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Purpose and Objectives. Dental and dental hygiene curricula must meet the core competencies established by the American Dental Association Commission on Dental Accreditation. As a result, there is limited time to expose students to the research and knowledge associated with additional important topics, such as dental forensics. The purpose of this research is to assess dental forensic knowledge and dental forensic radiographic skills of dental students. Methods. Ten radiographs of extracted permanent maxillary molars were exposed. One of the teeth was heat-altered to 600°F (315.6°C) for 15 minutes and then was radiographed. The 11 radiographs were presented to 152 dental students who were asked to match the radiograph of the heat-altered tooth to the original radiograph of that tooth. Students were also asked to respond to a 10-question survey about dental forensic knowledge. Results. Most (92.1%) students matched the heat-altered tooth’s radiograph with the original radiograph. Five survey questions had at least 70% of the respondents with correct responses. There were no statistically significant differences by dental class status or sex. Conclusion. Although dental students were competent in matching radiographs, there remains a need for more knowledge about dental forensics.

1. Introduction

Teeth, when compared to bone, are the strongest tissues of the human body [1]. Drastic changes in bone properties during burning can cause great difficulties in forensic identification. Bone is subject to heat-induced shrinkage and deformations that alter morphological indicators that are critical for victim identification [2]. However, when teeth are subjected to heat, teeth are much less susceptible to destruction than bone [1].

Teeth are able to withstand a significant amount of heat before undergoing drastic change. Additionally, the anatomy of human teeth, when compared to other species, is unique and useful for human identification. When dental restorations are present, the teeth are useful for specific human identifications, if existing dental radiographs are available [1].

When a massive disaster occurs, there is one task that must be completed to assure closure for the families of the victims, that is, victim identification. In disasters with thousands of victims, the most common records used and available for identification are dental records [1], particularly dental radiographs. Other new and innovative techniques, such as DNA technology in forensic dentistry, are also becoming very important in human identification [3]. However, DNA is heat-labile, whereas, teeth, as well as restorations, are able to withstand a significant amount of heat [4].
The foundation of identification through dental remains begins by comparing the teeth, as well as the occlusion, with available dental records [4]. Comparing ante-mortem and postmortem dental radiographs is the most dependable method of determining an individual’s identification from dental remains [4]. Many other techniques are being developed in dental forensics to provide higher scientific certainty of identification from heat-altered teeth. These applications include the use of cone-beam computed tomography [5], the identification of restorative dental materials through microscopy and elemental analyses [6], and computer algorithms of ante-mortem and postmortem dental records [7].

In a recent reliability study, raters using cone-beam computed tomography imaging techniques were able to make accurate visual comparisons with ante-mortem radiographs [8]. In cases where ante-mortem records are not currently available, a forensic dentist can create a postmortem dental profile to be saved for any potential future availability or disclosure of ante-mortem records [9].

With all of these advancing technologies in forensic identification, there is still a critical need for dental professionals to be able to make comparisons of radiographs in a patient’s chart or electronic record and radiographs of victims taken after a disaster. Dental students need to learn how to make comparisons between ante-mortem and postmortem radiographs. The aim of this research was to assess dental student knowledge about dental forensics and to evaluate dental student skills in comparing radiographs. Our central hypothesis is that there is no difference in the ability of dental students at each level of their education in making comparisons of radiographs and in their knowledge of dental forensics.

2. Materials and Methods

West Virginia University Institutional Review Board approved this study (protocol number 1607188209). Ten extracted permanent maxillary molars were selected, autoclaved, measured, photographed, and digitally radiographed. One of the permanent maxillary molars was placed into a dental burn-out oven and heat-altered to 600°F (315.6°C), the temperature at which changes in teeth due to heat exposure occur [10, 11] for 15 minutes. The heat-altered tooth was then digitally radiographed.

A ten-question dental forensic knowledge survey was created for first-year (D1), second-year (D2), and third-year (D3) dental students from one university. It should be noted that the D1 student curricula include 16 weekly 1-hour dental anatomy lectures and 16 weekly 3-hour dental anatomy laboratory sessions in the fall of their first year. Dental radiology is introduced in the second year and D2 students receive 32 weekly 1-hour dental radiology lectures and 2-hour dental radiology rotations as assigned throughout the year. A specific course in dental forensics is not included in the curricula; however, the skills from the dental anatomy course and the dental radiology course are hypothesized to transfer to dental forensic skills. The dental forensic knowledge survey questions (which were the same for D1, D2, and D3) required Likert-style responses (strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, and strongly disagree).

