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Abstract

PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION OF INDUCTION MOTOR

by

Yousef Abdel-Rehim

Numerous recent techniques of induction motor parameters calculating are hard to be done and expensive. Accurate calculations of the parameters of these motors would allow savings in different prospective like energy and cost. The major problem in calculating induction motor parameters is that it’s hard to measure output power precisely and without harm during the operation of the machines. It will be better to find other way to find out the output power with certain amount of inputs like input voltage and current.

Particle swarm optimization (PSO) and genetic algorithms (GAs) are often used to estimate quantities from limited information. They belong to a class of “weak” search procedures, that is, they do not provide the “best” solution, but one close to it. It is a randomized process in which follows the principles of evolution.

In this thesis genetic algorithm and partial swarm optimization are used to identify induction motor parameters. The inputs used to estimate electrical and mechanical parameters are measured stator voltages and currents. The estimated parameters compare well with the actual parameters. Data Acquisition (DAQ) is used to obtain these variable with the help of LABVIEW software. The induction motor used is a 7.5-hp with a constant frequency and in free acceleration. IEEE standard test of 7.5-hp induction motor is used to compare with performance of the simulated and measured data obtained. According to the output results, method of optimizing induction machine can be used in different models of induction motor.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

In the United States, electric motors use most of the electricity produced. Most of these motors are induction motors because induction motors are efficient. In order to increase the efficiency of induction motor, it has to be upgraded with some features. Information, like an accurate motor parameters and machine model, must be known in order to improve its function. In case of inaccurate parameters, the predicted output will be inaccurate. Examples of outputs are Torque and Flux.

Knowing that the induction motor is nonlinear, variables of the rotor are unknown which in turn makes most of the parameters unknown too. Therefore, in order to get all of these parameter, we have to get it through another way by using known values and control it as well. On doing this, we can control other parameters that are unknown. These known parameters used are input voltage of stator, current and speed of motor.

Great methods are created to generate the best values for the unknown parameter through using Genetic algorithm (GA) and Particle optimization Swarm (PSO) and different methods of estimation. In this thesis, two optimization methods will be used, Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Particle Swarm Optimization. Identification of induction motor parameters will be performed by using DAQ card which will be connected to a personal computer (PC) to observe and calculate the unknown parameters.

1.1 Thesis Outline

This thesis is designed to identify the parameters of induction motor by different methods. Chapter 2 will present what is induction motor and nonlinear induction motor model and how to solve it through Simulink/Matlab.

Chapter 3 will introduce PSO and how it will be used to estimate parameters of the induction motor.

Chapter 4 will introduce GA and how it will be used to estimate parameters of the induction motor.

Chapter 5 will show the results and case study done by the use of Data Acquisition card and LabVIEW to know the output parameters from induction motor.

And finally, Chapter 6 will show the implementation of PSO and GA to optimize parameters of induction motor, estimated results and evaluation.
1.2 Literature Survey

To be able to identify the unknown parameters of induction motor, two main tests will be done on the induction motor according to IEEE test procedure. These tests are no load test and block rotor test [36].

In [3], a balanced three phase induction motor was used as a model. From this model, parameters were estimated. Some measurements were expected to be able to identify procedures like stator current and stator voltage.

In early 90’s, two scientists, Richard R. Bishop and Gill G.Richards, introduced GA to identify the parameter of induction motor by using steady state mode. They used some known parameter like stator resistance and rotor reactance from the induction motor user manual to find other parameters. For these tests they used a three phase induction motor with 0.5 hp. These tests measure the motor terminal impedance Zm and Torque Tm at a rated voltage. These scientists succeeded to prove that GA can be used to identify unknown parameters [31].

[47] Stated that by using steady state models, motor parameter can be identified. Fitness function was introduced while trying to do identify the parameter. Fitness function is defined as the least error between the torques created and input torque. Here came the big advantage of GA which was used to get this least error. In this situation the parameters of the motor were assumed to be constant. This aimed to use the parameters where precision wasn’t necessary. This method showed that performance of genetic algorithm was affected by those constant value. By applying the parameter as constant on 5-hp, a huge error (20%) was noticed. In case of deep bar model, it reduced from 3% to 6%. They concluded that accurate values must be used to get accurate outs with low errors.

[17] and [18] talked about creating a solution to identify parameter of induction motor by using qdo axis for modeling of induction motor. The induction motor that was used was 1kw. Genetic algorithm was applied to identify the parameters. The genetic algorithm was compared with a technique called analytical least square to identify induction motor parameter. It was noticed that quality of the parameters depend on accuracy of equipment used in the test for the motor.

[48] Demonstrated the use of genetic algorithm to identify induction motor by adding 4 different levels of noise. The mathematical model used was Kron’s voltage equation. To check the efficacy of Genetic algorithm, another method, simple Random search (SRS), was used under the same condition used in genetic algorithm. It was noticed that the values of Genetic algorithm parameter where more accurate and efficient than SRS.

The fast increase in calculating power by using personal computers allowed researchers to implement several optimization algorithms and verify their efficiency. Researchers developed many algorithms that mimic natural phenomena. Examples of these algorithms include the Simulated Annealing Genetics Algorithms (GA), Ant Colony Optimization Algorithms. As genetic algorithm was spring an estimation method called Particle Swarm optimization (PSO) was created in 1995 by Dr. Eberhart and Dr. Kennedy based on the animal society which didn’t have leader like fish schooling and birds flocking [37].
Chapter 2: Induction motor

This thesis will talk about parameter identification of induction motor using genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization. Before we get involved more in the complicated detail, we have to talk more about some fundamentals to understand the complicated parts in this thesis.

First we have to know what the difference between motors and generators is and how they operate differently, and what is needed to able to perform the thesis in the right way.

Motor is a device used to convert electricity to mechanical movement. Most mechanical motions happen due to interaction between electric motor’s magnetic field and winding current to create force of motion and generator is the vice versa.

In our research we will do our experiment on motor more specifically on induction motor which is an AC electric motor. When current enters the rotor, it produces torque due to interaction between current and magnetic field created by stator winding.

2.1 Principle of operation of induction motor:

In induction motor, when supplying an AC power to the motor's stator, a magnetic field will be created. The rotor speed will not be the same as field created from the stator. So, the rotor of the induction motor will have a lower speed than stator magnetic field. That will lead of a creation current in the rotor which will affect the secondary winding of the motor. Currents will be created in the rotor winding when the magnetic flux induced. The currents created in the rotor will lead to creation of magnetic field in the rotor which will react against the stator field.

Induction motors are the most used in the industry as they are not difficult to build. They also have great advantage as they are reliable and have self-starting capability. To be able to control induction motor, some of the parameters should be known. These known parameters must be very accurate. Inaccuracy may lead to worsening of the motor performance.
It was found that the easiest way to get parameters of induction motor is to execute locked rotor test and no-load test. The idea of using linear parameter estimation technique is to find out 'R₁' which is stator resistance, 'X₁' which is stator leakage-reactance, 'Xₘ' magnetizing reactance, 'X₂' which is rotor self-reactance and 'R₂' rotor resistance.

2.2 Types of tests used

- Locked Rotor Test
- No Load Test

2.2.1 Locked rotor test:

Locked rotor test is also known as blocked rotor test and stalled toque test. In this test, voltage applied can’t be rated voltage, as the rated voltage is 220. This voltage is very high in case of locked rotor test, as when this voltage is applied to the motor while it is locked, a huge current will pass in the stator winding which will lead to overheat and damage the motor. Therefore, the applied voltage must be low and test time should be short time.

