

Volume 51 | Issue 3 Article 1

March 1949

## Masthead Volume 51, Issue 3

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/wvlr

### Recommended Citation

*Masthead Volume 51, Issue 3, 51* W. Va. L. Rev. (1949). Available at: https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/wvlr/vol51/iss3/1

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the WVU College of Law at The Research Repository @ WVU. It has been accepted for inclusion in West Virginia Law Review by an authorized editor of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact ian.harmon@mail.wvu.edu.

# West Virginia Law Quarterly and The Bar

Published by the College of Law of West Virginia University. Official publication of The West Virginia Bar Association.

#### STUDENT BOARD OF EDITORS

CHARLES HOWARD HARDESTY, JR., President

DAVID MARTIN BAKER
DON ALBERT BARR
PAUL NATHAN BOWLES
HENRY D'ALTON COLLINS
JOHN THOMAS COPENHAVER, JR.
HENRY ARTHUR FISHER, JR.
PAUL JAMES FOURNEY
WILLIAM THOMPSON LIVELY, JR.
WILLIAM FRANKLIN LOCKHART

THOMAS ELLISON MORGAN
PERRY NELSON PAYNE
ROBERT BARTH PIERCE
JOSEPH FRANKLIN SHOWALTER, JR.
PAUL LOGAN SMITH
HARRY LAMBRIGHT SNYDER, III
RICHARD LESIER THEIBERT
CURTIS BALLARD TRENT, JR.
WILLIAM JARRELL WELLS

ALBERT S. ABEL, Faculty Editor in Charge LOUISE FARRELL CONAWAY, Business Manager

### STUDENT NOTE

COMPUTATION OF "OVERTIME" PAY UNDER THE Bay Ridge CASE.—Since the enactment in 1938 of the Fair Labor Standards Act, employers engaged in business affecting interstate commerce have, with varying degrees of success, sought to apply correctly section 7 (a) of the act which states:

"No employer shall, except as otherwise provided in this section, employ any of his employees who is engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce . . . (3) for a workweek longer than forty hours after expiration of the second year from such date, unless such employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of the hours above specified at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate at which he is employed."

Confronting the employer was the perplexing problem of what constitutes the regular rate which the act makes the basis for computation of wage payments for work in excess of forty hours. The statute contains neither a definition of regular rate nor a rule

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Notes, 140 A. L. R. 1263 (1942), 152 A. L. R. 1030 (1944), 169 A. L. R. 1307 (1947).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 52 STAT. 1060, 29 U. S. C. A. § 207 (a) (1940).