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The study of active and stabilized late Quaternary aeolian landforms provides important proxies for past climate events and environmental transitions. Despite an overall increase in the study of aeolian landforms in previously glaciated and coastal settings in eastern North America, the history of aeolian sedimentation in many unglaciated inland alluvial settings remain poorly understood. This study reports on the geochronology and depositional history of aeolian landforms and sediments in the unglaciated upper Ohio Valley at the Sandy Springs site. Aeolian landforms and sediments include complex, linear, barchan-like, and climbing dunes; an interdune sand sheet; and sandy loess that blankets high valley surfaces. At Sandy Springs, aeolian dune sands and sandy loess are restricted to intermediate (S2) and higher (S3) geomorphic surfaces. Eight optically stimulated luminescence age estimates constrain the initiation of aeolian processes on the S2 surface to sometime after 17 ka and episodic deposition on the S2 and S3 surfaces between 11 and 1.4 ka. The distribution of aeolian sediments at Sandy Springs is influenced by several past factors including local wind fetch potential, sediment availability, and underlying alluvial topography. Sediment availability is interpreted as the primary factor controlling aeolian processes and appear linked to several pan-regional paleoclimate events. Sandy loess deposition at ca. 8.2 ka on the S3 surface may reflect hydrologic variability and cooling, associated with the final pulse of meltwater into the North Atlantic from the Laurentide Ice Sheet. Dune reactivation and erosion at ca. 4.5 ka on the S2 surface indicate enhanced sediment availability possibly associated with drought conditions. These results illustrate that the deciphering the coupled fluvial-aeolian records in this catchment of the Ohio River provides new insight into the nature of changing surface processes against the backdrop of climate variability over the past ca. 20 ka.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the last 30 years there has been an increase in the study of active and stabilized late Quaternary aeolian landforms and sediments in the eastern United States. Studies largely have focused on aeolian depositional processes and geochronologies of dune fields, sand sheets, sandy loess or coversands, and loess. Possible links between aeolian processes and past climatic or environmental conditions such as drought also have been explored (e.g., Muhs and Zárate, 2001; Booth et al., 2005; Willard et al., 2005; Li et al., 2007). Most aeolian research in the eastern United States has focused either on deglaciated landscapes including alluvial valleys, paleochannels, lake plains, and outwash plains (e.g., Arbogast et al., 2002; Arbogast and Packman, 2004; Rawling et al., 2008; Miao et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Hanson et al., 2015) or coastal settings such as the Gulf Coastal Plain or the Atlantic Coastal Plain (e.g., Ivester et al., 2001; Ivester and Leigh, 2003; Kilibarda et al., 2014b; Forman, 2015; Markewich et al., 2015; Swezey et al., 2016). Aeolian landforms also occur in many unglaciated interior alluvial valleys (e.g., Bettis et al., 2003; Busacca et al., 2004; Markewich et al., 2015) and have the potential to yield paleoenvironmental data, help assess valley sensitivity to climate change, and reveal archeological evidence of past human activity (e.g., Wagner and McAvoy, 2004; Feathers et al., 2006; Lothrop and Cremeens, 2010; Daniel et al., 2013; Purtill and Kite, 2015). Although aeolian sediments for some unglaciated valleys in eastern United States have been described in detail including the lower Mississippi Valley (e.g., Sauzier, 1977; Rodbell et al., 1997; Rittenour et al., 2007; Markewich et al., 2015), aeolian landforms in other drainages such as the upper Ohio Valley have received less attention and remain largely undated (Rutledge et al., 1975; Chappell, 1988; Simard, 1989).

To achieve a better understanding of past aeolian transport and deposition cycles for the eastern North America, additional geochronology and geomorphic histories are required for interior valleys, especially once that have received limited attention.

In this study, we describe a stabilized, vegetated aeolian system at the Sandy Springs site in an unglaciated reach of the upper Ohio Valley (Figure 1). A geochronology and depositional history is advanced for aeolian landforms and sediments at Sandy Springs based on geomorphic, stratigraphic, and sedimentary assessment, coupled with optically stimulating luminescence (OSL) ages on quartz grains. Furthermore, we consider possible links between paleoclimate events and aeolian processes at Sandy Springs and, through extrapolation, the broader upper Ohio Valley. This study is the first detailed geochronology and geomorphic description of an aeolian system in the upper Ohio Valley and provides new insights into the nature of interaction between aeolian, fluvial, and glacial processes in the valley.

STUDY AREA

Situated in a heavily dissected section of the Shawnee-Mississippian Plateau of the Appalachian Plateaus (Brockman, 2006), Sandy Springs is located in an unglaciated portion of the upper Ohio Valley (Figure 1). Regional bedrock geology includes siltstones, shales, limestones, and bedded sandstones of Silurian, Devonian, and Mississippian ages (Coogan, 1996; Slucher et al., 2006). Although unglaciated, Sandy Springs alluvial landforms resulted from fluvioglacial outwash and fine overbank sediments sourced from the Ohio and Scioto Rivers (Morris and Pierce, 1967; Pavey et al., 1999).