In block rotor test, the rotor will be locked to avoid its motion, leading to production of slip factor s=1 that will make R₂/s=R₂. This will make R₂ become much less resistance than R and therefore, R and Xₘ parts of the circuit will be removed or ignored due to their huge resistance and reactance.

No load Test:

No load test is also known as open circuit test. A balanced rated voltage will be applied to the stator winding for a No load test. The motor speed will be almost at synchronous speed. Synchronous
speed will lead to $s= \text{very small value}$, and therefore according to figure, $R'_2/s= \text{Very big value}$, removal of the part which include $X'_2$ and $R'_2$ will occur as their value is huge. In the end, all current will pass only in $R_c$ and $X_m$

From both test locked rotor test and no load test certain parameter can be calculated like ‘$R_1$’, ‘$X_1$’, ‘$X_m$’, ‘$X'_2$’ and ‘$R'_2$’ which are stator resistance, stator leakage-reactance, magnetizing reactance, rotor leakage-reactance and rotor resistance respectively.

For identifying induction motor parameters, Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) are used [5], [6], [37]. The aim of using those two algorithms to get electrical and mechanical parameters of the nonlinear motor model. To identify the parameters 3, 50 and 2250-hp models are used. In lab experiment the model used is 7.5-hp induction motor.

### 2.3 Iron core loss effect:

1. $R_c$ is added to account for hysteresis and eddy current losses.

2. Iron core losses causes a reduction in performance.

3. The use of static converter for electrical drives leads to iron losses increase.

4. Magnetic saturation can be viewed as a reduction in the value of mutual induction and thus will increase magnetizing current.

5. The addition of $R_c$ will make the model more accurate than a simplified model.

### 2.3.1 How to decrease the $R_c$ effect:

Eddy current losses are minimized by using lamination. By using lamination the core area decreases and resistance increases, which results in decrease in eddy currents. Hysteresis losses are minimized by using high grad silicon steel.
2.3.2 The $R_c$ effect on 7.5-hp induction motor model:

$R_c$ value calculated was 63.5 Ω. when we applied the $R_c$ to simulink model the output was almost the same as without $R_c$ and that can be see below in figure 2.2 and 2.3.

![Figure 2.2 effect with and without $R_c$](image1)

![Figure 2.3 $R_c$ Effect](image2)

2.4 System Model of Induction Motor:

In this research the study will be done on a balanced three phase induction motor. The voltage and current are at synchronous rotating reference frame. The rotor parameters are referred to the stator. From stator current and rotor current, flux can be measured [45]. Table 1, 2 summarizes the name of each parameter that will to be used in our research.
Table 1: Induction Machine Model Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R_1 = R_s )</td>
<td>stator resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_1 = X_{ls} )</td>
<td>stator leakage-reactance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_m )</td>
<td>magnetizing reactance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X'<em>2 = X'</em>{lr} )</td>
<td>rotor leakage-reactance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R'<em>2 = R'</em>{r} )</td>
<td>rotor resistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Induction Machine Model Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v_{ds} )</td>
<td>d-axis stator voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_{qs} )</td>
<td>q-axis stator voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_{dr} )</td>
<td>d-axis rotor voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( v_{qr} )</td>
<td>q-axis rotor voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( i_{ds} )</td>
<td>d-axis stator current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( i_{qs} )</td>
<td>q-axis stator current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( i_{dr} )</td>
<td>d-axis rotor current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( i_{qr} )</td>
<td>q-axis rotor current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi_{ds} )</td>
<td>d-axis stator flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi_{qs} )</td>
<td>q-axis stator flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi_{dr} )</td>
<td>d-axis rotor flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi_{qr} )</td>
<td>q-axis rotor flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_s )</td>
<td>stator resistance per phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_r )</td>
<td>rotor resistance per phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_{md} )</td>
<td>d-axis magnetizing reactance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_{mq} )</td>
<td>q-axis magnetizing reactance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_r )</td>
<td>rotor electric angular speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \omega_b )</td>
<td>nominal rotor electric angular speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_e )</td>
<td>electrical torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_L )</td>
<td>load torque</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H )</td>
<td>inertia moment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.4 dqo equivalent circuit for induction motor

The stator currents for induction machine are given by:

\[ i_{qs} = \frac{1}{X_{ls}} (\psi_{qs} - \psi_{mq}) \]

\[ i_{ds} = \frac{1}{X_{ls}} (\psi_{ds} - \psi_{md}) \]

The rotor currents are given by:
The mutual flux is;

\[ \psi_{mq} = X_m (i_{qs} + i'_{qr}) \]

\[ \psi_{md} = X_m (i_{ds} + i'_{dr}) \]

The stator and rotor flux are given by;

\[ \frac{d\psi_{qs}}{dt} = \omega_b \left[ v_{qs} - \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \psi_{ds} + \frac{r_r}{X_{ls}} (\psi_{mq} - \psi_{qs}) \right] \]

\[ \frac{d\psi_{ds}}{dt} = \omega_b \left[ v_{qs} + \frac{\omega}{\omega_b} \psi_{qs} + \frac{r_r}{X_{ls}} (\psi_{md} - \psi_{ds}) \right] \]

\[ \frac{d\psi'_{qr}}{dt} = \omega_b \left[ v'_{qs} - \frac{\omega - \omega_r}{\omega_b} \psi'_{ds} + \frac{r'_r}{X'_{lr}} (\psi_{mq} - \psi'_{qr}) \right] \]

\[ \frac{d\psi'_{dr}}{dt} = \omega_b \left[ v'_{ds} + \frac{\omega - \omega_r}{\omega_b} \psi_{qs} + \frac{r'_r}{X'_{lr}} (\psi_{md} - \psi'_{dr}) \right] \]

\[ \psi_{mq} = X_{aq} \left( \psi_{qs} \frac{1}{X_{ls}} + \psi'_{qr} \frac{1}{X'_{lr}} \right) \]

\[ \psi_{md} = X_{ad} \left( \psi_{ds} \frac{1}{X_{ls}} + \psi'_{dr} \frac{1}{X'_{lr}} \right) \]

\[ \psi_{aq} = X_{ad} = \frac{1}{X_m} + \frac{1}{X_{ls}} + \frac{1}{X'_{lr}} \]

The Torque, \( T_e \) is given by

\[ T_e = 2H \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\omega_r}{\omega_b} + T_L \]
\[ T_e = \psi_{ds}i_{qs} - \psi_{qs}i_{dr} \]

The input voltage applied to stator are balanced and rotor voltage is zero, which lead to bring up speed and stator current

2.4.1 Objective

Identification of induction motor parameters are the main objective of our experiment. The basic idea is to compare time dependent response of the model and model estimated by using error criterion. The objective function can be obtained from the output error and estimated model. It tells how good the estimated model response is and detects the match with system model response. The structure of the estimated model is same as the system model except that the estimated model has unknown parameter.
Chapter 3: Particle Swarm optimization

Particle Swarm Optimization theory was created in 1995 by Dr. Eberhat and Dr. Kenny. The idea was inspired from nature of the social behavior and dynamic movement in the communication of birds flocking or fish schooling [37].

