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ABSTRACT

The Effect of Early Skin-to-Skin Contact on Breastfeeding
Cristina Menking-Hoggatt

**Background:** Breastfeeding is beneficial to the health of both mother and infant and fosters optimal growth for the baby in the first two years of life. Evidence based guidelines followed by the Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) includes Ten Steps. Step Four recommends placing the newborn skin-to-skin on the mother’s chest to foster the initiation of breastfeeding in the first hour after birth.

**Objectives:** The first and second objective determined if skin-to-skin contact (SSC) between mother and infant in the healthy postpartum breastfeeding mother affected duration and exclusivity rates at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months. The third objective described mothers’ lived experience with breastfeeding and bonding following SSC per policy.

**Design:** A retrospective, cohort, mixed methods design was used in a small rural hospital in West Virginia (WV). The sample included 55 women who met the inclusion criteria of healthy mothers whose babies were vaginally delivered January 2012 - September 2016. The intervention cohort received SSC per the Baby Friendly Step 4 policy. The comparison group did not receive SSC.

**Methods:** Duration rates, exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) rates, and mothers’ lived experience following SSC were collected via phone interview and transcription.

**Results:** Comparing the SSC to the comparison cohort, breastfeeding duration rates were: 82.4% vs. 61.6% at 6 weeks (p = 0.170); 73.5% vs. 52.4% at 3 months (p = 0.190); 44.1% versus 42.9% at 6 months (p = 0.170). EBF rates were: 73.5% vs. 51.7% at 6 weeks (p = 0.336); 64.7% vs. 52.4% at 3 months (p = 0.533); 20.6% vs. 23.8% at 6 months (p = 1.00). In most time intervals the SSC cohort rates were higher than the comparative cohort rates, yet none of the rates reached significance. Mothers identified that SSC had a positive effect on their breastfeeding and bonding experience in the first months of their babies’ lives.
SKIN-TO-SKIN CONTACT: A MIXED METHODS STUDY
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Chapter I. Introduction

Breastfeeding is beneficial to the health of both mother and infant and fosters optimal growth for the baby in the first two years of life. Evidence based guidelines followed by the Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) includes Ten Steps (Baby Friendly, USA, 2015). Step Four recommends placing the newborn skin-to-skin on the mother’s chest to foster the initiation of breastfeeding within the first hour after birth. The question guiding this capstone project is: How does early skin-to-skin contact (SSC), in the low risk postpartum mother who plans to breastfeed, affect exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) and duration of breastfeeding rates at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months? The qualitative component asks: does SSC at birth affect mothers lived experience with breastfeeding? The method chosen for data collection is a retrospective cohort mixed methods design.

Background

A change currently happening in the health care system in the United States (US) is the interest in decreasing chronic illness through preventative health care. Breastfeeding lends a critical impetus to this change in society (Baby Friendly, USA, 2015). It is a free and natural process that is species specific and nourishes human infants with the ideal food for their growth and development. In the past, it was called “the white blood”. In modern times, research has found that breastfeeding continually produces living cells that protect the baby immunologically; making it a medicine as well as a food (Riordan & Auerback, 1993). Improving long term health and decreasing the rates of chronic illness is particularly important for a state such as West Virginia (WV), where obesity and chronic illness rates are among the highest in the nation (Witters, 2012).

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) in 2007 published a summary of numerous meta-analyses and systematic reviews on breastfeeding and maternal and infant
health outcomes in developed countries that conclusively establish the benefits of breastfeeding (Chung et al., 2007). The benefits of breastfeeding for the infant include protection against gastroenteritis, severe lower respiratory tract infections, otitis media, and necrotizing enterocolitis. The AHRQ report also found that breastfeeding is associated with decreased rates of childhood obesity, type 2 diabetes, sudden infant death syndrome, and leukemia. The benefits of breastfeeding to the mother include a reduced risk for breast and ovarian cancers and type 2 diabetes (Chung et al., 2007).

The early influences that most impact successful initiation of breastfeeding include: the birth setting, the personal health and wellbeing of the mother and infant, the mother’s education and motivation level, her previous experience, SSC, and fulltime breastfeeding without supplementation (Porter, 2004). Currently the most common setting for giving birth in the US is in hospitals (Perry, Hockenberry, Lowdermilk, & Wilson, 2010). In some facilities now and in the recent past for most of babies, it was and is acceptable for the nursing staff not to place the baby in SSC at the birth. Instead, right after the birth, the baby is dried and placed underneath a warmer, examined briefly for a physical assessment, wrapped in a small blanket, and then after completing routine procedures (such as a vitamin K injection and erythromycin ointment to the eyes) handed to the mother for first contact. In contrast, in 2009, BFHI global health standards were revised to include placing all newborns skin-to-skin on the mother within minutes after birth and allowing this to continue through the first hour of life, or until after the first bout of breastfeeding, barring medical complications (Crenshaw et al., 2012). Skin-to-skin contact is defined as occurring when the provider places the naked baby in a prone position against the mother’s skin, on her upper torso or abdomen, immediately after birth (Appendix B).
There are numerous benefits of SSC that the infant can experience: an analgesic effect during procedures (Okan, 2010), decreased hypothermia (Srivastava, 2014), and improved bonding and attachment behaviors (Moore, Anderson, Bergman & Dowswell, 2012). Ludington-Hoe (2015) reviewed the literature and summarized the physiologic effects of SSC as stability in: temperature, respiration, heart rate, and oxygen saturation. Term infants display a set of species-specific behaviors when placed SSC at birth. They find the mother’s nipple through the sense of smell and have a heightened response to odors in the first few hours of life (Porter, 2004). If the baby has uninterrupted SSC immediately after birth he will self-attach to the mother’s nipple which correlates with higher EBF rates (Moore, Anderson, Bergman & Dowswell, 2012). In addition, the mother will experience less postpartum depression (Bigelow, 2012).

The population of the state of WV is 1.85 million people. Between 1999 and 2009, there was an average rate of 46.1 births per 1,000 Caucasian and 56.6 births per 1,000 African American female teens (WV Free Organization [WVFO], 2013). There are many factors that influence the breastfeeding rates of mothers in WV. Racial and economic disparities persist with breastfeeding rates lower among infants of color and among families of low socioeconomic status (Galson, 2009). Decreasing health care disparities is currently an important maternal child health objective of Healthy People 2020 (Center for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2013).

Poverty, poor housing, and low educational attainment is high in WV and research shows that women living with these demographics are less likely to breastfeed (Galson, 2009). WV has one of the highest poverty rates in the nation. The rate of children living in poverty is 25%. The overall poverty rate for WV versus that of the U.S. is 18.6% versus 15.5% (County Health Rankings [CHR], 2014). If the parent is a teen (12% of parents in WV) it is less likely that the
mother will finish high school; which in turn effects lower employment opportunities and decreases household income in the future (CHR, 2014).

Another risk factor that negatively impacts breastfeeding rates in WV is the lack of health insurance. Women with low income have a tendency to delay care and receive inadequate prenatal care; the rate of uninsured in WV is 18% (CHR, 2014). Inadequate social support and encouragement from family and friends is also a risk factor that can undermines a mother’s success in breastfeeding. The rate of mothers with inadequate social support in WV is 19% (CHR, 2014). Breastfeeding rates remain low in WV. The US Breastfeeding Report Card in 2016 reports the rate for mothers’ who initiate breastfeeding in the US was 81.1%, for WV it was 64.6%; at six months the duration rate for the US was 51.8%, for WV it was 35.8%. The EBF rate for the US at three months was 44.4%, for WV it was 32.6%; at six months the EBF rate for the US was 22.3%, for WV it was 14.1% (CDC, 2016).

**Problem Statements**

What are the effects of SSC on duration and exclusivity of breastfeeding at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months after the delivery of a full term healthy baby? What is the lived experience of lactating mothers who have had SSC? Increasing breastfeeding rates through the implementation of evidence based guidelines such as the Ten Steps is essential for developing a culture of breastfeeding and prevention. Little is known about the effects of early SSC (Step Four of the BFHI) on increasing breastfeeding duration and exclusivity rates at 6 weeks, 3 and 6 months of life. Little qualitative data is available related to the experience of SSC and the relationship between mother and baby. What is special and unique about the perceptions, attitudes and behavior among lactating mothers of healthy newborns who experienced SSC?
Purpose of the Project

The purpose of the project is to examine the effects of early SSC (Step Four of the BFHI) on increasing breastfeeding duration and exclusivity rates at six weeks, three months and six months of life. A small 70 bed medical surgical hospital in rural WV consented to participate in this project. It is one of the first hospitals in WV to seek the Baby Friendly designation. The mother-baby unit at the hospital performs an average of 25 deliveries a month. In 2013, the CDC strategies for preventing chronic diseases and obesity, and for supporting lactating mothers and babies, emphasized that health care facilities need to begin leading the society in implementing evidence based recommendations that support breastfeeding. It specifically recommends the use of the Ten Steps of the BFHI (Appendix A) to increase duration and exclusivity rates (CDC, 2013). The purpose of the qualitative component is to describe the mother’s lived experiences and to explore the perceptions and attitudes among breastfeeding mothers of healthy newborns who experienced SSC. What does successful breastfeeding following SSC at birth do for the relationship of the baby and the mother? Knowing about mothers’ lived experiences is essential for a provider who is concerned with designing programs that help a population focus on prevention and wellness.

