Impact of a Fruit and Vegetable Diet Intervention in Young Adults with Metabolic Syndrome on Behavior and Gut Microbiome

Rashel L. Clark

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd

Recommended Citation
Clark, Rashel L., "Impact of a Fruit and Vegetable Diet Intervention in Young Adults with Metabolic Syndrome on Behavior and Gut Microbiome" (2018). Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports. 5370.
https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd/5370

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by The Research Repository @ WVU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports by an authorized administrator of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact ian.harmon@mail.wvu.edu.
Impact of a Fruit and Vegetable Diet Intervention in Young Adults with Metabolic Syndrome on Behavior and Gut Microbiome

Rashel L. Clark

Thesis submitted
to the Davis College of Agriculture, Natural Resources, and Design
at West Virginia University

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in
Human Nutrition and Foods

Melissa Olfert, DrPH, MS, RDN; Chair
Christopher Cuff, PhD
Oluremi Famodu, PhD, RDN

Department of Animal and Nutritional Sciences

Morgantown, West Virginia
2018

Keywords: Metabolic Syndrome, young adults, healthy diet, MyPlate diet, fruits and vegetables
Copyright 2018 Rashel Clark
ABSTRACT

Impact of a Fruit and Vegetable Diet Intervention in Young Adults with Metabolic Syndrome on Behavior and Gut Microbiome

Rashel L. Clark

Background: Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) is a clustering of factors that increases the risk of developing cardiovascular diseases and diabetes [1]. The rates of MetS are on the rise, even among young adults [2]. The primary objective of the Fruvedomics phase 2 study was to determine changes in behavior, cardiovascular, and gut microbiome health in a group of young adults with MetS following an 8-week, free-living, dietary intervention.

Methods: The dietary intervention followed the USDA Dietary Guidelines for Americans and focused on increasing fruit and vegetable intake to equal half their diet. University students (18-30 years old) with MetS were recruited on the West Virginia University (WVU) campus. Eligible, consented individuals (n=17) completed baseline measurements, including arterial stiffness, complete blood lipid panel, anthropometric measures, stool sample for microbial analysis, 24-hour diet recall, and body composition. Participants completed an 8-week dietary intervention including weekly consultations with a Registered Dietitian Nutritionist/trained researcher who assessed food logs, food receipts, and adherence to the diet.

Results: Two participants were excluded from analysis due to medical or dietary non-compliance (n=15). Fruit and vegetable (p<0.0001), and fiber (0.05) intake did significantly increase over the intervention from 1.7 to 4.6 cups of fruits and vegetables and 16 to 28 grams of fiber. Clinical results yielded an increase in sodium (p=0.002). Erysipelotrichaceae (Firmicute phyla) decreased (log2 fold change: -1.78, p=0.01) and Caulobacteraceae (Proteobacteria phyla) increased (log2 fold change= -1.07, p= 0.01). The dietary intervention resulted in a time by intervention interaction in both the family Actinobacteria and its family taxa, Coriobacteraceae (p=0.033).

Conclusion: Overall, implementing a free-living 8-week diet, with intensive education and accountability, gave participants the knowledge, skills, and feedback to improve health behaviors, but did not result in many metabolic and gut microbiome changes. A longer diet intervention may be needed in this population to improve metabolic health.
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I. Introduction

Humans have 350 trillion microbial cells, or 10 times the number of human cells [3]. The microbial cells that reside in the human gut are termed the gut microbiome, microbiota, microbes, or bacterial cells. These microbes serve several key functions in digestion and metabolism. First, the gut bacteria maintains the integrity of the intestinal epithelial barrier, which offers protection from bacterial colonization [4]. Secondly, the microbiome plays a key role in the regulation of the intestinal tract through metabolizing otherwise indigestible polysaccharides, fat, protein, and vitamins. During the metabolic process, bacterial fermentation occurs, which affects the deposition of triglycerides in adipocytes [5]. These roles make microbes integral in the amount of energy absorbed from the diet. [4-6].

The microbiome’s role in metabolism of nutrients and energy harvest has led to recent research on its connection to the obesity epidemic and related diseases. It is estimated that 600 million people around the world are obese, with an additional 1.9 billion overweight individuals [4]. The increase in obesity is the result of genetic and environmental factors, with the shift in eating habits to a fat-enriched, refined carbohydrate diet being a modifiable risk factor [7]. Aside from energy absorption and weight status, research has investigated the link between microbial interactions with health through the suppression of pathogens, impact on blood lipids, and metabolic disorders, such as the Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) [6].

MetS encompasses five factors, which increases the risk for heart disease, type 2 diabetes, and stroke [1]. These five factors include the following: waist circumference >102cm (men), >88cm (women); serum triglycerides ≥150mg/dL; serum HDL <40mg/dL (men), <50mg/dL (women); blood pressure ≥130/85mm Hg; and fasting blood glucose ≥100mg/dL [8]. To be diagnosed with MetS, an individual must have, or be taking medication for at least three of
the factors according to the National Cholesterol Education Panel Adult Treatment Panel (NCEP ATP) III and the more factors a person presents with, the higher their risk for chronic diseases [8]. Being diagnosed with MetS is closely linked with overweight, obesity, low physical activity, insulin resistance, family history, genetics, and older age [9, 10]. With prevalence of MetS on the rise, possibly due to increasing obesity, it is important to determine strategies to prevent this continued increase [1].

The NCEP-ATP III states treatment of MetS is through modification of lifestyle factors such as weight control, increased physical activity, and dietary changes. Examples of dietary modifications include lowering saturated fat, cholesterol, and calories through increasing fruits, vegetables, and whole grains [10, 11]. These dietary modifications have been shown to improve metabolic health [12, 13], weight status, as well as the health of the microbiome [4]. Since both the MetS and gut microbiome are impacted by dietary intake, it can be useful to study the fecal matter of individuals making dietary changes to determine how the diet is impacting their digestion and biochemical reactions [14]. Using dietary strategies to improve the bacterial diversity of the gut microbiome is one possible strategy for treatment of MetS and its related comorbidities [15].

**Target Population and Significance**

Since rates of MetS typically increase with age, primary prevention for the comorbidities related to MetS should be started at an early age [16]. However, MetS is often undiagnosed in the young adult population (age 18-30) [17]. Thus, the young adult population is an optimal time to engage in primary prevention strategies to reduce future risk of disease [18, 19]. Treatment recommendations from the NCEP-ATP III focus on primary prevention of those with multiple coronary heart disease (CHD) risk factors, such as people diagnosed with MetS [16]. However,
many young adults do not engage in these practices, such as healthy dietary changes [20]. In fact, the annual American College Health Association survey found only 4.2% of college students were eating the recommended 5 or more servings of fruits and vegetables daily [21].

Deficiency in some of these dietary guidelines can lead to increased energy intake and weight gain in this population. For example, young adults have been found to weigh more, and are more likely to gain weight than any other age cohort, with a weight gain of 15kg in the fifteen year timespan of young adulthood [20, 22]. Of those that gain weight and are considered overweight, less than 10% lose enough weight to return to a healthy weight classification later in life. Furthermore, the development and persistence of increased weight status during this time period is indicative of health and onset of chronic diseases later in life [23].

With the need to improve dietary intake among young adults with suboptimal health behaviors [24], education-based dietary interventions are one way to help improve lifestyle behaviors and decrease risk of further CHD events [25]. The combination of a motivated individual and appropriate behavioral support can result in a positive dietary change [26]. Individuals that place high importance on nutrition have lower energy intake, consume more fruits and non-starchy vegetables, read food labels, and engage in weight reduction strategies [27]. In meta-analyses, motivational interviewing has been found to be an effective strategy to change behavior in weight loss [28] and clinical outcomes such as blood pressure and cholesterol levels [29]. Motivational interviewing is a collaborative conversation between a client and coach intended to strengthen the client’s own motivation and commitment toward behavior change [30]. An education-based diet intervention, that uses motivational interviewing techniques, could be an appropriate format to educate young adults and provide them strategies to improve their nutrition intake during their transition to adulthood. These diet behavior improvements can
then link to improvements in gut microbiome health which is linked to better overall health and decreased risk of chronic disease.

**Research Aims**

Specific Aim 1.0: Increase fruit and vegetable consumption using an 8-week, education-based, free-living diet intervention in young adults (18-30 y/o) with MetS.

   Hypothesis 1.1: An education based, free-living diet intervention will increase fruit and vegetable consumption.

Specific Aim 2.0: Determine the impact of increased fruit and vegetable consumption on gut microbiota in young adults with MetS.

   Hypothesis 2.1: Increased fruit and vegetable consumption will change the relative amounts of bacteria in the gut microbiome.
II. Literature Review

Metabolic Syndrome

A diagnosis of MetS is associated with an overall increased risk for development of chronic diseases like cardiovascular disease (CVD), diabetes and stroke. From 1988 to 2012, the National Health and Nutrition Education Survey (NHANES) data shows an increase in prevalence of MetS among all adults by 5% (25.3%-34.2%) [16, 31]. A MetS diagnosis has been found to double the individual’s risk of CVD, and CVD mortality and stroke, while increasing risk of all cause mortality by 1.5 times [32, 33]. Development of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus is also increased by five times in this population [34]. This increase of prevalence to more than one-quarter of the North American population [31] further indicates MetS as an increasing health concern due to its resulting disease burden [17].

MetS has been heavily studied in the middle and older age populations and was considered a cluster of risk factors that occurred in older age. However, little research has been conducted on young adults despite increasing prevalence in individuals aged 20-39 [2, 35, 36] [37]. In addition to U.S. statistics, the prevalence of MetS amongst young adult Asian Indians (10%) [38], and Latin American individuals (5-10%) [35] have followed similar trends. Morrell et al. determined that 8% of college students at three different universities met the criteria for MetS [36]. These findings suggest that regardless of location, region, or nationality, the prevalence of MetS among young adults is increasing. This is especially concerning for a young adult population because MetS is associated with increased chronic disease burden throughout the lifespan [2].

Defining the prevalence of MetS can be challenging because of the different definitions of MetS used. The following organizations have put forth MetS diagnosis criteria: World Health Organization (WHO), NCEP-ATP III, International Diabetes Federation (IDF), and the
For decades there has been a consensus of MetS encompassing at least three of the following risk factors: dyslipidemia (high triglycerides and low HDL), hypertension, abdominal obesity, and elevated fasting glucose [39]. Of these risk factors, hypertension and abdominal obesity are most frequently used when comparing data in the Global Disease Study from 2000 to 2013. These risk factors indicate there is a shift in non-communicable diseases having impact on disability-adjusted life-years [40].

Ravikiran et al. [38] used these different definitions in determining the prevalence of MetS to determine how rates would differ based on the different organization’s criteria in a young adult population. Rates of diagnosed MetS based on definitions varied slightly: ~36% (NCEP-ATP III), ~40% (International Diabetes Federation; IDF), and ~45% (modified NCEP ATP III) diagnosed via the differing criteria. This indicates the NCEP-ATP III to be the least conservative definition, and the modified NCEP-ATP III to be the most conservative in this particular population. Even though the same risk factors are used in each definition, the largest variance is likely to be found in waist circumference [2]. IDF requires the presence of abdominal obesity, as well as two of the other criteria. Both of the criterias from NCEP use waist circumference as one of the five risk factors, and the modified NCEP-ATP III recommends the use of ethnic specific waist circumference measures [41]. In 2009 the IDF Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention, National Heart Lung and Blood Institute, American Heart Association, World Heart Federation, International Atherosclerosis Society, and the International Association for the Study of Obesity came to a consensus regarding the MetS criteria, and it matches the NCEP-ATP III with waist circumference recommendations, while adding regional and ethnic specificifications [39].
MetS Treatment

Treatment and prevention recommendations for MetS focus on therapeutic lifestyle modifications [42] (health education, diet, exercise, etc.) and pharmacotherapy [8] [42]. In order for this treatment to be cost effective, this approach requires health care providers to educate, motivate, and encourage compliance from patients [8]. Diet is a modifiable risk factor [43] and an effective target for lifestyle interventions in young adults [44].

