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ABSTRACT

A Multi-Agent Design for Power Distribution Systems Automation

M. Jawad Ghorbani

A new Multi Agent System (MAS) design for fault location, isolation and restoration in power distribution systems is presented. In proposed approach, when there is a fault in the Power Distribution System (PDS), MAS quickly isolates the fault and restores the service to fault-free zones. Hierarchical coordination strategy is introduced to manage the agents which integrate the advantages of both centralized and decentralized coordination strategies. In this framework, Zone Agent (ZA) locate and isolate the fault based on the locally available information and assist the Feeder Agent (FA) for reconfiguration and restoration. FA can solve the restoration problem using the existing algorithms for the 0-1 Knapsack problem.

A novel Q-learning mechanism is also introduced to support the FAs in decision making for restoration. Also a distributed MAS-Based Load Shedding (LS) technique has been used to supply as many of higher priority customers as possible, in case there is more demand than generation. The design is illustrated by the use of simulation case studies for fault location, isolation and restoration on West Virginia Super Circuit (WVSC) and hardware implementation for fault location and isolation in a laboratory platform. The results from the case studies indicate the performance of proposed MAS designs.
“I’ve missed more than 9000 shots in my career. I’ve lost almost 300 games. 26 times I’ve been trusted to take the game winning shot and missed. I’ve failed over and over and over again in my life. And that is why I succeed.”

Michael Jordan
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Chapter 1

Introduction

The term ‘smart grids’ means many things to many people and there is certainly no agreed definition. It is common to hear people say they are working on smart grids anywhere from designing meters or power electronic converters, WiMAX communications to some new look at system dynamics. There is even the debate over whether we should use the term at all. In this work we take the view that the discipline of ‘smart grids’ has meaning as an area focused on embedded intelligence from a systems approach somewhat ahead of the current state in industry (so may be ‘smarter grids’), but it remains to be more clearly defined what we are talking about and what the research goals are, i.e. to put some serious science into the idea. We take the view that this paradigm can apply anywhere in the whole energy network, but the current emphasis is on distribution systems, because that is where the development of automation has been least advanced. Some ideas towards this aim are presented here. Ultimately, at the core of the smart grid agenda, the goal is to achieve a system which is more adaptive and resilient to changing power supply/demand, failures and attacks. The presentation of a clearer formulation inevitably leads to an agenda of estimation, optimization, learning and control. Thus we can conclude that there should be a very exciting road ahead for collaboration between the power and control areas.

In the recent years, the concept of Smart Grids (SG) was proposed [4]. The National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) in the United States has presented seven principle characteristics of a smart grid. A smart grid should be able to heal itself after a power system event; it should enable active customer participation, resist attacks, provide power quality for the 21st century needs, accommodate
all generation and storage options, enable markets, and optimize asset utilization and operate efficiently. Among them, self-heal is the key characteristic. Some literatures also called a smart grid as a self-healing grid [5].

European Technology Platform SmartGrids defined the word ”self-healing” as not only automated network restoration strategies that take into account the impact of high penetration of distributed generation and demand side participation, but also high level decentralized preventive control methodologies that will address options for the management of unplanned outages [6]. ”Self-healing ” was also interpreted as an engineering design that enables the problematic element of a system to be isolated and, ideally, restored to normal operation with little or no human intervention.

## 1.1 Problem Statement

Based on U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) studies, more than 80% of outages in power systems are directly related to distribution systems. For most utilities, three main outage causes and their average proportion consist of: tree-related failures (29%), animal related failures (18%), lightning failures (11%)[7]. Even though technologies can be employed to mitigate future possible faults, most system outages and faults are inevitable. When a fault occurs in the Power Distribution System (PDS), corresponding reclosers will lock out and the fault-free areas will also lose power. It is essential for the electric utility companies to restore loads in the out-of-service areas as soon as possible. In present power distribution systems, the majority of the operation for power restoration are performed manually. An automated PDS can perform the restoration in less time while providing the benefits of reduction in the number of required crew members.

A self-healing PDS, when subject to a contingency (fault), is able to automatically perform remedial actions to restore the system to the best possible state. The objective of self- healing PDS is to minimize the duration of outages as well as the customers interruptions, in favor of overall PDS reliability. Customer based distribution reliability indices, specially Customer Average Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI) and system average interruption duration index (SAIDI) will be potentially improved.
1.1.1 Reliability Issues

Several cascading failures during the past 40 years spotlighted our need to understand the complex phenomena associated with power network systems and the development of emergency controls and restoration. Widespread outages and huge price spikes during the past few years raised public concern about grid reliability at the national level. According to data from the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) and analyses from the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), average outages from 1984 to the present have affected nearly 700,000 customers per event annually [8]. Smaller outages occur much more frequently and affect tens to hundreds of thousands of customers every few weeks or months, while larger outages occur every two to nine years and affect millions. Much larger outages affect seven million or more customers per event each decade. These analyses are based on data collected for the US Department of Energy (DOE), which requires electric utilities to report system emergencies that include electric service interruptions, voltage reductions, acts of sabotage, unusual occurrences that can affect the reliability of bulk power delivery systems, and fuel problems.

Fig.1.1 shows the advantages of implementing Fault Location & Isolation (FLIR) versus conventional operation for a typical distribution feeder. When conventional operation (without FLIR) is used, there is a need for investigating the specific fault location and conducting manual switching to isolate the faulted area and restore service to customers located on healthy feeder sections. In this case customer trouble calls may play an important role, and human intervention, either for fault location or switching operations to restore service, is vital. FLIR on the other side allows detecting faults and restoring affected customers faster and with limited human intervention. When FLIR is used, power is quickly restored to customers located on healthy sections of a feeder. Moreover, the faulted area is delimited by the FLIR scheme, this reduces the time required for fault investigation and patrolling. Moreover, if FLIR switching and protective devices are monitored in real-time then there is no need to wait for customer trouble calls to dispatch crews. Therefore, besides its obvious reliability benefits FLIR also has a direct impact on reducing operators and crews’ workload, which increases efficiency and reduces operation costs.
1.2 Statement of This Dissertation

SELF-HEALING of power delivery systems is a concept that enables the identification and isolation of faulted system components and the restoration of service to customers supplied by healthy elements. This activity may be conducted with little or no human intervention and has the objective of minimizing interruptions of service and avoiding further deterioration of system reliability. Self-healing of power distribution systems is conducted via Distribution Automation (DA), specifically through smart protective and switching devices that minimize the number of interrupted customers during contingency conditions by automatically isolating faulted components and transferring customers to an optional source when their normal supply has been lost. Optional sources may include neighbor feeders and Distributed Energy Resources (DER) such as Distributed Generation (DG) and Distributed Energy Storage (DES). For this reason, some authors prefer to use the term “self-restoration” instead of self-healing. It is worth noting that the implementation of self-healing in distribution systems requires schemes that are flexible enough to adapt to changing system loading and configuration conditions (including automatically modify protection settings) and operate distribution system components within their ratings.

Distribution Automation (DA) is a set of technologies that enable an electric utility to remotely monitor, coordinate, and operate distribution components in a real-time mode from remote locations. DA includes substation, feeder and customer automation. DA is a vital component for achieving the self-healing capabilities, high reliability and power quality of the smart grid, as well as for allowing the
integration of DER. One of the most popular DA applications is Fault Location, Isolation and Service Restoration (FLIR).

A self-healing restoration method requires a framework for determining the series of control actions during the healing mode of a PDS. Basically, PDS is in the normal state while system parameters are within normal operating range. System enters the abnormal state when an outage happens. After fault location, isolation and restoration, the system goes to temporary restoration state until the fault is cleared. Fast and efficient power restoration in a self-healing PDS entails an automated system design for fault location, isolation, reconfiguration and restoration. In this dissertation various aspects of a self-healing PDS are discussed, including:

- **Fault Location**: A key aspect of self-restoration when applied to distribution systems is the need to identify fault locations and if possible anticipate fault occurrence.

  when a fault occurs in the PDS, the self-healing system is triggered by an automatic re-closer trip in real-time operation, and starts to detect the fault location and identifies the fault type by monitoring data along the feeder.

- **Isolation**: the first corrective action after locating the fault is to open the relevant switches (in arbitrary order) in order to isolate the fault from the rest of the system.

- **Reconfiguration & Restoration**: finding a reconfiguration strategy which determines the switching sequence necessary to reconfigure the system in order to allow the broadest possible power access.

There is a growing trend in the industry for implementing FLIR as well as other DA schemes. This is due to several reasons such as the access to incentives provided through government-funded programs, the maturity of DA technologies and the availability of a variety of communication technologies that facilitate its implementation. FLIR benefits include[2]:

**Functional benefits:**

1. Improve SAIDI, SAIFI, and other reliability statistics
2. Reduce “energy not supplied” (kWh)
3. Provide “premium quality” service
4. Reduce fault investigation time

Monetary benefits:

1. Increase revenue (sell more energy)
2. Reduce customer cost of outage
3. Additional revenue from “premium quality” customers
4. Labor/vehicle savings
5. Achieve regulatory incentives (when available)

1.2.1 Contributions

The main contributions of this work can be summarized as follows.

1. MAS architecture: A hierarchical fully distributed MAS that performs the FLIR using less communicated messages than the existing methods. The framework is introduced in Chapter 3.

2. Real-Time MAS: MAS and power system are simulated to work in real-time and without any interface for synchronizing two different models, which makes the simulation results more accurate and reliable.

3. Fault Location and Isolation Algorithm: Proposed FLI algorithm is fully distributed and ZAs along the feeder can locate and isolate the faulty section by communicating with their immediate neighbors. The FLI algorithm is described in Chapter 4.

4. Restoration Learning algorithm: A reinforcement learning algorithm is developed in conjunction with restoration mathematical programming to take the advantage of restoration experiences and use the learning knowledge for future restorations. Restoration problem formulation and learning approach are presented in Chapters 5 and 6.

5. Distributed Load Shedding: Presented load shedding algorithm in Chapter 9 is a distributed MAS-based method that finds the near-optimal configuration for restoration using the available local data, while shedding least possible number of low priority loads.
6. **Hardware Implementation:** Chapter 10 presents the development of a platform compromising of meters, agent components, loads integrated with a power system platform to verify applicability of proposed method in locating and isolating the fault using a real world case study.

### 1.3 Structure of This Dissertation

In this work, authors compare the possible MAS frameworks considering the reliability and communications requirements and proposed a hierarchically decentralized MAS architecture composed of upper and lower level agents [9]. In the bottom-up order, agents are classified as Zone Agents (ZA), Feeder Agents (FA) and Substation Agents (SA). In the proposed framework, ZAs are responsible for locating the fault and isolating it. While reconfiguration and restoration are handled by FAs.

In that framework, ZAs have access to the voltage and current phasor data of themselves and their immediate neighbors to a defined neighborhood through communication. Using these data and by real-time monitoring of indices introduced in this work, ZAs can decide whether the fault is in their neighborhood or not. Following fault location and isolation by ZAs and informing the corresponding FA about the fault information, FA first restores the upstream zones of faulted area and starts negotiating with alternative FAs, that have tie switches to faulty feeder. FAs evaluate the possible reconfiguration and choose the best reconfiguration using deterministic optimization problem solving techniques to supply as many loads as possible.

Fig.1.2 shows the Finite State Machine (FSM) for fault detection isolation and finally restoration processes. According to this FSM after the recloser locks out, agents start their negotiations to locate and isolate the fault. Agents can just communicate with their lower level agents and in normal situations lower level agents should not take any action before consulting with their higher level agents. But in the case of loss of communications with higher level agents, the lower level agents can make their decisions to isolate the fault and restore the power.

Although agents in MAS can solve the optimization techniques to find the near optimal configuration, it is also necessary that they learn new behaviors online, such that the performance of the agents and MAS gradually improves. Therefore, a
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Learning methodology (Q-Learning) is used to teach agents to use their experiences and prevent them from doing the time consuming optimization process each time a fault happens.

1.3.1 Dissertation Outline

The outline of the remaining chapters is given in this chapter.

In Chapter 2, we are giving a literature overview of the most significant research work in the area of FLIR and multi-agents system application in power distribution systems. The possible MAS architectures and their advantage and disadvantages are discussed in Chapter 3 along with the MAS communication requirements in PDS. Chapter 4 introduce the proposed Fault Location and Isolation (FLI) approach along with the FLI formulations. In this chapter also simulation results and relevant discussions are given.

In Chapter 5 the proposed restoration and reconfiguration flowchart are presented and restoration process is illustrated. In Chapter 6 the basic idea of reinforcement learning (Q—Learning) method is explained and the modified Q—Learning approach for restoration decision making is described. In Chapter 7, the simulation models which are used for evaluating the proposed FLIR approach are presented and the performance and feasibility of proposed framework is demonstrated on West Virginia Super Circuit (WVSC) which is composed of 5 distribution feeders. Chapter 8 investigates the communication performance of the proposed FLIR approaches and also compare its performance with some similar recent works.
If the sum of DG capacity and available power from other feeders is less than the required power for restoration of all loads, load shedding can be done to restore the service to as many loads as possible. Chapter 9 presents a distributed load shedding algorithm that finds the minimum amount of the load to be shed before restoration.

Development of a test system platform, capable of emulating a present day power system and MAS will help in dealing with real-world implementation challenges. Chapter 10 presents the development of a platform comprising of meters, agent components, loads integrated with a power system platform to verify applicability of proposed method in locating and isolating the fault using a real world case study.

Finally, conclusions and future works are detailed in Chapter 11.

### 1.4 Publications

References [9–14] are the works published or submitted from this research.
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Literature Review

2.1 Multi-Agent Systems

Multi agent system is one of the popular approaches for decentralized management of power systems and is applied to different areas like fault diagnosis, voltage stability, electricity market pricing, protection coordination, power system reconfiguration and restoration. In most approaches for fault location in the literature; a master agent makes the final decision based on the data received from other agents [15]. In some other works each agent is considered to have its special functionalities e.g. acquiring data, analyzing data, managing situations, etc., and each step of decision making is done by a special agent.

Proposed multi agent system in this work is different in the way that each agent has the decision making ability. The method introduced in this work uses voltage and current rms values and also the impedance calculated by voltage and current to monitor the network and identify the faulty zone and fault type. The advantages of this method are that each agent can work independently in case of loss of connection and it needs less communication capacity because agents just talk to their upper level adjacent agent. There are two main approaches for modeling MAS in power system. MAS and power system model could work together in real time mode or offline. An interface plays a key role in connecting two softwares. Developing interfaces between power simulation models and software agents is a key part in the works described previously. In [16, 17] authors describe the Electric Power and Communication Synchronizing Simulator (EPOCHS); EPOCHS is a simulation system, which links the power simulators with an event-driven
communication network simulator. Another implementation to integrate software agents with simulation model of electrical system is presented in [18]. Common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) is used to communicate MAS with an EPDS model in Virtual Test Bed (VTB) simulation environment.

Softwares used for modeling the MAS and power system can work together in two ways:

1. **Real time:**
   In this case power system model and MAS should be able to communicate in real time and the data is accessible to agents without any delay in simulation time. Since mostly previous works [16, 19, 20] used two different softwares for modeling MAS (JADE, EPOCHS...) and power system (OpenDss, EPDS, ...), there are some problems with interfacing two softwares. In this work both MAS and power distribution system are simulated in MATLAB and there is no need for interfacing two softwares.

2. **Offline:**
   Some authors use offline data for power system simulation as inputs for MAS. In this case the model cannot consider communications in exact time like the real world.

In recent years, much research interest has focused on each aspect of a self-healing PDS i.e. Fault Location, Isolation, Reconfiguration and Restoration (FLIR) and some techniques are developed to solve each problem. In next sections of this dissertation several available FLIR algorithms are discussed. However, a key disadvantage is the fact that most of these approaches are centralized. For example, Nagata et al. proposed a centralized solution for power restoration [21, 22]. Due to complexity and expansion of present-day distribution systems, conventional centralized and regulated control systems tend to be inadequate because of dependency on powerful central computing facilities to handle huge amount of data with high communication capabilities, which can lead to a single point of failure.

To this end, distributed methods such as Multi-Agent Systems (MAS) have received significantly increased attention recently in the community to handle the complex FLIR research and development [21–23]. Decentralized MAS can overcome the disadvantages of centralized approaches because of their decentralized
data processing, which leads to efficient task distribution and eventually causing faster operation and decision making process. Thus, avoiding a single point of failure, while utilizing peer to peer communication for collaborating to achieve near global goals. Therefore, MAS technology for FLIR seems to be quite promising and the utility industries will benefit from it since there will be no need for exclusive central control.

In [24], Solanki et al. proposed a decentralized MAS to restore the power supply to de-energized loads. Although the MAS framework is decentralized and can avoid the single point of failure, the accurate decision cannot be guaranteed, because agents only have a limited view of the system states. Moreover, it requires a lot of communications which are time consuming. To overcome this shortcoming, references [23, 25] provided solutions. Reference [23] used a hybrid MAS architecture to take the advantage of both centralized and decentralized approaches. In that framework, although agents have a broader view of the power grid, they still use the central agent suggestions for their decision making. Moreover, their proposed MAS architecture requires large number of message communications which hinder the reliability of the MAS. Reference [25] proposed a dynamic team forming algorithm which tries to solve the restoration problem locally and if not possible, enlarges the group size. Dynamic team forming and enlarging the group size could be time consuming processes.