Significance of the Project

In the 1980’s and 90’s rapidly decreasing breastfeeding rates internationally led to the creation of the Ten Steps to successful breastfeeding (WHO/UNICEF, 1998). Leaders in these organizations were concerned about the serious decline in breastfeeding rates worldwide as formula companies expanded into developing markets. Decreasing breastfeeding rates internationally resulted in the development of the Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative, BFHI (WHO, 1998).
Baby Friendly, USA is a subsidiary of the United States Breastfeeding Committee (USBC). In the early 80’s, the Innocenti Declaration was created by world leaders to protect and support breastfeeding worldwide. In 1997, the US was the last westernized nation to join the world breastfeeding community by creating the USBC. It now operates as an independent government agency. The USBC website keeps the public informed about major infant feeding issues that impact public policy. This is where the Ten Steps guidelines are available. The website includes, a weekly e-news publication, a list of the most current publications created at the national level, a tool kit created by the Joint Commission to implement the Perinatal Care Core Measures on EBF, a document covering the Core Competencies in breastfeeding for all health professionals, a list of national position statements related to the standards of breastfeeding, and a News Room (USBC, 2016). The Ten Steps, with the US Breastfeeding Committee Core Competencies, are used as a standard for initiating breastfeeding in the first six months of life for the healthy mother and infant dyad (CDC, 2016).

The Ten Steps are used to recognize and encourage birthing centers and hospitals to offer an optimal level of care for lactation. In 2013, 7.2% of the facilities in the US were designated Baby Friendly (CDC, 2013). The 2016 CDC report card indicates that the number of Baby Friendly designated hospitals have increased to 18.3% (CDC, 2016). Currently no facilities are yet designated as Baby Friendly in WV. The Core Competencies were developed to provide professionals in all disciplines, and educators, with a framework and guidelines that help to incorporate evidence-based breastfeeding knowledge into attitudes and skills for clinical practice. They recommend that all health care professionals involved with breastfeeding mothers use the competencies to integrate comprehensive and effective breastfeeding services for families (USBC, 2016).
In recent years, a number of hospitals in WV have begun to implement Step Four, skin-to-skin contact, into policy and practice (Appendix B) (WV Perinatal Partnership, 2015). The greater the number of the Ten Steps that a facility adopts the greater will be the improvement in breastfeeding outcomes (Cattaneo & Buzzetti, 2001) (Ten Steps Appendix A). These steps for best practice include such policies as: not sending formula home with mothers at discharge, which can lead to early cessation of breastfeeding, not initially introducing babies to artificial teats or bottle nipples, which can result in nipple confusion early in breastfeeding, and twenty-four hours of rooming-in, which encourages sustained SSC (CDC, 2016). The steps recognize that the early postpartum period is an important time for establishing and supporting breastfeeding. These indicators have been added to the US Breastfeeding Report Card and are being measured nationally and state-to-state by the CDC (2016). In the fall of 2015 four hospitals in the state of WV made a commitment to work toward Baby Friendly designation (Baby Friendly, USA, 2015).

The health and economic benefits of breastfeeding are found in decreasing chronic illness rates and the impact on a wide variety of illnesses including: obesity, childhood asthma, leukemia, upper respiratory tract infections, diabetes type 1, otitis media, gastroenteritis and necrotizing enterocolitis (Weimer, 2001). In 2010, Bartick and Reinhold performed a systematic review that analyzed the evidence that examines decreasing chronic illness rates for infants who were breastfed within the American Association of Pediatrics (AAP) guidelines, and the cost benefits of following the guidelines. From the illnesses listed above, the authors found that following the EBF guidelines for three to six months lowered incidence rates significantly and brought a major benefit to cost savings. The study was also the first to analyze as many as 10 diseases (Bartick & Reinhold, 2010).
The economic impact of increasing exclusive and long-term breastfeeding rates is well documented and has been shown to be cost effective. Breastfed as compared to formula fed infants have fewer illnesses; as a result the total number of sick infants decline as the prevalence of breastfeeding increases (USBC, 2013). One classic study revealed that in the US $3.6 billion could be saved if the goal for EBF for the first six months of the infant’s life was achieved (Weimer, 2001). A follow up study found that 911 infant deaths per year and $13 billion annually could be saved if 85% of US mother were to follow the recommendations for EBF (Bartick & Reinhold, 2010). In WV, the estimated portion of savings for new mothers who follow EBF for the first six months is approximately $65 million annually, and the mortality rate decreased by 4-5 babies in their first year of life (US Department of Agriculture [USDA], 2013).

It is economically compelling for WV to adopt the national breastfeeding goals. The estimated savings for the Women, Infants and Children (WIC) Program that provides low income families with nutrition, education, and a supplemental food packages is significant. In 2013, the U.S. spent $6.4 billion on two million, a little over half, of the infants in the nation. WIC formula purchases accounted for about 60%, of the formula sold in the US (Oliviera, Frazao & Smallwood, 2015). In WV in 2012, of the 11,536 babies enrolled in WIC (slightly over 50% of the babies born in WV) 9,901, or 85%, were registered as formula fed fulltime. Based on a conservative estimate of $570 average for six months of formula purchased per infant, this costs WV approximately $5,638,620 by not meeting the EBF guideline for six months of breastfeeding (Breastfeeding Center of Ann Arbor, 2014). In the final analysis, if WV met the national breastfeeding goal of 25.5% EBF for six months it could save the WIC program approximately $1,437,848 in formula costs.
Breastfeeding rates in WV are currently at levels below the national recommendations and burden the state with excess spending of millions of dollars, which could be better spent on preventing chronic illness. A breastfeeding promotion culture is possible. It requires a change that is supported by facilities using evidence based guidelines, a community-wide network of support, a commitment to achieving the national breastfeeding goals and decreasing chronic illness. Nurses, nurse-practitioners, and midwifes have an ethical responsibility to support this in practice. It was the intent of this capstone to compare the duration and exclusive breastfeeding rates of mothers delivering full term, healthy babies in one West Virginia hospital before and after the implementation of SSC and describe the lived experience of mothers who had experienced SCC.
Chapter II. Literature Review

The purpose of this review of the literature is to evaluate the research about how early SSC affects initiation, duration and exclusivity of breastfeeding; and the impact of SSC on the lived experience of the mother in the first months of the infant’s life. The BFHI Ten Steps represent maternal-child health care best practices (Carfoot, Williamson, & Dickson, 2005).

Search Strategy

The electronic databases searched included: Cochran Library for Systematic Reviews, National Guidelines Clearinghouse, West Virginia University full-text databases, PubMed and CINAHL. A hand search performed in two professional journals, the Journal of Midwifery and Woman’s Health and Birth: Issues in Perinatal Care was conducted. The search terms included: exclusive breastfeeding, fulltime breastfeeding, skin-to-skin contact, and kangaroo mother care. These terms were paired together to narrow the results: early SSC and EBF, early SSC and breastfeeding duration, and early SSC and fulltime breastfeeding. Inclusion criteria for the studies were: clinical trials and full text, English language, human studies only, and healthy mothers and infants at term. Eventually clinical trials were included to yield more results.

The search used the keywords “exclusive breastfeeding” which yielded 1,147 hits. When early SSC was added it yielded 110 hits. Adding other key terms reduced the yield to 24 hits. Initial searching included the past 10 years; this was changed to the last five years to narrow the results. Systematically entering the terms within the other databases, eliminating duplicate articles, and hand searching, yielded nine relevant articles. Six additional articles were obtained when the search was broadened to include mothers lived experience and the Ten Steps and BFHI. Four of the articles added to the depth of understanding for the qualitative arm of the project.
Broadening the search yielded a greater understanding of the Ten Step as a whole, in combination, and in relation to: the effects of SSC on premature infants, comparison of different country rates when using the Ten Steps, SSC and preventing infant hypothermia, and SSC and cesarean births. No research has been conducted in WV related to this topic. Much of the research came from the initial countries where BFHI began in the 1960’s (Columbia, England, Sweden, Pakistan, India, and Poland). In the US, designation of Baby Friendly hospitals did not begin until the 1990’s (Chung et al., 2007).