Many national organizations recommend a healthy diet (high in vegetables and fruit, and low in saturated fat and cholesterol) for the prevention of chronic diseases and management of conditions, such as MetS,[33, 45, 46]. The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Dietary Guidelines for Americans recommends half the plate consist of vegetables and fruit, while also focusing on lean proteins, whole grains, and low-fat dairy [45]. The American Heart Association (AHA) and American College of Cardiology agree with those recommendations in addition to a diet with a variety of foods like fish, legumes, non-tropical vegetable oils and nuts, while limiting intake of sweets, sugar-sweetened beverages and red meat [46]. Individualized nutrition prescription for patients offers a promising approach to cost effective treatment to improve metabolic health [47]. Alongside changing dietary patterns to improve metabolic health, increasing research has studied the effect of the second genome, or gut microbiota.

Microbiome

The gastrointestinal tract has unique structures, functions, and motility, making it’s environment capable of harboring various microbial communities [48]. This gut microbiome has been described as another organ within the human body with cells that communicate, are involved in energy distribution and storage, and can maintain and repair themselves [49].
Metagenomic sequencing of the gut microbiome have found 1,000-1,150 prevalent bacterial species [50]. While these numbers may be vast, the phyla division is quite low, with ~90% of gut bacteria being classified within the Bacteriodetes and the Firmicutes [6, 49, 51]. Other dominant bacteria include Proteobacteria [49] and Actinobacteria [52]. Research regarding these two dominant phyla and their role in the human body has been abundant. Firmicutes have been found to comprise more than 200 different gram positive genera, while Bacteriodetes only have about 20 genera of gram negative bacteria [4]. Bacteriodes and Bifidobacteria are the main species which maintain the integrity of the epithelial barrier, but Lactobacillus, *Escherichia coli*, and Enterococcus also contribute to this protective layer [53].

The variation of the gut microbiota is associated with host genotypes and age, as well as external factors including diet, antibiotics, prebiotics, and probiotics [6]. Despite these various influences, research still indicates the microbiota is largely similar amongst adults [54]. The health of the gut microbiome depends on the balance maintained between the microbial elements which is influenced by defensins (an antimicrobial protein) that favor certain bacteria over others [48]. Dysfunction, or reduced bacterial diversity, in these host genes can lead to an increased risk of MetS, hypercholesterolemia, enteral inflammation, and other cardiovascular issues [6, 55].

One important consideration in determining an individual’s gut microbial diversity is that different microbial species have preferred functions. Thus, the distribution of bacteria will rely on the external factors listed above [6]. Diet is considered an external factor because the microbiota works to metabolize certain nutrients [4]. The microbiome will change in response to the nutrients provided by an individual’s long-term dietary patterns [5]. The study of the gut microbiome through fecal extract gives information regarding the interaction of the host and gut microbiota in digestion and biochemical reactions [14]. To further determine best treatment
strategies, the next section will discuss the relevant literature on how lifestyle changes and the microbiome influences the individual factors of MetS.

MetS Risk Factors and the Microbiome

Management of the MetS aims to improve each of the individual components of the syndrome [33]. For MetS it is important to determine what this cluster of factors looks like, specifically in the young adult population. Determining the make up of the disease in this population could help identify better treatment and intervention strategies [2].

A pooled analysis completed by Nolan et. al determined the prevalence of MetS and its five components amongst young adults [2]. This review of 34 studies included 26,609 young adult participants and determined the prevalence of MetS components using the three widely used criteria: NCEP-ATP III, American Heart Association/National Heart, Blood and Lung Institute, and the IDF. Depending on the definition used, MetS prevalence was between 4.8% and 7%. Regardless of the criteria used, low HDL was the most prevalent component (26.9%-41.2%), followed by high blood pressure (16.6%-26.6%), abdominal obesity (6.8-23.6%), high triglycerides (8.6-15.6%), and high fasting glucose (2.8-15.4%) [2]. In this sample, the overall prevalence of MetS was closely distributed, however some of the individual components had a wide distribution. The most widely distributed risk factor, abdominal obesity, may be attributed to the fact that some studies measured waist circumference using ethnic specific recommendations.

Using NHANES data, Moore et al. found that of the five MetS factors, waist circumference has increased the most over time (1988-2012) with a 19% increase in men, and a 22.7% increase in women [16]. This increase is a health concern because excess weight relates to changes in the
lipid profile, increased blood pressure, and hyperinsulinemia [56]. These factors all contribute to the increased burden of cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and certain forms of cancer [57].

The microbiome has been implicated to play a role in the development of obesity [4, 6]. Connections between increased energy harvest, weight gain, and the gut microbiome have been found in both animal [58] and human studies [59]. Its contributions to obesity is likely linked by its role in diet through the regulation of the intestinal tract by metabolizing otherwise indigestible polysaccharides, fat, protein, and vitamins, as well as bacterial fermentation [4, 6]. The result of the fermentation process are the short chain fatty acids (SCFAs)- acetate, propionate, and butyrate. SCFAs are used for lipid or glucose synthesis which can then be used as an source of energy [6, 59], making them integral in the amount of energy absorbed from the diet [4].

Much of the research connecting weight and the gut microbiome began with the focus on the prevalence and ratio of Firmicutes to Bacteriodetes [5]. In milestone data collected by Turnbough et al. it was determined that obesity correlates with a microbiome higher in proportions of Firmicutes [58]. However, a study conducted by Schweitz et al. did not find this link with those particular phyla [59]. In a group of 98 individuals, those who were overweight or obese had higher proportions of Bacteriodetes (46.8%, 45% respectively) compared with lean individuals (22.9%), and a lower amount of *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* (part of the Firmicute subgroup) and *Methanobrevibacter* [59]. Regardless of the variations in microbiota both studies showed an increased ability for energy harvest and production of short chain fatty acids in the obese phenotype [58, 59].

These findings suggest the relationship between obesity, nutrition, and the microbiome is more complex than a simple ratio of two bacterial families [5]. Poor diet quality [44], and the shift in eating habits to a fat-enriched, refined carbohydrate diet are key predictors in obesity.
Implementing dietary changes for manipulation of gut microbiome content is a potential form of therapy to improve health status [5]. Thus, improving nutritional status is an area which can both improve weight and gut microbiome health [44].

The American Heart Association reports one third (80 million) of U.S. adults have hypertension (HTN) [48]. As blood pressure increases, the risk of CVD and other heart related problems also increases. A reduction of blood pressure is critical to decrease the burden of these chronic diseases [61]. The INTERMAP epidemiological study across populations in China, Japan, the UK, and USA investigated metabolites from dietary, gut microbial, and host metabolism across these populations determined an inverse association between blood pressure and formate (by-product of gut microbial fiber breakdown) [62]. Recommendations to decrease blood pressure and other MetS risk factors include lifestyle modification strategies [33, 61]. One effective treatment and prevention of these risk factors are closely related to different dietary patterns to be discussed in the section below.

Diet, Microbiome, and MetS

Diet quality is inversely related to systolic and diastolic blood pressure, triglycerides, and high BMI [44]. In determining the recommended dietary therapeutic lifestyle intervention, it is important to consider that people do not eat isolated nutrients [63]. There are many competing and interacting factors when a diet is consumed with several nutrients and foods [64]. Thus, while studies focusing on specific nutrients can be useful for mechanistic understanding, a more holistic dietary pattern may be more clinically useful [64] when recommending a dietary pattern and has shown better compliance than recommendations to increase isolated nutrients. Dietary patterns have been associated with positive results in the management and prevention of diseases
The following paragraphs will examine several of the researched dietary patterns and their effect on both the gut microbiome and its relation to MetS.

**Western Diet**

Studies using mice prone to the physiological changes in MetS have found relationships between what is considered the western diet (high fat, high sugar) and resulting inflammation and microbial diversity [60, 66]. In comparing germ free and conventionalized mice it was found that germ free mice had better protection against diet-induced obesity compared with conventional counterparts [67]. When high fat versus control diets were compared on MetS prone genotype, it was determined that a high fat diet impaired glucose tolerance, had no Bifidobacteria, and showed the presence of Desulfovibrianaeaceae [68]. Results of the study by Cani et al. indicate a high fat diet yields many of the same biomarkers caused by inflammation as indicated by the higher levels of inflammatory markers compared to the lipopolysaccharide infused mice. This suggests a high fat diet can increase inflammation, and insulin resistance resulting in changes in the gut microbiome (decreased Bifidobacterium, E. rectale/c. coccoides, and Bacteroides), which are all indicators of MetS [60]. Ussar et al. further studied how MetS factors and the microbiome were affected from a high fat diet for 3-6 generations. In these studies it was found that mice inflammation tended to remain through generations, regardless of diet [66].

**Mediterranean diet**

The Mediterranean diet has been associated with decreased risk of MetS [69]. A Mediterranean diet typically consists of: high amounts of vegetables, fruits, legumes, whole grains, monounsaturated/saturated fat ratio; moderate wine intake; and low in red and processed
meats [70, 71]. Length of dietary maintenance in studies varies widely from a short intervention, to following a cohort through most of their adulthood.

In a 25-week education based, free-living diet intervention the Mediterranean diet improved anthropometric, dietary, and metabolic measures in participants. [12]. A one-year prospective study of older adults with high-risk of CVD randomized participants to Mediterranean diet with added olive oil or nuts, and a control (low fat) diet. Those on the Mediterranean diet experienced a decrease in triglycerides, blood pressure, and waist circumference compared to the control [72]. Esposito et al followed MetS patients for two years that followed either the Mediterranean diet, or diet consisting of 50%-60% carbohydrates, 15%-20% protein, and less than 30% fat. Patients in the Mediterranean diet experienced greater weight loss, reduced serum concentrations of human serum C-Reactive Protein (hsCRP), decreased insulin resistance, and an improved endothelial function score in comparison to the control diet. At the end of the study 40 patients in the intervention group still had MetS features, compared to 78 patients in the control group [73]. In a 5-year follow-up of the ATTICA study adherence to the Mediterranean diet decreased risk of development of CVD after adjustment of potential confounding factors [74].

Two large cohort studies (CARDIA and SUN) determined incident MetS in a cohort study based on Mediterranean adherence diet scores determined through a questionnaire. Both studies found a lower incidence of MetS amongst those with a higher Mediterranean diet score [65, 71]. However, no baseline demographics were given with the SUN study [65]. The CARDIA study specifically looked for correlations in the young adult population (18-30) by following them over a 25-year time period to determine CVD incidence [71].
Overall, these studies indicate a Mediterranean diet can decrease risk of MetS and CVD in those at-risk of developing these chronic diseases [72]. This dietary pattern can also help improve the metabolic health of those already diagnosed with MetS, especially if followed as a long-term dietary pattern[71].

**Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet**

The DASH diet was developed to help control mild elevations of blood pressure. It consists of alcohol moderation, sodium reduction, and increased consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables and low-fat dairy products [33]. After 6 months of adherence to the DASH diet, prevalence of the MetS significantly decreased (p<0.05) compared with the weight-reduction and control diets. At the end of the six months, there was a 65% prevalence of MetS in the DASH diet, 81% in the weight reducing, and 100% in the control group. The DASH diet also yielded favorable effects on blood pressure and blood lipids [9].

**Fruits and Vegetables**

The increased consumption of fruits and vegetables, as seen in both the Mediterranean style and DASH diet has also been researched to determine its impact in the gut microbiome. To determine if the macronutrients of a high fruit and vegetable diet affect the microbiome, the microbiota of 105 vegans and 144 vegetarians were analyzed at one time point in comparison to omnivore controls. When comparing vegans versus vegetarians there was no significant difference found in any of the measurements. On the other hand, vegetarians had significantly lower microbial counts of Bacteroides (p< 0.001) and Bifidobacterium (p< 0.001) compared to controls. Vegans compared to controls also had significantly lower Bacteroides and Bifidobacterium, but differed in having lower Enterobacteriaceae. The results indicated that the
vegan and vegetarian diet which is generally higher in carbohydrates and fiber could play a role in the gut microbial content [53].

