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Abstract

Potential Energy Surface of Urea

Xiaojian Mao

Using MP2 methods with aug-cc-pVDZ basis set, the inversion and rotation potential energy surface (PES) of urea molecule were plotted and fitted into polynomials. Important conformations on the PES were located and characterized. Their connecting paths were examined. Both inversion and rotation energy barriers were calculated. It was showed there could exist more than one internal rotation path for a floppy molecule. The topology of the PES were characterized using graphical description and symmetry analysis.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

Urea was the first organic compound prepared outside of a living organism in history. It was synthesized from ammonium sulfate and lead cyanate by Wöhler in 1828 [1]. This is marked as the demise of the vital force theory and the beginning of modern organic chemistry. Since then it has been the subject of interest in almost every branch of fundamental and applied chemistry research field.

1.1 Urea as a protein denaturing agent

Although urea is structurally simple and has been investigated for such a long time, many of its properties are still not understood and draw a great amount of interest in many fields of chemistry. Among them, its well-known but as yet unexplained denaturation effects [2,3] in a variety of peptide and protein-like structures have triggered a great deal of research [4–11] in recent years. By adding to and removing from urea solution, proteins can switch their conformations between native structures and denatured random coil states.

The manner in which urea affects protein is still unclear. Some believe it is related to the hydrogen bonding ability of urea to the peptide backbone [5,6,8,11], others
believe it is related to the water structure change due to urea molecules \[4, 7, 12\]. In former case, urea molecules will break the original hydrogen bondings of protein like structures, and new hydrogen bondings will form between urea molecules and protein molecules. In later case, urea will change the water “icelike” structure, therefore trigger the change of activity of peptide groups.

Although it is still unclear which hypothesis is correct, urea molecule’s hydrogen bonding ability and interaction with water molecules play very important roles in its denaturation ability.

1.2 Urea aqueous solution

Urea aqueous solution has been an interesting topic for decades due to the curious manner in which urea molecule affects aqueous solution. “Urea is considered a solute class of its own, because of the possibly unique characteristics of its interactions with water.” \[13\]

The role of urea in water structure is still an open question. Raman spectral method \[14\] and ultrasonics study \[15\] have showed evidence of urea molecules as a water structure breaker. Different models were proposed to interpreted the experimental data \[4, 16, 17\].

On the other hand, heat capacity and NMR data \[12, 18\] suggested urea molecules neither promote nor destruct the water structure. Infrared spectra method \[6, 10\], neutron diffraction data \[9\] and molecular dynamics calculations \[19\] also support the idea that urea molecules could fit into the water structure without any considerable distortion. Urea molecule, while dissolving in water, might provide a similar range of geometries and keep a similar number of hydrogen bondings (relative to the water molecules it replaces in water structure), therefore, water’s structure is kept unchanged.

In order to fit into water structure, urea molecule has to have “correct” geometry.
of structure. All these studies of how urea molecule affects water structure lead to another important question: what is the geometry structure of urea molecule in aqueous solution?

1.3 Structure of urea molecules

Because of urea molecule’s simplicity of structure, it is one of the first organic compounds to be determined by X-ray crystallographic method \[20\,22\], and later confirmed and supplemented by neutron diffraction measurements \[23\,26\]. The structure of urea molecule in solid state is well-known today as a planar structure (Fig. 1.1). Its eight atoms, including atoms from the two amide groups it contains, are in a same plane. The planar structure is due to an extensive hydrogen bonding network existing in crystal structure of urea: each urea molecule is hydrogen bonded to its six neighbors.

It was only in nineties that quantum mechanic calculations \[27\,29\] showed urea molecule in gas state should be nonplanar. Instead of all eight atoms lie in a same plane, the two amino groups actually adopt a pyramidal structure (Fig. 1.2). The microwave spectrum \[30\] and matrix isolation study data \[31\] were re-examed to support the calculations. And this nonplanar structure was confirmed later by microwave spectra data \[32\] and infrared spectra data \[33\].

A lot of effort has been put into the study of geometry of urea molecules in aqueous solution \[17\,18\,34\,37\]. Although the structure of urea molecule in solid and gas state are generally accepted today, the geometry of urea molecules in aqueous solution is still an open question \[36\]. The answer to this question is crucial to determine its action on proteins through its influence on water structure.
1.3 Structure of urea molecules

Figure 1.1: Structure of urea in solid state, which shows an extensive hydrogen bonding network. Figure adapted from figure 1, Vaughan, P. and Donohue, J., Acta Crystallographica, 1957, 5, 530-535.
1.4 Goal of this research

From above discussion it is clear that two properties of urea molecule are essential to understand its protein denaturation effect: its hydrogen bonding ability and molecular geometry structure. Actually, its hydrogen bonding ability is also closely related to its geometry structure. Its planar structure in solid state is due to the existence of extensive hydrogen bonding network, and quantum mechanics calculations did show that urea molecules, while forming dimer through hydrogen bonding, tend to be more planar than in monomers [37–39].

The structure of urea in an environment, such as water or a protein surface, is a very fundamental question and has not been definitely resolved. Knowledge of the shapes and energetics of urea molecules is essential for understanding this question.

It is amazing that a small geometry change in molecular structure could have large influences on molecular properties. To understand the effects better, it is of importance to investigate the flexibility of urea molecule and its topology of potential energy surface (PES). Most energy minima and saddles have been reported in several studies [28, 29, 32, 38, 40, 41]. However, in these studies only single points in the PES have been
investigated. The incentive for this study was to help understanding urea molecule’s property by investigating the whole topology of urea molecule’s PES.
Chapter 2

Method and Model

2.1 Coupling of Inversion and Rotation

Urea molecule is considered as a typical floppy molecule due to its ability to switch between planar and non-planar structure. A floppy molecule is a molecule which possesses more than one equilibrium configuration and the energy barrier between these configurations are low enough for it to switch between them. There are mainly two types of motions which could occur within a floppy molecule: internal inversion and rotation, and they both exist within urea molecules.

Both experimental \[32\] and theoretical \[27\] results have shown that urea molecule in gas state is a non-planar structure. The hybridisation of the two nitrogen atoms is $sp^3$ rather than $sp^2$ in non-planar structures. The two amino groups are pyramidal and these trigonal pyramid geometry could undergo nitrogen inversion to turn the hydrogen atoms in and out (Fig. 2.1). This is the internal inversion motion of urea molecules.

Quantum mechanics calculations also showed that the rotational barrier around CN bonds are low (around 11 kcal/mol) \[40\] \[42\] enough for urea molecules to make the internal rotation feasible.

The two nitrogen inversions and two CN bond rotations together make urea a
2.1 Coupling of Inversion and Rotation

complicated floppy molecule, although it is structurally simple. These two inversions and two rotations are coupled together. Due to this coupling, a problem which has never been noticed before arises when using the traditional way to calculate and plot rotational barrier of urea.

In a traditional way, to calculate the relaxed C$_1$-N$_3$ rotational barrier of urea (Fig. 2.1), one will fix the dihedral angle O$_2$C$_1$N$_3$H$_6$ and leave all other degrees of freedom relaxed to calculate the energy. By changing the fixed value of the dihedral angle O$_2$C$_1$N$_3$H$_6$ from 0 to 360, a rotational energy barrier can be plotted.

![Figure 2.1: Atom numbering for urea molecule - Plotted using Facio software](image)

However, this traditional way to plot rotational barrier does not work for urea due to the existing coupling between rotation and inversion within urea molecule.

First, since the coordinate of the other hydrogen atom in the same amino group (H$_5$) is not fixed, this atom still can undergo inversion motion to make urea molecule reaches different energy minimum configurations. Inversion is interfering rotation during calculation. This problem is arising from using conventional internal coordinates (dihedral angle O$_2$C$_1$N$_3$H$_6$) since the dihedral angle is actually a variable involving
in both rotation and inversion motions. In order to avoid this interfering, instead of using conventional internal coordinates, new coordinates have to be designed to plot the rotational barrier.

Second, there is also interfering from the rotation and inversion motions of the other amino group (-N$_4$H$_7$H$_8$). Since this group is left relaxed during calculations, it can undergo inversion motion to reach different energy minimum configurations. Depending on different configuration it chooses, different rotation path will arise. The traditional rotational barrier calculation will generate a multivalued plotting. This multivalue problem is arising from the coupling between motions of two amino groups. Any one-dimensional rotation barrier plotting of urea molecule can not avoid it. The rotation paths of urea molecule has to be presented at least in a two-dimensional plotting.