Overall the initial search yielded: one Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews article (Moore, Anderson, Bergman & Dowswell, 2012); five randomized control trials, RCTs (Charpak, Ruiz-Peláez, Figueroa de, & Charpak, 2001; Carfoot, Williamson, & Dickson, 2005; Mahmood, Jamal, & Khan, 2011; Thukral et al., 2012; Moore & Anderson, 2007), two analytic observation studies, both prospective cohort designs (Bramson et al., 2010; Mikiel-Kostyra, Mazur, & Boltruszko, 2002), two descriptive observational studies (DiFrisco et al., 2011; Crenshaw et al., 2012); one correlational observation study (Linares et al., 2016); two qualitative systematic review studies (part 1 and 2) (Anderzén-Carlsson, Lamy, & Eriksson, 2014; Anderzén-Carlsson, Lamy, Tingvall, & Eriksson, 2014); and two descriptive qualitative studies (Palmér, Carlsson, Mollberg, & Nyström, 2010; Finnigan, 2009). Additional research based articles include: one clinical practice guideline (NGC, 2008), a clinical protocol from the Association for Breastfeeding Medicine, Peripartum Breastfeeding Management for the Healthy Mother and Infant at Term (Holmes, Yerdon, & Maya, 2013); and the CDC Guide to Strategies to Support Breastfeeding Mothers and Babies (CDC, 2013).
Quantitative Research

A critical analysis of the studies was conducted by utilizing the new Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) forms for critical appraisal (SIGN, 2013). A second researcher corroborated in the systematic review of the literature (Table I).

The review begins with the meta-analysis by Moore et al. (2012). This CDSR examined randomized control trials that compared SSC with usual hospital care. Thirty-four RCTs included involved 2,177 mother-infant dyads. The primary outcomes from 13 trials with 702 participants included a significantly positive effect of early SSC on breastfeeding at one to four months: RR= 1.27, 95% CI -1.06 to 1.53. Seven trials with 324 participants indicated that SSC increased breastfeeding duration but without significance (mean difference of 42.55 days, 95% CI -1.69 to 86.79, P= 0.06). Strengths of the review included: RCT design, large sample numbers with combined statistics, and clear and specific recommendations. The limitations included: mixed methods with heterogeneity, and variations in implementation and outcomes related to the intervention. The authors concluded that SSC appears to benefit breastfeeding outcomes, and there are no short or long-term negative effects.

Five RCTs were examined (Charpak et al., 2001; Carfoot et al., 2005; Mahmood et al., 2011, Thukral et al., 2012; Moore et al., 2007); sample sizes ranged from 20 to 746 participants. Each was conducted in a different country. Measuring duration of breastfeeding varied among the studies. Two studies measured the effect of SSC on EBF at one month (Mahmood et al., 2011; Moore et al., 2007), one measured the effect at six weeks (Thukral et al., 2012), while one RCT measured the effect at four months (Carfoot et al., 2005). The remaining RCT examined the effect of SSC on EBF and partial breastfeeding at 1,3,6,9, and 12 months (Charpak et al., 2001). All but one of the studies (Charpak et al., 2001) used the Infant Breastfeeding
Assessment Tool (IBFAT) or a related version of this valid and reliable tool. Charpak et al.
(2001) utilized hospital and clinic records that contained the measurement data from the patient
visits.

The results of four of the RCTs showed a significant difference in initiation of
breastfeeding success between the mother-infant pairs who received SSC as an intervention and
the control group who did not (Charpak et al., 2001; Mahmood et al., 2011; Thukral et al., 2012;
Moore et al., 2007). Two RCTs showed a significant difference after SSC, in success at one
month (Charpak et al., 2001; Mahmood, 2011) and one at six weeks (Thukral et al., 2012). One
study demonstrated significant difference at 1 and 3 months but not at 6, 9, or 12 months
(Charpak et al., 2001). One study demonstrated no significant difference in breastfeeding at
initiation or at 4 months when the mother-infant pairs were exposed to early SSC (Carfoot et al.,
2005).

A common strength found in the five RCTs was randomization of the participants, which
demonstrated strength in internal validity. Charpak et al. (2001) utilized stratified block lists that
were prepared from a list of random numbers, two of the studies used a computer generated
randomization list (Carfoot et al., 2005; Mahmood et al., 2011), Thukral et al. (2012) utilized
randomization with allocation concealment, and Moore et al. (2007) used randomization with
minimization. The standard IBFAT (Infant Breastfeeding Assessment Tool) tool was used in
four of the five studies and added to internal reliability and consistency. Two of the studies
amended the tool (Carfoot et al., 2005; Thukral et al., 2012) but both reported face validity in the
revision. Measurement was appropriate among all of the RCTs for analysis by odds ratio,
relative risk or confidence intervals.
Each observational study compared two groups: one received the intervention of SSC, and the other received conventional care after the birth. Five observational studies were included (Bramson et al., 2010; Mikiel-Kostyra et al., 2002; DiFrisco et al., 2011; Crenshaw et al., 2012; Linares et al., 2016). The studies evaluated the relationship of early SSC with success in suckling competency or EBF rates at initiation; and the range of duration in breastfeeding rates examined spanned from a few days to six months. Location of studies had a large international representation. Four were conducted in the USA and one each in Columbia, England, Pakistan, India and Poland.

Two of the observational studies used prospective cohort designs (Bramson et al., 2010; Mikiel-Kostyra et al., 2002). The largest sample sizes in this review were in these two studies: the study by Bramson et al. (2010) was conducted in the USA and included 21,842 participants; while the study by Mikiel-Kostyra et al. (2002) was conducted in Poland and included 11,973 participants. Both used questionnaires for the data collection. Results demonstrated a dose-response relationship (Bramson et al., 2010) between early SSC and EBF increasing in significance each increment of time for SSC. Mikiel-Kostyra et al. (2002) demonstrated that the implementation of SSC significantly increased duration of breastfeeding over time and that the longer an infant experienced the SSC the longer the breastfeeding duration.

Two of the studies used descriptive observational designs (DiFrisco et al., 2011; Crenshaw et al., 2012). Sample size ranged from 113 to 300. In both studies, the researchers collecting the initial data had training. In Crenshaw et al. (2012), a monthly survey of rates was done as follow up. Results in one study demonstrated a significant difference in exclusive breastfeeding rates at discharge, two and four weeks among mother-infant pairs who received SSC (Defrisco et al., 2011). Crenshaw et al. (2012) demonstrated an overall increase in
breastfeeding duration over five months among the SSC pairs, but failed to find a significant difference in the EBF rates across the six months of the study.

A correlational observational study (Linares et al., 2016) evaluated the influence of early SSC on initiation and sustained EBF at one month postpartum. The longitudinal study used a convenience sample of 100 breastfeeding Hispanic mothers. Through the use of differential statistics the study showed findings in the early SSC group associated with a sevenfold increase in the odds of EBF at discharge \( (p = .005) \). However, it was not predictive of EBF at 1 month post-discharge \( (p = .7) \). The results also indicated that the younger the maternal age, and the greater the prenatal infant feeding intention, the greater the association with an increased likelihood of EBF across both time points (Linares et al., 2016). The strengths of the study included: it was a well conducted study using bivariate analysis; and it was one of the first studies to examine the effect of SSC and EBF among Hispanics. Limitations included: a convenience sample, a small study, and reasons for not practicing SSC were not documented in some of the infant charts.

Strengths among the cohort studies were found in the large sample sizes (Bramson et al., 2010; Mikiel-Kostyra et al., 2002). Bramson et al. (2010) performed a unique study demonstrating a dose-response relationship between SSC and EBF and strength in its sensitivity by isolating variables that could become confounders (the mother’s intention to breastfeed, medication received during labor and delivery, and cesarean delivery). The design of the study by Mikiel-Kostyra et al. (2002) showed strength in examining EBF over an extended period of time (three years).

The two descriptive studies both demonstrated findings consistent with previous research (Defrisco et al., 2011; Crenshaw et al., 2012). The sample sizes ranged from 113 to 300
participants. Crenshaw et al. (2012) performed a unique study in that it demonstrated the
importance of the nurses’ role in affecting change in a hospital maternity unit, with a key role in
implementing the pilot project and staff training. Although this study did not show a significant
difference in EBF across the six months it did demonstrate an increase in SSC above baseline by
25% over the five months after the staff training in SSC techniques.

Limitations among the studies included: mixed designs, variation in sample size,
inconsistency in controlling for confounders, and potential for cultural bias limiting extended
breastfeeding rates. Controlling for potential confounders in baseline characteristics varied
across all the studies. Three studies controlled for pain medicines used in labor (Bramson et al.,
2010; Carfoot et al., 2005; Crenshaw et al., 2012), five for mode of delivery (Bramson et al.,
2010; Carfoot et al., 2005; Crenshaw et al., 2012; Defrisco et al., 2011; Moore et al., 2007), six
for previous experience with breastfeeding (Carfoot et al., 2005; Crenshaw et al., 2012; Defrisco
et al., 2011; Mahmood et al., 2011; Moore et al., 2007; Thukral et al., 2012), four for maternal
education (Bramson et al., 2010; Mahmood et al., 2011; Mikiel-Kostyra et al., 2002; Thukral et
al., 2012), and one for maternal employment (Moore et al., 2007). One study did not separate
the characteristics of exclusive and partial breastfeeding (Charpak et al., 2001).

