

November 1922

Supreme Court Reports

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/wvlr>

Recommended Citation

Supreme Court Reports, 29 W. Va. L. Rev. (1922).

Available at: <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/wvlr/vol29/iss1/16>

This West Virginia Bar Association Note is brought to you for free and open access by the WVU College of Law at The Research Repository @ WVU. It has been accepted for inclusion in West Virginia Law Review by an authorized editor of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact ian.harmon@mail.wvu.edu.

WEST VIRGINIA BAR ASSOCIATION NOTES:
NEWS OF THE PROFESSION

BAR EXAMINATION.—At the examination held at Charleston by the Board of Law Examiners, on the 13th and 14th of September, the following twenty-four applicants successfully passed all requirements:

Allen, H. C.	Charleston
Daugherty, Duncan W.	Huntington
Daugherty, William J.	Bluefield
Eaton, J. Frank	Huntington
Friend, Victor H.	Mullens
Garnett, Alfred Willis	Madison
Guiher, James M.	Clarksburg
Harman, William Mahan	Parsons
Hepburn, George	Beckley
Houston, Theophilus	Charleston
Hyre, Lucurgus	Buckhannon
Johnston, E. M.	Bluefield
Lubliner, A. J.	Pocahontas, (Va.)
McCamic, Jay Thomas	Wheeling
Mahood, Alexander M.	Princeton
Mason, J. Ashby	Romney
Meadows, Anderson D.	Huntington
Miller, Leon P.	Keystone
Morris, Roy K.	Charleston
Porter, Harry P.	Charleston
Raisbeck, James	Charleston
Tompkins, Richard W.	Martinsburg
White, Ralph W.	Point Pleasant
Wilson, Minter L.	Cairo

SUPREME COURT REPORTS.—Last July, Congress passed an act (Public No. 272) providing for the publication of the Official Reports of the Supreme Court in the Government Printing Office and for their sale to the public at cost of production, including a part of the appropriation made for the maintenance of the Reporter's office. This did away with the method of publication through contracts between the Reporter and private publishing

houses, which had obtained from the beginning. The last contract of that kind expired with the publication of Volume 256, which completed the reports for the October 1920 term. The letting of new contract to cover the opinions of the 1921 Term was impracticable, owing to the pendency of the legislation, to the expectation that it would be enacted long before it actually was, and to definite indication that, when enacted, it would supersede the contract method.

For various reasons, incident to the ending of the old contract and the legislative change, editorial work on the opinions of the 1921 Term was seriously delayed. Time also was consumed by administration preliminaries under the new law, and in making necessary preparations in the printing office. Notwithstanding this, however, gratifying progress has been made. The reports of these opinions will be contained in three volumes to be numbered 257, 258 and 259, all of which it is confidently expected, will be published in bound and pamphlet form before the close of the year.

The act provides for advance parts as well as bound volumes, when ordered by the Chief Justice. It was decided to issue a small edition of these pamphlets, four to a volume, sufficient to meet the requirements of public officials and of those lawyers who may desire them notwithstanding the delay. These, as heretofore, are made from the plates used in the final volumes and, therefore correspond with them in page numbering. It is believed that their publication will not delay the bound volumes, and it is known that the prompter dissemination of the opinions thus made possible will be of convenience to many, besides helping to detect errors in the plates. Three numbers, containing three-fourths of the opinions of Volume 257, have been issued. The price is twenty-five cents per number. The bound volumes will follow the corresponding pamphlets as soon as the plates can be re-examined and corrected and the tables and indexes completed and plated. According to present estimates, the price of bound volumes will be about two dollars and ten cents each, possibly a little more, possibly a little less. It will be fixed in the near future when the work has progressed somewhat farther.

Especial attention is directed to the fact that it will not be necessary to send in a separate order for each pamphlet or volume purchased. Standing orders with advance deposits will be received by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., and the publication will be mailed, as

issued, to the addresses given, as long as the amounts kept on deposit suffice to pay for them.

ARGUMENT DOCKET FOR SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS.—Beginning January 10, 1923, causes have been set for hearing as follows:

	No. of Cases
Wednesday, Jan. 10, 1923: Original Jurisdiction and Certified Cases.	10
Tuesday, Jan. 16, 1923: First, Second and Twentieth Circuits.	11
Tuesday, Jan. 23, 1923: Third, Fifth and Sixth Circuits.	10
Tuesday, Jan. 30, 1923: Seventh and Ninth Circuits.	11
Tuesday, Feb. 6, 1923: Fourth and Eighth Circuits.	11
Tuesday, Feb. 13, 1923: Thirteenth Circuit	10
Tuesday, Feb. 20, 1923: Fifteenth, Sixteenth and Seventeenth Circuits.	11
Tuesday, Feb. 27, 1923: Tenth Circuit.	9
Tuesday, Mar. 6, 1923: Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twenty-first and Twenty-third Circuits.	8
Tuesday, Mar. 13, 1923: Eleventh and Twelfth Circuits.	8
Total Causes	99

NECROLOGY.—Luther Colfax Anderson, LL.B, 1896, West Virginia University, of Welch, died at Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland, on July 3, 1922.

Edmund F. Garrett, member of the Clarksburg bar, died at his home in Bridgeport, on August 28, 1922.