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ABSTRACT

Vibration Attenuation of Composite Moving Beams using Active Vibration Control Techniques

Gouthami Polina

Applications of axially-moving beams are found in earthquake engineering, robotic arms, conveyor belts, etc. Previous studies have shown that the amplitude of lateral vibration of moving beams is 40 percent higher than that of non-moving beams. In this research, a numerical model based on the finite element method is done to reduce the excess vibrations caused by the axial oscillation of the beam. A computer code is written in MATLAB to accomplish this.

The vibrational amplitude of composite moving beams is reduced by means of an active vibration control technique that employs the piezoelectric effect. Piezoelectric sensors and actuators are added to the beam to sense and control the vibrational response of the moving beam. The sensors and actuators are made to communicate with each other using negative velocity feedback control. Classical laminate plate theory (CLPT), first order shear deformation theory (FSDT) and higher order shear deformation theories (HSDT) are considered for the analysis of composite beams. A consistent formulation is used to reduce the composite plate theory to beams. The governing equations are obtained using variational principles. The displacement constraints are applied through Lagrange multipliers. An overhanging beam is considered for the analysis purpose. First bending mode shape is taken as the initial shape of the beam. Newmark’s time integration scheme is used to generate the controlled response of the beam. The feedback gains are altered to have a desired control at certain time.

Results are presented in terms of tip deflections. The damped response of the CLPT is compared with that of FSDT and HSDT for a specific gain. A parametric study is conducted by varying the frequency of axial oscillation and considering different laminates.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Problem statement

The study of the lateral vibration of a beam oscillating axially relative to fixed supports has applications in robot arms, motion of a support beam under earthquake induced oscillations, operation of a band saw and conveyor belts. The transverse vibrations of isotropic and composite beams undergoing a periodic axial motion relative to two fixed supports have been analyzed. Both these studies indicate that the amplitude of lateral vibration of an axially-moving beam is higher by about 40% than that of a beam without any axial motion. There are a few ways to reduce the amplitude of transverse vibration; one is to increase the stiffness of the beam, but this may increase the cost, add a weight penalty or both. The alternative proposed in the present research is to add piezoelectric layers which can be manipulated to attenuate the amplitude of the transverse vibration. The governing equations of lateral vibration of an axially-oscillating composite-material beam that incorporates the effect of piezoelectric layers are formulated using Hamilton’s principle.

1.2 Literature review

1.2.1 Isotropic moving beams

Buffinton and Kane (1985) studied the response of a uniform beam moving longitudinally over the supports. Governing equations were formulated by assuming the supports as kinematic constraints on unrestrained beam. The beam was discretized using assumed-modes technique. The responses of the beam for different longitudinal motions of the beam were studied.
Lee (1992) studied the response of beam moving over multiple supports. Hamilton’s principle was used for the formulation. Assumed-modes technique was used to solve the equation of motion. Range-Kutta method was employed for numerical integration. The first flexural mode shape was used as the initial shape of the beam. The responses of the beam for different support conditions were studied.

Sreeram and Sivaneri (1997) investigated the lateral response of an isotropic beam oscillating longitudinally over fixed supports. A variational technique was used for formulating the governing equations. The $h-p$ version finite element formulation was developed. Convergence study was performed to find out the number of degree of freedom to produce accurate solution. Lagrange multiplier approach was used for applying the displacement constraints. The results were validated with Buffinton and Kane (1985) and Lee (1992). Time integration techniques such as central difference method, Houbolt’s method, Wilson-theta method and Newmark’s method were used for time integration and it was concluded that accurate results were produced using Wilson-theta method and Newmark’s method.

1.2.2 Laminated beams

Reddy (2004) presented several theories for the analysis of laminated composite plates. Initially, the analysis was based on three dimensional elasticity theories. Later on equivalent single layer (ESL) theories were developed from three dimensional elasticity theories by making assumptions on the state of stress through the thickness. Classical laminate plate theory (CLPT) and first order shear deformation theories (FSDT) are the ESL theories. The CLPT ignores the effect of transverse shear deformation and may be inadequate for many cases. In FSDT, the transverse shear effects were included. The shear stress was assumed to be constant through the thickness. A shear correction factor was introduced to approximately account for the variation of
shear stress through the thickness. Third order shear deformation theory was developed to avoid the approximation of shear stresses. Variational principles were used in obtaining the governing equations.

Singh, Rao, and Iyengar (1991) studied the response of unsymmetric laminated beams for large deflections using Von Karman’s large deflection theory. Classical laminate plate theory, first order shear deformation theory and higher order shear deformation theories were used for formulating composite beams. The analysis was performed using elements having 8, 10, and 12 degrees of freedom per node. Direct-integration techniques were employed for time integration of equations for different boundary conditions, lay ups and slenderness ratios. Responses of isotropic and symmetric laminated beams were also investigated.

Marur and Kant (1998) modeled a beam with seven degrees of freedom per node for the transient analysis of composite and sandwich beams. Cubic interpolation of axial strain, linear interpolation transverse strain and quadratic interpolation of transverse shear strain were assumed. Each layer of the beam is assumed to be in the state of plane stress. A special lumping technique was used for the formation of diagonal mass matrix. The central difference method was used for time integration. Results for the higher order model were compared with the first order shear deformation model.

Lee and Lee (1990) investigated the vibrational characteristics of composite wings of various shapes. Shear deformation theory was used for the formulation. The effect of fiber orientation, aspect ratio, sweep angle and taper ratio of the composite wing on the vibrational characteristics was studied.

Kapania and Ranciti (1989) developed a one-dimensional beam element to perform nonlinear analysis on symmetric and unsymmetric laminated composite beams. The beam
element has two nodes with ten degrees of freedom each. Displacements were interpolated using Hermite functions. It was observed that the in-plane boundary significantly affected the non-linear vibrations. The effect of shear deformation was neglected for nonlinear vibrations and considered for linear vibrations. It was found that the large deflection theory neglecting the shear deformation produced reasonably accurate results for nonlinear analysis of thin beams.

Kapania and Singhvi (1991) studied the free-vibrational characteristics of rectangular and tapered laminated composite plates. The Rayleigh-Ritz method was used for the formulation of equations of motion. Chebyshev polynomials were used to interpolate the displacements. Numerical integration was performed using Gauss quadrature. Analysis was performed for isotropic, specially orthotropic, and symmetrically and unsymmetrically laminated plates.

Chandrasekaran (2000) studied the response of laminated composite beam oscillating axially on fixed supports. Classical laminate plate theory and first order shear deformation theories were used for the formulation of composite beams. Variational principle was used to derive the equations of motion. Lagrange multipliers were used to apply the displacement constraints. Newmark’s time integration technique was used to find the response of the moving beam. The vibrational responses of symmetric and unsymmetric laminated beams were investigated.

Kadivar and Mohebpour (1998) studied the response of unsymmetric laminated composite beams subjected to moving load. Classical laminate plate theory, first-order shear deformation theory and third-order shear deformation theories were used for the formulation of composite beam. Hamilton’s principle was used for deriving the governing equations. Time integration was performed using Newmark’s method. Dynamic responses of symmetric and unsymmetric cross ply laminates were also studied.
Sivaneri and Nagappan and (2012) investigated the response of laminated composite moving beam based on higher-order shear deformation theory. Displacement boundary conditions were applied using Lagrange multiplier approach. Hamilton’s principle was used to derive the equations of motion. Newmark’s time integration method was used to find the response of the beam. Responses of symmetric and unsymmetric laminated moving beams were studied and compared with first order shear deformation model.

1.2.3 **Piezoelectricity**

Bailey and Hubbard (1985) designed an active vibrational damper to attach to a cantilevered beam using distributed piezoelectric actuators. The voltage supplied to the damper was controlled using Lyapunov control technique. The response was compared with linear control-gain and constant-amplitude control models.

Lee (1988) developed an analytical model for the analysis of laminated piezoelectric plates for sensing its stretching, bending, torsion and shearing and controlling its vibrations. Formulation for sensors and actuators were presented. Reciprocal relation between sensors and actuators were presented.

Koconis (1993) developed an analytical model to investigate the response of laminated beams, plates, and shells embedded with piezoelectric actuators due to an applied voltage. Formulation was done using a linear, shallow shell theory. Shear deformation effects were included in the formulation. The Ritz method was used to formulate the equations of motion. Analytical results were compared with experimental results.

Mitchell and Reddy (1994) proposed a hybrid theory for the analysis of laminated composite plates embedded with piezoelectric laminates. Third-order shear deformation theory was used to model the displacements field. The electric potential was discretized along the
thickness direction. The governing equations were derived using Hamilton’s principle. The theory developed here was applied to laminates with thick piezoelectric layers and multi layered piezoelectric laminates.

Saravanos, Heyliger, and Hopkins (1995) presented the mechanics for the analysis of laminated composite plates with integrated sensors and actuators. First-order shear deformation theory was used to represent the displacement variation. Electric potential was assumed to vary linearly through the thickness. Hamilton’s principle was used to formulate the governing equation. Formulations were developed for quasi-static and dynamic responses of thin and thick piezoelectric laminates. Static and free vibrational analyses were also performed.

Donthireddy and Chandrashekhara (1996) developed a mathematical model based on layerwise plate theory for laminated composite beams with piezoelectric actuators. Voltages were supplied to the actuators. Response of the laminated beams due to supplied voltage was studied. The influence of fiber orientations and boundary conditions on the response of the beams was studied.

Reddy (1997) presented the theoretical formulations, Navier solutions and finite element models for laminated composite plates with integrated sensors and actuators based on classical laminated plate theory and shear deformation theories. A negative velocity feedback control that couples the sensors and actuators to actively control the dynamic response of the structure was described.

Balamurugan and Narayanan (2001) studied the active vibration control of a cantilevered beam integrated with piezoelectric sensors and actuators. Euler-Bernoulli beam theory was used for the formulation of beam. Classical control techniques, direct proportional feedback, constant-gain negative-velocity feedback, Lyapunov feedback, optimal control technique, and linear
quadratic regulator (LQR) were presented. The controlled response of a beam for different types of loading was studied.

Narayanan and Balamurugan (2002) proposed an analytical model for laminated composite structures embedded with piezoelectric layers using beam, plate, and shell elements. Pyroelectric effect was considered in addition to the piezoelectric effect during the formulation of governing equations. Several feedback controls; Constant-gain negative-velocity feedback, Lyapunov feedback and linear quadratic regulator were presented for active vibration control and concluded that LQR was effective among the three. Applications of the smart structures were discussed.

Liew et al. (2004) studied the response of laminated composite plates integrated with piezoelectric sensor and actuator patches using element-free Galerkin’s method. First -order shear deformation theory was used to model the displacement fields. Variational principle was used for the governing equations. A simple control technique including both displacement and velocity feedback control was used for active vibration control. The influence of fiber orientation, location of sensor, and actuator patches on the vibration characteristics was studied.

Moita et al. (2004) presented a finite element formulation of thin laminated structures embedded with sensors and actuators for active vibration control. Kirchhoff’s classical laminate plate theory was used for the formulation of composite plates. The structure was discretized using triangular plate or shell elements having 18 displacement degrees of freedom and one electric potential degree of freedom. Negative-velocity feedback was used for active vibration control. Newmark’s method was used for time integration.

1.3 Need for present research
Several researchers have studied active vibration control using piezoelectricity for non-moving plates and beams. Due to wide range of applications of the moving beams in the areas of robotics, flexible manipulators, etc., it is necessary to study the active vibration control of laminated composite moving beams. Present research focusses on reducing the vibration response of an axially oscillating beam over fixed supports using piezoelectric active vibration control.

1.4 Objectives

Objectives of this thesis are:

- To formulate the problem of lateral vibration of an axially-oscillating composite-material beam using Hamilton’s principle that incorporates the presence and effect of piezoelectric layers.
- To develop a numerical model based on the finite element method and incorporate the model in a MATLAB program.
- To investigate the role of piezoelectric sensors and actuators in reducing the excessive vibrational amplitude due to axial motion of the beam.
- To conduct a parametric study by varying the frequency of axial motion and by considering several symmetric and unsymmetric lay-ups.

1.5 Organization of the thesis

- Chapter one includes the problem statement, information on the previous work, and objectives of the thesis.
- Chapter two discusses briefly to the nature and function of piezoelectric materials.
• Chapter three discusses the theoretical formulation to reduce the plate theory to the beam theory and the variational principle applied.

• Chapter four deals with the finite element formulation to derive the equations of motion for the discretized beam.

• Chapter five discuss the numerical methods used in the time domain as applied to the present research.

• Chapter six presents the results and the associated discussions.

• Chapter seven includes the conclusions and future recommendations.
2 PIEZOELECTRIC MATERIALS

The inherent material damping present may not be sufficient to reduce the amplitude of undesirable vibrations. An external source of vibration control is necessary in such a case. The devices that reduce the vibrational amplitude are classified into passive and active vibration control techniques. Passive control is based on energy absorption or dissipation principles and uses friction dampers, shock absorbers, and viscoelastic dampers, etc. In active vibration control, a counteracting force or moment is applied to suppress the vibration. External power sources such as electrical or pneumatic systems are used to provide the counteracting forces. The self-adaptivity property of this technique generates variable control forces or moments for different operating conditions. This chapter provides a brief introduction to the piezoelectric materials.

2.1 Dielectrics

Dielectric materials, made of crystals, when subjected to an electric field undergo deformation due to the movement of positive and negative charges within a crystal. The dielectric crystal lattice is assumed to be made up of cations and anions, bonded by springs. On application of an electric field the cations are displaced along the applied electric field and anions opposite to the electric field leading to the deformation of the material. Dielectrics are classified into two types namely, non-polar and polar.

In non-polar dielectrics, the centers of positive and negative charges coincide inside the atoms and do not possess dipole moment. When an electric field is applied onto these crystals, the centers of positive and negative charges displace and dipole moment is induced. On removal of the electric field, the induced dipole moments disappear. In polar dielectrics, the centers of
positive and negative charges are separated by a distance and they possess a dipole moment. When an electric field is applied onto these crystals, the electric dipoles tend to orient themselves along the electric field.

2.1.1 Piezoelectric materials

Polar dielectric crystals are classified into centrosymmetric (having a center of symmetry) and non centrosymmetric (without a center of symmetry) crystals as shown in Figure 2.1. The cations and anions are assumed to be connected by springs in a crystal. When an electric field is applied onto centrosymmetric crystals, the expansion and contraction caused by the symmetric movement of cations and anions are cancelled between the neighboring springs, resulting in zero net displacement. But, in real case, aharmonicity exists between the chemical bonds resulting in a small deformation of the crystal. The strain calculated in this case is proportional to the square of the applied electric field and is independent of the direction of the electric field. This is known as the electrostrictive effect. As aharmonicity of bonds prevails in all dielectrics, they are in general, electrostrictive.

Non-centrosymmetric crystals, when subjected to an electric field, exhibit a significant deformation due to the asymmetric movement of the ions. These crystals also exhibit electrostrictive effect due to the aharmonicity of bonds, which is small when compared to the asymmetric displacement. These materials are called piezoelectric materials. The strains calculated are directly proportional to the applied electric field. The strain in piezoelectric materials is extensive or compressive depending on the polarity of the field. This is known as “indirect” piezoelectric effect as shown in Figure 2.2. Piezoelectric materials also exhibit a “direct” piezoelectric effect, which means that when the material is subjected to an external
strain by application of the stress/pressure, positive and negative charges are deposited on opposite faces resulting in an electric field across the crystal as shown in Figure 2.3. The direct piezoelectric effect is used in sensors to measure the structural response and inverse piezoelectric effect is used in actuators for vibration control.

Figure 2.1 Classification of dielectric materials

Natural and synthetic piezoelectric materials exhibiting piezoelectric effect are (Dökmeci, 1983):

1. Natural crystals: quartz, rochelle salt, and ammonium phosphate
2. Liquid crystals
3. Noncrystalline materials: rubber, paraffin, glass
4. Textures: wood and bone
5. Synthetic piezoelectric materials:
   a. Piezoceramics: lead zirconate titanate (PZT), barium titanate, lead niobate, and lead lanthanum zirconate titanate (PLZT)
   b. Crystallines: ammonium dihydrogen phosphate and lithium sulfate
   c. Piezoelectric polymer: polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF or PVF₂)
Piezoelectric layers attached to a structure should be flexible and not brittle, so that they do not break during high vibrations. Also, they should not alter the dynamic characteristics of the structure much. For these reasons, piezoelectric layers made of PZT or PVDT are extensively used as sensors and actuators. Also the thickness of the piezoelectric layers should be small enough such that the strains are taken as the outer surface strains of the structure and assumed constant through the thickness of piezoelectric layer.

Piezoelectric ceramic materials exhibit centrosymmetric structure above certain temperature and non centrosymmetric structure below this temperature. This temperature is called the Curie temperature. Below the Curie temperature, the ceramics have randomly oriented dipoles and the net dipole moment is zero. The material is isotropic and does not exhibit piezoelectric effect. The material becomes piezoelectric by polarization. In this process a strong electric field is applied to the material and the dipoles tend to orient parallel to the electric field. The dipoles maintain this orientation even after the electric field is removed. This is called remnant polarization.

![Indirect piezoelectric effect](image)

**Figure 2.2 Indirect piezoelectric effect**
Figure 2.3 Direct piezoelectric effect material

(a) Poled piezoelectric material
(b) Negative voltage is generated due to tensile stress.
(c) Positive voltage is generated due to compressive stress.
3 THEORETICAL FORMULATION

3.1 Introduction

Active vibration control using piezoelectric materials is considered in this research. Sensors or transducers are attached to the structure to measure its dynamic response and the actuators are attached to suppress the level of vibrations. A structure with active vibration control is known as a smart structure.

Composite materials are widely used in engineering applications because of their high strength to weight ratio, corrosion resistance, high fatigue life, etc. Owing to their wide range of applications, different plate theories are developed for the analysis of composite plates. Composite beams are used as structural members where in they are subjected to axial, transverse, and torsional loadings. The formulation of moving composite beams with added piezoelectric layers is carried out in this chapter; a systematic reduction of different plate theories for beam adaptation is also discussed.

Figure 3.1 Coordinate systems for the moving beam
3.2 Moving Beam Coordinate Systems

Consider a beam \( AB \) (Figure 3.1 of length \( L \) is oscillating longitudinally relative to the fixed supports \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) separated by a distance \( d \). An inertial frame \((X, Z)\) is defined at support \( S_1 \). The initial distance between the left end of the beam and the support \( S_1 \) is given by \( X_0 \). The horizontal movement of the beam is represented by \( X_A(t) \) which is always positive. A moving frame \((x, z)\) is defined at the left end of the beam. The transformation between the inertial and moving frames is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    x(t) &= X(t) - X_A(t) \\
    z(t) &= Z(t)
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.1)

The beam is capable of deforming in the longitudinal \((u)\) and the transverse \((w)\) directions. The supports move in the moving frame with respect to the left end of the beam. The relative motion of the supports at any time is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{S_1}(t) &= -X_A(t) \\
    x_{S_2}(t) &= -X_A(t) + d
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.2)

3.3 Beam Motion

The longitudinal motion imparted to the beam is similar to the one assumed by Sreeram and Sivaneri (1997), Chandrasekaran (2000) and Sivaneri and Nagappan (2013). It is given as

\[
X_A(t) = -X_0 + A \sin(\Omega t)
\]  

(3.3)

where \( A \) is the amplitude and \( \Omega \) the frequency of the longitudinal rigid-body motion of the beam. Then the relative motion of the supports in moving coordinates, Eq. (3.2), becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{S_1}(t) &= X_0 - A \sin(\Omega t) \\
    x_{S_2}(t) &= X_0 - A \sin(\Omega t) + d
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.4)
The longitudinal rigid-body velocity and acceleration of the beam are

\[
v_B^L = A \Omega \cos(\Omega t) \\
a_B^L = -A \Omega^2 \sin(\Omega t)
\] (3.5)

### 3.4 Composite Plate Theories

The three prominent laminate plate theories considered in this research are the classical laminate plate theory (CLPT), first-order shear deformation theory (FSDT) and higher-order shear deformation theory (HSDT). For each theory, the systematic reduction to beams is outlined.

#### 3.4.1 Classical Laminate Plate theory

The Classical laminate plate theory is one of the equivalent single layer theories. It is assumed that Kirchhoff’s hypothesis holds in CLPT, stated as below

1. Straight lines perpendicular to mid surface (transverse normal) remain perpendicular after the deformation \((\gamma_{xz} = 0 \text{ and } \gamma_{yz} = 0)\)
2. The transverse normal remains straight after deformation.
3. The transverse normal is inextensible. \((\varepsilon_{x} = 0)\)

The last two assumptions indicate that the transverse displacement \((w)\) is independent of the transverse coordinate \((z)\).

In addition to the Kirchhoff’s hypothesis, the following are assumed for a laminated composite plate:

4. Layers are perfectly bonded.
5. The material is linearly elastic and has two planes of material symmetry.
6. The layers have uniform thickness.
7. The transverse shear stresses on the top and bottom surfaces of the laminate are zero.
8. The strains and displacements are small with moderate rotations.

The inplane and the lateral displacements of a composite plate in the coordinate directions indicated in Fig. 3.2, are represented as

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(x, y, z, t) &= u_o(x, y, t) - z \frac{\partial w_o}{\partial x} \\
  v(x, y, z, t) &= v_o(x, y, t) - z \frac{\partial w_o}{\partial y} \\
  w(x, y, z, t) &= w_o(x, y, t)
\end{align*}
\] (3.6)

where \(u_o\), \(v_o\), and \(w_o\) are the midplane displacements and \(w_o\) is made up of only bending component as the transverse shear deformation is neglected.

![Figure 3.2 Undeformed and deformed geometries of an edge of a plate under Kirchhoff’s assumption for CLPT [Reddy (1997)]](image)

The strains associated with the above displacement field are

\[
\begin{align*}
  \{\varepsilon_x\} &= \{\varepsilon_x^{(0)}\} + z \{\varepsilon_x^{(1)}\} \\
  \{\varepsilon_y\} &= \{\varepsilon_y^{(0)}\} + z \{\varepsilon_y^{(1)}\} \\
  \{Y_{xy}\} &= \{Y_{xy}^{(0)}\} + z \{Y_{xy}^{(1)}\}
\end{align*}
\] (3.7)
where,

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \varepsilon_{x}^{(0)} \} = & \left\{ \frac{\partial u_o}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right. \\
\{ \varepsilon_{y}^{(0)} \} = & \left\{ \frac{\partial v_o}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right. \\
\{ \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \} = & \left\{ \frac{\partial u_o}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_o}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right. \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3.8)

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \varepsilon_{x}^{(1)} \} = & \left\{ -\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \right. \\
\{ \varepsilon_{y}^{(1)} \} = & \left\{ -\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \right. \\
\{ \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \} = & \left\{ -2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} \right. \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3.9)

The \( \{ \varepsilon^{(0)} \} \) are called membrane (axial) or midplane strains and \( \{ \varepsilon^{(1)} \} \) are called flexural (bending) strains. These strains are also called as the Von-Kármán strains and the plate theory associated with these is called as Von-Kármán plate theory.

**CLPT Constitutive equations with Piezoelectric Layers**

The lamina constitutive equations of a \( k^{th} \) piezoelectric lamina coupling the elastic, electric, and piezoelectric field given in the material coordinate system (defined as \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \)) are

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \sigma_1 \}^k = & \left[ Q_{11} Q_{12} Q_{16} \right]^{k} \{ \varepsilon_1 \}^k + \left[ 0 0 0 e_{31} \right]^{k} \{ E_1 \}^k \\
\{ \sigma_2 \}^k = & \left[ Q_{12} Q_{22} Q_{26} \right]^{k} \{ \varepsilon_2 \}^k + \left[ 0 0 0 e_{32} \right]^{k} \{ E_2 \}^k \\
\{ \sigma_6 \}^k = & \left[ Q_{16} Q_{26} Q_{66} \right]^{k} \{ \gamma_6 \}^k + \left[ 0 0 0 e_{33} \right]^{k} \{ E_3 \}^k \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3.9)

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ D_1 \}^k = & \left[ 0 0 0 \right]^{k} \{ \varepsilon_1 \}^k + \left[ \epsilon_{11} 0 0 \right]^{k} \{ E_1 \}^k \\
\{ D_2 \}^k = & \left[ 0 0 0 \right]^{k} \{ \varepsilon_2 \}^k + \left[ 0 \epsilon_{22} 0 \right]^{k} \{ E_2 \}^k \\
\{ D_3 \}^k = & \left[ \epsilon_{31} e_{32} 0 \right]^{k} \{ \gamma_6 \}^k + \left[ 0 0 \epsilon_{33} \right]^{k} \{ E_3 \}^k \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3.10)

where \( \sigma_i, \varepsilon_i, D_i, \) and \( E_i \) are the stress, strain, dielectric displacement, and the applied electric field, respectively; \( Q_{ij} \) are the material stiffness matrix components; \( e_{ij} \) are the piezoelectric moduli; and \( \epsilon_{ij} \) are the dielectric permittivity for the \( k^{th} \) lamina in material coordinate system. The electric
field is given in terms of voltage \((\varphi)\) and thickness of the layer \((t)\) as \(E = \frac{-\varphi}{t}\). For a non-piezoelectric layer the terms corresponding to the electric field disappear.

The piezoelectric moduli can expressed in terms of the material stiffness matrix, \([Q]\), and dielectric constant matrix, \([d]\) as

\[
[e] = [Q][d]^T
\]

or

\[
[e]^T = [d][Q]
\]

On transformation to the laminate coordinate system, \((x, y, z)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\{\sigma_x\}^k &= \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & \bar{Q}_{12} & Q_{16} \\ \bar{Q}_{12} & Q_{22} & \bar{Q}_{26} \\ Q_{16} & \bar{Q}_{26} & Q_{66} \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix}^k - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \bar{e}_{31} \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{e}_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{e}_{36} \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \end{bmatrix}^k \\
\{D_x\}^k &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{e}_{31} & \bar{e}_{32} & \bar{e}_{36} \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x \\ \varepsilon_y \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{bmatrix}^k + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{e}_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{e}_{33} \end{bmatrix}^k \begin{bmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \end{bmatrix}^k
\end{align*}
\]

\(\bar{Q}_{ij}\) are the stiffness matrix components in laminate coordinate system; \(\bar{e}_{ij}\) are the piezoelectric moduli; and \(\bar{e}_{ij}\) are the dielectric permittivity for the \(k^{th}\) lamina in laminate coordinate system.

The inplane force resultants are defined as

\[
\begin{bmatrix} N_x \\ N_y \\ N_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \\ \sigma_y \\ \tau_{xy} \end{bmatrix} dz
\]

Where \(h\) is the thickness of the laminate.

These are the forces acting per unit length at the mid plane. Similarly the moment resultants are defined as
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
M_x \\
M_y \\
M_z
\end{pmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\tau_{xy}
\end{pmatrix} z \, dz
\]  

(3.14)

The stress resultants form a system that is quasistatically equivalent to the stress system on a laminate, but are applied at the geometric mid plane. The laminate constitutive equations in terms of force and moment resultants are

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
N_x \\
N_y \\
N_{xy}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)}
\end{pmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix} -
\begin{pmatrix}
N_x^p \\
N_y^p \\
N_{xy}^p
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(3.15)

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
M_x \\
M_y \\
M_{xy}
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)}
\end{pmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\
D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\
D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix} -
\begin{pmatrix}
M_x^p \\
M_y^p \\
M_{xy}^p
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \([A]\) is the extension stiffness matrix, \([B]\) is the bending-extension coupling matrix and \([D]\) is the bending stiffness matrix; \(N^p\) and \(M^p\) are the piezoelectric stress resultants. In terms of lamina properties, the quantities in Eq. (3.15) are written as
\[ A_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\overline{Q}_{ij})_k t_k \]

\[ B_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\overline{Q}_{ij})_k t_k \bar{z}_k \]

\[ D_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\overline{Q}_{ij})_k \left( t_k \bar{z}_k^2 - \frac{t_k^3}{12} \right) \]  
(3.16)

\[ \{N^p\} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\overline{Q})_k t_k [d]_k^T \{E\}_k = - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\overline{Q})_k [d]_k^T \{ \varphi \}_k \]

\[ \{M^p\} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\overline{Q})_k t_k \bar{z}_k [d]_k^T \{E\}_k = - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\overline{Q})_k \bar{z}_k [d]_k^T \{ \varphi \}_k \]

where \( t_k \) is the thickness of the \( k^{th} \) layer and \( \bar{z}_k \) is the distance from mid plane to the middle of the \( k^{th} \) layer, and \( n \) is the total number of layers.