A clinical guideline located in the National Guideline Clearinghouse (2008) entitled
Peripartum Breastfeeding Management for the Healthy Mother and Infant at Term was
analyzed. The Appraisal of Guidelines for Research and Evaluation (Agree II) Instrument was
used for the critical analysis of this guideline in this systematic review (Canadian Institute of
Health Research, CIHR, 2009). Recommendations and major outcomes relevant to early SSC
and peripartum breastfeeding include: successful initiation of breastfeeding in the birth setting;
delaying weights, measurements, and medications before the first feeding in the delivery or
recovery room; and ensuring that women are able to position and attach their babies to the breast by the time of discharge (NGC, 2008; The Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine Protocol Committee, 2008). Strengths of the guideline include: clear description of the objectives and the health question, systematic methods utilized in the search of the evidence, well documented references, and the body of evidence was clearly discussed with external and internal peer review performed. Limitations related to this guideline included: a description of methods used to formulate the recommendations was not stated, and no procedure for updating the guideline was reported.

The Academy of Breastfeeding Medicine in Clinical Protocol #5: the Peripartum Breastfeeding Management for the Healthy Mother and Infant at Term, revision, recommends as best guidelines for initiation of breastfeeding at the birth, that a healthy and term newborn be handed to the mother for direct SSC (Holmes, Yerdon, & Maya, 2013). The Apgar scores can be assigned as the baby is dried and placed upon the mother’s skin, where the first physical assessment is performed, allowing for optimal warmth and physiologic stability and providing an opportunity to breastfeed. The likelihood of EBF increases as extensive SSC is followed. Delaying procedures up to six hours increases early mother-child interaction. Placing the infant close to the breast allows for latching in the first hour after birth. The protocol recommends this practice be initiated in the birthing, recovery or operating rooms. Mothers are best served when offered instructions about techniques for breastfeeding.

Qualitative Research

Anderzén-Carlsson, Lamy and Eriksson (2014) performed the first qualitative systematic review investigating parental experiences and SSC. Part I of the study contained systematic and manual searches that led to the inclusion of 29 original qualitative papers, from 9 countries, with
a sample of 401 mothers and 94 fathers. Part II synthesized and interpreted qualitative research findings from the same studies (Anderzén-Carlsson, Lamy, Tingvall & Eriksson, 2014). In Part I, two themes emerged which described SSC as a restoring experience and an energy-draining experience. In Part II, a theoretical model of becoming a parent under unfamiliar circumstances emerged. The lived experiences viewed SSC as a positive experience which facilitates the growth of self-esteem and assists in readiness for assuming full responsibility for the child, and as a family enriching experience. The strengths of this review include: it is the first qualitative meta-synthesis on the subject, it has added to systematic knowledge about parental experiences following SSC, and it is well conducted qualitative research drawn from four databases with 29 original qualitative papers.

Two studies that use a qualitative design to explore the mothers lived experience with SSC were analyzed. Palmer et al. (2010) examined the lived experiences of eight women within the context of early home discharge, who were interviewed at two months postpartum. The authors used a reflective lifeworld research design based on phenomenological philosophy. Essential meaning for the parents was organized in light of five concepts: *fascination* in the first encounter with the baby, *balancing* the unknown, *enduring* and devoting oneself to the situation, *seeking* and discovering the unique, and *having* the entire responsibility. The authors concluded that: breastfeeding is intertwined with biological and existential issues that cannot be separated into different parts, and must be viewed as a whole and living experience (Palmer et al., 2010). The strengths of the study included: it is well conducted qualitative research, the birth stories contribute rich knowledge that balance the rigors of statistical research, and it adds depth and breadth to the perspective of breastfeeding by seeing the relationship of the mother and infant in its wholeness as a lived experience. The limitations include: it is descriptive research, and the
midwife researcher expressed that it was difficult to separate her own lived experiences from that of the mothers in the study.

Finigan et al. (2009) examined six mothers lived experiences of SSC with their baby immediately after birth, focusing on the women’s personal perspectives for understanding whether or not SSC is a ‘mother-friendly’ approach. The mothers kept an audiotaped diary for 28 days postpartum. This was followed by an in-depth interview on day 28. All interviews were audiotaped and the diaries transcribed verbatim. The women verbalized emotive and visceral language to describe their experiences with SSC and their newborns after birth. Five themes were discovered as mothers described their babies and the SSC experience: immediate feelings of bonding, stroking and touching, gazing and coming to know the baby, viewing it as a natural and instinctive process, and not wanting to let go of the infant (Finigan et al., 2009). The strengths of the research include the mothers’ descriptions of SSC as instinctive and emotional: increasing their desire for a longer period of uninterrupted SSC, helping them feel that the baby was a reward for the stress of labor, and expressing that it was a pleasurable and important birth memory. The limitations include: the small numbers and the feelings of the mothers that their partners were more like spectators. Fathers were not included in the study and the author encourages the involvement of fathers in future studies.

**Synthesis**

Three RCTs (Charpak et al., 2001; Mahmood et al., 2011; Thukral et al., 2012), two cohort studies (Bramson et al., 2010; Mikiel-Kostyra et al., 2002) and one descriptive study (Defrisco et al., 2011) demonstrated that SSC significantly enhances the success of breastfeeding initiation and the continuation of breastfeeding (combined and exclusive) within the first three months. One meta-analysis (Moore et al., 2012), two RCTs (Carfoot et al., 2005; Moore et al.,
2007) and one descriptive study (Crenshaw et al., 2012) do not show SSC as a significant influence on extended breastfeeding in the first four months. The studies were of mixed design and heterogeneity existed with diversity among sample populations due to differences in inclusion criteria.

Variation in intervention, implementation, design methods and outcomes are limitations that could diminish the statistical significance of the studies. The evidence regarding the benefit of SSC is inconsistent and needs additional studies that control for: pain medicine, mode of delivery, previous experience with breastfeeding, maternal education and mothers returning to work. Future research requires repeated randomized controlled trials with homogenous populations to expand the knowledge base about SSC and confidence in its relationship to breastfeeding duration and exclusivity.

The trials in this review show that early SSC appears to benefit breastfeeding outcomes. Increasing the length of exposure to early SSC shows a dose related response between the early SSC and EBF (Bramson et al., 2010). One implication for practice suggests that routine early medical assessments of the mother-infant dyad should not interfere with the initial SSC, following both vaginal and cesarean births. Promoting SSC at birth is a simple and inexpensive measure the nurse can perform to support breastfeeding success in the early period and it appears to have no negative effects (Moore et al., 2012).

**Implementation of Change within the System**

In selecting a theoretical framework for this proposal it was important to find one that supported the implementation of change within the health care system in WV through the creation of a breastfeeding culture, and one that complemented the independent and self-sufficiency characteristics of the Appalachian people.
Factors that contribute to the complexities of supporting a culture of breastfeeding in WV are interwoven into the Appalachian culture and the women’s ability for self-care in pregnancy and lactation. West Virginia is a rural and relatively new state, founded in 1863. The rural nature of the state with numerous geographically isolated counties, combined with the shortage of health care providers, can make it difficult for mothers to get breastfeeding support when needed. The way of life in WV reflects a rich mixture of Native American and European ancestry and culture. Both of these cultures have a clan orientation with closely knit families and a distrust of outsiders, until trust is earned (Behringer & Friedell, 2006). The rural mountainous terrain reinforces the natural independence within the Appalachian people who value hard work and problem solving for themselves. This quality of self-sufficiency influences their reluctance to seek care from the greater health care system (Behringer & Friedell, 2006). The birth experience is an opportunity for the nursing and midwifery community to help the mother and family learn about and experience successful breastfeeding.

Bandura’s Self-Efficacy Theory is a theoretical framework that integrates cognitive, motivational and affective perceptual processes into a unified concept of behavior. Self-efficacy is an important psychological mechanism that links psychosocial influences to physiological processes and health functioning (Bandura, 1992). A central concept of the theory is that each person’s unique perceptions and judgements about his or her own capabilities largely influence what he or she is able to learn about in each life situation. People with self-efficacy have a framework which organizes their perceptions psycho-bio-socially into confidence in their own capabilities. The theory understands that the stronger the self-efficacy beliefs of a person the greater the possibility that a behavior will be initiated and sustained, and the greater the perseverance in challenging times (Schwarzer, 1992). This project is concerned with supporting
a new mother’s confidence and breastfeeding initiation through the physiologic and psychological changes of birth.

Bandura organizes learning into four principles which influence perceived self-efficacy: mastery of learning as manifested in the practice of a new role or skill; vicarious learning as manifested in role modeling; symbolic learning as manifested in support and encouragement from others; and physiologic processes that have a direct influence on behavior (Bandura, 1992).

The physiologic principle is involved with the implementations of SSC at delivery and the direct physiologic effect of lactation initiation. After the separation of the placenta, if the healthy term newborn is placed into SSC, he or she will initiate self-exploration, find the breast and begin suckling. The suckling process stimulates the pituitary axis. Prolactin rises in the blood and human milk is produced, a species-specific lifesaving fluid. As newborn suckling increases the quantity of milk rises in the alveolar sacs, and the second maternal hormone oxytocin is released into the blood to stimulate the letdown effect. Oxytocin also has a powerful effect on the mother keeping her riveted on protecting her newborn infant. As lactation increases so does the level of the two maternal hormones in the blood; this leads to further maternal-newborn bonding and self-efficacy behaviors.