The valley bottom at Sandy Springs is ~2.4 km wide and situated on a major meander of the Ohio River (Figures 1B–D). Aeolian sediments are located on both the north bank of the Ohio River at Sandy Springs and on the south bank in Vanceburg, Kentucky (Figure 1D). When mapped in total, aeolian deposits include ~304 ha of land (Morris and Pierce, 1967; Lucht and Brown, 1994; Purtill and Kite, 2015; Purtill, 2016, 2017). Currently, pool elevation for this river reach is dam-controlled at 148 m, although early navigation charts list a low-water elevation of 141 m at Sandy Springs (Jones, 1916, p. 163). Low-water elevations are used in this study to approximate pre-dam pool averages (following Simard, 1989, pp. 21–25). A historic high-water mark of 164 m was reported at Sandy Springs during a January flood in 1937 (Morris and Pierce, 1967; NOAA, 2017).

Three separate geomorphic classifications of the Sandy Springs valley bottom have been proposed and each delineates between two and four alluvial/outwash surfaces or terraces above a modern floodplain (Morris and Pierce, 1967; Pavey et al., 1999; Purtill and Kite, 2015; Purtill, 2016, 2017). In the 1960s, the valley bottom initially was mapped as consisting of a high surface (Qo) at 185 m with an assumed Illinoian age (ca. 160 ka) and three lower fluvial surfaces (Qow) at 175, 169, and 166 m with inferred Wisconsinan ages between 70 and 15 ka (Morris and Pierce, 1967). Up to 5 m of silty sediment (Qe) interpreted as loess was reported to mantle much of the highest, assumed Illinoian, surface (Morris and Pierce, 1967).

Pavey et al. (1999) reclassified the valley bottom as only containing two outwash surfaces (O1, O2) above the modern channel at Sandy Springs. A higher fan-shaped O1 surface, which appears to represent the Qo surface, reportedly is composed of sand and gravel and interpreted as an outwash terrace. Based on topographic correlation to more northerly radiocarbon-dated moraines in central Ohio, the O1 surface is suggested to have formed during the Late Wisconsinan, ca. 22 to 18 ka (Pavey et al., 1999). This age is markedly younger than the Illinoian age assumed by Morris and Pierce (1967). The lower, expansive O2 landform contains outwash sand and gravels with an inferred age of 18 to 15 ka and appears correlative to the Wisconsinan terraces (Qow) of Morris and Pierce (1967).

Recent analysis of LiDAR data resulted in a second reclassification of the valley bottom (Purtill and Kite, 2015; Purtill, 2016, 2017). Valley-bottom morphometrics suggest four geomorphic surfaces (S0–S3) above the Ohio River’s pre-lock and dam low-water elevation. This classification is adopted for this article and includes a modern floodplain (S0) separate from a low landform (S1) by an escarpment up to 6 m high. The S1 tread rises 17–21 m and is characterized by pronounced ridge-and-swale topography. A broad S2 surface occurs between 21 and 39 m, gradually slopes toward the Ohio River, and has low ridge-and-swale topography. S2 appears equivalent with both the O2 outwash terrace (Pavey et al., 1999) and Qow outwash terraces.
FIGURE 1 | Location of Sandy Springs (A) in continental United States and (B) showing position along the upper Ohio River in relation to the Scioto River, and (C) with reference to additional sites mentioned in the text (1 = Arbogast et al., 2015; 2 = Blockland, 2013; 3 = Campbell et al., 2011; 4 = Kilibarda and Blockland, 2011; 5 = Lutz et al., 2007; 6 = Miao et al., 2010; 7 = Rawling et al., 2009). (D) Combined distribution of sediments interpreted as aeolian in past geologic and pedologic literature (Chaplin and Mason, 1967; Morris and Pierce, 1967; USDA-NRCS, 2017). This study focuses on areas north of the Ohio River as illustrated (D).

(Sandy Springs) aeolian landforms are presently vegetated or in cultivation that includes seasonal tilling (Figure 2). Where undisturbed, aeolian landforms host a remnant sand-prairie vegetation of xeric plant species such as eastern prickly pear cactus (Opuntia humifusa), passion flower (Passiflora incarnata), little whitlow grass (Draba brachycarpa), spreading sandwort (Arenaria patula), and silkgrass (Chrysopis graminifolia) (Vincent et al., 2011; Purtill, 2017). Pollen data from the upper Ohio Valley suggest a transition from an early savanna-like setting dominated by spruce (Picea sp.) and grasses during the late Pleistocene to a mixed mesophytic forest of oak (Quercus sp.), hickory (Carya sp.), maple (Acer sp.), chestnut (Castanea sp.), walnut (Juglans sp.), and elm (Ulmus sp.) by the mid-Holocene (Fredlund, 1989; Purtill, 2012, pp. 42–47).