3.1 Theory

Particle swarm optimization was used to solve several problems. The idea of PSO algorithm came from the animal society that didn’t have leader in their group, like fish schooling and bird flocking. For example, each bird in the flock will search randomly for food, when a bird finds food at a certain position, it leads the whole flock to the closet food place. The success of the team depends on the best direction and shortest distance in finding food, which in turn depends on good communication between them. The process of searching food happens continuously until food is discovered. The technique of partial swarm optimization is used to find the optimal value and best solution.

Particle swarm optimization consists of a number of particles. Each particle has a certain position in space [38]. The particle status act:

1) To keep its inertia
2) To change condition according to its most optimization position
3) To change condition according to the swarm’s most optimist position.

Each particle in space adjusts its movement according to its own moving experience with another particle.

There are two experiences in particle swarm optimization, the ‘individual experience’ and the ‘near experience’. The ‘individual experience’ is the position of each particle in swarm and it is affected by the most optimist position during its movement. The ‘near experience’ is the position of the most optimist particle in its surrounding.

Each particle keeps track of where it was at the best fitness. This is called ‘Pbest’. Another "best" value is tracked by the particle swarm optimizer. It is obtained by any particle in the population. This best value is the global best and is called gbest. When a particle takes part of the population as its topological neighbor, the best value is then the local best and is called lbest. Each particle has a position and velocity. The information can be updated by changing the velocity and position.

In the process, we initiate the population including the value and velocity, we look at the fitness then we modify the velocity based on the pervious pbest, global best and neighborhood best and we loop around terminating a good round solution.

\[ v = K[v + c1 \cdot rand(pbestr) - Partical] + c2 \cdot rand(gestr) - Particle] \]
\[ \theta = \theta + \nu \phi = c1 + c2 \]
\[ K = \frac{2}{|2 - \phi - \sqrt{\phi^2 - 4\phi}|} \]

The states for measuring for induction machine are:

\[ \hat{\Theta} = [\dot{r}_s, \dot{X}_{ls}, \dot{X}_m, \dot{X}'_{lr}, \dot{r}'_r, \tilde{H}] \]

### 3.2 Parameters identification

Particle update rule: \( p = p + v \)

Where

- \( p \): particle’s position
- \( v \): velocity
- \( c1 \): weight of local information
- \( c2 \): weight of global information
- \( pbest \): best position of the particle
- \( gbest \): best position of the swarm
- \( rand \): random variable

### 3.3 PSO steps of operation:

Algorithm of PSO:

- **Step 1**: Define fitness function.
- **Step 2**: Generate initial population of \( N \) particles with random position and velocities.
- **Step 3**: Calculate fitness: Evaluate the fitness values of current particle using the objective function
- **Step 4**: Update pbest- Compare the fitness values of each particle with its pbests. If current value is better than pbest then set it to current value.
- **Step 5**: Update gbest- Compare the fitness values of each particle with its pbests. If current value is better than gbest then set it to current value.
- **Step 6**: If the number of iterations reaches the maximum, then go to step 8 otherwise go to step 4.
- **Step 7**: The particle that generates the latest gbest is the solution of the problem.
- **Step 8**: Stop
3.4 Advantage and disadvantage of PSO:

Advantages:
1) Scaling insensitive of design
2) Its implementation is very simple
3) Few algorithms are used
4) Very Efficient

Disadvantages:
1) Slow convergence in refined search stage (weak local search ability)
Chapter 4: Genetic Algorithm

Dr. John Holland introduced Genetic Algorithm in 1970's with the help of his colleagues and some students at university of Michigan. The idea of GA came from genetic and reproduction behavior observed in biological population. The ideology of GA came from 'survival of the fitness'. It searches a process to select and create individual that can be able to survive on the environment. After a number of iterations, design characteristic evolves and remains in the genome composition of the population over traits with weaker undesirable characteristics. GA has been known for its success in solving sophisticated optimization as it can work with nonlinear objective and can work with discrete and continues variables.

In IT application, GA are used widely in most applications and especially parallel genetic algorithm. The prospective that parallels Genetic Algorithm and deals with existing information technology is huge, which may control very large and variable data in real world. GA is idealistic to do this.

GA is the best method for optimization especially when little data is available and large size problems are present. GA uses the method of direct analogy of a natural evolution. It is assumed that any problem can be solved by having a number of parameters. These parameters are like genes of a chromosome which has a string of value, which correlates with the objective function of the problem.

In 1970, Dr. Fraser came up with idea of replicating a genetic system by using genetic operators. Dr. John Holland first introduced a simple Genetic Algorithm and discovered the usage of genetic operators schemes which lead to production of a new generation and the old generation died. Dr. Lawrence Davis highlighted the significance of evaluation function as the connection between Genetic Algorithm and problem to be solved. Dr. Lawrence recommended the following:

1. Initialization of a population of chromosomes.
2. Creation of new chromosomes by mating current chromosomes.
3. Mutation and reproduction as the parent chromosomes mate.
4. Evaluation of the new chromosomes and insertion into the population.
5. If time is up, stop and return the best chromosome. If not, go to step three.

Genetic Algorithm is divided into two operators which makes it unique and successful. These operators are 'mutation' and 'crossover'. It is predicted from these procedures that a better chromosome will create a large number of offspring which at the end is guaranteed a higher chance of surviving in subsequent generation. The sequence of evolution is repeated until desired execution measured is achieved.
4.1 Mutation and Crossover

It was mentioned before that Genetic Algorithm has 2 operators, the first is mutation and second is crossover.

**Mutation:** Is to modify the value of one or more gene in a chromosome.

**Crossover:** Is to reproduce propagation where two parents give birth to a new Offspring

4.1.1 Crossover

Crossover is a genetic operator used to do some changes in chromosomes to make it better. The methodology of Crossover is to take genes from different parents to create a child with better functionality and with no defects. Parents must be healthy. There are different ways to approach this.

Some types are popular and used a lot in real-like one-point crossover, two-point cross over, and uniform Crossover. Other least used crossovers are cut and splice and half uniform crossover. More over Crossover is also expressed in binary encoder method.

**Most popular:**

- **One-point crossover:**

  It is a single crossover point from both parents. All points after certain point are identically swapped and the result is a child of both parents

![Figure 5: One point Crossover](image1)

![Figure 4.6: One point crossover for offspring](image2)
Parent 1: X X | X XXXX
Parent 2: Y Y | Y YYYY

Offspring 1: X X Y YYYY
Offspring 2: Y Y X XXXX

- Encoding Part
  - Parent A: 1 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 1 0 1
  - Parent B: 0 1 0 1 1 | 1 0 1 1 0

  - Child AB: 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0
  - Child BA: 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 1

- Two Point Crossover:
  It is the choice of a certain partition from parents and then identically swapped it.