The symbolic learning principle is utilized through the support and promotion of Step Four by the nursing staff. When the procedures are delayed until after the newborn has had its special time with the mother, the nursing staff is offering encouragement toward establishing an important and essential relationship in society, the bond of a new mother and baby. The staff will help both the mother and newborn transition and develop the self-efficacy needed for a new role.
The vicarious learning principle applies as the nurse educates the mother and models the skills needed for her and the infant to sustain breastfeeding. This learning principle can be applied to include both mother and father. As the mother keeps the baby near the breast and includes the father in the feeling of closeness in this relationship, he too is experiencing vicarious learning and is gaining confidence in self-efficacy behaviors.

The final learning principle of Bandura’s self-efficacy theory is the learning that comes with practice and mastery (Bandura, 1992). Through it the lactating mother experiences repeated success in the psychologic and physiologic processes of breastfeeding. The framework can also be applied to help the family support each member in the self-efficacy behaviors needed for the goal of EBF during the first six months of life. It also applies to empowering mothers (through the symbolic learning principle) to share their personal stories and experiences with SSC and breastfeeding. The framework can also be a guide to the nurse midwife who helps the new mother gain mastery in breastfeeding, so that the nurse can support the self-efficacy needs of the mother as she arises to meet the physiological challenges of supply and demand.
Chapter III. Methodology

Project

Description and Design

The capstone project was conducted using a retrospective cohort, mixed methods design to evaluate the effect of SSC on duration and exclusivity of breastfeeding in healthy postpartum mothers. It focused on the effect of the application of SSC, using Step Four of the Ten Steps of the Baby Friendly guidelines (Appendix A). After implementing SSC into policy, April 2014, the mother/baby nurses and stakeholders learned about the process of initiating SSC in the first 90 minutes of life (Appendix B). The inclusion criteria for the intervention cohort included mothers who were English speaking, over 18 years old, and chose to breastfeeding for the first time. Mothers were included who had experienced uncomplicated vaginal deliveries with healthy term infants who had an eight or higher Apgar score by five minutes, and received SSC following the birth of their baby. The comparison cohort included mothers meeting the same criteria who received the traditional non-SSC method of care.

The participants who delivered between April 1, 2014-September 30, 2016 were included in the intervention cohort. The participants who delivered between January 1, 2012-March 31, 2014 were included in the comparison cohort, before SSC was implemented into policy. The names and phone numbers were provided to the investigator through the delivery logs on the mother-baby unit and the computerized medical records. The list of patient names and numbers were kept separate from the questionnaire, with an identifier on each, to ensure confidentiality and anonymity. Data for breastfeeding rates was obtained through a survey questionnaire completed in a phone interview. The data was analyzed for rates at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months. The instruments, the script and questionnaire, were approved by the WVU Institutional
Review Board (Appendices F & G). The project leader followed the script exactly as approved. Each woman was offered disclosure and an invitation to participate. The questionnaire (Appendix G) included an introduction, disclosure, and questions addressing the quantitative leg that were asked of the comparison group, and the quantitative and qualitative leg of the cohort group. All consenting participants chose to answer the entire questionnaire during the phone call. An unanswered first phone call was followed-up with several more phone calls, at different hours of the day, before dropping the potential subject from the project.

This researcher is an instrument of the qualitative leg. She personally has experience with exclusive and long-term breastfeeding of three children. The researcher was careful to focus on the fact that each of the participants had their own unique experience which was faithfully recorded in their own words.

**Needs Assessment and Feasibility Analysis**

On a national level Healthy People 2020 and Baby Friendly, USA made goals to increase breastfeeding rates for the nation at initiation, three months, six months and one year. At present the CDC is collecting data on initiation rates state by state.

The project is the first community attempt to collect data on this topic beyond hospital discharge for a population in WV. In the fall 2016, the hospital made an application to the CDC Empower Initiative, was awarded a small grant and signed a contract with the intent to work through the Ten Steps. The new policies were written and a hospital wide breastfeeding committee began to meet regularly. The present researcher served as a team member, helping with updating policies and quarterly quality reviews. When the hospital made the commitment to carry out the designation goals of BFHI, the key stakeholders agreed to implement all Ten Steps within a two year period. The process has a 4-D pathway to Baby-Friendly designation:
D1-discovery, D2- development, D-3 dissemination, and D-4 designation (Appendix C). The hospital is currently in D-3, and it is focused on implementing the policies related to implementing all of the Ten Steps. The effect of Step Four has not been evaluated, beyond hospital discharge, making the value of this project apparent. The project was clearly feasible as the hospital was committed to attaining a Baby-Friendly designation.

**Resources and Budget**

The researcher of the project recognized that implementation of SSC does not add an additional financial burden on the hospital. In examining the resources, it is apparent that the hospital had already budgeted to manage the mother-baby unit (MBU). Nurses are salaried, patients are admitted and discharged, and the rooms are used for the same amount of time. No additional expense or investment was incurred through the collection of the retrospective data. The primary resource was the mother who desired to breastfeed and the newborn baby. SSC aims to foster the natural production of breast milk, which is free and readily available. Other resources included the staff nurses, and the prenatal care given by the hospital prior to the birth.

A detailed budget description (Appendices D and E) identified that small grant funds were used to cover the costs of this project and the man-hours were donated by the investigator. No financial plan beyond the commitment and the nominal initial fee to Baby Friendly, USA has been incurred by the hospital. This Capstone Project goes beyond the programmed data collected for BFHI D2 to collect outcomes for breastfeeding duration and exclusivity at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 month rates. It also has a qualitative leg exploring mother’s lived experience with breastfeeding following SSC.
Project Objectives

1. To compare the breastfeeding duration rates at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months for the mother-infant dyads who received SSC at birth (4/1/2014 to 9/30/2016) to the mother-infant dyads who did not receive SSC at birth (1/1/2012 -3/31/2014).

2. To compare the EBF rates at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months for the mother-infant dyads who received SSC at birth (4/1/2014 to 9/30/2016) to the mother-infant dyads who did not receive SSC at birth (1/1/2012 -3/31/2014).

3. To explore the lived experience of mothers who received SSC and breastfed their infants; and record the responses in their own words, as they describe their early breastfeeding and bonding experience after receiving SSC.
Chapter IV. Results

The project explored the impact of SSC, Step Four of the Ten Steps, when implemented into hospital policy and how it affected breastfeeding rates and mothers’ lived experiences with breastfeeding in the early months of an infant’s life. Designation of Baby Friendly status is now included in the national report card from the CDC. This is the first project, from a facility seeking Baby Friendly status in WV, to examine breastfeeding rates beyond discharge.

Sample and participants

One hundred and fifty mothers initially were included in each cohort for a total of 300 possible participants. A total of one hundred fifteen (115) questionnaires were completed. A total of one hundred eighty-five (185) questionnaires were not completed due to: wrong numbers, current phone number unknown, unanswered phone calls after multiple attempts, mothers declining to participate, or reporting the decision to formula feed the first day home.

Within the intervention cohort, 34 participants completed questionnaires and met the inclusion criteria for the quantitative and qualitative legs of the project. Only participants who had experienced SSC and met the inclusion criteria were included in the qualitative leg of the project. Within the comparison cohort, 21 met the inclusion criteria for the quantitative leg of the project.

Demographics

There were no significant differences in the means of the variables between the cohorts (Table 2). All participants were inexperienced, healthy, breastfeeding mothers. They successfully delivered a healthy term infant vaginally, the infant had an Apgar score > 8 at 5 minutes, the mother was in her mid-twenties at the time of the delivery and continued breastfeeding after returning home. The majority of the participants were: Caucasian,
breastfeeding their first child, supported by family or friends during the breastfeeding experience, and were healthy prior to the pregnancy. Close to half of the participants in each group took a maternity leave. Those in the non-SSC cohort had a mean length of maternity-leave of 3 weeks, and those in the SSC had a mean length of maternity leave of five weeks. Close to half of the participants in each group (44% vs. 48%) reported problems with the breastfeeding experience. The non-SSC cohort had a higher rate of health problems with the pregnancy than the SSC (33% vs. 18%). The SSC cohort had a lower rate of desiring that their breastfeeding experience had been longer, than the non-SSC (30% vs. 33%). The SSC cohort lower rate of 30% is only accurate to an “n” of 23 because eleven mothers in the SSC were still breastfeeding at the time of the interview.

**Quantitative**

The first objective of the project compared the duration of breastfeeding rates at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months. This was evaluated by using the Chi square for independence statistic (Tables 3-5). In the cohorts, 82.4% (SSC) vs. 61.9% (non-SSC) were breastfeeding at 6 weeks (p. = 0.170). At 3 months, 73.5 % (SSC) vs. 52.4% (non-SSC) were breastfeeding (p. = 0.190). In the cohorts, 44.1% (SSC) vs. 42.9% (non SSC) were still breastfeeding at 6 months (p. = 0.170). Phi coefficient for effect was 0.228, 0.216, and 0.012 at 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months indicating that SSC demonstrated a small effect on the breastfeeding rates. Although the breastfeeding rates for the intervention cohort at all time periods were higher, there were no significant differences between the groups.