### 2.2 Fault Location & Isolation Methods

Considering the existence of multiple laterals and sub laterals tapped off the main feeder in different locations of PDS, time varying unbalanced load profiles, power injection at different location of system by distributed generation, fault locating in PDS is a challenging task. In [26] the algorithm constantly monitors the network and from the available observations identifies the fault location. In [27], authors used sequence current magnitudes and current direction during a fault were used for fault detection. Previous works on Fault Location and Isolation (FLI) could be categorized in three main categories;

1. **Impedance based methods**: Impedance based methods; these methods usually calculate the apparent impedance sequences using measurement
points data and estimate the possible fault locations based on iterative algorithms. Considering the multiple fault locations estimation in these methods and existence of many laterals in PDS is the drawback of impedance based methods [28–30].

2. **Wavelet based methods:** Wavelet based methods, in which discrete Fourier transform or wavelet transform are used to analyze the fault waveform. It’s difficult to guarantee the reliability of these methods because of variety of load characteristics and fault cause in PDS [31].

3. **Intelligent methods:** Intelligent methods consist of artificial neural networks (ANN), Expert systems and etc., ANN based methods need to be trained after any change in system and update the network weights, the other drawback with ANN based methods is that in case of complicated networks they became slow and also need to be trained after changes in network [32, 33]. Expert system methods have a slow response time since they involve knowledge based maintenance and conventional inference mechanism [34].

Below is a brief review of some major existing intelligent methods [35].

### 2.2.1 Expert Systems (ES)

Expert systems were the earliest attempt in Artificial Intelligence (AI) applications to power system fault diagnosis. In rule-based expert systems, knowledge of the power system is represented as rules stored in the database via if-then-else form. After the information of operated relays and tripped CBs are inputted, the fault section is estimated by the inference engine. Although the rule-based ES offers a useful method for fault diagnosis, common drawbacks of ES-based fault diagnosis involve knowledge-based maintenance and slow response time due to conventional knowledge representation and inference mechanism.

### 2.2.2 Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)

In the application of fault diagnosis, artificial-neural-network (ANN) models can be regarded as an opaque black box that performs a special case of vector mapping. This mapping is opaque as we do not understand how it works. The main
advantages of ANN for fault diagnosis are its flexibility with noisy data and fast response time. No explicit rules are required to precisely define the power system configuration and protective schemes. However, it needs an additional training process and takes time to derive the required network weights. When power systems become more complicated, the convergence of the training slows down and sometimes falls in a local optimum. In addition, when the changes in power networks occur, neural networks should be trained again in response to each change.

### 2.2.3 Petri-Nets (PN)

The major features of the Petri net (PN)-based methods are the abilities of graphical knowledge representation and parallel information processing. A basic PN consists of four basic elements: 1) places, 2) arcs, 3) transitions, and 4) tokens. For a given PN, its topological structure can be represented by an incidence matrix. The reasoning of rule-based systems can be realized through matrix operations. The PNs have to be marked with tokens to be used to perform further analysis. In general, the incidence matrix becomes large in dimension for a complex power system, leading to the difficulty in analysis. In this situation, a matrix reduction technique and an efficient inference algorithm are required to make PN-based methods applicable to power systems.

These approaches are mostly centralized and try to locate the exact fault location which is not the case for this research. Recently, with the development of digital sensors and information communication technologies, new FLI techniques can be applied to PDS. Since agents in MAS continuously exchange information, it makes it possible to determine the faulty zone by local information. In the proposed method, each ZA, according to the rms value of the measured current waveform of itself as well as its neighbors, determine whether there is a fault in its zone or not by monitoring the changes in the current rms values [12, 13].

### 2.3 Service Restoration

Once the Fault is isolated, the optimal load restoration decision can be obtained using combinatorial optimization problem solving techniques [11, 36, 37], heuristic search techniques [38], network flow [39] or graph theory techniques [40]. Dynamic
programming is also one of the commonly used techniques for this kind of problems [37]. In a paper by authors [11], the restoration problem was formulated as a Knapsack problem. That work uses the similar approach where the problem is modeled as mixed integer linear optimization approach for which the optimal solution can be easily obtained.

Not surprisingly, much research attention has focused on automated discovery of optimal power system configurations for shipboard power systems (SPS). One class of algorithms for shipboard power systems reconfiguration are designed to take actions by means of distributed software agents [24, 41]. Requiring no centralized processing, these approaches rely entirely on local computations to reconfigure the SPS. Unfortunately, multi-agent systems that rely on local communications might not yield the best possible configuration unless optimal reconfiguration is cast as a convex optimization problem without local minima. As a trade-off of optimality over speed, many other approaches are designed to produce the optimal configuration. Both stochastic and deterministic approaches that have been investigated for this purpose, are discussed with more detail in the following.

### 2.3.1 Heuristic Techniques & Knowledge Based Systems

The heuristic approach is intuitively a rule of thumb, to limit the search space. The expert’s knowledge and experience are translated into programming logic to solve the problem. The drawbacks of heuristic methods are:

1. optimal solution is not guaranteed;
2. they have great difficulties in maintaining the software, since the size of the software is large and the algorithm is complex

Expert system is basically a knowledge-based technique. It involves the representation of expert knowledge as rules and an inference engine to infer from these rules. The rules are written as IF-THEN statements. Expert system approaches can be considered as successful approaches to solve the restoration problem; however, maintenance of large-scale expert systems has turned out to be costly. In addition, expert system rules are system specific, and they change with the system.

Heuristic techniques and knowledge based systems utilize the operator’s knowledge and experience to narrow down the search space for the combinatorial restoration
problem. Thus, the solution is achieved in a shorter time. In [42], Liu et al. reported an expert system algorithm for restoration and loss reduction of distribution systems. The authors constructed a knowledge base which contains rules that implement a solution approach that system operators can use in order to restore as many loads as possible. An early work on heuristic search approach to distribution system restoration was introduced by Morelato and Monticelli [43]. The proposed approach used a knowledge guided search strategy to reach the solution. Artificial neural network (ANN), ant immune system-ant colony optimization (AIS-ACO), Genetic Algorithm (GA), among others are also used extensively for restoration problems.

However, most of the restoration techniques surveyed above solve the reconfiguration problem from a centralized point of view, which in reality often requires a low-latency communication system transferring a potentially large amount of data between field devices and the control center. In addition, the control center requires expensive computing capability. As a result, centralized techniques are subject to a single point of failure risk and large capital costs.

### 2.3.2 Mathematical Programming

The mathematical programming approach determines the target configuration for restoration often by formulating the problem as a mixed integer non-linear problem (MINLP). Each branch represented by a binary variable (0: opened & 1: closed). Other constraints such as supply and demand balance are formulated in terms of continuous variables. Then, any available MINLP technique can be applied to solve the restoration problem. Nagata et al. [22] proposed a two-stage algorithm which decomposes the restoration problem into two subproblems (the maximization of available power to the de-energized area, and the minimization of the amount of unserved energy). The algorithm is limited to dc models which do not take into account the reactive power as well as voltage variations. In [44, 45], the authors presented the network reconfiguration for service restoration in shipboard power distribution systems. They solved optimal restoration of distribution systems using a dynamic programming approach. During the solution process, many states close to each other are grouped. Then, only the best states are selected to reduce the problem size, so that solution speedup is achieved. While
mathematical programming might guarantee a global optimal solution, its drawback is the computational intensity, so it might not be practical for centralized large distribution systems.

Multi-agent systems (MAS) are one of the most interesting new fields of computer science and distributed artificial intelligence. MAS are composed of multiple interacting computing elements, known as agents. These agents are located in some environment and react to the changes in this environment and are capable of acting (taking decisions) in order to achieve their goals. Multi-agent system can be considered as the plate form of distributed processing, parallel operation, and autonomous solving. Further, it can be much faster in solving discrete and nonlinear problems. Therefore, MAS as a distributed restoration algorithm represents an interesting candidate for restoration and control of the distribution system to realize the self-healing operation.

2.4 MAS Communication

A communication system is an essential part of the MAS and plays a crucial role in construction and operation of agents. The communication system is responsible for data exchange among the agents and there are specific communication requirements for different application in power system such as wide area monitoring system (WAMS), protection (WAPS) and control system (WACS) [46]. Each of these application require different response time, data exchange volume and etc., and the communication system should provide the special required bandwidth, latency, reliability for each application. In this dissertation we are going to explore the challenges for communication media in MAS and investigate the effect of communication media on different MAS architectures for fault detection and isolation in power distribution systems. A fault in the distribution network often interrupts the electric power to all the consumers along the feeder. The reliability of the supply can be improved in a fast and cost-efficient manner if we could do the fault location, isolation, reconfiguration and restoration operations faster. One of the most common protection methods used for radial distribution systems is having a recloser at the substation which will isolate the feeder in case of short circuit faults. Whenever a fault occurs the recloser opens and closes three times to check whether the fault still exists in the feeder or not. If the fault does not clear after these attempts, it locks out and disconnects the power of the whole feeder. This
problem may be solved by identifying and isolating of fault before the recloser has been opened for the lock out status. Agents in power systems can heal (isolate) the fault through communicating with each other. Communication media for this application can be based on wired communication technologies such as fiber optics, power line communication (PLC) systems, copper wire line or wireless technologies such as cellular networks (i.e. GSM/GPRS/WiMax/WLAN). This dissertation investigates the latency and reliability of centralized and decentralized MAS approaches in fault detection and isolation applications of power distribution systems for wired and wireless communication technology scenarios. This study requires an integration of power system and communication network models to simulate the performance of different control strategies considering communication between agents. Continuous time simulation of power system and discrete event simulation of communication network have to be integrated. Although co-simulation of power system and communication network behind it is a relatively new topic in power system applications, there are some works done in this area [47]. EPOCHS is an approach for building a power system modeling tool considering the underlying communication network. In this method, simulators for power system and communication run separately and halt at fixed synchronization points. A similar work is also reported in [48]. In [49], Matlab Simulink and OPNET are used to study the communication system on the reliability of WAMS applications. In this work we used S-functions in Simulink software to model the communication between agents and there is no need for synchronizing the communication and power system softwares since they are both using the Simulink engine to run. The S-function callback methods used for modeling communication system, perform tasks required at each simulation stage. During simulation of a model, at each simulation stage the Simulink engine calls the appropriate methods for each S-Function block in the model.

2.5 Learning Algorithms

Although the agents in MAS can be programmed with behaviors designed in advance, it is also necessary that they learn new behaviors online, such that the performance of the agents and MAS gradually improves.

In this dissertation, the novel concept and detailed system structure of self-healing power distribution grids with learning capability is proposed. MAS use an integer
non-linear optimization method to choose the configuration that maximizes the weighted current delivered to the loads and learns from its behavior for future decision makings; preventing the time consuming optimization process each time a similar failure occurs. Q-learning which is a popular reinforcement strategy learning approach is used widely to help agents learn from their experiences[50].

Q-Learning algorithm which is a reinforcement learning algorithm was proposed by Watkins to deal with Markov Decision Problems (MDP) [50]. It has been extensively used to solve the strategy control and learning problems of autonomous agents. Authors in [44] used Q-Learning for dynamic reconfiguration of shipboard power systems. In [23] Q-Learning is used for power grid systems restoration. The Q-Learning technique introduced in this dissertation is modified for power distribution systems and uses Q-Matrices as the repositories for all the information learned through previous restoration experiences.

It is an online learning approach, which not only let agents to tune themselves with time but also re-adapt to the non-stationary environment. This makes Q-learning less susceptible to system drift due to equipment aging, replacements and etc. In [39, 44] and [36] Q-learning techniques have been used for shipboard and distribution power system reconfiguration and restoration. The main noteworthy contribution of this research is the modified Q-Learning approach which specifically is designed for self-healing power distribution systems. And also the methodology that is used to teach agents to use their experiences and update their knowledge as the system is running.

A Q-learning agent learns by interaction with dynamic power system environment and does not need a teacher. At each time step, agents perceive the complete state of the system and take an action. Agents learn by evaluating the quality of the transition in the system state due to the taken actions. With learning and when agents are holding much information, they become confident about their decisions and can do the restoration faster and without doing the optimization process.

## 2.6 Load Shedding

Power outages in distribution systems can create power mismatch between generation and loads. Power balance in an electricity grid must be maintained on a second by second basis since electricity cannot be stored easily in a cost effective
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manner. When a fault occurs, firstly the fault should be located to be able to isolate the faulted zone. Secondly, the service should be restored to the loads which are in the fault-free areas.

The ability to control the load is important when running an electric power network. Thus Load Shedding (LS) and Demand Response Management (DSM) programs are becoming increasingly widespread among electrical users. DSM is specifically devoted to peak demand shaving and to encourage efficient use of energy. LS is a methodology used to prevent from overloading the power system to keep the frequency and voltage profiles in the standard boundaries and acts in a preventive way [51]. In order to do the load shedding program, it is necessary to have priority classification for the PDS customers.

The diffusion of Distributed Generation (DG) can be a further opportunity to improve the management of the electric system. It is widely expected that DG will have a significant contribution in the PDS, where small generating sources located close to the loads are dispersed in distribution networks. It means many customers can be supplied by the distributed resources. The adoption of DG’s can considerably increase the reliability of the PDS in case of outages.

The objective of this work is to develop a distributed Multi Agent System (MAS) to restore the power to high priority loads after the outages happen. After fault location and isolation using the available techniques [12, 13], MAS tries to find the optimal reconfiguration to re-energize the fault-free zones. In general there are three ways to restore the service for fault free zones,

1. Direct feeder restoration: the zones upstream the fault zone can be restored by reclosing the recloser at the top of each feeder.

2. Load transfer restoration: If other feeders have enough extra power to provide for out-of-service areas, and there are tie switches that can transfer the power.

3. Load-shedding restoration: load shedding restoration is when there is not enough available power to restore all the out-of-service zones. If there are Distributed Generation (DG) sources in the out of service zones, they can be used to restore some loads. If the sum of DG capacity and available power from other feeders is less than the zone’s power demand, load shedding can be done to restore the service to as many loads as possible.
It is possible to estimate the load that must be shed before restoration switching in order to keep the power balance in the system. The traditional load shedding strategy is to shed a fixed amount of load when the frequency is decreasing. Fast-acting load shedding is presented in [52]. Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) based load shedding strategy has been presented in [53]. Another load shedding strategy with online measurement of loads’ frequency is discussed in [54, 55].

It is difficult to determine the amount of the load to be shed when the system loads change dynamically and has significant penetration of generations that are stochastic in nature, such as wind turbines, solar cells, etc. In this dissertation, authors presented a distributed MAS-based system that finds the near-optimal configuration for restoration using the available local data. A new fuzzy-based load prediction methodology has been used in this work that allows agents to predict their future load profile and update their knowledge with the online monitoring data. More detail on the proposed load shedding algorithm is presented in Chapter 9.

2.7 Available FLIR Systems

Until the 1990S, technological breakthroughs in the automation of electric distribution systems were slow to materialize. Early SCADA (supervisory control and data acquisition) systems promised to improve reliability. But many of the early systems were little more than an extension of SCADA beyond the substation fence. With their relatively low computational and communication capabilities, these early systems required a high degree of human intervention and offered little in the way of true automation. As a result, expectations were not always met, leading to the termination or scaling back of many projects. Nevertheless, many lessons were learned and technology has advanced, especially through the 1990s and to the present. More advanced automation applications have been developed that are far more practical and manageable for utilities to implement. Some tools for feeder automation have been available for many years. Below we presented the S&C Electric Company product (IntelliTEAM – SG) which is a patented and commercialized product and compare it to the proposed FLIR system presented in this dissertation [1, 56].
2.7.1 Intelliteam SG [1]

In 2003, the IntelliteAM Automatic Restoration System was introduced by S&C Electric Company. IntelliteAM II is a patented automatic power restoration system that uses distributed intelligence and peer-to-peer communication to switch and isolate the faulted line section and restore power to unfaulted line sections.

IntelliteAM utilizes ties to multiple circuits, enabling a wide variety of circuit configurations to maximize the restoration potential. Distributed intelligence prevents line overloads. IntelliteAM can automate parts of a circuit in need of attention or an entire distribution system consisting of open-loop feeders with multiple tie points to other feeders. It can be used both tactically and strategically, based on the reliability problems the utility needs to solve.

2.7.1.1 IntelliteAM Theory of Operation

IntelliteAM dynamically tracks system conditions on overhead and underground distribution systems and provides fully automatic fault isolation and service restoration. “Team” and “coach” metaphors help to describe IntelliteAM operation. Each team is defined as a line segment bounded by two to eight automated switches, each of which can represent a different power source. Each team has a software coach. If an outage or line fault occurs, local control logic determines if a switch should open. The coach of each affected team then uses real-time data, including voltage and current data gathered prior to the system event to develop a restoration strategy for unfaulted sections. The coaches of adjacent teams then work together through shared controls to implement strategies that will maximize restoration of the circuit within the prioritization rules defined by the user.