3.4.2 First-order shear deformation theory:

The first order shear deformation theory is an improvement over the CLPT. The CLPT neglects the transverse shear strain, which may lead to quantifiable errors in composite plates and beams due to the low shear modulus of composites. In FSDT the perpendicularity of the transverse normal to the midplane is relaxed and as a result shear strains are included in the formulation. The displacement field can be expressed as

\[ u = u_o + z \phi_x \]

\[ v = v_o + z \phi_y \]

\[ w = w_b + w_s \]  
(3.17)

where \( u_o \) and \( v_o \) are the in-plane displacements of the mid plane; \( w_b \) and \( w_s \) are the bending and shear components of the transverse displacement; and \( \phi_x \) and \( \phi_y \) are the rotations of the transverse normal with respect to the \( y \) and \( x \) axes respectively as shown in Fig. (3.3).
Figure 3.3 Undeformed and deformed geometries of an edge of a plate under the assumptions of first-order plate theory [Reddy (1997)]

From Figure 3.3

\[ \phi_x = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \gamma_{xz} \]
\[ \phi_y = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \gamma_{yz} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.18)

where \( \gamma_{xz} \) and \( \gamma_{yz} \) are the transverse shear strains due to the relaxation of perpendicularity of the transverse normal and are expressed as \( \partial w_s/\partial x \) and \( \partial w_s/\partial y \) respectively. Thus

\[ \phi_x = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.18a)
\[ \phi_y = -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} \]

The strains associated with the above displacement field are
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x \\
\varepsilon_y \\
\gamma_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)}
\end{bmatrix} + z \begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{bmatrix} \tag{3.19}
\]

where,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial u_o}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x} \right)^2 \\
\frac{\partial v_o}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} \right)^2 \\
\frac{\partial u_o}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_o}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \\
-\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} \\
-2 \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

**FSDT Constitutive equations with Piezoelectric Layers**

The lamina constitutive equations of the \( k \)th piezoelectric lamina coupling the elastic, electric, and piezoelectric field in material coordinate system (defined as \( x_1, x_2, x_3 \)) are

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_1^k \\
\sigma_2 \\
\sigma_6
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{16} \\\nQ_{12} & Q_{22} & Q_{26} \\\nQ_{16} & Q_{26} & Q_{66}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^k \\
\varepsilon_y^k \\
\gamma_{xy}^k
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}
e_{31} & 0 & 0 \\
e_{32} & 0 & 0 \\
e_{33} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
E_1^k \\
E_2^k \\
E_3^k
\end{bmatrix} \tag{3.21}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_4^k \\
\sigma_5
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
Q_{44} & Q_{45} \\\nQ_{45} & Q_{55}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_4^k \\
\gamma_5^k
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
e_{14} & e_{24} & 0 \\
e_{15} & e_{25} & 0 \\
e_3 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
E_1^k \\
E_2^k \\
E_3^k
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
D_1^k \\
D_2 \\
D_3
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
e_{31} & e_{32} & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_1^k \\
\varepsilon_2^k \\
\gamma_{xy}^k
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
e_{11} & 0 & 0 \\
e_{22} & 0 & 0 \\
e_{33} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
E_1^k \\
E_2^k \\
E_3^k
\end{bmatrix} \tag{3.22}
\]

For non-piezoelectric layers the terms corresponding to electric field disappear. On transformation to the laminate coordinate system \((x, y, z)\),
In addition to the stress resultants defined earlier under CLPT, the shear stress resultants \( Q_x, Q_y \) are defined as

\[
(Q_x, Q_y) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\sigma_{x'y'}, \sigma_{xy}) \, dz \tag{3.24}
\]

In the present research \( \bar{e}_{14}, \bar{e}_{24}, \bar{e}_{15} \) and \( \bar{e}_{25} \) are taken as zero as the piezoelectric layer is formulated to have coupling only in the normal directions. The laminate constitutive equations in terms of force and moment resultant are

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ N_x \} = & \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} \\ A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} \\ A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} \end{bmatrix} \{ \varepsilon^{(0)}_x \} + \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\ B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\ B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} \end{bmatrix} \{ \varepsilon^{(1)}_x \} - \{ N^P_x \} \\
\{ N_y \} = & \begin{bmatrix} A_{44} & A_{45} & A_{46} \\ A_{45} & A_{55} & A_{56} \\ A_{46} & A_{56} & A_{66} \end{bmatrix} \{ \varepsilon^{(0)}_y \} + \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\ D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\ D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66} \end{bmatrix} \{ \varepsilon^{(1)}_y \} - \{ N^P_y \} \\
\{ N_{xy} \} = & \begin{bmatrix} A_{14} & A_{15} & A_{16} \\ A_{41} & A_{45} & A_{46} \\ A_{51} & A_{55} & A_{56} \end{bmatrix} \{ \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \} + \begin{bmatrix} D_{14} & D_{15} & D_{16} \\ D_{41} & D_{45} & D_{46} \\ D_{51} & D_{55} & D_{56} \end{bmatrix} \{ \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \} - \{ N^P_{xy} \} \\
\{ M_x \} = & \begin{bmatrix} A_{14} & A_{15} & A_{16} \\ A_{44} & A_{45} & A_{46} \\ A_{54} & A_{55} & A_{56} \end{bmatrix} \{ \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \} + \begin{bmatrix} D_{14} & D_{15} & D_{16} \\ D_{44} & D_{45} & D_{46} \\ D_{54} & D_{55} & D_{56} \end{bmatrix} \{ \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \} - \{ M^P_x \} \\
\{ M_y \} = & \begin{bmatrix} A_{24} & A_{25} & A_{26} \\ A_{54} & A_{55} & A_{56} \\ A_{64} & A_{65} & A_{66} \end{bmatrix} \{ \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \} + \begin{bmatrix} D_{24} & D_{25} & D_{26} \\ D_{54} & D_{55} & D_{56} \\ D_{64} & D_{65} & D_{66} \end{bmatrix} \{ \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \} - \{ M^P_y \} \\
\{ M_{xy} \} = & \begin{bmatrix} A_{24} & A_{25} & A_{26} \\ A_{54} & A_{55} & A_{56} \\ A_{64} & A_{65} & A_{66} \end{bmatrix} \{ \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \} + \begin{bmatrix} D_{24} & D_{25} & D_{26} \\ D_{54} & D_{55} & D_{56} \\ D_{64} & D_{65} & D_{66} \end{bmatrix} \{ \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \} - \{ M^P_{xy} \}
\end{align*}
\]
It is seen that the transverse shear strains are constant through the laminate thickness and so are the stresses. But from the elementary theory of homogenous beams, the shear stress variation is parabolic through the beam thickness. To account for this difference, a correction factor $K$ is multiplied to the transverse shear stresses $Q_y$ and $Q_x$.

The shear stiffness coefficients are obtained as

$$A_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{z_{k-1}}^{z_k} Q_{ij}^k dz \quad \text{for } i,j = 4,5 \quad (3.26)$$

### 3.4.3 Higher order shear deformation theory

In higher order shear deformation theory (HSDT), the straightness and perpendicularity of the transverse normal after deformation is relaxed as shown in Fig. (3.4) and the displacements are expressed as cubic function of the thickness coordinate. The reason for expanding the displacements up to the cubic term of the thickness coordinate is to have a quadratic variation of the transverse shear stresses through the thickness of the ply, which is more inline with the expected distribution.

The displacement field can be expressed as [Reddy 2004]

$$u(x,y,z,t) = u_o(x,y,t) + z\phi_x + z^2\theta_x + z^3\lambda_x$$

$$v(x,y,z,t) = v_o(x,y,t) + z\phi_y + z^2\theta_y + z^3\lambda_y \quad (3.27)$$

$$w = w_o$$

where $\phi_x, \phi_y, \theta_x, \theta_y, \lambda_x$ and $\lambda_y$ are functions to be determined.

On imposing the condition that the transverse shear stresses $\tau_{yz}$ and $\tau_{xz}$ vanish on the top and bottom surface of the lamina $(z = \pm \frac{h}{2})$, the unknown functions in Eq. (3.27) are determined as

$$\lambda_x = -c_1 \left( \phi_x + \frac{\partial w_o}{\partial x} \right) \quad (3.28)$$
\[ \lambda_y = -c_1 \left( \phi_y + \frac{\partial w_o}{\partial y} \right) \]
\[ \theta_x = 0 \]
\[ \theta_y = 0 \]

Therefore the displacement distribution become,

\[ u(x, y, z, t) = u_o(x, y, t) + z\phi_x(x, y, t) - z^3 c_1 \left( \phi_x + \frac{\partial w_o}{\partial x} \right) \]
\[ v(x, y, z, t) = v_o(x, y, t) + z\phi_y(x, y, t) - z^3 c_1 \left( \phi_y + \frac{\partial w_o}{\partial y} \right) \]
\[ w = w_o = w_b + w_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.29)

where \( c_1 = 4/3h^2 \)

\[ \phi_x = -\frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} \]
\[ \phi_y = -\frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} \]

\( w_b \) is the bending component and \( w_s \) is the shear component.

Setting \( c_1 = 0 \) in the above displacement field, it reduces to FSDT
Figure 3.4 Deformation of transverse normal for CLPT, FSDT and HSDT [Reddy (1997)]

The strains associated with the above displacement field are

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y, \gamma_{xy} \right\} &= \left\{ \varepsilon_x^{(0)}, \varepsilon_x^{(1)}, \varepsilon_x^{(3)} \right\} + z \left\{ \varepsilon_y^{(0)}, \varepsilon_y^{(1)}, \varepsilon_y^{(3)} \right\} + z^3 \left\{ \gamma_{xy}^{(0)}, \gamma_{xy}^{(1)}, \gamma_{xy}^{(3)} \right\} \\
\gamma_{yz} &= \gamma_{yz}^{(0)} + z^2 \gamma_{yz}^{(2)} \\
\left\{ \varepsilon_x^{(0)}, \varepsilon_y^{(0)}, \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \right\} &= \left\{ \frac{\partial u_o}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x} \right)^2, \frac{\partial v_o}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} \right)^2, \frac{\partial u_o}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v_o}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_o}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w_o}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} \right\} 
\end{align*}
\]

(3.30)
HSDT Constitutive Equations with Piezoelectric Layers

The lamina constitutive equations of a $k^{th}$ piezoelectric lamina coupling the elastic, electric and piezoelectric field in material coordinate system (defined as $x_1, x_2, x_3$) are

$$
\begin{align*}
\{\varepsilon^{(1)}_x\} = & \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \\ -\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} \\ -2\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \end{pmatrix} \\
\{\varepsilon^{(1)}_y\} = & \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} \\ -2\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \end{pmatrix} \\
\{\varepsilon^{(1)}_{xy}\} = & \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} \\ -2\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}
$$

\begin{align*}
\{\varepsilon^{(3)}_x\} = & \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x^2} \\ -\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial y^2} \\ -2\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x \partial y} \end{pmatrix} \\
\{\varepsilon^{(3)}_y\} = & \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x \partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial y^2} \\ -2\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x \partial y} \end{pmatrix} \\
\{\varepsilon^{(3)}_{xy}\} = & \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x \partial y} \\ -\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial y^2} \\ -2\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x \partial y} \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\{y^{(0)}_{yz}\} = & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} \\
\{y^{(0)}_{xz}\} = & \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\{y^{(2)}_{yz}\} = & -3c_1 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\{\sigma_1\}^k = & \begin{bmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{16} \\ Q_{12} & Q_{22} & Q_{26} \\ Q_{16} & Q_{26} & Q_{66} \end{bmatrix}^k \{\varepsilon_1\}^k - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & e_{31} \\ 0 & 0 & e_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^k \{E_1\}^k \\
\{\sigma_2\}^k = & \begin{bmatrix} Q_{44} & Q_{45} \\ Q_{45} & Q_{55} \end{bmatrix}^k \{\gamma_4\}^k - \begin{bmatrix} e_{14} & e_{24} & 0 \\ e_{15} & e_{25} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^k \{E_1\}^k
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\{\sigma_4\}^k = & \begin{bmatrix} Q_{44} & Q_{45} \\ Q_{45} & Q_{55} \end{bmatrix}^k \{\gamma_4\}^k - \begin{bmatrix} e_{14} & e_{24} & 0 \\ e_{15} & e_{25} & 0 \end{bmatrix}^k \{E_1\}^k
\end{align*}
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} D_1^k \\ D_2^k \\ D_3^k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{31} & e_{32} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1^k \\ \varepsilon_2^k \\ \gamma_6^k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_{14} & e_{15} \\ e_{24} & e_{25} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_4^k \\ \gamma_5^k \end{bmatrix} \\
+ & \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_1^k \\ E_2^k \\ E_3^k \end{bmatrix} 
\end{align*}
\]

(3.33)

For non-piezoelectric layers, the terms corresponding to the electric field disappear. The above equations in laminate coordinate system are

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x^k \\ \sigma_y^k \\ \tau_{xy}^k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{11} & \bar{Q}_{12} & \bar{Q}_{16} \\ \bar{Q}_{12} & \bar{Q}_{22} & \bar{Q}_{26} \\ \bar{Q}_{16} & \bar{Q}_{26} & \bar{Q}_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x^k \\ \varepsilon_y^k \\ \gamma_{xy}^k \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \tilde{e}_{31}^k \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{e}_{32}^k \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{e}_{36}^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_x^k \\ E_y^k \\ E_z^k \end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix} \tau_{yz}^k \\ \tau_{xz}^k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Q}_{44} & \bar{Q}_{45} \\ \bar{Q}_{45} & \bar{Q}_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{yz}^k \\ \gamma_{xz}^k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{e}_{14} & \tilde{e}_{24} & 0 \\ \tilde{e}_{15} & \tilde{e}_{25} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_x^k \\ E_y^k \\ E_z^k \end{bmatrix} 
\end{align*}
\]

(3.34)

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} D_x^k \\ D_y^k \\ D_z^k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ e_{31} & e_{32} & e_{36} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x^k \\ \varepsilon_y^k \\ \gamma_{xy}^k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{e}_{14} & \tilde{e}_{15} \\ \tilde{e}_{24} & \tilde{e}_{25} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{yz}^k \\ \gamma_{xz}^k \end{bmatrix} \\
+ & \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E_x^k \\ E_y^k \\ E_z^k \end{bmatrix} 
\end{align*}
\]

The additional stress resultants are defined as

\[
\begin{align*}
(P_x, P_y, P_{xy}) &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \tau_{xy}) z^3 dz \\
(R_x, R_y) &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\tau_{xz}, \tau_{yz}) z^2 dz 
\end{align*}
\]

(3.35)

where \((P_x, P_y, P_{xy}, R_x, R_y)\) are higher-order stress resultants.

The relation between the stress resultants and the strains are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\{N\} &= \begin{bmatrix} A & B & E \end{bmatrix} \{e^{(0)}\} - \begin{bmatrix} N^p \end{bmatrix} \\
\{M\} &= \begin{bmatrix} B & D & F \end{bmatrix} \{e^{(1)}\} \\
\{P\} &= \begin{bmatrix} E & F & H \end{bmatrix} \{e^{(2)}\}
\end{align*}
\] (3.36)

\[
\begin{align*}
\{Q\} &= \begin{bmatrix} A & D \end{bmatrix} \{y^{(0)}\} \\
\{R\} &= \begin{bmatrix} D & F \end{bmatrix} \{y^{(2)}\}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\{P\} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\bar{Q})_k t_k \bar{Z}_k \{d\}^T_k \{E\}_k = -\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\bar{Q})_k \bar{Z}_k \{d\}^T_k \{\varphi\}_k
\] (3.37)

The \([E]\), \([F]\) and \([H]\) are higher-order stiffness coefficients. These coefficient matrices are calculated from

\[
(E_{ij}, F_{ij}, H_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{z_{k-1}}^{z_k} \bar{Q}_{ij} (z^3, z^4, z^6) dz \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 6
\] (3.38)

Shear stiffness coefficients are obtained as

\[
(A_{ij}, D_{ij}, F_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{z_{k-1}}^{z_k} Q_{ij} (1, z^2, z^4) dz \quad \text{for } i, j = 4, 5
\] (3.39)

### 3.5 Hamilton’s principle

The governing equation of motion is derived from Hamilton’s principle, which is stated as

\[
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\delta U - \delta W - \delta T) dt = 0
\] (3.40)

The virtual strain energy \(\delta U\) is due to mechanical and electrical strain energy components. Eq. (3.40) can be written as

\[
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( (\delta U_M - \delta U_E) - \delta W - \delta T \right) dt = 0
\] (3.41)

where \(\delta U_M\) is the virtual mechanical strain energy, \(\delta U_E\) is the virtual electrical strain energy, \(\delta W\) is the virtual work done, and \(\delta T\) is the virtual kinetic energy of the system.
3.6 Virtual mechanical strain energy for the plate

The virtual mechanical strain energy of a plate is given by

$$\delta U_M = \iiint_V (\sigma_x \delta \epsilon_x + \sigma_y \delta \epsilon_y + \tau_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy} + \tau_{xz} \delta \gamma_{xz} + \tau_{yz} \delta \gamma_{yz}) dV \quad (3.42)$$

This can be written in terms of the stress resultants and virtual strains depending on the plate theory in consideration.

3.6.1 Reduction of Plate Equations to Beam in a Consistent Manner for CLPT [Chandrasekaran (2000)]

Combining the force and moment resultants in Eq. (3.16), the following equation is obtained.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
N_x \\
N_y \\
N_{xy} \\
M_x \\
M_y \\
M_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} \\
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_y^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}
N_x^P \\
N_y^P \\
N_{xy}^P \\
M_x^P \\
M_y^P \\
M_{xy}^P
\end{bmatrix} \quad (3.43)$$

When $N_y$ and $M_y$ are set to zero, Eq. (3.43) becomes (upon reordering)

$$\begin{bmatrix}
N_x \\
N_{xy} \\
M_x \\
M_{xy} \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{16} & A_{12} & B_{12} \\
A_{16} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{66} & A_{26} & B_{26} \\
B_{11} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{16} & B_{12} & B_{12} \\
B_{16} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{66} & B_{26} & B_{26} \\
A_{12} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{26} & A_{22} & B_{22} \\
B_{12} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{26} & B_{22} & B_{22}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\epsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_y^{(1)}
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}
N_x^P \\
N_{xy}^P \\
M_x^P \\
M_{xy}^P \\
N_y^P \\
M_y^P
\end{bmatrix} \quad (3.44)$$
Introducing the notation $[S^{11}]$, $[S^{12}]$, $[S^{21}]$ and $[S^{22}]$ for the partitions in the $6 \times 6$ matrix of Eq. (3.44),

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
N_x \\
N_{xy} \\
M_x \\
M_{xy} \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
[S^{11}] & [S^{12}] \\
[S^{21}] & [S^{22}] \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(1)} \\
\end{pmatrix}
- \begin{pmatrix}
N_x^p \\
N_{xy}^p \\
M_x^p \\
M_{xy}^p \\
N_y^p \\
M_y^p \\
\end{pmatrix}
\] (3.45)

\[
[S^{11}] = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{16} \\
A_{16} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{66} \\
B_{11} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{16} \\
B_{16} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{66} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (3.46)

\[
[S^{12}] = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{12} & B_{12} \\
A_{26} & B_{26} \\
B_{12} & D_{12} \\
B_{26} & D_{26} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\] (3.46)

\[
[S^{22}] = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{22} & B_{22} \\
B_{22} & D_{22} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[S^{21}] = [S^{12}]^T
\]

Introducing the notation $\{\{\}\}_L$ and $\{\{\}\}_T$ to represent the inplane quantities except in the y direction and the ones in y direction. The piezoelectric stress resultants are seperated for the actuator and the sensor layers. The actuator and the sensor voltages ($\varphi_a, \varphi_s$) are taken out for simplification purpose.

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
N_x \\
N_{xy} \\
M_x \\
M_{xy} \\
0 \\
0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
[S^{11}] & [S^{12}] \\
[S^{21}] & [S^{22}] \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\{\varepsilon_L\} \\
\{\varepsilon_T\} \\
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\{N^{PL}\} \\
\{N^{PT}\} \\
\end{pmatrix}_a \varphi_a + \begin{pmatrix}
\{N^{PL}\} \\
\{N^{PT}\} \\
\end{pmatrix}_s \varphi_s
\] (3.47)
\[ \{ \varepsilon_L \} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\ \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \\ \varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\ \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \{ \varepsilon_T \} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\ \varepsilon_y^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}, \]

\[ \{ N^{PL} \}_a = -\frac{1}{\varphi_a} \begin{bmatrix} N_x^p \\ N_{xy}^p \\ M_x^p \\ M_{xy}^p \end{bmatrix}, \quad \{ N^{PT} \}_a = -\frac{1}{\varphi_a} \begin{bmatrix} N_y^p \\ M_y^p \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.48) \]

\[ \{ N^{PL} \}_s = -\frac{1}{\varphi_s} \begin{bmatrix} N_x^p \\ N_{xy}^p \\ M_x^p \\ M_{xy}^p \end{bmatrix}, \quad \{ N^{PT} \}_s = -\frac{1}{\varphi_s} \begin{bmatrix} N_y^p \\ M_y^p \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.49) \]

The subscripts ‘s’ and ‘a’ denote sensor and actuator respectively.

Eliminating the last two rows of Eq. (3.44),

\[ \begin{bmatrix} N_x \\ N_{xy} \\ M_x \\ M_{xy} \end{bmatrix} = [\bar{S}][\varepsilon_L] + \{ \bar{S}_p \}_a \varphi_a + \{ \bar{S}_p \}_s \varphi_s \quad (3.50) \]

where \([\bar{S}] = [S^{11}] - [S^{12}][S^{22}]^{-1}[S^{21}]\)

\[ \{ \bar{S}_p \}_a = \{ N^{PL} \}_a - [S^{12}][S^{22}]^{-1}\{ N^{PT} \}_a \]

\[ \{ \bar{S}_p \}_s = \{ N^{PL} \}_s - [S^{12}][S^{22}]^{-1}\{ N^{PT} \}_s \]

The virtual mechanical strain energy expression of Eq. (3.42) for a beam becomes

\[ \delta U_M = b \int_0^L \left( N_x \delta \varepsilon_x^{(0)} + N_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} + M_x \delta \varepsilon_x^{(1)} + M_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \right) dx \quad (3.50) \]

where \(b\) is the width of the beam and \(L\) is the length of the beam.

The virtual quantities of the strains in Eq. (3.8) can be written as
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \varepsilon_{xx}^{(0)} \\
\delta \gamma_{xy}^{(0)}
\end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix}
\delta u' + w' \delta w' \\
\delta y_0
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \varepsilon_{xx}^{(1)} \\
\delta \gamma_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix}
-\delta w''' \\
-2\delta w'''
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\] (3.51)

The \((\cdot)'\), \((\cdot)''\) represent the partial derivative with respect to \(x\) and \(y\), respectively and \(y_0\) is the inplane shear strain. The virtual strain energy is expressed as a function of displacements

\[
\delta U_M = b \int_0^l \left( N_x (\delta u'_0 + w' \delta w') + N_{xy} \delta y_0 + M_x (-\delta w''') + M_{xy} (-2 \delta w''') \right) dx
\] (3.52)

3.6.2 Reduction of Plate Equations to Beam in a Consistent Manner for FSDT

Combining the inplane stress results in Eq. (3.25), the following equation is obtained.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
N_x \\
N_y \\
N_{xy} \\
M_x \\
M_y \\
M_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} \\
B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{bmatrix} -
\begin{bmatrix}
N_x^P \\
N_y^P \\
N_{xy}^P \\
M_x^P \\
M_y^P \\
M_{xy}^P
\end{bmatrix}
\] (3.53)

Setting \(N_y\) and \(M_y\) to zero and rearranging the elements of Eq. (3.53)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
N_x \\
N_{xy} \\
M_x \\
M_{xy} \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{16} & A_{12} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
A_{16} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{66} & A_{26} & B_{26} & B_{66} \\
B_{11} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{16} & B_{12} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\
B_{16} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{66} & B_{26} & D_{26} & D_{66} \\
A_{12} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{26} & A_{22} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
B_{12} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{26} & B_{22} & D_{22} & D_{26}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{bmatrix} -
\begin{bmatrix}
N_x^P \\
N_{xy}^P \\
M_x^P \\
M_{xy}^P \\
N_y^P \\
M_y^P
\end{bmatrix}
\] (3.54)
Reducing the plate formulation to beam for FSDT for inplane stresses is same as CLPT and the final equation is given as,

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
N_x \\ N_{xy} \\ M_x \\ M_{xy}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
S^{11} & S^{12} \\
S^{21} & S^{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_x^{(0)} \\ \varepsilon_{xy}^{(0)} \\ \varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\ \varepsilon_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix}
N_x^p \\ N_{xy}^p \\ M_x^p \\ M_{xy}^p
\end{pmatrix}
\] (3.55)

The transverse shear strain in Eq. (3.25) can be written as

\[
\{\bar{S}\} = \{S^{11}\} - \{S^{12}\}[S^{22}]^{-1}\{S^{21}\}
\]

\[
\{\bar{S}_p\}_a = \{N^{PL}\}_a - \{S^{12}\}[S^{22}]^{-1}\{N^{PT}\}_a
\]

\[
\{\bar{S}_p\}_s = \{N^{PL}\}_s - \{S^{12}\}[S^{22}]^{-1}\{N^{PT}\}_s
\]

The transverse shearstrain in Eq. (3.25) can be written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
Q_x
\end{bmatrix} = K \begin{bmatrix}
A_{44} & A_{45} \\
A_{45} & A_{55}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
Y_{yz} \\
Y_{xz}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (3.57)

Then Eq. (3.57) reduces to

\[Q_x = KA^*\gamma_{xz}\] (3.58)

Where \(A^* = (A_{55} - A_{45}^2 / A_{44})\) and \(K\) is the shear correction factor.

The correction factor \(K\) is computed such that the strain energy due to the transverse shears is equal to the strain energy due to true transverse shears predicted by the three dimensional elasticity theory. For a rectangular beam it is taken as \(5/6\).

