

June 1928

Masthead Volume 34, Issue 4

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/wvlr>

Recommended Citation

Masthead Volume 34, Issue 4, 34 W. Va. L. Rev. (1928).

Available at: <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/wvlr/vol34/iss4/1>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the WVU College of Law at The Research Repository @ WVU. It has been accepted for inclusion in West Virginia Law Review by an authorized editor of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact ian.harmon@mail.wvu.edu.

West Virginia Law Quarterly

and THE BAR

Published by the Faculty of the College of Law of West Virginia University, and issued in December, February, April and June of each academic year. Official publication of The West Virginia Bar Association.

Subscription price to individuals, not members of The West Virginia Bar Association, \$2.00 per year. To those who are members of the Association the price is \$1.50 per year and is included in their annual dues. Single copies, 50 cents.

Editor-in-Charge

LOUISE FARRELL HARTLEY

Faculty Board of Editors

THURMAN W. ARNOLD
EDMUND C. DICKINSON

THOMAS P. HARDMAN
CLIFFORD R. SNIDER

LEO CARLIN
JAMES W. SIMONTON

Student Board of Editors

ARLOS J. HARBERT, *Chairman*

MOSE E. BOLIARSKY
HOWARD CAPLAN
FRID L. DAVIS
CLARA D. WHITTEN
ROSCOE H. PENDLETON

R. PAUL HOLLAND
KENDALL H. KEENEY
WILLIAM T. O'FARRELL
JOSEPH G. CONLEY
HAROLD F. PORTERFIELD

JOHN F. SANDERS
ANNE S. SLIFKIN
HUGH R. WARDEK
LESTER C. HESS
CLAIR SMITH

EDITORIALS

THE LAKE CARGO RATE CONTROVERSY.—Since the editorial on the Lake Cargo Case was published in the last Quarterly¹ many important developments have taken place. First, in a decision handed down by two circuit judges and one district judge, the Interstate Commerce Commission was enjoined from suspending reduction of rates to lake cargo ports offered by the southern railroads. Following that the northern railroads on the 21st day of May proposed a corresponding reduction of twenty cents a ton to restore the former relationship in rates as it had been established by the last Lake Cargo Case. On June second, the southern railroads applied for a suspension of these reduced rate schedules on the grounds (1) that they were only temporary and were proposed for the purpose of changing the status of the litigation pending appeal; (2) that they favored certain lake cargo ports against others; (3) that they amounted to a rebate to the favored lake cargo shippers,

¹ 34 W. VA. L. QUAR. 272.