  ![Two Point Crossover Diagram](image1.png)

  Figure 4.7: Two Point Crossover

  ![Continuous Two Point Crossover](image2.png)

  Figure 4.8: Continuous two point crossover for offspring

Or

- Parent 1: X X | X XX | X X
- Parent 2: Y Y | Y YY | Y Y
- Offspring 1: X X Y YY X X
- Offspring 2: Y Y X XX Y Y

**Encoding Part**
- Parent A: 1 0 0 | 1 0 1 1 | 1 0 1
- Parent B: 0 1 0* 1 1 1 0* 1 1 0
- Child AB: 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1
- Child BA: 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0

**Uniform crossover:**
Different partitions will be taken from both parents with a probability of 0.5.

![Uniform crossover diagram](image)

**Continuous Uniform crossover:**

![Continuous Uniform Crossover diagram](image)

- Parent 1: XXXXXXX
- Parent 2: Y YYYYY
- Offspring 1: X Y X Y Y X Y
- Offspring 2: Y X Y X Y X

- Encoding Part

  Mask: 0110011000 (Randomly generated)
  Parents: 1010001110 0011010010
  Offspring: 0011001010 1010010110

- Least popular Crossover:
  Cut and slice:
  This is another type of crossover which changes in Length of children. This happen due to taking different length of data from each parent.

  ![Figure 4.11: Least popular crossover](image)

- Arithmetic Crossover:
  It is a combination of different data from different parent which lead to totally different Offspring
11001011 + 11011111 = 1100101 (AND)

Figure 4.12: Arithmetic Crossover

4.2.2 Mutation:

Genetic algorithm is a binary code. Each bit in the chromosome is mutated with probability Pbm known as the mutation rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parent1</th>
<th>1 0 0 0 0 1 0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent2</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 0 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child1</td>
<td>1 0 0 1 0 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child2</td>
<td>0 1 1 0 1 1 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An Example of Single-point Crossover Between the Third and Fourth Genes with a Mutation Rate of 0.01 Applied to Binary Coded Chromosomes

Figure 4.13: Single Point Crossover and Mutation
The mutation operator ensures that gene pool does not become too restricted. GA is carried out by randomly changing one or more of bits in an individual. This may lead to creation of a totally new solution from the previous one, this means that GA can be better by using mutation.

There are different types of mutation:

- **Bit string mutation**
  - One of bits is chosen randomly and be flipped

- **Flip Bit**
  - One genome will be chosen and change all its bits, which mean if a bit is 1 it will be flipped to 0 and vice versa.

- **Boundary**
  - The genome will be replaced with a random upper or lower bounds
4.3 Fitness Function:

The fitness Function measures the quality of represented solution over Genetic Representation. Also it is problem dependent. The illustration of the result might be an array of bits, where each bit represent a different object. The bit value donates an objective but not every illustration is valid.

Fitness function is used in each iteration of algorithm to value the superiority of all projected solution to the problem in current population. The fitness function rule is to evaluate the goodness of each solution in a population.

Figure 4.15: Example for fitness function
Chapter 5: Data Acquisition System

In this chapter a programmed method to find the input and output variables of an induction motor is labeled. The process starts by finding the hardware devices that are used for the data acquisition system (DAQ). The technique, created as a virtual instrument (VI), is done in the Lab VIEW setting to measure and save the signals of the rotor speed, three-phase currents and three-phase voltages, of the induction motor. The technical features of the Lab VIEW and VI observing system supply huge help in parameter identification of an induction machine since it gives material on developed signals needed for the identification problem to be cracked.

5.1 Data Acquisition System (DAQ)

The data acquisition (DAQ) VI library attains waveforms and produces signals with all National Instruments (NI) plug-in and remote data acquisition products. The data acquisition system used here has a NI DAQ Card (6040E Families) [35]). The Input/output Connector of the DAQ card has 68 pins for the analog and digital signals. Six analog inputs in the range of 10 volts To Measure three-phase voltages and three-phase current. So, certain type of transducers must be employed to convert high amplitude signals within the range. For voltage VT7 and two different types of transducers are used for currents, CTL – 51 and CTA201R. Analog output is provided by a digital tachometer (speed transducer) to measure the speed, which converts speed in the range of 50 – 10000 rpm to 0 to 5 volts. The way in which analog inputs are connected to the DAQ card is given in Appendix A.31..

Figure 5.16: Data acquisition block diagram for a three-phase system
DAQ systems are used in a different ranges of applications in the research workroom, in the field, and in manufacturing plants. A general DAQ system includes:

Transducers - connected to induction motor being measured. For three phase voltages of induction motor – transducers convert input voltage in the range of 600 V to analog output voltage in the range of 10 Volts.

5.2 Lab VIEW Software

Lab VIEW is a visual programming language for display of measured data and analysis. The software was firstly produced by NI. These programs are called Virtual Instruments (VI) since they actually imitate physical instruments. VIs look like the functions in textual programming language, where the functions are used instead of icons. Each VI in Lab VIEW consists of:

- Front Panel
- Block Diagram

5.2.1 Front Panel User Interface

Front panel is the GUI for creating commands for showing instruments and measurements results. This interface gathers user input and shows program output. Control objects are used for data input, while indicator objects are used for data output. Front panel is very suitable to create and to work with. One example of Front Panel and VI designed in the power laboratory for the goal of obtaining stator currents, stator voltages and speed of the rotor shaft of induction motor is shown in the Figure 5.18. Figure 5.19 shows front panel configurations for reading and displaying saved data from the file.

5.2.2 Block Diagram

The block diagram has the graphical source code of VIs. All the functions shown in the front panel must be controlled and performed with in block diagram. The block diagrams designed for obtaining signals from the induction motor are shown in the Figures (5.17

5.2.3 Lab VIEW Features

Lab VIEW VIs are modular in design, so any VI can run on its own or be used as part of another VI (subVI). With this modularity, it gives the operator flexibility to design a whole hierarchy of VIs and subVIs that serve as building blocks in any number of applications. Also, it provides easy change, interchange, and combination of VIs in order to provide accommodations for other applications. Furthermore enhance performance [38].
Figure 5.17: GUI in LabVIEW
Figure 5.18: Three phase voltage and current Output from LabVIEW for 7.5-hp machine
Figure 5.19: Rotor speed data from LabVIEW for 7.5-hp machine
Chapter 6: Case Study and Results

In this chapter the results for parameter estimation of induction machine using GA and PSO are presented. Also the results from the hardware test of machine are presented.

6.1 Case Study

This chapter discusses the experimental testing to the problem identification of electric parameters of induction motor. The standard test procedure of DC resistance, no load and blocked rotor is applied to the 7.5-hp induction motor to obtain parameters. Then, a free acceleration test is performed, and with the help of DAQ and Lab VIEW Software three - phase voltages, three - phase currents and speed are recorded. Next, the GA method and the PSO method is used to estimate parameters of induction motors. Thus, the method is based on the following:

- Experimental Test Procedure.
- Implementation of GA to the problem identification.
- Implementation of PSO to the problem identification.

6.2 Experimental Test Procedure

The first test applied for determination of parameters is based on IEEE standard procedure. The following table gives information on parameters obtained after performing DC resistance, no – load and blocked rotor tests of a three – phase, 7.5-hp, 60 Hz, 220 V, induction motor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No Load Test</th>
<th>Blocked Rotor Test</th>
<th>DC Resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vnl = 126.5V</td>
<td>Vbl = 18.63 V</td>
<td>Vdc = 11.74 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inl = 8.8 A</td>
<td>Ibl = 18.62 A</td>
<td>Idc = 20 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pnl = 274.4 W</td>
<td>Pbl = 221.33 KW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both no load and blocked rotor test are performed at 60 Hz frequency. According to the IEEE Standard Test Procedure given in [36], this case applies for the situation when the slip is near unity.
thus, right after the machine start up, when the starting currents have the largest values. No attempt was made in this work to compose the test at reduced frequency since there was no adjustable frequency drive in equipment setup.