The virtual strain energy expression for a beam becomes
\[
\delta U_M = b \int_0^L \left( N_x \delta \varepsilon_{xx}^{(0)} + N_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} + M_x \delta \varepsilon_x^{(1)} + M_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \right) + Q_x \delta \gamma_{xz} \, dx
\]

(3.59)

The virtual quantities of the strains in Eq. (3.20) can be written as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \varepsilon_{xx}^{(0)} \\
\delta \gamma_{xy}^{(0)}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\delta u_o' + w'_b \delta w'_b + w'_s \delta w'_s \\
\delta \gamma_o
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \varepsilon_{xx}^{(1)} \\
\delta \gamma_{xy}^{(1)}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-\delta w''_b \\
-2\delta w''_y
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(3.60)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\delta \gamma_{xz}^{(0)}
\end{bmatrix} = \{ \delta w'_s \}
\]

Substituting the above expression in the strain energy expression [Eq. (3.59)], we get

\[
\delta U_M = b \int_0^L \left( N_x (\delta u_o' + w'_b \delta w'_b + w'_s \delta w'_s) + N_{xy} \delta \gamma_o + M_x (-\delta w''_b) 
\right.

\[
\left. + M_{xy} (-2 \delta w''_y) + Q_x \delta w'_s \right) \, dx
\]

(3.61)

3.6.3 Reduction of Plate Equations to Beam in a Consistent Manner for HSDT (Nagappan, 2004)

Setting \( N_y, M_y \) and \( P_y \) and rearranging the elements of inplane stress resultants of Eq. (3.36)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
N_x \\
N_{xy} \\
M_x \\
M_{xy} \\
P_x \\
P_{xy} \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{16} & E_{11} & E_{16} & E_{12} & A_{12} & B_{12} & E_{12} \\
A_{16} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{66} & E_{16} & E_{66} & E_{26} & A_{26} & B_{26} & E_{26} \\
B_{11} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{16} & F_{11} & F_{16} & F_{12} & D_{12} & F_{12} & F_{12} \\
B_{16} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{66} & F_{16} & F_{66} & F_{26} & B_{26} & D_{26} & F_{26} \\
E_{11} & E_{16} & F_{11} & F_{16} & H_{11} & H_{16} & H_{12} & E_{12} & F_{12} & H_{12} \\
E_{16} & E_{66} & F_{16} & F_{66} & H_{16} & H_{66} & H_{26} & E_{26} & F_{26} & H_{26} \\
A_{12} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{26} & E_{12} & E_{26} & E_{22} & A_{22} & B_{22} & E_{22} \\
B_{12} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{26} & F_{12} & F_{26} & F_{22} & B_{22} & D_{22} & F_{22} \\
E_{12} & E_{26} & F_{12} & F_{26} & H_{12} & H_{26} & H_{22} & E_{22} & F_{22} & H_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{x0}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \\
\varepsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_{x0}^{(3)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(3)} \\
\varepsilon_y^{(1)} \\
\varepsilon_{y0}^{(3)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(3.62)

Eliminating the last 3 rows,
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
N_x \\
N_{xy} \\
M_x \\
M_{xy} \\
P_x \\
P_{xy}
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
T_{11}^{11} & T_{11}^{12} & \{ \{ \epsilon_L \} \} \\
T_{21}^{11} & T_{22}^{12} & \{ \{ \epsilon_T \} \}
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\{ \{ N^{PL} \} \}_a 
\varphi_a 
+ 
\{ \{ N^{PL} \} \}_s 
\varphi_s
\]  
(3.63)

where

\[
[T^{11}] = 
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{16} & E_{11} & E_{16} \\
A_{16} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{66} & E_{16} & E_{66} \\
B_{11} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{16} & F_{11} & F_{16} \\
B_{16} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{66} & F_{16} & F_{66} \\
E_{11} & E_{16} & F_{11} & F_{16} & H_{11} & H_{16} \\
E_{16} & E_{66} & F_{16} & F_{66} & H_{16} & H_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[T^{12}] = 
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{12} & B_{12} & E_{12} \\
A_{26} & B_{26} & E_{26} \\
B_{12} & D_{12} & F_{12} \\
B_{26} & D_{26} & F_{26} \\
E_{12} & F_{12} & H_{12} \\
E_{26} & F_{26} & H_{26}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
[T^{21}] = [T^{12}]^T
\]

\[
[T^{22}] = 
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{22} & B_{22} & E_{22} \\
B_{22} & D_{22} & F_{22} \\
E_{22} & F_{22} & H_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\{ \epsilon^L \} = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_x^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_x^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(1)} \\
\epsilon_x^{(3)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(3)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\{ \epsilon^T \} = \begin{pmatrix}
\epsilon_y^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(0)} \\
\epsilon_y^{(1)} \\
\gamma_{xy}^{(3)}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
The reduced beam equation becomes

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
N_x & N_{xy} \\
N_{xy} & M_x \\
M_x & M_{xy} \\
P_x & P_{xy}
\end{pmatrix}
= \bar{T} \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_a \\
\varphi_s
\end{pmatrix} + \{T_P\}_a \varphi_a + \{T_P\}_s \varphi_s
\] (3.65)

Where \(\bar{T} = [T^{11}] - [T^{12}] [T^{22}]^{-1} [T^{21}]\)

\(\{T_P\}_a = \{N^{PL}\}_a - [T^{12}] [T^{22}]^{-1} \{N^{PT}\}_a\)

\(\{T_P\}_s = \{N^{PL}\}_s - [T^{12}] [T^{22}]^{-1} \{N^{PT}\}_s\)

Similarly, rearranging the elements of transverse shear resultants of Eq. (3.36) and setting \(Q_y\) and \(R_y\) to zero, we get

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
Q_x \\
R_x \\
Q_y \\
R_y
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
A_{55} & D_{55} & A_{45} & D_{45} \\
D_{55} & F_{55} & D_{45} & F_{45} \\
A_{45} & D_{45} & A_{44} & D_{44} \\
D_{45} & F_{45} & D_{44} & F_{44}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_{xz}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{xz}^{(2)} \\
\gamma_{y2}^{(0)} \\
\gamma_{y2}^{(2)}
\end{pmatrix}
\] (3.66)

Substituting the kinematic relations in the above equation,
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} Q_x \\ R_x \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{55} & D_{55} & A_{45} & D_{45} \\ D_{55} & F_{55} & D_{45} & F_{45} \\ A_{45} & D_{45} & A_{44} & D_{44} \\ D_{45} & F_{45} & D_{44} & F_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_x' \\ -3c_1 w_x' \\ w_y' \\ -3c_1 w_y' \end{bmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3.67)

Let

\[
D_{ij}' = A_{ij} - 6c_1 D_{ij} + 9c_1^2 F_{ij}
\]

\[
Q_x' = Q_x - 3c_1 R_x
\]

\[
Q_y' = Q_y - 3c_1 R_y
\]

Eq. (3.67) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} Q_x' \\ Q_y' \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} D_{44} & D_{45} \\ D_{45} & D_{55} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_x' \\ w_y' \end{bmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(3.68)

Setting \( Q_y' = 0 \), Eq. (3.68) reduces to

\[
Q_x' = D_{55}^{**} w_x'
\]

Where \( D_{55}^{**} = D_{55}^{**} - \frac{D_{45}^2}{D_{44}} \)

The virtual mechanical strain energy expression becomes

\[
\delta U_M = b \int_0^L \left( N_x \delta \varepsilon_x^{(0)} + N_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy}^{(0)} + M_x \delta \varepsilon_x^{(1)} + M_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} + P_x \delta \varepsilon_x^{(3)} + P_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy}^{(3)} + Q_x' \delta \gamma_{xx}^{(0)} \right) dx
\]

(3.69)

The virtual quantities of the strains in Eq. (3.31) are
Substituting the strain-displacement relation in Eq. (3.69), we get the virtual strain energy expression as

\[
\delta U_M = b \int_0^L \left[ N_x (\delta u'_0 + w'_b \delta w'_b + w'_s \delta w'_s) + N_{xy} \delta \gamma_0 + M_x (-\delta w''_b) \right.
\]
\[
+ M_{xy} (-2 \delta w''_b) + P_x (-c_1 \delta w''_s) + P_{xy} (-2 c_1 \delta w''_s) + Q_x \delta w'_s \] \, dx \tag{3.71}

3.7 Virtual electrical strain energy of a composite plate

The virtual electrical strain energy is given by

\[
\delta U_E = \iiint_V D \delta E \, dV \tag{3.72}
\]

As the electric field is applied only in the thickness direction, dielectric displacement is seen only in the \( z \) direction. Therefore the above equation reduces to

\[
\delta U_E = \iiint_V D_z \delta E_z \, dV \tag{3.73}
\]

Splitting the volume integral into integral over thickness, length and width
\[
\delta U_E = b \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} D_z \delta E_z \, dZ \, dx
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.74)

Dielectric displacement in \( z \) direction can be obtained from Eq. (3.12),

\[
D_z = \bar{\varepsilon}_{31} \varepsilon_x + \bar{\varepsilon}_{32} \varepsilon_y + \bar{\varepsilon}_{36} Y_{xy} + \bar{\varepsilon}_{33} E_Z
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.75)

Substitute Eq. (3.75) in Eq. (3.74), and expressing electric field in terms of potential difference,

\[
\delta U_E = -b \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \left( \bar{\varepsilon}_{31} \varepsilon_x + \bar{\varepsilon}_{32} \varepsilon_y + \bar{\varepsilon}_{36} Y_{xy} - \bar{\varepsilon}_{33} \frac{\varphi}{t_p} \right) \frac{\delta \varphi}{t_p} \, dZ
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.76)

3.7.1 **Virtual electrical strain energy of a composite beam using CLPT**

Expanding the strains in Eq. (3.76) in terms of membrane and bending strains

\[
\delta U_E = -b \int_{0}^{L} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \left( \bar{\varepsilon}_{31} \left( \varepsilon_x^{(0)} + z \varepsilon_x^{(1)} \right) + \bar{\varepsilon}_{32} \left( \varepsilon_y^{(0)} + z \varepsilon_y^{(1)} \right) \\
+ \bar{\varepsilon}_{36} \left( Y_{xy}^{(0)} + z Y_{xy}^{(1)} \right) - \bar{\varepsilon}_{33} \frac{\varphi}{t_p} \right) \frac{\delta \varphi}{t_p} \, dZ
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.77)

Further reducing Eq. (3.77) to one dimensional problem using partial plane stress approximation,

\[
\delta U_E = -\frac{b}{t_p} \int_{0}^{L} \left( [D_{s\varphi}] \{\varepsilon^L\} - D_{\varphi} \varphi - \bar{\varepsilon}_{33} \varphi \right) \delta \varphi \hspace{1cm} (3.78)
\]

where \([D_{s\varphi}] = [D_{s\varphi}^{11}] \ [D_{s\varphi}^{12}] \ [D_{s\varphi}^{13}] \ [D_{s\varphi}^{14}] = [N_P^L] - [N_P^T] [S_{22}]^{-1} [S_{21}]

\( D_{\varphi} = [N_P^T] [S_{22}]^{-1} \{N_P^L \}

[N_P^L], [N_P^T], [S_{21}] \) and \([S_{22}]^{-1} \) are from Eq. (3.46) and Eq. (3.48)

Expanding the terms

42
\[ \delta U_E = -\frac{b}{t_p} \int_0^L \left( D_{s\varphi} \varepsilon_x^{(0)} + D_{s\varphi} Y_{sx} \varepsilon_y^{(0)} + D_{s\varphi} \varepsilon_x^{(1)} + D_{s\varphi} Y_{xy} \varepsilon_{y33}^{(1)} \right) - \varepsilon_{33} \varphi \delta \varphi \]

Substituting the strain displacement relations into Eq. (3.79)

\[ \delta U_E = -\frac{b}{t_p} \int_0^L \left( D_{s\varphi} \varepsilon_x^{(0)} + D_{s\varphi} Y_{sx} \varepsilon_y^{(0)} + D_{s\varphi} \varepsilon_x^{(1)} + D_{s\varphi} Y_{xy} \varepsilon_{y33}^{(1)} \right) - \varepsilon_{33} \varphi \delta \varphi \]

3.7.2 **Virtual electrical strain energy of a composite beam using FSDT:**

The virtual electrical strain energy is the same as for CLPT since there is no coupling between the transverse shear and piezoelectric terms.

3.7.3 **Virtual electrical strain energy of a composite beam using HSDT:**

Expanding the strains in Eq. (3.76)

\[ \delta U_E = -b \int_0^L \left( \varepsilon_{31} \left( \varepsilon_x^{(0)} + z \varepsilon_x^{(1)} + z^2 \varepsilon_x^{(2)} \right) + \varepsilon_{32} \left( \varepsilon_y^{(0)} + z \varepsilon_y^{(1)} + z^2 \varepsilon_y^{(2)} \right) + \varepsilon_{36} \left( Y_{xy}^{(0)} + z Y_{xy}^{(1)} + z^2 Y_{xy}^{(2)} \right) - \varepsilon_{33} \frac{\varphi}{t_p} \right) \delta \varphi dZ \]

Further reducing Eq. (3.81) to one dimensional problem using partial plane stress approximation,

\[ \delta U_E = -\frac{b}{t_p} \int_0^L \left( [D_{s\varphi}] \{\varepsilon^L\} - D_{s\varphi} \varphi - \varepsilon_{33} \varphi \right) \delta \varphi \]

Where \([D_{s\varphi}] = [D_{s\varphi}^{11} \quad D_{s\varphi}^{12} \quad D_{s\varphi}^{13} \quad D_{s\varphi}^{14} \quad D_{s\varphi}^{15} \quad D_{s\varphi}^{16}] = [N_p^L] - [N_p^L][T_{22}]^{-1}[T_{21}]

\[ D_{s\varphi} = [N_p^T][T_{22}]^{-1}[N_p^T] \]
\( [N^T_p], [N^L_p], [T_{21}] \) and \([T_{22}]^{-1}\) are from Eq. (3.64)

Expanding the terms

\[
\delta U_E = -\frac{b}{t_p} \int_{0}^{L} \left( D_{s\varphi}^{11} \varepsilon_{x}^{(0)} + D_{s\varphi}^{12} \varepsilon_{xy}^{(0)} + D_{s\varphi}^{13} \varepsilon_{x}^{(1)} + D_{s\varphi}^{14} \gamma_{xy}^{(1)} + D_{s\varphi}^{15} \varepsilon_{x}^{(3)} + D_{s\varphi}^{16} \gamma_{xy}^{(3)} - D_{\varphi} \varphi - \bar{\varepsilon}_{33} \varphi \right) \delta \varphi \tag{3.83}
\]

Substituting the strain displacement relations into Eq. (3.83)

\[
\delta U_E = -\frac{b}{t_p} \int_{0}^{L} \left( D_{s\varphi}^{11} u_0' + D_{s\varphi}^{12} v - D_{s\varphi}^{13} w_b'' - 2D_{s\varphi \varphi}^{14} w_b^{''} \right)
\]

\[
- c_1 D_{s\varphi}^{15} w_s'' - 2c_1 D_{s\varphi}^{16} w_s^{''} - D_{\varphi} \varphi - \bar{\varepsilon}_{33} \varphi \right) \delta \varphi \tag{3.84}
\]

3.8 Virtual Kinetic energy of a composite beam [Nagappan (2004)]

The virtual kinetic energy of a plate is given by

\[
\delta T = \iiint_{V} \rho \left[ \dot{u} \delta \dot{u} + \dot{v} \delta \dot{v} + \dot{w} \delta \dot{w} \right] dV \tag{3.85}
\]

where \(\rho\) is the mass density and (‘) represents partial derivative with respect to time.

3.8.1 Virtual Kinetic energy of a composite beam using CLPT:

The virtual kinetic energy for the partial plane stress using CLPT is obtained by substituting the displacement field for CLPT in Eq. (3.85) as shown below

\[
\delta T = \iiint_{V} \rho \left[ (\ddot{u}_o - z \dot{w}')(\delta \dot{u}_o - z \delta \dot{w}') + (\ddot{v}_o - z \dot{w}')(\delta \dot{v}_o - z \delta \dot{w}') + \dot{w} \delta \dot{w} \right] dV \tag{3.86}
\]

Expanding the terms inside the integral
\[ \delta T = \iiint_V \rho \left( \dddot{u}_o \delta \dot{u}_o - z \dddot{u}_o \delta \dot{w}' - \dot{z} \dddot{u}_o - z^2 \dddot{w}' \delta \dot{w}' + \dot{\dot{v}}_o \delta \ddot{v}_o \right) \]

\[ - z \dddot{v}_o \delta \dot{w}' - z \dddot{w}' \delta \dot{v}_o + z^2 \dddot{w}' \delta \dot{w}' + \dddot{w} \delta \dot{w} \right) dV \]

\( \delta T \) has a time integral when it is introduced in Hamilton’s principle and using partial plane stress approximation to reduce to one-dimensional problem Eq. (3.87) becomes

\[ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \delta T = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \iiint_V \rho \left( \dddot{u}_o \delta \dot{u}_o - z \dddot{u}_o \delta \dot{w}' - \dot{z} \dddot{u}_o - z^2 \dddot{w}' \delta \dot{w}' \right) \]

\[ + z^2 \dddot{w}' \delta \dot{w}' + \dddot{w} \delta \dot{w} \right) dV \]

Integrating by parts with respect to the time \( t \) and denoting the boundary terms as \( (...) \),

\[ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \delta T = \iiint_V \left\{ \left[ \dddot{u}_o \delta u_o - z \dddot{u}_o \delta w' + z^2 \dddot{w}' \delta w' - \dot{z} \dddot{w}' \delta u_o - z \dddot{w}' \delta w' \right] \right. \]

\[ + \dddot{w} \delta w \right\} dt + (...) \left. \right\} dV \]

Neglect the boundary terms as they do not contribute to the inertia matrix. As the variational quantities do not have the time derivatives, they can be taken out of the time integral. Therefore

\[ -\delta T = \iiint_V \rho \left( \dddot{u}_o \delta u_o - z \dddot{u}_o \delta w' + z^2 \dddot{w}' \delta w' + z^2 \dddot{w}' \delta w' \right) \]

\[ - z \dddot{w}' \delta u_o + \dddot{w} \delta w \right) dV \]

Splitting the volume integral into integral over thickness, length and width

\[ -\delta T = b \int_0^{L} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho \left( \dddot{u}_o \delta u_o - z \dddot{u}_o \delta w' + z^2 \dddot{w}' \delta w' + z^2 \dddot{w}' \delta w' \right) \]

\[ - z \dddot{w}' \delta u_o + \dddot{w} \delta w \right) dz dx \]
Expressing Eq. (3.91) in terms inertia coefficients, the virtual kinetic energy for the composite beam reduces to

\[-\delta T = b \int_0^L \left( I_0 \dddot{u}_o \delta u_o - I_1 \dddot{u}_o \delta w' + I_2 \dddot{w}' \delta w' + I_2 \dddot{w}^y \delta w^y \right) dx \]

\[-I_1 \dddot{w}' \delta u_o + I_0 \dddot{w} \delta w \]  

(3.92)

Where

\[I_0 = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho dz\]

\[I_1 = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho z dz\]

\[I_2 = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho z^2 dz\]

(3.93)

### 3.8.2 Virtual Kinetic energy of a composite beam for using FSDT:

The virtual kinetic energy using FSDT is obtained by substituting the displacement field for FSDT in Eq. (3.85) as shown below

\[\delta T = \iiint_V \rho \left( (\dddot{u}_o - z\dddot{w}_b') (\delta \dddot{u}_o - z\delta \dddot{w}_b') + (\dddot{v}_o - z\dddot{w}^y) (\delta \dddot{v}_o - z\delta \dddot{w}^y) \right) + (\dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_s) \delta \dddot{w}_b ] dV \]

(3.94)

Expanding the terms inside the integral
\[
\int_\mathcal{V} \rho (\dddot{u}_o \delta \dddot{u}_o - z \dddot{u}_o \delta \dddot{w}_b' - z \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{u}_o + z^2 \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{w}_b' + \dddot{v}_o \delta \dddot{v}_o - z \dddot{v}_o \delta \dddot{w}_b' \\
- z \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{v}_o + z^2 \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{w}_b' + \dddot{w}_b \delta \dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_b \delta \dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_s \delta \dddot{w}_b' + \dddot{w}_s \delta \dddot{w}_b \quad \text{(3.95)}
\]

\[
+ \dddot{w}_s \delta \dddot{w}_s) dV
\]

\(\delta T\) has a time integral when it is introduced in Hamilton’s principle and reducing to one dimensional problem, Eq. (3.95) becomes

\[
\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \delta T = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_\mathcal{V} \rho (\dddot{u}_o \delta \dddot{u}_o - z \dddot{u}_o \delta \dddot{w}_b' - z \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{u}_o + z^2 \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{w}_b' \\
+ z^2 \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{w}_b' + \dddot{w}_b \delta \dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_b \delta \dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_s \delta \dddot{w}_b \\
+ \dddot{w}_s \delta \dddot{w}_s) dV \quad \text{(3.96)}
\]

Integrating by parts with respect to the time coordinate \(t\) and denoting the boundary terms as \((\ldots)_{t_1}^{t_2},\)

\[
- \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \delta T = \int_\mathcal{V} \rho \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ [\dddot{u}_o \delta \dddot{u}_o - z \dddot{u}_o \delta \dddot{w}_b' + z^2 \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{w}_b' - z \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{u}_o \\
+ z^2 \dddot{w}_b' \delta \dddot{w}_b' + \dddot{w}_b \delta \dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_b \delta \dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_s \delta \dddot{w}_s + \dddot{w}_s \delta \dddot{w}_s \right\} dt \\
- (\ldots)_{t_1}^{t_2} dV \quad \text{(3.97)}
\]

Neglect the boundary terms as they do not contribute to the inertia matrix. As the variational quantities do not have the time derivatives, they can be taken out of the time integral.

Therefore
\[-\delta T = \iiint_V \rho \left( \ddot{u}_o \delta u_o - z \ddot{u}_o \delta w'_b + z^2 \dddot{w}'_b \delta w'_b + z^2 \dddot{w}^y_b \delta w^y_b \right. \]
\[- z \dddot{w}'_b \delta u_o + \dddot{w}_b \delta w_b + \dddot{w}_b \delta w_s + \dddot{w}_s \delta w_b \]
\[+ \dddot{w}_s \delta w_s \) dV \]

Splitting the volume integral into integral over thickness, length and width

\[-\delta T = b \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_0^l \rho \left( \ddot{u}_o \delta u_o - z \ddot{u}_o \delta w'_b + z^2 \dddot{w}'_b \delta w'_b + z^2 \dddot{w}^y_b \delta w^y_b \right. \]
\[- z \dddot{w}'_b \delta u_o + \dddot{w}_b \delta w_b + \dddot{w}_b \delta w_s + \dddot{w}_s \delta w_b \]
\[+ \dddot{w}_s \delta w_s \) dz \ dx \]

Expressing Eq. (3.99) in terms inertia coefficients, the virtual kinetic energy for the composite beam reduces to

\[-\delta T = b \int_0^l \left( I_0 \ddot{u}_o \delta u_o - I_1 \dddot{u}_o \delta w'_b + I_2 \dddot{w}'_b \delta w'_b + I_2 \dddot{w}^y_b \delta w^y_b \right. \]
\[- I_1 \dddot{w}'_b \delta u_o + I_0 \dddot{w}_b \delta w_b + I_0 \dddot{w}_b \delta w_s + I_0 \dddot{w}_s \delta w_b \]
\[+ I_0 \dddot{w}_s \delta w_s \) dx \]

\[I_i = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho z^i dz \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2 \]

where \( I_0, I_1, I_2 \) represent normal, coupled normal-rotary, rotary inertia coefficients.

3.8.3 Virtual kinetic energy of a composite beam for partial plane stress using HSDT

The virtual kinetic energy for the partial plane stress using HSDT is obtained by substituting the displacement field for HSDT in Eq. (3.85) as shown below
\[
\delta T = \iiint_{\mathcal{V}} \rho \left[ (\dot{u}_o - z\dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^3 \dot{w}_s') \left( \delta \ddot{u}_o - z \delta \dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^3 \delta \dot{w}_s' \right) \right.
+ \left. \left( \dot{v}_o - z\dot{w}_b^y - c_1 z^3 \dot{w}_s^y \right) \left( \delta \ddot{v}_o - z \delta \dot{w}_b^y - c_1 z^3 \delta \dot{w}_s^y \right) \right]
+ (\dot{w}_b + \dot{w}_s)(\delta \dot{w}_b + \delta \dot{w}_s) \right] dV
\] (3.101)

On neglecting \(\dot{v}_o\) and \(\delta \dot{v}_o\), Eq. (3.101) reduces to one dimensional formulation

\[
\delta T = \iiint_{\mathcal{V}} \rho \left[ (\dot{u}_o - z\dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^3 \dot{w}_s') \delta \dot{u}_o \right.
- \left. (z\ddot{u}_o - z^2 \ddot{w}_b' - c_1 z^4 \ddot{w}_s') \delta \dot{w}_b' \right.
- \left. c_1 (z^3 \dot{u}_o - z^4 \dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^6 \dot{w}_s') \delta \dot{w}_s' \right.
+ \left. \left( z^2 \ddot{w}_b^y + c_1 z^4 \ddot{w}_s^y \right) \delta \dot{w}_b^y \right.
+ \left. c_1 \left( z^4 \ddot{w}_b^y + c_1 z^6 \ddot{w}_s^y \right) \delta \dot{w}_s^y + (\dot{w}_b + \dot{w}_s)(\delta \dot{w}_b + \delta \dot{w}_s) \right] dV
\] (3.102)

Applying the time integral and integrating by parts and denoting the boundary terms as \((\ldots)_{t_1}^{t_2}\)

\[
- \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \delta T dt = \iiint_{\mathcal{V}} \left\{ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \rho \left[ (\dot{u}_o - z\dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^3 \dot{w}_s') \delta \dot{u} \right.ight.
- \left. (z\ddot{u}_o - z^2 \ddot{w}_b' - c_1 z^4 \ddot{w}_s') \delta \dot{w}_b' \right.
- \left. c_1 (z^3 \dot{u}_o - z^4 \dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^6 \dot{w}_s') \delta \dot{w}_s' \right.
+ \left. \left( z^2 \ddot{w}_b^y + c_1 z^4 \ddot{w}_s^y \right) \delta \dot{w}_b^y \right.
+ \left. c_1 \left( z^4 \ddot{w}_b^y + c_1 z^6 \ddot{w}_s^y \right) \delta \dot{w}_s^y + (\dot{w}_b + \dot{w}_s)(\delta \dot{w}_b + \delta \dot{w}_s) \right.
\right. \left. \right] \right \} dV
\] (3.103)

Neglect the boundary terms as they do not contribute to the inertia matrix. As the variational quantities do not have the time derivatives, they can be taken out of the time integral.
Therefore,

$$-\delta T = \iiint_V \rho \left[ (\dddot{u}_o - z\dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^3 \dddot{w}_s') \delta u \right. \]

$$

\[ - (z\dddot{u}_o - z^2 \dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^4 \dddot{w}_s') \delta w_b' \]

\[- c_1 (z^3 \dddot{u}_o - z^4 \dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^6 \dddot{w}_s') \delta w_s' \]

\[ + (z^2 \dddot{w}_b^y + c_1 z^4 \dot{w}_s^y) \delta w_b^y \]

\[ + c_1 (z^4 \dddot{w}_b^y + c_1 z^6 \dot{w}_s^y) \delta w_s^y + (\dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_s) \delta w_b \]

\[ + (\dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_s) \delta w_s \]

\[ ] dV \]

(3.104)

Splitting the volume integral into integral over thickness, length and width

$$-\delta T = b \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho \left[ (\dddot{u}_o - z\dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^3 \dddot{w}_s') \delta u \right. \]

\[- (z\dddot{u}_o - z^2 \dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^4 \dddot{w}_s') \delta w_b' \]

\[- c_1 (z^3 \dddot{u}_o - z^4 \dot{w}_b' - c_1 z^6 \dddot{w}_s') \delta w_s' \]

\[ + (z^2 \dddot{w}_b^y + c_1 z^4 \dot{w}_s^y) \delta w_b^y \]

\[ + c_1 (z^4 \dddot{w}_b^y + c_1 z^6 \dot{w}_s^y) \delta w_s^y + (\dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_s) \delta w_b \]

\[ + (\dddot{w}_b + \dddot{w}_s) \delta w_s \] dz dx

(3.105)

Eq. (3.105) can be reduced further by introducing the inertia coefficients,

$$I_i = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \rho z^i dz \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$$

where $I_0, I_1, I_2$ represent normal, coupled normal-rotary, rotary inertia coefficients and others represent higher-order inertia coefficients.
3.9 Virtual work done on a composite plate

The work is done on the composite plate due to mechanical and electrical forces. If $T$ is the surface traction in the $x_i$ direction, $F_q$ is the electric charge applied on the surface $S$. The work done on the structure is given by

$$W_e = \iiint_s \left( T u_i - F_q \varphi \right) dS$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.106)

The virtual work done is given by

$$\delta W_e = \iiint_s \left( T_i \delta u_i - F_q \delta \varphi \right) dS$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.107)

In the present research no external forces act on the system. But the work done due to electrical charge is kept and used for deriving the actuator equations.
4  FINITE ELEMENT FORMULATION

4.1  Introduction

Many engineering problems are represented mathematically by partial differential equations. It is not possible to obtain closed form solution in all situations; Numerical methods are used to arrive at approximate solutions in such cases. The closed form solution is valid at all points in a domain whereas the numerical methods give approximate solution at discrete points in the domain. The finite element analysis is one of the numerical methods for solving elliptical partial differential equations wherein the domain is discretized into finite elements. The finite element solution approaches exact solution with increasing the number of elements or increasing number of internal nodes or both. Based on this three types of finite element formulations are available, namely h-version, p-version, h-p version. In the h-version the accuracy increases with increasing number of elements, in general. In p-version, the number of internal nodes are increased which in turn vary the order of the interpolation functions; In general higher the order of polynomial, higher is the accuracy. In the h-p version, both the number of elements and internal nodes are varied to increase the accuracy. In the present case, the h-p version is used to discretize the beam. Sreeram and Sivaneri (1997) conducted a convergence study on an isotropic moving beam problem and concluded that four elements with three internal nodes each produce an accurate solution. In the present research, the composite moving beam is divided into four elements with three internal nodes each [19], [20].
4.2 Finite element shape functions

The composite beam considered here is divided into 4 elements with 3 internal nodes each. Certain degrees of freedom for this beam obey $C^0$ continuity while others satisfy $C^1$ continuity; the corresponding shape functions are derived using Lagrangian and Hermitian polynomials, respectively. For the variables that satisfy $C^1$ continuity, slope degrees of freedom are assumed only at the end nodes as the slope continuity is automatically assured at the internal nodes.