Most studies conclude diet to be the main predictor of microbial content [66, 68]. A study of 10 human subjects found a shift in microbiota 24-hours following a dietary change, although this amount of time was not enough to change the dominant phyla [75]. Research suggests a diet composed of high amounts of fruits and vegetables can positively affect the metabolic and microbial content of individuals. This information can be further explored to determine how a dietary change focusing on fruit and vegetable intake can influence the clinical symptoms of MetS in the young adult population. This study will enroll young adults in a diet intervention focused on increasing fruit and vegetable intake to determine how it will further impact metabolic and gut microbiome health.
III. Methods

Research Design

Ethical approval was obtained from WVU Institutional Review Board and informed consent was collected from each subject prior to enrollment in the study. Young adults completed a diet intervention at West Virginia University (WVU) in the fall of 2016 (Clinical Trials Record NCT03115866). Recruitment occurred through word of mouth, flyers posted around campus, announcements in classrooms, and emails to the student body.

Eligibility criteria included the individuals to be free from chronic disease, have no diagnosis or treatment of a serious mental or behavioral disorder within the past year, and not be pregnant, no antibiotic use for the past six months, and be diagnosed with MetS. NCEP ATP III was used to diagnosis the participants, where they needed to have three of the following risk factors: waist circumference >102cm (men), >88cm (women), serum triglycerides >150mg/dl, serum HDL <40mg/dl (men) <50mg/dl (women), blood pressure ≥130/85mm Hg, and fasting blood glucose ≥ 100mg/dl [76]. Pre-screening first involved a phone interview, followed by an in-person anthropometric and finger stick blood screening. Participants were also required to agree to be compliant with the diet for 8-weeks. After consenting to the study, participants were required to adhere to the diet for 8-weeks.

Outcome Measures

Nutrition and Behavior

Clinical and nutrition history was obtained by a trained researcher. An in-person assessment and a 120-questionnaire survey were administered prior to beginning the 8-week diet intervention, and again at the end of the intervention. Data on sleep hours, stress, and diet were collected before and after the 8-week intervention using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index
(PSQI) [77], Cohen’s Perceived Stress Score [78], and the National Cancer Institute’s (NCI) Fruit and Vegetable Screener [79], respectively.

Physical activity was measured by participants wearing an accelerometer (ActiGraph GT3X, Actilife 6.0 Software, Pensacola, FL) around their waists for one week pre- and post-intervention. Specific instructions were provided based on manufacturer’s guidelines. Participants were required to wear the device for at least three days. Non-wear time was defined as ≥60 repeated minutes of zero activity counts. Average steps per day were calculated using age specific cut-points by Freedson et al. cut-points [80]. Participants were instructed to keep physical activity, stress, and sleep hours consistent throughout the study to ensure that the diet was the main intervention.

**Anthropometrics**

The following anthropometric measurements were taken by a trained researcher while the participant was wearing minimal, tight-fitting clothing, with no shoes. Weight was measured using a digital scale (SECA 874) and recorded to the nearest 0.1kg. All other measurements were taken twice, averaged, and recorded to the nearest 0.1cm. Height was measured using a Stadiometer (SECA 213) with the participant in a standing position with their shoulders relaxed and the subject facing forward, looking straight, and back against the wall. Waist circumference was measured at the narrowest point, and hip circumference was measured at the maximum level using a Gulick tape meter. Neck circumference was measured at the base of the Adam’s apple with the head facing forward. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated and expressed in kg/m². Body composition was taken using a BodPod (CosMed USA Inc., Chicago, IL).
Clinical

Ten-hour fasting blood samples were collected by venipuncture, allowed to coagulate at room temperature for 30 minutes and then centrifuged $3,400 \times g$ for 10 min at 4°C. Following this process, serum was decanted and stored at -80°C until analysis. Assays for a complete metabolic panel (triglycerides, high density lipoprotein, total cholesterol, glucose) with addition of Hemoglobin A1c and C-reactive protein (CRP) were performed by the WVU’s Ruby Memorial Hospital Clinical laboratory and measured by commercially available enzymatic reagents. Blood pressure was measured twice using an Omron digital blood pressure cuff with a two-minute interval between measurements after the subject sat up straight for five minutes with relaxed, un-crossed legs.

Stool Sample Collection and Processing

The Easy Sampler stool kits (ALPCO, Salem, New Hampshire) were used to collect fecal samples from each participant. The samples were frozen within 2 hours of collection, and stored at -80°C for later processing. A direct PCR approach was used to isolate DNA and amplify bacterial DNA for sequencing using the Extract-N-Amp Plant PCR kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc) as described [81]. DNA from fragments of fecal samples (100mg) were diluted 1/600 in RNAse DNase free water for PCR amplification.

The PCR primers for the V3 to V4 regions of the 16S ribosomal RNA were used as described [82]. Bacterial DNA was amplified on a Techne Genius Model FGEN02TP Thermal Cycler using the Extract-N-Amp kit following manufacturer’s instructions with the following modifications: PCR conditions were: 95°C for 6 minutes to denature; 95°C for 2 minutes, 50°C for 2 minutes, 72°C for 2 minutes for 30 cycles; 72°C for 4 minutes; 0.5 μM forward primer, 0.5 μM reverse primer and DNA in a total volume of 60 μL samples, amplified in triplicate, and
reaction products were pooled prior to purification. PCR products were purified with Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, IN) per manufacturer’s instructions [83].

Paired end sequencing was performed using an Illumina MiSeq (San Diego, CA) in the Genomics Core Facility at WVU and then paired ends were merged with FLASH (fast length Magoc and Slazberg Bioinformatics 27:21). Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME v1.9.1 [84] was used to split the libraries and pick open-reference taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% similarity. OTUs were first filtered by excluding those below a minimum threshold count of 500, then summed and normalized to relative abundance at all taxonomic levels [83]. Gut bacteria were then excluded if <17% of the samples had an abundance of <0.005. Sequence analysis was performed as described below.

**Diet Intervention**

Participants had to score in this compliance range for six of the eight intervention weeks. This was determined by an affirmative answer to the following question: “Are you willing and able to adhere to a nutritional intervention consisting of half your plate of fruits and vegetables for eight weeks?” Participants received a diet plan consisting of 50% fruit and vegetable intake and caloric recommendations based on weight maintenance, age, and physical activity in accordance with the USDA Dietary Guidelines for Americans. The recommended portions for each food group were calculated for each participant using USDA MyPlate prior to the start of the intervention from the computer software Nutritionist Pro™ (Axxya Systsems LLC, Redmond, WA). Each participant attended a 2-hour education session, led by a Registered Dietitian Nutritionist, prior to the start of the intervention to receive materials and tools to help achieve their personal diet recommendations.
During the intervention, participants were required to attend a weekly, 1-hour counseling session with a trained nutrition researcher. These counseling sessions utilized motivational interviewing techniques to encourage client-led goal setting. Participants provided daily food and activity logs, food receipts, and food pictures at this time. These were used to help researchers determine diet compliance and aid in developing strategies to improve their diets. Each week participants were asked, “Which day depicts the most common eating habits from the week?” The day reported was used to analyze food components for macronutrients, fiber, sugar, empty calorie, and fruit and vegetable consumption using Nutritionist Pro analysis software.

Compliance to the diet was based on participants consisting of at least 50% fruits and vegetables. Participants were asked, “Rate yourself on a scale of 0-100, how often did you maintain the diet?” The researcher would also rate the participant based on their dietary food log and counseling session. A combined and averaged score of 75 or higher, as well as the Nutritionist Pro report of 4-5 servings a day was determined to be diet compliant each week. At this point, exclusion from the analysis included any significant changes in sleep, stress, physical activity, medication changes, use of antibiotics or steroids, and non-compliance to the dietary recommendations.
**Statistical Analysis**

All continuous outcome distributions were examined for goodness-of-fit by Shapiro-Wilk W-test and when lack of normality was found, those variables were transformed. Benjamini-Hochberg was used to control type I error rate during multiple analyses, using false discovery rate at 10% for all analyses unless otherwise stated. All analyses were completed using JMP 13.0 (JMP, Version Pro 13.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, Copyright ©2016), SAS 9.3 (SAS, Version 9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, Copyright ©2002-2012), and R (R Core Team (2013), Vienna, Austria. URL: [http://www.R-project.org](http://www.R-project.org)). A p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant, and data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise stated.

**Diet and Anthropometrics**

Transformations were: log-transformation (fiber, sugar, CHO grams, fat grams, dietary cholesterol, monounsaturated fat, and polyunsaturated fat, CRP, LDL, total bilirubin, and ALT), or reciprocal square (AST). A repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess differences in weekly collected dietary data over the 8-week study. Week (referred to as intervention, accrued over the 8 weeks) was used as repeated effect (week 0-8) in the model. Dietary values were also tested with a specific contrast (week 0 vs. week 8, representing pre-intervention and post-intervention). Matched pairs t-test was used to determine differences in survey, clinical, and anthropometric measures between pre-intervention and post-intervention. Soluble, insoluble, and CRP data had unusual distributions difficult to normalize with transformations so a non-parametric matched test Wilcoxon Signed Rank test. An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was conducted to determine effect of sex and their interactions on dietary anthropometric and clinical variables.
Microbiome Changes

Changes in the microbiome from baseline to post intervention were assessed using a generalized linear model, DESeq2, where counts are modeled using a negative binomial distribution with a fitted mean, an OTU-specific dispersion parameter, and a Bonferroni adjustment.

Diet and Microbiome

An arcsine square root transformation of the microbiome abundance was used for the linear regression and statistical test modeling of diet, anthropometrics, and demographic variables. Relationships of microbial phyla and families with dietary and anthropometric variables were assessed using a stepwise multiple linear regression. Only dietary and anthropometric variables that changed significantly over the intervention were used in the model. Influential observations were examined using the studentized residuals, with values greater than two being eliminated from the particular model in one round of screening. Below are the equations used, and the order of statistical analysis.

1. Relationships of dietary and anthropometric measures with each microbial operational taxonomic unit (OTU) were initially screened by stepwise regression: (1)

   \[ p_{\text{OTU}_i} = \mu + \text{Dietary (D) and Anthropometric (A) variables} + \varepsilon \]

   where \( p_{\text{OTU}_i} \) is a proportion of specific OTU examined, \( \mu \) is the mean response, and \( \varepsilon \) represents random error terms.

2. Specific dietary and anthropometric factors found significant in primary regression analysis (1) for each OTU were entered into the secondary model to examine their effect and the effect of intervention (pre and post) and their interaction by ANCOVA:

   (2)

   \[ p_{\text{OTU}_i} = \mu + \text{D and A (from 1)} + \text{Intervention} + \text{D and A * Intervention} + \varepsilon \]
3. Microbial changes were then further assessed to determine if corresponding phyla, or family taxa were related to each other. This was completed in a stepwise regression done separately for phyla and family levels: (3)

\[ p_{OTU_i} = \mu + p_{OTU_{k-1}} + \epsilon, \] where \( p_{OTU_{k-1}} \) represents proportions of all other phyla or families.

4. The bacterial families with significantly related dietary or anthropometric factors were added into the model to determine if diet or the gut microbiome had greater impact on OTU change: (4)

\[ p_{OTU_i} = \mu + p_{OTU_{k-1}} \text{ (from 3)} + p_{OTU} \ast \text{intervention} + \epsilon \]

5. The dietary and anthropometric variables from (2) and the OTU from (4) were then entered into an ANCOVA model: (5)

\[ p_{OTU_i} = \mu + D \text{ and A (from 2)} + \text{Intervention} + D \text{ and A} \ast \text{Intervention} + p_{OTU} \text{ (from 3)} + p_{OTU} \ast \text{intervention} + \epsilon \]

**Power Analysis**

A post-hoc power analysis was completed for a one-sided, paired sample t-test with 15 participants. The variables used were fruit and vegetable intake, and number of MetS factors change from pre- to post-intervention. The fruit and vegetable intake change was computed to 66.5%, while the power of detecting change in the number of MetS factors was approaching 100%. Another strategy to determine power is by calculating effect size, or Cohen’s d. Using this technique fruit and vegetable intake was computed to 0.81, while number of MetS risk factors was 1.41, which are both indicative of large effect sizes.
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Abstract

**Background:** The primary objective of the FRUVEDomics study was to determine changes in the diet, gut microbiome, and cardiovascular health of young adults with/at-risk for MetS following a fruit and vegetable-based dietary intervention.

**Methods:** The 8-week dietary intervention adhered to the USDA Dietary Guidelines for Americans and focused on increasing fruit and vegetable intake to equal half of the diet. Seventeen eligible young adults with/or at high-risk of MetS, consented and completed pre- and post-intervention measurements, including anthropometric, body composition, cardiovascular, complete blood lipid panel, and collection of stool sample for microbial analysis. Participants also attended weekly consultations to assess food logs, food receipts, and adherence to the diet.