The modern southern Ohio climate is humid subtropical with no significant precipitation shortages throughout the year (Thornthwaite, 1931; Peel et al., 2007). Average annual precipitation is 1092 mm, with the highest monthly totals between March and August, while mean annual temperature is 11.8°C (Lucht and Brown, 1994, p. 124). Records from
Historic water wells indicate a variable water table surface ranging between 0.5 and 1 m below surface and averaging 0.8 m (ODNR, 2017). Modern surface wind speed and direction vary seasonally. Based on 1930–1996 climatic data from stations at Lexington and Jackson in Kentucky; Cincinnati, Columbus, and Dayton in Ohio; Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and Huntington, West Virginia; surface wind direction at Sandy Springs ranges from S to WSW with more southerly orientation during summer months and a more western orientation during winter months (National Climactic Data Center, 1996). Paleoclimatic reconstructions from late Pleistocene proxies suggest a more northerly (WNW) wind direction was typical in eastern North American during the Wisconsinan glaciation (Wells, 1983; Thorson and Schile, 1995; Kilibarda and Blockland, 2011).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

OSL Dating

Landform chronology reconstruction was based on eight samples submitted for OSL dating (Aitken, 1998) at the Geoluminescence Dating Research Lab at Baylor University. Single aliquot regeneration (SAR) protocols (Murray and Wintle, 2003) were used for OSL dating to estimate the apparent equivalent dose ($D_{eq}$) used for OSL dating to estimate the apparent equivalent dose ($D_{eq}$) with thin sections viewed through a polarizing microscope under plane polarized light (PPL), cross-polarized light (XPL), and oblique incident light (OIL). Thin section descriptions include compositional analysis of mineral grains through point counting within a 1 mm (horizontal) by 2 mm (vertical) grid system, similar to procedures suggested by FitzPatrick (1984, p. 104–106). This approach yields between 800 and 1000 potential mineral identifications per thin-section. Longest axial length and roundness, slightly modified from Powers (1953), are recorded for identified grains. When voids are encountered at observation points, the closest grain within 0.5 mm is selected for identification. Key micromorphological results are integrated within sedimentary discussions below.

Particle-size analysis is conducted via sieve-pipette method (Folk, 1974; Poppe et al., 2014). This study divides clay and silt at 4 μm. Statistics are calculated on grain size distributions including graphical median ($Md$) (Folk and Ward, 1957); first moment mean ($\bar{x}$), second moment standard deviation ($\sigma$), third moment skewness ($Sk$), fourth moment kurtosis ($K$) (Friedman, 1961; Pye and Tsao, 2009, pp. 58–60); and coefficient of variation (CV) (Wong and Lee, 2005, p. 65). $Md$, $\bar{x}$, $\sigma$, $Sk$, and $K$ statistics are calculated using Gradistat V.8 software (Blott and Pye, 2001) and results are presented using categories defined by Blott and Pye (2001). To facilitate comparison with previous studies (e.g., Leigh, 1998), $CV$ is calculated for grain-size data using phi ($\Phi$) units and are provided as percentages. Sedimentary facies are delineated based on consideration of statistical results, particle-size cumulative graphs, geomorphic and stratigraphic context, and OSL ages.

Morphometric Landform Analysis

Airborne laser altimetry (LiDAR) data is the basis for morphometric analysis of discrete aeolian and alluvial landform elements (e.g., dunes, ridges, knobs, basin etc.). Data tiles at 0.762 m resolution in ESRI Grid format derive from the Ohio Geographically Referenced Information Program website (OGRIP, 2015). Sand dune classification follows established morphometric schemas (Pye, 1982; Lancaster, 2011; Thomas, 2011). In cases where sedimentary structures for dunes are identified, morphometric dune classifications are verified.

Sedimentary and Stratigraphic Descriptions

Sedimentary and stratigraphic information derives from the description and interpretation of 33 sections and 163 sediment samples representing depths up to 4.5 m. Sedimentary structures were described in the field and attention was given to bedding contacts and dip directions. Lithologic discontinuities are inferred through combined field description and laboratory identification of textural breaks and uniformity values of <2 mm fraction (e.g., Cremeens and Mokma, 1986; Schaeftl, 1998; Schaeftl and Anderson, 2005, p. 224). Munsell values are determined in the laboratory on moistened samples using a Konica Minolta CR-400 Chroma Meter.

To further describe sediments interpreted as aeolian for this study, micromorphological analysis was conducted on four, 5 × 7.5 cm thin sections from four stratigraphic sections (CB1a, GP5, U1, and U2; see Figure 3 for locations). Micromorphology analysis follows established protocols and terminology (FitzPatrick, 1984; Stoops, 2003, 2010; Vepraskas and Wilson, 2008) with thin sections viewed through a polarizing microscope under plane polarized light (PPL), cross-polarized light (XPL), and oblique incident light (OIL). Thin section descriptions include compositional analysis of mineral grains through point counting within a 1 mm (horizontal) by 2 mm (vertical) grid system, similar to procedures suggested by FitzPatrick (1984, p. 104–106). This approach yields between 800 and 1000 potential mineral identifications per thin-section. Longest axial length and roundness, slightly modified from Powers (1953), are recorded for identified grains. When voids are encountered at observation points, the closest grain within 0.5 mm is selected for identification. Key micromorphological results are integrated within sedimentary discussions below.