A natural coordinate ($\xi = [-1,1]$) is introduced with its origin at the center of the element. The transformation between the element coordinate ($x_e$) and natural coordinate ($\xi$) system is given by,

![Figure 4.1 Finite element representation of a moving beam](image)

![Figure 4.2 Representation of natural coordinate system of a finite element with three internal nodes](image)
where \( l_e \) is the length of the element. Depending on the composite plate theory used (CLPT, FSDT, or HSDT), the element variables differ in number and as indicated earlier, some of these variables follow \( C^0 \) continuity and the rest \( C^1 \) continuity. In the following the shape functions for \( C^0 \) and \( C^1 \) continuity are derived by taking one example (\( u_e \)) for \( C^0 \) continuity and one example (\( w \)) for \( C^1 \) continuity. The axial, \( u(\xi) \) and transverse, \( w(\xi) \) degrees of freedom are represented as follows

\[
u(\xi) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_i \xi^i
\]  
\[
w(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^{6} b_j \xi^j
\]

The above equations in matrix form are written as

\[
u(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi^i \end{bmatrix} \{a_i\}
\]
\[
w(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi^j \end{bmatrix} \{b_j\}
\]

where \( a_i \) and \( b_j \) are to be determined.

To solve for \( a_i \) in Eq. (4.2), five equations are required and the axial degrees of freedom at the nodes of an element are considered.
\[ u(-1) = u_1 \]
\[ u(-0.5) = u_2 \]
\[ u(0) = u_3 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.6)
\[ u(0.5) = u_4 \]
\[ u(1) = u_5 \]

On solving the above equations, \( u(\xi) \) can be interpolated in terms of Lagrange polynomials

Therefore,

\[ u(\xi) = [H_{L1} \cdots H_{L5}] \begin{Bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_5 \end{Bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.7)

where \( H_{L1}(\xi), H_{L2}(\xi), \) etc., are called Lagrangian shape functions and are given by,

\[ H_{L1} = \frac{1}{6} \xi - \frac{1}{6} \xi^2 - \frac{2}{3} \xi^3 + \frac{2}{3} \xi^4 \]
\[ H_{L2} = -\frac{4}{3} \xi + \frac{8}{3} \xi^2 + \frac{4}{3} \xi^3 - \frac{8}{3} \xi^4 \]
\[ H_{L3} = 1 - 5\xi^2 + 4\xi^4 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.8)
\[ H_{L4} = \frac{4}{3} \xi + \frac{8}{3} \xi^2 - \frac{4}{3} \xi^3 - \frac{8}{3} \xi^4 \]
\[ H_{L5} = -\frac{1}{6} \xi + \frac{1}{6} \xi^2 + \frac{2}{3} \xi^3 + \frac{2}{3} \xi^4 \]

Similarly, to solve for \( b_j \) s, in Eq. (4.3) seven equations are required and the transverse degrees of freedom at the nodes of an element are considered

\[ w(-1) = w_1 \]
\[ \frac{l_e}{2} w'(-1) = w_1' \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)
\[ w(-0.5) = w_2 \]
On solving the above equations, \( w(\xi) \) can be interpolated in terms of Hermite polynomials. Therefore,

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_1' \\ \vdots \\ w_5 \\ w_5' \end{bmatrix} = [H_1 \cdots H_7] \begin{bmatrix} w(0) \\ w(0.5) \\ w(1) \\ \frac{l_e}{2} w'(1) \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\] (4.10)

where \( H_1 (\xi), H_2 (\xi), \) etc., are called Hermite shape functions and are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
H_1 &= \frac{1}{9} \left( \frac{17}{4} \xi - 5\xi^2 - \frac{79}{4} \xi^3 + \frac{47}{2} \xi^4 + 11\xi^5 - 14\xi^6 \right) \\
H_2 &= \frac{l_e}{6} \left( \frac{1}{4} \xi - \frac{1}{4} \xi^2 - \frac{5}{4} \xi^3 + \frac{5}{4} \xi^4 + \xi^5 - \xi^6 \right) \\
H_3 &= \frac{16}{9} \left( -\xi + 2\xi^2 + 2\xi^3 - 4\xi^4 - \xi^5 + 2\xi^6 \right) \\
H_4 &= (1 - 6\xi^2 + 9\xi^4 - 4\xi^6) \\
H_5 &= \frac{16}{9} \left( \xi + 2\xi^2 - 2\xi^3 - 4\xi^4 + \xi^5 + 2\xi^6 \right) \\
H_6 &= \frac{1}{9} \left( -\frac{17}{4} \xi - 5\xi^2 + \frac{79}{4} \xi^3 + \frac{47}{2} \xi^4 - 11\xi^5 - 14\xi^6 \right) \\
H_7 &= \frac{l_e}{6} \left( \frac{1}{4} \xi + \frac{1}{4} \xi^2 - \frac{5}{4} \xi^3 - \frac{5}{4} \xi^4 + \xi^5 + \xi^6 \right)
\end{align*}
\]

4.3 Element stiffness matrix formulation

4.3.1 Element Stiffness matrix for beam using CLPT

The beam element using CLPT has the following degrees of freedom

At end nodes: \( u, v, w, w', w'' \)
At internal nodes: \( u, \gamma, w, w_y \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} u, \gamma, w, w_y \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \end{bmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 4.3 Element definition for reduced beam formulation using CLPT**

The element has a total of 22 degrees of freedom. \( u, \gamma \) and \( w_y \) assume \( C^0 \) continuity and are represented by Lagrange shape functions. While the variable \( w \) assumes \( C^1 \) continuity and is represented by Hermite shape functions.

\[
\begin{align*}
  u &= [H_L]\{q_u\} \\
  \gamma &= [H_L]\{q_\gamma\} \\
  w_y &= [H_L]\{q_{w_y}\} \\
  w &= [H]\{q_w\} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(4.12)

The stiffness matrix is obtained from the virtual mechanical strain energy equation Eq. (3.52) and the virtual electrical strain energy Eq. (3.80).

The virtual forms of the variables are,

\[
\begin{align*}
  \delta u &= [\delta q_u][H_L] \\
  \delta \gamma &= [\delta q_\gamma][H_L] \\
  \delta w_y &= [\delta q_{w_y}][H_L] \\
  \delta w &= [\delta q_w][H] \\
\end{align*}
\]

(4.13)

Substituting the above equations in the virtual mechanical strain energy equation and electrical strain energy expressions, we get,
\[
\delta U = [\delta q_e] [K_e] \{q_e\} 
\]

The stiffness matrix is formed by 36 sub matrices is obtained. The sub matrices for the elastic part are \( [K_{uu}], [K_{uy}], [K_{ww}], [K_{wyw}], [K_{uy}], [K_{uw}], [K_{uw}], [K_{uy}], [K_{wyw}] \) with dimensions 5\times5, 5\times5, 7\times7, 5\times5, 5\times5, 5\times7, 5\times5, 5\times5 and 7\times5 each. The sub matrices for the piezoelectric sensor and actuator part are represented as \( [K_{u\varphi_a}], [K_{y\varphi_a}], [K_{w\varphi_a}], [K_{w\varphi_a}], [K_{u\varphi_s}], [K_{y\varphi_s}], [K_{w\varphi_s}], [K_{w\varphi_s}] \) with dimensions 5\times1, 5\times1, 7\times1, 5\times1, 5\times1, 5\times1, 7\times1 and 5\times1 respectively. The sub matrices for the electrical part are represented as \( [K_{\varphi_a\varphi_a}] \) and \( [K_{\varphi_s\varphi_s}] \) with dimensions 1\times1 and 1\times1 respectively.

The sub matrices are given by

\[
[K_{uu}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{11} \{H'_u\} [H'_u] \, dx \\
[K_{uy}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{12} \{H'_u\} [H'_u] \, dx \\
[K_{uw}] = -b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{13} \{H'_u\} [H'''] \, dx \\
[K_{uw}] = -2b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{14} \{H'_u\} [H'''] \, dx \\
[K_{uu}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{22} \{H'_u\} [H'_u] \, dx \\
[K_{uy}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{23} \{H'_u\} [H'''] \, dx \\
[K_{uw}] = -2b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{24} \{H'_u\} [H'''] \, dx \\
[K_{ww}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{33} \{H''}' [H'''] \, dx + b \int_0^{l_e} N_x \{H'\} [H'''] \, dx
\]
\[ \begin{align*}
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}_y\mathbf{w}_y}] &= 2b \int_0^{t_e} \bar{S}_{34} \{H''\} \|H'_L\| \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}_y\mathbf{w}_y}] &= 2b \int_0^{t_e} \bar{S}_{34} \{H''\} \|H'_L\| \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}_y\mathbf{w}_y}] &= 4b \int_0^{t_e} \bar{S}_{34} \{H'_L\} \|H'_L\| \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{u}\varphi_a}] &= b \int_0^{t_e} S_{P1a} \{H'_L\} \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{y}\varphi_a}] &= b \int_0^{t_e} S_{P2a} \{H_L\} \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}\varphi_a}] &= -b \int_0^{t_e} S_{P3a} \{H''\} \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}_y\varphi_a}] &= -2b \int_0^{t_e} S_{P4a} \{H'_L\} \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{u}\varphi_s}] &= b \int_0^{t_e} S_{P1s} \{H'_L\} \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{y}\varphi_s}] &= b \int_0^{t_e} S_{P2s} \{H_L\} \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}\varphi_s}] &= -b \int_0^{t_e} S_{P3s} \{H''\} \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{w}_y\varphi_s}] &= -2b \int_0^{t_e} S_{P4s} \{H'_L\} \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\varphi_a\varphi_a}] &= -\frac{b}{t_k} \int_0^{t_e} (\epsilon_{33})_a \, dx - \frac{b}{t_k} \int_0^{t_e} D_{\varphi_a} \, dx \\
[\mathbf{K}_{\varphi_s\varphi_s}] &= -\frac{b}{t_k} \int_0^{t_e} (\epsilon_{33})_s \, dx - \frac{b}{t_k} \int_0^{t_e} D_{\varphi_s} \, dx
\end{align*} \]
The complete element stiffness matrix takes the form

\[
[K_e] = \begin{bmatrix}
[K_{uu}] & [K_{uy}] & [K_{uw}] & [K_{uw_y}] & [K_{u\varphi_a}] & [K_{u\varphi_s}]

[K_{uy}]^T & [K_{yy}] & [K_{yw}] & [K_{yw_y}] & [K_{y\varphi_a}] & [K_{y\varphi_s}]

[K_{uw}]^T & [K_{yw}]^T & [K_{ww}] & [K_{ww_y}] & [K_{ww\varphi_a}] & [K_{ww\varphi_s}]

[K_{uw_y}]^T & [K_{y\varphi_a}]^T & [K_{ww_y}]^T & [K_{ww_y\varphi_a}] & [K_{ww_y\varphi_a}] & [K_{ww_y\varphi_s}]

[K_{u\varphi_a}]^T & [K_{w\varphi_a}]^T & [K_{w\varphi_a}]^T & [K_{w\varphi_s}]^T & [K_{w\varphi_s}]^T & [K_{w\varphi_s}]^T

\end{bmatrix}
\]

(4.16)

The element stiffness matrix can be written as

\[
[K_e] = \begin{bmatrix}
[K_{ss}] & [K_{s\varphi}]

[K_{s\varphi}]^T & [K_{\varphi \varphi}]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(4.17)

where \([K_{ss}], [K_{s\varphi}]\) and \([K_{\varphi \varphi}]\) represent the elastic, piezoelectric and electric stiffness matrices.

### 4.3.2 Element Stiffness Matrix for beam using FSDT

The beam element using FSDT has the following degrees of freedom

At the end nodes: \(u, \gamma, w_b, w_b', w_s, w_s'\)

At the mid side nodes: \(u, \gamma, w_b, w_s, w_b'\)

![Figure 4.4 Element definition for reduced beam formulation using FSDT](image-url)
The element has 29 degrees of freedom. The variables $u, y, w_{by}$ obey $C^0$ continuity and are represented by Lagrange interpolation functions while $w_b$ and $w_s$ obey $C^1$ continuity and are represented by Hermite functions.

$$
[u] = [H_L]\{q_u\}
$$

$$
[y] = [H_L]\{q_y\}
$$

$$
[w_{by}] = [H_L]\{q_{w_{by}}\}
$$

$$
[w_b] = [H]\{q_{w_b}\}
$$

$$
[w_s] = [H]\{q_{w_s}\}
$$

The virtual forms of the above are

$$
[\delta u] = [\delta q_u][H_L]
$$

$$
[\delta y] = [\delta q_y][H_L]
$$

$$
[\delta w_b] = [\delta q_{w_b}][H]
$$

$$
[\delta w_s] = [\delta q_{w_s}][H]
$$

$$
[\delta w_{by}] = [\delta q_{w_{by}}][H_L]
$$

Substituting the above eqs in Eq. (3.61) and Eq. (3.80), the virtual strain energy can be represented as

$$
\delta U = [\delta q_e][K_e]\{q_e\}
$$

The stiffness matrix is composed of 49 sub matrices. The elastic sub matrices are represented as

$$
[K_{uu}], [K_{yy}], [K_{w_bw_b}], [K_{w_sw_s}], [K_{w_{by}w_{by}}], [K_{uy}], [K_{uw_b}], [K_{uw_s}], [K_{ubw}], [K_{ybw}], [K_{yw_s}], [K_{yw_{by}}], [K_{w_bw_s}], [K_{w_{by}w_{by}}], [K_{w_{by}w_{by}}] \text{ with dimensions } 5\times5, 5\times5, 7\times7, 7\times7, 5\times5, 5\times5, 5\times7, 5\times7, 5\times5, 5\times7, 5\times5, 5\times7, 5\times7, 5\times7, 5\times5, 7\times5 \text{ respectively. The piezoelectric sub matrices are are } [K_{uqa}].
The electrical sub matrices are \([K_{\varphi_a\varphi_a}], [K_{\varphi_s\varphi_s}], [K_{w^a_b\varphi_a}], [K_{w^s_b\varphi_s}], [K_{w^a_b\varphi_s}], [K_{w^s_b\varphi_a}]\) and \([K_{w^y_b\varphi_a}], [K_{w^y_b\varphi_s}]\). The sub matrices are given as:

\[
[K_{uu}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{11} \{H'_L\} H'_L \, dx
\]

\[
[K_{uy}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{12} \{H'_L\} H_L \, dx
\]

\[
[K_{uw_b}] = -b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{13} \{H'_L\} H'' \, dx
\]

\[
[K_{uw_s}] = [0]
\]

\[
[K_{uw^y_b}] = -2b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{14} \{H'_L\} H'_L \, dx
\]

\[
[K_{yy}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{22} \{H_L\} H_L \, dx
\]

\[
[K_{yw_b}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{23} \{H_L\} H'' \, dx
\]

\[
[K_{yw_s}] = [0]
\]

\[
[K_{yw^y_b}] = -2b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{24} \{H_L\} H'_L \, dx
\]

\[
[K_{wbw_b}] = b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{33} \{H''\} H'' \, dx + b \int_0^{l_e} N_x \{H'\} H' \, dx
\]

\[
[K_{wbw_s}] = [0]
\]

\[
[K_{wbw^y_b}] = 2b \int_0^{l_e} \bar{S}_{34} \{H''\} H'_L \, dx
\]

\[
[K_{wbw^y_s}] = b \int_0^{l_e} A^* \{H''\} H'' \, dx + b \int_0^{l_e} N_x \{H'\} H' \, dx
\]
The element stiffness matrix is

\[
\begin{align*}
[K_{w_yw_y}] &= 4b \int_0^{l_e} \tilde{S}_3 4 \{H'_L\} [H'_L] \, dx \\
[K_{w_xw_y}] &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
[K_{u\varphi_a}] &= b \int_0^{l_e} S_{P1a} \{H'_L\} \, dx \\
[K_{y\varphi_a}] &= b \int_0^{l_e} S_{P2a} \{H_L\} \, dx \\
[K_{w_b\varphi_a}] &= -b \int_0^{l_e} S_{P3a} \{H''\} \, dx \\
[K_{w_x\varphi_a}] &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
[K_{w_y\varphi_a}] &= -2b \int_0^{l_e} S_{P4a} \{H''\} \, dx \\
[K_{u\varphi_s}] &= b \int_0^{l_e} S_{P1s} \{H'_L\} \, dx \\
[K_{y\varphi_s}] &= b \int_0^{l_e} S_{P2s} \{H_L\} \, dx \\
[K_{w_b\varphi_s}] &= -b \int_0^{l_e} S_{P3s} \{H''\} \, dx \\
[K_{w_x\varphi_s}] &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
[K_{w_y\varphi_s}] &= -2b \int_0^{l_e} S_{P4s} \{H''\} \, dx \\
[K_{\varphi_a\varphi_a}] &= -\frac{b}{t_k} \int_0^{l_e} (\epsilon_{33})_a \, dx - \frac{b}{t_k} \int_0^{l_e} D_{\varphi_a} \, dx \\
[K_{\varphi_s\varphi_s}] &= -\frac{b}{t_k} \int_0^{l_e} (\epsilon_{33})_s \, dx - \frac{b}{t_k} \int_0^{l_e} D_{\varphi_s} \, dx
\end{align*}
\]
The above equation can be written as

\[
[K_e] = \begin{bmatrix}
[K_{uu}] & [K_{uy}] & [K_{uw_b}] & [K_{uw_s}] & [K_{uw_b}^\gamma] & [K_{uw_s}^\gamma] & [K_{wp_a}] & [K_{wp_s}] \\
[K_{uy}]^T & [K_{yy}] & [K_{yw_b}] & [K_{yw_s}] & [K_{yw_b}^\gamma] & [K_{yw_s}^\gamma] & [K_{yp_a}] & [K_{yp_s}]
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
(K_{ww_b})^T & (K_{ww_s})^T & (K_{ww_b}^\gamma) & (K_{ww_s}^\gamma) & (K_{ww_b}^\varphi) & (K_{ww_s}^\varphi) & (K_{wp_a})^T & (K_{wp_s})^T
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The above equation can be written as

\[
[K_e] = \begin{bmatrix}
[K_{ss}] & [K_{sp}] \\
[K_{sp}]^T & [K_{pp}]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \([K_{ss}], [K_{sp}]\) and \([K_{pp}]\) represent the elastic, piezoelectric and electric stiffness matrices.

4.3.3 **Element Stiffness matrix for reduced beam formulation using HSDT**

The beam element using HSDT has the following degrees of freedom

At end nodes for HSDT: \(u, \gamma, w_b, w'_b, w_s, w'_s, w^y_b, w^y_s\)

At mid side nodes for HSDT: \(u, \gamma, w_b, w_s, w^y_b, w^y_s\)

For nodes (1, 5): \(u, \gamma, w_b, w'_b, w_s, w'_s, w_{by}, w_{sy}\)

For nodes (2, 3, 4): \(u, \gamma, w_b, w_s, w_{by}, w_{sy}\)

**Figure 4.5** Element degrees of freedom for beam formulation using HSDT
The element has a total of 34 degrees of freedom; among which the variables $u, \gamma, w_b, w_s$ assume $C^0$ continuity and are represented by Lagrange interpolation functions while $w_b$ and $w_s$ assume $C^1$ continuity and are represented by Hermite interpolation functions.

\[
\begin{align*}
[u] &= [H_L]\{q_u\} \\
[\gamma] &= [H_L]\{q_\gamma\} \\
[w_b^\gamma] &= [H_L]\{q_{w_b^\gamma}\} \\
[w_b] &= [H]\{q_{w_b}\} \\
[w_s^\gamma] &= [H_L]\{q_{w_s^\gamma}\} \\
[w_s] &= [H]\{q_{w_s}\}
\end{align*}
\] (4.24)

And the virtual forms of the variables are,

\[
\begin{align*}
[\delta u] &= [\delta q_u][H_L] \\
[\delta \gamma] &= [\delta q_\gamma][H_L] \\
[\delta w_b] &= [\delta q_{w_b}][H] \\
[\delta w_s] &= [\delta q_{w_s}][H] \\
[\delta w_b^\gamma] &= [\delta q_{w_b^\gamma}][H_L] \\
[\delta w_s^\gamma] &= [\delta q_{w_s^\gamma}][H_L]
\end{align*}
\] (4.25)

The stiffness matrix is obtained from the virtual mechanical strain energy equation Eq. (3.71) and the virtual electrical strain energy expression, Eq. (3.84).

\[
\delta U = [\delta q_e][K_e]\{q_e\}
\] (4.26)
The element stiffness matrix is partitioned into 64 sub matrices. The sub matrices for the elastic part are $[K_{uu}], [K_{uy}], [K_{uw_b}], [K_{w_s w_s}], [K_{w^y_b w^y_b}], [K_{uy}], [K_{uw_b}], [K_{uw_s}], [K_{uw^y_b}], [K_{uw^y_s}], [K_{uw^y_b}], [K_{uw^y_s}], [K_{uw^y_b}], [K_{uw^y_s}]

and $[K_{w^y_b w^y_s}]$ with dimensions 5x5, 5x5, 7x7, 7x7, 5x5, 5x5, 5x7, 5x7, 5x7, 5x5, 5x7, 5x7, 5x7, 5x7, 5x5, 5x7, 5x7, 5x5, 5x5, 5x5 each. The sub matrices for the piezoelectric part are $[K_{u\varphi_a}], [K_{y\varphi_a}], [K_{w_b \varphi_a}], [K_{w_s \varphi_a}], [K_{w^y_b \varphi_a}], [K_{w^y_s \varphi_a}]$, and $[K_{u\varphi_s}], [K_{y\varphi_s}], [K_{w_b \varphi_s}], [K_{w_s \varphi_s}]$.

The assembled stiffness matrix is given by

$$[K_e] = \begin{bmatrix}
[K_{uu}] & [K_{uy}] & [K_{uw_b}] & [K_{uw_s}] & [K_{uw^y_b}] & [K_{uw^y_s}] & [K_{u\varphi_a}] & [K_{u\varphi_s}]

[K_{uy}]^T & [K_{yy}] & [K_{yw_b}] & [K_{yw_s}] & [K_{yw^y_b}] & [K_{yw^y_s}] & [K_{y\varphi_a}] & [K_{y\varphi_s}]

[K_{uw_b}]^T & [K_{yw_b}]^T & [K_{w_b w_b}] & [K_{w_b w_s}] & [K_{w_b w^y_b}] & [K_{w_b w^y_s}] & [K_{w_b \varphi_a}] & [K_{w_b \varphi_s}]

[K_{uw_s}]^T & [K_{yw_s}]^T & [K_{w_b w_s}]^T & [K_{w_s w_s}] & [K_{w_s w^y_s}] & [K_{w_s w^y_b}] & [K_{w_s \varphi_a}] & [K_{w_s \varphi_s}]

[K_{uw^y_b}]^T & [K_{yw^y_b}]^T & [K_{w_b w^y_b}]^T & [K_{w^y_b w^y_s}]^T & [K_{w^y_b w^y_b}] & [K_{w^y_b \varphi_a}] & [K_{w^y_b \varphi_s}]

[K_{uw^y_s}]^T & [K_{yw^y_s}]^T & [K_{w_b w^y_s}]^T & [K_{w^y_s w^y_s}]^T & [K_{w^y_s w^y_b}] & [K_{w^y_s \varphi_a}] & [K_{w^y_s \varphi_s}]

[K_{u\varphi_a}]^T & [K_{y\varphi_a}]^T & [K_{w_b \varphi_a}]^T & [K_{w_s \varphi_a}]^T & [K_{w^y_b \varphi_a}]^T & [K_{w^y_s \varphi_a}]^T & [K_{u\varphi_a}] & [K_{u\varphi_s}]

[K_{u\varphi_s}]^T & [K_{y\varphi_s}]^T & [K_{w_b \varphi_s}]^T & [K_{w_s \varphi_s}]^T & [K_{w^y_b \varphi_s}]^T & [K_{w^y_s \varphi_s}]^T & [K_{u\varphi_s}] & [K_{u\varphi_s}]
\end{bmatrix}$$

(4.27)

The element stiffness matrix can be written as

$$[K_e] = \begin{bmatrix}
[K_{ss}] & [K_{sp}]

[K_{sp}]^T & [K_{\varphi \varphi}]
\end{bmatrix}$$

(4.28)

$[K_{ss}], [K_{sp}]$ and $[K_{\varphi \varphi}]$ represent the elastic, piezoelectric and electric stiffness matrices.
4.4 Incremental stiffness matrix

The incremental stiffness matrix is the time dependent part of the stiffness matrix. It enters the stiffness matrix formulation through the axial stress resultant term $N_x$ and is defined as $F_x/b$.

The axial force $F_x$ depends on the acceleration provided to the beam for axial motion and given by Eq. (3.5) as:

$$a^L = -A\Omega^2\sin(\Omega t)$$  \hfill (4.29)

The strain energy corresponding to the axial forces is given by

$$U_{Fx} = \frac{b}{2} \int_0^{l_e} N_x w'^2 dx$$  \hfill (4.30)

$$U_{Fx} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{l_e} F_x w'^2 dx$$  \hfill (4.31)

The virtual strain energy due to axial forces is

$$\delta U_{Fx} = \int_0^{l_e} F_x w' \delta w' dx$$  \hfill (4.32)

Using Newton’s second law, the axial force is expressed in terms of mass per unit length, $\gamma$ and acceleration, $a^L$ and thus Eq. (4.32) becomes

$$\delta U_{Fx} = -\int_0^{l_e} \gamma(L - x) a^L w' \delta w' dx$$  \hfill (4.33)

Expressing $w'$ in terms of Hermite interpolation functions,
\[ \delta U_{F_x} = - \int_{0}^{l_e} \gamma (L - x) a^L [\delta q_w] [H'] [H'] [q_w] dx \]  

(4.34)

where \{q_w\} and \[\delta q_w\] are the transverse displacements and its variations.

The incremental stiffness matrix is obtained as

\[ [K_{F_x}] = - a^L \int_{0}^{l_e} \gamma (L - x) [H'] [H'] dx \]  

(4.35)

The above equation in non-dimensional form is written as

\[ [K_{F_x}] = - \frac{2a^L}{l_e} \int_{-1}^{1} \gamma \{L - \left[ x_b + \frac{l_e}{2} (1 + \xi) \right] \} [H' (\xi)] [H' (\xi)] d\xi \]  

(4.36)

Equation (4.36) is added to the \([K_{ww}]\) term of the formulation using CLPT. In the formulation using FSDT and HSDT it enters the stiffness matrix through \([K_{ww_b}]\) and \([K_{ww_s}]\).