**Results:** Two participants were excluded from analysis due to medical or dietary non-compliance (n=15). Fruit and vegetable intake increased from 1.7 to 4.6 cups of fruits and vegetables (p<0.0001) Total fiber and insoluble fiber also increased and from 16.5 to 28 grams of fiber (p=0.05) and from 0.3 to 0.7 grams insoluble fiber (p<0.0001). Clinical results yielded an increase in diastolic blood pressure (p=0.03) and sodium (p=0.002) and a decrease in body fat percentage (p=0.04). Erysipelotrichaceae (phylum Firmicutes) decreased (log2 fold change: -1.78, p=0.01) and Caulobacteraceae (phylum Proteobacteria) increased (log2 fold change= 1.07, p= 0.01).

**Conclusion:** Implementing a free-living 8-week diet, with intensive education and accountability, gave young adults at high-risk for/or diagnosed with MetS the knowledge, skills, and feedback to improve diet. To yield greater clinical and gut microbiome changes a longer diet intervention may be needed in this population.
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1. Introduction

Individuals with Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) have variable combinations of at least three of the following five components—increased waist circumference, blood pressure, triglycerides, and blood glucose and low high-density lipoprotein (HDL). A MetS diagnosis indicates overall increased chronic disease burden throughout the lifespan [2], most specifically increasing rates of heart disease and diabetes [16, 31]. Unfortunately, MetS is often undiagnosed in the young adult population [17], missing the opportunities to implement treatment and prevention of future diseases [16].

Hypercholesterolemia, enteral inflammation, and other cardiovascular risk [6, 55] associated with MetS are related to a gut microbiome with low diversity [47]. The gut microbiome has been described as another organ with cells that communicate and are involved in energy distribution and storage [49]. The diversity and bacterial content of an individual’s gut microbiome is dependent on host genotype and age, as well as environmental factors, including diet composition [6]. Diet-induced modifications in the intestinal microbiome have been established in both human and animal models of obesity (reviewed in [4]). Diet is a modifiable risk factor [43] and an effective target for lifestyle interventions in young adults [44] to both improve gut microbiome health and decrease risk of MetS diagnosis.

Currently, many areas of the world are adopting the Western diet, which is high in total fat, saturated fat, and refined carbohydrate intake, but low in fruits, vegetables, and other plant-based foods, resulting in low dietary fiber, non-starchy polysaccharides, and resistant starch [85]. This diet contributes to increased inflammation and decreases gut microbiome diversity [60, 66, 68]. Diets high in fruits and vegetables that also increase fiber intake, for example, the Mediterranean [65, 69, 71-73] and Dietary Approach to Stop Hypertension (DASH) [9] diets, has been shown to decrease risk of MetS and improve the gut microbiome diversity [86]. Prevalence
of MetS decreased from 61.4% to 13.7% in a group of adults eating a Mediterranean diet for one year, [72]. Panunzio et al. observed similar improvements in MetS risk factors (lower body weight, C-reactive protein (CRP), and fasting insulin) in adults after 25 weeks of a Mediterranean diet [12]. Similarly, metabolic parameters improved in participants after an 8-week energy-restricted Mediterranean diet [25]. We previously reported our results of an 8-week diet focused on adopting the 2010 USDA Dietary Guidelines for Americans that improved metabolic and cardiovascular measures in young adults without MetS [87]. Altogether, these results indicate a simple intensive 8-week diet intervention can improve metabolic parameters. However, little research has determined whether a similar diet produces the same outcomes in a young adult population with high-risk and/or overt MetS.

Young adults are an optimal population to target for an education-based diet intervention [24] focused on improving dietary behaviors to reduce chronic disease risk [36]. Implementing dietary modifications can reduce long and short-term risk of coronary heart disease events [8], and improve individual health outcomes [16]. Diet-induced change in the intestinal microbiome can contribute to overall health by modulating immune mediated interactions and food metabolism [4] in the host. In this study, young adults at high-risk for and/or having MetS were recruited to determine the behavioral and gut microbial changes that would occur given a dietary intervention focused on the MyPlate guideline to increase fruits and vegetables to half of their dietary intake. The primary research hypothesis was that the educational intervention design would increase fruit and vegetable intake in young adults. The secondary hypothesis was that the improvements in dietary habits would improve metabolic and gut microbiome health.

2. Methods
2.1 Research Design

Ethical approval was obtained from the West Virginia University (WVU) Institutional Review Board and informed consent was collected from each subject prior to enrollment in the study. Young adults completed the diet intervention at WVU in the fall of 2016 (Clinical Trials Record NCT03115866). Recruitment occurred through word of mouth, flyers posted around campus, announcements in classrooms, and emails to the student body.

Eligibility criteria included the individuals to be free from chronic disease, have no diagnosis or treatment of a serious mental or behavioral disorder within the past year, not be pregnant, no antibiotic use for the past six months, and be diagnosed with MetS at the in-person screening. The National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel (NCEP ATP) III MetS diagnosis criteria was used to diagnose the participants, where subjects needed to have three of the following risk factors: waist circumference >102cm (men), >88cm (women), serum triglycerides >150mg/dl, serum HDL <40mg/dl (men), <50mg/dl (women), blood pressure ≥130/85mm Hg, and fasting blood glucose ≥100mg/dl [76]. Pre-screening first involved a phone interview, followed by an in-person anthropometric assessment and blood test confirmation of MetS components. After consent was obtained participants were scheduled for a pre-intervention assessment to determine baseline measures.

2.3 Diet Intervention

Participants were expected to be willing to adhere to a diet of 50% fruit and vegetable intake (4-5 daily servings) and caloric recommendations based on weight maintenance, age, and physical activity in accordance with the USDA Dietary Guidelines for Americans for 8-weeks. This was determined by an affirmative answer to the following question: “Are you willing and able to adhere to a nutritional intervention consisting of half your plate fruits and vegetables for
eight weeks?” The recommended portions for each food group were calculated for each participant using USDA MyPlate prior to the start of the intervention from the computer software Nutritionist Pro™ (Axxya Systsems LLC, Redmond, WA). Each participant attended a 2-hour education session prior to the start of the intervention to receive materials and tools to help achieve their personal diet recommendations.

During the intervention, participants were required to attend weekly, 1-hour counseling sessions with a trained nutrition researcher. These counseling sessions utilized motivational interviewing techniques to encourage client-led goal setting. Participants provided daily food and activity logs, food receipts, and food pictures at this time. These were used to determine diet compliance and aid in developing strategies to improve their diets. Each week participants were asked, “Which day depicts the most common eating habits from the week?” The day reported was used to analyze food components for macronutrients, fiber, sugar, empty calorie, and fruit and vegetable consumption using the Nutritionist Pro analysis software. During these weekly sessions participants also received financial compensation, totaling $250 for participating in the study.

Compliance with the diet was based on participants consuming at least 50% fruits and vegetables, or 4-5 daily servings. Participants were asked, “Rate yourself on a scale of 0-100, how often did you maintain the diet?” The researcher would also rate the participant based on their dietary food log and counseling session. A combined and averaged score of 75 or higher, as well as the Nutritionist Pro report of 4-5 fruit and vegetable servings a day was determined to be diet compliant each week. Participants had to score in this compliance range for six of the eight intervention weeks. Participants with any significant changes in sleep, stress, physical activity,
medication changes, use of antibiotics or steroids, and non-compliance to the dietary recommendations were also excluded from the analysis.

2.2 **Outcome Measures**

2.3.1 **Nutrition and Behavior**

Clinical and nutrition history as well as an in-person assessment was obtained by a trained researcher. A 120-questionnaire survey was distributed to participants prior to beginning the 8-week diet intervention, and again at the end of the intervention. Data on sleep hours and stress were collected as part of the survey using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index [77] and the Cohen’s Perceived Stress Score [78].

Physical activity was measured by participants wearing an accelerometer (ActiGraph GT3X, Actilife 6.0 Software, Pensacola, FL) around their waist for one week pre- and post-intervention. Instructions were provided based on manufacturer’s guidelines and participants were required to wear the device for at least three days. Non-wear time was defined as $\geq 60$ repeated minutes of zero activity counts. Average steps per day were calculated using age specific cut-points by Freedson et al. [80]. Participants were instructed to keep physical activity, stress, and sleep hours consistent throughout the study to ensure that the diet was the main intervention.

2.3.2 **Anthropometrics and Cardiovascular Measurements**

Anthropometric measurements were taken by a trained researcher while the participant was wearing minimal, tight-fitting clothing, with no shoes. Weight was measured using a digital scale (SECA 874) and recorded to the nearest 0.1kg. All other measurements were taken twice, averaged, and recorded to the nearest 0.1cm. Height was measured using a Stadiometer (SECA 213) with the participant in a standing position with shoulders relaxed and the subject facing
forward, looking straight, with back against the wall. Waist circumference was measured at the narrowest point, and hip circumference was measured at the maximum level using a Gulick tape meter. Neck circumference was measured at the base of the Adam’s apple with the head facing forward. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated and expressed in kg/m$^2$ and body composition was taken using a BodPod (CosMed USA Inc., Chicago, IL).

Brachial (b) systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP and DBP) were measured with an automated, oscillometric sphygmomanometer (Critikon Dinamap Compact BP monitor, GE Medical Tampa, FL), and pulse pressure (PP) was calculated from systolic bSBP-bDBP. Pulse wave analysis was performed noninvasively on the radial artery (SphygmoCor System, ATCor Medical, Sydney, NSW, Australia). All measurements were made in triplicate and the mean values were used for subsequent analysis. The SphygmoCor system synthesizes a central (ascending aortic) pressure wave form from the radial pressure wave form that does not differ from that of an intra-arterially recorded wave [88] using a validated generalized transfer function [89] that has good reproducibility under major hemodynamic changes [90]. Pulse wave velocity (PWV) is measured using the same tonometry unit, alternating the placement of the probe on the carotid and femoral (cf) pulse. Velocity is determined by the difference in arrival time of the pulse wave between sites. In the supine position, B-mode ultrasound (GE Vivid i) 2-D images of the right common carotid artery were obtained 1-2 cm proximal to the carotid bifurcation to measure maximal lumen diameter, and intima medial thickness (cIMT) following standard procedures [91]. Cross-sectional area of the carotid artery was calculated as \[\frac{(\text{maximal lumen diameter}/2)^2 \times \pi}{\left(\frac{\text{maximal lumen diameter}}{2} - \text{IMT}\right)^2 \times \pi}\]. The wall-to-lumen ratio (W/L) of the right CCA was calculated as \(2 \times \text{IMT}/\text{lumen diameter in diastole}\) [92]. A blinded expert then assessed the quality for each measure to make the determination if the value was valid.
2.3.3 Blood and Stool Sample Collection/Processing

Fasting blood was collected by venipuncture prior to the intervention, during, and after the 8-week intervention. Samples were analyzed by Ruby Memorial Hospital Clinical laboratory for basic chemistry analysis, complete lipid panel (i.e., cholesterol, HDL, low density lipoprotein (LDL)) and selected endocrine analysis (i.e., insulin, high-sensitive C-reactive protein). We report data collected pre (week 0) and post (week 8) intervention.

The Easy Sampler stool kits (ALPCO, Salem, New Hampshire) were used to collect fecal samples from each participant. The samples were frozen within 2 hours of collection, and stored at -80° C for later processing. A direct polymerase chain reaction (PCR) approach was used on isolated stool DNA to amplify bacterial DNA for sequencing using the Extract-N-Amp Plant PCR kit (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc) as previously described [81]. In short, DNA from fragments of fecal samples (100 mg) was diluted 1/600 in RNAse DNase free water for PCR amplification. The PCR primers for the V3 to V4 regions of the 16S ribosomal RNA were used as described [93]. Bacterial DNA was amplified on a Techne Genius Model FGEN02TP Thermal Cycler using the Extract-N-Amp kit following manufacturer’s instructions with the following modifications: The PCR conditions were: 95° C for 6 minutes to denature; 95° C for 2 minutes, 50° C for 2 minutes, 72° C for 2 minutes for 30 cycles; 72° C for 4 minutes; 0.5 µM forward primer, 0.5 µM reverse primer and DNA in a total volume of 60 µL samples, amplified in triplicate, and reaction products were pooled prior to purification. PCR products were purified with Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, IN) per manufacturer’s instructions [83].