2.2 Inversion and Rotation Variables

For urea molecule (Fig. 2.1), the four heavy atoms (carbon, oxygen, and two nitrogen atoms) stay in the same plane for most of time, and it is the positions of four hydrogen atoms make the big difference of the molecular geometry. Actually, experimental data shows that the skeleton vibrations of urea molecule are not coupled with the amino groups deformation vibration [43]. Therefore, it is reasonable to only consider the positions of hydrogen atoms while investigating the flexibility of urea molecules.

In conventional internal coordinate system, the positions of these four hydrogen atoms are represented by four dihedral angles (Fig. 2.1):

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_5 & = \text{dihedral angle } O_2C_1N_3H_5 \\
\tau_6 & = \text{dihedral angle } O_2C_1N_3H_6 \\
\tau_7 & = \text{dihedral angle } O_2C_1N_3H_7
\end{align*}
\]
2.2 Inversion and Rotation Variables

\[ \tau_8 = \text{dihedral angle } O_2C_1N_3H_8 \]

A dihedral angle is the angle between two planes. Dihedral angle \( O_2C_1N_3H_5 \) is defined as the angle between two planes which are defined by \( O_2, C_1, N_3 \) atoms and \( C_1, N_3, H_5 \) atoms respectively.

However, just as discussed in section 2.1, each of these dihedral angles actually involves in both rotation and inversion motions. In order to separate the coupling between rotation and inversion, a set of new coordinates is used:

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 &= \frac{\tau_5 + \tau_6}{2} \\
\theta_2 &= \frac{\tau_7 + \tau_8}{2} \\
\tau_1 &= \tau_5 - \tau_6 \\
\tau_2 &= \tau_7 - \tau_8
\end{align*}
\]

Rotation coordinates \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) represent the rotation motions of two amino groups. When the amino groups rotate around CN bonds, these two variables will change from 0 to 360.

Inversion coordinates \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) are the differences between two dihedral angles in a same amino group. When the amino groups undergo inversion motions, switching between trigonal pyramid structures, the variables \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) will change their values around 180. These variables represent how much the geometries of amino groups are out-of-plane near the planar structures, and can be considered as inversion coordinates.

With these new inversion and rotation coordinates, the inversion and rotation potential energy surface (PES) of urea can be calculated and plotted.
2.3 Plotting PES

To plot inversion potential energy surface of urea, urea molecule’s energy were calculated (computational details described in chapter 2.4) with two inversion variables $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ changing from 100 to 260. At each point, the values of $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ were fixed, but all other 16 degrees of freedom of urea molecule were left relaxed. Urea molecule’s geometry was then optimized and energy was calculated. Each energy generated a point in the PES, and a contour plot was done to represent the inversion PES of urea.

To plot rotation potential energy surface of urea, urea molecule’s energy were calculated with two rotation variables $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ changing from 0 to 360. At each point, the values of $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ were fixed, but all other 16 degrees of freedom of urea molecule were left relaxed. Urea molecule’s geometry was then optimized and energy was calculated. Each energy generated a point in the PES, and a contour plot was done to represent the rotation PES of urea.

2.4 Computational Details

All calculations were carried out using the GAMESS (The General Atomic and Molecular Electronic Structure System) program [44] with the correlation-consistent aug-cc-pVDZ basis set [45,46] at second-order Möller-Plesset perturbation theory (MP2) [47] level.

2.4.1 GAMESS

GAMESS (The General Atomic and Molecular Electronic Structure System) is a general ab initio quantum chemistry package [44], maintained by the members of the Gordon research group at Iowa State University.

GAMESS can perform all kinds of general computational chemistry calculations, including Hartree-Fock, density functional theory (DFT), and Multi-configurational
self-consistent field (MCSCF). Correlation corrections after these SCF calculations can be estimated by configuration interaction (CI), second order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory, and coupled cluster theory. Solvent effect can also be considered using quantum mechanics/molecular mechanics through discrete effective fragment potentials or continuum models.

2.4.2 Basis Set

Choosing basis set is crucial for urea structure optimization calculations. In history, before nineties, urea structure was calculated as a planar structure due to using small basis sets. The topology of PES of urea could change a lot if using inappropriate basis set. The planar structure, which should be a rank-2 saddle, could be calculated as an energy minimum using inappropriate basis set!

To find out the appropriate basis set to use, different basis sets were used to optimize the structure of urea molecule and compared with experimental data (Table 2.1).

It can be seen from the data that both polarization functions and diffuse functions are important to be included in basis set when running calculations for urea molecule.

Polarization functions are higher angular momentum functions and they generally help to describe important charge polarization effects. Diffuse functions are functions with small exponents and they are important whenever loosely bound electrons are present. For urea molecule, the two lone pair electrons from nitrogen atoms is conjugated to \( \pi \) orbital of CO bonding. Apparently, polarization effect is important within urea molecule, and an appropriate basis set should include both polarization functions and diffuse functions.

2.4.3 Aug-cc-pVDZ Basis Set

A set of the most widely used basis sets are correlation-consistent basis sets developed by Dunning and coworkers. They are designed to converge systematically to the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>3-21G</th>
<th>6-31G*</th>
<th>6-31G**</th>
<th>6-31+G(2d,p)</th>
<th>aug-cc-pVDZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r(C-O) /Å</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>1.197</td>
<td>1.198</td>
<td>1.194</td>
<td>1.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r(C-N₃) /Å</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.365</td>
<td>1.373</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>1.371</td>
<td>1.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(OCN₃)</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>123.0</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>122.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r(N₃H₅) /Å</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r(N₃H₆) /Å</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(CN₃H₅)</td>
<td>119.2</td>
<td>123.7</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>117.6</td>
<td>117.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(CN₃H₆)</td>
<td>112.8</td>
<td>117.4</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>113.7</td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>113.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ(OCN₃H₅)</td>
<td>156.9</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>149.9</td>
<td>151.6</td>
<td>151.1</td>
<td>151.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ(OCN₃H₆)</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Urea geometry optimization using different basis sets
complete-basis-set limit. They include successively larger shells of polarization functions, and have become the current state of the art for post-Hartree-Fock calculations. Aug-cc-pVDZ basis set is the augmented version (with added diffuse functions) of the correlation-consistent polarized valence-only double-zeta basis set.

2.4.4 Calculation Level

Electron correlations are neglected in Hartree-Fock calculations. In HF treatment, electron-electron repulsion is handled by having each electron move in a smeared-out, average electrostatic field due to all the other electrons. Therefore, in HF treatment the probability that an electron will have a particular spatial coordinate at some moment is independent of the coordinates of the other electrons at that moment. This is not true in reality of course. A post-HF calculation should always be considered to correct the electron correlation when possible.

The Möller-Plesset (MP) treatment \footnote{47} \ of electron correlation is based on perturbation theory. Second order MP method (MP2) is a standard level used today in calculating small systems.
Chapter 3

Two-dimensional Inversion
Potential Energy Surface

3.1 Inversion PES

Urea molecule’s energy were calculated (computational details described in section 2.4) with two inversion variables $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ (described in section 2.3) changing from 100$^\circ$ to 260$^\circ$. At each point, the values of $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ were fixed, but all other 16 degrees of freedom of urea molecule were left relaxed. Urea molecule’s structure was then optimized and energy was calculated. Each energy generated a point in the PES. Totally 1800 points were calculated and a contour plot was done to represent the inversion PES of urea (Fig. 3.1).

3.1.1 Important conformations

Four most important conformations are labelled in Fig. 3.1 and listed in Fig. 3.2 - Fig. 3.5.

conformation A is the global energy minimum. Agreed with previous work 27 it is a nonplanar structure with the $C_2$ symmetry. Four heavy atoms lie in a same plane,
3.1 Inversion PES

Figure 3.1: Inversional potential energy surface of urea molecule - unit in cm$^{-1}$. 
two -NH$_2$ group points to opposite direction and in two sides of that plane respectively. This is the conformation urea molecules adopt in gas state.

conformation B is the local energy minimum, with energy 1.06 kcal/mol higher than global energy minimum and with C$_s$ symmetry. The two -NH$_2$ group points to same direction and in the same side of four heavy atoms. The local energy minimum conformation connects with global energy minimum by inverting only one side of nitrogen trigonal pyramid structure.

conformation C is a rank-2 saddle. One of its decreasing normal mode coordinates leads to two global minima and another leads to two local minima. All eight atoms are lying in a same plane in this conformation. The symmetry is C$_{2v}$ and its energy is 1.58 kcal/mol higher than global energy minimum. This is the conformation urea molecules adopt in solid state.

conformation D is a rank-1 saddle which connects global and local energy minimum. Its existence is in accord with Murrell-Laidler theorem [48], which predicts that there must exist a rank-1 saddle if a rank-2 saddle (conformation C in this case) is found to connect two minima (conformation A and B in this case). The only decreasing normal mode coordinate of this conformation leads to global minimum conformation and local minimum conformation respectively at its two ends. Its energy is 1.09 kcal/mol higher than global energy minimum.