### 4.5 Element mass matrix formulation

Element Inertia matrix is obtained from virtual kinetic expression as

\[ -\delta T_e = [\delta q_e][M_e][q_e] \]  

(4.37)

where \(\delta T_e\) is the element virtual kinetic energy and \([M_e]\) represents the element inertia matrix which depends on the composite plate theory under consideration.

#### 4.5.1 Element Inertia matrix using CLPT

The inertia matrix for beam using CLPT is obtained substituting the Lagrangian interpolation functions for \(u, \gamma\) and \(w^y\) and Hermite interpolation functions for \(w\) in Eq. (3.92).

The obtained inertia matrix is composed of sub matrices and represented as
The sub matrices are given by

\[
[M_e] = \begin{bmatrix}
    [m_{uu}] & [m_{uy}] & [m_{uw}] & [m_{uw\gamma}]
    
    [m_{uy}]^T & [m_{yy}] & [m_{yw}] & [m_{yw\gamma}]
    
    [m_{uw}]^T & [m_{yw}]^T & [m_{ww}] & [m_{ww\gamma}]
    
    [m_{uw\gamma}]^T & [m_{yw\gamma}]^T & [m_{ww\gamma}]^T & [m_{w_w\gamma\gamma}]
\end{bmatrix}
\] (4.38)

The sub matrices are given by

\[
[M_{uu}] = b \int_0^{l_e} l_0 \{H_L\} [H_L] dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{uw}] = -b \int_0^{l_e} l_1 \{H_L\} [H'] dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{wu}] = [M_{uw}]^T
\] (4.39)

\[
[M_{ww}] = b \int_0^{l_e} (l_0[H][H] + l_2[H'][H']) dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{w_w\gamma\gamma}] = b \int_0^{l_e} l_2[H_L][H_L] dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{uy}] = [M_{yy}] = [M_{yw}] = [M_{yw\gamma}] = [M_{ww\gamma}] = [M_{uw\gamma}] = 0
\]

4.5.2 Element Inertia matrix for beam using FSDT

The element inertia matrix beam using FSDT is obtained substituting the Lagrangian interpolation functions for \( u, \gamma \) and \( w_b^\gamma \) and Hermite interpolation functions for \( w_b \) and \( w_2 \) in Eq. (3.100).

The obtained inertia matrix is composed of sub matrices and represented as
\[
M_e = \begin{bmatrix}
[M_{uu}] & [M_{uy}] & [M_{uw_b}] & [M_{uw_s}] & [M_{uw_b}^y] \\
[M_{uy}]^T & [M_{yy}] & [M_{yw_b}] & [M_{yw_s}] & [M_{yw_b}^y] \\
[M_{uw_b}]^T & [M_{yw_b}]^T & [M_{wbw_b}] & [M_{wbw_s}] & [M_{wbw_b}^y] \\
[M_{uw_s}]^T & [M_{yw_s}]^T & [M_{wbw_s}]^T & [M_{wbw_s}] & [M_{wsbw}] \\
[M_{uw_b}^y] & [M_{yw_b}^y] & [M_{wbw_b}^y] & [M_{wbw_b}^y] & [M_{wbw_b}^y]
\end{bmatrix}
\] (4.40)

The sub matrices are given by

\[
[M_{uu}] = b \int_{0}^{l_e} I_0 \{H_L\} H_L dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{uw_b}] = -b \int_{0}^{l_e} I_1 \{H_L\} H' dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{wu}] = [M_{uw}]^T
\]

\[
[M_{wbw_b}] = b \int_{0}^{l_e} (I_0 \{H\} H + I_2 \{H'\} H'') dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{wbw_s}] = b \int_{0}^{l_e} I_0 \{H\} H dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{wbw_s}] = b \int_{0}^{l_e} I_0 \{H\} H dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{wbw_s}^y] = b \int_{0}^{l_e} I_2 \{H_L\} H_L dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{uy}] = [M_{uw_s}] = [M_{uwby}] = [M_{yy}] = [M_{yw_b}] = [M_{yw_b}^y] = 0
\]

\[
[M_{yw_s}] = [M_{wbw_b}^y] = [M_{wsbw}] = 0
\]
4.5.3 **Element Inertia matrix for beam using HSDT**

The element inertia matrix for beam using HSDT is obtained substituting the Lagrangian interpolation functions for \( u, v, w_b, w_s \) and Hermite interpolation functions for \( w_b \) and \( w_s \) in Eq. (3.105).

The obtained inertia matrix is composed of sub matrices and represented as

\[
[M_e] = 
\begin{bmatrix}
[M_{uu}] & [M_{uy}] & [M_{uw_b}] & [M_{uw_s}] & [M_{uw_b}^y] & [M_{uw_s}^y] \\
[M_{uy}]^T & [M_{yy}] & [M_{yw_b}] & [M_{yw_s}] & [M_{yw_b}^y] & [M_{yw_s}^y] \\
[M_{uw_b}]^T & [M_{yw_b}]^T & [M_{w_bb}] & [M_{w_bs}] & [M_{w_bb}^y] & [M_{w_bs}^y] \\
[M_{uw_s}]^T & [M_{yw_s}]^T & [M_{w_bs}]^T & [M_{w_ss}] & [M_{w_bs}^y] & [M_{w_ss}^y] \\
[M_{uw_b}^y]^T & [M_{yw_b}^y]^T & [M_{w_bb}^y] & [M_{w_bs}^y] & [M_{w_bb}^y] & [M_{w_bs}^y] \\
[M_{uw_s}^y]^T & [M_{yw_s}^y]^T & [M_{w_bs}^y] & [M_{w_ss}^y] & [M_{w.bs}^y] & [M_{w_ss}^y]
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The sub matrices are given by

\[
[M_{uu}] = b \int_0^{l_e} l_0[H_L] [H_L] dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{uw_b}] = -b \int_0^{l_e} l_1[H_L] [H'] dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{uw_s}] = -bc_1 \int_0^{l_e} l_3[H_L] [H'] dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{w_bb}] = b \int_0^{l_e} l_2[H'] [H'] dx_e + b \int_0^{l_e} l_0[H] [H] dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{w_bs}] = b \int_0^{l_e} c_1 l_4[H'] [H'] dx_e + b \int_0^{l_e} l_0[H] [H] dx_e
\]
\[
[M_{w,w_s}] = b \int_0^{l_e} c_1^2 l_6 [H'] [H'] \, dx_e + b \int_0^{l_e} I_0 [H] [H] \, dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{w_b,w_b}] = b \int_0^{l_e} I_2 [H_L] [H_L] \, dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{w_y,w_s}] = bc_1 \int_0^{l_e} I_4 [H_L] [H_L] \, dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{w_y,w_s}] = bc_1^2 \int_0^{l_e} I_6 [H_L] [H_L] \, dx_e
\]

\[
[M_{u_y}] = [M_{u,w_y}] = [M_{u,w_s}] = [M_{y,w_b}] = [M_{y,w_s}] = 0
\]

\[
[M_{y,w_b}] = [M_{y,w_s}] = [M_{w_b,w_b}] = [M_{w_b,w_s}] = [M_{w_s,w_b}] = 0
\]

### 4.6 Equation of motion

The equation of motion is obtained as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
[M_{ss}] & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\ddot{q}_s \\
\ddot{\varphi}
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\begin{bmatrix}
[K_{ss}] & [K_{sp}] \\
[K_{sp}^T] & [K_{pp}]
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\{q_s\} \\
\{\varphi\}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
\{Q\} \\
-\{F_q\}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(4.44)

Where \{Q\} and \{F_q\} denote the structural force and the force due to electric charge, respectively.

Expanding Eq. (4.44),

\[
[M_{ss}][\ddot{q}_s] + [K_{ss}][q_s] + [K_{sp}][\varphi] = \{Q\}
\]

(4.45)

\[
[K_{sp}^T][q_s] + [K_{pp}][\varphi] = -\{F_q\}
\]

(4.46)

\[
\{\varphi\} = -[K_{pp}]^{-1}[F_q] - [K_{pp}]^{-1}[K_{sp}^T][q_s]
\]

(4.47)

i.e.,
Thus, Eq. (4.45) becomes:

$$
[M_{ss}]{\ddot q_s} + \left([K_{ss}] - [K_{sp}][K_{qp}]^{-1}[K_{sp}]^T\right){q_s} = \{Q\} + [K_{sp}][K_{qp}]^{-1}\{F_d\} \tag{4.48}
$$

For the sensor layer the applied charge is 0 and thus $\{F_d\}$ is $\{0\}$.

The voltage developed at the sensor layer is obtained from Eq. (4.47)

$$
\{\varphi_s\} = -[K_{qp}]^{-1}_s [K_{sp}]^T_s {q_s} \tag{4.49}
$$

The voltage supplied to the actuator is obtained by employing negative gain velocity feedback control as

$$
\{\varphi_a\} = -G\{\dot q_s\} \tag{4.50}
$$

Writing Eq. (4.48) in terms actuator voltage,

$$
[M_{ss}]{\ddot q_s} + \left([K_{ss}] - [K_{sp}][K_{qp}]^{-1}[K_{sp}]^T\right){q_s} = \{Q\} + [K_{sp}]{\varphi_a} \tag{4.51}
$$

Therefore the equation of motion is

$$
[M_e]{\ddot q_s} + [C_e]{\dot q_s} + [\tilde R_e]{q_s} = 0 \tag{4.52}
$$

Where

$$
[C_e] = -G[K_{sp}][K_{qp}]^{-1}_s [K_{sp}]^T_s \\
[\tilde R_e] = [K_{ss}] - [K_{sp}][K_{qp}]^{-1}[K_{sp}]^T_s \\
[M_e] = [M_{ss}]
$$

The element mass, damping and the stiffness matrices are assembled to form Global mass, damping and stiffness matrices respectively.

Therefore the global equation of motion is

$$
[M]{\ddot q_s} + [C]{\dot q_s} + [K]{q_s} = 0 \tag{4.54}
$$
4.7 Lagrange Multipliers

In the present work, constraints at the support locations of the moving beam are applied via Lagrange multiplier approach. In the case of moving beams, the support’s location changes with time and doesn’t fall at the nodes every time. In such cases, Lagrange multiplier approach is suitable to apply the constraints.

The total potential energy of the beam is given by

$$\Delta p = \delta U - \delta T - \delta W$$

(4.55)

Where $\delta U, \delta T, \delta W$ are the virtual strain energy, virtual kinetic energy and virtual external work done on the beam. On introducing the Lagrange multipliers, the above equation is modified to

$$\bar{\Delta} p = (\delta U - \delta T - \delta W) + \delta (...)$$

(4.56)

The terms in the second parenthesis depends on the composite plate theory applied.

For reduced beam formulation using CLPT, the constraints are applied on $w$ and $w_y$

$$\bar{\Delta} p = (\delta U - \delta T - \delta W) + \delta \left( \lambda_1 w_{x_{s_1}} + \lambda_2 w_{y_{x_{s_1}}} + \lambda_3 w_{x_{s_2}} + \lambda_4 w_{y_{x_{s_2}}} \right)$$

(4.57)

For reduced beam formulation using FSDT, the constraints are applied on $w_b, w_s, w^y_b$

$$\bar{\Delta} p = (\delta U - \delta T - \delta W) + \delta \left( \lambda_1 w_{b_{x_{s_1}}} + \lambda_2 w_{s_{x_{s_1}}} + \lambda_3 w^y_{b_{x_{s_1}}} + \lambda_4 w_{b_{x_{s_2}}} + \lambda_5 w_{s_{x_{s_2}}} + \lambda_6 w^y_{b_{x_{s_2}}} \right)$$

(4.58)

For reduced beam formulation using HSDT, the constraints are applied on $w_b, w_s, w^y_b, w^y_s$

$$\bar{\Delta} p = (\delta U - \delta T - \delta W) + \delta \left( \lambda_1 w_{b_{x_{s_1}}} + \lambda_2 w_{s_{x_{s_1}}} + \lambda_3 w^y_{b_{x_{s_1}}} + \lambda_4 w^y_{s_{x_{s_1}}} + \lambda_5 w_{b_{x_{s_2}}} + \lambda_6 w_{s_{x_{s_2}}} + \lambda_7 w^y_{b_{x_{s_2}}} + \lambda_8 w^y_{s_{x_{s_2}}} \right)$$

(4.59)
where $\lambda_i$ are the Lagrange multipliers corresponding to the constraints at the two supports. For a non-moving beam, Lagrange multipliers are applied to inertia, damping and stiffness matrices to constrain acceleration, velocity and displacement at the supports.

The modified stiffness, inertia and damping matrices are given by

$$[K] = \begin{bmatrix} [K] & [K_\lambda] \\ [K_\lambda^T] & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[M] = \begin{bmatrix} [M] & [M_\lambda] \\ [M_\lambda^T] & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.60}$$

$$[C] = \begin{bmatrix} [C] & [C_\lambda] \\ [C_\lambda^T] & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $[K_\lambda]$, $[M_\lambda]$ and $[C_\lambda]$ are the Lagrange multiplier matrices. Lagrange multiplier matrices for stiffness, mass and inertia matrix are same but represented with different symbols.

For a moving beam, only displacements are constrained at the supports and Lagrange multipliers are applied to the stiffness matrix. Velocities and accelerations are not constrained.

The modified stiffness, inertia and damping matrices are

$$[K] = \begin{bmatrix} [K] & [K_\lambda] \\ [K_\lambda^T] & [0] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[M] = \begin{bmatrix} [M] & [0] \\ [0] & [0] \end{bmatrix} \tag{4.61}$$

$$[C] = \begin{bmatrix} [C] & [0] \\ [0] & [0] \end{bmatrix}$$

The Lagrange multipliers method works well if the number of constraints is much less than the total degrees of freedom of the structure. The Lagrange multiplier method avoids the additional step of determining the reaction forces. For a simply supported beam subjected to a uniformly distributed load, Lagrange multiplier values give the reactions at the supports.
5 NUMERICAL METHODS

Numerical techniques used in this research are presented in this chapter. Gauss quadrature is used to perform integration of elemental stiffness, inertia and damping matrices. Newmark’s implicit time integration method is used to find out the time dependent damped response of the structure. Boundary and initial conditions for beams formulated using different plate theories is presented here.

5.1 Gauss quadrature

The Gauss quadrature is used for the numerical integration of element stiffness, inertia and damping matrices and load vectors in the spatial coordinate. It has been proven [19], [20] that the gauss quadrature is more effective for integration when applying finite element method. For exact integration of a polynomial of order (2n-1), Gauss quadrature requires n equally spaced sampling points. The integration scheme can be represented as

\[
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) \, dx = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j f(a_j)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

Table 5.1 shows the sampling points and their corresponding weights used in the present work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling points</th>
<th>Weights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>± 0.9491079123</td>
<td>0.1294849661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 0.7415311855</td>
<td>0.0797053914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± 0.4058451513</td>
<td>0.3813005050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00000000000</td>
<td>0.4179591836</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 Time integration scheme

In general, the response in the time domain is evaluated using two common methods, namely direct integration and mode superposition techniques.

In Direct integration methods, additional transformation of equations using the eigenvectors is not required. It is based on the following assumptions:

a. The equation of equilibrium is satisfied at discrete time intervals, $\Delta t$

b. The displacements, velocities, and accelerations vary within each interval.

In the present study, direct integration methods are considered with focus on the Newmark method. This is an extension of the linear acceleration method. This method is unconditionally stable and more accurate [19] than other direct integration methods. It is an implicit integration scheme as the equilibrium is considered at $t + \Delta t$. Therefore the equilibrium equation is written as

$$[M][\ddot{q}_{t+\Delta t}] + [C][\dot{q}_{t+\Delta t}] + [K][q_{t+\Delta t}] = \{Q\} \quad (5.2)$$

Where $[M]$, $[C]$, and $[K]$ are the global inertia, damping, and stiffness matrices and $\{Q\}$ is the global load vector.

The displacements and velocities at time $t + \Delta t$ are assumed as

$$\{q_{t+\Delta t}\} = \{q_t\} + [(1 - \delta)\{\dot{q}_t\} + \delta\{\ddot{q}_{t+\Delta t}\}]\Delta t \quad (5.3)$$

$$\{q_{t+\Delta t}\} = \{q_t\} + \{\dot{q}_t\}\Delta t + \left[\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\right]\{\ddot{q}_t\} + \alpha\{\ddot{q}_{t+\Delta t}\}\Delta t^2 \quad (5.4)$$
where $\alpha$ and $\delta$ are Newmark parameters. Setting $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ reduces the Newmark method to the constant acceleration method which is unconditionally stable and accurate.

5.2.1 **Step by step procedure for the Newmark method**

**Initial Calculations**


2. Initialize $U_0$, $\dot{U}_0$ and $\ddot{U}_0$.

3. Select time step size $\Delta t$, parameters $\alpha$ and $\delta$, and calculate the integration constants.

\[
\delta \geq 0.50; \text{ and } \alpha \geq 0.25(0.5 + \delta)^2
\]

\[
a_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha \Delta t^2}; \quad a_1 = \frac{\delta}{\alpha \Delta t}; \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha \Delta t}; \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{2\alpha} - 1
\]

\[
a_4 = \frac{\delta}{\alpha} - 1; \quad a_5 = \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left( \frac{\delta}{\alpha} - 2 \right); \quad a_6 = \Delta t (1 - \delta); \quad a_7 = \delta \Delta t
\]

**For each time step**

1. Add the time dependent part of stiffness matrix.

2. Form effective stiffness matrix $[\hat{K}] = [K] + a_0[M] + a_1[C]$

3. Triangularize $\hat{K}$.

4. Calculate the effective loads at time $t + \Delta t$

\[
\{\hat{Q}_{t+\Delta t}\} = \{Q_{t+\Delta t}\} + [M](a_0\{q_t\} + a_2\{\dot{q}_t\} + a_3\{\ddot{q}_t\}) + [C](a_1\{q_t\} + a_4\{q_t\} + a_5\{\ddot{q}_t\})
\]

5. Calculate the displacements at $t + \Delta t$

6. Calculate the velocities and accelerations at time $t + \Delta t$.

\[
\{\ddot{q}_{t+\Delta t}\} = a_0(\{q_{t+\Delta t}\} - \{q_t\}) - a_2\{\dot{q}_t\} - a_3\{\ddot{q}_t\}
\]

\[
\{\dot{q}_{t+\Delta t}\} = \{\dot{q}_t\} + a_6\{\ddot{q}_t\} + a_7\{\ddot{q}_{t+\Delta t}\}
\]
5.3 Boundary and initial conditions

5.3.1 Boundary conditions

The boundary conditions considered in this research are given below.

5.3.1.1 Formulation based on CLPT

In the formulation using CLPT, $u, \gamma, w$, and $w^\gamma$ are the degrees of freedom at each node with $w$ having slope continuity at the end nodes. The boundary conditions for the two common support conditions are

Fixed support: $u = w = w' = w^\gamma = 0$

Hinged support: $u = w = w^\gamma = 0$

5.3.1.2 Formulation based on FSDT

In the formulation using FSDT, $u, \gamma, w_b, w_s, w^\gamma_b$ are the degrees of freedom at each node with $w_b, w_s$ having slope continuity at the end nodes. The boundary conditions for two common supports are

Fixed support: $u = w_b = w'_b = w^\gamma_b = w_s = w'_s = 0$

Hinged support: $u = w_b = w^\gamma_b = w_s = 0$

5.3.1.3 Formulation based on HSDT

In the formulation using HSDT, $u, \gamma, w_b, w_s, w^\gamma_b, w^\gamma_s$ are the degrees of freedom at each node with $w_b, w_s$ having slope continuity at the end nodes. The boundary conditions at different supports are

Fixed support: $u = w_b = w'_b = w^\gamma_b = w_s = w'_s = w^\gamma_s = 0$

Hinged support: $u = w_b = w^\gamma_b = w_s = w^\gamma_s = 0$
5.3.2 **Initial conditions**

The 1\textsuperscript{st} mode shape in bending \((w)\) of the overhung beam placed symmetrically over the supports with tip deflection normalized to 0.005m at the left and the right tips is taken as the initial condition for the formulation using CLPT, FSDT and HSDT.
6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this chapter the response of a composite moving beam equipped with piezoelectric sensor and actuator layers is presented. Several verification examples are judiciously chosen. The results for the moving beam are presented in terms of tip deflections for CLPT, FSDT and HSDT.

6.1 Verification of Composite moving beam

The time dependent analysis of an initially deformed composite overhanging beam (Figure 4.1) oscillating axially relative to the fixed supports is performed using the formulation proposed in the previous chapters. The finite element formulation is verified for all three plate theories. The geometric and material properties of the beam are taken from Nagappan (2000).

The geometric properties of the overhang beam under consideration are as follows:

Beam length \((L) = 1.0 \text{ m}\)

Beam height \((h) = 0.06 \text{ m}\)

Beam width \((b) = 0.05 \text{ m}\)

Distance between the supports \((d) = 0.25 \text{ } L\)

Initial distance of the left end of the beam from the first support, \(S_1, (X_0) = 0.375 \text{ } L\)

Amplitude of axial rigid body motion \((A) = 0.05 \text{ m}\)

Lay-ups considered: \([0/\pm 45]\)

The ply properties of the graphite-epoxy composite are:

\[
E_1 = 144.8 \text{ GPa} \quad G_{23} = 3.448 \text{ GPa}
\]

\[
E_2 = 9.653 \text{ GPa} \quad \rho = 1389.227 \text{ Kg/m}^3
\]

\[
G_{12} = G_{13} = 4.137 \text{ GPa} \quad v_{12} = 0.3
\]
Overhanging beam is discretized into four elements with three internal nodes per each element. The beam given an initial deformation at time $t = 0$ corresponding to the first bending mode shape of the beam normalized to have tip deflection of 0.005 m at the left and right end of the beam respectively. The beam is given an axial oscillation of 20 rad/s. Newmark’s time integration technique with a time step size of 2.5E-6 is used to find out the time dependent response of the beam.

The left tip deflections of the overhanging moving beam using CLPT, FSDT and HSDT formulations is shown Figures 6.1-6.3.
Figure 6.1 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 45]_s$
Figure 6.2 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), FSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \) rad/s, \([0/\pm 45]\).
Figure 6.3 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \) rad/s, \( [0/\pm 45]_s \).
6.2 Verification of piezoelectric formulation for a non moving beam

The piezoelectric composite beam formulation developed in the previous chapters is verified by modeling a cantilever beam considered by Balamurugan and Narayanan (2002) as shown in Figure 6.4. Note that the top piezoelectric layer serves as the sensor while the bottom one as the actuator. An impulse load of 0.2N is applied at the free end of the cantilever beam for 1ms. Piezoelectric control is applied after 0.5 s to distinguish between damped and undamped responses. A constant-voltage negative-velocity feedback control is used to communicate between sensors and actuators. Newmark’s time integration technique is used to find the time dependent response of the model. A time step size of 2.5E-6 is used for the Newmark’s method.

![Figure 6.4 Cantilever beam with piezoelectric sensors and actuators [Balamurugan and Narayanan (2002)]](Image)

The material properties used are shown in Table 6.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property name</th>
<th>Steel</th>
<th>PZT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus (GPa)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>7850</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The time dependent response of the tip of the cantilever beam is presented in Figure 6.1. The solid line represents the present results while the discrete points are from the reference and it is observed that they match very closely.
Figure 6.5 Tip deflection of a cantilever beam with piezoelectric layers on the top and bottom
6.3 Composite moving beam

Controlled time response of a laminated composite moving beam attached with piezoelectric sensors and actuators is presented in this section. Results are produced for the moving beam formulated using different plate theories; CLPT, FSDT and HSDT. A parametric study is conducted for two different axial frequencies and different laminate lay ups. The material properties of the composite and the piezoelectric materials used in this research are presented in Table 6.2 and the geometric properties in Table 6.3. Note that the inherent material damping of the composite material is not taken into account in the present research.

Table 6.2 Material properties for the composite moving beam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Carbon- epoxy [Barbero (2010)]</th>
<th>PZT [Ha et al (1992)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E_1$ (GPa)</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_2$ (GPa)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{12}$ (GPa)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{13}$ (GPa)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{23}$ (GPa)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{12}$</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{31}$ (m/V)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.54E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{32}$ (m/V)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.54E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{33}$ (F/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.53E-8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.3 Geometric properties of the composite moving beam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length, $L$ (m)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width, $b$ (m)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total thickness of carbon epoxy layers, (m)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of piezoelectric layer (m)</td>
<td>0.1E-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between the supports, $d$ (m)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial distance from the left end of the support $S_1$, $X_0$</td>
<td>0.375L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude of axial rigid body motion, $A$ (m)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of axial motion, $\Omega$ (rad/sec)</td>
<td>10, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lay ups considered</td>
<td>[0/±20], [0/±45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[0/±45]_s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.1 Moving beam simulation using CLPT

Piezo electric sensors and actuators layers are affixed onto the top and bottom of the laminate. The beam given an initial deformation at time $t = 0$ corresponding to the first bending mode shape of the beam normalized to have tip deflection of 0.005 m at the left and right end of the beam respectively. The beam is subjected to an axial motion

$$X_A(t) = -X_0 + A\sin(\Omega t)$$

(6.1)

The piezoelectric sensor and actuator layers communicate with each other through a constant-gain negative-velocity feed back control, which means the gain is kept constant throughout the response period. This combination provides an active damping effect to control the vibrations. The gains are selected such that the vibration amplitude of the left end of the beam reduces to the initial deflection by the end of 1 second.

The time response of the beam is obtained using Newmark’s time integration scheme. A parametric study is conducted to select the time step size such that numerical divergence is avoided. A time step size of 2.5E-6 s is selected. The results are plotted over a time interval of 1 s. To have a distinction between the damped and undamped response, the damping is applied from 0.4 s. The transverse tip deflection right ends of [0/±45] beam with an axial oscillation frequency of 10 rad/s is shown in Figures 6.6 and 6.7. The response of beam shows a beat-like phenomenon, similar to that observed by Sivaneri and Nagappan (2012). The axial diaplacement ($u$) at the left end of the beam is fixed. The axial diaplacement at the right end is shown in Figure 6.8. This also shows a beat-like phenomenon but at a lower level. It can be seen in all the cases that the amplitude of the peak goes down with the inclusion of damping. The effect of damping can be
varied by varying the gains, depending on the requirement. Table 6.6 presents the transverse deflection of the left end of the beam.

For the same beam with axial frequency of 20 rad/s, the transverse tip deflections and the axial displacements are shown in Figures 6.9 and 6.10, respectively. Similar beat–like phenomenon is seen but with a smaller beat period due to increased axial frequency. Table 6.7 presents the tip deflection at the left end of the beam. The gain applied to this beam to have the specified damping is higher than the previous case.

The response of the beam with [0/±20] lay up with an axial frequency of 10 rad/s and 20 rad/s is presented next. Similar beat-like phenomenon is seen with higher frequency of response due to increased stiffness of the beam. The tip deflections at the right and left end of the beam are shown in Figure 6.12, figure 6.13, Figure 6.15 and Figure 6.16. The axial deflection at the right and left end of the beam is shown in Figure 6.14 and Figure 6.17. Table 6.8 and Table 6.9 present the tip deflections at the left end of the beam for [0/±20] lay up with axial frequencies 10 rad/s and 20 rad/s. The stiffness of this beam is much higher than the [0/±45] beam and the axial deflection is much lower. Higher gains are required to suppress the amplitude.

The response of a symmetric beam with [0/±45], lay up with an axial frequency of 20 rad/s is shown next. The transverse tip deflections for the right and the left end of the beam are shown in Figure 6.18 and Figure 6.19. Table 6.10 presents the tip deflection at the left end of the beam. The axial deflection at the right end is shown in Figure 6.20 and the amplitude is near zero until damping is introduced, as expected for a symmetric laminate. Axial deflections due to the inertial forces generated by the rigid-body axial motion are negligible for symmetric and unsymmetric laminates.
The gains required for suppressing the additional amplitude due to the axial motion of the beam for all the cases considered is presented in Table 6.4 and the magnification factors for the tip deflections due to axial oscillation for all the different laminate lay ups is summarized in Table 6.5.