Paired end sequencing was performed using an Illumina MiSeq (San Diego, CA) in the Genomics Core Facility at WVU and then paired ends were merged with FLASH (fast length
Magoc and Slazberg Bioinformatics 27:21). Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME v1.9.1 http://qiime.org) [84] was used to split the libraries and pick open-reference taxonomic units (OTUs) at 97% similarity. OTUs were first filtered by excluding those below a minimum threshold count of 500.

3. Statistical Analyses

All continuous outcome distributions were examined for goodness-of-fit by the Shapiro-Wilk W-test. When lack of normality was found, those variables were transformed. All analyses were completed using JMP 13.0 (JMP, Version Pro 13.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, Copyright ©2016), SAS 9.3 (SAS, Version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, Copyright ©2002-2012), and R (R Core Team (2013), Vienna, Austria. URL: http://www.R-project.org). A p-value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered significant, and data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise noted. Benjamini-Hochberg was used to control type I error rate during multiple analyses (more than 20 items), using false discovery rate at 10%.

3.1 Diet and Anthropometrics

Log-transformation was used for fiber, sugar, carbohydrate grams, fat grams, dietary cholesterol, monounsaturated fat, polyunsaturated fat, CRP, and LDL. A repeated measures analysis of variance (rANOVA) was used to assess differences in dietary data collected weekly over the 8-week study. Week (referred to as intervention, accrued over the 8 weeks) was used as repeated effect (week 0-8) in the model. Dietary values were also tested with a specific contrast (week 0 vs. week 8, representing pre-intervention and post-intervention). Matched pairs t-test was used to determine differences in survey, clinical, and anthropometric measures between pre-intervention and post-intervention. Soluble, insoluble, and CRP data had unusual distributions
difficult to normalize with transformations. Soluble and insoluble fiber were analyzed using Mantel-Haenszel for nonparametric repeated measures [94]. CRP analysis utilized the Wilcoxon Signed Rank test which is a non-parametric matched pairs test.

3.2 Microbiome Changes

Changes in the microbiome from pre- to post-intervention were assessed using a generalized linear model, DESeq2, where counts are modeled using a negative binomial distribution with a fitted mean, an OTU-specific dispersion parameter, and a Bonferroni adjustment.

3.3 Diet and Microbiome

An arcsine square root transformation of the microbiome abundance was used for the linear regression and statistical test modeling of diet, anthropometrics, and demographic variables. Gut bacteria was excluded from this analysis if <17% of the samples had an abundance of <0.005 with that particular OTU (this filtering method has been used in other studies [95]). Relationships of microbial phyla and families with dietary and anthropometric variables that changed with a p-value ≤0.05 were assessed using a stepwise multiple linear regression to determine how dietary changes were influencing the gut microbiome. Influential observations were examined by studentized residuals, with values greater than two being eliminated from the particular model in one round of screening. Below are the general equations used, and the order of statistical analyses.

1. Relationships of dietary and anthropometric measures with each microbial operational taxonomic unit (OTU) were initially screened by stepwise regression:

\[ p_{\text{OTU}_i} = \mu + \text{Dietary (D) and Anthropometric (A) variables} + \varepsilon \]  

(1)
where $p_{\text{OTU}_i}$ is a proportion of specific OTU examined, $\mu$ is the mean response, and $\epsilon$ represents random error terms.

2. Specific dietary and anthropometric factors found significant in primary regression analysis (1) for each OTU were entered into the secondary model to examine their effect and the effect of intervention (pre and post) and their interaction by analysis of covariance ANCOVA:

$$p_{\text{OTU}_i} = \mu + D \text{ and } A (\text{from } 1) + \text{Intervention} + D \text{ and } A \times \text{Intervention} + \epsilon$$

(2)

where $D$ and $A \times \text{Intervention}$ represent the interactions of specific dietary variable ($D$) with intervention and of specific anthropometric ($A$) variable with intervention.

3.4 Power Analysis

A post-hoc power analysis was completed for a one-sided, paired sample t-test with 15 participants using the data from the current study to determine the statistical power for the fruit and vegetable intake (from food log dietary analysis), and number of MetS risk factors changed throughout the intervention. The power for fruit and vegetable intake change was computed to 66.5%, while the power of detecting change in the number of MetS risk factors from screening to post-intervention was approaching 100%. The effect size, calculated by Cohen’s $d$ for fruit and vegetable intake was 0.81, while number of MetS risk factors was 1.41, which are both suitable effect sizes [96].
4. Results

4.1 Diet and Clinical

Two participants were excluded from analysis due to dietary and medication non-compliance (i.e. antibiotic use), resulting in 15 participants included in the final analysis (Figure 1). Most of the participants identified as Caucasian (73.3%), female (60%) and being from Appalachia (60%), with an average age of 21.9 ± 3.1 years old. Average BMI identified participants in the morbid obesity class II (37.8 ± 5.4), and the average hemoglobin A1C was within normal limits (5.3 ± 0.3) (Table 1). As anticipated, there were no significant changes in sleep, stress, and physical activity throughout the intervention (Table 2). There was a significant increase in fruit and vegetable (pre-intervention: 1.7 ± 1.5 servings; post-intervention 5.8 ± 7.2, p<0.0001), total fiber (pre-intervention: 16.5 ± 13.6 grams, total fiber (pre-intervention 16.5 ± 13.6 grams; post-intervention 28.7 ±17.5 grams), and insoluble fiber intake (pre-intervention: 1.1 ± 1.9 grams; post-intervention 4.6 ± 1.5, p<0.0001) during the 8-week intervention. Similarly, considering pre (week 0) and post (week 8) intervention (matched pairs t-test), number of fruit and vegetable cups (p<0.0001) as well as total fiber (p=0.003), and insoluble fiber (p=0.015) increased, while empty calorie intake decreased (p=0.039). We also noted a 3% increase in percent protein (p=0.101), and a 7% decrease in fat percent (p=0.056) intake, though these values did not result in statistical significance (Table 3 and Figures 2A-D).

The MetS components in the NCEP ATP III guidelines [8] at screening, (Table 4) were as follows (most to least prevalent): increased waist circumference (100% of participants), low HDL (86.7%), high serum triglycerides (73.3%), high fasting blood glucose (46.7%), and high blood pressure (13.3%). At the in-person screening, 13 individuals qualified for MetS with three risk factors, and two with either four or five risk factors. Within the next two weeks when pre-
intervention measures were taken, two individuals demonstrated three risk factors, nine had two risk factors, and four had one risk factor; none had more than three of the MetS components.

Eight weeks later, at post-assessment, three participants had three risk factors, five with two risk factors, and six with one risk factor. Overall, the number of MetS components decreased from screening (3.2 ± 0.6 per person) to pre- (1.9 ± 0.6 per person) to post-intervention (1.7 ± 0.8 per person), though this was not significant from pre- to post-intervention (p=0.43). Clinical measures (Table 5) indicated an increase in sodium (p=0.0018) pre- to post-intervention. We also noted a 3% decrease in body fat percentage (p=0.04), though this was not statistically significant. Participant arterial function was assessed (Table 5), and ten individuals were found to have valid measurements (per expert blinded review) to be used in the analysis with no significantly different measures.

4.1 Microbiome

Of the 15 participants used for analysis in the diet and clinical variables, three of them did not have pre and post stool samples; thus the microbiome analysis included 12 participants. Bacteriodetes and Firmicutes phyla were the most prevalent (Figure 3). Six main families of Bacterioidetes were identified (Bacteriodaceae, Paraprevotellaceae, Porphyromonadaceae, Prevotellaceae, Rikenellaceae, and Barnesiellaceae). Additionally, eight main families of bacteria in the Firmicutes were identified (Clostridiales cluster, Clostridiaceae, Erysipelotrichaceae, Lachnospiraceae, Planococcaceae, Ruminococcaceae, Streptococcaceae, and Veillonellaceae). This was followed by Actinobacteria (with 2 families; Coriobacteriaceae and Bifidobacteriaceae), Proteobacteria (6 families; Caulobacteriaceae, Desulfovibrionaceae, Pasteurellaceae, Sphingomonadaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, and Alcaligenaceae), Verrucomicrobia (1 family; Verrucomicrobiaceae), and an unassigned bacteria. No changes were
detected in the microbiomes when comparing samples from pre- and post-intervention at the phylum level. There were significant changes from pre to post intervention in two groups at the family level. Erysipelotrichaceae (phylum Firmicutes) decreased (log2 fold change: -1.78, p=0.01) and Caulobacteraceae (phylum Proteobacteria) increased (log2 fold change= 1.07, p= 0.01).

Results of stepwise regression (1) and ANCOVA (2) indicate (Table 6) three families of Firmicutes were associated with dietary and clinical variables. As Ruminococcaceae increased, soluble fiber decreased (p=0.002). Decreasing calories from dietary fat was associated with decreasing Lachnospiraceae (p=0.028). Increasing Clostridiaceae corresponded to increasing diastolic blood pressure (p=0.029). One family of Bacteroidetes (Prevotellaceae) significantly changed through the intervention (p=0.048), though this was likely explained by two outliers.

Interaction of time (intervention) and dietary variables affecting OTU were observed in the phyla Actinobacteria (family Coriobacteraceae) and Firmicutes (Clostridiales cluster). Actinobacteria, with its most represented family taxa, Coriobacteraceae, decreased as percent dietary fat intake (p=0.033) decreased (Figure 4). As average dietary fat decreased from 35.2% to 28.2% (20% decrease), Coriobacteraceae decreased by 2/3 at the end of the intervention. Likewise, Clostridiales cluster decreased as empty calorie intake decreased through the dietary intervention (p= 0.008) (Figure 5). A 36% decrease in empty calories (930 to 595 kcals) was related to a ¾ decrease in the Clostridiales cluster at the end of the 8-weeks. Proportion of family Prevotellaceae from phylum Bacteroidetes was affected more by dietary intervention in time (p=0.05) than by body fat (p=0.18), with a trend toward significant interaction (p=0.06), although this relationship was likely due to a couple of influential observations (data not shown).
5. Discussion

5.1 Diet Intervention

This study was designed to increase the fruit and vegetable intake of young adults at high-risk for/or having MetS, and then determine how the dietary changes impacted participants’ metabolic measures and gut microbiome composition. The cohort significantly increased fruit and vegetable intake from 1.7 cups per day at pre-intervention, which is average for this age group [21], to 4.6 cups near the recommended 5 cups every day. By the end of the intervention, average total fiber and fat percent intake also fell within recommended limits (25-30 grams of fiber, and <30% fat intake [45]).

These dietary improvements indicate this free-living, education-based, motivational intervention design was effective in accomplishing the primary objective of increased fruit and vegetable intake in this population. Previously, in a meta-regression of 122 young adult diet interventions it was determined that the most effective interventions focused on a behavior change approach to help participants self-monitor [97] and remain motivated [98]. This study implemented those concepts in the design through motivational interviewing counseling, daily tracking of food, weekly goal setting, and screening participants to ensure they were motivated at the beginning of the study to begin dietary changes to improve metabolic health.

The MetS components this cohort exhibited at baseline varied slightly in prevalence from a previously reported pooled analysis of 34 studies on young adults with MetS [2]. Abdominal obesity, prevalent at pre- and post-intervention, and elevated fasting glucose and triglycerides, prevalent at screening, were found at higher rates in this cohort. All 15 participants qualified for MetS at the in-person screening and consent; however, only two participants met the diagnostic criteria for MetS at the time of pre-intervention measures which occurred up to
two weeks later. This could be explained by the Hawthorne Effect in research on human behavior [99]. This phenomenon indicates that discussion of the intervention with individuals diagnosed with a negative health outcome (such as MetS during the screening appointment) [100], can inherently cause participants to change behavior because they know they are being observed [101]. Although we sought to prevent this potential effect by instructing participants to not begin any lifestyle changes until the official start date of the study, there were, nevertheless, changes in MetS components from screening to pre-intervention indicating the Hawthorne Effect could have influenced participants’ behavior. Alternatively, since the risk factor that declined the most from screening and consent to pre-intervention were glucose and triglyceride levels, it could also be that individuals did not fast for as long as instructed to prior to the screening appointment.