### 3.1.2 Hybridization of nitrogen atoms

The geometry change of urea molecule’s structure is closely related to hybridization of nitrogen atoms. When both nitrogen atoms take sp$^2$ hybridization, the urea molecule is in planar structure. With more sp$^3$ hybridization, the structure will be further from a planar geometry.

While in sp$^3$ hybridization, -NH$_2$ group forms a trigonal pyramid and the sum of three angles is not equal to 360. This difference between 360 and the sum is called
3.1 Inversion PES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Saddle Index</th>
<th>Conformation A</th>
<th>Conformation B</th>
<th>Conformation C</th>
<th>Conformation D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>$C_{2v}$</td>
<td>$C_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy /kcal/mol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$(C-O) /Å</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.233</td>
<td>1.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$(C-N$_3$) /Å</td>
<td>1.394</td>
<td>1.389</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$(C-N$_4$) /Å</td>
<td>1.394</td>
<td>1.389</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>1.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$(OCN$_3$)</td>
<td>123.3</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>122.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$(OCN$_4$)</td>
<td>123.3</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>122.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$(OCN$_3$N$_4$)</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>174.7</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>175.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$(N$_3$H$_5$) /Å</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>1.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$(N$_3$H$_6$) /Å</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$(CN$_3$H$_5$)</td>
<td>116.5</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>122.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$(CN$_3$H$_6$)</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>113.9</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>116.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$(OCN$_3$H$_5$)</td>
<td>148.3</td>
<td>-159.9</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>-172.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$(OCN$_3$H$_6$)</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$(N$_4$H$_7$) /Å</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.008</td>
<td>1.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r$(N$_4$H$_8$) /Å</td>
<td>1.014</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>1.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$(OCN$_4$H$_7$)</td>
<td>116.5</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>118.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$(OCN$_4$H$_8$)</td>
<td>112.5</td>
<td>113.9</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>112.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$(OCN$_4$H$_7$)</td>
<td>148.3</td>
<td>159.9</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>153.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$(OCN$_4$H$_8$)</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Important conformations in inversion PES of urea molecule
3.1 Inversion PES

"out-of-plane" angle measurement. The out-of-plane angle is 31.5 for a perfect $sp^3$ hybridization and 0 for $sp^2$ hybridization. As an example, ammonia molecule’s out-of-plane angle is 38.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformation</th>
<th>out-of-plane angle 1</th>
<th>out-of-plane angle 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conformation A</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformation B</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformation C</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conformation D</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: Out-of plane angle of different urea molecule conformations in its inversion PES

The rank-1 saddle (conformation D) connects global and local energy minimum (conformation A and B). From its out-of-plane angles (Table 3.2) we can tell this connection is through inverting only one trigonal pyramid structure of -NH$_2$ group: one of the two nitrogen atoms in this conformation is very close to $sp^2$ hybridization (out-of-plane angle is 3.0), which makes the conformation half-side planar.
### 3.1 Inversion PES

#### 3.1.3 Inversion Barrier

Normal coordinate calculations using infrared and Raman spectra data for solid urea give an estimated nitrogen inversion barrier of 1.29 kcal/mol [49].

As PES shown (Fig. 3.1), the lowest energy barrier for inversion is merely 1.09 kcal/mol, which takes the path through conformation B and D. One might compare this barrier to a typical inversion energy barrier of ammonia molecule, which is about 2.5 kcal/mol. Even the higher inversion barrier, which takes the path through conformation C, is only 1.58 kcal/mol. All these make urea molecule’s inversion PES a fairly flat one, and the urea molecule can easily switch itself between planar and nonplanar structures.

There exist three paths connecting the two global energy minima as the PES shown (Fig. 3.1). Since all these three energy barriers are very low, the three paths are all important and have to be included while one carries out dynamic calculations. This feature makes urea molecule a very interesting dynamic system as an inversion model.

#### 3.1.4 Population Map

Population map were generated from the inversion PES data. First, at each data point a probability $Z_o$ was calculated:

$$Z_o = \exp\left[-\frac{E(\tau'_1, \tau'_2)}{k_B T}\right]$$

where

$$\tau'_1 = \tau_5 - \tau_6 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau'_2 = \tau_7 - \tau_8.$$  

The probability function then integrated numerically using Simpson’s rule [50] over the surface range of $[120, 240] \times [120, 240]$ to produce the normalization factor $Z_n$:

$$Z_n = \int_{120}^{240} \int_{120}^{240} \exp\left[-\frac{E(\tau'_1, \tau'_2)}{k_B T}\right] d\tau'_1 d\tau'_2$$
3.1 Inversion PES

Finally after normalizing the probability $Z_o$,

$$Z_n = \frac{Z_o}{Z_n}$$

a probability map was generated (Fig. 3.6), with contours defining the region of conformational space containing defined percentage of molecules.

It is clear from the plot that urea is a very flexible molecule. Only 50 per cent molecules take the conformations around the global energy minimum. Another half is off from the most stable conformation.

3.1.5 Dipole Moment

The dipole moment of urea measured is 4.56D in a non-polar solvent (dioxane) [20], 4.2D in water, 6.25D in acetone, [51], and 3.83D in gas states [31].

My calculations show that the dipole moment is 4.31D for the planar structure and 3.57D for the global minimum conformation (Table 3.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CO bond length /Å</th>
<th>out of plane angle</th>
<th>dipole moment /D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>global minimum</td>
<td>1.2296</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planar structure</td>
<td>1.2328</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.3: Dipole moment of urea molecules

It is easy to understand why the planar structure is more polar. Urea molecules can be described as a combination of two mesomeric structures (Fig. 3.7) due to resonance. The CO bond of carbonyl group in structure (B) and (C) is longer than that in structure (A) due to their single and double bonding nature (Table 3.3). Also, the oxygen atom carries a larger negative charge than that in structure (A). Both effects make structure (B) and (C) a higher dipole moment than that in structure (A).

The resonance is easier to happen while the nitrogen atom has more $sp^2$ hybridization than $sp^3$, which is the case of planar structure (Table 3.3). The planar structure
Figure 3.6: Population map - corresponding to inversion PES of urea molecule at 37°C.
3.1 Inversion PES

has a smaller out of plane angle). Therefore, the planar structure favors structure (B) and (C) more than that in global energy minimum structure and has a higher dipole moment.

![Mesomeric structures of urea molecule](image)

**Figure 3.7:** Mesomeric structures of urea molecule - adapted from figure 2, Keuleers, R. & Desseyn, H. O., Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 1999, 103, 4621-4630.

Hydrogen bonding to carbonyl group always weakens the CO bond, therefore makes its length longer and increases the dipole moment. It is not surprised that urea molecules have a higher dipole moment in solution than that in gas states due to the interaction between them and solvent molecules.
3.2 Fitting inversion PES

Inversion PES (Fig. 3.1) was plotted using variables $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ (section 2.3). They are the differences between two dihedral angles which are associated with hydrogen atoms in the same amino group. Since all the conformations on the inversion PES are not far away from conformation D (planar structure), the geometry of amino groups are fairly flat. One of these two dihedral angles are always close to 0 and another close to $\pi$, and their difference is close to $\pi$. In order to use variables close to 0 to fit the PES, new invariables were introduced:

$$\varphi_1 = \tau_1 - \pi = (\tau_5 - \tau_6) - \pi,$$
$$\varphi_2 = \tau_2 - \pi = (\tau_7 - \tau_8) - \pi$$

PES then was fitted using new variables $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$:

$$V(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \sum_{a+b \leq 8} f_{ab} (\varphi_1)^a (\varphi_2)^b \quad (3.1)$$

Totally 45 terms were generated but only 15 coefficients are independent due to symmetry restriction:

$$f_{ab} = 0 \quad \text{when } (a+b) \text{ is odd,}$$

and

$$f_{ab} = f_{ba}.$$ 

All independent coefficients are listed in Table 3.4 (units in cm$^{-1}$). Root mean square error is 1.664379332, and coefficient of determination ($R^2$) is 0.999999715.