Breakers and reclosers can also be incorporated as team members with the addition of a module that interfaces to the electronic relay or control that operates the breaker or recloser.

Fig. 2.1 illustrates the team concept. The coach distributes data and coordinates the operation of automated switches, reclosers, and breakers. Coach travels to each team member within a prescribed time period. Coaches are responsible for restoration decision makings and they move to each switch that has the capability for restoration and ask the neighboring team coach to move to the same switch to
make restoration decisions. When a coach moves to a team member to investigate
the restoration possibility, it searches for the neighboring coach from the adjacent
teams.

In the event of a loss of communication, service restoration may be inhibited, but
an automated switch, recloser, or breaker can still isolate a fault based on local
fault detection and isolation software logic.

![Diagram of IntelliTEAM](image)

**Figure 2.1: An example of IntelliTEAM used to automate four open-loop distribution circuits**

At the beginning of a fault or outage event, any team member detecting the event
will communicate to all the other team members and the coach, reporting the
time, type of event, report identification, and so on. The coach also monitors the
status of each device, ensuring that all are in the right open or closed position to
allow progress to the next restoration step.

In order to close a switch, the coaches of adjacent teams must grant permission
based on circuit conditions, location of fault, and an evaluation of loading condi-
tions. When all appropriate conditions are met, the switch can be closed to restore
service to a feeder segment. This process is repeated for each segment in turn. As
switches close, the IntelliTEAM system updates available capacity on the feeders
used to restore service, ensuring maximum service restoration even at maximum
peak load.
Following a reconfiguration event, and subsequent repair and restoration of the faulted line section, team members can return to their normal state. Once the “return to normal” is initiated, the coaches begin the process of returning each team to its normal configuration. The return-to-normal process begins at the team closest to the normal source and works outward, using either an open or closed transition approach as defined by the user.

To support the distributed control architecture of IntelliTEAM, a peer-to-peer wide-area communication network is essential. There are only a few commercially available wide-area communication systems that readily support such a network. Typically, communication for the IntelliTEAM system is provided by 900-MHz UtiliNet spread-spectrum radios. The UtiliNet radio system transports data using a connectionless mesh architecture. This architecture allows each radio in the network to act as both a repeater radio and a data transceiver for an automated switch. The radio network is highly scalable and obviates the need to invest in the tall master radio towers prevalent in point-to multi point radio networks.

Fiber-optic networks have also been utilized for high speed peer-to-peer communication, typically in underground applications where fiber is installed along with the underground cable infrastructure.

2.7.1.2 FLIR & IntelliTEAM

In Intelliteam the local control logic determines if a switch should open after a loss of voltage, and/or fault current event. A team member would open to isolate the fault after sensing the fault currents and two times loss of voltage (because of recloser operations). Also, if a switch does not sense the fault current it opens after two times lost of voltage. The fault location can be determined based on the location of switches that sensed the fault current. This FLI method has the following disadvantages:

- It does not support the DG penetration: In case there are DG’s in the power system and two way power flow exists, proposed FLI system might not be able to locate the fault.

- It requires threshold settings for fault currents which is challenging and should be modified after any change in the system configuration.
For restoration, the coach of each affected team uses the real-time data, plus voltage and current data gathered prior to the system event, to develop a restoration strategy for un-faulted sections. The coaches work together to implement strategies that will maximize restoration within the prioritization rules defined by the user. A coach moves from the switch to switch based on the predefined source priorities and checks the restoration possibility through that switch and by negotiating with the neighboring coaches.
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Multi Agent Systems

3.1 MAS Concept

In order to explore the potential benefits of MAS to power engineering, the basic concepts and approaches associated with multi-agent systems need to be understood. This leads us to a basic but essential, and unfortunately difficult, question: what is an agent?

3.1.1 Agent Definition

The computer science community has produced myriad definitions for what is an agent. While all the definitions share a basic set of concepts: the notion of an agent, its environment, and the property of autonomy [57]. An agent is merely "a software (or hardware) entity that is situated in some environment and is able to autonomously react to changes in that environment." The environment is simply everything external to the agent. In order to be situated in an environment, at least part of the environment must be observable to, or alterable by, the agent. The environment may be physical (e.g., the power system), and therefore observable through sensors, or it may be the computing environment (e.g., data sources, computing resources, and other agents), observable through system calls, program invocation, and messaging. An agent may alter the environment by taking some action: either physically (such as closing a normally-open point to reconfigure a network), or otherwise (e.g., storing diagnostic information in a database for others to access).
3.1.2 Intelligent Agent

An agent which displays flexible autonomy, i.e., an intelligent agent, has the following three characteristics.

- **Reactivity**: an intelligent agent is able to react to changes in its environment in a timely fashion, and takes some action based on those changes and the function it is designed to achieve.

- **Pro-activeness**: intelligent agents exhibit goal-directed behavior. Goal-directed behavior connotes that an agent will dynamically change its behavior in order to achieve its goals. For example, if an agent loses communication with another agent whose services it requires to fulfill its goals, it will search for another agent that provides the same services. This pro-activeness is described as an agent’s ability to “take the initiative.”

- **Social ability**: intelligent agents are able to interact with other intelligent agents. Social ability connotes more than the simple passing of data between different software and hardware entities, something many traditional systems do. It connotes the ability to negotiate and interact in a cooperative manner. That ability is normally underpinned by an Agent Communication Language (ACL), which allows agents to converse rather than simply pass data.

While an agent, displays the characteristic of reactivity, in order to be classed as an intelligent agent, an agent must also have some form of pro-activeness and some form of social ability. It is the goal-directed behavior of individual agents and the ability to flexibly communicate and interact that sets intelligent agents apart.

3.1.3 Definition of a Multi-Agent System

A multi-agent system is simply a system comprising two or more agents or intelligent agents. It is important to recognize that there is no overall system goal, simply the local goals of each separate agent. The system designer’s intentions for the system can only be realized by including multiple intelligent agents, with local goals corresponding to subparts of that intention. Depending on the definition of agency adhered to, agents in a multi-agent system may or may not have the ability to communicate directly with each other. However, intelligent agents must have
social ability and therefore must be capable of communication with each other. For the sake of this work, the authors have focused on MAS where this communication is supported. This clearly differentiates the type of MAS discussed in this work from other types of systems.

### 3.2 MAS Architecture

In order to manage and control the power distribution systems more efficiently, multi agent systems have been employed recently to solve the challenges in current old fashioned power networks. MAS are used for fault diagnostics, system monitoring, reconfiguration and restoration, protection and etc. In general there are two kinds of MAS structures which are centralized and decentralized strategies. In the following more details about both centralized and decentralized approaches and their application in self-healing power systems is presented. It is important to have a common Language for Agent Communication, without which, the coordination and negotiation will hardly be successful. There are some standards for agents’ communication languages (ACL) for MAS, among them Knowledge Query and Manipulation Language (KQML) and Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) are most notable [58]. In these standards the message types have been standardized and the message intent specified. A FIPA ACL message will contain one or more message parameters, according to the requirements of the agent application. In some MAS architecture, agents have different functionalities and responsibilities such as training agents, fault locating agents and coordinating agents and the tasks will be divided among the agents to process [25]. But in this work, agents have all the functionalities and are considered to be autonomous. In general there are two kind of control strategies in controlling agents in MAS, which are centralize and decentralize coordination strategies. Figs. 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 show these architectures. In the following paragraphs each of them is described with more detail.

#### 3.2.1 Centralized

Centralized approaches are mostly conventional and tend to be inadequate for future power systems because of deficiencies in robustness, openness, and flexibility
and single point of fault. In centralized approaches a coordinator agent is responsible for managing a group of sub-agents. Fig. 3.1 shows the information flow in centralized approaches. In this architecture all the agents send their data to a control center and wait for the commands. Control center runs the fault location and isolation algorithms and make the decisions. Commands will be sent back to corresponding agents through the communication channel.
3.2.2 Decentralized

With the introduction of distributed power generation, load control, market operations, increasing complexity in the distribution network, and an increased number of interconnections, the operation of a modern power system is extremely complex. Multi-agent systems provide a technology for flexibly controlling the modern power system. The current approach of using a central SCADA system and several smaller distributed SCADA systems is no longer sufficient for certain control operations. An approach that provides intelligent, fast, and adaptable local control and decision making is required.

Taking the example of active distribution networks, management and control of complex networks present a number of challenges, not least in the scalability and flexibility of solutions. A number of researchers are considering agent-based approaches as an alternative to centralized power system management and control. By distributing management and control functionality using intelligent agents, decision-making regarding network restoration, reconfiguration, the dispatch of generation, and the management of loads can be locally managed. Local decision-making would require agents capable of a range of actions, such as monitoring local conditions, controlling switches and other plant, and coordinating with other regions of the network.
Although decentralized approaches are more robust and flexible rather than centralized ones but the disadvantage with the decentralized strategies is not reaching the global optimal solutions in all scenarios since the agent’s communications are just limited to neighbors. In this approach all agents are in the same level of functionality and communicate with the neighbors to a specified neighborhood. In this architecture agents try to make the optimal decision based on the local condition and in the case of malfunction of any agent, other agents can keep working. Agent’s link to control center is just considered as a supervisory monitoring. If the control center also plays a role in decision making, this architecture could be considered as hybrid architecture too.

### 3.2.3 Hierarchical

In this strategy agents are working in different levels of decision makings. Agent’s levels from high to low are control center agent, recloser agent, zone agent and switch agent. Each zone agent is in charge of a group of switch agents. Low level agents don’t have much authority and just can communicate with higher level agents. Higher level agents have a better overlook over the network condition and can use their upstream agent’s comments in their decision making process. The information flow in this architecture is bottom–up and the comments and commands are coming from higher level agents. In this architecture we considered zone agents as decision makers which ask the recloser agent for the permission before sending commands to switch agents. Disadvantage with this architecture is that higher level agent’s malfunction can create critical situations for all the sub-agents.

### 3.2.4 Hybrid

Hybrid MAS structures can take the advantages of both centralized and decentralized approaches and reach the optimal restoration plan. In this architecture, MAS works in a decentralized manner but agents will have access to information further than the immediate neighbors in the case of availability. Therefore, they can make better decisions and since they are not just relying on centralized data, even failures in accessing the centralized data do not affect the MAS much. Proposed MAS is composed of hierarchical level of agents which have different responsibilities.
3.3 Proposed Architecture

We first describe the West Virginia Super Circuit (WVSC) in Fig. 3.4, that falls into the scope of this work and then MAS architecture, agent types and self-healing process flowchart are presented.

WVSC is divided into different zones connected through 16 controllable switches, shown with circles in Fig. 3.4. A circle illustrate the status of a switch {black circle(*): closed; white circle(○): open}. Feeder agents are located at the recloser location. The West Run Substation has five feeders, two of which (WR-3 and WR-4) are monitored for faults. The substation feeders are equipped with reclosers. When there is a fault in the system, the recloser will go through its trip and close operations as configured.

The entire number of agents in a power distribution system substation corresponds to the overall number of substations reclosers and switches.

The switches along the feeder are for data acquisition and their role is restricted to record voltage and current waveforms (plus fault location and isolation). Agents are computers with high protection standards and powered by uninterruptible power supplies (UPS) that are installed at some switch locations. MAS is accommodated in these computers.

To perform the FLIR for a self-healing PDS, the hierarchical distributed MAS framework is employed. As shown in Fig. 3.5, there are three type of agents in the proposed MAS, i.e., ZA, FA and SA. These agents are intelligent units that have problem solving capabilities and can communicate, resolve, coordinate and debate with other agents and make decisions. Zone agents are the lowest level agents which are in contact with their neighbors. Each feeder agent is in charge of a number of zone agents and communicates with the other feeder agents. Substation agents just have a communication link to their feeder agents and also neighbor SAs.
Figure 3.4: West Virginia Super Circuit

Figure 3.5: MAS Structure
3.3.1 Agents

3.3.1.1 Zone Agent (ZA)

Distribution feeders are divided into sections by the installed switches along the feeder. The physical switch at the top of each section represents the corresponding zone agent. ZAs can directly communicate with their neighbor ZAs and also corresponding FA.

A ZA monitors the status of the corresponding zone voltage, current waveforms and calculates its current usage. ZA contains a load profile estimation function that provides a mechanism for them to predict the future zone load which is required for the FLIR algorithms.

3.3.1.2 Feeder Agent (FA)

A FA is located at the top of each feeder in the recloser location. When FA is provided with the fault location by ZAs, it starts negotiation with potential alternate sources and decides whether to solve the mathematical optimization problem or use the learning model solution based on the available knowledge about the system’s state.

In Fig. 3.6 the procedure of a FA operation is illustrated. Firstly, the FA monitors the system state through measured data by the meters installed at the recloser location. FA is responsible for restoration of its zones in case a fault occurs in any of the downstream zones and contains functions for learning, restoration optimization, load prediction and communications. Each function is discussed in detail in the rest of dissertation.
3.3.1.3 Substation Agent (SA)

The role of the SA is to negotiate with other SAs which have tie switches to faulty zones. They provide the required data from other substations for their faulted FAs.

3.4 MAS Communications

Different communication technologies supported by two main communication media, i.e., wired and wireless, can be used for data transmission between agents. Each of the wired and wireless technologies has their own advantages. Wired technologies are more expensive but don’t have interference. Wireless communications have the ease of connection to difficult areas and are cheaper. In the following sections the time delay and reliability of wired and wireless communication media are discussed.

3.4.0.4 Wired

Wired technologies such as fiber optics, Power Line Communication (PLC) systems, copper-wire line are used in existing Wide Area Networks (WANs). Among the wired technologies, Power Line Communication (PLC) could be a promising communication technology for MAS communications [59]. However because of noisy environment and low capacity of narrowband PLC, its viability is questionable.

3.4.0.5 Wireless

Wireless communication technologies like ZigBee, 6LowPAN, Z-wave, WiMAX, 4G and 3G cellular networks and etc. are the choices which can provide the data flow among the agents. Long range communication is usually achieved through technologies like WiMAX and cellular networks, whereas short range applications (in-home) use technologies like ZigBee [59]. Although these technologies have different characteristics in this work we just assume an average range of delay and reliability values for our simulation. In this work we considered the Routing and
media access latency of 5 - 500 milliseconds, the serial delay is assumed to be less than 2.5 milliseconds and the inter packet delay 1 millisecond.

### 3.4.0.6 Communication Languages

Mechanisms for the communication between agents underpin their social abilities. As agent technology has matured, a number of different methods for interagent communication have been developed. One of the first Agent Communication Languages (ACL) to be used by different researchers across different fields was the Knowledge Query and Manipulation Language (KQML), which emerged in the early 1990s through the U.S. government’s DARPA knowledge-sharing program. In recent years, KQML has been superseded by FIPA-ACL [58]. FIPA-ACL message contains 13 fields (see Table 3.7). The first and only mandatory field in the message is the performative field that defines the type of communicative act or speech act. By classifying the message using a performative, FIPA-ACL ensures that recipients will understand the meaning of a message in the same way as the sender, removing any ambiguity about the message’s content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Message Field</strong></th>
<th><strong>Description</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>performative</td>
<td>type of communication act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sender</td>
<td>participant in communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>receiver</td>
<td>participant in communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reply-to</td>
<td>participant in communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>content</td>
<td>content of message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>language</td>
<td>content language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>encoding</td>
<td>encoding of content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ontology</td>
<td>ontology used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protocol</td>
<td>protocol for conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conversation-id</td>
<td>ID for conversation control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reply-with</td>
<td>conversation control parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in-reply-to</td>
<td>conversation control parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reply-by</td>
<td>conversation control parameter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3.7 illustrates the flow of messages specified by FIPA for a query-ref interaction. For example, agent A may be interested in the details of distributed generators currently connected to a local MV network. If agent D is responsible
for the management of that network, agent A could ask agent D for details of all the cases it knows of where local generators are currently connected, by using the query-ref communicative act.

Figure 3.7: Agent interaction diagram showing the protocol for query-ref communicative act
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Fault Location & Isolation

A key aspect of self-healing when applied to PDS is the need to identify the fault location. The essential characteristics of improved fault management are:

First
Quicker detection that an outage has occurred.

Second
Accurately determining the location of the fault.

Third
Isolation of the faulted section of a feeder.

Forth
Re-energizing the un-faulted sections of the feeder outside the isolation zone, upstream and/or downstream of the faulted section.

By fast locating and isolating the faulty zones, ZAs can notify the corresponding FAs to start the restoration process such that the degree of damage can be reduced. The MAS technology makes it possible for the agents to freely exchange information; accordingly, improving the accuracy and quickness of the fault location and isolation [60].