The detailed methodology for calculating the parameters of the induction machine is discussed below:

### 6.2.1 Calculations:

**No load test:**

\[
\cos(\phi_o) = \frac{P_{ph}}{V_{ph}I_o} = \frac{274.4}{126.3 \times 8.8} = 0.24
\]

\[
\phi_o = 76.17
\]

\[
I_m = I_o \sin(\phi_o) = 8.8 \sin(76.17) = 8.74 \, A
\]

\[
I_c = I_o \cos(\phi_o) = 8.8 \cos(76.17) = 2.16 \, A
\]

\[
L_m = \frac{V_{ph}}{2 \pi f_s I_m} = \frac{126.3}{2 \times 0.3 \times 60 \times 8.74} = 0.039 \, H
\]

\[
X_m = 14.35 \Omega
\]

Rc calculation:

\[
P_{fwc} = P_{nl} - P_{I_o^2R_s}
\]

\[
P_{I_o^2R_s} = I_o^2 R_s
\]

\[
P_{fwc} = \frac{3 \times |V_{ph}|^2}{R_c}
\]

\[
P_{nl} = 823.2 \, W
\]

\[
R_s = 0.3 \, \Omega
\]

\[
I_o = 8.8A
\]

\[
P_{I_o^2R_s} = 3 \times I_o^2 R_s = 3 \times (8.8)^2 \times 0.3 = 69.696W
\]

\[
P_{fwc} = P_{nl} - P_{I_o^2R_s} = 823.2 - 69.696 = 753.504W
\]

\[
R_c = 63.5 \, \Omega
\]
Locked rotor test

\[
\cos(\phi_{sc}) = \frac{P_{ph}}{V_{ph}I_{sc}} = \frac{221.33}{18.63 \times 18.62} = 0.64
\]

\[
\phi_{sc} = 50.35
\]

\[
Z_{sc} = \frac{V_{ph}}{I_{sc}} = \frac{18.63}{18.62} \approx 1\Omega
\]

\[
R_r = Z_{sc}\cos(\phi_{sc}) - R_s = (1 \times 0.64) - 0.3 = 0.34\Omega
\]

\[
X_{eq} = Z_{sc}\sin(\phi_{sc}) = 1\sin(50.13) = 0.77\Omega
\]

\[
X_{eq} = X_{ls} + X_{lr} = 0.77\Omega
\]

\[
X_{ls} = X_{lr} = 0.385\Omega
\]

Using the said methodology, the parameters of the induction machine were calculated and are tabulated in following table.

### Table 3: Standard test parameters for 7.5-hp induction motor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rs</th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_m$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
<th>$R_r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next step is then applied to measure three-phase voltages, three-phase currents and rotor speed. Machine variables are recorded during the start-up conditions. Three phase voltages are generated from the Motor–Generator Set (MG-Set 1) available in Power Lab. A three-phase wound rotor induction motor rated at 7.5-hp is tested.

The configuration test for the experiment can be found in Chapter 5, where Data Acquisition System and Lab VIEW Software are employed for recording the waveforms. Voltage and current signals in the range of [-10 V, +10 V] are acquired by the means of voltage and current transducers. A digital transducer – tachometer is used to generate analog voltage input at the range of 0 to 5 volts.

After employing Lab VIEW Software, acquired signals are saved. Data collected are transformed to the files suitable for the identification method by the GAs. Source code of the GAs is listed in Appendix.
The boiler–plate data from the test of induction motor is given in Appendix. No mechanical load, except for the rotor inertia and windage, was attached to the motor.

6.4 Results

Before applying the genetic algorithm to the real experimental test data, a simulation for induction machine was implemented to prove the validity of the approach. The theoretical examples are chosen with widely different horsepower ratings in order to confirm applicability of the method to motors with various sizes. The following tables summarize the results obtained from running the GA and PSO for each machine. The estimated parameters agree very closely with the actual value.

Table 4: Test parameters for 3-hp induction motor \((J = 0.089\text{kgm}^2)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(R_s)</th>
<th>(X_1)</th>
<th>(X_m)</th>
<th>(X_2)</th>
<th>(R_r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From GA</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.5615</td>
<td>25.3539</td>
<td>0.8161</td>
<td>0.7353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From PSO</td>
<td>0.4445</td>
<td>0.7754</td>
<td>26.9727</td>
<td>0.7997</td>
<td>0.7990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>26.13</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Test parameters for 50-hp induction motor \((J = 1.662\text{kgm}^2)\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Set</th>
<th>(R_s)</th>
<th>(X_1)</th>
<th>(X_m)</th>
<th>(X_2)</th>
<th>(R_r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From GA</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.3558</td>
<td>12.2320</td>
<td>0.3374</td>
<td>0.4507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From PSO</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>0.4992</td>
<td>12.7521</td>
<td>0.4967</td>
<td>0.2742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6: Test parameters for 2250-hp induction motor ($J=63.87\text{kgm}^2$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Set</th>
<th>$R_s$</th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_m$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
<th>$R_r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From GA</td>
<td>0.0200</td>
<td>0.1085</td>
<td>13.5626</td>
<td>0.2096</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage error for GA</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From PSO</td>
<td>0.0209</td>
<td>0.1025</td>
<td>14.9895</td>
<td>0.2364</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage error for PSO</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the tables given above it is obvious that the GA was able to identify parameters with a good accuracy. The errors between the responses of the induction motor with the actual parameters and the genetic algorithm identified parameters are small.

Figures (6.19 – 6.21) compare the actual parameter response with that predicted by using the GA and PSO identified parameters for 3-hp machine. Rotor speed, three-phase stator current and torque during transients are shown. The Stator voltages are the only input to the induction motor for these results.

Figures (6.22 - 6.25) compare the actual parameter response with that predicted by using the GA and PSO identified parameters for 2250-hp machine. Rotor speed, three-phase stator current and torque during transients are shown. The Stator voltages are the only input to the induction motor for these results.
Figure 6.20: Rotor Speed for 3-hp machine

Figure 6.21: Speed vs Torque characteristics for 3-hp machine
Figure 6.22: Stator current $I_{as}$, for 3-hp machine

Figure 6.23: Stator current $I_{as}$, for 2250-hp machine
Figure 6.24: Torque, $T_e$ for 2250-hp machine

Figure 6.25: Rotor Speed vs Torque, for 2250-hp machine
6.4 Results of 7.5-hp Motor

Free acceleration of 7.5-hp induction machine was performed and the data for 3-phase voltage and currents was recorded. Also the data for speed was measured for the said machine. The data was stored in Excel file and then transferred to Matlab. Using the data, the parameters of the machine were calculated and verified against the standard parameters of 7.5-hp induction machine. The results came very close to the values calculated using the blocked rotor test and no load test.
Table 7 Results for 7.5-hp machine ($J = 0.5\text{kgm}^2$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R_s$</th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_m$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
<th>$R_r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From No-load and blocked-rotor test</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From GA</td>
<td>0.2506</td>
<td>0.3616</td>
<td>14.1444</td>
<td>0.3811</td>
<td>0.2440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage error for GA</td>
<td>-0.1647</td>
<td>-0.0608</td>
<td>-0.0143</td>
<td>-0.0102</td>
<td>-0.2824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From PSO</td>
<td>0.2770</td>
<td>0.3607</td>
<td>14.7466</td>
<td>0.3682</td>
<td>0.2115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage error for PSO</td>
<td>-0.0767</td>
<td>-0.0631</td>
<td>0.0276</td>
<td>-0.0436</td>
<td>-0.377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.27 Simulated and measured stator currents of 7.5-hp induction motor
Figure 6.28. GAs estimated and no-load and blocked-rotor test data estimated stator currents of 7.5-hp induction motor.