The constant term $f_{00} = 551.2$ cm$^{-1}$ is the energy of planar structure (conformation C).
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| $f_{00}$ | 551.2 | $f_{20}$ | -569.77 |
| $f_{11}$ | -362.15 | $f_{40}$ | 184.78 |
| $f_{31}$ | -6.52 | $f_{42}$ | 218.35 |
| $f_{60}$ | 297.04 | $f_{51}$ | 41.7 |
| $f_{42}$ | 29.77 | $f_{33}$ | -8.9 |
| $f_{80}$ | -30.98 | $f_{71}$ | -3.47 |
| $f_{62}$ | -16.57 | $f_{53}$ | -4.68 |
| $f_{44}$ | 8.44 |

Table 3.4: Coefficients of fitted inversion PES of urea - units in cm$^{-1}$
3.3 Normal Mode Analysis

3.3.1 Degrees of Freedom

A urea molecule has eight atoms. By removing three translational degrees of freedom and three rotational degrees of freedom, we have $(3 \times 8 - 6 = 18)$ degrees of freedom left. Any internal coordinate system to describe urea molecule should have 18 coordinates. In this study, the following 18 internal coordinates were used (atom numbering refers to Fig. 2.1):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal Coordinate</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r(C-O)</td>
<td>bond length of C-O bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r(C-N₃)</td>
<td>bond length of C-N₃ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r(C-N₄)</td>
<td>bond length of C-N₄ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(OCN₃)</td>
<td>bond angle between C-O bond and C-N₃ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(OCN₄)</td>
<td>bond angle between C-O bond and C-N₄ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ(OCN₃N₄)</td>
<td>dihedral angle between O₂C₁N₃ plane and C₁N₃N₄ plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r(N₃H₅)</td>
<td>bond length of N₃H₅ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r(N₃H₆)</td>
<td>bond length of N₃H₆ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(CN₃H₅)</td>
<td>bond angle between C-N₃ bond and N₃H₅ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(CN₃H₆)</td>
<td>bond angle between C-N₃ bond and N₃H₆ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ(OCN₃H₅)</td>
<td>dihedral angle between O₂C₁N₃ plane and C₁N₃H₅ plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r(N₄H₇)</td>
<td>bond length of N₄H₇ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r(N₄H₈)</td>
<td>bond length of N₄H₈ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(CN₄H₇)</td>
<td>bond angle between C-N₄ bond and N₄H₇ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ(CN₄H₈)</td>
<td>bond angle between C-N₄ bond and N₄H₈ bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ(OCN₄H₇)</td>
<td>dihedral angle between O₂C₁N₄ plane and C₁N₄H₇ plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ(OCN₄H₈)</td>
<td>dihedral angle between O₂C₁N₄ plane and C₁N₄H₈ plane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.5: Conventional internal coordinates of urea molecule

3.3.2 Kinetic Energy Terms

The kinetic energy terms transformed from conventional internal coordinates to any internal coordinates can be derived from the fundamental formula:

\[ 2T = P'GP \]
where $T$ is the kinetic energy, $P$ is a column vector whose elements are the momenta conjugate to the a given set of internal coordinate $Q$’s. Since there are always 18 internal coordinates, $P$ is a column vector with 18 components.

$G$ is the kinetic energy matrix whose elements were given by Decius [52],

$$ g_{qq'} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} S_{qk} \cdot S_{q'k} \mu_k $$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

in which $q$ and $q'$ are conventional internal coordinates (for urea molecule, they are described in Tab. 3.5), $\mu_k$ is the reciprocal mass of the $k$th atom, and $S_{qk}$ is a vector which represents the contribution of the $k$th atom to $q$. The matrix elements $g_{qq'}$ represents the interaction between momenta associated with coordinates $q$ and $q'$. There are total 33 types of $g_{qq'}$’s and their general formulas were listed by Decius [52].

For urea molecule, the changes in most internal coordinates are very small during the molecular conformation switching processes and infrared spectra did show that they are not coupled with the motion of amino groups [43]. Therefore, it is reasonable only to take the four dihedral angles involving hydrogen atoms in amino groups as explicit variables. All other conventional internal coordinates were treated as fixed parameters by taking the value at their optima conformation to reduce the dimensions of the problem.

$$ 2T = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} & g_{14} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} & g_{24} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} & g_{34} \\ g_{41} & g_{42} & g_{43} & g_{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \tau_4 \end{pmatrix} $$

Here,

$$ \tau_1 = \tau(OCN_3H_5), \quad \tau_2 = \tau(OCN_3H_6), \quad \tau_3 = \tau(OCN_4H_7), \quad \tau_4 = \tau(OCN_4H_8). $$
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All the $G$ matrix elements were calculated using equation 4.4, and internal coordinate values used have been listed in Table 3.1. Calculated $G$ matrix elements are listed as below (units in cm$^{-1}$):

\begin{align*}
g_{11} &= 2176.19 + 247.57 \cdot \cos \tau_1 \\
g_{22} &= 2473.13 + 298.94 \cdot \cos \tau_2 \\
g_{33} &= 2176.19 + 247.57 \cdot \cos \tau_3 \\
g_{44} &= 2473.13 + 298.94 \cdot \cos \tau_4 \\
g_{12} &= 324.10 + 123.78 \cdot \cos \tau_1 + 149.47 \cdot \cos \tau_2 + 254.49 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \\
g_{21} &= 324.10 + 123.78 \cdot \cos \tau_1 + 149.47 \cdot \cos \tau_2 + 254.49 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \\
g_{34} &= 324.10 + 123.78 \cdot \cos \tau_3 + 149.47 \cdot \cos \tau_4 + 254.49 \cdot \cos(\tau_3 - \tau_4) \\
g_{43} &= 324.10 + 123.78 \cdot \cos \tau_3 + 149.47 \cdot \cos \tau_4 + 254.49 \cdot \cos(\tau_3 - \tau_4) \\
g_{13} &= -303.73 - 58.56 \cdot (\cos \tau_1 + \cos \tau_3) - 10.78 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 + \tau_3) - 4.39 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 - \tau_3) \\
g_{31} &= -303.73 - 58.56 \cdot (\cos \tau_1 + \cos \tau_3) - 10.78 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 + \tau_3) - 4.39 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 - \tau_3) \\
g_{24} &= -303.73 - 75.87 \cdot (\cos \tau_2 + \cos \tau_4) - 18.10 \cdot \cos(\tau_2 + \tau_4) - 7.45 \cdot \cos(\tau_2 - \tau_4) \\
g_{42} &= -303.73 - 75.87 \cdot (\cos \tau_2 + \cos \tau_4) - 18.10 \cdot \cos(\tau_2 + \tau_4) - 7.45 \cdot \cos(\tau_2 - \tau_4) \\
g_{14} &= -303.73 - 58.56 \cdot \cos \tau_1 - 75.87 \cdot \cos \tau_4 - 13.98 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 + \tau_4) - 5.72 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 - \tau_4) \\
g_{41} &= -303.73 - 58.56 \cdot \cos \tau_1 - 75.87 \cdot \cos \tau_4 - 13.98 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 + \tau_4) - 5.72 \cdot \cos(\tau_1 - \tau_4) \\
g_{23} &= -303.73 - 58.56 \cdot \cos \tau_2 - 75.87 \cdot \cos \tau_3 - 13.98 \cdot \cos(\tau_2 + \tau_3) - 5.72 \cdot \cos(\tau_2 - \tau_3) \\
g_{32} &= -303.73 - 58.56 \cdot \cos \tau_2 - 75.87 \cdot \cos \tau_3 - 13.98 \cdot \cos(\tau_2 + \tau_3) - 5.72 \cdot \cos(\tau_2 - \tau_3)
\end{align*}

3.3.3 Potential Energy Terms

For all important conformations located in inversion PES (conformation A, B, C, and D in Fig. 3.1), $\tau_1$ and $\tau_3$ are close to 0 and $\tau_2$ and $\tau_4$ are close to $\pi$. In order to expand
energy terms using variables close to 0, the following transformation were applied:

\[
\tau'_2 = \tau_2 - \pi, \quad \tau'_4 = \tau_4 - \pi
\]

It is trivial that under this transformation we have:

\[
P_{\tau'_2} = P_{\tau_2}, \quad P_{\tau'_4} = P_{\tau_4}
\]

but many terms in \( g \) will change their signs:

\[
\cos \tau'_2 = -\cos \tau_2, \quad \cos \tau'_4 = -\cos \tau_4
\]