The state-of-the-art of the employed method in this dissertation is the distributed fault location and isolation strategy by ZA’s which use their current and voltage phasors as well as their neighbors.
4.1 Fault Location Equations

Each agent has access to its neighbor ZA’s data and can calculate the current injected to area between itself and upstream or downstream agents by using the Kirchhoff’s law, i.e.

\[ I_{Z_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\text{Enterance}} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_{\text{Exit}} \quad (4.1) \]

where \( I_{Z_k} \) is the current usage of zone \( k \), defined as the difference between the sum of currents entering the zone (\( I_{\text{Enterance}} \)) and currents leaving the zone (\( I_{\text{Exit}} \)). ZAs communicate with their direct neighbors regularly (every 5 mins) and update this value. By monitoring the trend for \( I_Z \) changes, each ZA can determine whether there is a fault in its zone or not. Changes are calculated using

\[ I_{ZC} = (\frac{|I_{\text{new}}| - |I_{\text{old}}|}{|I_{\text{old}}|}) \times 100 \quad (4.2) \]

4.2 FLI Algorithm

Fig. 4.1 shows the fault location, isolation algorithm flowchart. In normal operation, agents update their load profile data and also update their neighbor’s data. Agent’s pull out the meters recorded data during the last recloser trial with highest resolution and compare the data with recent normal operation data.

As a completely decentralized approach, ZAs just have access to local information and they have no information about the topology. The following algorithm specifies the fault location and isolation steps for ZAs.

(1) Each ZA is aware of its current usage using equation (4.1) and updates it sequentially along informing its neighbors.

(2) When there is a fault in the feeder and recloser is going for its three trials, FA informs the ZAs to be aware of the trials.

(3) ZAs pull out the higher resolution measured data during the recloser trials period and update their drawn current value using equation (4.1).

(4) After the recloser locks out, agents exchange the recent measured data during trials with their neighbors.
(5) Next, using equation (4.2) ZAs analyze the changes in their drawn current before and during the recloser trials.

(6) The $Z_A_i$ with fault sees the highest changes in the index, while other zones either see negative or much lower percentage changes in their load profile.

(7) $Z_A$ starts communicating to the downstream $Z_A$ to confirm isolating the fault.
This process is stopped by $ZA_i$, when it confirms with its next neighbor ($ZA_{i+1}$) and knows that the fault is between itself and its downstream neighbor.

After isolation of the faulted zone, all other feeder zones will disconnect. In this way, they can be recovered one by one and restoration constraints can be better satisfied.

### 4.3 Fault Classification

Faults such as Low Impedance Fault (LIF), High Impedance Fault (HIF) and In-Rush Current (IRC) are the potential fault types in power distribution systems. An autonomous MAS operation and control strategy can improve the accuracy and quickness of fault location and isolation in dealing with these faults.

If a fault is a low impedance fault (LIF), proposed MAS may accurately detect the fault because of changes in phase current and indices given in (4.2). Generally, in case of ground faults, all the agents between the fault position and recloser experience the fault current and the fault phase might experience low voltage too. The changes in $I_Z$ for the faulty zone will be larger than fault-free zones. In case of phase to phase faults, the voltages may show close to 180 degree phase difference with one another, which can be varied depending on loop load impedance.

If the fault is a high impedance fault (HIF), the recloser and other protective devices might not detect the fault since the fault current is smaller than the load current, although it depends on the fault impedance value. HIF can be distinguished from the load currents using harmonic analysis and the wavelet transform [30].

When the fault zone location and type of the fault are determined, switches of the fault zone can be opened to isolate the fault zone and after informing the FA, FA can use the restoration methodology to transfer the fault-free zones to the other feeders.
4.4 Fault Location Results

In order to test the operation of the MAS and power system and to evaluate its performance, different fault scenarios were simulated and the results are presented in this section.

4.4.1 Impedance Analysis

4.4.1.1 Case I: phase to ground fault between switch 2 and 3

At time 20 second a single phase to ground fault occurs between switch 2 and 3 according to Fig. 3.4 The recloser detects the over current and locks out. During the three recloser operations the data are communicated among the agents and the agents detect the fault type and zone based on changes in current and impedance values change. Fig. 4.2 shows the recloser operation after fault occurrences. Fig. 4.3 and Fig. 4.4 show the current and impedance change from recloser point of view.

![Figure 4.2: Recloser operation](image)

In this case, impedance values for the switches after the switch 3 in feeder WR-3 does not change after fault occurrences and by this way agents know that the faults is not downstream the switch 3. The difference between the input and output current of zone 1 where the fault occurs is different and it’s another criterion which agents use to locate the fault.
4.4.1.2 Case II: phase to phase fault between switch 2 and 3

A phase A to phase B fault occurs at the time 20 second and Fig. 4.5 and Fig. 4.6 show the current and impedance changes before and after the fault and during the recloser operations. In this case both phase A and B current increased and the impedance decreased.
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4.4.2 Proposed Algorithm

In order to show the effectiveness of proposed fault location, isolation method and investigate the effect of DG sources, different fault scenarios with up to 50% DG penetration level are simulated. The fault simulation is performed according to the following procedures. First, Each ZA obtains its neighbors zones data every 5 minutes and updates its knowledge about the neighbors. Upon receiving the
recloser trials, ZA_i’s record the data during the trials with highest resolution (16 samples per cycle). Next, Each agent can calculate its zone power usage and inform the neighbors about the updated values. Finally, Each ZA_i can decide whether its neighbor zone is the fault zone or not. Here, Faulty ZA_i initiates the fault isolation and asks the neighbor ZA_{i+1} to open. At this time, switches at both side of the fault are open and ZA_i informs the corresponding FA that the fault is isolated, so that FA can start the reconfiguration and restoration.

Table 4.1 summarizes the simulation results for some fault scenarios. The LG-a field represents the line to ground fault for phase a, the LL-ac field the line to line fault between phases a and c. In the last column, SW – i shows the number of switches that need to be opened to isolate the fault.

Table 4.1: Fault Location & Isolation Simulation Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>DG Penetration</th>
<th>I_{ZC} (%)</th>
<th>Sw’s to Open</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>LG-a</td>
<td>Zone 1 of F3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LG-a</td>
<td>Zone 1 of F3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Zone 2&amp;5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LG-c</td>
<td>Zone 3 of F3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LG-c</td>
<td>Zone 3 of F3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Zone 2&amp;5</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LL-ac</td>
<td>Zone 3 of F3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Zone 2&amp;5</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LG-abc</td>
<td>Zone 2 of F3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Zone 2&amp;5</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effectiveness of the proposed method can be identified by comparing the I_{ZC} field shown in Table 4.1. The I_{ZC} index increases the most for the faulty zone and in the other zones the index is decreased or not changed much. This means that the ZA experiencing the fault can accurately determine whether the fault had occurred in its zone or not.

Fig. 4.7 shows the calculated I zone for zones 1, 2 and 3 while a single line to ground fault is located in zone 2 and without the DG penetration. Fig. 4.8 shows the results for the same scenario but with the 50% DG penetration. In this scenario DGS are located in zone 2 and 4. The red line shows the faulty phase.

The I-zone index just increased in the faulty zone and in the other zones the index is decreased in both cases with or without DG generation. Fig. 4.9 shows the I-zone index for DG penetration of 50% with a line to line fault in zone 2. I-zone
Figure 4.7: Calculated index for DG penetration of 0%

Index increased in both zone 1 and 2, but change percentage in zone 2 is a lot greater than the zone 1.
Figure 4.8: Calculated index for DG penetration of 50%

Figure 4.9: Calculated index for DG penetration of 50%
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Service Restoration

Service restoration is one of the distribution automation (DA) functions which represent the cornerstone for smart grid development strategy. Service restoration is defined as finding a suitable backup feeders and laterals to transfer the loads in out-of-service areas using operational criteria through a series of switching operations. Performing the restoration task under emergency situations makes it time limited and a complex problem. Self-healing represents the heart of the smart grid. Self-healing can be described as a system that when subjected to a fault, it will be able to automatically and intelligently perform corrective actions to restore the system to the best possible state, thus enabling it to perform its basic functions. Therefore, the ability to quickly and flexibly reconfigure the network to restore the de-energized loads by the fault represents the key component of self-healing function. Key Benefits of the automatic service restoration are:

- **Increase network reliability**: by proactively monitoring the grid for potential problems.
- **Enhance operational efficiency**: by managing restorations electronically and reducing the need for radio communications.
- **Improve customer satisfaction**: by reducing the time without power for customers.
- **Lessen operational costs**: by quickly determining the exact fault zone and reducing the crew’s traveling time along the feeders.
• **Reduce time to restore power:** by using the FLIR algorithms and faster fault location, isolation and service restoration.

After isolation of the fault by ZAs and once total net power and demands of fault free zones required for restoration are obtained, corresponding FA uses mathematical optimization technique to evaluate the alternative reconfigurations.

## 5.1 Restoration Formulation

The restoration problem is formulated as 0-1 Knapsack Problem, which is a NP-hard combinatorial optimization problem. The mathematical formulation of the problem at state \( s \) is shown below with its objective function and constraints.

**Objective Function:**

\[
\text{Max} \left\{ J(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i (\rho_{ia} \cdot I_{ia} + \rho_{ib} \cdot I_{ib} + \rho_{ic} \cdot I_{ic}) \right\} \tag{5.1}
\]

where \( I_{ia}, I_{ib} \) and \( I_{ic} \) represent the load current corresponding to phases \( a, b \) and \( c \) of \( i^{th} \) zone, and \( \rho_i \) is the weight associated with each zone load, depending on its priority. \( \gamma_i \) is binary value determining whether the \( i^{th} \) zone should be restored or not (1: restored; 0: not restored).

The fulfillment of the objective should be obtained by satisfying the following constraints.

**Constraints:**

1. **Zone Constraint:** The sum of flows into any zone should be equal to the sum of flows leaving the node, plus the zone’s load current.

\[
\sum_{i \in \text{in}_i} I_{i-f} = \sum_{i \in \text{out}_i} I_{i-f} + I_{if} \tag{5.2}
\]

where \( f \) is the phase (\( a, b \) and \( c \)). \( \text{in}_i \) and \( \text{out}_i \) are the set of input and output current flows into and out of zone \( i \). \( I_{if} \) represents the current drawn by zone \( i \) itself.
2. **Source Capacity Constraint:** The sum of the flows going out of a zone should not exceed the total available capacity of the respective zone.

\[ \sum_{i \in \text{out}} I_{i-f} \leq I_{\text{cap-if}} \]  

(5.3)

where \( I_{\text{cap-if}} \) is the available capacity in phase \( f \) of \( i^{th} \) zone.

3. **Radial configuration Constraint:** The radiality constraint ensures that only one branch feeds each zone.

\[ \sum_{i \in \text{in}} S_{i-f} \leq 1 \]  

(5.4)

where \( S \) is the status of switches at the feeding side of the zone and is defined as follows: \( S_i = 1 \) if switch \( i \) is closed and \( S_i = 0 \), otherwise.

4. **Voltage Drop Constraint:** Voltages at end of zones should be within standard limits. The voltage drop is calculated by the \( K - \text{drop} \) value

\[ K_{\text{drop}} = \frac{\text{Percent Voltage Drop}}{\text{kVAmile}} \]  

(5.5)

\( K - \text{factor} \) is the voltage drop percent down a line that is one mile long and serving a balanced three-phase load of 1 kVA. \( l \) is the one way distance and kVA is the actual load of the line [61].

The voltage drop along a line segment is computed by:

\[ V_{\text{drop}} = K_{\text{drop}} \cdot \text{kVA} \cdot \text{mile} \]  

(5.6)

Voltage drop should be within the limits i.e. \( V_d \leq 5\% \). These constraints will ensure that while optimizing the restoration, the voltage constraints are also satisfied.

### 5.2 Illustration

The restoration procedure may be initiated by the faulted FA when it receives the fault location and isolation information from corresponding ZAs. As a result, the
system may be split into two sub-systems, fault upstream and downstream zones. First, FA restores the zones upstream the fault, one by one. Next, to restore the downstream zones, FA searches for the alternative restoration paths using either its learned knowledge or solving the restoration optimization technique for current state. If the learning knowledge is adequate for restoration decision making, FA makes the decision and starts communicating to target feeders. Otherwise, it solves the restoration optimization problem to obtain the optimal post-fault configuration.

To solve the optimization problem, FA sends request for proposals to the neighbor feeder agents which have the capability of transferring power to fault downstream zones through tie switches. Feeder agents are aware of their zones power demand and can respond to a proposal if they can support additional loads that are to be transferred. Once FA receives the net power of fault-free zones that are ready for restoration and also neighbor FA’s extra available power, an optimal load restoration decision can be obtained by satisfying the restoration constraints ((5.2)-(5.4) and (5.6)). Once the zones that can be restored are identified, load restoration process can be started. During load restoration process, zones will be restored one by one by closing the associated switches.

5.3 FLIR Flowchart

Before introducing the FLIR algorithms and learning approach, we describe the steps followed for the healing of the PDS after a fault occurrence. Fig. 5.1 shows a flowchart of the FLIR and learning processes. After the fault location and isolation, the zones upstream to the fault are restored first. Then the feeder agent of the faulty zone goes through the learning database and looks for the related experiences. If FA had enough knowledge about the situation and was confident about the learning solution, it specifies the target reconfiguration. Otherwise, FA goes through restoration optimization and tries to find the optimal reconfiguration. Next, FA knows the target configuration and sends a proposal to the determined feeder agent which has the capability of transferring power to faulted downstream zones through tie switches. Feeder agents are aware of their zones power demand profile and can accept a proposal if they can support additional loads that are to be transferred. If the proposal gets accepted, FA starts to initiate the reconfiguration to restore the out of service zones. After restoration, FA checks the restored zones
from voltage point of view and isolates them if restoration goal had not been achieved. In this method, since agents are updating their knowledge about their environment frequently (every 5 mins), they are aware if other agents have extra power. Therefore, FA just negotiates with one potential service provider at a time, which consumes less time and fewer number of communication messages.
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Figure 5.1: Flowchart of self-healing FLIR with learning of PDS
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Learning Methodology

6.1 Motivation

Although the agents in a multiagent system can be programmed with behaviors designed in advance, it is often necessary that they learn new behaviors online, such that the performance of the agent or of the whole multiagent system gradually improves. This is usually because the complexity of the environment makes the a priori design of a good agent behavior difficult, or even, impossible. Moreover, in an environment that changes over time, a hardwired behavior may become inappropriate.

6.2 Reinforcement Learning (Q-Learning)

In this chapter, the necessary background on multi-agent Reinforcement Learning (RL) is introduced. A reinforcement learning agent learns by interaction with its dynamic environment. At each time step, agents perceive the environment state and take an action based on the observations, which causes the system to transit into a new state. After transition and perceiving the new state, agents reward or punish their actions based on the quality of transition. Q-Learning algorithm is a reinforcement learning algorithm proposed by Watkins to deal with Markov Decision Problems (MDP) [50]. It is extensively used to solve the strategy control and learning problems of autonomous agents.
RL usually can be described as a Markov decision process, in which an agent must choose the sequence of actions that maximize some reward-based optimization criterion [62]. Agent objective is choosing its action in a way that maximizes the reward value function:

\[
V(s, \pi^*) = \max_a \{r(s, s', a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s,a)V(s', \pi)\}
\]  

(6.1)

Where \(r(s, s', a)\) is the reward for taking action \(a\) at state \(s\) for transition to the next state i.e. \(s'\). \(P(s'|s,a)\) is the probability of transiting to state \(s'\) after taking the action \(a\) in state \(s\). and the scalar \(\gamma\) is the discount factor (0 < \(\gamma\) < 1). For any finite MDP, there is at least one policy \(\pi^*\) (optimal solution) that satisfies the following equation i.e.

\[
V(s, \pi^*) \geq V(s, \pi)
\]  

(6.2)

Such a policy is an optimal policy, and the corresponding value function is the maximum value for state \(s\). The famous \(Q\)-function or \(Q\)-Value can be written as:

\[
Q(s,a) = r(s, s', a) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s'|s,a)V(s', \pi)
\]  

(6.3)

By this equation, \(Q(s,a)\) is the total discounted reward of taking action \(a\) in state \(s\) and following the optimal policy thereafter. The object of this is to look for the policy which can maximize the reward in future. Comparing equations 6.1 and 6.3 we have,

\[
V(s, \pi^*) = \max_a Q^*(s,a)
\]  

(6.4)

Where:

\[
Q^* = \max_a Q(s,a)
\]  

(6.5)

If we know the \(Q^*(s,a)\), we can find the optimal policy to take under any state. Therefore, the problem is finding the \(Q\)-values for each state.
6.2.1 Learning

In the $Q-$learning algorithm, the state-action value or $Q-$value associated with every possible state $s$ and each action $a$, is maintained. Assuming agents start with arbitrary initial values for $Q(s, a)$, $Q-$learning allows us to approximate the $Q-$value from empirical examples obtained from the actual experiences. The updating rule is:

$$Q_{t+1}(s_t, a_t) = (1 - \beta)Q_t(s_t, a_t) + \beta[r_t + \gamma V(s')]$$

(6.6)

Where $\beta \in [0, 1)$ is the learning rate parameter which determines how much the new experiences affect the old $Q$ value and is typically time varying, decreasing with time. Authors in reference [63] proved that the sequence generated by equation 6.6 converges to $Q^*$ if all states and actions have been visited often and the learning rate satisfies certain constraints.