RESULTS

Aeolian Landforms and Sediments on the S2 Surface

Aeolian landforms and sediments on the S2 surface are restricted to elevations above 166 m, a conclusion that supports earlier findings (Morris and Pierce, 1967). Above 166 m is an array of hummocky landforms dominated by sandy sediments of variable thickness. Complex, linear, barchan-like and climbing dunes; an interdune sand sheet; and sand-mantled ridges are identified (Figure 3). A distinctive S2 landform above 166 m is a 1.06 km$^2$, low-relief, closed oval basin with complex dunes rimming most of its western margin (Figure 3). This low-relief basin is incised up to 9 m by Gilpin Run, a local tributary. Average aeolian dune heights increase west to east, from 3 m immediately east of U.S. Route 52 to up to 9 m adjacent to the S2-S3 escarpment boundary (Figure 3). Planar cross-beds typically are encountered starting at ~1 m or deeper in dunes, although some as shallow as 0.4 m are documented (Figures 4, 5). The linear and climbing dunes contain both high-angle (>20°) and low-angle planar cross-beds with ENE to N and NNE dip directions, respectively. Sand-mantled ridges are restricted to west of U.S. Route 52 and include sand-textured, unstratified sediments unconformably overlaying
fine-textured alluvial ridge crests. Sand-textured units range in thickness between 0.4 and 2 m. Buried paleosols are absent from dunes but secondary, pedogenic lamellae start at depths as shallow as ~0.6 m in the bachan-like and linear dunes (Figure 4). A pedogenic interpretation for lamellae formation in these dunes is supported in thin-section where limpid, microlaminated clay is observed in lamellae bands (Figure 6). Under XPL, clay domains in lamellae exhibit high birefringence and optical continuity with straight extinction lines during microscope stage rotation. The pedogenic process of illuviation is the primary means by which such alignment and continuity occurs in clay domains (Stephen, 1960; Fedoroff, 1974; Stoops, 2003, p. 19).

Four lithostratigraphic facies were delineated for this study including aeolian units I and II and alluvial units I and II (Tables 1, 2 and Figure 7). An ‘indeterminate’ unit also is applied to samples not readily classified into one of the four facies. Alluvial unit I sediments represent the basal unit on the S2 landform and are moderately sorted, matrix-supported, low-angle cross-stratified sand and gravels interpreted as fluvioglacial outwash. The <2 mm fraction of this facies are a sandy mud or muddy sand texture (\( \mu = 72 \mu m \)), has symmetrical skew, are platykurtic, and have an average CV of 84.8% (Table 2). Sediments range in color from reddish (8.4 YR) to yellowish brown (9.5 YR) hues. Intact fluvioglacial deposits only were encountered in the S2 basin. Twenty historic water-well logs in

---

FIGURE 3 | (A) Sandy Springs LiDAR image showing distribution of described sections, geomorphic surfaces (S0-S3) and landforms, 1937 flood limit, and surficial distribution of aeolian units I and II. The distribution of aeolian units is based on current study results and previous research (Morris and Pierce, 1967). (B) Oblique ArcScene 3D representation of a close-up section of LiDAR image (A) at 3x vertical exaggeration showing dune types, interdunal sand sheet, and sand-mantled ridges.
Fine-grained alluvial unit II sediments unconformably overlay alluvial unit I sediments and are interpreted as low-energy overbank deposits. Alluvial unit II sediments are extremely poorly sorted sandy mud or silty sand ($\bar{x} = 38 \mu m$). They

the immediate area of Sandy Springs indicate coarse sand and gravels beginning at various depths, mostly > 2 m (ODNR, 2017), and reportedly are up to 45 m thick beneath the S2 and S3 surfaces (Morris and Pierce, 1967).

Fine-grained alluvial unit II sediments unconformably overlay alluvial unit I sediments and are interpreted as low-energy overbank deposits. Alluvial unit II sediments are extremely poorly sorted sandy mud or silty sand ($\bar{x} = 38 \mu m$). They
FIGURE 6 | (A) View of lamellae banding in barchan-like dune at ~1 m depth, Section U2. (B) Scan of 5 × 7-cm thin section slide showing lamellae bands highlighted in yellow, black box represents approximate location of (C,D) (open pore space dyed blue). (C) PPL micrograph of well-oriented illuviated limpid clay domains linking grains in lamellae band. (D) same as (C) but in XPL, arrow denotes extinction line indicating continuous orientation of clay domains.

TABLE 1 | Particle-size characteristics by landform type for uppermost lithostratigraphic units (n = 89 samples).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landform (surface)</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Md (µm)</th>
<th>X (µm)</th>
<th>σ (µm)</th>
<th>Sk</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>CV(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barchan-like Dune (S2)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>−3.26</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Dune, Linear Dune (S2)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>−2.75</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Dune, Climbing Dune (S2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>−3.62</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Dune/Gully, Climbing Dune (S2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>−1.13</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand Sheet (S2)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>−3.11</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand-mantled Ridges (S2)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>−1.53</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>83.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knob (S3)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>−0.70</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial Ridge (S2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial Swale (S2)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>−2.73</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>102.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial Tread (S2)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>47.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tributary Drainage (S2)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV is calculated using phi (Φ) units of X and σ.

exhibit a coarse skew, are mesokurtic, and have an average CV of 56.0% (Table 2). Sediments are reddish to yellowish brown with hues from 7.6 YR to 9.9 YR. Overbank deposits range in thickness between 1 m in the S2 basin to >4.5 m across the alluvial surface tread. The comparatively thin overbank deposits in the S2 basin, coupled with its low topographic relief, suggest fluvial and/or aeolian deflation of the basin floor.