The potential energy \( V \) is then expressed as internal coordinates and the force constants matrix \( F \):

\[
2V = (\tau_1, \tau'_2, \tau_3, \tau'_4) \begin{pmatrix}
  f_{11} & f_{12} & f_{13} & f_{14} \\
  f_{21} & f_{22} & f_{23} & f_{24} \\
  f_{31} & f_{32} & f_{33} & f_{34} \\
  f_{41} & f_{42} & f_{43} & f_{44}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  \tau_1 \\
  \tau'_2 \\
  \tau_3 \\
  \tau'_4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Elements of matrix \( F \) can be found by first fitting energy \( V \) into a polynomial of \( \tau_1, \tau'_2, \tau_3 \) and \( \tau'_4 \):

\[
V = \sum f_{abcd} (\tau_1)^a (\tau'_2)^b (\tau_3)^c (\tau'_4)^d
\]
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then taken from coefficients of all quadratic terms:

\[ f_{11} = f_{2000} \times 2 \quad f_{22} = f_{0200} \times 2 \]
\[ f_{33} = f_{0020} \times 2 \quad f_{44} = f_{0002} \times 2 \]
\[ f_{12} = f_{1100} \quad f_{21} = f_{1100} \]
\[ f_{13} = f_{1010} \quad f_{31} = f_{1010} \]
\[ f_{14} = f_{1001} \quad f_{41} = f_{1001} \]
\[ f_{23} = f_{0110} \quad f_{32} = f_{0110} \]
\[ f_{24} = f_{0101} \quad f_{42} = f_{0101} \]
\[ f_{34} = f_{0011} \quad f_{43} = f_{0011} \]

3.3.4 Normal mode coordinates

By solving secular equation

\[ |GF - E\lambda| = 0 \]

eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors can be obtained. Let \( L \) be the matrix which its column vectors are the eigenvectors of matrix \( GF \), \( q \) be the column vector of conventional internal coordinates, then the transformation:

\[ Q = L^{-1}q \]

will generate the normal mode coordinates \( Q \).

In normal mode coordinates, matrix \( G \) and \( F \) have simple forms:

\[ L'G^{-1}L = E, \quad L'FL = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \ldots \end{pmatrix} \]
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#### 3.3.5 Rank-2 Saddle

At the rank-2 saddle point conformation (conformation C in Fig. 3.1), we have:

\[ \tau_1 = 0, \quad \tau_2' = 0 (\tau_2 = \pi), \quad \tau_3 = 0, \quad \tau_4' = 0 (\tau_4 = \pi) \]

The \( G \) matrix at the rank-2 saddle point can be calculated by substituting the above values for each \( g \) element (units in cm\(^{-1}\)):

\[
G = \begin{pmatrix}
2423.75 & 43.92 & -436.04 & -266.73 \\
43.92 & 2174.19 & -301.34 & -177.56 \\
-436.04 & -301.34 & 2423.75 & 43.92 \\
-266.73 & -177.56 & 43.92 & 2174.19 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

From all these off-diagonal elements, it is clear that the inversions of two -NH\(_2\) amino groups are coupled together, which is in accord with infrared spectra observation [53].

Around the rank-2 saddle points, 1800 conformations were calculated randomly in the range of \( \tau_1 = \pm 5, \tau_2' = \pm 5, \tau_3 = \pm 5, \tau_4' = \pm 5 \), and the \( F \) matrix is found by fitting \( V \) into the following formula (see Appendix A for details):

\[
V = \sum_{a+b+c+d\leq4} f_{abcd} (\tau_1)^a (\tau_2')^b (\tau_3)^c (\tau_4')^d
\]

The \( F \) matrix is found to be (units in cm\(^{-1}\)):

\[
F = \begin{pmatrix}
2967.14 & 3248.45 & -1664.66 & -704.73 \\
3248.45 & 258.87 & -704.73 & -1399.96 \\
-1664.66 & -704.73 & 2967.14 & 3248.45 \\
-704.73 & -1399.96 & 3248.45 & 258.87 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Secular equation \(|GF - E\lambda| = 0\) was solved to find out the transformation which leads
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to normal mode coordinates:

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_1 &= 0.01057 \times (\tau_1 - \tau_3) + 0.00740 \times (\tau'_2 - \tau'_4) \\
\phi_2 &= -0.00769 \times (\tau_1 + \tau_3) + 0.01295 \times (\tau'_2 + \tau'_4) \\
\phi_3 &= 0.01401 \times (\tau_1 + \tau_3) + 0.00930 \times (\tau'_2 + \tau'_4) \\
\phi_4 &= 0.00812 \times (\tau_1 - \tau_3) - 0.01270 \times (\tau'_2 - \tau'_4)
\end{align*}
\]

The kinetic energy and potential energy terms under the normal mode coordinates were found to be:

\[
2T = P_{\phi_1}^2 + P_{\phi_2}^2 + P_{\phi_3}^2 + P_{\phi_4}^2 \\
2V = 4\pi^2 \nu_1^2 \phi_1^2 - 4\pi^2 \nu_2^2 \phi_2^2 + 4\pi^2 \nu_3^2 \phi_3^2 - 4\pi^2 \nu_4^2 \phi_4^2
\]

\[
\nu_1 = 748.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad \nu_2 = 391.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad \nu_3 = 360.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad \nu_4 = 245.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}
\]

These low-lying vibration modes indicate that vibrations on urea molecule’s inversional PES might be treated as large amplitude motion.
3.4 Two-dimensional Schrödinger Equation

In several experimental studies [32, 33, 54], the local energy minimum conformations (conformation B in Fig. 3.1) were considered not a distinct conformation but part of a large amplitude motion of the global energy minimum conformations (conformation A). With the fitted inversional PES at hand, it is possible to solve two-dimensional Schrödinger equation of urea molecule to find out the eigenvalues of low-lying vibration modes. By comparing these eigenvalues with inversional energy barrier, the validity of the large amplitude vibration can be justified.

The two-dimensional Schrödinger equation of urea molecule’s inversion motion can be written as:

\[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} g_{\varphi_1\varphi_1} & g_{\varphi_1\varphi_2} \\ g_{\varphi_2\varphi_1} & g_{\varphi_2\varphi_2} \end{pmatrix} \nabla \psi(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) + V(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \psi(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = E_i \psi(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \] (3.3)

where \(g_{\varphi_i\varphi_j}\) is the G matrix elements which associate with momenta \(P_{\varphi_1}\) and \(P_{\varphi_2}\), \(V(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)\) is the fitted inversion potential energy terms (equation 3.1).

Schrödinger equation 3.3 was solved using Matlab’s Partial Differential Equation Toolbox. Four eigenvalues were found in the range \(E_i < 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). They are 501.9 cm\(^{-1}\), 599.1 cm\(^{-1}\), 783.5 cm\(^{-1}\), and 862.0 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively (Table 3.6). Their contour maps and wave functions were plotted in Fig. 3.8 - 3.15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>ground</th>
<th>first excited</th>
<th>second excited</th>
<th>third excited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy/cm(^{-1})</td>
<td>501.9</td>
<td>599.1</td>
<td>783.5</td>
<td>862.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.6:** Energy levels of urea molecule’s inversion potential

The ground state’s eigen energy (501.9 cm\(^{-1}\)) is lower than energy of the planar conformation (552.6 cm\(^{-1}\)), but already higher than energies of the local energy minimum conformation (370.7 cm\(^{-1}\)) and rank-1 saddle conformation (381.2 cm\(^{-1}\)). The first excited state’s eigen energy (599.1 cm\(^{-1}\)) is higher than energies of all important
conformations in the inversion PES. Therefore, all these important conformations can be easily reached by these vibration modes and it could be reasonable to treat them as a large amplitude motion.
3.4 Two-dimensional Schrödinger Equation

Figure 3.8: Ground state wave function of urea molecule from inversion potential - Eigenvalue 501.9 cm$^{-1}$, plotted by Matlab.

Figure 3.9: Contour map of ground state wave function of urea molecule from inversion potential - Eigenvalue 501.9 cm$^{-1}$, plotted by Matlab.
3.4 Two-dimensional Schrödinger Equation

Figure 3.10: First excited state wave function of urea molecule from inversion potential - Eigenvalue 599.1 cm$^{-1}$, plotted by Matlab.