6.2.2 Exploration

There are different action selection mechanisms [64] which select the actions based on the $Q-$values. The $Q-$values, $Q(s, a)$ of any given state reflects the effectiveness of the action , with higher values corresponding to better actions. Thus under a greedy policy, the best action to apply to a given state is simply

$$a = \arg\max_{a'}\{Q(s, a') \cdot h\}$$

(6.7)

Where $h$ is duration of extra-power availability (hour). As an immediate consequence of this greedy strategy, actions that are chosen in such a manner that loads with higher rated capacities are likelier to be supplied with power. This is a desirable outcome, as the final sequence of operations tends to deliver power to larger vital loads first. Unfortunately, the greedy rule can obtain the best actions after the algorithm has explored a sufficient number of possible configurations and converged towards the actual $Q-$values. In order to explore the effectiveness of other actions during the learning stages of the algorithm, action selection must be carried out in a probabilistic manner. Under these circumstances, the probability of an action being taken from a state is given by
The quantity $\tau$ is called the temperature. When $\tau$ is high, all actions are almost equally likely. When $\tau$ is lowered the probabilistic strategy becomes increasingly similar to the greedy strategy. Therefore, in order to make the algorithm exploratory, $\tau$ is initialized with a high value $\tau_0$ and decreased gradually towards a final low value $\tau_\infty$. In each iteration, the $Q$–learning algorithm applies the probabilistic strategy of (6.8) to combine exploratory search with exploitation. At the end of the predetermined number of iterations, the greedy strategy is applied to obtain a minimal sequence of switching operations necessary to restore the maximum possible power.

### 6.3 Restoration Learning Approach

The object of the Q-learning is to look up among the experienced restoration scenarios to find a strategy to restore the power to as many loads as possible. This can be achieved using Q-learning for restoration which consists of policy bank module, policy trust module, Q-update module and reward computation module as shown in Fig. 6.1. The function of each module is described in the rest of this section.

The advantage with the Q-learning is that the agents’ knowledge will be continually updated online as the system takes action and receive the reinforcement learning signals from power system. In this work we used the offline learning based on simulation models to initialize the Q values for each scenario. In this
manner, multi agent system will have a primary knowledge about scenarios it might encounter in the future.

In offline mode (Fig. 6.2) multi agent system will carry out the simulations about every restoration scenarios not encountered before to obtain a learning knowledge before applying them to the real world power system.

FAs have limited number of possible remedial actions to restore the power to fault-free zones. By Combining all FAs possible states and behaviors, the state set and action set for restoration can be created. Let \( s_k = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_m\} \) be the state set, and \( a_{kj} = \{a_{k1}, a_{k2}, \ldots, a_{kn}\} \) indicate the possible restoration paths at state \( k \). At any state \( s_k \), any action \( a_j \) produces a transition to another state, \( s'_k \), the changes in objective function as a result of this transition are used as a part of reinforcement to the \( Q \)–Learning algorithm.

\[
R(s, a) \propto [\Delta J(s, a) = J(s') - J(s)] \quad (6.9)
\]

In the above equation, \( \Delta J(s, a) \) represents the improvement in the objective function if action \( a \) is taken in state \( s \). In any state, agents take the action that causes the largest increase in the objective function. \( FA_k \) decides about the restoration strategy according to the accumulated reward values of reinforcement signals for each state, saved into \( Q \)–values. The reward for taking action \( a \) in state \( s \) is a function of the changes in the objective function and voltage level after restoration and is calculated by:

\[
R(s, a) = \frac{\Delta J(s, a)}{P_{\text{Outage}}} \cdot (1 - V_{\text{drop}}\%) \quad (6.10)
\]

Where \( P_{\text{Outage}} \) represents the amount of required power to restore the fault-free zones.
6.3.1 Q-Matrices

For a feeder agent, Q-matrix is the repository of all the information learned through its own experiences. FAs can use this matrix data for decision making and see how the past actions worked. The size of the Q-matrix for each feeder agent depends on the number of zones and number of connected feeders with tie switches.

\[
Q_{FA_x}(s_t, a_t) = \begin{bmatrix}
Q_{11} & Q_{12} & \ldots & Q_{1j} \\
Q_{12} & Q_{22} & \ldots & \ldots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
Q_{1i} & \ldots & \ldots & Q_{ij}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

While \(i\) is number of zones in \(FA_x\) and \(j\) is number of connected feeders to \(FA_x\). \(0 \leq Q_{ij} \leq 1\) represents the accumulated reward for feeder agent \(x\) to ask help from feeder \(j\) to restore the power to zone \(i\) at the state \(s_k\).

For any state, there is at least one action \((a^*)\) that has the largest reward among the possible actions for zone \(i\) restoration in state \(s\).

\[
Q(s, a^*) \geq Q(s, a)
\] (6.11)

6.3.2 Q-Update

During the learning and after transition to any new state the Q-matrix will be updated using a weighted average shown below and the updated Q-matrix will be utilized for action selection in future.

\[
Q_{t+1}(s_t, a_t) = (1 - \beta)Q_t(s_t, a_t) + \beta(\bar{Q}_t(s_t, a_t))
\] (6.12)

Where \(Q\) and \(\bar{Q}\) are the last updated and the most recent obtained \(Q\)-values and \(\beta\) can be interpreted as the parameter of learning rate. We can make use of changing the learning rate to insure the learning efficiency. Since, the alternation of \(Q\)-value can reflect the learning progress [62]; we can use the changes in \(Q\)-value to adjust the learning rate. Therefore; we can define the \(\Delta Q\) as follows:

\[
\Delta Q = \left|\frac{Q_{new}(s, a) - Q_{old}(s, a)}{Q_{old}(s, a) \cdot \eta(s, a)}\right|
\] (6.13)
Where \( n \) is the number of trials for the state \( s \) explored in the past. We can conclude that the value of \( \Delta Q \) should reduce progressively if \( Q \)-learning converges and conversely if the \( \Delta Q \) is oscillating and diverges. Using this algorithm, we will compare the learning result of its current cycle with the similar forward ones and alter the learning rate in order to learn a convergence result.

### 6.3.3 Action Selection Strategy

In this work, we use deterministic greedy strategy for making action choices; it chooses the superior actions according to the current state, \( Q \)-matrix values. If there are more than one alternative for restoration, FAs choose the substitute feeders in such a way that maximizes the total rewards i.e.

\[
a = \arg \max_{a'} \{ Q(s, a') \cdot h \}
\]  

(6.14)

Where \( h \) is the possible duration of restoration. The confidence of \( Q \)-learning in decision making depends on the \( Q \)-value for each action. If \( Q(s, a') \geq 0.95 \) it means that action \( a' \) can provide the power to corresponding fault free zone with a voltage drop less than 5%.

This action selection strategy obtains the best action choices if we have explored sufficient number of possible actions. If not, this action selection strategy might stay in a local superior point. Therefore, in this work we explore all possible remedial actions using simulation to prevent the system trapping in local minima.
Chapter 7

Restoration Case Studies and Simulations

The WVSC (West Virginia Super Circuit) (Fig. 3.4) is used to test the proposed MAS, and the restoration results are compared with similar approaches. The WVSC is simulated by using the SimPower package on MATLAB[65] and also CYME[66] for voltage drop studies, all agents are implemented using the Level-1 user defined functions in Simulink. Since both MAS and power system model are implemented in Simulink, there is no need for an interface between MAS and power system model. This advantage provides a simpler and more accurate simulation model compared to some previous works [17, 25] which developed interfaces between power simulation models and software agents. During the simulation, S-functions use a special calling syntax called the S-function API that enables you to interact with the Simulink engine and synchronize the simulation of agents with power system model. In this chapter after going through two case studies, the performance of proposed approach is analyzed.

7.1 West Virginia Super Circuit

There are 16 switches and 2 reclosers installed in these two feeders to enable the system. Switches are Cooper DAS-15 type three-phase vacuum switches with 15KV, 630 A ratings. 7 switches are normally closed and the other 9 switches will be used for reconfiguration and restoration applications. Fig. 7.1 shows the one line diagram for WR-3 and WR-4 feeders of WVSC. Transformers in each feeder
are 138/12.5 \( KV \) and 33.6 \( MVA \). Simulation results are calculated based on per unit values. The base \( MVA \), voltage values are 6 \( MVA \), 12.5 \( KV \).

![Figure 7.1: The WVSC power system](image)

Table 7.1 shows the peak load data for each feeder of WVSC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feeder #</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KW &amp; KVAR</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1916,746</td>
<td>1381,198</td>
<td>1395,-132</td>
<td>1036,-14</td>
<td>844,-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1467,455</td>
<td>1667,355</td>
<td>1664,26</td>
<td>773,-162</td>
<td>1191,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1866,697</td>
<td>1667,363</td>
<td>1429,-111</td>
<td>1237,85</td>
<td>725,-87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.1.1 Recloser Setting

Time Current Characteristic (TCC) information at two current levels for installed reclosers are given in Table 7.2. These reclosers are programmed to operate three times before locking out. The first reclosing operates on A-curve and the following two reclosings operate on D-curve. The approximate clearing times for A-curve and D-curve are also listed. The reclosers wait for 60 cycles between the reclosing operations.


Table 7.2: Recloser Setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A Curve</th>
<th>D Curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current (A)</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearing Time</td>
<td>5 cycles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 Simulation Models

The simulation model has two parts. The first part is for simulating power system distribution network which is the WVSC by MATLAB Simpower Toolbox. The second part is the multi agent system which is implemented in Simulink by using user defined \( S \)-functions. In the following both parts are discussed in detail

7.2.1 Power System Model

Fig. 7.2 shows the Simulink model for WR-3 and WR-4 feeders. Transformers in each feeder are 138/12.5 KV and 33.6 MVA. Simulation results are calculated based on per unit values. The base MVA, voltage values are 6 MVA, 12.5 KV. A block is designed to generate reclosers operation. Whenever recloser senses overcurrent, it locks out after three operations according to the time periods mentioned in Table 7.2, if the fault is not cleared. Lines are modeled based on the positive, negative and zero sequence impedance values. Different types of faults such as single line to ground, line to line, and three phase faults are modeled with a fault block in Simpower Toolbox and ground resistance is considered to be 0.1 ohm. Feeder loads are modeled with active and reactive power.

The power system model could simulate in both discrete or continuous models. Since continuous model is more accurate the power system is modeled using the continuous mode.

7.2.2 MAS Model

The multi agent system is implemented using the \( S \)-function blocks of MATLAB Simulink. Each agent is modeled by an S-function. \( S \)-functions (system-functions) provide a powerful mechanism for extending the capabilities of the Simulink® environment. An S-function is a computer language description of a Simulink block written in MATLAB®. \( S \)-functions use a special calling syntax
called the \textit{S}–function \textit{API} that enables you to interact with the Simulink engine. They follow a general form and can accommodate continuous, discrete, and hybrid systems \cite{65}. Fig. 7.3 shows the MAS model.

Since the MAS is mainly including agents communication, and communications are intrinsically discrete in time, MAS works in discrete mode and it also provide the base to model communication delays and latency.

Since the measured data resolution is 16 samples per cycle, the agents can access to power system model simulation data with 16 samples per cycle resolution, the
MAS discrete step simulation time should be 0.001 second. The switch agents have access to voltage and current measurement data and they calculate the impedance value based on the voltage and current rms value. The data packets that switch agents send to their corresponding zone agents have 9 elements. These elements are voltage, current and impedance of each of three phases.

The agents communicate using Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) standard [58]. Some message performative examples include:

- **REQUEST**: the sending agent requests the receiving agent to perform some action.
- **QUERYIF**: the sending agent asks the receiving agent whether or not a given proposition is true.
- **INFORM**: the sending agent informs the receiving agent that a given proposition is true.

### 7.3 Restoration Results

To verify the effectiveness of the proposed restoration approach, a number of restoration scenarios on the WVSC have been developed under different fault conditions. We are only demonstrating two typical samples: Single phase fault in zone 3 of $F_3$ and phase to phase fault in zone 4 of $F_4$.

#### 7.3.1 Case I

A single phase to ground fault occurs in zone 3 of $F_3$. $ZA_3$ and $ZA_5$ isolate the fault and inform $FA_3$ about the fault location. After fault isolation, $F_3$ zones split into upstream zone ($Z_0$&$Z_1$) and downstream zone ($Z_5$). Upstream zones will be restored by re-closing the recloser. $F_3$ looks for the alternative restoration path to restore zone 5 using the learning data base or restoration optimization process. The restoration process steps are displayed in Table 7.3.

$F_3$ first tries to find the reconfiguration using the learning knowledge and by using the $Q$–matrix. If $F_3$ finds an action promising with high reward expectation,
then it starts negotiating with determined FAs to initiate the reconfiguration. Otherwise, FA3 start to solve the restoration optimization problem using the obtained information.

**Table 7.3: Proposed MAS FLIR procedure (Case I)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td>F3 informs the ZAs before the recloser runs the trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td>ZAs calculate the introduced indexes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3</td>
<td>analyzing the indexes ZA3 detect the fault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4</td>
<td>ZA3 asks ZA5 to isolate the fault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 5</td>
<td>ZA3 and ZA5 lock out and inform F3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 6</td>
<td>F3 restore the upstream zones (Z1 and Z0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 7</td>
<td>F3 tries to solve the problem using Q-matrix (Q_{F3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 8</td>
<td>F3 decide to asks for help from F6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 9</td>
<td>upon receiving F6 confirmation, F3 asks F6 to close SW6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 10</td>
<td>F6 closes the SW6 and Z5 will be restored</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feeder 3 in WVSC is composed of 4 zones and is connected to 4 other feeders through 5 tie switches. Z0 and Z1 will be restored by re-closing the recloser and Z5 needs to be restored through other possible sources. In this case, the amount of required power to restore Z5 is 700 kW. The calculated Q–matrix for F3 at this state (s_{peak}), which is considered to be the peak load, is calculated using simulations results in peak condition.

\[
Q_{F,3}(s_k, a_{ij})=
\begin{bmatrix}
F4,2 & F2,4 & F4,8 & F6,6 & F7,7 \\
Z0 & .98 & .61 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Z1 & .65 & 1 & .06 & 0 & .01 \\
Z3 & 0 & .72 & .22 & .78 & .09 \\
Z5 & 0 & .97 & .85 & .98 & .77
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Where \(F_{i,j}\) represents the alternative source feeder number \(i\) connected to \(FA_3\) through switch number \(j\). The amount of available power from \(F6\) is 900 kW. Where .98 represents the degree of suggestion for \(F3\) to receive power from \(F6\) through tie switch number 6 to restore Z5. Each row of the Q–Matrix represents the degree of suggestion for receiving power from possible feeder agents. Using the corresponding Q–Matrix for the current state, feeder agent 3 can find the optimal reconfiguration for restoration using equation 6.14. The accumulated reward for restoration through tie switch with \(F6\) is .98 for Z5. Since the calculated index
for receiving power from $F6$, 6 is the largest, $F3$ starts negotiating with $F6$ and after confirmation, tie switch number 6 is closed and the power is restored through feeder 6. Fig. 7.4 shows the WVSC one line diagram during the fault and after reconfiguration for cases I and II.

The $Q$-value for the recent transition should be updated using equation 6.12. The updated value for $Q_{44}$ with the learning rate of 0.01 is increased to 0.99, which shows an improvement in the confidence of taking the corresponding action. The updated $Q$-Matrix will be used for future decision makings and is shown below.

$$Q_{FA}(s_k,a_{ij})=\begin{bmatrix}
 F4,2 & F2,4 & F4,8 & F6,6 & F7,7 \\
 Z0 & .98 & .61 & 0 & 0 \\
 Z1 & .65 & 1 & .06 & 0 & .01 \\
 Z2 & 0 & .72 & .22 & .78 & .09 \\
 Z3 & 0 & .97 & .85 & .99 & .77 \\
\end{bmatrix}$$

### 7.3.2 Case II

Line to line fault in zone 2 of feeder 4. The fault location is shown with (*) in Fig. 7.4. The simulation conditions are the same as case I, except here it is a line to line fault. The $Q$-matrix for $F4$ in the peak state is:

$$Q_{FA}(s_k,a_{ij})=\begin{bmatrix}
 F8,15 & F8,12 & F3,2 & F7,9 & F3,8 \\
 Z0 & .47 & .61 & 0 & 0 \\
 Z14 & 1 & .97 & .38 & 0 & .08 \\
 Z1 & .32 & .22 & .96 & .27 & .32 \\
 Z10 & .07 & .04 & .49 & 1 & .89 \\
\end{bmatrix}$$

In this scenario, using equation 6.14, $F7$ is chosen to restore the power to zone 10. The updated values for $Q_{44}$ is 1.

It has been demonstrated through the obtained results that the proposed MAS design can find a sub-optimal target configuration in every case, using either mathematical optimization or learning knowledge, if it exists. It should be noted that the learning solutions are more reliable than the mathematical programming approach, because they will be updated over time as the system runs and reflect real-world experiences.
7.4 Performance Analysis

The restoration time is a significant index and the time consumed by agents to make restoration decisions matters. Using the proposed MAS framework with learning capability make the restoration faster than similar previous work. The intended MAS structure is for power distribution systems that are technically advanced and where all agents are equipped with communication capabilities, processing units and switches that can be operated automatically. These type of systems can be operated centrally from the control center or can be operated using decentralized, hybrid or hierarchical approaches. Proposed MAS architecture is different from centralized [21, 22], decentralized [24, 67], hybrid [23] approaches presented in previous work for using a hierarchical MAS architecture and learning based decision making.