Figure 6.29. Estimated and measured rotor speeds of 7.5-hp induction motor.
### 6.5 Comparison between GA and PSO Algorithms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GA</th>
<th>PSO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advantages:</strong></td>
<td>1) Very large number of parameters</td>
<td>1) Scaling insensitive of design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) Solves problems with multiple solutions</td>
<td>2) Its implementation is very simple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) GA is very easy to understand.</td>
<td>3) Few algorithms are used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4) The Percentage error for GA is better in case of 50-hp, 2250-hp and 7.5-hp</td>
<td>4) Very Efficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Disadvantages:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Disadvantages:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GA may not find the global optimum</td>
<td>Slow convergence in refined search stage (weak local search ability)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 7 Conclusion and future work

This thesis has presented intelligent approaches to estimate the Induction Machine electrical parameters based on Particle Swarm optimization and Genetic Algorithm heuristic optimization approach, a simulation model and experimental transient measurements. Based on both the simulation and experimental measured results, it is concluded that the use of the proposed strategy is an effective and reliable method for induction motor parameter identification. The reliability of this technique in estimating the machine parameters of different rating of induction motor has been presented successfully.

Future work:
1. $R_c$ should be included in dqo induction motor model and its effect should be investigated through simulation.
2. Induction motor parameters should be estimated using Nonlinear least square approach and its results should be compared with Genetic algorithm (GA), Particle swarm optimization (PSO) and real experiment.
Appendix A: Hardware & Software

Information on hardware tools and equipment’s, which are used for acquiring the signals in the experiment is given in this section. The second part defines an overall purpose of Lab VIEW Software intended to simply obtain outside signals. It is included here because of the ability to further work on this subject.

Setup

The identification technique labeled in the previous chapters performed a 7.5-hp Induction Motor. The next figure demonstrates the image of the motor along with the plate information from the motor. When the machine is at halt without mechanical load, the three – phase AC power is supplied to the stator stations. The experimental setup along with current and voltage transducers is presented in the following Figure A.31. The three - phase stator voltages, three – phase stator currents and the rotor speed are recorded by the means of voltage and current transducers respectively and tachometer, which is used to measure the speed of the rotor shaft.

![Figure A. 30: Name plate for 7.5-hp Induction machine](image-url)
DAQ card used is 6040E. This DAQ has 68 pins as shown in the figure 28.

Figure A.31: Physical Connection for Transducers

DAQ card in this experiment is used to measure three-phase voltage, three-phase current and speed. The maximum voltage that can be applied to the DAQ card is 10 volt. If this input voltage exceed 10 Volts it may damage DAQ card. Transducers used in our experiment step down voltage from 220 to 5v maximum. This output of transducer will be measured through the DAQ card.

As it was said before, there are three phase voltage and currents are measured. So 6 pins are going to be used.

**Pins for 3-phase voltage**
- Ai0 pins (+68, -34) voltage 1
- Ai1 pins (+33, -66) voltage 2
- Ai2 Pins (+65, -31) voltage 3

**Pins for 3phase current**
- Ai3 pins (+30, -63) Current 1
- Ai4 pins (+28, -61) Current 2
- Ai5 pins (+60, -26) Current 3

**Pin For speed**
- Ai6 pin (+25, -58)
Transducer:

Two different types of transducers are used
- Voltage transducer
- Current transducer

Voltage transducer:

Voltage transducer are used to step down high voltage (0-220) volts to (0 to 5) volts. Connections will be in figure A.32 are shown.

![Voltage Transducer Diagram](Figure A.32: Voltage Transducer)

Current transducer:

Current transducer are used to measure high currents in the range of -35/+35 A and produce an output signal proportional to the input. The output range is a -10/+10 volts. The connections are shown in figure A.33

![Current Transducer Diagram](Figure A.33: Current Transducer)
Appendix B: Code

GA Code

%Objective is to solve nonlinear differential equation (3.10) and (3.14). The file "Flux" calculated the derivative of the state variables, which are defined as fluxes.

```matlab
global fo Vs w wbWrCoeff TeCoeff
% Machine parameters
choice = menu('Select Induction Machine', '3-hp Induction Motor', '2250-hp Induction Motor', '50-hp Induction Motor', '500 hp Induction Motor');

if choice == 1
    % Parameters for 3-hp machine
    P = 4; fo = 60;
    Vs = 220/sqrt(3);
    rs = 0.435; Xls = 0.754; Xm = 26.13; Xlrp = 0.754; rrp = 0.816; J = 0.089; Pn = 3*746.7;
    Tf = 0.5;
    Mach = '3-hp machine';

elseif choice == 2
    % Parameters for 2250-hp machine
    P = 4; fo = 60;
    Vs = 2300/sqrt(3);
    rs = 0.029; Xls = 0.226; Xm = 13.04; Xlrp = 0.226; rrp = 0.022;
    rs = 0.0359; Xls = 0.2003; Xm = 13.0694; Xlrp = 0.2020; rrp = 0.0215; J = 63.87; Tf = 3.5;
    Mach = '2250-hp machine';

elseif choice == 3
    % Parameters for 50-hp machine
    P = 4; fo = 60;
    Vs = 460/sqrt(3);
    rs = 0.087; Xls = 0.302; Xm = 13.08; Xlrp = 0.302; rrp = 0.228; J = 1.662; Tf = 1.8;
    Mach = '50-hp machine';

elseif choice == 4
    % Parameters for 500-hp machine
```

51
\[ P = 4; \; f_0 = 60; \]
\[ V_s = \frac{2300}{\sqrt{3}}; \]
\[ r_s = 0.262; \; X_l = 1.206; \; X_m = 54.02; \; X_{lrp} = 1.206; \; r_{rp} = 0.187; \; J = 11.06; \; T_f = 1.8; \]
\[ \text{Mach} = '500-hp\; machine'; \]
\end

\text{we} = 2\pi f_0;
\text{w} = \text{we}; \quad \% \text{Work in the synchronous/stationary reference frame}
\text{Tstep} = T_f / 2000;
\text{tv} = 0: \text{Tstep}: T_f; \quad \% \text{Time vector for Vqdos}

\% \text{Define voltages of three phases a, b, c as input to the model}
\text{Vas} = \sqrt{2} V_s \cos(\text{we} \cdot \text{tv});
\text{Vbs} = \sqrt{2} V_s \cos(\text{we} \cdot \text{tv} - (2\pi/3));
\text{Vcs} = \sqrt{2} V_s \cos(\text{we} \cdot \text{tv} + (2\pi/3));
\text{Vabc} = [\text{Vas}; \; \text{Vbs}; \; \text{Vcs}];