Figure 3.11: Contour map of first excited state wave function of urea molecule from inversion potential - Eigenvalue 599.1 cm$^{-1}$, plotted by Matlab.
3.4 Two-dimensional Schrödinger Equation

Figure 3.12: Second excited state wave function of urea molecule from inversion potential - Eigenvalue $783.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, plotted by Matlab.

Figure 3.13: Contour map of second excited state wave function of urea molecule from inversion potential - Eigenvalue $783.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, plotted by Matlab.
3.4 Two-dimensional Schrödinger Equation

Figure 3.14: Third excited state wave function of urea molecule from inversion potential - Eigenvalue 862.0 cm$^{-1}$, plotted by Matlab.

Figure 3.15: Contour map of third excited state wave function of urea molecule from inversion potential - Eigenvalue 862.0 cm$^{-1}$, plotted by Matlab.
Chapter 4

Four-dimensional Rotation Potential Energy Surface

4.1 Internal rotation PES

Urea molecule’s energy were calculated with two rotation variables $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ (described in section 2.3) changing from 0° to 360°. At each point, the values of $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ were fixed, but all other 16 degrees of freedom of urea molecule were left relaxed. Urea molecule's geometry was then optimized and energy was calculated. Each energy generated a point in the PES. Totally 2088 points were calculated and a contour plot was done to represent the rotation PES of urea (Fig. 4.1).

4.2 Important conformations

All important conformations on the rotation PES are labelled in Fig. 4.1 and showed in Fig. 4.2 - Fig. 4.6.

conformation A is a global energy minimum and conformation B is a local energy minimum. They have been discussed in section 3.1.1
4.2 Important conformations

Figure 4.1: Rotational potential energy surface of urea molecule - unit in cm$^{-1}$.
4.2 Important conformations

**Figure 4.2:** E - rank-2 saddle, $C_{2v}$

**Figure 4.3:** F - rank-2 saddle, $C_s$

**Figure 4.4:** G - rank-2 saddle, $C_{2v}$

**Figure 4.5:** H - rank-1 saddle, $C_s$

**Figure 4.6:** I - rank-2 saddle, $C_s$
4.3 Dipole moments

conformation E (Fig. 4.2), F (Fig. 4.3), and G (Fig. 4.4) are all rank-2 saddles. In these conformations, both nitrogen atoms take $sp^3$ hybridization. However, in conformation H (Fig. 4.5) and I (Fig. 4.6), only one nitrogen atom take $sp^3$ hybridization and another one is $sp^2$ hybridization (planar structure). This is clearly shown in Table 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformation</th>
<th>out-of-plane angle 1</th>
<th>out-of-plane angle 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Out-of-plane angle of different urea molecule conformations in its rotation PES

4.3 Dipole moments

An interesting observation is that the dipole moment of the molecule changes a lot during its internal rotation (Table 4.2). It is well-known that urea can dissolve in most solvents, including both polar and nonpolar solvents. The flexibility of urea molecule’s geometry and the consequent change of its dipole moment could play important role in its excellent dissolving ability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformation</th>
<th>Dipole /debye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Dipole moments of important conformations in rotation PES of urea molecule
4.4 Internal rotation barrier

4.4.1 Early results

The internal rotation barriers around the C-N bonds of urea molecule has been measured by NMR method as 11.33 kcal/mol in dimethylformamide (DMF) solution \[55\], and 13.5 kcal/mol in dimethylformamide-dimethyl sulfoxide solution \[42\]. In solid state, it was estimated as 25.9 kcal/mol \[49\] using infrared and Raman spectra data. However, no experimental data have been reported for urea molecule’s gas phase rotational barrier. Early calculations by Kontoyianni and Bowen showed it as 16 kcal/mol \[56\] using a rigid rotation model. Calculations including relaxation of the geometries lead to a barrier about 8 kcal/mol \[28,40,41,57\].

4.4.2 Internal rotation barrier

Both conformation H and I act as saddles in the internal rotation paths. Conformation H is 5.4 kcal/mol lower than conformation I in the PES (Table 4.3). So there exist two internal rotation barriers: higher one through conformation I is 13.5 kcal/mol, and lower one through conformation H is 8.1 kcal/mol.

However, it can be easily seen in PES plot (Fig. 4.1) that there always exist paths to avoid passing conformation I to connect energy minimum conformations (conformation A and B).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformation</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>Saddle Index</th>
<th>Energy /kcal/mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>$C_2v$</td>
<td>rank-2 saddle</td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>rank-2 saddle</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>$C_{2v}$</td>
<td>rank-2 saddle</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>rank-1 saddle</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>rank-2 saddle</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3: Important conformations in rotation PES of urea molecule
4.4 Internal rotation barrier

4.4.3 Conjugation

Due to the conjugation between CO double bond’s $\pi$ electron pair and lone electron pairs of nitrogen atoms, the internal rotation barrier of urea molecule is higher than a typical free internal rotation barrier (the internal rotation barrier in ethane is about 2.9 kcal/mol).

Rotation around the C-N bond breaks the conjugation and significantly destabilizes the structure. This leads to substantial elongation of C-N bond (Table 4.4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformation</th>
<th>CN bond lengths ($\text{\AA}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.394, 1.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.451, 1.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1.465, 1.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.457, 1.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>1.364, 1.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1.377, 1.450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.4: CN bond lengths of important conformations in rotation PES of urea molecule

4.4.4 Rotation barrier of floppy molecules

One interesting thing about the rotation paths of urea molecule is that although conformation H is a rank-1 saddle, conformation I is actually a rank-2 saddle. There exist two decreasing normal modes for conformation I. One is along the rotational direction, another one is along the inversional direction of another side’s amino group. Depending on which inversional direction it follows, any rotation path through conformation I will face a choice of two paths. One will lead to a global minimum (conformation A), and another one to a local minimum (conformation B).

And this observation should be generalized for all floppy molecules. Special attentions should be paid to exam whether or not there exist any high rank saddle points along the rotational path when calculating a floppy molecule’s rotational energy barrier. If there exists any high rank saddle point along the rotational path, there will be
more than one rotational paths the molecule can choose.

4.5 Fitting rotation PES

Rotation energy barrier is higher than inversion barrier, therefore while urea molecule undergoes rotation motion, it could undergo inversion motion at the same time. The inversion variables have to be included also while fitting rotation PES.

Rotation PES was fitted using rotation variables $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ together with two inversion variables $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$ (see section 3.1):

$$\theta_1 = \frac{\tau_5 + \tau_6}{2}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{\tau_7 + \tau_8}{2}$$

$$\varphi_1 = (\tau_5 - \tau_6) - \pi, \quad \varphi_2 = (\tau_7 - \tau_8) - \pi$$

It was fitted into a polynomial up to order 6:

$$V(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) = \sum_{a+b+c+d \leq 6} f_{abcd} (\varphi_1)^a (\varphi_2)^b (\cos \theta_1)^c (\cos \theta_2)^d$$

All data from both inversion PES (1800 data) and rotation PES (2088) were used. Totally 210 terms were generated, but only 110 coefficients are independent due to symmetry condition:

$$f_{abcd} = f_{badc}$$

All independent coefficients are listed in Table B.1 in Appendix B.
Chapter 5

Symmetry Analysis

5.1 Complete Permutation-inversion Group

Any rearrangement of identical nuclei in a urea molecule leads to a new but energetically equivalent conformation. The total number of these conformations equals to the order of the full permutation-inversion group of urea molecule, which is a direct product of the permutation groups and the inversion group \( \epsilon \):

\[
G = S_N \otimes S_H \otimes \epsilon = 2! \times 4! \times 2 = 96
\]

where \( S_N \) and \( S_H \) are the groups of permutations of nitrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms.

5.2 Subsurfaces of PES

However, not all these conformations are accessible to each other in the PES due to the existing high barriers (which corresponding to bond breaking). For example, of 48 energetically equivalent global energy minimum conformations, only 8 of them are accessible to each other (Fig.5.1).
5.3 Longuet-Higgins Group

Figure 5.1: Eight energetically equivalent global energy minimum conformations of urea molecule which are accessible to each others.

The whole PES will split into several subsurfaces which are separated by insurmountable energy barriers. The number of subsurfaces can be found from the order of complete permutation-inversion group and Longuet-Higgins group:

\[ n = \frac{\text{order of complete permutation-inversion group}}{\text{order of Longuet-Higgins group}} \]

5.3 Longuet-Higgins Group

For non-rigid molecules, Longuet-Higgins showed that the most useful groups should consist all “feasible” permutations of the various identical nuclei in the molecule, with or without an accompanying inversion of the positions of all particles in the centre of mass \(^{58}\). In order to be “feasible”, a permutation-inversion operation should be carried out without passing over a insurmountable energy barrier. By considering Longuet-Higgins group instead of point group of the molecule, more valuable information, such as selection rules, can be provided.