Table 7.4 shows the number of required communication messages during the restoration for centralized, decentralized, hybrid and proposed approaches, assuming that the fault is located and all the zones are isolated. Fewer number of messages means less delay and computational burden for agents to manage the zones and can lead to a faster service restoration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Agents</th>
<th>Centralized</th>
<th>Decentralized</th>
<th>Hybrid</th>
<th>Proposed Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The advantage of the proposed MAS design over hybrid and decentralized approaches is that each agent just transmits its own messages and does not carry the neighbor agents messages. Although with the rise of system scale, number of messages in decentralized and hybrid approaches is less than the centralized approach, they still require more communication messages than the proposed approach.

It should be noted that the proposed MAS design requires less communication messages transmitted than other approaches because the communications are goal driven and learning knowledge helps the agents to prevent useless communication operations.
Chapter 8

Communication Performance Analysis

In multi agents systems synchronized real time information is a key factor for reliable control of power distribution systems. Hence, it is critical to define the communication requirements and design the reliable, secure and cost-efficient communication system. MAS require a two way reliable communication with guaranteed quality of service i.e. low latency and sufficient bandwidth.

8.1 Latency and Reliability Formulations

In an engineering context, “inform” and “request” are two common types of messages in data transferring among the agents. After “inform” and sending each data packet, agents wait for acknowledgement. If no acknowledgment is received within a timeout period, the sender will resend the data. The process is repeated up to a predefined number of times depending to application. This method ensures that either messages are delivered to the destination with reliability 1, or the sender will be alerted of the loss of communication with a specific receiver. Such reliable communication is needed because agents need the synchronized data of the neighbors to make the decisions. This reliability comes at the cost of higher delay (due to retransmission).
Chapter 8. Communication Performance Analysis

Figure 8.1: Communications Between Agents for FLIR

The communication messages vocabulary or “ontology” for messages depends on application. In the application considered in this work, information packet contains the voltage and current Phasor and the times tag for each phase i.e.

\[(V, I, \psi_v, \psi_i, t)\]  

(8.1)

Where \(V\) and \(I\) represent the voltage, current and \(\psi\) represent the phase angle for \(V\) and \(I\) at time \(t\).

8.1.1 Latency

Real-time data communication in MAS includes online metering of data and reading messages within a very short time interval. Depending on the type of application, time requirements are different. In case of fault isolation, and from protection point of view, the fault current should be disconnected in approximately 100 ms. The total signal latency may be represented as [68]:
\[ T = T_{com} + T_{cc} \]  
(8.2)

\[ T_{com} = T_s + T_p + T_r \]  
(8.3)

\[ T_{cc} = T_{process} + T_b \]  
(8.4)

### Table 8.1: Time Delays for Latency Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T_{com} )</th>
<th>Communication delay</th>
<th>( T_{cc} )</th>
<th>Processing delay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_s )</td>
<td>Serial delay</td>
<td>( T_b )</td>
<td>Inter packet delay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_p )</td>
<td>Propagation delay</td>
<td>( T_r )</td>
<td>Routing delay and media access</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Propagation delay can be calculated by dividing the length of transmission media by the velocity of transmission media.

\[ \frac{\text{LoM}}{\nu} \]  
(8.5)

Where \( \text{LoM} \) is the length of media and \( \nu \) is the data transmission velocity. (e.g., 0.6c to c, where \( c \) is the speed of light). The routing and media access delay for a node can be calculated by adding all the routing and media access delays in between the node and the control center. Router and media access delay represent the latency introduced by the Network and MAC layer devices en route the message:

\[ T_r = \sum_{i=1}^{\text{NoR}} (T_{\text{router}}^{th} + T_s + T_p) \]  
(8.6)

Where \( \text{NoR} \) is the number of routers. Compared to \( T_r \), \( T_s \) and \( T_p \) are negligible in the communication scenarios of interest (<1ms). Therefore \( T_s \) and \( T_p \) are ignored in the rest of this work. For simplification we also assume that \( T_r \) is same for all the links between sender-transmitter pairs. The value of \( T_r \) is dependent on the network load in the shared communication medium (like wireless channels) or in
the routing devices. The main factor in networks such as WiMAX is how much of the bandwidth is consumed by users and whether a part of the bandwidth is dedicated for MAS communication (using strict scheduling algorithms).

8.1.2 Bandwidth

The required bandwidth depends on the size of the power system network and the number of messages. Power system communication may be carried over a dedicated network, or a dedicated part of an existing network (sharing resources with existing traffic from other sources). In either case, as long as the amount of available bandwidth is sufficiently larger than the required BW (e.g., 20% or more), when the amount of load becomes close to the available BW, the expected latency starts to grow quickly. Therefore, the effect of BW can be to a good extent accounted for by considering different levels of latency as long as the assumption of available BW being more than the offered load is correct.

8.1.3 Reliability

Providing the system reliability has become one of the high priorities of utility companies. Power distribution system reliability is tied up to MAS communication system reliability and communication system malfunction can lead to huge problems in power system control and operation. However, wireless links are inherently unreliable. Reliability can be achieved through higher layer protocols and retransmission of data. Reliability calculations for communication system can be done based on the assumption that two corresponding agents can be in contact if and only if all the links and nodes in between work properly. Assuming that reliability can be described as the probability of a link or router successfully delivering a message, and assuming independence of links and routers, we can write:

\[
R_{Agent} = \prod_{i=1}^{NoR} R_{i^{th \text{ router}}} \times \prod_{i=1}^{NoL} R_{j^{th \text{ router}}} \tag{8.7}
\]

Where \(R_{Agent}\) is the reliability of the reception for the considered agent and \(NoR\), \(NoL\) represent the number of routers and links correspondingly. \(R_{i^{th \text{ router}}}\) and \(R_{j^{th \text{ router}}}\) represent the reliability of the \(i^{th}\) and \(j^{th}\) router, respectively.
are the reliability of the routers and links in between two communicating agents. For simplification the reliability of routers and links are considered to be constant.

### 8.2 Co-simulation of Power System and Communication Network

The simulation model has two parts. The first part is for simulating power system distribution network which is the WVSC by MATLAB Simpower Toolbox. The second part is the multi agent system which is implemented in Simulink by using user defined S-functions. The important issue of power/communication co-simulation is the accurately synchronization of two models in time. In this framework power system is modeled as continues time system which changes the system state variables with respect to time. However the communication network simulation model works using a discrete method. Matlab engine calls the output and derivative portions of the S-functions (agents) at minor time steps to compute the states for agents. More detail about the power/communication models is presented in the following. The multi agent system is implemented using the S-function blocks of MATLAB Simulink. Each agent is modeled by an S-function. An S-function is a computer language description of a Simulink block written in MATLAB®. S-functions use a special calling syntax called the S-function API that enables you to interact with the Simulink engine. They follow a general form and can accommodate continuous, discrete, and hybrid systems. Since the MAS is mainly including agents communication, and communications are intrinsically discrete in time, MAS works in discrete mode and it also provide the base to model communication delays and latency. The measured data resolution is 16 samples per cycle, and agents can access to power system model simulation data with 16 samples per cycle resolution, the MAS discrete step simulation time is fixed on 0.001 second.

We assumed that the message reception rate in this specific application should be more than 0.999 percent. Based on this assumption the expected time delay for each technology is described in Table 8.2.

If the reception probability can be calculated by:

\[
P_{\text{reception}} = 1 - (1 - P)^N
\]

(8.8)
Where $P$ is the probability of successful communication for each trial, $N$ is the number of send attempts for each message and $T_L$ is the required communication time for each link.

\[
P_{\text{reception}} > 0.999
\]  
(8.9)

Table 8.2: Expected Delay for Each Communication Link

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Expected delay for each link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wired</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>$2T_L$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>$5T_L$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$3T_L$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wireless</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>$2T_L$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8.3 shows the processing time and communication delay for each considered scenario. The total delay is calculated by summation of communication and processing delays range in different simulation trials. The processing time in the simulation is assumed related to the number of messages processed by each agent.

Table 8.3: Best and Worst Case Latency for MAS Structures with Wired and Wireless Communication Media (MS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAS Architecture</th>
<th>Number of Messages</th>
<th>$T_{\text{com}}$ Wired</th>
<th>$T_{\text{com}}$ Wireless(8)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{com}}$ Wireless(9)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{cc}}$ Wired</th>
<th>$T_{\text{cc}}$ Wireless(8)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{cc}}$ Wireless(9)</th>
<th>Total Delay Wired</th>
<th>Total Delay Wireless(8)</th>
<th>Total Delay Wireless(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centralize</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>28-3323</td>
<td>78-7500</td>
<td>45-4527</td>
<td>44-3339</td>
<td>94-7546</td>
<td>84-4888</td>
<td>45-4527</td>
<td>94-7546</td>
<td>84-4888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchical</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49-5000</td>
<td>125-12500</td>
<td>75-7500</td>
<td>57-5008</td>
<td>135-12508</td>
<td>83-7508</td>
<td>75-7500</td>
<td>135-12508</td>
<td>83-7508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributed</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>40-4154</td>
<td>162-10457</td>
<td>69-6006</td>
<td>56-4160</td>
<td>108-10463</td>
<td>75-6012</td>
<td>69-6006</td>
<td>108-10463</td>
<td>75-6012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Length of communication media has a direct relationship with the latency and reliability of communication system. Length of Communication media in centralized structure is longer than the hierarchical and in hierarchical it’s longer than the distributed one. Therefore the distributed architecture could be the optimal structure from reliability point of view.

In a centralized architecture, all the messages will be transmitted to control center in parallel. After the processing the data, control center sends the command signals
to the corresponding agents. In a hierarchical structure, messages are transmitted in parallel in each hierarchy, but between the hierarchies, messages are transmitted in series (Fig. 8.2). In the distributed architecture there is no hierarchy and each agent’s communication are done in parallel, therefore when the number of agents rise, number of transmitted messages per agent does not rise as much.

The investigated MAS in this work just contain 16 agents. That is why the centralized approach has the least latency. In case of larger networks the efficiency of distributed MAS structure won’t be affected much while the other structures will be affected. Because in distributed architecture agents just talk to their neighbors, but in other architectures number of messages and consequently processing time will increase as the network size increase. In the simulated WVSC both centralized and distributed approaches have close latencies and could be the optimal choice.

In a sample simulation scenario a single phase to ground fault is created in the WVSC model and the MAS structure is considered to be hierarchical. Fig. 8.3 shows the fault voltage and current data before and after communication for this scenario, the first part shows the simulated power system waveforms, the second shows the corresponding agent sampled data and the third one shows the received signal by the neighbor agent through communication.
Figure 8.3: Measurement Data ($V$ & $I$) and Transmitted Data to Control Center for Distributed Architecture of MAS
Chapter 9

Load Shedding

Power outages in distribution systems can create power mismatch between generation and loads. Power balance in an electricity grid must be maintained on a second by second basis since electricity cannot be stored easily in a cost effective manner. When a fault occurs, firstly the fault should be located to be able to isolate the faulted zone. Secondly, the service should be restored to the loads which are in the fault-free areas.

The objective of this work is to develop a distributed Multi Agent System (MAS) to restore the power to high priority loads after the outages happen. After fault location and isolation using the available techniques [12, 13], MAS tries to find the optimal reconfiguration to re-energize the fault-free zones. In general there are three ways to restore the service for fault free zones,

1. Direct feeder restoration: the zones upstream the fault zone can be restored by reclosing the recloser at the top of each feeder.

2. Load transfer restoration: If other feeders have enough extra power to provide for out-of-service areas, and there are tie switches that can transfer the power.

3. Load-shedding restoration: load shedding restoration is when there is not enough available power to restore all the out-of-service zones. If there are Distributed Generation (DG) sources in the out of service zones, they can be used to restore some loads. If the sum of DG capacity and available power from other feeders is less than the zone’s power demand, load shedding can be done to restore the service to as many loads as possible.
It is difficult to determine the amount of the load to be shed when the system loads change dynamically and has significant penetration of generations that are stochastic in nature, such as wind turbines, solar cells, etc. In this chapter, authors presented a distributed MAS-based system that finds the near-optimal configuration for restoration using the available local data. Proposed MAS agents in the bottom-up order are Load Agent (LA), Distributed Generation Agent (DGA), Zone Agent (ZA), Feeder Agent (FA) and Substation Agent (SA). A new fuzzy-based load prediction methodology has been used in this work that allows agents (LA and DGA) to predict their future load profile and update their knowledge with the online monitoring data. In proposed framework, FAs are responsible for restoration and load shedding decision makings and use a nonlinear programming technique to obtain the optimal reconfiguration for restoration.

9.1 Multi-Agent System

This section introduces the proposed MAS architecture, the agents responsibilities and function design for load shedding and restoration application in PDS.

9.1.1 Agent Architecture

The proposed MAS comprises five types of agents shown in Fig. 9.1. Their responsibilities are defined below,

- **Load Agent (LA):**
  A LA resides at any bus that has connected load. LA monitors load parameters, such as voltage, current, and active power consumption. Due to the fact that loads have different priorities, LA will need to know its own priority as well. During an outage, if there is not enough power available to serve all loads, ZA’s will send a control signal to lower priority loads to disconnect.

- **Distributed Generation Agent (DGA):**
  A DGA monitors the DG parameters, such as DG voltage and status. DGA provides its information to the corresponding ZA, including maximum capacity of DG and power generated by DG. During an outage ZA will negotiate
with its DGAs to see if there is enough power available with DGs to supply the requested loads.

- **Zone Agent (ZA):**
  Zone Agent act as a coordinator between LAs, DGAs and FAs, it informs the FA about the amount of the power it requires and its loads priority and communicates the restoration decisions to its LAs and DGAs. ZA is also responsible for load prediction, detecting and isolating the fault zone when there is a fault in its zone.

- **Feeder Agent (FA):**
  Makes the reconfiguration, restoration and load shedding decisions based on the information it gathered from its ZAs and also the neighbor FAs. After decision making, FA sends the decisions to ZAs and they perform the required switchings with respect to FA commands.

- **Substation Agent (SA):**
  SA is responsible for negotiations with other SAs when there are tie switches with other SAs and also for SCADA level communications.

![Figure 9.1: MAS Architecture](image-url)
9.1.2 Agent Application Design

Each type of agent processes different type of data. The knowledge for each type of agent is summarized in Table 9.1. The term fact is used to represent the agent’s knowledge. Facts contain information either of the associated agent itself or the agent external environment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Fact</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Vital/Semi-vital/Non-vital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus Voltage</td>
<td>p.u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Load status</td>
<td>on/off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required Power</td>
<td>MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Load Priority</td>
<td>integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGA</td>
<td>Bus Voltage</td>
<td>p.u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DG status</td>
<td>on/off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>type</td>
<td>Solar/Wind/ ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZA</td>
<td>Bus Voltage</td>
<td>p.u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus Current</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Top Breaker status</td>
<td>on/off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End Breaker status</td>
<td>on/off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Power Consumption</td>
<td>MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predicted Demand</td>
<td>MW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connected LAs</td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connected DGs</td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fault Zone</td>
<td>1/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>Feeder Voltage</td>
<td>p.u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feeder Current</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recloser Status</td>
<td>on/off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neighbor FAs</td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connected ZAs</td>
<td>ID</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2 Active Learning Method

Active Learning Method (ALM) is a universal fuzzy modeling method. This method has two main features that make it very similar to the way that human mind processes different phenomena. ALM mainly deals with phenomena
modeled as Multi Input Single Output (MISO) systems and assumes that the proposed MISO systems comprise several Single Input Single output (SISO) systems, so ALM only deals with one input and one output at a time. This feature makes ALM very similar to the human brain. In addition, ALM uses a novel fuzzy interpolation method called Ink Drop Spread (IDS) [69] to construct the individual SISO systems. To model and estimate a MISO system, ALM decomposes it into many SISO systems. Then as shown in Fig. 9.2, each SISO system is expressed in a data plane created by gathering data from system when it is fed by different inputs. Each data plane provides two distinct features of the given data; the first feature is a curve called narrow path that is extracted from IDS method which will be discussed in the next section. The proposed narrow path indicates output behavior regarding to only one input; In other words, each narrow path determines the best function describing the relation between the output and one specific input. The other feature is called the spread of the points around the narrow path. Spread demonstrates the deviation of the data points from the narrow path. In other words, spread determines the degree of the dependency of the output on each specific input. As discussed later, this degree can be used to obtain the firing rate of each fuzzy rule [69, 70].

![Figure 9.2: IDS plane: (a) Five data points spread on a plane (b) Ink spreads and narrow path](image)

### 9.2.1 Ink Drop Spread (IDS)

IDS is the core part of ALM which extracts narrow paths and spreads [71, 72]. In IDS we assume that every point in the input-output plane acts as a light source. The illuminating pattern of the light source has conical shape and is considered as a spatial fuzzy membership function. The illuminating patterns of different
points are superposed forming new bright areas [71]. This concept is illustrated in Fig. 9.3. Finally, the narrow path is extracted by applying the center of gravity defuzzification method. After the extraction of narrow path, the spread value for every point in the narrow path is obtained by measuring the deviation of data points around the narrow path.