\% \text{Convert Vabc to the QDO reference frame.}
\text{Vqdos} = \text{zeros}(3, \; \text{length(tv)});
\text{for}\; \text{pt} = 1: \text{length(tv)};
\quad \text{th} = \text{w} \cdot \text{tv} (\text{pt});
\quad \text{Ks} = \frac{2}{3} [\cos(\text{th}) \; \cos(\text{th} - (2\pi/3)) \; \cos(\text{th} + (2\pi/3)); \; \ldots 
\quad \sin(\text{th}) \; \sin(\text{th} - (2\pi/3)) \; \sin(\text{th} + (2\pi/3)); \; .5 \; .5 \; .5];
\quad \text{Vqdos}(:, \text{pt}) = \text{Ks} \cdot \text{Vabc}(:, \text{pt});
\text{end}

\% \text{Solution for the Equations (3.10) and (3.14) will follow directly after calling ode solver. Results of the state space equation are}
\% \text{flux variables.}
\text{Xss} = X_l + X_m;
\text{Xrrp} = X_{lrp} + X_m;
\text{D} = \text{Xss} \cdot \text{Xrrp} - X_m^2;
\text{wb} = \text{we};
\text{WrCoeff} = P / (2J);
\text{TeCoeff} = .75 P X_m / (D \cdot wb);
\% \text{matrix to find currents}
\text{C} = 1/D * [ X_{rrp} 0 0 -X_m 0 0];
FL0 = zeros(8,1);
[t, FL] = ode15s('Flux', tv, FL0);

Iqdos = C(1:3,:) * FL(:,1:6).'; % Equation (3.14)
Iqdo = C(4:6,:) * FL(:,1:6).'; % Equation (3.14)

wr = FL(:,7);
theta = FL(:,8); % Theta-r
rpm = wr*(2/P)*60/(2*pi); % Mechanical rpm
Te = TeCoeff*(FL(:,1).*FL(:,5) - FL(:,4).*FL(:,2)); % equation

% Convert Iqdo back to Iabc
Iabcs = zeros(3, length(t));
Iabcr = zeros(3, length(t));

th1 = w*t;
for pt = 1:length(t);
    th = th1(pt);
    Ks_inv = [cos(th) sin(th) 1; cos(th-(2*pi/3)) sin(th-(2*pi/3)) 1; cos(th+(2*pi/3)) sin(th+(2*pi/3)) 1];
    Iabcs(:,pt) = Ks_inv * Iqdos(:,pt);
    thr = theta(pt);
    b = th-thr;
    Kr_inv = [cos(b) sin(b) 1; cos(b-(2*pi/3)) sin(b-(2*pi/3)) 1; ...
              cos(b+(2*pi/3)) sin(b+(2*pi/3)) 1];
    Iabcr(:,pt) = Kr_inv * Iqdo(:,pt);
end

bits = [10 10 10 10 10]; % Define the number of bits for GA
vlb = [0.1 0.2 20 0.5 0.5];
vub=[1 1 30 1 1];
options = foptions([1 1e-4]); % First:printing second:Terminate
options(11)=30; % Number of population - before it was 30
options(13) = 0.033; % Mutation probability
options(12)=0.70; % Crossover probability
options(14)=300; % Max number of gen

% load numeric3-hp.mat % 3-hp machine data
x = [rs, Xls, Xm, Xlrp, rrp];

% load numeric2250-hp.mat % 2250-hp machine data
% load numeric50-hp.mat % 50-hp machine data
% load numeric7.5-hp.mat % 7.5-hp machine data
% we = 2*pi*60; % Omega-e, the angular frequency of the synchronous frame
% w=we; % w
% Vqdos = zeros(3, length(tv)); % Initialize to 0.
% for pt = 1:length(tv);
% th = w*tv(pt);
% % If omega is not constant, theta = integral of omega
% Ks = 2/3*[cos(th) cos(th-(2*pi/3)) cos(th+(2*pi/3)); ...
% sin(th) sin(th-(2*pi/3)) sin(th+(2*pi/3)); .5 .5 .5];
% Vqdos(:,pt) = Ks*Vabcs(:,pt);
% end

FitnessFunction = @IMfitness;
nvars = 5;
[x,stats,options,bf,fgen,lgen]=ga(FitnessFunction,nvars,[],[],[],[],vlb,vub)
% x = ga(FitnessFunction,nvars);
% x % best fitness
function Flux = Flux(t,FL);

P = 4; fo = 60;
we = 2*pi*fo; % Omega-e, the angular frequency of the synchronous frame
w=we; % Work in the synchronous reference frame
wb = we;

Vs = 220/sqrt(3);
rs = 0.435; Xls = 0.754; Xm = 26.13; Xlrp = 0.754;
rrp = 0.816; J = 0.089; Pn=3*746.7;
Tf = 0.5;
Xss = Xls + Xm;
Xrrp = Xlrp + Xm;
D = Xss*Xrrp - Xm^2;
WrCoeff = P/(2*J);
TeCoeff = .75*P*Xm/(D*wb);

% FL = a 8-vector = [The 6 flux linkages in (3.10); Omega-r; Theta-r];
% This function calls ode15s (one of the differential solvers) to
% obtain solution for the fluxes Equation (3.10).
fo = 60;
Vs = 1;
we = 2*pi*fo; % Omega-e, the angular frequency of the synchronous frame
w=we; % Work in the synchronous reference frame
wb = we;
% Conversion of three phase voltages to synchronous QDO frame
Vas = sqrt(2)*Vs*cos(we*t);
Vbs = sqrt(2)*Vs*cos(we*t - (2*pi/3));
Vcs = sqrt(2)*Vs*cos(we*t + (2*pi/3));
Vabcs = [Vas; Vbs; Vcs];
th = w*t;
Ks = 2/3*[cos(th) cos(th-(2*pi/3)) cos(th+(2*pi/3)); ...
sin(th) sin(th-(2*pi/3)) sin(th+(2*pi/3)); .5 .5 .5];
Vqdos = Ks*Vabcs;
Vqtor = [0;0;0];
% Equation (3.10) in the state space form:
wr=FL(7);
A = -wb*[rs*Xrrp/D w/wb 0 -rs*Xm/D 0 0; -w/wb+r*Xrrp/D 0 0 -rs*Xm/D 0; 0 0 rs/Xls 0 0 0; -rrp*Xm/D 0 0 rrp*Xss/D (w-wr)/wb 0; 0 -rrp*Xm/D 0 -(w-wr)/wb+rrp*Xss/D 0; 0 0 0 0 rrp/Xlrp];
Flux = zeros(8,1);
Flux(1:6) = A*FL(1:6) + wb*[Vqdos; Vqtor];
Te = TeCoeff*(FL(1)*FL(5) - FL(4)*FL(2)); % (equation 3.16)
Flux(7) = WrCoeff*Te; % The equation for d(wr)/dt is (3.17)
Flux(8) = FL(7); % Theta_r = integral(wr)

function [rs,Xls,Xm,Xlrp,rrp] = IMfitness(x);
%IM fitness simulates the induction machine and as an output gives
%stator currents and rotor speed. It calls the main genetic function
%which further calls additional sub functions (reproduc, mate, xover
%etc.) It calculates the fitness at every step after calling genetic
%main function.
% global fo Vs w wbWrCoeffTeCoeff we Iabcs_actual
% global rsXrrp D XmXssXlrpXlsrrpindexxVabcsvwr_actual J P Vqdosvwr
% indexx =0
% Declare parameters as variables to be optimized:
rs= x(1) ;
Xls=x(2);
Xm=x(3);
Xlrp=x(4);
rrp=x(5) ;
% J=x(6);
% fitness = [rs, Xls, Xm, Xlrp, rrp,J];
PSO Code