The second objective of the project compared exclusive breastfeeding rates at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months. This was evaluated by using the Chi square for independence statistic (Tables 6-8). In the cohorts, the exclusive breastfeeding rates for the intervention cohort at
6 weeks were 73.5% (SSC) vs. 57.1% (non-SSC) (p = 0.336). At 3 months, 64.7% (SCC)
vs. 33.3% (non-SCC) were breastfeeding (p = 0.533). In the cohorts, 20.6% (SSC) vs. 23.8%
(non-SCC) were still exclusively breastfeeding at 6 months (p = 1.00). Phi for effect demonstrated
0.170, 0.122, and 0.038 at 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months indicating that the SSC demonstrated
a small effect. The exclusive breastfeeding rates for the intervention cohort at 6 weeks and 3
month time periods were higher, and at 6 months were slightly lower; there were no significant
differences between any of the groups.

Qualitative

The third objective described the lived experience of those mothers who had been
involved with SSC. Questionnaires were analyzed for themes by two independent reviewers.
The qualitative data (Table 9) about the effects of SSC came from the survey question; do you
have anything you would like to share about your birth, the skin-to-skin experience, and the first
memories of seeing and touching your child? Exploration of the responses includes a description
of how SSC affected the mother, and how SSC affected her breastfeeding experience. The word
bonding came up spontaneously so frequently that it was added as an independent descriptor.

The themes that emerged were: it helped with bonding to the baby, it helped with
breastfeeding the baby, and SSC had an ongoing effect once we were home. One participant
reported, SSC absolutely helped to breastfeed longer and be successful. Both my husband and I
participated. It was indescribable. Another theme was the mothers’ disappointment at not being
able to breastfeed longer. Such responses included: I did not feel there was enough
breastfeeding support at the hospital; and I couldn’t work and pump enough so I had to stop
breastfeeding. Reasons included mastitis, pain, the milk drying up, and returning to school or
work. The descriptor with the highest frequency related to how the SSC experience affected
only breastfeeding was, *it helped me get breastfeeding started*. The descriptor with the highest frequency related how the SSC experience affected bonding and breastfeeding; *it helped me to bond to the baby, and breastfeed the baby* (Table 9). Some participants shared more in-depth explanations:

*I had skin-to-skin with the second baby. It was a different experience. I felt that something was missing with the first that I could not explain. With my son, the second one, I thought it was a dream come true. I am so grateful.*

*I wouldn't have missed out on it and would do it all over again. It immediately helped me to breastfeed and I didn't have any complications like my friend did.*

*The SSC gives you more of a bond and I continued with skin-to-skin at home to calm her down. My baby loved to go to sleep on my chest. At times, it was the only way that I could get her to sleep.*

**Key Facilitators and Barriers**

There were a number of facilitators for the project. The hospital leaders were aware that the literature clearly supported the benefits of breastfeeding and they were committed to the evidence based guidelines needed to achieve Baby Friendly designation. The interest in preventative healthcare and decreasing health care costs nationwide is renewing an interest in creating a breastfeeding culture at the state and local level. The BFHI has been well established worldwide since the 1980’s. The US now has a national breastfeeding committee that promotes setting breastfeeding goals at the state and local level. Breastfeeding became legalized in WV in April 2014 *(National Conference of State Legislatures, 2016).*

There were also some impediments to the successful implementation of the project. When SSC is implemented it affects an increase in early breastfeeding rates and creates an unmet
need for breastfeeding support beyond discharge. Several women identified that had they had support after discharge, they might have been able to continue breastfeeding longer. Currently the health care system, as a whole, is not set-up to support breastfeeding outside of the hospital. Women need a plan for follow-up after discharge; two thirds of the women in this project were unreachable by phone, making them invisible to the project. WIC contains the main reservoir of IBCLC breastfeeding certified experts available to women in the community. Fifty percent of the population does not receive WIC and does not have access to these experts. Initial support, at the hospital, is all that half the mothers are offered. Currently there are no statewide breastfeeding goals in WV.

**Further Findings from the Data**

The qualitative leg includes the unintended consequence of: one, creating an unmet need for more breastfeeding support in the community as the breastfeeding rates grow; two, the data shows that 50% of the women in the SSC cohort, and 30% in the baseline group, expressed a desired to be able to breastfeed longer; three, in the data there is little difference between duration and exclusive breast-feeding rates between the intervention and comparison group; four, half of the women didn't take maternity leave and those who took it returned to work at around six weeks.

The qualitative leg also identified some interesting findings. Participants spontaneously expressed gratitude about how much they appreciated the investigator caring and listening to their birth and breastfeeding experiences. One mother reported that her initial SSC experience helped her to bond later when her baby had to go into the neonatal intensive care nursery for an unexpected problem. Another mother reported that she felt the SSC experience at birth prevented her from having postpartum depression with her second baby. Another mother
reported the need to work through feelings of guilt because she felt closer to her second baby, who had received the SSC at the birth. Three participants believed that they could not provide enough milk for their babies. This concern was shared with a Pediatrician, or a nurse, and the belief was reinforced. Two participants reported that their partners felt cheated out of the feeding experience; they felt that when their partners participated in SSC with the baby, this made them feel that they also had a special bond with the baby.
Chapter V. Discussion and Recommendations

Bandura’s theory of Self-efficacy organizes learning into four principles which influence perceived self-efficacy by the mother-infant dyad. First, the physiologic principle of learning had a direct effect on the process of breastfeeding and bonding when SSC was implemented in the first moments of life. It was seen that the intervention positively supported the new skills needed for breastfeeding. As a unit, the mother and infant learned through SSC how to breastfeed for the first time. The project also found that EBF rates of breastfeeding were higher at 6 weeks and 3 months, and duration rates were higher when breastfeeding skills are initiated after SSC.

Vicarious learning manifested in the role modeling seen as the nurses applied the new SSC policy of placing the newborn upon the healthy mother’s abdomen within moments after birth. This learning principle was also observed as the mother kept the baby near her breast and included the father in the feeling of closeness in the relationship. In the qualitative component some mothers reported that some of the fathers reported feeling involved in, and not left out of, the special feeding and bonding experience the mothers experienced with their newborns.

Symbolic learning was manifested in support and encouragement from others that aided the project through the promotion of Step Four. This was achieved when the nursing staff: delayed procedures until after the newborn had its special time with the mother, offered encouragement for establishing breastfeeding, and promoted the new bond of the mother and baby that was beginning to form. This process helped the staff to strengthen the self-efficacy needs of the new mothers as they transitioned into their new roles.

Another learning principle of Bandura’s self-efficacy theory is that learning increases with practice and mastery. Lactating mothers reported that increased experience with the
process, and repeated SSC helped them feel more comfortable with breastfeeding. Listening to the experiences of the women also helped the researcher gain a greater respect for the unique needs of women in the Appalachian culture.

At most time intervals, the SSC cohort rates were higher than the comparative cohort rates, yet none of the rates demonstrated significance. This could be influenced by several possible factors. One, the sample and the effect size were small. Sample bias may have resulted from the many mothers who were unable to be reached. A second factor is that certain individual nurses gradually began to introduce some SSC before the policy was formally adopted in April 2014. This project uses a convenience retrospective cohort sample without randomization. If the researcher had selected the comparison cohort a year prior to policy implementation, perhaps a significant difference between the two cohort groups could have been demonstrated, without contamination bias. During the qualitative section, a few mothers in the comparison cohort commented that they had received a "few minutes" of SSC after their infants had been taken to the warmer. This may demonstrate that any SSC may improve breastfeeding outcomes. Bramson et al., 2010 demonstrated a dose dependent relationship between SSC and EBF. EBF rates were proportionally higher for mothers who experienced increased SSC as measured incrementally every 15 minutes through the first hour.

Breastfeeding rates remain low in WV (CDC, 2016). This project demonstrated higher breastfeeding rates as compared to both the nation and the state level for several of the time intervals (see Appendices H and I). The project breastfeeding duration rates at 3 months and 6 months exceeded the state breastfeeding rates but not the national rates. The project EBF rates at 3 months exceeded both the state and national rates; at 6 months the project rates exceeded the WV rates and almost equaled the national rates around 20%.
Social support for breastfeeding in West Virginia is needed (CHR, 2014). The qualitative leg of the project indicated that there is strong support for breastfeeding within families. The mothers were asked if they felt supported in the breastfeeding process, 98.5% of them said that they felt supported in breastfeeding and that most of the support was from their families. Professional support and education from the community are addressed by Steps 2 and 10 of the Ten Steps. This project examined the positive effects of SSC, only one of the Ten Steps, on the population rates.