**Figure 9.3: Each data point has a pyramidal fuzzy membership**

### 9.2.2 Combination of Fuzzy Rules

According to Shouraki et al. [73] ALM fuzzy rules have a general form shown below and the fuzzy scaling factors for the rules will be obtained by using the difference between the membership degree of the points on the narrow path and the membership degree of their neighbor points on the plane:

\[
\text{If } x_1 \text{ is } A_{1i}, \cdots \text{ AND } x_n \text{ is } A_{nm} \\
\text{Then } y \text{ is } \beta_{1i}U_{1i}, \cdots \text{ OR } \beta_{nm}U_{nm}
\]

Where,
- \(A_{nm}\) : is the \(m^{th}\) domain of \(n^{th}\) input
- \(U_{nm}\) : is the narrow path related to \(n^{th}\) input in its \(m^{th}\) domain
- \(\beta_{nm}\) : is the fuzzy number representing the deviation of the data points from the narrow path
The IDS method may be used as a processing engine for the combination rule which can also be represented mathematically as follows:

\[
\text{If } x_1 \text{ is } A_{1i}, \cdots \text{ AND } x_n \text{ is } A_{nm}
\]

\[
\text{Then } y \text{ is } \frac{1}{\alpha_{1i}} U_{1i} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\alpha_{nm}} U_{nm}, \text{ OR } \frac{1}{\alpha_{1i}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\alpha_{nm}}
\]

Where \(\alpha_{nm}\) is the average of the spread function in \(m^{th}\) domain of \(n^{th}\) input calculated by center of area method.

### 9.3 ALM-Based Load Prediction

In the recent times, machine learning methods have proved to be reliable non-linear function approximators [74]. Climate variables and power consumption of a load has been used for the prediction. Table 9.2 shows the list of variables used for the models evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>Day of the month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Time of day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT</td>
<td>Outside temperature ((\circ C))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RH</td>
<td>Relative humidity (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Solar radiation ((W/m^2))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD</td>
<td>Working day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>Active power ((kW/h))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALM splits a MISO system into several SISO systems as shown in Fig. 9.4. There is a SISO system for each of the variables mentioned in Table 9.2. The key idea here is to observe the output behavior of a MISO system with respect to each input variable, which limits the variation range of other effective inputs. Therefore, SISO systems model the MISO system output in a specific partition of
the feature space with respect to the unpartitioned input variables. Each SISO system is then modeled by an IDS unit.

In order to extract the two important features i.e. narrow path and fuzzy spread interpolation operator, IDS method is used. Fig. 9.5 shows the IDS plane for the annual daily power consumption vs. season of the year for a residential zone.

When the data for a specific month are more spread around the narrow path, it means that the confidence level of obtained knowledge is less than when the data are closer to the trajectory. This is similar to the notion of fuzzy membership functions. The spread functions, which show the amount of spread of data on each plane resulting from the effects of other variables, can be calculated using a method presented in [75] by Shouraki and Honda. Then the output of the system can be calculated by:
\[ P\ Demand(day,\ month, \ldots) = \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha_d} U_d + \frac{1}{\alpha_m} U_m + \cdots}{\frac{1}{\alpha_d} + \frac{1}{\alpha_m} + \cdots} \]  

(9.1)

Where \( \alpha \) represent the spread value for each SISO system output \((U)\). Here \( U_m \) represents the output of SISO system for the month feature and \( \alpha_m \) represent the spread function value.

### 9.4 Load Shedding Optimization

In this section the service restoration process is described when there are DG’s available in the network.

#### 9.4.1 LS algorithm flow

When there is a fault in a PDS feeder, after the fault location and isolation process, the fault upstream zones can be restored by re-closing the recloser. Restoration for fault downstream zones is more challenging. The downstream restoration zone refers to the area between downstream fault zone to the normal open tie switches to neighboring feeders. There are two possible sources to restore the downstream zones, available power from DGs and transferable power from neighboring feeders. If the sum of the available power from DGs and supporting feeders could absorb all incoming loads, no load shedding will be done, and the restoration optimization process determines to what feeders loads should be transferred. Otherwise, lower priority loads should be shed to avoid overloading the supporting feeders and DGs, while maximizing the service recovery. Fig. 9.6 shows the flowchart for the service restoration process.

#### 9.4.2 LS Formulations

When fault isolation process is completed, FA starts communicating with neighboring FAs to restore the service to zones downstream the fault location. Considering various operating conditions of PDS, load shedding should be treated as a dynamic problem. Since the loads connect to, or disconnect from the power system continuously, it is necessary to dynamically update the information on load
connection so as to avoid insufficient or excessive load shedding. Therefore, FAs update their knowledge about the existing loads in their zones periodically (every 5 mins). Through communication with its ZAs, FA is aware of its zones demand and also available power from DGs. Total net demand active power $P_{\text{net}}$ for a zone can be calculated using:

$$P_{\text{net}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} P_{DG_i} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{L_j}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9.2)

Where $P_{DG_i}$ is the active power generation from $i^{th}$ DGA and $P_{L_j}$ is the $j^{th}$ LA’s load. Considering the available power, requested demand and PDS network constraints, FA will tries to find the optimal configuration for each phase $(a, b, c)$ using nonlinear programming.
**Chapter 9. Load Shedding**

**Objective function:**

\[
\text{Max}\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} J(s) \right\} \tag{9.3}
\]

\[
J(s) = \gamma_{iv} (\rho_v \cdot P_{iv}) + \gamma_{isv} (\rho_{sv} \cdot P_{isv}) + \gamma_{inv} (\rho_{nv} \cdot P_{inv})
\]

Where \( \rho_v, \rho_{sv}, \rho_{nv} \) are the priority values for vital, semi-vital and non-vital loads in the \( i^{th} \) zone and \( \gamma_{iv}, \gamma_{isv}, \gamma_{inv} \) are the binary values determining whether the \( i^{th} \) zone vital, semi-vital and non-vital loads should be restored or not (1: restored; 0: not restored). \( P_{iv}, P_{isv} \) and \( P_{inv} \) represent the maximum net predicted vital, semi-vital and non-vital power demands for the next 4 hours window for \( i^{th} \) zone loads using the method described above. The Maximum predicted value is used to prevent short time service restorations (\( \leq 4 \) hours) for out of service loads. The fulfillment of the objective should be obtained satisfying the following constraints.

**Constraints:**

1. **Loads Priority:** Semi-vital loads can be restored in case that all the vital loads are restored and respectively non-vital loads can be restored only if all the semi-vital loads are restored,

\[
\gamma_{iv} \geq \gamma_{isv} \geq \gamma_{inv} \tag{9.4}
\]

2. **Zone Constraint:** The sum of power flows into any zone should be equal to the sum of power flows leaving the zone, plus the zone’s power demand.

\[
\sum_{i \in in_i} P_{i-f} = \sum_{i \in out_i} P_{i-f} + P_{if} \tag{9.5}
\]

where \( f \) is the phase (\( a, b \) and \( c \)). \( in_i \) and \( out_i \) are the set of input and output power flows into and out of zone \( i \). \( P_{if} \) represents the net power consumption by zone \( i \) itself.
3. **Source Capacity Constraint**: The sum of the power flows going out of a zone should not exceed the total available capacity of the respective zone.

\[
\sum_{i \in \text{out}_i} P_{i-f} \leq P_{\text{cap}-f}
\]  

(9.6)

where \(P_{\text{cap}-f}\) is the available capacity in phase \(f\) of \(i^{th}\) zone.

4. **Radial configuration Constraint**: The radiality constraint ensures that only one branch feeds each zone.

\[
\sum_{i \in \text{in}_i} S_{i-f} \leq 1
\]  

(9.7)

where \(S\) is the status of switches at the feeding side of the zone and is defined as follows: \(S_i = 1\) if switch \(i\) is closed and \(S_i = 0\), otherwise.

5. **Voltage Drop Constraint**: Voltages at end of zones should be within standard limits. The voltage drop is calculated by the \(K - drop\) value

\[
K_{\text{drop}} = \frac{\text{Percent Voltage Drop}}{\text{kVA/mile}}
\]  

(9.8)

\(K - factor\) is the voltage drop percent down a line that is one mile long and serving a balanced three-phase load of 1 kVA. \(l\) is the one way distance and kVA is the actual load of the line [61].

The voltage drop along a line segment is computed by:

\[
V_{\text{drop}} = K_{\text{drop}} \cdot \text{kVA} \cdot \text{mile}
\]  

(9.9)

Voltage drop should be within the limits i.e. \(V_d \leq 5\%\). These constraints will ensure that while optimizing the restoration, the voltage constraints are also satisfied.

### 9.5 Case Studies

In order to study the performance of proposed schemes, introduced in previous sections, a real power system, West Virginia Super Circuit (WVSC), is simulated.
The simulation model has two parts. The first part is the simulation of the West Virginia Super Circuit (WVSC) in MATLAB Simpower Toolbox [65]. The second part is the MAS which is implemented in Simulink by using user defined S-functions. Since both MAS and power system model are implemented in MATLAB Simulink there is no need for an interface between the two models. This means MAS and power system model can work in real time, which provides a simpler and more accurate simulation model.

Two case studies results are presented in this section. In each scenario, after the fault occurrence and recloser operation all the feeder zones are deprived of power. It is assumed that the fault has been located and isolated by the fault location and isolation algorithm and the fault upstream zones are restored by reclosing the recloser.

### 9.5.1 Test System

There are 16 switches installed in two feeders of West Virginia Super Circuit (WVSC) to enable the system (Fig. 9.7). Switches are Cooper DAS-15 type three-phase vacuum switches with 15KV, 630 A ratings. 7 switches are normally closed and the other 9 switches will be used for reconfiguration and restoration applications. Transformers in each feeder are 138/12.5 KV and 33.6 MVA.

### 9.5.2 Case I: Load Shedding Restoration

A single phase to ground fault is applied to zone 3 (ZA3) of feeder 3. To restore downstream zones, FA3 will start negotiating with the neighbor feeder agents which are FA6, FA4 and FA7. F3 knows the predicted required power to restore zone 5 and asks for the required power for restoration. Both FA6 and FA7 respond with the capability of transferring the required power to feeder 3. By solving the optimization problem using the proposals and circuit’s data, FA6 proposal was decided to be accepted without need for any load shedding. Fig. 9.8 shows the voltage profile from the ZA1, ZA3 and ZA5 point of view, when ZA5 gets the power from FA6. The green and blue colors represent the voltage profile for ZA1 and ZA3, red line shows the voltage profile for ZA5. Since the ZA1 and ZA3 are restored by direct feeder restoration, they have a quicker restoration than ZA5.
ZA5 is restored after 3.5 seconds and at 4\textsuperscript{th} second, ZA5 sheds more loads to improve the voltage profile.

9.5.3 Case II: Including DG’s

In this case a similar scenario like case I is studied, but there are DGs installed in Zone 1 and 5 of feeder 3. After the fault location and isolation, FA3 negotiations
with neighboring feeders determine despite the availability of power from DG’s, there is not enough power available from neighboring feeders to restore the out of service loads through tie switches. Therefore, FA3 decides to run the loads shedding program and shed some of the Non-vital loads before initiating the restoration switching. Fig. 9.9 shows the voltage profile from the ZA1, ZA3 and ZA5 point of view. DG’s are connected to network after 5 seconds, and improve the voltage profile.
Chapter 10

Hardware Implementation

Fault location approaches can be deployed by utilities to estimate the location of electrical faults in a Power Distribution System (PDS) so as to expedite service restoration and improve power quality. For fault location applications, utilities in the past have relied primarily on customer phone calls and supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) data to find out what was going on with their systems. Rarely could the utility automatically and accurately determine outage extent and location.

A key driver and measurement of utility effectiveness is the reliability of provided power to its customers. When permanent faults occur on overhead lines, having automated feeders can greatly enhance distribution grid reliability and reduce restoration of fault-free zones from several hours to a few seconds or minutes. More-accurate locating of fault zone will shorten the fault investigation (patrol) time, which in turn can reduce the total restoration time and duration of the outage experienced by the customers [76, 77].

Considering the existence of multiple laterals and sub laterals tapped off the main feeder in different locations of PDS, time varying unbalanced load profiles, power injection at different location of system by distributed generation, fault locating in PDS is a challenging task. Existing Fault Location and Isolation (FLI) algorithms could be grouped in three main categories;

1. Impedance based methods; these methods usually calculate the apparent impedance sequences using measurement points data and estimate the possible fault locations based on iterative algorithms. Considering the multiple
fault locations estimation in these methods and existence of many laterals in Power Distribution System (PDS) is the drawback of impedance based methods [28, 30].

2. Transient based methods, in which discrete Fourier transform or wavelet transform are used to analyze the fault waveform. It is difficult to guarantee the reliability of these methods because of variety of load characteristics and fault causes in PDS [31].

3. Intelligent methods consist of artificial neutral networks (ANN), Expert systems and etc., ANN based methods need to be trained after any change in system and update the network weights, the other drawback with ANN based methods is that in case of complicated networks they become slow and also need to be trained after changes in network [32, 33].

These approaches are mostly centralized and try to locate the exact fault location which is not the case for this research. Recently, with the development of digital sensors and information communication technologies, new FLI techniques can be applied to PDS. Distributed methods such as Multi-Agent Systems (MAS) have received significantly increased attention recently in the community to handle the complex FLI research and development. In [1], D. M. Staszesky et al. presented an implemented FLI system (IntelliTeam® SG) with team-based structure that use fault current thresholds for fault detection. Presented FLI system requires threshold setting for each installed switch and only support radial power distribution networks.

Authors proposed a distributed multi agent algorithm in previous works, in which agents use local information to locate and isolate the fault in PDS with the presence of Distributed Generation Sources (DGS) [12, 13]. In proposed method, agents are classified as Zone Agents (ZA), Feeder Agents (FA) and Substation Agents (SA), in the bottom-up order and ZAs are responsible for locating the fault and isolating it. Each ZA, according to the rms value of the measured current waveform of itself as well as its neighbors, determines whether there is a fault in its zone or not by monitoring the changes in the current rms values.

The proposed FLI algorithms have been tested through simulation studies and the results are discussed in previous works by the authors, however, its application in a real world power system poses a lot of questions and problems with it. There are various issues such as control and communication between agents and
Chapter 10. *Hardware Implementation*

power system which were not taken into consideration in the simulation studies. Development of a test system platform, capable of emulating a present day power system and MAS will help in dealing with these challenges.

This chapter presents the development of a platform compromising of meters, agent components, loads integrated with a power system platform to verify applicability of proposed method in locating and isolating the fault using a real world case study. Agents are implemented using power quality meters and rugged computers which communicate with MAS through serial and TCP/IP communication links.

### 10.1 Multi-Agent Based Fault Location

In this section a brief description of multi agent system structure along with the proposed fault location and isolation algorithm are presented.

#### 10.1.1 MAS Architecture

As shown in Fig. 10.1, there are three types of agents in the proposed MAS, i.e., ZA, FA and SA. These agents are intelligent units that have problem solving capabilities and can communicate, resolve, coordinate and debate with other agents and make decisions. Zone agents are the lowest level agents which are in contact with their neighbors. Each feeder agent is in charge of a number of zone agents and communicates with the other feeder agents. Substation agent just has a communication link to its feeder agents and also to neighboring SAs.

![Figure 10.1: MAS Architecture](Image of Figure 10.1: MAS Architecture)
Distribution feeders are divided into sections by the installed switches along the feeder. The physical switch at the top of each section represents the corresponding zone agent. ZAs can directly communicate with their neighbor ZAs and also corresponding FA. A ZA monitors the status of the corresponding zone voltage, current waveforms and calculates its current usage. ZA contains a load profile estimation function that provides a mechanism for them to predict the future zone load which is required for the Fault Location, Isolation and Restoration (FLIR) algorithms. In Fig. 10.2 the procedure of a ZA operation is illustrated.

10.1.2 Fault Location Equations

Each agent has access to its neighbor ZA’s data and can calculate the current injected to area between itself and upstream or downstream agents by using the Kirchhoff’s law, i.e.

\[
I_{Zk} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{Entrance} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} I_{Exit}
\]  

(10.1)

where \(I_{Zk}\) is the current usage of zone \(k\), defined as the difference between the sum of currents entering the zone (\(I_{Entrance}\)) and currents leaving the zone (\(I_{Exit}\)). ZAs communicate with their direct neighbors regularly (every 5 minutes) and update this value. By monitoring the trend for \(I_{Z}\) changes, each ZA can determine whether there is a fault in its zone or not. Changes are calculated using

\[
I_{ZC} = \left(\frac{|I_{Znew}| - |I_{Zold}|}{|I_{Zold}|}\right) \times 100
\]  

(10.2)

10.1.3 Fault Location and Isolation Algorithm

Fig. 10.3 shows the fault location, isolation algorithm flowchart. In normal operation, agents update their load profile data and also update their knowledge about
their neighbors. During the fault location process, agents pull out the meters recorded data during the last recloser trial with highest resolution and compare the data with recent normal operation data.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 10.3: Fault location, isolation algorithm flowchart**

As a decentralized approach, ZAs just have access to local information and they have no information about the topology. The following algorithm specifies the fault location and isolation steps for ZAs.