Although number of MetS components varied at the pre-assessment, participants’ were still included in the study because MetS qualification at screening was the study entry criteria and it was not anticipated that clinical components would change between these two time points. This cohort did all have at least one MetS component which indicates they are at an increased risk of Mets diagnosis. There was an overall decrease in total number of MetS risk factors. On the other hand, sodium increased, though according to clinical guidelines it was still within the normal range [102]. Therefore, in looking at the secondary objective of our study there were some clinical improvements in participants, but not as many as expected based on prior research. One possible explanations for the lack of improvement in clinical outcomes is that a longer intervention may be needed in a group of individuals with overt MetS in comparison to a relatively healthy group. Similarly, Honrath et al. implemented a 10-week nutrition education
intervention to increase fruit and vegetable intake and did not see significant improvements in anthropometric measurements- weight, BMI, and body fat percentage [98].

In this study, only fruit and vegetable intake and total and insoluble fiber significantly improved. This supported the primary objective/hypothesis of the study yet other studies that have yielded positive changes in metabolic health demonstrated improvements in other areas of the diet. The previously reported cohort did experience significant metabolic improvements with the additional dietary changes focusing on increased complex carbohydrates and decreased fat [87]. It may require a diet focused on more than one simple change to work synergistically and result in metabolic improvements. For example, in a 12-week study of 417 weight stable Europeans with MetS it was found that an isocaloric diet reducing only saturated fat had no effect on insulin sensitivity, or any of the five components of MetS. The only improvement in metabolic health occurred in the group with low fat, high complex carbohydrates, and supplemented long chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids [103]. A 10-12 week study by Klein et al., whose participants also had a decrease in body fat with equal caloric intake, found that these changes did not significantly alter insulin sensitivity, blood pressure, CRP, or any other inflammatory markers [104]. Overall, it may take a diet that is focused on several dietary improvements and a negative energy balance to result in weight loss and the desired metabolic improvements in this population [105].

5.2 Microbiome

The gut microbiome can be affected by weight status [4, 6, 58, 59] and risk factors associated with MetS diagnosis [6, 55]. Among relationships with diet and disease, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes are among the most commonly identified and studied in lean and obese
individuals [59]. As expected, the current cohort’s fecal microbiomes consisted mainly of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. There was little change overall from pre- to post-assessment in the fecal microbiome. However, significant changes at the family level were a decrease of Erysipelotrichaceae (phylum Firmicutes) and an increase in Caulobacteraceae (phylum Proteobacteria). Other researchers have found a positive association between Erysipelotrichaceae and the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1β [106], dietary fat intake [107] and obesity [108].

The concurrent decrease in this family, dietary fat intake, and body fat percentage (though these weren’t significant) in the current study population aligns with this prior research.

Caulobacteraceae is a family of bacteria in the Proteobacteria phylum. Increased Proteobacteria has been associated with obesity and dysbiosis (reviewed in [109]). Caulobacteraceae have not been studied in detail in intestinal dysbiosis in humans, however an increase in Caulobacteraceae abundance was found in piglets fed lysine-restricted diets, resulting in decreased expression of lysine transporters, decreased expression of leptin in the blood, and decreased levels of ghrelin and CCK mRNA in the jejunum, all of which was associated with increased feed intake [110]. Projecting those findings to our study population, an increase in Caulobacteraceae could potentially contribute to physiologic responses that decrease satiety in the participants undergoing dietary intervention, which could be problematic for maintaining the diet long-term, and possibly contribute to failures in the interventional approach.

Along with few changes in metabolic outcomes, study length, the dietary variable changes, and the relatively small number of participants in the study could be the reason why little changes were seen in the gut microbiota. Mohan et al. found that gluten-sensitive monkeys, when switched to a gluten-free diet took longer than the 70-day study period for their gut microbiome to shift to that of a healthy microbiome- though the gut microbiome was showing
some improvements [111]. The 60-day length of dietary intervention in a free-living environment in this study may have not been sufficient time for significant changes. Similarly, in a 12-week intervention with obese individuals Cotillard et al. found significant improvements in body fat mass, adipocyte diameter, microbial gene richness, and biomarkers of insulin sensitivity, inflammation, and metabolism only when participants changed to an energy restricted diet for an for the last 6-weeks of the study [112].

Two relationships between decreases in dietary components and decreases in the abundance of certain microbial populations were identified: 1) dietary fat and Coriobacteraceae (Actinobacteria phylum) and 2) empty calories and Clostridiales cluster (Firmicutes phylum). In either case the decrease in the dietary variable resulted in at least a two-fold change in the bacterial family. Empty calories originate from solid fats, added sugars, and any alcohol in excess of 13g/1000kcals [113]. Firmicutes (the phyla level of Clostridiales cluster) have also been found to increase as diets high in fat and sugar (empty calories) similar to the Western diet pattern increase [60, 85, 114]. Although the abundance of all Firmicutes was not different after the intervention, a major family within this phylum was affected by decreased empty calories consumed during the intervention.

5.6 Study Limitations

There are limitations in this study. First, with data from only 15 participants in the study and 12 used in the microbiome analysis, this can limit the power needed to detect differences in dietary, behavioral, metabolic, and microbial health. However, we would note that, we used a repeated measures design so that each subject served as their own control. This has the advantage of limiting treatment variability outcomes and improving statistical power with small population cohorts (compared to studies only using between subject comparisons). Additionally we had
limited attrition once subjects were consented which we believe is attributed to our attention to the stages of change that participants were in when screened for study eligibility. This, along with weekly counseling may have increased the likelihood of participants being able to complete the study requirements, and likely contributed to our high (15/17=88%) successful study completion.

Another concern is the study length of 8-weeks. While this has been enough time to yield metabolic and microbial improvements in other studies [25], and in our previous study using a similar 8-week diet intervention [87], this cohort (with risk of MetS) did not see as many desired metabolic improvements suggesting that as disease burden increases that longer diet interventions may be needed. Lengthening the study period may help give participants with lower baseline diet quality the extra time needed to improve their diet in a free-living intervention, which more closely resembles peoples’ lives and struggles. Lastly, the study participants were all largely white, and thus future research should be expanded to include more diversity and geographic locations before results can be generalized to the young adult population as rates of MetS vary in different ethnic groups [115].

5.7 Conclusion

The average young adult college student eats only one serving of fruits and vegetables daily, which is contrary to the recommended five cups a day for the prevention of chronic diseases. In this study, a monitored, free-living, diet intervention was found to be effective in increasing fruit and vegetable intake, and total fiber, while decreasing empty calories in young adults with/or high risk for MetS. This resulted in decreased total number of MetS risk factors and body fat while decreasing Erysipelotrichaceae and increasing Caulobacteraceae. Further
research is needed to determine if a longer intervention using this diet will produce more success in improving metabolic and gut microbiome health. Additionally, inclusion and specific focus on other dietary components such as decreased dietary fat (especially saturated fat) and increased complex carbohydrates (especially foods rich in soluble fiber), will be needed to promote greater microbiome diversity and maximize changes in metabolic and cardiovascular health.
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V. Conclusion

The average young adult college student eats one serving of fruits and vegetables daily, which is contrary to what is recommended for the prevention of chronic diseases. The main purpose of the FRUVEDomics study was to determine if a free-living, education-based diet intervention could improve fruit and vegetable intake, and if this dietary change would result in metabolic and gut microbiome changes. In this study of young adults with MetS, a diet intervention was found to be effective in improving some dietary components. This did attribute to some changes in clinical and gut microbiome measurements. For more participants to achieve better metabolic and gut microbial health further research should investigate this diet in a larger, more diverse, cohort, with a longer diet intervention. Employing these strategies will give individuals more time to adjust to the behavior changes so their body can reap the benefits of the improved behaviors through better metabolic health, and decreased risk of chronic diseases later in life.

Future Implications

Young adults (18-30 years old) are expected to have increasing responsibility and autonomy in decisions and lifestyle behaviors, such as diet. Implementing an effective intervention for this population includes giving them the knowledge and skills to help young adults transition to making healthy choices with better long-term health consequences. This study design did result in significant dietary improvements. However, some things should be taken into consideration to help further drive this research.

First, this intervention focused mainly on improving fruit and vegetable intake. It may take a dietary intervention that focuses on several dietary components to see metabolic and gut microbiome changes. For example, other studies have shown positive results after increasing
complex carbohydrates and decreasing fat intake. Another consideration for this population is that their baseline dietary quality may be less healthy, and take longer to improve in a free-living diet intervention. To account for these limitations researchers could employ several different strategies outlined below:

- Use the same study design, but have a longer diet intervention so individuals have a longer time to adjust to the dietary changes.
- Include a more intense diet intervention that focuses on improving more dietary components than just fruit and vegetable intake such as decreased fat intake or increased complex carbohydrates.
- Have tighter restrictions when it comes to monitoring diet compliance. For example, require that participants meet certain guidelines in several dietary components each week.

It is very important to continue research in this young adult population since it is often understudied and is a transitional period where many health behavior habits begin as the individual transitions to life as an adult. As research in this area progresses then more public health interventions can be implemented to help improve the overall quality of life.
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Appendices

Appendix A: Tables

Table 1: Demographic and health related characteristics of the study population.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total n=17 (%)</th>
<th>Included ( ^* ) n=15 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>22.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>21.9 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>6 (35.3)</td>
<td>6 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>13 (76.5)</td>
<td>11 (73.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>3 (17.6)</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1 (5.9)</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>37.95 ± 5.04</td>
<td>37.8 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>36.52 ± 4.5</td>
<td>36.80 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>38.73 ± 5.3</td>
<td>38.60 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin A1C (%) (mean ± SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Appalachia (%)</td>
<td>9 (52.9)</td>
<td>9 (60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^* \)Two participants were excluded due to dietary and medication non-compliance.
### Table 2: Sleep, physical activity, and stress mean and standard deviation (n=15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Pre-intervention (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Post-intervention (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Intervention Effect (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hours of Sleep</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>7.98 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ActiGraph Accelerometer – Steps&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7074 ± 2355</td>
<td>7322 ± 2594</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen’s Perceived Stress Score</td>
<td>26.6 ± 5.0</td>
<td>27.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Self-reported behavioral measurements were collected from all individuals at baseline and post intervention via survey and analyzed by matched pairs t-test. <sup>b</sup>Data represent only 8/15 participants due to technology issues to determine the amount of change in steps.
Table 3: Intervention effects on daily dietary factors across the duration of the study on compliant individuals (n=15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary Factor</th>
<th>Pre-intervention (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Post-intervention (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Diet Effect (p-value)</th>
<th>Week 0 vs. Week 8 (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kilocalories</td>
<td>2252 ± 1299</td>
<td>1935 ± 805</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (%)</td>
<td>49.7 ± 16.0</td>
<td>53.3 ± 10.6</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>35.27 ± 12.2</td>
<td>28.2 ± 8.5</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%)</td>
<td>15.2 ± 6.3</td>
<td>18.5 ± 5.5</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiber (grams)</td>
<td>16.5 ± 13.6</td>
<td>28.7 ± 17.5</td>
<td>0.054*</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble Fiber (grams)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>5.8 ± 7.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001a*</td>
<td>0.015b*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble Fiber (grams)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.196a</td>
<td>0.107b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Sugar (grams)</td>
<td>93.9 ± 71.8</td>
<td>98.3 ± 58.9</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empty Calories</td>
<td>964.3 ± 689.4</td>
<td>627.1 ± 449.8</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>0.039*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monounsaturated Fat (grams)</td>
<td>26.1 ± 26.2</td>
<td>20.1 ± 13.6</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyunsaturated Fat (grams)</td>
<td>13.5 ± 15.6</td>
<td>11.9 ± 10.1</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated Fat (grams)</td>
<td>29.4 ± 21.2</td>
<td>19.3 ± 8.1</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg)</td>
<td>266.6 ± 287.8</td>
<td>205 ± 147.2</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit &amp; Vegetables (cups)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeated measures ANOVA with main effects of cohort and intervention and their interaction was completed on weekly measures (week 0-8), however only pre-intervention and post-intervention means ± standard deviation are reported in the table. A specific contrast between week 0 and week 8 is also reported in this table. *Denotes significance with an alpha 0.05. aMantel-Haenszel was used for nonparametric repeated measures. bNon-parametric Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was used for these values. cThese values were not significant after Benjamini Hochburg test using a false discovery rate of 10%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Screening (%)</th>
<th>Pre-intervention (%)</th>
<th>Post- intervention (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waist Circumference</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
<td>9 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum HDL</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7 (77.8)</td>
<td>6 (66.7)</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting Serum Triglycerides</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting Blood Glucose</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Pressure</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number</td>
<td>Total (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>48 (3.2 ± 0.6)</td>
<td>28 (1.9 ± 0.6)</td>
<td>26 (1.7 ± 0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: MetS, Metabolic Syndrome; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