**Table 6.4 Feedback gains supplied to the beams**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay up</th>
<th>Axial frequency, Ω (rad/s)</th>
<th>Gain, G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0/±20]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±20]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45],s</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6.5 Magnification factors for the moving beams with CLPT formulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay up</th>
<th>frequency</th>
<th>$w_{\text{max}}^{\text{static}}$</th>
<th>$w_{\text{max}}^{\text{moving}}$</th>
<th>Mag. factor $\frac{w_{\text{max}}^{\text{moving}}}{w_{\text{max}}^{\text{static}}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0/±20]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006922</td>
<td>1.3844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±20]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0070343</td>
<td>1.4069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006858</td>
<td>1.3716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0069703</td>
<td>1.3941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45],s</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0070245</td>
<td>1.4049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.6 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 45]$
Figure 6.7 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.8 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, CLPT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Table 6.6 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 45]$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.245500</td>
<td>0.002581</td>
<td>0.495000</td>
<td>0.006730</td>
<td>0.740500</td>
<td>-0.001676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.016500</td>
<td>0.004282</td>
<td>0.256500</td>
<td>-0.002786</td>
<td>0.502000</td>
<td>-0.006717</td>
<td>0.756500</td>
<td>0.001643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020500</td>
<td>0.004058</td>
<td>0.267000</td>
<td>0.003076</td>
<td>0.513500</td>
<td>0.006602</td>
<td>0.763500</td>
<td>-0.001632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.031000</td>
<td>-0.003679</td>
<td>0.277500</td>
<td>-0.003267</td>
<td>0.525000</td>
<td>-0.006619</td>
<td>0.770500</td>
<td>0.001617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.041500</td>
<td>0.003193</td>
<td>0.288000</td>
<td>0.003588</td>
<td>0.532000</td>
<td>0.006467</td>
<td>0.784500</td>
<td>0.001583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.054500</td>
<td>0.002826</td>
<td>0.298500</td>
<td>0.003267</td>
<td>0.541000</td>
<td>-0.006619</td>
<td>0.791500</td>
<td>-0.001567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.063000</td>
<td>0.002608</td>
<td>0.309000</td>
<td>0.004602</td>
<td>0.552000</td>
<td>0.006444</td>
<td>0.803500</td>
<td>0.001578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.074000</td>
<td>-0.002485</td>
<td>0.319000</td>
<td>-0.005206</td>
<td>0.563000</td>
<td>0.006221</td>
<td>0.819500</td>
<td>-0.001606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.083000</td>
<td>-0.002273</td>
<td>0.329500</td>
<td>0.005526</td>
<td>0.576000</td>
<td>0.006100</td>
<td>0.826500</td>
<td>0.001650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.098500</td>
<td>0.002120</td>
<td>0.335500</td>
<td>-0.005839</td>
<td>0.582500</td>
<td>-0.005940</td>
<td>0.833500</td>
<td>-0.001682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.107500</td>
<td>0.002042</td>
<td>0.346000</td>
<td>0.006157</td>
<td>0.591000</td>
<td>-0.005839</td>
<td>0.847000</td>
<td>-0.001794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.114500</td>
<td>-0.002037</td>
<td>0.356500</td>
<td>-0.006281</td>
<td>0.601500</td>
<td>0.005585</td>
<td>0.856000</td>
<td>-0.001906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.121500</td>
<td>0.001995</td>
<td>0.369500</td>
<td>-0.006543</td>
<td>0.616000</td>
<td>-0.005129</td>
<td>0.865000</td>
<td>-0.002009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.135500</td>
<td>0.001915</td>
<td>0.371500</td>
<td>0.006591</td>
<td>0.620000</td>
<td>-0.004927</td>
<td>0.876000</td>
<td>0.002084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.142500</td>
<td>-0.001891</td>
<td>0.389000</td>
<td>0.006751</td>
<td>0.630500</td>
<td>0.004389</td>
<td>0.882500</td>
<td>-0.002262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.156500</td>
<td>-0.001861</td>
<td>0.398000</td>
<td>0.006839</td>
<td>0.640500</td>
<td>-0.003899</td>
<td>0.897500</td>
<td>0.002498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.163500</td>
<td>0.001849</td>
<td>0.407000</td>
<td>0.006856</td>
<td>0.651000</td>
<td>0.003450</td>
<td>0.908000</td>
<td>-0.002839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.170500</td>
<td>-0.001839</td>
<td>0.416000</td>
<td>0.006859</td>
<td>0.661500</td>
<td>-0.003094</td>
<td>0.918500</td>
<td>0.003228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.189000</td>
<td>-0.001891</td>
<td>0.427500</td>
<td>-0.006873</td>
<td>0.672000</td>
<td>0.002854</td>
<td>0.929000</td>
<td>-0.003468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.198000</td>
<td>-0.001928</td>
<td>0.439000</td>
<td>0.006838</td>
<td>0.680500</td>
<td>0.002580</td>
<td>0.935000</td>
<td>0.003808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.205000</td>
<td>0.002049</td>
<td>0.446000</td>
<td>-0.006834</td>
<td>0.693500</td>
<td>0.002325</td>
<td>0.949500</td>
<td>-0.004314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.212000</td>
<td>-0.002130</td>
<td>0.453000</td>
<td>0.006824</td>
<td>0.700000</td>
<td>-0.002143</td>
<td>0.953500</td>
<td>-0.004357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.228000</td>
<td>0.002213</td>
<td>0.460000</td>
<td>-0.006815</td>
<td>0.711000</td>
<td>0.002059</td>
<td>0.968000</td>
<td>0.004726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.236500</td>
<td>0.002325</td>
<td>0.474000</td>
<td>-0.006789</td>
<td>0.720000</td>
<td>0.001942</td>
<td>0.978500</td>
<td>-0.004966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.481000</td>
<td>0.006768</td>
<td>0.736000</td>
<td>-0.001750</td>
<td>0.987000</td>
<td>-0.005105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.9 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 45]$
Figure 6.10 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.11 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, CLPT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Table 6.7 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), CLPT, \( \Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s} \), [0/\( \pm 45 \)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.243000</td>
<td>0.0006970</td>
<td>0.494500</td>
<td>-0.005991</td>
<td>0.746500</td>
<td>0.001830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.016500</td>
<td>0.003692</td>
<td>0.254500</td>
<td>-0.006957</td>
<td>0.505500</td>
<td>0.006225</td>
<td>0.757500</td>
<td>-0.002188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020500</td>
<td>0.003062</td>
<td>0.261500</td>
<td>0.006867</td>
<td>0.512000</td>
<td>-0.006221</td>
<td>0.768500</td>
<td>0.002613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.038000</td>
<td>0.002584</td>
<td>0.270500</td>
<td>0.006889</td>
<td>0.528000</td>
<td>0.006334</td>
<td>0.778500</td>
<td>-0.003147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.047000</td>
<td>0.002359</td>
<td>0.281500</td>
<td>-0.006702</td>
<td>0.535000</td>
<td>-0.006277</td>
<td>0.789000</td>
<td>0.003903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.054000</td>
<td>-0.002179</td>
<td>0.290000</td>
<td>-0.006283</td>
<td>0.549000</td>
<td>-0.006267</td>
<td>0.799500</td>
<td>-0.004322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.061000</td>
<td>0.002010</td>
<td>0.303000</td>
<td>-0.005820</td>
<td>0.556000</td>
<td>0.006258</td>
<td>0.805500</td>
<td>0.004610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.075000</td>
<td>0.001903</td>
<td>0.311000</td>
<td>-0.005069</td>
<td>0.563000</td>
<td>-0.006212</td>
<td>0.818500</td>
<td>0.004954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.088500</td>
<td>0.001943</td>
<td>0.321500</td>
<td>0.004039</td>
<td>0.570000</td>
<td>0.006098</td>
<td>0.827500</td>
<td>0.004947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.095500</td>
<td>-0.002031</td>
<td>0.331500</td>
<td>-0.003397</td>
<td>0.583500</td>
<td>0.005995</td>
<td>0.836500</td>
<td>0.004992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.109500</td>
<td>-0.002181</td>
<td>0.342500</td>
<td>0.002972</td>
<td>0.592500</td>
<td>0.005889</td>
<td>0.841000</td>
<td>0.005023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.118500</td>
<td>-0.002502</td>
<td>0.351000</td>
<td>0.002491</td>
<td>0.605500</td>
<td>0.005560</td>
<td>0.855000</td>
<td>0.004982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.129500</td>
<td>0.002867</td>
<td>0.360000</td>
<td>0.002355</td>
<td>0.614000</td>
<td>0.005207</td>
<td>0.862000</td>
<td>-0.004987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.138000</td>
<td>0.003280</td>
<td>0.376000</td>
<td>-0.002099</td>
<td>0.620000</td>
<td>-0.004754</td>
<td>0.876000</td>
<td>-0.004958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.142000</td>
<td>0.003792</td>
<td>0.383000</td>
<td>-0.002040</td>
<td>0.630500</td>
<td>0.004148</td>
<td>0.883000</td>
<td>0.004890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.158500</td>
<td>0.005117</td>
<td>0.390000</td>
<td>-0.001985</td>
<td>0.643000</td>
<td>0.003075</td>
<td>0.892000</td>
<td>0.004819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.169000</td>
<td>-0.005968</td>
<td>0.409000</td>
<td>-0.001956</td>
<td>0.655500</td>
<td>0.002478</td>
<td>0.901000</td>
<td>0.004677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.179500</td>
<td>0.006364</td>
<td>0.418000</td>
<td>-0.002065</td>
<td>0.666500</td>
<td>-0.002031</td>
<td>0.910000</td>
<td>0.004571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.181500</td>
<td>-0.006581</td>
<td>0.424500</td>
<td>0.002204</td>
<td>0.673000</td>
<td>0.001808</td>
<td>0.925000</td>
<td>-0.00426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.199000</td>
<td>-0.006864</td>
<td>0.433500</td>
<td>0.002495</td>
<td>0.682000</td>
<td>0.001699</td>
<td>0.931000</td>
<td>0.003891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.208000</td>
<td>-0.006967</td>
<td>0.442500</td>
<td>0.002850</td>
<td>0.696000</td>
<td>0.001617</td>
<td>0.941500</td>
<td>-0.003495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.215000</td>
<td>0.006963</td>
<td>0.455000</td>
<td>0.003490</td>
<td>0.703000</td>
<td>-0.001589</td>
<td>0.954000</td>
<td>-0.002556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.226500</td>
<td>-0.006936</td>
<td>0.469500</td>
<td>-0.004634</td>
<td>0.717000</td>
<td>-0.001577</td>
<td>0.960000</td>
<td>0.002256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.236000</td>
<td>-0.006959</td>
<td>0.478000</td>
<td>-0.005143</td>
<td>0.724000</td>
<td>0.001613</td>
<td>0.970500</td>
<td>-0.001742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.484000</td>
<td>0.005674</td>
<td>0.738000</td>
<td>0.001710</td>
<td>0.988500</td>
<td>-0.001449</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.12 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 10 \text{ rad/s}$, [0/±20]
Figure 6.13 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
Figure 6.14 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 20]$
### Table 6.8 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), CLPT, \( \Omega = 10 \text{ rad/s} \), [0/±20]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.254000</td>
<td>-0.002683</td>
<td>0.500500</td>
<td>0.006758</td>
<td>0.758500</td>
<td>0.001618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.011000</td>
<td>0.004460</td>
<td>0.267000</td>
<td>0.002943</td>
<td>0.519000</td>
<td>0.006568</td>
<td>0.760000</td>
<td>-0.001524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020500</td>
<td>-0.004002</td>
<td>0.279500</td>
<td>-0.003268</td>
<td>0.525000</td>
<td>0.006635</td>
<td>0.772500</td>
<td>-0.001571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.033000</td>
<td>0.003457</td>
<td>0.286500</td>
<td>0.003623</td>
<td>0.531000</td>
<td>0.006529</td>
<td>0.785000</td>
<td>-0.001525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.040000</td>
<td>-0.003265</td>
<td>0.299000</td>
<td>-0.004173</td>
<td>0.546000</td>
<td>0.006467</td>
<td>0.799000</td>
<td>0.001552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.050000</td>
<td>0.002759</td>
<td>0.308500</td>
<td>0.004666</td>
<td>0.550500</td>
<td>-0.006141</td>
<td>0.803500</td>
<td>-0.001530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.060000</td>
<td>-0.002656</td>
<td>0.319500</td>
<td>0.005219</td>
<td>0.568000</td>
<td>-0.006196</td>
<td>0.817500</td>
<td>0.001595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.074500</td>
<td>-0.002392</td>
<td>0.329000</td>
<td>-0.005597</td>
<td>0.578000</td>
<td>0.006091</td>
<td>0.822000</td>
<td>-0.001528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.080500</td>
<td>-0.002264</td>
<td>0.334500</td>
<td>-0.005812</td>
<td>0.586500</td>
<td>0.005929</td>
<td>0.836000</td>
<td>0.001664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.091000</td>
<td>0.002106</td>
<td>0.347000</td>
<td>0.006166</td>
<td>0.593500</td>
<td>-0.005769</td>
<td>0.846500</td>
<td>-0.001747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.101500</td>
<td>-0.002047</td>
<td>0.354000</td>
<td>-0.006294</td>
<td>0.600500</td>
<td>0.005612</td>
<td>0.858500</td>
<td>-0.001842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.110500</td>
<td>-0.001938</td>
<td>0.362500</td>
<td>-0.006461</td>
<td>0.611500</td>
<td>0.005248</td>
<td>0.869000</td>
<td>0.001922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.126000</td>
<td>-0.001857</td>
<td>0.372500</td>
<td>0.006657</td>
<td>0.622500</td>
<td>0.004850</td>
<td>0.879000</td>
<td>-0.001995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.130500</td>
<td>0.001877</td>
<td>0.388500</td>
<td>-0.006739</td>
<td>0.632000</td>
<td>-0.004348</td>
<td>0.882000</td>
<td>-0.002197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.144500</td>
<td>-0.001810</td>
<td>0.391500</td>
<td>-0.006807</td>
<td>0.643000</td>
<td>-0.003882</td>
<td>0.899000</td>
<td>-0.002395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.157000</td>
<td>-0.001841</td>
<td>0.402000</td>
<td>0.006589</td>
<td>0.650000</td>
<td>0.003571</td>
<td>0.909000</td>
<td>0.002733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.169500</td>
<td>-0.001758</td>
<td>0.418500</td>
<td>-0.006856</td>
<td>0.661000</td>
<td>0.003150</td>
<td>0.914500</td>
<td>0.002963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.171000</td>
<td>0.001840</td>
<td>0.423000</td>
<td>0.006922</td>
<td>0.676500</td>
<td>-0.002667</td>
<td>0.927000</td>
<td>-0.003339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.189500</td>
<td>0.001870</td>
<td>0.438500</td>
<td>0.006574</td>
<td>0.683500</td>
<td>0.002388</td>
<td>0.936500</td>
<td>0.003737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.194000</td>
<td>-0.001785</td>
<td>0.443000</td>
<td>-0.006899</td>
<td>0.691000</td>
<td>-0.002141</td>
<td>0.947500</td>
<td>0.004145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.208000</td>
<td>0.002035</td>
<td>0.458500</td>
<td>-0.006858</td>
<td>0.702500</td>
<td>-0.002126</td>
<td>0.958500</td>
<td>0.004448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.218500</td>
<td>-0.002108</td>
<td>0.460000</td>
<td>0.006851</td>
<td>0.713000</td>
<td>0.001889</td>
<td>0.969500</td>
<td>0.004783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.229000</td>
<td>0.002240</td>
<td>0.472500</td>
<td>0.006840</td>
<td>0.729500</td>
<td>-0.001758</td>
<td>0.975000</td>
<td>0.004864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.235000</td>
<td>0.002341</td>
<td>0.486500</td>
<td>-0.006809</td>
<td>0.734000</td>
<td>0.001724</td>
<td>0.989000</td>
<td>0.005010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.241000</td>
<td>0.002365</td>
<td>0.499000</td>
<td>-0.006671</td>
<td>0.740000</td>
<td>0.001711</td>
<td>0.999000</td>
<td>-0.005117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.15 Transverse Tip deflection at the left end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega=20$ rad/sec, $[0/\pm20]$
Figure 6.16 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
Figure 6.17 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, CLPT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±20]
### Table 6.9 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), CLPT, \( \Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s} \), [0/±20]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.258000</td>
<td>-0.006979</td>
<td>0.507500</td>
<td>-0.006275</td>
<td>0.758500</td>
<td>0.002119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.011000</td>
<td>0.004031</td>
<td>0.262500</td>
<td>0.006976</td>
<td>0.519500</td>
<td>-0.006322</td>
<td>0.768500</td>
<td>-0.002661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.022000</td>
<td>0.003066</td>
<td>0.273000</td>
<td>-0.006855</td>
<td>0.524000</td>
<td>0.006390</td>
<td>0.779500</td>
<td>-0.003254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.035000</td>
<td>-0.002566</td>
<td>0.280500</td>
<td>0.006557</td>
<td>0.530000</td>
<td>0.006195</td>
<td>0.786500</td>
<td>0.003858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.041000</td>
<td>-0.002363</td>
<td>0.290500</td>
<td>-0.006385</td>
<td>0.542500</td>
<td>0.006382</td>
<td>0.796000</td>
<td>-0.004480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.051500</td>
<td>0.002148</td>
<td>0.303000</td>
<td>0.005973</td>
<td>0.556500</td>
<td>-0.006316</td>
<td>0.808500</td>
<td>0.004701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.060500</td>
<td>0.001872</td>
<td>0.310000</td>
<td>-0.005358</td>
<td>0.569000</td>
<td>-0.006059</td>
<td>0.811500</td>
<td>0.004854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.070000</td>
<td>0.001946</td>
<td>0.321000</td>
<td>-0.004232</td>
<td>0.575000</td>
<td>-0.006175</td>
<td>0.824500</td>
<td>-0.005051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.088500</td>
<td>0.001923</td>
<td>0.330500</td>
<td>0.003630</td>
<td>0.581000</td>
<td>-0.006021</td>
<td>0.835000</td>
<td>0.005084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.096500</td>
<td>-0.001876</td>
<td>0.340500</td>
<td>-0.002688</td>
<td>0.590000</td>
<td>-0.005971</td>
<td>0.841000</td>
<td>0.005073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.107000</td>
<td>0.002181</td>
<td>0.352000</td>
<td>-0.002495</td>
<td>0.604500</td>
<td>-0.005649</td>
<td>0.856500</td>
<td>0.005057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.117500</td>
<td>-0.002410</td>
<td>0.362500</td>
<td>0.002201</td>
<td>0.611500</td>
<td>0.005412</td>
<td>0.869000</td>
<td>0.005013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.123500</td>
<td>-0.002552</td>
<td>0.373000</td>
<td>-0.002006</td>
<td>0.622500</td>
<td>0.004719</td>
<td>0.870500</td>
<td>-0.005014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.139000</td>
<td>0.003448</td>
<td>0.387000</td>
<td>0.001925</td>
<td>0.632000</td>
<td>-0.003842</td>
<td>0.886000</td>
<td>-0.004943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.147500</td>
<td>0.004136</td>
<td>0.399500</td>
<td>0.001910</td>
<td>0.640500</td>
<td>-0.003202</td>
<td>0.890500</td>
<td>0.004899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.157000</td>
<td>-0.005138</td>
<td>0.409000</td>
<td>0.001850</td>
<td>0.650000</td>
<td>0.002515</td>
<td>0.902500</td>
<td>0.004779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.168000</td>
<td>-0.005964</td>
<td>0.419500</td>
<td>-0.002060</td>
<td>0.663000</td>
<td>-0.002079</td>
<td>0.910000</td>
<td>-0.004473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.175000</td>
<td>0.006224</td>
<td>0.428500</td>
<td>-0.002196</td>
<td>0.673500</td>
<td>0.001782</td>
<td>0.920000</td>
<td>0.004377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.182000</td>
<td>-0.006524</td>
<td>0.434500</td>
<td>-0.002425</td>
<td>0.684000</td>
<td>-0.001619</td>
<td>0.931000</td>
<td>0.004028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.196500</td>
<td>-0.006859</td>
<td>0.449000</td>
<td>-0.002988</td>
<td>0.698000</td>
<td>0.001516</td>
<td>0.942000</td>
<td>0.003427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.207000</td>
<td>0.006944</td>
<td>0.456000</td>
<td>0.003465</td>
<td>0.702500</td>
<td>-0.001451</td>
<td>0.951500</td>
<td>-0.002676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.211500</td>
<td>-0.006992</td>
<td>0.467000</td>
<td>0.004421</td>
<td>0.712000</td>
<td>-0.001509</td>
<td>0.960000</td>
<td>-0.002299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.228500</td>
<td>0.006979</td>
<td>0.476500</td>
<td>-0.005298</td>
<td>0.726000</td>
<td>0.001514</td>
<td>0.970000</td>
<td>0.001675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.230000</td>
<td>-0.007037</td>
<td>0.487500</td>
<td>-0.005823</td>
<td>0.735000</td>
<td>0.001644</td>
<td>0.980000</td>
<td>-0.001592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.244000</td>
<td>0.007034</td>
<td>0.496000</td>
<td>-0.006049</td>
<td>0.747000</td>
<td>0.001872</td>
<td>0.990500</td>
<td>0.001380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.18 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), CLPT, \( \Omega = 20 \) rad/s, \([0/\pm 45]\),
Figure 6.19 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, CLPT, $\Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s}$, $[0/\pm45]_s$
Figure 6.20 Axial deflection at the right end, \(u\), CLPT, \(\Omega = 20\) rad/s, \([0/\pm 45]_s\).
Table 6.10 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), CLPT, \( \Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s}, [0/\pm45]_s \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.258500</td>
<td>0.006944</td>
<td>0.500000</td>
<td>-0.006042</td>
<td>0.753000</td>
<td>0.002072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.011000</td>
<td>0.004034</td>
<td>0.260000</td>
<td>-0.006990</td>
<td>0.517500</td>
<td>-0.006331</td>
<td>0.768500</td>
<td>-0.002663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020500</td>
<td>-0.003187</td>
<td>0.270500</td>
<td>0.006890</td>
<td>0.522000</td>
<td>0.006351</td>
<td>0.779500</td>
<td>-0.003207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.033500</td>
<td>0.002661</td>
<td>0.288000</td>
<td>0.006603</td>
<td>0.531000</td>
<td>0.006111</td>
<td>0.786500</td>
<td>0.003862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.041000</td>
<td>-0.002082</td>
<td>0.295000</td>
<td>-0.003187</td>
<td>0.538000</td>
<td>0.006351</td>
<td>0.796000</td>
<td>-0.004431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.050000</td>
<td>-0.001995</td>
<td>0.302000</td>
<td>0.005947</td>
<td>0.559000</td>
<td>0.006260</td>
<td>0.803500</td>
<td>0.004710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.065000</td>
<td>-0.002017</td>
<td>0.311500</td>
<td>-0.005174</td>
<td>0.560500</td>
<td>-0.006205</td>
<td>0.818500</td>
<td>-0.004919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.077500</td>
<td>-0.001873</td>
<td>0.322500</td>
<td>-0.004316</td>
<td>0.577500</td>
<td>0.005772</td>
<td>0.820000</td>
<td>0.004914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.082000</td>
<td>0.001866</td>
<td>0.333500</td>
<td>-0.003346</td>
<td>0.582000</td>
<td>-0.005759</td>
<td>0.839500</td>
<td>0.004795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.096000</td>
<td>-0.002049</td>
<td>0.340500</td>
<td>0.002906</td>
<td>0.598000</td>
<td>0.005714</td>
<td>0.848500</td>
<td>0.005032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.106500</td>
<td>0.002114</td>
<td>0.353500</td>
<td>-0.002298</td>
<td>0.606500</td>
<td>0.005517</td>
<td>0.850000</td>
<td>0.005009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.117000</td>
<td>-0.002409</td>
<td>0.364000</td>
<td>0.002148</td>
<td>0.613500</td>
<td>-0.005199</td>
<td>0.864000</td>
<td>-0.004993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.128500</td>
<td>-0.002651</td>
<td>0.373000</td>
<td>0.001884</td>
<td>0.620500</td>
<td>0.004618</td>
<td>0.879500</td>
<td>-0.004909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.138500</td>
<td>0.003183</td>
<td>0.382500</td>
<td>-0.003346</td>
<td>0.630000</td>
<td>-0.003955</td>
<td>0.881000</td>
<td>0.004937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.145500</td>
<td>-0.003971</td>
<td>0.399500</td>
<td>-0.001941</td>
<td>0.641000</td>
<td>-0.003055</td>
<td>0.890000</td>
<td>0.004663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.156500</td>
<td>-0.004850</td>
<td>0.404000</td>
<td>0.001873</td>
<td>0.652000</td>
<td>-0.002555</td>
<td>0.906500</td>
<td>-0.004689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.166000</td>
<td>0.005694</td>
<td>0.418000</td>
<td>-0.002071</td>
<td>0.665000</td>
<td>0.002073</td>
<td>0.918000</td>
<td>-0.004442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.178500</td>
<td>-0.006404</td>
<td>0.428500</td>
<td>0.002285</td>
<td>0.671000</td>
<td>0.001887</td>
<td>0.925000</td>
<td>0.004200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.187000</td>
<td>-0.006671</td>
<td>0.434500</td>
<td>0.002303</td>
<td>0.681500</td>
<td>-0.001685</td>
<td>0.930500</td>
<td>0.003940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.190000</td>
<td>-0.006530</td>
<td>0.448500</td>
<td>0.002867</td>
<td>0.690500</td>
<td>-0.001585</td>
<td>0.941500</td>
<td>0.003353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.204500</td>
<td>-0.006483</td>
<td>0.457000</td>
<td>0.003585</td>
<td>0.704500</td>
<td>0.001523</td>
<td>0.951000</td>
<td>-0.002663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.218500</td>
<td>0.006674</td>
<td>0.468000</td>
<td>0.004373</td>
<td>0.717000</td>
<td>0.001513</td>
<td>0.963500</td>
<td>0.002122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.224500</td>
<td>0.007023</td>
<td>0.479000</td>
<td>0.005197</td>
<td>0.721500</td>
<td>-0.001549</td>
<td>0.972000</td>
<td>0.001775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.238500</td>
<td>-0.006951</td>
<td>0.484500</td>
<td>0.005686</td>
<td>0.736500</td>
<td>-0.001497</td>
<td>0.982500</td>
<td>-0.001396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.241500</td>
<td>-0.007035</td>
<td>0.498500</td>
<td>0.006129</td>
<td>0.748500</td>
<td>-0.001825</td>
<td>0.994000</td>
<td>-0.001210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.2 Moving beam simulation using FSDT

In this section, the response of the moving beam with FSDT formulation is shown. The laminate lay ups and the axial oscillation frequencies are kept same as those used in CLPT. For the sake of comparison, the gains supplied to reduce the amplitude are same as the ones used for CLPT. It is observed that for a certain gain, the amplitude reduces more for the beam with FSDT formulation. The left end of the axial displacement is fixed as before. The tip deflections for the left and right end and the axial deflection at the right end of the beam with [0/±45] lay up and axial oscillation frequency of 10 rad/s is shown in Figures 6.21 - 6.27. The tip deflections at the left end of the beam are presented in Table 6.12. The bending and shear components of the transverse deflection are shown separately and the total transverse deflection is also shown. A similar beat-like phenomenon is observed with slightly different amplitudes.