Dune, sand-mantled ridge, and interdunal sand sheet sediments are delineated as aeolian unit I. These sands (X = 278 µm) are poorly sorted and exhibit a very coarse skew. They also are very leptokurtic and have an average CV of 83.0% (Tables 1, 2). Sediments are brown to yellowish brown with hues from 8.1 YR to 0.8 Y. Micromorphological analysis indicate variation in grain morphologies with sub-rounded to sub-angular, low sphericity grain shapes being most common (52%) (Table 3 and Figure 6). Only 7% of these grains are well-rounded with high sphericity. Grain sorting and texture properties suggest short-distance suspension, surface traction and saltation as primary sediment transport modes (Pye and Tsoar, 2009, pp. 113–115). The barchan-like dune and several of the sand-mantled ridges are interlayered with alluvial unit II and aeolian unit I sediments and are interpreted as reflecting fluvial-aeolian interaction.

Also revealed through micromorphology was the presence of sand-sized clay aggregates or pellets intermixed with mineral grains (e.g., quartz, chert, etc.). These clay pellets represent a significant portion of Aeolian Unit I grain assemblage (~10%)
TABLE 2 | Particle-size description and characteristics by facies type (n = 163 samples).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>General Description and Interpretation</th>
<th>Md (µm)</th>
<th>X (µm)</th>
<th>σ</th>
<th>Sk</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>CV%a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aeolian unit I</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Dunes and sand-mantled ridges, high and low angle planar cross beds and lamellae in dunes</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>−3.33</td>
<td>18.06</td>
<td>83.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeolian unit II</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sandy loess, unstratified</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>−0.80</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial unit I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ohio River coarse-grained alluvium, gravel cross beds, interpreted as fluvioglacial outwash.</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>−0.39</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>84.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial unit II</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Ohio River fine-grained alluvium, rare laminated sands. Interpreted as overbank deposition.</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aCV is calculated using phi (Φ) units of X and σ.

and are ‘tempered’ predominately with silt-sized quartz grains, although muscovite grains occur less frequently. Evidence of particle disaggregation and coalescing, reported as common by others (Mason et al., 2003, p. 383), is infrequent at Sandy Springs. Secondary (hydro)oxides impregnate some pellets. Clay pellets are commonly reported in central and eastern United States dune and loess deposits (e.g., Mason et al., 2003; Kilibarda and Blockland, 2011, pp. 309–310). Pelletization occurs under arid, or seasonally dry, conditions where wind erosion detaches and entrains the edges of mud curls or salt-mud efflorescences (Pye, 1987, p. 27; Pye and Tsoar, 2009, p. 93; Shaw and Bryant, 2011, p. 392). Effective pellet size (Stoops, 2003, p. 12) was measured in thin section on 181 specimens and pellets ranged in diameter between 160 and 1606 µm, with an average of coarse sand (X = 608 µm).

The timing of aeolian activity on the S2 surface is constrained by the OSL age of 16,805 ± 1175 (BG 4176; Table 4). This age estimate comes from silty overbank deposits that form an alluvial...
ridge crest that is unconformably overlain by \(-0.6\) m of undated, sander aeolian unit I sediments (Section CB6). The \(-17\) ka age also supports previous suggestions that the S2 (O2) landform was constructed between 18 and 15 ka (Pavey et al., 1999). An OSL age estimate of 11,055 \pm 820 (BG 4173; Table 4) from 1.25 m below the crest of the \(-9\)-m thick climbing dune, suggests dune formation was occurring on the S2 surface during the late Pleistocene, or between \(-17\) and 11 ka.

OSL age estimates between \(-6.2\) and 1.4 ka (BG 4160, BG 4161, BG 4163, BG 4175; Table 4) indicate continued aeolian activity during the Holocene on the S2 surface. An especially active time of landscape disturbance and aeolian sedimentation at Sandy Springs is indicated by OSL age estimates 4450 \pm 350 (BG 4160), 4590 \pm 420 (BG 4161), and 4360 \pm 300 (BG 4162), all from different stratigraphic sections (Table 4 and Figure 3). This clustering of ages at \(-4.5\) ka suggest the occurrence of either closely timed, or synchronous, erosion, transport, and deposition events. Sample BG 4160 derives from the climbing dune, at a depth, 2.85 m, characterized by high-angle (>30\(^\circ\)) planar cross-beds indicative of dune reactivation and grain flow on the NNE slip face. Dune reactivation may be associated with erosional undercutting and is further suggested by a high overdispersion equivalent dose value of 53 \pm 5\% (Table 4) that may indicate grain mixing (Galbraith et al., 1999). A second age (BG 4161) is from the limb section of the barchan-like dune and may reflect either initial dune/limb construction or subsequent reactivation. The final age (BG 4162) derives from a gully cut in the deflated S2 basin that exposes low-angle cross-stratified alluvial unit I sediments, and is interpreted as reflecting mid-Holocene reworking of older fluvialglacial deposits.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain Property</th>
<th>Aeolian Unit I</th>
<th>Aeolian Unit II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/H</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q:CA</td>
<td>7:1</td>
<td>197:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q:F</td>
<td>79:1</td>
<td>91:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grain Morphologies</th>
<th>Aeolian Unit I</th>
<th>Aeolian Unit II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-angular, High Sphericity</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-angular, Low Sphericity</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-rounded, High Sphericity</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-rounded, Low Sphericity</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Angular, High Sphericity</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Angular, Low Sphericity</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Rounded, High Sphericity</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well Rounded, Low Sphericity</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q, quartz; C, chert; F, feldspar; M, muscovite; B, biotite; H, amphibole/hornblende; Q:CA, quartz:clay aggregate ratio; Q:F, quartz:feldspar ratio.