1. Each ZA is aware of its current usage using equation (10.1) and updates it sequentially along with informing its neighbors.

2. When there is a fault in the feeder and recloser is going for its three trials, FA informs the ZAs to be aware of the trials.

3. ZAs pull out the higher resolution measured data during the recloser trials period and update their drawn current value using equation (10.1).

4. After the recloser locks out, agents exchange the recent measured data during trials with their neighbors.

5. Next, using equation (10.2) ZAs analyze the changes in their drawn current before and during the recloser trials.
(6) The ZAi with fault sees the highest change in the index, while other zones either see negative or much lower percentage changes in their load profile.

(7) ZAi starts communicating to the downstream ZA to confirm isolating the fault.

(8) This process is stopped by ZAi+, when it confirms with its next neighbor (ZAi+1) and knows that the fault is between itself and its downstream neighbor.

10.2 MAS Application Design

Application design involves a process of modeling the knowledge that will be used by each agent. Agent’s responsibilities and ontology are discussed below.

10.2.1 Zone Agent Responsibilities

The zone agent represents the zone and communicates with its immediate neighbor zone agents to locate the fault. Each zone agent records the voltage and current waveform with the resolution of 16 samples per cycle and calculates the phasor values. Zone agents calculate and update the indices values introduced in previous section using the current Phasor values (Every minute).

ZA Responsibilities:

- Register to corresponding FA
- Monitoring system parameters e.g. (V, I, f, ...)
- Communicating to neighbor ZAs
- Running FLI algorithm upon FA request
- Accept incoming registrations from FA & ZAs
- Responding to registrations from FA & ZAs
- Storing the information (load profile)
10.2.2 Interaction Between Agents

This subsection describes the general processes of the MAS and the messages used.

10.2.2.1 Ontology

Ontologies are content theories about objects, their properties, and relationships among them that are possible in a specific domain of knowledge. In knowledge-based systems an ontology is that part of the system which specifies what things exist and what is true about them and clarifies the structure of knowledge. The knowledge of each agent is defined by Facts, which represent statements that an agent believes to be true, either about itself or its external environment [78]. Table 10.1 gives a general idea about how these facts are defined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fact</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>IP Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switch Status</td>
<td>Open/Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corresponding FA IP</td>
<td>IP Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream Neighbors IP</td>
<td>IP Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downstream Neighbors IP</td>
<td>IP Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone’s Power Consumption</td>
<td>Watts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each agent type has its own responsibilities and settings. The agent creation process involves the creation of generic agent of each type i.e. (ZA, FA, SA). This is done by attributing certain tasks, rules, coordination protocols and status of each agent. In this work, FIPA compliant coordination protocols are attributed to all agents [58].

The data packets that ZAs send to their corresponding neighbors have 13 elements. These elements are time tagged three phases voltage and current phasors, i.e.

\[ (V_{abc}, I_{abc}, \phi_{abc}, \psi_{abc}^V, \psi_{abc}^I, t) \]  \hspace{1cm} (10.3)

Where \( \phi \) is the phase angle.
10.2.2.2 Communication

When a fault situation occurs and before the recloser runs for the three trials, FA sends a *REQUEST* message to its ZAs and asks them to monitor their zones for faults. When the ZA receives the *REQUEST* message, it pulls out the higher resolution data continuously (up to 512 samples per cycle) and updates the index values during the recloser trials.

After the recloser locks out, ZAs running the FLI algorithm can decide whether the fault is in their zones or not and inform the FA about their zone status. Fig. 10.4 shows the communications when there is a fault between ZA2 and ZA3. FLI process starts upon FA’s request and terminates as ZAs report the fault location. FA will start the restoration process after receiving the fault location signal.

10.3 Implementation of Proposed FLI System

The MAS is deployed on a real hardware testbed built by the Advanced Power and Electricity Research Center (APERC) group. The testbed provides a validation and demonstration platform for the distributed MAS-based fault location and
isolation algorithm. The implementation details on components, communication media and software programs are discussed below.

10.3.1 Components

An agent is a computational entity that perceives its environment through sensors. MAS is composed of several of this kind of agents interacting with each other to achieve a global goal. Fig 10.5 shows the implementation of an agent consisting of a SEL-735 as a sensor and a Nuvo-1000 as a computation unit described in this section.

![Image of SEL-735 and Nuvo-1000](image)

**Figure 10.5: Agent Implementation**

10.3.1.1 SEL

SEL-734 and 735 are 32-bit microprocessor-based power quality meters with a combination of recording, automation, and communications capabilities. Meter shall meet IEC 61000-4-30 power quality accuracy for voltage, current, power, harmonics, and flicker. It simultaneously captures six-channel 512 samples-per-cycle waveforms with programmable logic triggers. Table 10.2 provides more information about the device specification [79].

The SEL-735 has three normal access levels: Access Level 1 (ACC), Access Level 2 (2AC), and Access Level E (EAC). Access level 1 is to view meter settings and quantities, Access level 2 is for configuring all settings and control functions of the SEL-735. From these three access levels, the user can fully configure and
Table 10.2: Specification of SEL 735 Power Quality Meters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>ANSI and IEC Class 0.2 accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording</td>
<td>at least for 140 days at five-minute intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waveform Capture</td>
<td>512 samples-per-cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inputs/Outputs</td>
<td>4 digital inputs / 4 solid-state, or analog outputs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>up to 10 simultaneous communications sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocols</td>
<td>Modbus®, IEC 61850, DNP3, MV-90, ASCII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>$-40^\circ C \sim 85^\circ C$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

operate the meter. User can gain access to the access levels through certain communications protocols. For example, user can write to some registers via DNP and Modbus® after gaining EAC access through the same communications channel. Table 10.3 shows some sample commands to communicate with the SEL-735 meter.

Table 10.3: SEL-735 Command Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access Level</th>
<th>Command</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>Move to Access Level 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Compressed ASCII Fast Meter ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2AC</td>
<td>Move to Access Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EVE</td>
<td>Latest event report, 16 samples per cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MET</td>
<td>Display instantaneous metering data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MET RMS</td>
<td>Display rms metering data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TIM</td>
<td>Show time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>is for setting the meter parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>is for diagnosing troublesome installations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.3.1.2 Processing Units

Nuvo-1000 controller offers extraordinary performance for arithmetic-intensive applications, while its fan-less design provides superb reliability and durability. Nuvo-1000 integrates versatile I/O interfaces in a compact chassis. It provides up to 5 Gigabit Ethernet ports and 2 eSATA ports, which are particularly useful for multi-agent applications. The specification of Nuvo-1003S-620M, which are used to handle the agent responsibilities is shown in Table 10.4 [80].
Table 10.4: Specification of Nuvo-1003S

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processor</th>
<th>Intel® Core™ i7-620M (2.66 GHz, 4MB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>up to 4GB DDR3 1066MHz SDRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Consumption</td>
<td>Maximal: 3.1A @ 19V (58.9W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Temp</td>
<td>$-25^\circ C \sim 70^\circ C$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethernet</td>
<td>5x Intel® 82574L GbE ports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Port</td>
<td>1x P RS-232 &amp; 3x RS-232 (COM1-COM4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USB</td>
<td>6x USB 2.0 ports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.3.2 Communication Media

Serial and TCP/IP communication media have been used to implement the MAS communication. Serial connection is implemented to establish the connection between each SEL-735 and the Nuvo controller. All messaging exchanges among agents are established via the Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol or TCP/IP. The TCP/IP server is implemented in MATLAB [65] to connect agents to their neighboring agents. Table 10.5 shows the setting for each of the implemented communication media.

Table 10.5: Communication Ports Settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>TCP/IP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Port</td>
<td>RemotePort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BaudRate</td>
<td>RemoteHost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminator</td>
<td>Terminator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>NetworkRole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TransferStatus</td>
<td>TransferStatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BytesAvailable</td>
<td>BytesAvailable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ValuesReceived</td>
<td>ValuesReceived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ValuesSent</td>
<td>ValuesSent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FA operates as TCP/IP server for its ZAs and ZAs are FA’s clients. Also, each ZA operates as a TCP/IP server for its downstream neighbor.

10.3.3 Software Program

MATLAB is installed on Nuvo-1000 machine for all agents. Agents tasks and behaviors are programmed into several functions. These function are responsible
for running the agents tasks and negotiations. Software program functions are summarized below:

- Establishing serial connection to the meter
- Establishing the TCP/IP connection to corresponding FA and neighboring ZAs
- Writing registers to SEL meter (To achieve access to SEL and load settings)
- Reading the Serial port buffer continuously
- Filtering the received data
- Communicating (Send/Receive data) to neighboring ZAs
- Running the FLI algorithm upon FA request

These functions are programmed on each agent and run independently.

### 10.3.4 Results and Discussion

The proposed multi-agent system is implemented on a test bed as a simplified distribution circuit that comprises: a 4 kW source, a 2 kW auto transformer, 9 Solid State Relays (25 A), and 3 agents (one FA and two ZAs). Relays are connected to SEL meters with wires. In Figs. ?? a 3 phase fault is applied between ZA1 and ZA2.

Figs. 10.8 shows the rms currents measured by the ZA1 for the period of fault occurrence and re-closer operations.

Recloser sees an increase in feeder current and goes for three trials. The calculated index \( I_{Z_1} \) for the ZA1 in the implemented circuit rise from 0 to 50% after the fault occurs at \( t = 9s \), the index for ZA2 decreases 25%. ZA1 and ZA2 both see this changes in their zones current and decide to isolate the fault zone along with informing the FA about fault location.
Figure 10.6: Implemented PDS Network
Figure 10.7: Laboratory Implementations

Figure 10.8: Measured Fault current and recloser Operation
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Conclusions and Future Work

11.1 Conclusions

In this dissertation, a new multi-agent system design with learning capability (Q-Learning) for power distribution systems automation is presented. The main contributions of this work are:

- Distributed fault location and isolation algorithm:
  Fault location and isolation is the first step of PDS automation system and faster FLI means faster service restoration and shorter outage time. Restoration process cannot be initiated unless the fault location is determined and faulty section is isolated. Therefore, it is important to locate and isolate the fault as fast as possible and preferably using a non-centralized approach. Proposed FLI algorithm in this dissertation is totally distributed and does not require any threshold setting and adjustments. It is important to perform the FLI using a distributed method so that no single point of failure exist in the system. With increasing penetration of distributed generation sources into power distribution systems, it is necessary to consider the effect of DG penetration on FLI applications too. Proposed FLI algorithm is one of the few available algorithms that can locate the fault in power distribution systems with DG penetrations.

- Hybrid approach for reconfiguration and service restoration:
  Once the fault is isolated, the optimal load restoration decision can be obtained using different techniques. Multiagent systems is one of the most
popular approaches for restoration optimization. Both centralized and distributed MAS have been used to find the optimal configuration for restoration. Due to complexity and expansion of present-day distribution systems, centralized systems tend to be inadequate because of dependency on powerful central computing facilities to handle huge amount of data with high communication capabilities, which can lead to a single point of failure. But centralized methods have the advantage of having an overview on all the system parameters and can find the optimal reconfiguration. On the other hand, distributed MAS can overcome the disadvantages of centralized approaches because of their decentralized data processing, which leads to efficient task distribution and eventually causing faster operation and decision making process, but they have the disadvantage of local optima because of availability of only local data. This work presented a hybrid MAS for restoration that takes the advantages of both centralized and decentralized approaches. While agents are designed to make local decisions for restoration, they use the control center data in case of availability. Using the proposed hybrid topology, agents can make the optimal decisions based on the available local data and the data from control center. In the designed MAS framework, agents communicate fewer number of messages and use their learning knowledge for decision making which can reduce the computational burden significantly and improve both cost and speed of distribution automation.

- Real-time MAS and power system simulation:

Existing electric power simulation tools accurately model power systems of the past, which were controlled without significant communication elements. It has not been necessary to simulate communication in order to accurately model these electric power systems. However, over the last decade, power systems have begun to conclude that the use of network communication based on Internet standards is a natural choice to improve on the protection and control systems. Multi agent system frameworks can hide the complexity involved in the combined simulation of power system and its communications, making it easy for users to design new power scenarios involving communication.

The combined simulation of electric power and communication systems is rare in the literature. In this work authors developed a module written in
MATLAB S-functions to allow agents to model behavior in a power system and to communicate over a network. The advantage of developed Co-Simulation model is that both power system model and MAS model softwares run in real-time. Therefore, there is no need to interface and synchronize two different softwares. In our model, the amount of time between synchronization points between the two models has a fixed length.

- **Learning based decision making for restoration:**

  Although the agents in MAS can be programmed with behaviors designed in advance, it is also necessary that they learn new behaviors online from their experiences, such that the performance of the agents and MAS gradually improves. In this dissertation, the novel concept and detailed learning methodology is introduced to help agents in their decision making process. Although agents have the learning capability, they need to take actions to learn from them. In a real world power system fault events do not happen frequently and therefore it takes a long time for agents to gain knowledge about different scenarios. One possible solution to help agents learn faster is initialization of the agents knowledge by simulating different possible scenarios in a software environment and initialize agents knowledge about the consequences of possible actions.

  Using the learning technology, agents in PDS will be expert decision makers over time and can make more accurate and comprehensive decisions for a self-healing distribution system.

- **Distributed load shedding algorithm:**

  Load shedding is the term used to describe the deliberate switching off of electrical supply to parts of the electricity network, and hence to the customers in those areas. This practice is rare, but is a core part of the emergency management of all electricity networks. When there is a shortfall in the electricity supply, there can be a need to reduce demand very quickly to an acceptable level so that the power can be restored to as many higher priority customers as possible.

  In this dissertation a novel automatic distributed load shedding algorithm is presented that operates based on the predicted load profiles. In proposed design load shedding decisions are made locally and in the ZA location. The advantage of the proposed design is that it sheds the minimum amount of
the load while it considers the predicted load profiles for a 4 hours window to prevent short term restorations.

To predict the future load profiles, active learning based load prediction method which works based on fuzzy inferential rules is used that can predicts the load using the available data and learns to improve the prediction accuracy over time using the real-time data.

- Hardware implementation of a distributed FLI in a laboratory platform:

  Although the designed MAS was tested through a few simulation case studies and the validity and effectiveness of the proposed design have been demonstrated by applying it to a practical distribution network (WVSC). Application of the developed algorithms always poses as many problems and questions as it may solve.

  There are various issues such as synchronization and coordination of agents behavior, which can not be taken into consideration in the current power system FLIR studies. Also, there are many issues related to control and communication between agents and power system.

  To verify the applicability of the proposed fault location and isolation algorithms, a distributed MAS platform has been implemented in the APERC laboratory. The developed test system platform is capable of emulating a present day power system and implemented agents will help in dealing with the real-world challenges. It will also help in conducting research on the various technical issues pertaining to the FLIR, distributed generation integration, communications and power system. This platform also can help educate future engineers and make them more knowledgeable about these new system operation concepts.

### 11.2 Future Work

While this dissertation has discussed the design of a MAS for power distribution system automation, many opportunities for extending the scope of this dissertation remain. The following main items can be suggested as future work:

- Using multi agent system technology for Micro Grids control and management:
Microgrid is a possible future energy system paradigm, formed by the interconnection of small, modular generation (micro-turbines, fuel cells, PV, etc.), together with storage devices (flywheels, energy capacitors and batteries) and controllable loads at low voltage distribution systems. Such systems can be operated interconnected to the power grid, or islanded, if disconnected from the grid.

Operation of modern power systems becomes extremely complex with the introduction of distributed power generation, load control, market operation, increasing complexity of distribution networks, and a large number of interconnections. Consequently, real-time control and management of modern power systems has become more complex. Therefore, smart control and energy management systems that are different from those commonly used in the past are necessary for efficient operation of modern power systems. The distributed nature and potential for modeling autonomous decision making entities in solving complex problems motivates the use of multiagent system for the control and management of microgrids. Proposed multiagent system has the autonomous decision making agents that can be modified for real-time control and management of a microgrid.

- Developing a Demand Side Management (DSM) system into the proposed MAS system:
  Demand side management plays an important role in smart grid operation. Controlling energy demand can reduce the peak demand, and reshape the load profile, which increases the grid sustainability by reducing the overall cost and carbon emission level. This will lead to the avoidance of construction of an under-utilized electrical infrastructure in terms of generation capacity, transmission lines and distribution networks. Generation scheduling determines the schedule of generation units within the microgrid subject to operational constraints of the microgrid and generation units. Significant cost savings can be achieved by optimizing the generation schedules over the planning horizon. Intelligent agents with forecasting and communication capabilities can be a possible solution for DSM.

- Hardware implementation of the proposed restoration and load shedding algorithms in a real-world application:
  Although proposed restoration and load shedding algorithms have been tested through simulation studies and the results are discussed by the authors,
however, its application in a real world implementation always poses a lot of questions and problems with it. There are various issues such as control and communication between agents and power system which were not always taken into consideration in the simulation studies. Development of a test system platform, capable of emulating a present day power system and MAS will help in dealing with these challenges.
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