The response of the beam with [0/±45] lay up with axial oscillation frequency of 20 rad/s is shown from Figures 6.28 - 6.34. The transverse deflections at the left end of the beam are presented in Table 6.13. The beat period is smaller with increased axial frequency. The response of the beam with [0/±20] with axial frequencies 10 rad/sec and 20 rad/sec is presented from Figures 6.35 - 6.48. Tables 6.14 and 6.15 present the tip deflections at the left end of the beam. The results for the [0/±45], laminate beam with axial oscillation frequency of 20 rad/s is shown in Figures 6.49 - 6.55. Table 6.16 presents the tip deflections at the left end of the beam. The magnification factors for the tip deflections due to the axial oscillation for all the different laminate lay ups are summarized in Table 6.11.
Table 6.11 Magnification factors for the moving beams with FSDT formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay up</th>
<th>frequency</th>
<th>$w_{max}^{static}$</th>
<th>$w_{max}^{moving}$</th>
<th>Mag. factor $\frac{w_{max}^{moving}}{w_{max}^{static}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0/±20]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006929</td>
<td>1.3858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±20]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007021</td>
<td>1.4042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006907</td>
<td>1.3814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0070814</td>
<td>1.4163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]_s</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0070133</td>
<td>1.4066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.21 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 45]$
Figure 6.22 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.23 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 45]$
Figure 6.24 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, [0/±45]
Figure 6.25 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.26 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.27 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Table 6.12 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), FSDT, \( \Omega = 10 \text{ rad/s} \), [0/\pm45]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.258500</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.503500</td>
<td>0.006722</td>
<td>0.750500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.010500</td>
<td>-0.004290</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.260500</td>
<td>-0.002848</td>
<td>0.510500</td>
<td>-0.006678</td>
<td>0.765000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021000</td>
<td>0.003979</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.273500</td>
<td>-0.003232</td>
<td>0.524500</td>
<td>-0.006588</td>
<td>0.772000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.031500</td>
<td>-0.003489</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.288500</td>
<td>0.003670</td>
<td>0.531500</td>
<td>0.006548</td>
<td>0.786000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.046500</td>
<td>0.003047</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.299000</td>
<td>-0.004232</td>
<td>0.543000</td>
<td>-0.006455</td>
<td>0.793500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.059500</td>
<td>0.002676</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.303000</td>
<td>-0.004528</td>
<td>0.552000</td>
<td>-0.006302</td>
<td>0.800500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.068500</td>
<td>0.002484</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.317500</td>
<td>0.005169</td>
<td>0.561000</td>
<td>-0.006150</td>
<td>0.814500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.070500</td>
<td>-0.002377</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.328000</td>
<td>-0.005517</td>
<td>0.572000</td>
<td>0.005951</td>
<td>0.824000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.086500</td>
<td>0.002194</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.336500</td>
<td>-0.005940</td>
<td>0.583000</td>
<td>0.006548</td>
<td>0.838000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.095500</td>
<td>0.002112</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.347000</td>
<td>-0.006267</td>
<td>0.591500</td>
<td>-0.005825</td>
<td>0.845000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.102500</td>
<td>-0.001969</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.353500</td>
<td>-0.006598</td>
<td>0.600000</td>
<td>-0.006530</td>
<td>0.854000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.112000</td>
<td>-0.001876</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.360000</td>
<td>0.006496</td>
<td>0.610500</td>
<td>0.005273</td>
<td>0.865000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.126000</td>
<td>-0.001859</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.373000</td>
<td>0.006675</td>
<td>0.621000</td>
<td>0.004896</td>
<td>0.874500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.133000</td>
<td>0.001828</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.388500</td>
<td>-0.006698</td>
<td>0.631500</td>
<td>0.004248</td>
<td>0.885500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.140000</td>
<td>-0.001776</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.393000</td>
<td>-0.006777</td>
<td>0.640000</td>
<td>0.003852</td>
<td>0.896500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.154500</td>
<td>-0.001769</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.409000</td>
<td>0.006891</td>
<td>0.654500</td>
<td>-0.003395</td>
<td>0.907000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.161500</td>
<td>0.001807</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.416000</td>
<td>-0.006914</td>
<td>0.663000</td>
<td>0.002839</td>
<td>0.918000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.175500</td>
<td>0.001733</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.423000</td>
<td>0.006892</td>
<td>0.671500</td>
<td>-0.002673</td>
<td>0.924000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.183000</td>
<td>-0.001810</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.430000</td>
<td>-0.006878</td>
<td>0.680000</td>
<td>-0.002407</td>
<td>0.936500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.197000</td>
<td>-0.001860</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.446500</td>
<td>0.006907</td>
<td>0.691000</td>
<td>0.002197</td>
<td>0.949000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.206000</td>
<td>-0.001892</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.453500</td>
<td>-0.006840</td>
<td>0.700000</td>
<td>0.002045</td>
<td>0.959500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.215500</td>
<td>-0.001990</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.465500</td>
<td>0.006829</td>
<td>0.711500</td>
<td>-0.001868</td>
<td>0.968000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.222500</td>
<td>0.002145</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.475000</td>
<td>0.006839</td>
<td>0.720500</td>
<td>-0.001802</td>
<td>0.978500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.231500</td>
<td>0.002268</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.487000</td>
<td>-0.006741</td>
<td>0.736500</td>
<td>0.001669</td>
<td>0.985000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.249500</td>
<td>0.002582</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.494000</td>
<td>0.006746</td>
<td>0.743500</td>
<td>-0.001631</td>
<td>0.998000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.28 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±45]
Figure 6.29 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_5$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±45]
Figure 6.30 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±45]
Figure 6.31 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±45]
Figure 6.32 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.33 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, \( w \), FSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s} \), [0/\pm45]
Figure 6.34 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s}$, [0/±45]
Table 6.13 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±45]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.256500</td>
<td>0.006904</td>
<td>0.502500</td>
<td>-0.006161</td>
<td>0.758500</td>
<td>0.002053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01050</td>
<td>-0.003586</td>
<td>0.268000</td>
<td>-0.006903</td>
<td>0.513500</td>
<td>0.006167</td>
<td>0.769500</td>
<td>-0.002613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02100</td>
<td>0.003201</td>
<td>0.275000</td>
<td>0.006854</td>
<td>0.520500</td>
<td>-0.006300</td>
<td>0.778000</td>
<td>-0.002913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03050</td>
<td>0.002712</td>
<td>0.286000</td>
<td>-0.006701</td>
<td>0.534500</td>
<td>-0.006240</td>
<td>0.788500</td>
<td>0.003819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04100</td>
<td>-0.002391</td>
<td>0.290500</td>
<td>-0.006318</td>
<td>0.544000</td>
<td>-0.006253</td>
<td>0.799000</td>
<td>-0.004229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05050</td>
<td>-0.002149</td>
<td>0.301000</td>
<td>0.006029</td>
<td>0.556000</td>
<td>0.006193</td>
<td>0.809500</td>
<td>0.004548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06400</td>
<td>-0.002036</td>
<td>0.311500</td>
<td>-0.005379</td>
<td>0.563000</td>
<td>-0.006124</td>
<td>0.825000</td>
<td>-0.004692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07850</td>
<td>-0.001963</td>
<td>0.320000</td>
<td>-0.004079</td>
<td>0.572500</td>
<td>-0.006064</td>
<td>0.825000</td>
<td>-0.004803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08550</td>
<td>0.002025</td>
<td>0.330500</td>
<td>0.003599</td>
<td>0.588500</td>
<td>0.005833</td>
<td>0.836500</td>
<td>0.004767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09250</td>
<td>-0.002050</td>
<td>0.341000</td>
<td>-0.002805</td>
<td>0.597500</td>
<td>0.005730</td>
<td>0.843500</td>
<td>-0.004784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10900</td>
<td>0.002137</td>
<td>0.350000</td>
<td>-0.002388</td>
<td>0.602000</td>
<td>0.005432</td>
<td>0.850500</td>
<td>0.004776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11800</td>
<td>0.002360</td>
<td>0.361500</td>
<td>0.002193</td>
<td>0.610500</td>
<td>0.005305</td>
<td>0.862500</td>
<td>-0.004793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12700</td>
<td>0.002804</td>
<td>0.375500</td>
<td>0.002054</td>
<td>0.621000</td>
<td>-0.004731</td>
<td>0.872000</td>
<td>-0.004703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13800</td>
<td>-0.003368</td>
<td>0.382500</td>
<td>-0.001951</td>
<td>0.631500</td>
<td>0.003652</td>
<td>0.881500</td>
<td>-0.004670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.14850</td>
<td>0.004096</td>
<td>0.397000</td>
<td>-0.001925</td>
<td>0.640000</td>
<td>0.003232</td>
<td>0.893000</td>
<td>0.004547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15900</td>
<td>-0.004823</td>
<td>0.404000</td>
<td>0.001996</td>
<td>0.650500</td>
<td>-0.002496</td>
<td>0.900000</td>
<td>-0.004542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16950</td>
<td>0.005902</td>
<td>0.411000</td>
<td>-0.002004</td>
<td>0.661500</td>
<td>0.002036</td>
<td>0.913500</td>
<td>-0.004256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17800</td>
<td>0.006190</td>
<td>0.429500</td>
<td>-0.002164</td>
<td>0.670500</td>
<td>0.001734</td>
<td>0.920000</td>
<td>0.004222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18000</td>
<td>-0.006564</td>
<td>0.438500</td>
<td>-0.002517</td>
<td>0.680000</td>
<td>0.001575</td>
<td>0.930500</td>
<td>-0.003844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19100</td>
<td>0.006802</td>
<td>0.449500</td>
<td>0.003002</td>
<td>0.696000</td>
<td>-0.001494</td>
<td>0.941000</td>
<td>0.003123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20000</td>
<td>0.006914</td>
<td>0.458000</td>
<td>0.003465</td>
<td>0.703000</td>
<td>0.001424</td>
<td>0.951500</td>
<td>-0.002643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21850</td>
<td>0.006984</td>
<td>0.468500</td>
<td>-0.004149</td>
<td>0.717500</td>
<td>0.001438</td>
<td>0.960000</td>
<td>-0.002178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22800</td>
<td>0.006942</td>
<td>0.479000</td>
<td>0.005262</td>
<td>0.724500</td>
<td>-0.001501</td>
<td>0.973000</td>
<td>-0.001682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23750</td>
<td>0.007081</td>
<td>0.489500</td>
<td>-0.005801</td>
<td>0.738500</td>
<td>-0.001611</td>
<td>0.982000</td>
<td>-0.001374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24700</td>
<td>0.006966</td>
<td>0.491500</td>
<td>0.006016</td>
<td>0.747500</td>
<td>-0.001752</td>
<td>0.991000</td>
<td>-0.001204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.35 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, [0/±20]
Figure 6.36 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_s$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, [0/±20]
Figure 6.37 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), FSDT, \( \Omega = 10 \text{ rad/s}, [0/\pm20] \)
Figure 6.38 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, [0/±20]
Figure 6.39 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, [0/±20]
Figure 6.40 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10 \text{ rad/s}$, $[0/\pm 20]$
Figure 6.41 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
Table 6.14 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, FSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, [0/±20]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.258500</td>
<td>0.002383</td>
<td>0.500500</td>
<td>0.006616</td>
<td>0.755500</td>
<td>0.001254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01550</td>
<td>-0.004225</td>
<td>0.266000</td>
<td>-0.002765</td>
<td>0.516500</td>
<td>0.006512</td>
<td>0.760500</td>
<td>-0.001280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02550</td>
<td>0.003679</td>
<td>0.273500</td>
<td>0.002989</td>
<td>0.526000</td>
<td>0.006443</td>
<td>0.775000</td>
<td>0.001225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03550</td>
<td>-0.003320</td>
<td>0.285000</td>
<td>0.003483</td>
<td>0.537000</td>
<td>-0.006342</td>
<td>0.781500</td>
<td>0.001195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04000</td>
<td>0.002756</td>
<td>0.295000</td>
<td>-0.004008</td>
<td>0.540000</td>
<td>-0.006193</td>
<td>0.796000</td>
<td>-0.001208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05450</td>
<td>0.002595</td>
<td>0.309000</td>
<td>0.005346</td>
<td>0.557000</td>
<td>0.006167</td>
<td>0.809000</td>
<td>-0.001198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06200</td>
<td>-0.002444</td>
<td>0.319000</td>
<td>0.006253</td>
<td>0.560000</td>
<td>0.006073</td>
<td>0.810500</td>
<td>0.001235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07100</td>
<td>-0.002090</td>
<td>0.327500</td>
<td>0.005591</td>
<td>0.572000</td>
<td>0.005961</td>
<td>0.825000</td>
<td>-0.001279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08800</td>
<td>0.002013</td>
<td>0.334500</td>
<td>-0.004644</td>
<td>0.581000</td>
<td>0.005691</td>
<td>0.836000</td>
<td>0.001298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09900</td>
<td>-0.001813</td>
<td>0.344500</td>
<td>0.006253</td>
<td>0.592500</td>
<td>0.005500</td>
<td>0.847000</td>
<td>-0.001344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10050</td>
<td>0.001881</td>
<td>0.359000</td>
<td>0.006527</td>
<td>0.605500</td>
<td>-0.005190</td>
<td>0.859500</td>
<td>-0.001471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11150</td>
<td>-0.001795</td>
<td>0.360500</td>
<td>-0.006622</td>
<td>0.612500</td>
<td>0.004895</td>
<td>0.865500</td>
<td>-0.001423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12600</td>
<td>0.001690</td>
<td>0.377000</td>
<td>0.006379</td>
<td>0.621000</td>
<td>0.004628</td>
<td>0.879500</td>
<td>0.001592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13700</td>
<td>-0.001598</td>
<td>0.389000</td>
<td>0.006811</td>
<td>0.631000</td>
<td>-0.004096</td>
<td>0.887000</td>
<td>-0.001660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.14200</td>
<td>0.001671</td>
<td>0.392000</td>
<td>0.006905</td>
<td>0.645000</td>
<td>-0.003445</td>
<td>0.898500</td>
<td>-0.001912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15650</td>
<td>-0.001646</td>
<td>0.409000</td>
<td>-0.006954</td>
<td>0.655000</td>
<td>0.002967</td>
<td>0.904500</td>
<td>-0.002151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16150</td>
<td>0.001641</td>
<td>0.418500</td>
<td>-0.006881</td>
<td>0.666500</td>
<td>0.002566</td>
<td>0.916000</td>
<td>-0.002467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17600</td>
<td>-0.001691</td>
<td>0.420000</td>
<td>0.006929</td>
<td>0.671000</td>
<td>-0.002244</td>
<td>0.926000</td>
<td>0.002804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18550</td>
<td>-0.001614</td>
<td>0.436000</td>
<td>0.006889</td>
<td>0.684000</td>
<td>0.001948</td>
<td>0.938500</td>
<td>-0.003172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19050</td>
<td>0.001741</td>
<td>0.444000</td>
<td>-0.006833</td>
<td>0.691500</td>
<td>-0.001841</td>
<td>0.948500</td>
<td>0.003662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20650</td>
<td>0.001821</td>
<td>0.452000</td>
<td>0.006842</td>
<td>0.705500</td>
<td>0.001587</td>
<td>0.957000</td>
<td>0.003849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21750</td>
<td>-0.001923</td>
<td>0.465000</td>
<td>0.006789</td>
<td>0.711500</td>
<td>0.001635</td>
<td>0.965500</td>
<td>0.004058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22850</td>
<td>0.001917</td>
<td>0.471500</td>
<td>0.006749</td>
<td>0.724000</td>
<td>0.001467</td>
<td>0.977000</td>
<td>0.004220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23600</td>
<td>-0.002151</td>
<td>0.486000</td>
<td>-0.006702</td>
<td>0.735000</td>
<td>-0.001396</td>
<td>0.988500</td>
<td>0.004210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24950</td>
<td>0.002151</td>
<td>0.492500</td>
<td>-0.006625</td>
<td>0.746000</td>
<td>0.001356</td>
<td>0.999000</td>
<td>-0.004417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.42 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±20]
Figure 6.43 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_s$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 20]$
Figure 6.44 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
Figure 6.45 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 20]$
Figure 6.46 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 20]$. 
Figure 6.47 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±20]
Figure 6.48 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±20]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.253500</td>
<td>0.006999</td>
<td>0.509500</td>
<td>0.005637</td>
<td>0.756000</td>
<td>0.001621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01000</td>
<td>-0.003498</td>
<td>0.261500</td>
<td>-0.007044</td>
<td>0.514000</td>
<td>-0.006054</td>
<td>0.763500</td>
<td>-0.001875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02300</td>
<td>0.002872</td>
<td>0.278500</td>
<td>0.006892</td>
<td>0.526500</td>
<td>-0.006044</td>
<td>0.775000</td>
<td>-0.002440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03050</td>
<td>-0.002293</td>
<td>0.280000</td>
<td>-0.006873</td>
<td>0.534500</td>
<td>0.006005</td>
<td>0.789000</td>
<td>-0.003278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04550</td>
<td>-0.002099</td>
<td>0.290500</td>
<td>0.006461</td>
<td>0.541000</td>
<td>0.005953</td>
<td>0.799000</td>
<td>0.003822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05650</td>
<td>0.001930</td>
<td>0.303500</td>
<td>-0.006022</td>
<td>0.555500</td>
<td>-0.005918</td>
<td>0.805000</td>
<td>-0.004007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06150</td>
<td>-0.001814</td>
<td>0.310500</td>
<td>-0.004230</td>
<td>0.562000</td>
<td>-0.005782</td>
<td>0.815000</td>
<td>-0.003963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07100</td>
<td>-0.001799</td>
<td>0.320500</td>
<td>-0.004342</td>
<td>0.570000</td>
<td>-0.005626</td>
<td>0.835000</td>
<td>-0.004143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08550</td>
<td>0.001728</td>
<td>0.330500</td>
<td>0.003351</td>
<td>0.581000</td>
<td>-0.005244</td>
<td>0.844500</td>
<td>0.004102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09050</td>
<td>-0.001821</td>
<td>0.340500</td>
<td>-0.002582</td>
<td>0.593500</td>
<td>0.005244</td>
<td>0.851000</td>
<td>0.004112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10650</td>
<td>-0.001985</td>
<td>0.354000</td>
<td>0.002084</td>
<td>0.601000</td>
<td>0.005097</td>
<td>0.851000</td>
<td>0.004112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11900</td>
<td>-0.002094</td>
<td>0.365000</td>
<td>-0.001943</td>
<td>0.612500</td>
<td>0.004841</td>
<td>0.865500</td>
<td>-0.004049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12500</td>
<td>-0.002452</td>
<td>0.370000</td>
<td>0.001847</td>
<td>0.622500</td>
<td>-0.004342</td>
<td>0.872000</td>
<td>-0.003996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13950</td>
<td>-0.002801</td>
<td>0.381000</td>
<td>-0.001843</td>
<td>0.631000</td>
<td>-0.003588</td>
<td>0.880000</td>
<td>0.003953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.14400</td>
<td>0.003697</td>
<td>0.395500</td>
<td>0.001756</td>
<td>0.641000</td>
<td>0.002571</td>
<td>0.897500</td>
<td>-0.003796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15950</td>
<td>-0.005108</td>
<td>0.400500</td>
<td>-0.001837</td>
<td>0.652500</td>
<td>0.002048</td>
<td>0.900500</td>
<td>-0.003741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16800</td>
<td>-0.006129</td>
<td>0.416500</td>
<td>-0.001842</td>
<td>0.661500</td>
<td>0.001730</td>
<td>0.918500</td>
<td>-0.003348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17800</td>
<td>0.006534</td>
<td>0.427500</td>
<td>0.002072</td>
<td>0.670500</td>
<td>0.001274</td>
<td>0.924500</td>
<td>-0.003421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18550</td>
<td>-0.006744</td>
<td>0.433500</td>
<td>0.002145</td>
<td>0.680000</td>
<td>0.001352</td>
<td>0.933000</td>
<td>-0.003071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19300</td>
<td>0.006900</td>
<td>0.448500</td>
<td>0.002763</td>
<td>0.691000</td>
<td>-0.001196</td>
<td>0.941500</td>
<td>-0.002646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20850</td>
<td>0.006919</td>
<td>0.457500</td>
<td>0.003170</td>
<td>0.705500</td>
<td>0.001123</td>
<td>0.950000</td>
<td>-0.002210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21000</td>
<td>-0.007036</td>
<td>0.468500</td>
<td>0.004114</td>
<td>0.710500</td>
<td>-0.001156</td>
<td>0.961500</td>
<td>-0.001612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22600</td>
<td>-0.007127</td>
<td>0.478500</td>
<td>-0.005147</td>
<td>0.725000</td>
<td>0.001196</td>
<td>0.970500</td>
<td>-0.001149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23250</td>
<td>-0.007082</td>
<td>0.488500</td>
<td>0.005623</td>
<td>0.736000</td>
<td>-0.001251</td>
<td>0.982500</td>
<td>-0.000997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24550</td>
<td>-0.007120</td>
<td>0.491500</td>
<td>0.005822</td>
<td>0.748500</td>
<td>-0.001456</td>
<td>0.990000</td>
<td>0.000902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.49 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$s
Figure 6.50 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_s$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±45]s
Figure 6.51 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), FSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s} \), \([0/\pm45]_s\).
Figure 6.52 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]_s$
Figure 6.53 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]_s$
Figure 6.54 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]_s$
Figure 6.55 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, FSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]_s$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.259000</td>
<td>0.006805</td>
<td>0.502000</td>
<td>0.006175</td>
<td>0.752500</td>
<td>-0.001994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.011000</td>
<td>0.003952</td>
<td>0.263500</td>
<td>-0.006980</td>
<td>0.514500</td>
<td>-0.006124</td>
<td>0.769500</td>
<td>-0.002483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021000</td>
<td>-0.003266</td>
<td>0.274000</td>
<td>0.006765</td>
<td>0.524500</td>
<td>-0.006340</td>
<td>0.779500</td>
<td>0.003284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.035500</td>
<td>-0.002542</td>
<td>0.280000</td>
<td>0.006770</td>
<td>0.538500</td>
<td>0.006311</td>
<td>0.789000</td>
<td>-0.003873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.041500</td>
<td>-0.002306</td>
<td>0.294500</td>
<td>0.006396</td>
<td>0.540000</td>
<td>-0.006295</td>
<td>0.797500</td>
<td>-0.004418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.052000</td>
<td>0.002160</td>
<td>0.301500</td>
<td>-0.005959</td>
<td>0.551000</td>
<td>0.006240</td>
<td>0.804500</td>
<td>0.004631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.066000</td>
<td>-0.001904</td>
<td>0.314000</td>
<td>0.005156</td>
<td>0.562000</td>
<td>-0.006233</td>
<td>0.814500</td>
<td>-0.004836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.075500</td>
<td>-0.001855</td>
<td>0.321000</td>
<td>-0.004098</td>
<td>0.576000</td>
<td>0.006059</td>
<td>0.820500</td>
<td>-0.004936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.089500</td>
<td>0.001973</td>
<td>0.333500</td>
<td>0.003423</td>
<td>0.582000</td>
<td>0.006027</td>
<td>0.838500</td>
<td>-0.004953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.099000</td>
<td>0.001936</td>
<td>0.342000</td>
<td>0.002836</td>
<td>0.592500</td>
<td>-0.005858</td>
<td>0.841500</td>
<td>-0.004929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.109500</td>
<td>-0.002232</td>
<td>0.354000</td>
<td>0.002351</td>
<td>0.607000</td>
<td>-0.005506</td>
<td>0.854000</td>
<td>-0.004936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.115500</td>
<td>-0.002352</td>
<td>0.360000</td>
<td>0.002201</td>
<td>0.615500</td>
<td>-0.005163</td>
<td>0.865000</td>
<td>0.004936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.127500</td>
<td>-0.002788</td>
<td>0.370500</td>
<td>-0.002068</td>
<td>0.621000</td>
<td>-0.004632</td>
<td>0.876000</td>
<td>-0.004854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.136000</td>
<td>-0.003253</td>
<td>0.380000</td>
<td>-0.001959</td>
<td>0.633500</td>
<td>0.003705</td>
<td>0.880500</td>
<td>0.004692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.144500</td>
<td>-0.003926</td>
<td>0.394000</td>
<td>0.001942</td>
<td>0.640500</td>
<td>-0.003020</td>
<td>0.894500</td>
<td>-0.004752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.157000</td>
<td>0.004895</td>
<td>0.408000</td>
<td>-0.001948</td>
<td>0.654500</td>
<td>-0.002335</td>
<td>0.900500</td>
<td>-0.004675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.169500</td>
<td>-0.005877</td>
<td>0.417500</td>
<td>-0.002065</td>
<td>0.660500</td>
<td>-0.002173</td>
<td>0.911000</td>
<td>0.004449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.176500</td>
<td>0.006243</td>
<td>0.428000</td>
<td>0.002275</td>
<td>0.672500</td>
<td>-0.001758</td>
<td>0.921000</td>
<td>-0.004338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.188000</td>
<td>0.006727</td>
<td>0.434000</td>
<td>0.002450</td>
<td>0.683000</td>
<td>0.001656</td>
<td>0.931000</td>
<td>0.003767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.194000</td>
<td>0.006654</td>
<td>0.448500</td>
<td>0.002968</td>
<td>0.697000</td>
<td>-0.001485</td>
<td>0.940500</td>
<td>-0.003437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.206000</td>
<td>0.006881</td>
<td>0.458500</td>
<td>-0.003547</td>
<td>0.706500</td>
<td>-0.001481</td>
<td>0.951500</td>
<td>-0.002615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.210500</td>
<td>-0.006976</td>
<td>0.465500</td>
<td>0.004342</td>
<td>0.716000</td>
<td>-0.001439</td>
<td>0.960000</td>
<td>-0.002273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.226000</td>
<td>-0.007032</td>
<td>0.476500</td>
<td>0.005261</td>
<td>0.720500</td>
<td>0.001520</td>
<td>0.971500</td>
<td>-0.001748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.235500</td>
<td>-0.007046</td>
<td>0.489000</td>
<td>-0.005688</td>
<td>0.736000</td>
<td>0.001654</td>
<td>0.980500</td>
<td>-0.001418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.248000</td>
<td>-0.007037</td>
<td>0.499000</td>
<td>0.005966</td>
<td>0.746500</td>
<td>-0.001847</td>
<td>0.991000</td>
<td>0.001348</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.3.3 Moving beam simulation using HSDT

The response of the beam formulated using HSDT is presented in this section. All the five cases presented for CLPT and FSDT are considered here. The gains that are supplied in CLPT formulation are used for HSDT. It is observed that damping effect is less compared to the CLPT and the amplitudes are higher than the initial deflection by the end of 1 second.

The response of the [0/±45] beam with axial oscillation frequencies of 10 rad/s and 20 rad/s are shown in Figures 6.56 - 6.69. Tables 6.18 and 6.19 present the tip deflections at the left end of the beam. The responses of the [0/±20] beam with axial frequencies of 10 rad/s and 20 rad/s are shown from Figures 6.70 - 6.83. Tables 6.20 and 6.21 present the tip deflections at the left end of the beam. The response of [0/±45] beam with an axial oscillation frequency of 20 rad/s is shown in Figures 6.84 - 6.90. Table 6.22 presents the tip deflection at the left end of the beam. In HSDT the transverse displacements are composed of bending and shear components. The bending and shear components of the transverse tip displacements at the right and the left end are shown separately for all the cases.