Number and percentage of individuals meeting the following MetS criteria: 
- Waist Circumference >102cm (men), >88cm (women);
- Serum HDL <40mg/dl (men), <50mg/dl (women);
- Fasting Blood Glucose (women) ≥ 100mg/dl;
- Fasting Serum Triglycerides ≥ 150mg/dl;
- Blood Pressure ≥ 130/85mmHg.
Table 5: Intervention effects on clinical measures at pre- and post-intervention on compliant individuals (n=15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Pre Intervention (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Post Intervention (Mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Diet Effect (p-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthropometrics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>110.3 ± 20.0</td>
<td>110.6 ± 20.1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist Circumference (cm)</td>
<td>108.4 ± 9.1</td>
<td>107.2 ± 8.5</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip Circumference (cm)</td>
<td>122.8 ± 12.5</td>
<td>121.5 ± 11.1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck Circumference (cm)</td>
<td>40.6 ± 2.9</td>
<td>40.1 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fat (%)</td>
<td>43.5 ± 7.7</td>
<td>40.2 ± 8.6</td>
<td>0.04&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arterial Function&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>112 ± 10</td>
<td>117 ± 9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>68 ± 8</td>
<td>73 ± 6</td>
<td>0.03&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWVcf (m/s)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation Pressure</td>
<td>2.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation Index</td>
<td>8.9 ± 12.0</td>
<td>5.8 ± 9.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation Index @ 75 HR</td>
<td>7.0 ± 9.8</td>
<td>2.9 ± 8.1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intima Media Thickness</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood Measures</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>137.3 ± 1.7</td>
<td>139.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.0018*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>89.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>89.2 ± 6.3</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (uLU/mL)</td>
<td>19.5 ± 10.1</td>
<td>19.5 ± 14.3</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>171.0 ± 30.0</td>
<td>175.1 ± 28.5</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>45.1 ± 11.4</td>
<td>43.9 ± 10.9</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>98.4 ± 23.6</td>
<td>105.3 ± 22.2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>137.0 ± 81.9</td>
<td>129.9 ± 92.2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/dL)</td>
<td>10.2 ± 11.6</td>
<td>10.6 ± 12.3</td>
<td>0.62&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PWVcf, pulse wave velocity; bDBP, brachial diastolic blood pressure; Augmentation Index @ 75 HR, augmentation index at 75 Heart Rate (beats/min); HDL, High Density Lipoprotein; LDL, Low Density Lipoprotein; CRP, c-reactive protein. Matched pairs T-test was used to examine pre- versus post-intervention survey measures and clinical measure differences. *Denotes significance with an alpha set at 0.05  
<sup>a</sup>Non-parametric Wilcoxon Signed Rank test was used for these values. <sup>b</sup>Ten individuals were found to have valid arterial function measurements (per expert blinded review) to be used in the analysis. <sup>c</sup>Not significant after Benjamini Hochburg test was completed using a false discovery rate of 10%.
Table 6: Intervention effects of gut microbial changes and their relationship with dietary and anthropometric variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phylum</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Dietary &amp; Anthropometric Factors</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>Coriobacteraceae</td>
<td>Dietary Fat Percent</td>
<td>0.005* 0.032* 0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phylum</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dietary Fat Percent</td>
<td>0.008* 0.064 0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>Clostridiales Cluster</td>
<td>Empty Calories</td>
<td>0.025* 0.031* 0.008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lachnospiraceae</td>
<td>Dietary Fat Percent</td>
<td>0.028* 0.833 0.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clostridiaceae</td>
<td>Diastolic Blood Pressure</td>
<td>0.029* 0.838 0.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ruminococcaceae</td>
<td>Dietary Fat Percent</td>
<td>0.089 0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Soluble Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.002* 0.534 0.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phylum</td>
<td>Fruit and Vegetables (cups)</td>
<td>0.315 0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erysipelotrichaceae</td>
<td>Empty Calories</td>
<td>0.069 0.386 0.428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Body Fat (%)</td>
<td>0.004* 0.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>Streptococcaceae</td>
<td>Body Fat (%)</td>
<td>0.021 0.901 0.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteobacteria</td>
<td>Prevotellaceae</td>
<td>Body Fat (%)</td>
<td>0.18 0.048* 0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sphingomonadaceae</td>
<td>Soluble Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.737 0.909 0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insoluble Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.738 0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae</td>
<td>Soluble Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.911 0.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Insoluble Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.902 0.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phylum</td>
<td></td>
<td>Soluble Fiber (g)</td>
<td>0.968 0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Insoluble Fiber (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.980 0.706</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relationships of dietary and anthropometric measures with each microbial OTU were initially screened by stepwise regression analyses. Specific dietary and anthropometric factors found significant in regression analysis for each OTU were entered into the secondary model to examine their effect and the effect of intervention (pre and post) and their interaction. Table lists the results of the secondary models (ANCOVA). *Denotes significance at alpha 0.05.
Appendix B: Figures

Figure 1: Consort diagram of participants from recruitment to statistical analysis. Pre-screening determined risk of MetS, then the in-person clinical screen where participants were screened for the five different components of MetS according to the NCEP-ATP III. Nineteen individuals then consented to participate, but two never began the intervention. This left a total of 17 individuals who started and completed the study. However two were removed from the statistical analysis due to medication or dietary non-compliance (n=15). West Virginia University (WVU), Metabolic Syndrome (MetS).
**Figure 2**: Variables with significant changes over the intervention including: (A) fruit and vegetable (cups), (B) fiber (grams) mean, and (C) insoluble fiber (grams), and (E) empty calorie intake mean across the entire study with standard error bars (n=15). *Mantel-Haenszel for nonparametric repeated measures. *Denotes significance with an alpha 0.05
Figure 3: Phyla bacterial taxa plot for all individuals’ pre and post with all identified bacteria, before filtering.
Figure 4: Interaction of dietary factor and intervention (pre and post) on specific gut bacteria. ANCOVA demonstrated that negative relationship of dietary fat and proportion of Coriobacteraceae depended on the intervention (p=0.033). Specifically, as dietary fat intake decreased through the intervention, Coriobacteraceae also decreased.
**Figure 5**: Interaction of dietary factor and intervention (pre and post) on specific gut bacteria. ANCOVA demonstrated that negative relationship of empty calories and proportion of Clostridiales cluster depended on the intervention (p=0.008). Specifically, as empty calorie intake decreased through the intervention, Clostridiales cluster also decreased.
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Abstract: One commonly reported barrier to healthy eating is cost. This analysis examined the food expenditures of young adults on a university campus following the USDA MyPlate guidelines for fruits and vegetables. Meal receipts and dietary intake were recorded weekly. Anthropometrics and clinical assessments were recorded pre and post-intervention. Researchers rated compliance based on the participant’s dietary food log, receipt matching, food pictures, and reports during weekly 1-hour consultations. Fifty-three young adults (18-30 years old) at-risk of, or diagnosed with, Metabolic Syndrome were enrolled, with 10 excluded (n=43) due to campus dining meal plan enrollment. A two sample t-test assessed differences in food costs and regression analysis determined associations between food cost and diet compliance while controlling for confounding factors of age, sex, and body mass index (BMI). Diet compliant subjects (n=38) had higher weekly food cost at $95.73 compared to non-compliant subjects (n=5) spending $66.24 (p=0.01). A regression analysis controlling for age, sex, BMI, and geographical region, also indicated cost differences based on diet compliance (p<0.0001). Results indicate a ~ $29.00 per week increase in food cost when eating the recommended amount of fruit and vegetables. These findings help to determine strategies to improve diet in this population.
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1. Introduction

Diet quality and weight status are modifiable factors that contribute to diet-related chronic diseases including cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and some cancers [1, 2]. Primary (preventing the onset of disease) and secondary (detecting disease in earliest stages) prevention of these diseases can by influenced by adopting healthy eating behavior practices as young adults. However, many young adults do not practice healthy eating [3]. A systematic review of diet quality in 187 countries found young adults aged 20-29 had lower dietary quality (44 points) than older adults (51 points) based on the Healthy Eating Index which is a validated scoring system rated out of 100 points [4]. The United States Departments of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and Department of Agriculture (USDA) Dietary Guidelines recommend Americans fill half their plate with fruits and vegetables at each meal (4-5 cups daily) [5]. However, only 12.3% of adults older than 20 years of age meet the recommended goals for fruit and vegetable intake [6]. For most young adults, the amount of fruit and vegetable intake is also suboptimal for the prevention of chronic diseases of adulthood [7].

Many of the benefits of healthy eating, and maintaining a healthy weight are known. However, the continued rise in weight [3, 8] and decrease in food quality [9] indicate there are barriers to adopting healthier behaviors. Young adulthood is a time of self-definition, where individuals establish and practice health habits which impact their weight status as they gain more independence in economic and dietary practices [10]. Key influences of dietary intake include: current and past social environment, cost, preparation, purchase and storage of food, knowledge, motivation, and cost [3].

This study focuses on monetary costs associated with increasing intake of dietary fruit and vegetables in the context of an 8-week, personalized, diet education program. Populations in the United Kingdom [11], Holland [12], and the United States [13, 14] report food cost to be a major barrier to buying healthy foods. Understanding the food cost of a healthy diet is important because even with an effective education program, access to healthy foods, and motivation, people may not make changes which can positively influence their health if they believe they cannot afford the food. Increasing cost is known to influence choice and behavior (e.g. cigarettes and alcohol, where increasing costs, such as taxes decreases consumption [15]). In this study we evaluate food costs associated with a positive dietary change.
The cost of a healthy dietary pattern (increased in fruits, vegetables, and lean protein) has been found to have an increased cost of $1.50 per day in the United States with healthier meat/protein options contributing to the largest price difference [16]. Another study completed in Europe found there was an 18% increase in cost when the diet consisted of all five food groups instead of just two to three [17]. This increased cost can be an important consideration, especially in the young adult, college population. Thirty-two percent of college students report finances are traumatic or very difficult to handle [18]. An increased financial stress, higher cost of healthy diet, and the young adult time period being understudied [7] indicates food cost for this age group is an area which needs further investigation.

Mulik and Haynes Maslow used the most current publicly available data from the USDA to analyze price of the MyPlate’s dietary guidelines for all food groups. Their findings indicate that men and women (19-30 years old) have an increased cost of food with no significant difference in the type of fruit or vegetable (fresh, canned, or frozen), with an average increase of $3.82 in women, and $4.25 in men [19]. The main objective of this project was to determine the amount of money spent by young adults not enrolled in a university meal plan to follow the U.S. Dietary Guidelines (half of the plate consisting of fruits and vegetables) as a prospective study. It was hypothesized that participants following the recommended diet would spend more money on food, compared to those who did not follow the diet. A higher cost would be incurred because it was hypothesized that individuals would replace the cheaper convenience foods (high in added fats and sugars and generally low cost) they were eating with the fruits and vegetables the diet intervention was encouraging them to eat.