The survey also contained a simulated case utilizing the radiographs of the distractor teeth and the heat-altered tooth: someone had been in a house fire that burned at 600 degrees Fahrenheit and a heat-altered tooth was found. The participants were asked to match the radiograph of the heat-altered tooth with one of the radiographs from among 10 radiographs given (Figure 1).

The eligibility criteria for this study were that students were registered as dental students and at least age 18 years. This research was conducted midway through the fall semester for the D1, D2, and D3 students.

2.1. Outcomes. The primary outcome was the ability to match the heat-altered radiograph with the radiograph of the tooth prior to the heat alteration. We were secondarily interested in determining the number of correct responses to the other dental forensic knowledge questions presented on the survey.

2.2. Data Analysis. Frequency analyses of correct responses to the survey questions were conducted. Additionally, the answers were collapsed into two categories: true (which included strongly agree and agree); false (which included neutral, disagree, and strongly disagree). Determinations for categorical differences by D1/D2/D3 status and by sex were conducted using Chi square testing. Significance was established a priori as results with P < 0.05. SPSS Statistics 24® (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used in the data analysis.

3. Results

There were 152 students who participated in the study; 52% were female. There were 50 D1 students, 46 D2 students, and 56 D3 students. Most (79.6%) were non-Hispanic white (Table 1).

The primary outcome was the matching of the radiograph of the heat-altered tooth from among 10 radiographs, including the matching radiograph. There were 92.1% of participants who responded correctly (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Characteristics of the dental student participants.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frequency (N)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Class rank</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-year dental students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-year dental students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third-year dental students</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*IBM* Armonk, NY, USA
Figure 1: Radiographs presented in the survey.

Responses to the dichotomized true/false forensic knowledge assessment survey questions are presented in column 1 of Table 2. Responses by strongly agree/agree/neutral/disagree/strongly disagree are also presented in Table 2. The dichotomized response with the fewest correct choices (25.77% correct) was the true statement that the shape of palatal rugae remains consistent and is a reliable forensic marker. The dichotomized response with the most correct choices (86.8%) was the true statement that altering original dental images may result in inaccurate identifications should a disaster requiring dental forensics occur.

Not presented in tabular form are the results of the comparison of D1/D2/D3 status and percentage of correct dichotomized responses as there were no significant differences among the classes in any of the responses. Similarly, not presented in tabular form are the results based on sex as there were no significant differences between male and female students in any of the responses. Of the 10 questions provided, at least 70% of the students responded accurately to five questions when the responses were dichotomized.

4. Discussion

The researchers of this study assessed D1/D2/D3 students' dental forensic knowledge and the application of that knowledge to a forensic scenario. The vast majority (92.1%) of the participants (at all levels of their education) were able to match a radiograph of a tooth altered by extreme heat to its original radiograph from among 10 radiograph options. There were no significant differences among D1/D2/D3 students in the matching of the radiographs. The ability to determine anatomic characteristics to make the match is a tribute to their knowledge of dental anatomy and the early presentation of the dental anatomy course in the first semester of the curricula. Despite the students being at differing levels in their education, the vast majority was able to evaluate dental structures on radiographs to make an accurate match determination from among presented radiographs. The images were not troublesome to the students.

However, as dental forensics is not a specific course in the curricula, there was a lack of knowledge of specific items presented to the students in the rest of the survey. Results from those survey questions indicate that there is a need to address the emerging knowledge associated with dental forensics. Dental forensics is a field in which new knowledge, procedures, technology, and applications are rapidly being developed. It is important that such knowledge is clinically translated. Clinical translation involves the movement from unawareness to use of evidence. Therefore, although the ability to match radiographs is of paramount importance in identification, there is also a need to know about the advances and techniques in dental forensics [12].