The magnification factors for the tip deflection due to the axial oscillation of the beam are presented in Table 6.17. It is observed that the magnification factors range between 40 and 43% and are higher than the corresponding CLPT and FSDT cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lay up</th>
<th>frequency</th>
<th>( w_{\text{static max}} )</th>
<th>( w_{\text{moving max}} )</th>
<th>Mag. factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0/±20]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007017</td>
<td>1.4034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±20]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007139</td>
<td>1.4278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007002</td>
<td>1.4004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007069</td>
<td>1.4138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0/±45]_s</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007048</td>
<td>1.4066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.56 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w_b \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 10 \) rad/s, \([0/\pm45]\)
Figure 6.57 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w_s \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 10 \text{ rad/s}, [0/\pm45] \)
Figure 6.58 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.59 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, [0/±45]
Figure 6.60 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, [0/\pm45]
Figure 6.61 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.62 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, [0/±45]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.255500</td>
<td>0.002694</td>
<td>0.504500</td>
<td>-0.006897</td>
<td>0.756000</td>
<td>0.001677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01050</td>
<td>-0.004326</td>
<td>0.266500</td>
<td>-0.002977</td>
<td>0.514000</td>
<td>-0.006835</td>
<td>0.763000</td>
<td>-0.001741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02100</td>
<td>0.004052</td>
<td>0.279500</td>
<td>-0.003430</td>
<td>0.523500</td>
<td>-0.006814</td>
<td>0.770000</td>
<td>0.001710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03600</td>
<td>-0.003354</td>
<td>0.288500</td>
<td>-0.003485</td>
<td>0.535000</td>
<td>0.006796</td>
<td>0.784500</td>
<td>0.001710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04900</td>
<td>-0.002905</td>
<td>0.298500</td>
<td>0.004210</td>
<td>0.542000</td>
<td>-0.006791</td>
<td>0.791500</td>
<td>-0.001685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05100</td>
<td>0.002741</td>
<td>0.309000</td>
<td>-0.004736</td>
<td>0.551000</td>
<td>-0.006640</td>
<td>0.806000</td>
<td>-0.001736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06000</td>
<td>0.002636</td>
<td>0.319500</td>
<td>-0.004736</td>
<td>0.562500</td>
<td>0.006541</td>
<td>0.813000</td>
<td>0.001725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07100</td>
<td>-0.002413</td>
<td>0.328000</td>
<td>0.005738</td>
<td>0.573500</td>
<td>-0.006509</td>
<td>0.827500</td>
<td>0.001780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08000</td>
<td>-0.002264</td>
<td>0.338500</td>
<td>-0.005931</td>
<td>0.584500</td>
<td>0.006327</td>
<td>0.836500</td>
<td>0.001795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09850</td>
<td>-0.002013</td>
<td>0.347000</td>
<td>-0.006233</td>
<td>0.593000</td>
<td>0.006082</td>
<td>0.846000</td>
<td>0.001845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10550</td>
<td>0.002005</td>
<td>0.353500</td>
<td>0.006343</td>
<td>0.601500</td>
<td>0.005833</td>
<td>0.853000</td>
<td>-0.002027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11250</td>
<td>-0.001891</td>
<td>0.366500</td>
<td>0.006541</td>
<td>0.610000</td>
<td>0.005665</td>
<td>0.862000</td>
<td>-0.002111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12900</td>
<td>0.001852</td>
<td>0.371000</td>
<td>0.006653</td>
<td>0.620500</td>
<td>-0.005055</td>
<td>0.871000</td>
<td>-0.002197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13600</td>
<td>-0.001757</td>
<td>0.389000</td>
<td>0.006722</td>
<td>0.631000</td>
<td>0.004781</td>
<td>0.889000</td>
<td>-0.002413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.14350</td>
<td>0.001798</td>
<td>0.398000</td>
<td>0.006886</td>
<td>0.641500</td>
<td>-0.004133</td>
<td>0.895500</td>
<td>0.002719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15050</td>
<td>-0.001808</td>
<td>0.409500</td>
<td>-0.006903</td>
<td>0.650000</td>
<td>-0.003704</td>
<td>0.908500</td>
<td>0.003147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16500</td>
<td>-0.001801</td>
<td>0.416500</td>
<td>0.006952</td>
<td>0.660500</td>
<td>0.003345</td>
<td>0.919000</td>
<td>-0.003555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17200</td>
<td>0.001809</td>
<td>0.423500</td>
<td>-0.006987</td>
<td>0.673500</td>
<td>0.002934</td>
<td>0.927500</td>
<td>-0.003773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18650</td>
<td>0.001861</td>
<td>0.430500</td>
<td>0.006974</td>
<td>0.684500</td>
<td>-0.002617</td>
<td>0.938000</td>
<td>0.004516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19350</td>
<td>-0.001890</td>
<td>0.440000</td>
<td>0.006988</td>
<td>0.695500</td>
<td>0.002335</td>
<td>0.948500</td>
<td>-0.004870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20300</td>
<td>-0.001851</td>
<td>0.452000</td>
<td>-0.006990</td>
<td>0.707000</td>
<td>-0.002152</td>
<td>0.957000</td>
<td>-0.005279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21700</td>
<td>-0.002056</td>
<td>0.461500</td>
<td>-0.007000</td>
<td>0.716000</td>
<td>-0.002068</td>
<td>0.967500</td>
<td>0.005652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22600</td>
<td>-0.002080</td>
<td>0.473500</td>
<td>0.007002</td>
<td>0.723000</td>
<td>0.001980</td>
<td>0.976000</td>
<td>0.005690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23550</td>
<td>-0.002252</td>
<td>0.485500</td>
<td>-0.006926</td>
<td>0.732000</td>
<td>0.001814</td>
<td>0.982500</td>
<td>-0.005976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24650</td>
<td>0.002438</td>
<td>0.495000</td>
<td>-0.006953</td>
<td>0.741500</td>
<td>0.001763</td>
<td>0.995500</td>
<td>-0.006077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.63 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w_b \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s} \), [0/±45]
Figure 6.64 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w_s \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s} \), [0/±45]
Figure 6.65 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.66 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.67 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±45]
Figure 6.68 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$
Figure 6.69 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s}$, [0/±45]
Table 6.19 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \) rad/s, \([0/\pm45]\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.256000</td>
<td>-0.006984</td>
<td>0.503500</td>
<td>0.006613</td>
<td>0.754500</td>
<td>0.002420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.010500</td>
<td>-0.003607</td>
<td>0.263000</td>
<td>0.006987</td>
<td>0.512500</td>
<td>0.006726</td>
<td>0.768000</td>
<td>0.003047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.021000</td>
<td>0.003198</td>
<td>0.274500</td>
<td>-0.006807</td>
<td>0.524000</td>
<td>-0.006718</td>
<td>0.778500</td>
<td>-0.003990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.030000</td>
<td>0.002772</td>
<td>0.283500</td>
<td>-0.006724</td>
<td>0.531000</td>
<td>0.006740</td>
<td>0.789000</td>
<td>0.004659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.041000</td>
<td>-0.002358</td>
<td>0.290000</td>
<td>0.006443</td>
<td>0.543000</td>
<td>-0.006824</td>
<td>0.799500</td>
<td>-0.005672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.050500</td>
<td>-0.002130</td>
<td>0.303000</td>
<td>0.005896</td>
<td>0.557500</td>
<td>-0.006784</td>
<td>0.808000</td>
<td>-0.005789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.064500</td>
<td>-0.001977</td>
<td>0.311500</td>
<td>0.005168</td>
<td>0.564500</td>
<td>0.006753</td>
<td>0.812500</td>
<td>-0.006035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.079000</td>
<td>-0.001960</td>
<td>0.322000</td>
<td>-0.004303</td>
<td>0.574000</td>
<td>0.006722</td>
<td>0.821500</td>
<td>-0.006129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.086000</td>
<td>0.001915</td>
<td>0.337000</td>
<td>0.003123</td>
<td>0.581000</td>
<td>-0.006692</td>
<td>0.837500</td>
<td>0.006286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.093500</td>
<td>-0.001944</td>
<td>0.341000</td>
<td>0.002630</td>
<td>0.592500</td>
<td>0.006421</td>
<td>0.847000</td>
<td>0.006292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.109500</td>
<td>0.002211</td>
<td>0.350000</td>
<td>0.002539</td>
<td>0.601500</td>
<td>0.006230</td>
<td>0.854000</td>
<td>-0.006343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.119000</td>
<td>0.002437</td>
<td>0.366500</td>
<td>-0.002030</td>
<td>0.610000</td>
<td>0.006037</td>
<td>0.868500</td>
<td>-0.006313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.128000</td>
<td>0.002743</td>
<td>0.375500</td>
<td>-0.001989</td>
<td>0.625000</td>
<td>-0.005133</td>
<td>0.875500</td>
<td>0.006250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.139000</td>
<td>-0.003113</td>
<td>0.382500</td>
<td>0.001934</td>
<td>0.631000</td>
<td>0.004442</td>
<td>0.880500</td>
<td>0.006182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.143000</td>
<td>-0.003820</td>
<td>0.397000</td>
<td>0.001947</td>
<td>0.644000</td>
<td>0.003437</td>
<td>0.899000</td>
<td>0.006110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.157500</td>
<td>0.005008</td>
<td>0.404000</td>
<td>-0.001924</td>
<td>0.657000</td>
<td>0.002660</td>
<td>0.906000</td>
<td>-0.006043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.166000</td>
<td>0.005499</td>
<td>0.418500</td>
<td>-0.002164</td>
<td>0.666000</td>
<td>0.002306</td>
<td>0.917000</td>
<td>0.005861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.172500</td>
<td>-0.006344</td>
<td>0.427500</td>
<td>-0.002262</td>
<td>0.675000</td>
<td>0.002092</td>
<td>0.921500</td>
<td>0.005581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.185500</td>
<td>-0.006750</td>
<td>0.437000</td>
<td>-0.002472</td>
<td>0.684500</td>
<td>0.001839</td>
<td>0.930000</td>
<td>0.005435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.194500</td>
<td>-0.006845</td>
<td>0.448000</td>
<td>0.003051</td>
<td>0.691500</td>
<td>-0.001887</td>
<td>0.940500</td>
<td>-0.004380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.206000</td>
<td>0.006922</td>
<td>0.458500</td>
<td>-0.003631</td>
<td>0.706000</td>
<td>-0.001718</td>
<td>0.951000</td>
<td>0.003665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.213000</td>
<td>-0.007031</td>
<td>0.469000</td>
<td>0.004322</td>
<td>0.713000</td>
<td>0.001831</td>
<td>0.961500</td>
<td>-0.002833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.220000</td>
<td>0.007069</td>
<td>0.479500</td>
<td>-0.005735</td>
<td>0.720000</td>
<td>-0.001839</td>
<td>0.975000</td>
<td>-0.002230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.234500</td>
<td>0.007045</td>
<td>0.488000</td>
<td>-0.005899</td>
<td>0.736500</td>
<td>0.002027</td>
<td>0.984000</td>
<td>-0.002028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.241500</td>
<td>-0.007055</td>
<td>0.492500</td>
<td>-0.006393</td>
<td>0.743500</td>
<td>-0.002135</td>
<td>0.993000</td>
<td>-0.001877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.70 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w_b \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 10 \, \text{rad/s}, [0/\pm 20] \)
Figure 6.71 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_{s}$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
Figure 6.72 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
Figure 6.73 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
Figure 6.74 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 20]$
Figure 6.75 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, \( w \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 10 \) rad/s, [0/±20]
Figure 6.76 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, HSDT, $\Omega = 10$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
<th>$t$ (s)</th>
<th>$w$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.258000</td>
<td>0.002522</td>
<td>0.505500</td>
<td>0.006929</td>
<td>0.750500</td>
<td>0.001446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.010000</td>
<td>-0.004245</td>
<td>0.267000</td>
<td>0.002777</td>
<td>0.512000</td>
<td>0.006920</td>
<td>0.766500</td>
<td>0.001536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.020000</td>
<td>0.003715</td>
<td>0.274500</td>
<td>-0.003008</td>
<td>0.528000</td>
<td>0.006868</td>
<td>0.771500</td>
<td>-0.001490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.030000</td>
<td>-0.003338</td>
<td>0.287500</td>
<td>0.003543</td>
<td>0.537500</td>
<td>0.006772</td>
<td>0.786000</td>
<td>0.001463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.047500</td>
<td>-0.002680</td>
<td>0.299000</td>
<td>0.004171</td>
<td>0.540500</td>
<td>0.006587</td>
<td>0.791000</td>
<td>-0.001503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.055000</td>
<td>0.002490</td>
<td>0.309000</td>
<td>-0.004809</td>
<td>0.551500</td>
<td>0.006719</td>
<td>0.801000</td>
<td>-0.001430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.064000</td>
<td>0.002265</td>
<td>0.319000</td>
<td>0.005203</td>
<td>0.567000</td>
<td>0.006060</td>
<td>0.817000</td>
<td>0.001664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.078000</td>
<td>-0.002111</td>
<td>0.327500</td>
<td>0.005605</td>
<td>0.573000</td>
<td>-0.006125</td>
<td>0.822000</td>
<td>0.001557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.084000</td>
<td>-0.001921</td>
<td>0.337500</td>
<td>-0.006086</td>
<td>0.589000</td>
<td>0.005854</td>
<td>0.838000</td>
<td>0.001664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.090500</td>
<td>-0.001946</td>
<td>0.349000</td>
<td>-0.006243</td>
<td>0.593500</td>
<td>-0.006174</td>
<td>0.849000</td>
<td>-0.001679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.101500</td>
<td>0.001815</td>
<td>0.355000</td>
<td>-0.006521</td>
<td>0.606500</td>
<td>0.005715</td>
<td>0.855500</td>
<td>-0.001745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.119000</td>
<td>-0.001709</td>
<td>0.364000</td>
<td>-0.006256</td>
<td>0.616500</td>
<td>-0.005373</td>
<td>0.869500</td>
<td>0.001830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.124000</td>
<td>0.001715</td>
<td>0.379000</td>
<td>-0.006571</td>
<td>0.626500</td>
<td>0.004922</td>
<td>0.877000</td>
<td>-0.002077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.138500</td>
<td>-0.001551</td>
<td>0.388000</td>
<td>-0.006886</td>
<td>0.636500</td>
<td>-0.004235</td>
<td>0.884500</td>
<td>0.002243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.145000</td>
<td>-0.001604</td>
<td>0.399000</td>
<td>-0.006787</td>
<td>0.643500</td>
<td>0.003815</td>
<td>0.899500</td>
<td>0.002576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.150000</td>
<td>0.001610</td>
<td>0.402000</td>
<td>0.006963</td>
<td>0.655000</td>
<td>0.003268</td>
<td>0.909500</td>
<td>-0.002680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.169500</td>
<td>0.001618</td>
<td>0.413000</td>
<td>-0.006980</td>
<td>0.661000</td>
<td>0.003004</td>
<td>0.918500</td>
<td>-0.003105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.174500</td>
<td>-0.001666</td>
<td>0.429000</td>
<td>-0.007027</td>
<td>0.674000</td>
<td>-0.002602</td>
<td>0.927000</td>
<td>-0.003568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.189000</td>
<td>0.001566</td>
<td>0.435500</td>
<td>-0.007043</td>
<td>0.681500</td>
<td>0.002451</td>
<td>0.937000</td>
<td>0.004191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.195500</td>
<td>0.001690</td>
<td>0.448500</td>
<td>-0.006992</td>
<td>0.690500</td>
<td>0.002221</td>
<td>0.947000</td>
<td>-0.004676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.200500</td>
<td>-0.001756</td>
<td>0.455000</td>
<td>-0.007050</td>
<td>0.704500</td>
<td>-0.001907</td>
<td>0.955500</td>
<td>-0.004983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.218000</td>
<td>0.001845</td>
<td>0.461500</td>
<td>-0.007027</td>
<td>0.710500</td>
<td>-0.001913</td>
<td>0.965500</td>
<td>0.005323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.229000</td>
<td>-0.002025</td>
<td>0.479500</td>
<td>0.007017</td>
<td>0.723000</td>
<td>-0.001758</td>
<td>0.978500</td>
<td>-0.005656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.230500</td>
<td>0.001964</td>
<td>0.486000</td>
<td>0.006987</td>
<td>0.739000</td>
<td>-0.001553</td>
<td>0.981500</td>
<td>-0.005747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.249000</td>
<td>0.002309</td>
<td>0.491000</td>
<td>-0.006932</td>
<td>0.740500</td>
<td>0.001621</td>
<td>0.990500</td>
<td>-0.005371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.77 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_b$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm 20]$
Figure 6.78 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_s$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±20]
Figure 6.79 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
Figure 6.80 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm20]$
Figure 6.81 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, \( w_s \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s} \), [0/\( \pm20 \)]
Figure 6.82 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±20]
Figure 6.83 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±20]
Table 6.21 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \text{ rad/s}, [0/\pm 20] \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.256000</td>
<td>0.007112</td>
<td>0.508000</td>
<td>0.006652</td>
<td>0.755500</td>
<td>-0.002253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.010000</td>
<td>-0.003523</td>
<td>0.262500</td>
<td>0.006988</td>
<td>0.519000</td>
<td>-0.006689</td>
<td>0.764500</td>
<td>-0.002705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.024500</td>
<td>-0.002877</td>
<td>0.273500</td>
<td>-0.006975</td>
<td>0.520500</td>
<td>0.006735</td>
<td>0.779000</td>
<td>-0.003531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.032000</td>
<td>0.002429</td>
<td>0.281000</td>
<td>0.006571</td>
<td>0.535000</td>
<td>-0.006724</td>
<td>0.789000</td>
<td>0.004737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.046000</td>
<td>-0.002114</td>
<td>0.297500</td>
<td>-0.006483</td>
<td>0.541500</td>
<td>-0.006799</td>
<td>0.799000</td>
<td>-0.005464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.057000</td>
<td>0.001808</td>
<td>0.305000</td>
<td>-0.006035</td>
<td>0.553000</td>
<td>0.006680</td>
<td>0.800500</td>
<td>0.005395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.062000</td>
<td>-0.001803</td>
<td>0.310500</td>
<td>0.005492</td>
<td>0.566000</td>
<td>0.006705</td>
<td>0.816500</td>
<td>-0.005342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.072000</td>
<td>-0.001601</td>
<td>0.320500</td>
<td>-0.004371</td>
<td>0.572500</td>
<td>0.006628</td>
<td>0.829000</td>
<td>-0.005934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.088000</td>
<td>-0.001815</td>
<td>0.332000</td>
<td>-0.003316</td>
<td>0.583500</td>
<td>-0.006544</td>
<td>0.837000</td>
<td>0.005981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.093000</td>
<td>0.001850</td>
<td>0.341000</td>
<td>-0.002575</td>
<td>0.597500</td>
<td>0.006334</td>
<td>0.846500</td>
<td>0.005992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.109000</td>
<td>0.002062</td>
<td>0.350000</td>
<td>-0.002253</td>
<td>0.603500</td>
<td>0.006168</td>
<td>0.858000</td>
<td>-0.006037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.115500</td>
<td>0.001983</td>
<td>0.362500</td>
<td>-0.001951</td>
<td>0.616500</td>
<td>0.005610</td>
<td>0.864500</td>
<td>-0.005979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.129000</td>
<td>-0.002627</td>
<td>0.373500</td>
<td>0.001898</td>
<td>0.621000</td>
<td>0.005028</td>
<td>0.877500</td>
<td>-0.005983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.139500</td>
<td>0.003276</td>
<td>0.383500</td>
<td>0.001706</td>
<td>0.631000</td>
<td>-0.003992</td>
<td>0.884000</td>
<td>-0.005931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.149500</td>
<td>-0.004339</td>
<td>0.398000</td>
<td>-0.001731</td>
<td>0.641000</td>
<td>0.003268</td>
<td>0.892000</td>
<td>0.005849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.159500</td>
<td>0.005264</td>
<td>0.403000</td>
<td>0.001750</td>
<td>0.651000</td>
<td>-0.002660</td>
<td>0.901500</td>
<td>0.005766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.169500</td>
<td>-0.005964</td>
<td>0.419000</td>
<td>0.001899</td>
<td>0.660000</td>
<td>-0.002146</td>
<td>0.910500</td>
<td>0.005159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.172500</td>
<td>-0.006182</td>
<td>0.425500</td>
<td>0.002021</td>
<td>0.672500</td>
<td>-0.001899</td>
<td>0.924000</td>
<td>-0.005286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.184000</td>
<td>-0.006393</td>
<td>0.438000</td>
<td>0.002196</td>
<td>0.683500</td>
<td>0.001692</td>
<td>0.930000</td>
<td>-0.004948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.199500</td>
<td>-0.006913</td>
<td>0.447000</td>
<td>0.002598</td>
<td>0.690000</td>
<td>0.001569</td>
<td>0.940000</td>
<td>0.004119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.209000</td>
<td>-0.006996</td>
<td>0.458500</td>
<td>0.003561</td>
<td>0.709500</td>
<td>0.001584</td>
<td>0.950000</td>
<td>-0.003093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.210500</td>
<td>0.007078</td>
<td>0.468500</td>
<td>-0.004776</td>
<td>0.714500</td>
<td>-0.001588</td>
<td>0.960000</td>
<td>0.002555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.225000</td>
<td>-0.007069</td>
<td>0.478500</td>
<td>0.005622</td>
<td>0.729000</td>
<td>0.001501</td>
<td>0.975000</td>
<td>0.001916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.236500</td>
<td>0.007139</td>
<td>0.488500</td>
<td>-0.006002</td>
<td>0.735500</td>
<td>0.001751</td>
<td>0.982500</td>
<td>-0.001769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.249500</td>
<td>0.007031</td>
<td>0.499000</td>
<td>0.006455</td>
<td>0.748000</td>
<td>0.002014</td>
<td>0.995000</td>
<td>-0.001488</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6.84 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w_b$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]_s$
Figure 6.85 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \(w_s\), HSDT, \(\Omega = 20\ \text{rad/s}, [0/\pm45]_s\)
Figure 6.86 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, $w$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]_s$
Figure 6.87 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_b$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±45]s
Figure 6.88 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w_s$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]_s$. 
Figure 6.89 Transverse tip deflection at the right end, $w$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, $[0/\pm45]$s
Figure 6.90 Axial deflection at the right end, $u$, HSDT, $\Omega = 20$ rad/s, [0/±45]s
Table 6.22 Transverse tip deflection at the left end, \( w \), HSDT, \( \Omega = 20 \) rad/s, \([0/\pm 45]_s\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
<th>( t ) (s)</th>
<th>( w ) (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.005000</td>
<td>0.252000</td>
<td>0.007022</td>
<td>0.506500</td>
<td>0.006588</td>
<td>0.756500</td>
<td>-0.002540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01250</td>
<td>-0.003894</td>
<td>0.267500</td>
<td>0.006921</td>
<td>0.512500</td>
<td>0.006655</td>
<td>0.765000</td>
<td>-0.003187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02100</td>
<td>-0.003154</td>
<td>0.270500</td>
<td>0.006771</td>
<td>0.528000</td>
<td>0.006898</td>
<td>0.775000</td>
<td>0.003858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03000</td>
<td>-0.002551</td>
<td>0.281000</td>
<td>-0.006701</td>
<td>0.539000</td>
<td>-0.006874</td>
<td>0.789000</td>
<td>0.004883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04200</td>
<td>-0.002143</td>
<td>0.297000</td>
<td>0.006258</td>
<td>0.548500</td>
<td>-0.006873</td>
<td>0.794500</td>
<td>0.005618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05250</td>
<td>0.002117</td>
<td>0.305500</td>
<td>0.005854</td>
<td>0.558000</td>
<td>-0.006857</td>
<td>0.803000</td>
<td>0.006043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06350</td>
<td>-0.001939</td>
<td>0.312500</td>
<td>-0.005168</td>
<td>0.567500</td>
<td>0.006717</td>
<td>0.810000</td>
<td>-0.006571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07300</td>
<td>-0.001864</td>
<td>0.325000</td>
<td>0.004059</td>
<td>0.570500</td>
<td>0.006798</td>
<td>0.828000</td>
<td>0.007245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08700</td>
<td>0.001865</td>
<td>0.333500</td>
<td>0.003316</td>
<td>0.587000</td>
<td>0.006898</td>
<td>0.831000</td>
<td>0.007698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09650</td>
<td>0.001987</td>
<td>0.341000</td>
<td>-0.002690</td>
<td>0.593500</td>
<td>0.006632</td>
<td>0.846500</td>
<td>-0.006664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10600</td>
<td>0.002162</td>
<td>0.355500</td>
<td>-0.002277</td>
<td>0.608000</td>
<td>0.006212</td>
<td>0.856000</td>
<td>-0.006690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11800</td>
<td>0.002348</td>
<td>0.366500</td>
<td>0.002066</td>
<td>0.611000</td>
<td>0.005958</td>
<td>0.865500</td>
<td>-0.006691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12400</td>
<td>0.002582</td>
<td>0.371000</td>
<td>-0.002048</td>
<td>0.625000</td>
<td>0.005183</td>
<td>0.875000</td>
<td>-0.006668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.13850</td>
<td>0.003180</td>
<td>0.380500</td>
<td>-0.001923</td>
<td>0.630500</td>
<td>0.004583</td>
<td>0.886000</td>
<td>0.006546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.14850</td>
<td>-0.004265</td>
<td>0.399500</td>
<td>-0.001935</td>
<td>0.640500</td>
<td>-0.003454</td>
<td>0.890500</td>
<td>-0.006339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15700</td>
<td>-0.005043</td>
<td>0.409000</td>
<td>-0.001978</td>
<td>0.656000</td>
<td>0.002670</td>
<td>0.906000</td>
<td>-0.006119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16950</td>
<td>0.006086</td>
<td>0.415000</td>
<td>-0.001969</td>
<td>0.662000</td>
<td>0.002554</td>
<td>0.919000</td>
<td>0.005966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17950</td>
<td>-0.006469</td>
<td>0.424500</td>
<td>-0.002221</td>
<td>0.674000</td>
<td>0.002157</td>
<td>0.920500</td>
<td>-0.006099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18100</td>
<td>0.006379</td>
<td>0.438000</td>
<td>0.002578</td>
<td>0.685000</td>
<td>-0.001952</td>
<td>0.930500</td>
<td>0.005686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19400</td>
<td>-0.006193</td>
<td>0.444000</td>
<td>0.002851</td>
<td>0.699000</td>
<td>0.001862</td>
<td>0.943000</td>
<td>-0.004555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20950</td>
<td>-0.006944</td>
<td>0.459500</td>
<td>-0.003631</td>
<td>0.708500</td>
<td>0.001856</td>
<td>0.950000</td>
<td>0.004095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21100</td>
<td>0.006943</td>
<td>0.469500</td>
<td>0.004853</td>
<td>0.718000</td>
<td>0.001894</td>
<td>0.960000</td>
<td>-0.003268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22350</td>
<td>0.007022</td>
<td>0.475000</td>
<td>0.005210</td>
<td>0.727500</td>
<td>0.001978</td>
<td>0.970000</td>
<td>0.002516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.23300</td>
<td>0.007048</td>
<td>0.489000</td>
<td>0.006054</td>
<td>0.738500</td>
<td>-0.001975</td>
<td>0.980500</td>
<td>-0.002217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24250</td>
<td>0.007048</td>
<td>0.490500</td>
<td>-0.006334</td>
<td>0.749000</td>
<td>0.002326</td>
<td>0.992500</td>
<td>-0.001986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Conclusions

- A beat-like phenomenon is observed in the response of a composite moving beam and the number of beats increases with axial rigid body frequency.
- The numerical results indicate that the model incorporating piezoelectric sensors and actuators successfully reduces the vibrational response of composite beams.
- Gains used in the negative-velocity feedback system are varied over a wide range to obtain an optimum value that can reduce the amplitude of vibrations at the left end of the beam to the initial value for CLPT.
- Gains required to reduce the amplitude for a beam with [0/±20] layup is nearly ten times higher than that for the beam [0/±45] layup.
- Damped response of moving beams formulated using FSDT and HSDT are obtained for the same gains as in CLPT. The beams with the HSDT formulation are observed to have the lowest damping ratio while the ones with the FSDT formulation have the highest damping ratio.
- Axial deflections due to the inertial forces generated by the rigid-body axial motion are negligible for symmetric and unsymmetric laminates.
- For a symmetric laminate, the axial deflection is appreciable only after the introduction of damping that couples the axial and transverse deflections.
- The right and the left end transverse deflections of the beam are seen to be out of phase.
7.2 Recommendations for Future Work

- In addition to the active damping applied in the models of present research, material damping needs to be included.
- The numerical results can be validated experimentally.
- Nonlinear analysis based on large deflection theory can be performed.
- The response of the piezoelectric smart moving beam under forced vibrations can be studied.
- Stress distribution along the length of the beam can be studied.
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