% This file describes the setup parameters for PSO.
% Partial Swarm Optimization for Matlab
% Copyright (C) 2003 AmerAlhinai
%
% This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify
% it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by
% the Free Software Foundation; either version 1, or (at your option)
% any later version.
%
% This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful,
% but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of
% MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the
% GNU General Public License for more details. A copy of the GNU
% General Public License can be obtained from the
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% This configuration depends in the problem, the given example is to design
% Power System Stabilizer parameters: K1 T11 T12 K2 T21 T22

global K1 T11 T12 K2 T21 T22
%
c1=2.0;c2=2.01;
n=50;M=5;tmax=50;
bounds = [ 0 60;
0.1 0.5;
0 0.1;
0 60;
0.1 0.5;
0 0.1]; % Parameters max and min intervals
evalFN = 'objectives';
[Jss,xss,Jsss,t] = psomax(bounds,evalFN,tmax,c1,c2,n,M);
function [Jss,xss,Jsss,t] = psomax(bounds,evalFN,tmax,c1,c2,n,M)
% PSOmax run a Partial Swarm Optimization to maximize the objective
% function.
% function [x,endPop,bPop,traceInfo]=ga(bounds,evalFN,tmax,c1,c2,n,M)
%
% Output Arguments:
% Jss - the best solution found during the course of the run.
x_int = bounds;

phi = c1 + c2;
K = 2 / (abs(2 - phi - sqrt(phi^2 - 4 * phi)));
for i = 1:length(x_int)  % initial population position
    x(i,:) = x_int(i,1) + (x_int(i,2) - x_int(i,1)) * rand(1,n);
end

for i = 1:length(x_int)  % initial velocities
    Vmax(i) = max(x(i,:)); Vmin(i) = min(x(i,:));
    vk(i) = (Vmax(i) - Vmin(i)) / n;
\[ V(i,:) = -v_k(i) + (v_k(i) + v_k(i)) \cdot \text{rand}(1,n); \]

\[
\text{end}
\]

\[ v_{max} = v_k; \quad v_{min} = -v_k; \]

\[ \text{size}_V = \text{size}(V); \]

\[ x_s = x; \quad \% vs = V; \]

\[ \text{for} \ i = 1: \text{size}_V(2) \quad \% \text{evaluate the initial population} \]

\[ x_c = x(:,i); \]

\[ \text{elstr} = [\text{'}jc=' \text{evalFN'}(x_c);\text{'}]; \]

\[ \text{eval}(	ext{elstr}); \]

\[ J(i) = \text{jc}; \]

\[ \text{end} \]

\[ J_s = J; \quad \% \text{initial best individual} \]

\[ J_{ss} = \text{max}(J_s); x_{ss} = x(:, \text{find}(J_{ss} = J_s)); \]

\[ J_{sss}(t) = J_{ss}; \quad \% \text{initial best global} \]

\[ m = 0; \]

\[ \text{while} \ t < \text{tmax} \text{\% check maximum \# of iteration} \]

\[ \text{if} \ m > M \text{\% check the number of iterations since the last change} \]

\[ \text{break} \text{\% of the best solution "m" is greater than "M"} \]

\[ \text{end} \]

\[ t = t + 1 \]

\[ m \]

\[ r_1 = \text{rand}(1); r_2 = \text{rand}(1); \]

\[ \text{for} \ i = 1: \text{size}_V(1) \quad \% \text{update the velocity} \]

\[ \text{for} \ k = 1: \text{size}_V(2) \]

\[ v_{\text{cal}} = K \cdot (V(i,k) + c_1 \cdot r_1 \cdot (x_s(i,k) - x(i,k)) + c_2 \cdot r_2 \cdot (x_{ss}(i) - x(i,k))); \]

\[ \text{if} \ (v_{\text{cal}} \geq v_{\text{min}}(i) \& v_{\text{cal}} \leq v_{\text{max}}(i)) \]

\[ V(i,k) = v_{\text{cal}}; \]

\[ \text{else} \]

\[ \text{end} \]

\[ \text{end} \]

\[ \text{end} \]

\[ \text{for} \ i = 1: \text{size}_V(1) \quad \% \text{update position} \]

\[ \text{for} \ k = 1: \text{size}_V(2) \]

\[ x_{\text{cal}} = V(i,k) + x(i,k); \]

\[ \text{if} \ (x_{\text{cal}} \geq x_{\text{int}}(i,1) \& x_{\text{cal}} \leq x_{\text{int}}(i,2)) \]

\[ x(i,k) = x_{\text{cal}}; \]

\[ \text{else} \]

\[ \text{end} \]
for i=1:size_V(2) % evaluation
xc=x(:,i);
e1str=['jc=' evalFN(xc);']
eval(e1str);
J(i)=jc;
end
for i=1:size_V(2) % update best individual
if J(i)>Js(i)
    Js(i)=J(i);
    xs(:,i)=x(:,i);
else
end
end
Jmax=max(Js);
if Jmax>Jss % update best global
    m=0;
    Jss=Jmax
    xss=x(:,find(Jss==Js));xss=xss(:,1);
else
    m=m+1;
    fprintf('%2.0f ',m)
end
Jss(t)=Jss;
end
return
function [val] = objectives(sol)
% This function compute the Partial Swarm Optimization objective function.
% function [val] = objectives(sol)
%
% Output Arguments:
% val - PSO performance index value
%
% Input Arguments:
% sol - PSO candidate solution
% Partial Swarm Optimization for Matlab
% Copyright (C) 2003 AmerAlhina
%
% This program is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify
% it under the terms of the GNU General Public License as published by
% the Free Software Foundation; either version 1, or (at your option)
% any later version.
%
% This program is distributed in the hope that it will be useful,
% but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of
% MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the
% GNU General Public License for more details. A copy of the GNU
% General Public License can be obtained from the
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
% This configuration depends in the problem, the given example is to design
% Power System Stabilizer parameters:
% \[ K1 \quad Tw_1 \quad T_{11} \quad T_{12} \quad T_{13} \quad T_{14} \quad K_2 \quad Tw_2 \quad T_{21} \quad T_{22} \quad T_{23} \quad T_{24} \quad K_3 \quad Tw_3 \quad T_{31} \quad T_{32} \quad T_{33} \quad T_{34} \]

global K1 Tw1 T11 T12 T13 T14 K2 Tw2 T21 T22 T23 T24 K3 Tw3 T31 T32 T33 T34
K1=sol(1); Tw1=sol(2);
T11=sol(3);T12=sol(4);
T13=sol(5); T14=sol(6);

K2=sol(7); Tw2=sol(8);
T21=sol(9);T22=sol(10);
T23=sol(11);T24=sol(12);

K3=sol(13);Tw3=sol(14);
T31=sol(15);T32=sol(16);
T33=sol(17);T34=sol(18);

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
sim('m_IEEE37_2MT') % Nonlinear Simulations
if tout <7 % If the guided constrains intercepted the simulation ignor this solution
val=0
else
% Good candidate solution
j=min(sum(J)); % J is the simulation performance index computed within PAT
val=1e6/j
end
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