4.1. Comparative Studies. This study is innovative, and, as such, there are few other studies in the literature with which to compare the knowledge of dental students about dental forensics. A somewhat similar study involved 10 dental students in Denmark. Researchers learned that the students were able to match human skulls with radiographs; however, half of the students in that study made false positive matches [13]. In another study that was a survey of 273 dental hygiene students, 93% indicated that they had not received formal
Table 2: Survey responses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Correct dichotomized response) and % correct</th>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Did the student match the correct original radiograph?</td>
<td>(True) 74.3%</td>
<td>(21) 13.8%</td>
<td>(92) 60.5%</td>
<td>(23) 15.1%</td>
<td>(12) 7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) If a single rooted tooth had an endodontic procedure, is it possible to match ante and post-mortem radiographs.</td>
<td>(True) 25.7%</td>
<td>(9) 5.9%</td>
<td>(30) 19.7%</td>
<td>(34) 22.4%</td>
<td>(65) 42.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) The shape of palatal rugae remain consistent and are reliable forensic markers.</td>
<td>(False) 85.5%</td>
<td>(5) 3.3%</td>
<td>(16) 10.5%</td>
<td>(23) 15.1%</td>
<td>(67) 44.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Forensic odontology means “dealing with the time of death.”</td>
<td>(True) 44.7%</td>
<td>(11) 7.2%</td>
<td>(57) 37.5%</td>
<td>(65) 42.8%</td>
<td>(14) 9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) 2D bar codes are most commonly used in dentures of people with Parkinson’s and neurodegenerative diseases.</td>
<td>(True) 44.7%</td>
<td>(11) 7.2%</td>
<td>(57) 37.5%</td>
<td>(65) 42.8%</td>
<td>(14) 9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Mesiodistal width of incisors can discriminate between male and females and are routinely used in court as evidence of sex.</td>
<td>(True) 70.4%</td>
<td>(9) 5.9%</td>
<td>(36) 23.7%</td>
<td>(29) 19.1%</td>
<td>(60) 39.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Altering original dental images may result in inaccurate identifications should a disaster requiring dental forensics occur.</td>
<td>(False) 70.4%</td>
<td>(9) 5.9%</td>
<td>(36) 23.7%</td>
<td>(29) 19.1%</td>
<td>(60) 39.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) 14-Carbon dating of teeth can be used to determine a person’s age within an error of ±1.75 years.</td>
<td>(True) 62.5%</td>
<td>(14) 9.2%</td>
<td>(81) 53.3%</td>
<td>(48) 31.6%</td>
<td>(7) 4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) The lightest striae of Retzius (the neonatal line) is associated with a stress of teething.</td>
<td>(False) 65.8%</td>
<td>(11) 7.2%</td>
<td>(40) 26.3%</td>
<td>(48) 31.6%</td>
<td>(44) 28.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) In adult radiographs, physiologic changes can be seen as widening of pulp area.</td>
<td>(False) 63.8%</td>
<td>(18) 11.8%</td>
<td>(36) 23.7%</td>
<td>(17) 11.2%</td>
<td>(43) 28.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) Use of third molar development as a legal landmark of age is valid.</td>
<td>(False) 70.4%</td>
<td>(8) 5.3%</td>
<td>(33) 21.7%</td>
<td>(32) 21.1%</td>
<td>(48) 31.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: True: strongly agree or agree; false: neutral, disagree, or strongly disagree.

education in disaster preparedness but viewed themselves as professionals who could provide many tasks in disaster response [14].

4.2. Future Research. There is a need for future research in dental and dental hygiene programs involving forensics. Researchers reported that 58% of respondents from the nation’s dental schools with forensics programs had primarily didactic courses [15]. Several dental schools, with forensics curricula in place (discussed by Stoeckel et al., 2007 [16], and Hermens and Johnson, 2012 [17]), included elective or required courses with hands-on exercises. Future research is also needed concerning the possible inclusion of dental forensics on a national level as a measurable competency by the American Dental Association/American Dental Hygiene Association/Commission on Accreditation.

4.3. Strengths and Weaknesses. This study is important in understanding the need for dental education relating to dental forensics. One of its strengths is the number of students who participated; each of the survey questions had at least a 97% completion rate. The study size was large enough to power the study. A weakness of the study was that only one school, with a student population predominately non-Hispanic white, was used for the study. Therefore, racial/ethnic differences were not included. In addition, as the age of the students was predominately 22–26 years, age was not included in the study.

5. Conclusion

Dental forensics is an important consideration for dental curricula. The healthcare workforce of the US is only second in size to the US military; therefore, healthcare professionals are important as potential responders to terrorism, public health emergencies, and victim identification [18]. Preparation is essential. In this study, dental students were successful in matching a dental radiograph of a heat-altered tooth and its original radiograph. However, there is a need for current and emerging information about dental forensics.
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