The findings of the qualitative component agree with Anderzén-Carlsson et al., 2014 in Part II who found that mothers experienced positive and immediate feelings of joy and ecstasy. They also found that these experiences facilitated the growth of self-esteem, assisted the mothers in assuming full responsibility for the child, and enriched the family experience. One mother in the qualitative leg of the project reported, I don’t think that I would have bonded with him or breastfed as well without it; another said, it made me feel relaxed and calmer to breastfeed and my husband also did better. These findings also agree with Finigan et al., 2009 who discovered that immediate feelings of bonding, touching, stroking, gazing and coming to know the baby, was viewed as a natural and enriching process, and that mothers wanted it to continue. One mother reported that a bond started right away and it helped us form a greater dependency together; another reported, the more contact you have with your child the more the bond grows.

Limitations in the data include: one, the small sample size, perhaps with a larger sample size the breastfeeding rates would have demonstrated significance. Two, a sample bias, the last average grade completed in school was two years beyond high school which is consistent with higher breastfeeding rates, also for two-thirds of the cohort the data is unknown: Three, half of the women didn't take maternity leave and those who took it returned to work around one month.
Returning to work early has an effect on decreasing breastfeeding duration; with a larger population this could be accounted for in the analysis. Four, at the time of the phone interview, eleven participants in the SSC cohort had not discontinued breastfeeding. If the project had looked beyond 6 months, it would have changed the design and statistical analysis. Suggested areas for further research include: looking at the mother’s education level, the length of maternity leave, and fathers’ influence on breastfeeding duration and exclusivity.

A hospital can benefit by implementing SSC into policy. Hospital compliance with the Ten Steps of the BFHI in the US remains a challenge, but is increasing (Defrisco et al., 2011). In 2010, fewer than 100 hospitals in the US had received “Baby Friendly” designation, as compared to 19,000 internationally. By 2016, 369 hospitals in the US earned the designation (Baby Friendly, USA, 2016). More research is needed to identify the benefits of all Ten Steps for hospital care in the US. Accomplishing SSC helps move facilities one step closer to achieving this designation and toward achieving the Healthy People 2020 goals of increasing breastfeeding initiation rates, longer exclusivity and duration rates, and improved infant health related outcomes (Defrisco et al., 2011).

Future research can also focus on the effect of SSC on breastfeeding success among mothers with different needs and with women of color. One area needing further research is concerning women who return to work. In two of the studies the authors discussed that mothers returning to work was an influencing factor in the low rate of extended and exclusive breastfeeding before the infant reaches three months (Charpak et al., 2001; Carfoot et al., 2005). Carfoot et al. (2005) mentioned multiple reasons exist for the lack of breastfeeding at 4 months: returning to work, nipple or breast pain, or breastfeeding is “too tiring”. In this project between the two groups: 46.5% of the mothers returned to work, 46% reported health problems with
breastfeeding, and 31.5% reported achieving their desired length of breastfeeding. Lack of evidence exists regarding the diverse factors that affect breastfeeding outcomes when women return to work and the effect on exclusive breastfeeding.

Research also needs to be completed for mothers who are inexperienced in breastfeeding and who undergo a cesarean delivery (Crenshaw et al., 2012). Also more studies are needed that increase the understanding of SSC and duration of breastfeeding. Most of the studies in the literature review were not conducted for greater than one month. More qualitative research is needed to learn about the effects of SSC on mother’s lived experiences.

**Strategic Plan**

The results of the project suggest that if the hospital continues with the BFHI designation then breastfeeding rates in the community will improve. If implementation of Step Four strengthens breastfeeding rates, then implementing of all Ten Steps may yield greater results, as the literature suggests (Anderzen-Carlsson et al., 2014). Offering continuity of care through BFHI guidelines, and a follow-up plan with the women once they leave the hospital, will help mothers deal with breastfeeding problems once they return home and know what to do allowing them to breastfeed as long as desired. BFHI has incorporated developing community support into the designation process; this helps the facility develop a plan. It is important that the hospital stay involved with the Baby Friendly designation process and see it to completion. This has been done in facilities nationwide and continues to be effective in getting breastfeeding rates to rise and meet national goals and standards. Implementing the Ten Steps into practice continues to occur in settings around the world, and the documentation is increasing showing a rise in breastfeeding rates and a decrease in early childhood illness. Each health care facility has a role to play as a breastfeeding culture emerges.
Conclusion

Skin-to-skin contact is an evidence-based guideline and Step Four of the Baby Friendly Ten Steps. This project of retrospective mixed design, in rural Appalachia, has shown that implementing SSC into hospital policy is beneficial to increase breastfeeding rates for low risk healthy mothers who breastfeed their babies to 6 weeks, 3 months, and 6 months. It also has demonstrated that SSC is beneficial to increase EBF breastfeeding rates at 6 weeks and 3 months. Mothers interviewed in the qualitative leg reported that SSC had a positive effect on their breastfeeding and bonding experience in the first months of their babies’ life.

This is the first data from WV demonstrating the benefits of one of the Ten Steps and women’s lived experiences. Nurses responsible for implementing policy can be encouraged by understanding that evidence based care is needed for follow-up to help women who breastfeed their babies after discharge.

Application of the DNP Essentials

The DNP Essentials give structure, coherence and purpose to the project. First, the Ten Steps are well grounded in research. A literature search emphasized the importance of the DNP Essential, the Scientific Underpinnings for Practice. This DNP Essential empowered the project leader to see the vision and purpose in the project, collaborate with the leaders and staff of the hospital, and overcome obstacles along the way.

Second, the DNP Essential, Organizational and Systems Leadership for Quality, was a valuable part of the project. The hospital leaders had a growing interest in supporting and promoting breastfeeding within the facility as evidenced by the history of implementing Step Four into policy and applying for Baby Friendly designation. One day the mother-baby unit manager shared a story about how the CEO of the hospital had read about the BFHI in a
management journal and it helped her later to understand and support the Ten Steps of Baby Friendly.

Third, the DNP Essential the Clinical Scholarship and Analytical Methods for Evidence-Based Practice, is the heart of the project. Applying the evidence based Ten Steps to improve practice is now part of the long-term goals of the facility. The project utilized good clinical scholarship and use of evidence based practice, to promote and support breastfeeding within the patient population served by the hospital. This allows the goals that supported breastfeeding within the facility to be part of a firm foundation and encouraged sustainability in the future. The data and knowledge gained is valuable to the hospital as it continues to serve the breastfeeding needs of this population and maintain Baby Friendly designation.

Fourth, the DNP Essential Information Systems/Technology and Patient Care Technology for the Improvement and Transformation of Health Care was a critical element of this project. Searching for information on 300 patient charts was made easier and manageable by the electronic medical records (EMR) in the hospital. Without the advantage of the EMR, what actually took one month would have taken months in the past. Several times the project leader had to reenter the patient charts for more accurate patient data. The hospital staff was supportive and offered help in the data collection process.

Fifth, the DNP Essential, Health Care Policy for Advocacy in Health Care, was highly important to the implementation of the project. First, in April 2014, the hospital implemented Step 4 SSC into policy. During the fall of 2016, it became committed to a larger policy change and applied for the Baby Friendly designation. Even greater, this small hospital in WV applied for and, upon acceptance of their application for designation, received a scholarship from the CDC Initiative. The unit manager expressed joy upon receipt of the scholarship. The project
leader remembers this well because it happened at the same time that the relationship with the breastfeeding team began.

Sixth, the project depended upon the DNP Essential, Inter-professional Collaboration for Improving Patient and Population Health Outcomes, at four levels: national, state, local and individual. The national initiative is within the CDC, Empower and Baby Friendly initiative; the state contribution is made through the excellence offered by the WVU professors and professional staff; the local contribution is made by the hospital that is committed to improving maternity care practice; and the individual initiative is offered by the project leader, an advanced nurse-practitioner, midwife, and DNP student, living in WV, pursuing excellence in midwifery and nursing practice.

Seventh, the desire within the team members to improve health care within WV is the driving force for the DNP Essential, Clinical Prevention and Population Health for Improving the Nation’s Health. Dedication to offering preventative care through supporting and promoting breastfeeding is a responsibility of professionals in health care working at the systems level. This project leader feels honored to be part of furthering knowledge that promotes breastfeeding success in a breastfeeding population in WV, and where women’s voices need to be heard.

Eight, the DNP Essential, Advanced Nursing Practice, is the soul of the project. Midwifery is committed to offering high quality nursing care to women across a life span. Offering quality care is a growth process for both the provider and the health care system. Individual nurse practitioners have a unique opportunity to lead the way and make it happen, especially when they develop their capacity to apply research to practice through a DNP Program. It is rewarding to know that this data can be part of a process that furthers advanced nursing knowledge, applies scientific principles to practice, and improves health care in WV.
Creativity and ingenuity are needed in managing available resources as the nurse midwife designs a supportive and encouraging environment for the pregnant woman who decides to breastfeed. Trust is needed and promoted by offering the new mother a woman centered philosophy of care, which integrates a belief in self-efficacy and implements the Ten Steps of BFHI into the intrapartum experience. Creating policies in the hospital that support the BFHI guidelines will help Appalachian families participate in a culture of breastfeeding.
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Appendix A

The Ten Steps of the Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative

Baby-Friendly hospitals and birthing facilities must adhere to the Ten Steps to receive, and retain, a Baby-Friendly USA designation.