For a rigid molecule, its Longuet-Higgins group is the same as its point group. But for a non-rigid molecule, its point group is a subgroup of its Longuet-Higgins group.
5.4 Longuet-Higgins Group of Urea

All feasible permutation-inversion operations within urea molecule can are listed below (atom labelled as Fig. 5.2):

\[ E, (34)(5768), (34)(5867), (56)(78), (78), (56), (34)(57)(68), (34)(58)(67) \]

\[ E^*, (34)(5768)^*, (34)(5867)^*, (56)(78)^*, (78)^*, (56)^*, (34)(57)(68)^*, (34)(58)(67)^* \]

This is a group of order 16. Many operations which are not present in its point group are allowed due to inversion and rotation motions within urea molecule. For example, permutation \((78)\) and \((78)^*\) are only feasible when rotation around \(C_1N_4\) bond and inversion of \(N_4H_7H_8\) amino group are considered.

Therefore, the whole PES of urea will split into 6 subsurfaces:

\[ 6 = \frac{96}{16} \]

The subsurfaces were characterized by an order-16 Longuet-Higgins group, which
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is a group isomorphic to group $D_{4h}$. All 16 permutation-inversion operators of the group are described in Fig. 5.3 and Fig. 5.4. The character table of the group is given in Table 5.1. The symbols $+$ and $-$ have been used to indicate the parity (the character with respect to the inversion $E^*$) of each representation.

5.5 Tunneling

After obtaining urea’s Longuet-Higgins group, many valuable information can be provided. One of the most important applications is its energy level degeneration due to tunneling.

The global energy minimum conformation of urea molecule has a symmetry of $C_2$ (Fig. 3.2) which corresponds to the permutation $(34)(57)(68)$ or $(34)(58)(67)$. If the internal inversion and internal rotation are impossible for urea molecules, there will exist eight distinct isoenergetic conformations. They are non-superimposable because of permutation of identical nuclei and inversion about center of mass. Each of these eight conformations has its own vibration-rotation wavefunction and they are either symmetric ($A_1$) or antisymmetric ($A_2$) about the $C_2$ axis. These eight wavefunctions will generate reducible representations of the Longuet-Higgins group. The characters of the reducible representation can be determined.

Each operator of the group, except $E$, $(34)(57)(68)$ and $(34)(58)(67)$, transforms one conformation into another distinct conformation. This can be seen by applying these operators on each of eight conformations in Fig. 5.3. None of them will reproduce themself. The characters of these operator are all zero.

Permutation $(34)(57)(68)$ and $(34)(58)(67)$ each induces a $C_2$ rotation for four of the eight conformations or wavefunctions. The sign of characters are determined by these wave functions’ symmetry with respect to the $C_2$ axis.

The characters of reducible representations therefore can be derived from above
5.5 Tunneling

Figure 5.3: Elements of urea’s permutation group: cont.
Figure 5.4: Elements of urea’s permutation group: contd.
### Table 5.1: Character Table of Longuet-Higgins Group of Urea While Inversion and Rotation Included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>$A_1^+$</th>
<th>$A_2^+$</th>
<th>$B_1^+$</th>
<th>$B_2^+$</th>
<th>$E^+$</th>
<th>$A_1^-$</th>
<th>$A_2^-$</th>
<th>$B_1^-$</th>
<th>$B_2^-$</th>
<th>$E^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(34)(57)(68)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(34)(57)(68)^*$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(34)(57)(68)^*$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(34)(57)(68)^*$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(34)(57)(68)^*$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5 Tunneling
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5.6 Electric Dipole Selection Rules

Discussions. They are listed in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2: Reducible representation of symmetric and antisymmetric levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symmetry under $C_2$</th>
<th>Character</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$(34)(57)(68)$</th>
<th>$A_1$</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$(34)(58)(67)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the energy barriers of internal inversion and internal rotation are reachable for urea molecule, each energy level of it will be split into several sublevels due to the tunneling between the isoenergetic conformations. A symmetric level ($A_1$) will split into 6 sublevels:

$$A_1^+ + B_2^+ + E^+ + A_1^- + B_2^- + E^-$$

and an antisymmetric one ($A_2$) into:

$$A_2^+ + B_1^+ + E^+ + A_2^- + B_1^- + E^-$$

As shown above, including inversion and rotation will change the way a level being assigned. The levels belonging to the same species under the point group of the molecule will belong to different species due to internal rotation and inversion.

5.6 Electric Dipole Selection Rules

Electric dipole selection rules of urea can also be obtained by analyzing its Longuet-Higgins group.

The symmetry of the electric dipole is like $A_1^-$: it is invariant to all permutations without an inversion about the center of mass but changes sign to all permutations
with an inversion. Therefore, the selection rules of the electric dipole is:

\[ + \leftrightarrow - \quad \Gamma_i \leftrightarrow \Gamma_i \]

That is, \( A_1^+ \leftrightarrow A_1^- \), \( B_1^+ \leftrightarrow B_1^- \), \( A_2^+ \leftrightarrow A_2^- \), \( B_2^+ \leftrightarrow B_2^- \), and \( E^+ \leftrightarrow E^- \).

### 5.7 Symmetry of inversion PES

If only two inversion motions were considered, the two inversion motions around nitrogen atoms will make the inversion about the molecule’s center of mass feasible. There will be totally four feasible permutation-inversion operations:

\[ E, (34)(57)(68), E^*, (34)(57)(68)^* \]

and it is a group isomorphous with \( C_{2h} \) group. Its character table is given in Table 5.3.

**Table 5.3:** Character Table of Longuet-Higgins Group of Urea While Only Inversion Included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( E )</th>
<th>( (34)(57)(68) )</th>
<th>( E^* )</th>
<th>( (34)(57)(68)^* )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_1^+ )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_1^- )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_1^+ )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( B_1^- )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.8 Symmetry of rotation PES

If only two rotation motions were considered, there will be totally four feasible permutation-inversion operations:

\[ E, (56)(78), (34)(57)(68), (34)(58)(67), \]
and it is a group isomorphous with $C_{2h}$ group. Its character table is given in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4: Character Table of Longuet-Higgins Group of Urea While Only Rotation Included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E</th>
<th>(34)(57)(68)</th>
<th>(56)(78)</th>
<th>(34)(58)(67)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A^+$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A^-$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B^+$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B^-$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While both inversion and rotation motions were included, we will have a group which is direct product of $C_2$ and $C_2$, a group of order 16, which is confirmed in section 5.4.

5.9 Graphical Description

A graphical description method was proposed to represent floppy molecules’ PES by Simons et al. [59]. In the graphical description, vertices denote energy minima and edges connecting the vertices describe energetically accessible paths connecting such minima. The graphical description is useful to understand the topology of high dimensional PES. However, cases in which two or more accessible paths can interconnect equivalent minima or in which nonequivalent energy minima exist were not developed. Urea’s PES, in which there exist global and local minima, and multi-paths connecting these minima, could serve as a perfect example to extend the application.

5.10 Graphical Description of Urea PES

As analyzed in section 5.4, urea’s PES splits into 6 subsurfaces, each of them can be characterized by its Longuet-Higgins group, a group of order 16. The number of each important conformations in one subsurface can be calculated and listed in Table 5.5.
To understand the topology of PES, the relationship between the eight accessible conformation A in Fig.5.1 can be represented as a cube (Fig.5.5).

\[
n = \frac{\text{order of Longuet-Higgins group}}{\text{order of conformation's symmetry}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conformation</th>
<th>Symmetry</th>
<th>Number in one subsurface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>$C_{2v}$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>$C_{2v}$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>$C_{2v}$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.5:** Number of important conformations in PES of urea molecule

The top four conformations are connected to bottom four via internal inversion. As Fig.3.1 shown, conformations B, C, and D lie in these pathways. There are 2 conformation B, 1 conformation C, and 4 conformation D in each of these inversion connections (Fig.5.6), and we have totally four these inversion connections (Fig.5.5). Therefore, all 8 conformation B, 4 conformation C, and 16 conformation D from Table 5.5 are located.