2. Materials and Methods

An 8-week diet intervention study was conducted with 53 young adults from West Virginia University (WVU) in two different cohorts, the spring of 2015 and the fall of 2016, investigating increased fruit and vegetable intake on clinical and metabolomic outcomes [20]. Recruitment occurred through word of mouth, flyers posted around campus, announcements in classrooms, and emails to the student body. To be eligible participants had to be between the ages of 18-30, and be at-risk of, or diagnosed, with metabolic syndrome (MetS). ‘At-risk of MetS’ was defined as 3 or more of the following risk factors- any of the 5 MetS risk factors in addition to BMI (>25 for men or women), personal or family history of CVD, diabetes (Type 1, Type 2, or gestational), or abnormal lipids, race/ethnicity, low physical activity, increased
sedentary time, poor nutritional quality, current smoker, or excessive alcohol intake [21]. The guidelines set forth by the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III were used to diagnosis MetS. Individuals with three of the five following criteria were defined with MetS: waist circumference >102cm (men), >88cm (women); serum triglycerides >150mg/dl; serum HDL <40mg/dl (men), <50mg/dl (women); blood pressure ≥130/85mm Hg; and fasting blood glucose ≥ 100mg/dl [22]. This was determined through in-person anthropometric and blood measurements. Exclusions included a diagnosis or treatment of a serious mental or behavioral disorder within the past year, and pregnancy. Students eating the campus meal plan were removed from this analysis since they did not have out of pocket costs for much of their food consumption. Approval was obtained from the WVU Institutional Review Board and informed consent was collected from each subject prior to enrollment in the study.

Outcome Measures

Demographic information was collected during the health assessment. Geographic region was defined as if the participant identified as being from an Appalachian (encompassing all of West Virginia and parts of 12 other states along the Appalachian Mountains), or non-Appalachian area. Clinical and nutrition history was obtained to assess risk and/or diagnosis of MetS. Weight was measured when participants were minimally clothed, without shoes using digital scales (SECA 874) and recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg. Height was measured in a standing position without shoes using a Stadiometer (SECA 213). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated and expressed in kg/m². Waist circumference was measured at the narrowest point, and hip circumference was measured at the maximum point over light clothing using a Gulick tape meter. Height and waist circumference measurements were recorded to the nearest 0.1 cm after being taken twice, and averaged for analysis.

Diet compliance was determined through subjective and objective measures to eliminate bias throughout the 8-week intervention. Compliance with diet and assessment of food expenditures were determined through food pictures (generally taken on their phone and emailed or show to researcher during counseling session), participant’s dietary food log (matched to food shopping receipts), food pictures (to determine portion sizes) and weekly 1-hour consultation with a trained researcher. Cost of all liquid and solid food and alcohol were determined through food receipts collected from participants every week. Costs were recorded and labeled according to location the food was purchased. Receipts were retrieved from participants for grocery store,
restaurant, vending machine, cafe, and any other food establishment purchases. Participants recorded cost of food in individual food logs when receipts were unavailable.

**Study procedures**

Each participant was instructed to follow a calorie intake (based on the U.S. Dietary Guidelines for Americans) to maintain weight calculated using their current weight, age, and physical activity status; they were asked to maintain their current activity level. All subjects were asked to consume a diet consisting of 4-5 servings fruit and vegetables and not change their dietary supplement intake. As part of the main project protocol, participants in the first cohort were also randomly assigned to follow the increased fruit and vegetable diet only, or to additionally follow low refined carbohydrate or low fat recommendations. Each participant had their USDA MyPlate food group recommendations calculated using the computer software program Nutritionist Pro™ (Axxya Systems LLC, Redmond, WA). Participants were provided with kitchen tools (measuring cups and spoons, Tupperware containers, knives, etc.) to facilitate food preparation at home, and financially compensated throughout the 8-week study for a total of $250 at their weekly consultations.

During the weekly consultation participants also reviewed their daily food log with researchers that were analyzed using Nutritionist Pro. At this time researchers would use motivational interviewing techniques (all had completed a two-day training) to facilitate behavior change [23, 24] and develop strategies to reach personalized goals. The weekly dietary reports were used as markers to gauge participant improvement and dietary intervention compliance. Dietary compliance was defined as maintaining the diet intervention guidelines 75% of the time during 6 weeks of the intervention as determined by the researcher.

**Statistical Analysis**

Food costs were analyzed with the Stata 14 software system [25]. Two sample t-tests with unequal variances were used to determine differences in food costs between compliant and non-compliant dietary intake status. The unequal variances are used to account for the uneven compliant and non-compliant groups [26]. Regression analyses were used to determine differences between groups while controlling for age, sex, and BMI. Results were considered significant if the two-tailed p-value was ≤0.05.

3. Results
Ten participants from the primary study group were excluded from this analysis (n=43) because of enrollment in a campus dining plan which is based on fixed quarterly cost. This study sample was 60% female, and most individuals were diet compliant (88%). Demographic information is presented in Table 1 and baseline clinical measurements are included in Table 2. Among participants there was an even distribution of BMI categories (normal weight= 15, overweight= 10, obese= 8, and morbidly obese= 10). A detailed analysis of the dietary intake associated with the larger study has been previously reported [20, 27]. By the end of the 8-week study participants had demonstrated improvements in fruit and vegetable consumption, fiber intake, and a decrease in empty calorie intake with no supplement intake included in the analysis. Compliant individuals, on average, spent $95.73 ± $75.33 per week compared to non-compliant individuals spending $66.24 ± $65.31 per week. Figure 1 shows the average weekly spending of these two groups throughout the 8-week study. Compliant participants spent more on food compared with non-compliant participants (p=0.02). Spending differences between compliant and non-compliant groups remained after controlling for age, sex, BMI, and region (p<0.0001).

There were differences in amount spent on food between obese and morbidly obese (p<0.05), and between obese and overweight (p<0.05) participants. Those that were overweight spent $86.09 ± $8.07, obese spent $112.94 ± $10.52, and those that were morbidly obese spent $82.82 ± $8.71. On average, females spent $101.82 ± $75.40, while males spent $77.42 ± $71.48 which was different with equal variances (p<0.01), and unequal variances (p<0.01).
3.2. Figures, Tables and Schemes

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of all included participants at baseline (n=43).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Included&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (x ± SD)</td>
<td>22.2 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>17 (39.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>29 (67.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>5 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>4 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From Appalachia (%)</td>
<td>25 (58.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Ten participants were excluded from this analysis due to enrollment in a campus dining meal plan.

Table 2: Baseline clinical measurements (n=43).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waist Circumference (cm)</td>
<td>90.8 ± 15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>30.1 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm/Hg)</td>
<td>116 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm/Hg)</td>
<td>65 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>88 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Density Lipoprotein (mg/dL)</td>
<td>53 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>102 ± 48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Ten participants were excluded from this analysis due to enrollment in a campus dining meal plan.
Figure 1: Mean ± SD cost (dollars) of compliant (n=38) and non-compliant (n=5) participants during the 8-week diet intervention (p = 0.02).

4. Discussion

Many studies report cost as a barrier which deters some people from buying healthy foods.[11-14] The principal finding of the present study was that participants who were compliant with the MyPlate diet spent, on average, $29 more per week on food (~$4 a day), than non-compliant individuals. This finding correlates with prior studies indicating the higher costs of a healthy diet. A meta-analysis of 27 studies across 10 countries found a healthy diet cost $1.48 more a day than less healthy options [16]. Though a more recent analysis of the cost of the MyPlate recommendations for individuals in this age group did have a similar price increase to the current study ($3-4/day depending on gender and whether fresh, frozen, or canned fruits and vegetables were bought) [19]. Additionally, in this study it was found that obese participants spent more on food than other BMI categories, and females spent more than males. The large standard deviations in some of the results may be due to participants not being required to buy groceries every week. Instead, on some weeks participants food cost would be very low since they had bought enough food to last more than one week. Below there will be an exploration of possible reasons for the food cost differences experienced in the groups including the cost of fresh food, young age of the subjects, and geographic location.

The findings in this study are consistent with the patterns of increased food cost when on an isocaloric diet and asked to increase nutrient density (higher intake of fruits and vegetables). Diets lower in cost were associated with lower consumption of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, and seafood [28]. Another study of 837 French adults separated food cost by food group and concluded that individuals eating more fruits and vegetables in their diet incurred a higher food
Another way to look at nutritional quality is using a measure of nutrient density. Foods with a higher nutrient content or density are frequently higher in cost compared to less healthy, calorie-dense options [30]. No matter how these studies determine dietary health, all come to the same conclusion of healthy diets costing more money.

In understanding the results of this study it is important to consider the unique experiences, education, and financial situations of young adulthood. Young adults and university students commonly do not have a traditional job and income stream, and have been found to make suboptimal financial decisions [31]. When stratifying diet cost by age group, young adults (20-29 years of age) spent the least, and had the lowest diet quality compared to any other age group [28]. The survey of young adults (18-38 years old) in the Bougalusa Heart study found those with lower income ate less fruits, vegetables, and more fats, sweetened beverages and statistically higher amounts of burgers and sandwiches [32]. The income of young adults as well as their inclination for ready-to-eat, processed, frozen, or canned foods for convenience may be a significant variable for young adults in college to eat a healthy diet [32-34].

To increase fruit and vegetable consumption, studies have employed educational interventions, public campaigns, and price reductions. The Supermarket Healthy Eating for Life Trial conducted a randomized controlled trial over three months to determine if a price reduction of fruits and vegetables would result in increased fruit and vegetable consumption. A 20% reduction in price resulted in a 35% increase in fruit and 15% increase in vegetable purchases. The behavior was not maintained six months after the intervention when food returned to their original cost [35]. A recent study developed a model to compare the effectiveness of a multimedia campaign or price decrease to increase fruit and vegetable consumption using current diet trends, national databases, and other studies to determine projected change. This study demonstrated that media and financial interventions increased fruit and vegetable intake; although the effect of the price reduction was more powerful and sustainable [36]. This supports the role for price incentive or reduced cost as a factor in food purchasing decisions. Our study provided research participation incentives ($250 total/eight weeks) that may have been used to offset participants’ food costs to enable them to buy healthier options, though the financial incentive could have been spent on other items as well.

What an individual chooses to eat is multi-factorial, and has other determinants beside cost. Other factors can include taste, convenience, interest in health and nutrition, familiarity of the food, cooking skills, and mental health [37, 38]. NHANES data determined taste was the most important factor in food decisions, followed by nutrition, cost and convenience [39]. Carlson and Frazao further explored reasons for food decisions. In this study it concluded that higher income individuals may spend more money on food, but their diet was not necessarily healthier than low income individuals [40]. This indicated that spending more money on food did not guarantee the food being purchased was healthy. So, aside from just financially incentivizing healthy food purchases, it is important to incorporate education on nutrition as well as how to cook and prepare healthy foods that are palatable to the individual.
The current study being examined used the combined education and financial incentive component to encourage healthy eating. Another point which should be explored is the perceptions that all healthy food is expensive. For example, the cost of the Mediterranean diet, which is viewed as being healthful, is perceived to cost more. However, some components of the diet cost less (e.g., certain vegetables, beans, legumes, grains, nuts, and some dairy products) and can replace the more expensive items [41]. The fact that healthier diets can cost less given different food-related decisions is a phenomenon called nutrition resilience. This indicates healthy diets can be maintained at a lower cost given optimal decision making and knowledge of how to eat healthy on a budget [42]. However, it may take more time than the current study (8-weeks) for individuals to be educated on, explore, and put into practice buying and eating food items that are healthy and affordable.

This study has limitations. First, there was a small number of individuals in the non-compliant group. This could have influenced the resultant higher cost for diet compliant individuals as well as the difference in the cost of healthy eating by BMI category. To accommodate the uneven group sizes a t-test with unequal variances was used in the statistical analysis [26]. Second, the amount of money spent on the different food groups was not determined in this study, though dietary intake reveals participants’ fruit and vegetable intake increased. Third, individual’s income was not obtained which may have also helped to see if that played a role in the amount of money spent on food. To overcome these limitations further studies should more thoroughly define the locations of the purchased food, as well as the food groups purchased, and include larger sample sizes of young adults from several different geographic regions. This would help to develop further explanations for these groups’ different food-spending habits.

5. Conclusions

This study contributes new data on the costs of implementing the USDA MyPlate guidelines for young adults living in a university setting. This age group spent $29 more per week, on average, when complying with the national health guidelines. These findings can contribute to research incentive design, program planning cost, and determining effective interventions to improve diet in this population. Future research regarding food costs is needed with this age group as well as an expanded analysis to include what food groups or choices are contributing to the food cost. Additional knowledge can contribute to education and public health interventions in this population to increase the affordability of healthy foods and give the education needed by this age group to improve budgeting and food preparation skills to be able to use the healthy foods in a way that is palatable to their tastes and lifestyle.
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