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take up the reins in a period of transition; to accomplish in a remarkably short time the dreams and hopes of the college founders. By dint of hard work, resourcefulness, executive and administrative ability, these and other accomplishments became realities during his short tenure.

The many students stimulated and inspired by him, the lawyers and judges who worked with and co-operated with him, the members of the faculty who associated with him intimately as co-laborers, and his many friends join in honoring his memory as a great builder and sincerely mourn his loss.

COLLEGE OF LAW—ENROLLMENT.—The fifty-first year of the College of Law commenced with an enrollment of one hundred sixty-six. This is the largest enrollment in the history of the school. In 1925-26 the enrollment was one hundred thirty-six, in 1926-27 one hundred thirty-six, in 1927-1928 one hundred fifty-eight and in 1928-29 one hundred sixty-one. This gradual increase we think justifies the Law School in making the announcement that in 1931 three years preparation will be required for admission to the College of Law. We believe that a law school of about one hundred students of more background than can be obtained in two years college preparation will better fit the needs in West Virginia than a larger law school.

FACTORy REPORT ON PROCEDURE.—This issue is devoted to a report of the Law Faculty made in response to a resolution passed by the meeting of the West Virginia Bar Association in Fairmont in 1928. It is hoped that each member of the bar will take the time to study and criticize the report, and the writers of the report will appreciate very much any letters of criticism which any member of the bar can find time to write. We wish to make a list of criticisms of this report so that we can submit them with the report at the next bar association meeting.

While the writers of the report have given a great deal of time to study of the subject they nevertheless feel that they would be greatly benefited by criticisms and suggestions from those actively in the practice in West Virginia. Ordinarily it is difficult to get attorneys to take time to study a report of this character and write down their suggestions. We hope however that this report will prove the exception to the rule and that the committee will receive written suggestions from members of the bar over the state.