### Discussion

#### Aeolian Landform Chronology and Evolution

Consistent with other upper Ohio Valley studies (Rutledge et al., 1975; Chappell, 1988; Simard, 1989), aeolian landforms and sediments at Sandy Springs are restricted to the older, elevated S2 and S3 landforms. On the S2 surface, aeolian sediments discontinuously cover a basal sequence of coarse fluvialglacial outwash, alluvial unit I, over fine overbank sediments, alluvial unit II. Fluvialglacial outwash was available for deposition at Sandy Springs perhaps as early as \(-23\) ka, in association with the melting of the Scioto and Miami sublobes that delivered substantial meltwater and sediment to the Ohio River through the Scioto River (Kempton and Goldthwait, 1959; Fullerton, 1986; Glover et al., 2011). The relatively wide, sinuous, low-gradient Ohio valley between Garrison, Kentucky, and Sandy Springs (Figure 1) would have reduced river unit stream power and transport capacity (Leopold et al., 1964, pp. 271–317; Fryirs and Brierley, 2013, pp. 70–71) resulting in a sediment sink, especially at significant meanders such as Sandy Springs. OSL age results from this study indicate that the transition to fine overbank deposition and ridge-and-sable development on the S2 surface was in progress by at least \(-17\) ka.

Sometime between \(-17\) and 11 ka, aeolian processes commenced on the S2 surface. OSL age results indicate episodic aeolian deposition between \(-11\) and 1.4 ka on both the S2 and S3 surfaces. Aeolian deposition appears to reflect both initial dune...
TABLE 4 | Results of OSL dating for Sandy Springs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab number</th>
<th>Section/Depth (m)</th>
<th>Facies (Setting)a</th>
<th>Aliquotsb</th>
<th>Grain Size (µm)</th>
<th>Equivalent dose (Gray)b</th>
<th>Overdispersion (%)c</th>
<th>U (ppm)e</th>
<th>Th (ppm)e</th>
<th>K (%)e</th>
<th>Cosmic dose rate (mGray/yr)f</th>
<th>Dose rate (mGray/yr)f</th>
<th>OSL age (yr)g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BG 4160</td>
<td>CB1a/2.85</td>
<td>Aeolian unit I (climbing dune)</td>
<td>60/71/8</td>
<td>425–500</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.23</td>
<td>53 ± 5</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.160 ± 0.016</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.05</td>
<td>4450 ± 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG 4161</td>
<td>U2/0.76</td>
<td>Mixed aeolian unit I/alluvial unit I (barchan-like dune)</td>
<td>61/70/10</td>
<td>425–355</td>
<td>7.82 ± 0.60</td>
<td>48 ± 4</td>
<td>2.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.92 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.196 ± 0.020</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.09</td>
<td>4590 ± 420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG 4162</td>
<td>CB4/1.71</td>
<td>Alluvial unit I (alluvial surface tread)</td>
<td>51/63/9</td>
<td>425–355</td>
<td>7.58 ± 0.49</td>
<td>44 ± 4</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.177 ± 0.018</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.09</td>
<td>4360 ± 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG 4163</td>
<td>BA8/0.7</td>
<td>Aeolian unit I (alluvial ridge)</td>
<td>56/63/6</td>
<td>425–355</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.13</td>
<td>40 ± 4</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.198 ± 0.020</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1400 ± 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG 4173</td>
<td>CB1/1.25</td>
<td>Aeolian unit I (climbing dune)</td>
<td>41/51</td>
<td>425–355</td>
<td>10.53 ± 0.54</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.185 ± 0.019</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.05</td>
<td>11,055 ± 820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG 4174</td>
<td>U1/0.75</td>
<td>Aeolian II (knob)</td>
<td>32/35/9</td>
<td>355–250</td>
<td>14.10 ± 0.71</td>
<td>37 ± 5</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.01</td>
<td>4.41 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.192 ± 0.019</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.09</td>
<td>8190 ± 585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG 4175</td>
<td>U3/0.85</td>
<td>Aeolian I (sand sheet)</td>
<td>28/35/6</td>
<td>425–355</td>
<td>7.43 ± 0.40</td>
<td>37 ± 5</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.70 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.196 ± 0.020</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.06</td>
<td>6235 ± 470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG 4176</td>
<td>CB6/0.97</td>
<td>Alluvial unit II (alluvial ridge)</td>
<td>23/26</td>
<td>63–44</td>
<td>53.85 ± 2.62</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
<td>3.93 ± 0.01</td>
<td>11.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.192 ± 0.019</td>
<td>3.20 ± 0.16</td>
<td>16,805 ± 1175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFacies units and Settings delineated in Section “Aeolian Sediments on the S3 Surface.” bAliquots used in equivalent dose calculations versus original aliquots measured. cEquivalent dose calculated on a pure quartz fraction with about 40–100 grains/aliquot and analyzed under blue-light excitation (470 ± 20 nm) by single aliquot regeneration protocols (Murray and Wintle, 2003). The central age model of Galbraith et al. (1999) was used to calculated equivalent dose when overdispersion values are <25% (at 1 sigma errors). A finite mixture age model was used with overdispersion values > 25% to determine the youngest equivalent dose population, which is the third value listed. dValues reflects precision beyond instrumental errors; values of ≤ 25% (at 1 sigma limit) indicate low dispersion in equivalent dose values and an unimodal distribution. eU, Th and K content analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry analyzed by ALS Laboratories, Reno, NV; U content includes Rb equivalent. fCosmic dose rate calculated from parameters in Prescott and Hutton (1994). gSystematic and random errors calculated in a quadrature at 1 standard deviation. Datum year is AD 2010.
construction and later reactivation events. The low frequency of well-rounded, high sphericity grains (8%) and extremely poor sediment sorting, along with overall high OSL overdispersion values (>30%) (Galbraith et al., 1999), are consistent with the view that aeolian sediments are locally sourced (Pye and Tsoar, 2009, p. 82). The proximity of the deflated S2 basin with aeolian dunes and sand-mantled ridges suggests that this depression represents a primary source area for aeolian sediments at Sandy Springs (Figure 3). The geographic position of complex dunes that partially rim the western edge of the basin also indicates source-bordering mechanisms which are common in sediment-rich alluvial valleys worldwide (e.g., Kocurek and Lancaster, 1999; Sankey et al., 2018). Aeolian deflation of this basin likely occurred in response to some combination of reduced vegetation cover, perhaps associated with increased aridity, and perhaps groundwater drawdown linked to the deep incision of Gilpin Run which concomitantly would have reduced water table elevations in the immediate area.