It should be pointed out that for local minima conformation B, there exists a similar
5.10 Graphical Description of Urea PES

Figure 5.6: Inversion paths on PES of urea molecule

A cubic graphical structure just like global minima A in Fig. 5.5. The two cubic structures interweave together, and their connections were partially represented in Fig. 5.6.

If only one amino group makes the internal rotation, the path will go through conformation H or I, depending on the direction of rotation (Fig. 5.7) respectively.

Figure 5.7: One-side rotation paths on PES of urea molecule

There are 1 conformation H and 1 conformation I in each of these one-side rotation connections, and we have totally eight these one-side paths (Fig. 5.5). Therefore, all 8 accessible conformation H and 8 accessible conformation I from Table 5.5 are located.

If both amino groups make the internal rotation, the path will go through conformation E, F, or G, depending on different combinations of the direction of two rotations (Fig. 5.8).

Figure 5.8: Two-side rotation paths on PES of urea molecule

There are 1 conformation E, 2 conformation F, and 1 conformation G in each of these two-side rotation connections. Since we have totally four these two-side paths
(four diagonals in Fig 5.5), all 4 accessible conformation E, 8 accessible conformation F, and 4 accessible conformation G from Table 5.5 are located.
Chapter 6

Conclusion

In this study, the four-dimensional potential energy surface (PES) for the double internal inversion and rotation in urea was determined using fully optimized MP2/aug-cc-pVDZ calculations using new introduced inversion and rotation variables.

Calculations of totally 3888 conformations selected by symmetry considerations and energy criteria were performed. They were then fitted to a polynomial up to order six. The total number of the independent coefficients are 110.

The most significant properties of this potential, including the inversion barrier and the rotation barrier, were analyzed. The topology of the PES was characterized using symmetry analysis. A graphical description method, suggested by Simons et al. [59], was extended to describe the four-dimensional PES.
Appendices
Appendix A

Potential energy of urea fitting around conformation D

Totally 1800 conformations were chosen randomly in the range of $\tau_1 = \pm 5$, $\tau_2 = \pm 5$, $\tau_3 = \pm 5$, $\tau_4 = \pm 5$ and fitted into the following form:

$$V = \sum_{a+b+c+d \leq 4} f_{abcd} (\tau_1)^a (\tau_2)^b (\tau_3)^c (\tau_4)^d$$

Totally 75 terms were generated and coefficients were listed in Table A.1 (units in cm$^{-1}$). Root mean square error is 0.007619558, and coefficient of determination ($R^2$) is 0.999999715.
| $f_{0000}$ | 0.037 | $f_{1000}$ | 0.003 | $f_{0100}$ | 0.008 | $f_{0010}$ | 1483.687 |
| $f_{0010}$ | 0.003 | $f_{0001}$ | 0.008 | $f_{2000}$ | 129.158 |
| $f_{0200}$ | 129.158 | $f_{0020}$ | 1483.687 | $f_{0002}$ | 129.158 |
| $f_{1100}$ | 3248.440 | $f_{1010}$ | -1664.804 | $f_{0011}$ | 3248.440 |
| $f_{0110}$ | -704.814 | $f_{0101}$ | -1400.090 | $f_{0001}$ | -704.814 |
| $f_{3000}$ | -0.839 | $f_{0300}$ | -0.333 | $f_{0030}$ | -0.839 |
| $f_{0003}$ | -0.333 | $f_{2100}$ | -1.750 | $f_{2010}$ | 0.083 |
| $f_{2001}$ | -0.914 | $f_{1200}$ | -1.292 | $f_{0201}$ | 0.083 |
| $f_{1101}$ | 0.948 | $f_{0110}$ | 0.948 | $f_{2001}$ | -1.082 |
| $f_{0120}$ | -0.914 | $f_{0102}$ | -1.082 | $f_{0021}$ | -1.750 |
| $f_{0012}$ | -1.292 | $f_{1110}$ | -1.052 | $f_{1101}$ | 2.304 |
| $f_{1011}$ | -1.052 | $f_{0111}$ | 2.304 | $f_{0300}$ | -540.930 |
| $f_{0400}$ | -99.630 | $f_{0040}$ | -540.930 | $f_{0004}$ | -99.630 |
| $f_{3010}$ | -1684.233 | $f_{3010}$ | 613.215 | $f_{3001}$ | 388.047 |
| $f_{1300}$ | -1329.777 | $f_{1030}$ | 613.215 | $f_{1003}$ | 260.214 |
| $f_{0310}$ | 260.214 | $f_{0310}$ | 275.507 | $f_{0130}$ | 388.047 |
| $f_{0103}$ | 275.507 | $f_{0031}$ | -1684.233 | $f_{0013}$ | -1329.777 |
| $f_{2200}$ | 2326.416 | $f_{2110}$ | 39.098 | $f_{2101}$ | -6.709 |
| $f_{2020}$ | 1020.014 | $f_{2011}$ | -14.738 | $f_{2002}$ | 484.316 |
| $f_{1210}$ | 262.711 | $f_{1201}$ | 540.704 | $f_{1120}$ | -14.738 |
| $f_{1102}$ | 284.688 | $f_{1021}$ | 39.098 | $f_{1012}$ | 262.711 |
| $f_{0220}$ | 484.316 | $f_{0211}$ | 284.688 | $f_{0202}$ | 653.430 |
| $f_{0121}$ | -6.709 | $f_{0112}$ | 540.704 | $f_{0022}$ | 2326.416 |
| $f_{1111}$ | 591.299 |

Table A.1: Coefficients of fitted PES of urea around conformation D - units in cm$^{-1}$
Appendix B

Coefficients of fitted rotation

PES of urea

Totally 3888 data were used for the fitting:

\[ V(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) = \sum_{a+b+c+d \leq 6} f_{abcd} (\varphi_1)^a (\varphi_2)^b (\cos \theta_1)^c (\cos \theta_2)^d \]

210 terms were generated but only 110 coefficients are independent due to symmetry restriction:

\[ f_{abcd} = f_{bade} \]

They are listed in Table B.1 (units in cm\(^{-1}\)). Root mean square error is 85.0, and coefficient of determination (R\(^2\)) is 0.999095056.
| \( f_{000} \) | -589284.4745 | \( f_{001} \) | -316105.9067 | \( f_{002} \) | -251176.2612 |
|\( f_{003} \) | -65583.5241 | \( f_{004} \) | -338222.2309 | \( f_{005} \) | 112308.6759 |
|\( f_{006} \) | -6628.5471 | \( f_{007} \) | 188727.4949 | \( f_{008} \) | 160638.2717 |
|\( f_{009} \) | -212421.8051 | \( f_{010} \) | 102531.1903 | \( f_{012} \) | -597173.7565 |
|\( f_{013} \) | -268192.9984 | \( f_{014} \) | 489049.3211 | \( f_{015} \) | 37633.10974 |
|\( f_{016} \) | 201174.101 | \( f_{017} \) | 1824880.441 | \( f_{018} \) | 616120.382 |
|\( f_{019} \) | 39366.28683 | \( f_{020} \) | 188727.4949 | \( f_{021} \) | 160638.2717 |
|\( f_{022} \) | -212421.8051 | \( f_{023} \) | 102531.1903 | \( f_{024} \) | -597173.7565 |
|\( f_{025} \) | -268192.9984 | \( f_{026} \) | 489049.3211 | \( f_{027} \) | 37633.10974 |
|\( f_{028} \) | 201174.101 | \( f_{029} \) | 1824880.441 | \( f_{030} \) | 616120.382 |
|\( f_{031} \) | 39366.28683 | \( f_{032} \) | 188727.4949 | \( f_{033} \) | 160638.2717 |
|\( f_{034} \) | -212421.8051 | \( f_{035} \) | 102531.1903 | \( f_{036} \) | -597173.7565 |
|\( f_{037} \) | -268192.9984 | \( f_{038} \) | 489049.3211 | \( f_{039} \) | 37633.10974 |
|\( f_{040} \) | 201174.101 | \( f_{041} \) | 1824880.441 | \( f_{042} \) | 616120.382 |
|\( f_{043} \) | 39366.28683 | \( f_{044} \) | 188727.4949 | \( f_{045} \) | 160638.2717 |
|\( f_{046} \) | -212421.8051 | \( f_{047} \) | 102531.1903 | \( f_{048} \) | -597173.7565 |
|\( f_{049} \) | -268192.9984 | \( f_{050} \) | 489049.3211 | \( f_{051} \) | 37633.10974 |

Table B.1: Coefficients of fitted rotation PES of urea - units in cm\(^{-1}\)
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