here.

There are physical systems where reconnection in this parameter regime could occur. The solar wind and some tokamaks are low $\beta$ where significant guide fields are expected and $T_e > T_i$ is possible.
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