Aeolian deposits are thickest east of U.S. Route 52, where a linear dune transitions into a 9 m high climbing dune that abuts the S3 escarpment. The orientation and thickness of these cross-bedded dunes appear windswept and align closely with modern S to WSW seasonal wind regimes (National Climatic Data Center, 1996). The fact that S2 linear and climbing dunes, and the interdunal sand sheet, exhibit coarse textures ($\mu = 31 \mu m$) during the early Holocene. Based on the interlayering of aeolian unit I and alluvial unit II sediments, we interpret the formation of landforms west of U.S. Route 52 as a result of a more complex interplay of aeolian-fluvial processes interacting with the underlying alluvial topography. S2 alluvial ridge crests, for example, are shown in this study to be preferred points of deposition for up to 2 m of fine sandy sediment ($\mu\mu = 183 \mu m$). In these cases, alluvial ridge crests act as promontories to decrease and redirect surface wind vectors and promote aeolian deposition (e.g., Dong et al., 2018).

Regional Comparisons and Possible Paleoclimate Correlations

Recent geochronological studies are yielding a growing inventory of $<12$ ka OSL and radiocarbon ages for aeolian landforms from a variety of geomorphic settings in the eastern United States (Hansen et al., 2002; Krieg et al., 2004; Lutz et al., 2007; Campbell et al., 2011; Kilibarda et al., 2014a). Most relevant to the current study are dated aeolian landforms such as dune fields located within alluvial valleys, paleochannels, or lake and outwash plains (e.g., Rawling et al., 2008; Miao et al., 2010; Kilibarda and Blockland, 2011; Wang et al., 2012; Blockland, 2013; Arbogast et al., 2015). In these settings, dunes typically are vegetated today and most commonly are reported to be transgressive parabolic and sand sheet forms. Similar to Sandy Springs, individual dunes heights vary but most are less than 15 m in height (Rawling et al., 2008, p. 495; Miao et al., 2010, p. 764; Kilibarda and Blockland, 2011, p. 307; Blockland, 2013, pp. 27–32).

In the eastern United States, evidence of Holocene aeolian deposition predominately is interpreted as reactivation and resculpting of the upper sections of extant late Pleistocene dunes (Miao et al., 2010; Blockland, 2013), an interpretation largely favored for Sandy Springs. Similar to dunes at Sandy Springs, most $<12$ ka age samples from aeolian landforms derive from shallow $<2$ m deposits, although early ages from depths between 5 and 7 m also are reported (Arbogast and Packman, 2004; Rawling et al., 2008; Miao et al., 2010; Kilibarda and Blockland, 2011; Wang et al., 2012; Arbogast et al., 2015, 2017). At least one dune in Illinois, the Bill Farm site, has OSL age estimates suggesting construction entirely during the Holocene (Miao et al., 2010, p. 768). At Sandy Springs, OSL age estimates suggest that S2 sand mantles and the barchan-like dune also were constructed primarily during the Holocene (Table 4). Although buried paleosols are currently unknown in aeolian contexts at Sandy Springs, their occurrence at other sites in Illinois, Ohio, and Wisconsin (Rawling et al., 2008; Miao et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012; Blockland, 2013) suggests depositional hiatuses were common over the last 12 ka years in the eastern United States.

The formation of late Quaternary aeolian landforms has been attributed to a combination of factors including environmental change, notably increased aridity (Miao et al., 2010; Campbell et al., 2011; Kilibarda and Blockland, 2011), increased sediment supply (e.g., Arbogast et al., 2015), and increased sediment availability through groundwater drawdown and surface deflation (e.g., Rawling et al., 2008; Miao et al., 2010). Although not widely studied, local disturbances also may be responsible for site-specific reactivations such as animal overgrazing, wildfires, and Native American land-use practices (Miao et al., 2010, p. 770). Although local wind fetch and sediment availability are interpreted as important factors for initiating aeolian processes at Sandy Springs, OSL dating and internal dune architecture also suggest at least two possible linkages of local landscape instability to pan-regional Holocene paleoclimatic events.

First, the OSL age estimate of $\sim 8.2$ ka from sandy loess on the S3 surface indicates early Holocene deposition. Although based on a single age, we suggest the possibility that this depositional event is related to the 8.2 ka paleoclimatic event, or North Atlantic Bond Event 5 (Bond et al., 1997). The 8.2 ka event reflects an abrupt centennial-scale cooling and drying episode characterized by increased windiness that appears related to North Atlantic sea-surface cooling due to the collapse of the Laurentide Ice Sheet (e.g., Alley et al., 1997; Bond et al., 1997; Hu et al., 1999; Yu and Eicher, 2001; Shuman et al., 2002; Alley and Ágústsdóttir, 2005; Ellison et al., 2006; Kobashi et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007). In North America specifically, increased dust frequencies in lacustrine sediments have been cited as evidence for intensifying aeolian activity across the continental United States at this time (e.g., Hu et al., 1999; Fritz et al., 2001; Dean et al., 2002; Tornqvist et al., 2004; Lutz et al., 2007). Potential linkages to the 8.2 ka event are suggested from two additional Ohio sites. Coring of lacustrine sediments at northcentral Ohio’s Brown’s Lake reveal two distinct, organic-poor silt beds dated between 8.9 and 8.2 ka (Lutz et al., 2007), which is interpreted as evidence for increased aeolian activity. Aeolian reactivation of late Pleistocene
beach deposits and sand dunes in northwestern Ohio also is documented beginning ~8.8 ka and may reflect an early onset of the 8.2 ka event (Campbell et al., 2011).

A second possible paleoclimate link is based on three OSL age estimates that suggest likely synchronous, wide-spread landscape instability and sediment reworking at ~4.2 ka on the S2 surface at Sandy Springs. This age closely corresponds to a period of lower lake levels and increased aridity in northeastern to southeastern United States between 4.4 and 4.5 ka (Li et al., 2007, 2014; Stinchcomb et al., 2013) and slightly predates severe drought at ~4.2 ka for midcontinental North America, as well as other portions of the Northern Hemisphere (e.g., Dean, 1997; Alley et al., 2003; Staubwasser et al., 2003; Booth et al., 2004, 2005). Drought conditions like those reported between 4.5 and 4.2 ka are known to result in severe landscape disturbance and initiation of aeolian processes, including dune reactivations across portions of North America (e.g., Mason et al., 1997, 2004; Forman et al., 2001). Evidence from Sandy Springs suggest that a similar set of processes may have been occurring in the upper Ohio Valley during this time.

CONCLUSION

At the Sandy Springs site, geomorphic, stratigraphic, and sedimentary observations, supported by eight OSL age estimates, are the basis for the first detailed description of an aeolian system in the upper Ohio Valley. Aeolian sediments are restricted to an intermediate S2 surface and higher S3 surface. OSL age estimates constrain the initial timing of S2 aeolian processes to between 17 and 11 ka. Episodic aeolian deposition on the S2 and S3 surfaces continued during the Holocene between 11 and 1.4 ka, with strong evidence of pervasive landscape disturbance and aeolian activity at ~4.5 ka. S2 aeolian sediments primarily are medium-textured and form dunes and sand-mantled ridges; whereas, S3 deposits represent sandy loess that blankets an underlying, older surface. Aeolian sediments are interpreted as locally sourced. Hummocky terrain on the S2 surface east of U.S. Route 52 reflect stabilized dunes with cross-beded sands of varied thickness. The depositional history of landforms west of U.S. Route 52 are more complex with evidence of fluvial-aeolian interaction. The timing of aeolian activity at Sandy Springs may indicate linkages to pan-regional Holocene paleoclimate events at 4.5 and 8.2 ka. Finally, the potential that Holocene aeolian sediments are distributed more broadly within the upper Ohio Valley has important implications not only for future landform and paleoenvironmental reconstructions, but also for late Quaternary archaeological studies that explore issues of site preservation and visibility (e.g., Waters and Kuehn, 1996; Purtill, 2012).
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