1. Have a written breastfeeding policy that is routinely communicated to all health care staff.
2. Train all health care staff in the skills necessary to implement this policy.
3. Inform all pregnant women about the benefits and management of breastfeeding.
4. Help mothers initiate breastfeeding within one hour of birth.
5. Show mothers how to breastfeed and how to maintain lactation, even if they are separated from their infants.
6. Give infants no food or drink other than breast-milk, unless medically indicated.
7. Practice rooming in - allow mothers and infants to remain together 24 hours a day.
8. Encourage breastfeeding on demand.
9. Give no pacifiers or artificial nipples to breastfeeding infants.
10. Foster the establishment of breastfeeding support groups and refer mothers to them on discharge from the hospital or birth center.

Ref: Baby Friendly, USA (2015)
Appendix B

Step Four, Hospital Infant Feeding Policy

STEP 4: HELP MOTHERS initiate breastfeeding within one hour of birth.

DEFINITION of skin-to-skin contact (SSC): SSC is defined as occurring when the provider places the naked baby in a prone position against the mother’s skin, on her upper torso or abdomen, immediately after birth; allowing for continuous and uninterrupted SSC as a part of routine care. The infant will be dressed in no more than a diaper and hat.

TIMEFRAME of mothers planning, versus not planning, to breastfeed: All mothers will experience skin-to-skin care within 5 minutes after birth regardless of feeding choice.

MOTHERS WITH VAGINAL DELIVERIES: Will experience continuous uninterrupted SSC after birth and until completion of the first feeding. If not breastfeeding, the infant will experience SSC at least one hour unless there is a medically justifiable reason for delayed contact.

STAFF RESPONSIBILITY during SSC: mother-baby nursing staff will encourage new mothers, of both vaginal and cesarean deliveries, to look for signs and cues of feeding readiness during this first period of contact. The staff nurse will offer help as needed.

IMPLEMENTATION of routine SSC related to newborn procedures: delaying and implementing initial procedures while mother and infant are in the initial SSC will occur until the end of the above designated timeframes. Initial procedures will routinely be performed at bedside of the mother.

DOCUMENTATION: The time when SSC begins and ends will be documented in the infant’s chart. If the mother refuses, or if a medical contraindication to SSC exists, this too will be documented in the chart. If an infant is separated for a medical reason, or must be transferred immediately to the special care nursery, then the nursing staff will insure that SSC begin as soon as the mother and infant are medically stable.

SPECIAL CARE NURSERY: provisions for SSC for mothers and babies who are separated after birth due to medical necessity: Mothers will report that they had the opportunity to practices SSC as soon as the infant is medically considered ready for such contact, unless there are justifiable medical reasons why they should not.

DOCUMENTATION: include medical reason and evidence based reasons for separation and/or residence in the special care nursery.
Appendix C

4-D Pathway to Baby-Friendly Designation

For guidance on how Baby-Friendly USA evaluates these steps, please refer to the Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative Guidelines and Evaluation Criteria, available at babyfriendlyusa.org. The EMPower initiative helps hospitals improve infant feeding policies, training and practices along Baby-Friendly USA’s 4-D Pathway. Attainment of Baby-Friendly USA designation requires that your hospital or birthing center meets specific benchmarks for all of the Ten Steps throughout the 4-D Pathway. The 4 “D”s are: D1 Discovery, D2 Development, D3 Dissemination, and D4 Designation.

The 4-D Pathway guides hospitals through verification of policies, curriculum, action plans, quality improvement projects, staff training, and competency verification, as well as a readiness interview and an on-site survey. Upon successful completion of this process, as determined by the onsite assessment and a review of the assessment findings by an External Review Board (ERB), the facility will be granted a license to use the Baby-Friendly certification mark. Ref:

Baby Friendly, USA, (2105)
### Appendix D

Projected Budget Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Preparation</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Supplies</th>
<th>Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IRB Presentation</td>
<td>6 man-hours</td>
<td></td>
<td>$240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 handouts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anticipate 5 hours to prepare and 1 hour to present.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Electronic &amp; 5 printed surveys</td>
<td>9 man-hours</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anticipate 5 hours to prepare survey questions, 2 hours to have it reviewed and 2 hours to format it electronically and in print.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Collection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chart Reviews (150)</td>
<td>33 man-hours</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In a 15 min. chart review with 75 charts in each group is because we hope to find 15 continuing to breastfeed at 3 months. We figure we have to call 50 moms to find at least 15 continuing to breastfeeding at 3 months. Total moms= 150.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonecalls</td>
<td>33 man-hours</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In a 20 minute pc, inviting moms to participate in the survey, duration and exclusivity rates will be tabulated, and IRB disclosure conveyed. Total moms= 100.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing surveys</td>
<td>2.5 man-hours</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anticipate 25% or the surveys will be sent by postal mail; and 90% survey emailed. Calculating 3 mins to mail and prepare 25 surveys and one minute per email survey.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Returned surveys, tabulations</td>
<td>9 man-hours</td>
<td></td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We anticipate a return of 20% of the surveys, with 5 surveys returned by postal mail and 15 by email. The 5 postal surveys will take one hour to enter each electronically, and the 15 electronic surveys will take 15 mins to enter each of these electronically.

### Data Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Run SPSS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>$80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical package for social sciences from WVU.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run QDA Miner Light or CATMA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>$80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer assisted qualitative data analysis software available online.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Outcomes Reporting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report writing</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$960</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results shared with 5 managers</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refreshments</td>
<td></td>
<td>$45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poster &amp; Handouts</td>
<td></td>
<td>$55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Miscellaneous Supplies (paper, pens, etc.) | $25  |
| Phone & Office – contributed expense   | $0   |

**TOTALS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122.5</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$4,910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Project Coordinator calculated at $40.00/hr.*
### Appendix E

Budget Description

**Capstone Budget Plan Form and Justification: SSC & breastfeeding outcomes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Categories</th>
<th>Personal Funds</th>
<th>Organizational Contributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS</strong></td>
<td>$4910</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Justification: This is a retrospective study of Step 4 of the implementation of the Ten Steps at __________ Hospital and the initiation of the Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative. See attached form for breakdown and line items related to administrative costs and time in man-hours. An average Nurse practitioner/midwife salary $40/hr. is used for calculations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MARKETING</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing Justification: This is a “sunk” cost and part of the ongoing implementation of Step 4 and Baby Friendly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS/ INCENTIVES</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Materials/Incentives Justification: This is a “sunk” cost and part of the ongoing implementation of Step 4 and Baby Friendly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOSPITALITY</strong> (food, room rentals, etc.)</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitality Justification: Refreshments served when sharing the report and outcomes with the managers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECT SUPPLIES</strong> (office supplies, postage, printing, etc.)</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Supplies Justification: See itemization and line items on attached form. In kind contributions for phone &amp; office are included under organizational costs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAVEL EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Expenses Justification: nothing incurred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OTHER</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Justification:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Financial Plan

Detailed Budget Description:

Small grant funds will be used to cover the costs of this project and the man-hours donated by the investigator. This Capstone focusses on Step 4 of the Ten Steps being implemented by SJMH for designation into the Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative. No financial plan beyond the commitment and initial fee to Baby Friendly, USA is incurred by the hospital. This Capstone Project goes beyond the programmed data collected for Baby Friendly to collect outcomes for duration and exclusivity at 6 weeks and 3 months. It also has a qualitative component exploring mother’s lived experience with breastfeeding following skin-to-skin contact.
Appendix F

Phone Interview Script *

My name is Cristina Menking. I am a nurse midwife and doctoral student at WVU. I was given your name and number by ________ Hospital as someone who delivered a healthy baby there within the past couple of years – 2012-2016. The purpose of the project is to evaluate the effect of skin-to-skin contact, at the time of delivery, on infant feeding. I am conducting this project with WVU under the supervision of Dr. Susan McCrone, a professor for a doctoral degree in nursing. Your participation is greatly appreciated and will take about 10 minutes. The interview will ask some questions about you, your baby and your experience with infant feeding or breastfeeding. Would you be willing to participate?

If they say yes? Is this a good time for you to speak? Proceed with the IRB disclosure then the questionnaire…. Or ….If this is not a good time to speak, could we set up a time that I could call you back?

If they say no? Thank you for your time. Would you like a way to contact me for more information?

Your involvement is completely voluntary and will be kept as confidential as possible. All data will be reported as a group. The questions include only numbers, not your name. I will not ask any information that leads back to your identity as a participant. You may skip any question that you do not wish to answer, and you may discontinue at any time.

If you feel that you would like to have more time to answer the questions I can send you a letter through the mail, with return postage paid via an envelope.

Your answers are very important to me as a nurse-midwife and student. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at __phone #. If you miss me please leave a voice message with the date of your baby’s birth and I will call you back.

Thank you for caring to share your time and help with this project.

* Approved by WVU IRB
Appendix G

Questionnaire *

Mother’s Demographic Questions

1) What is your baby’s birthday? ______________
   .... What was your due date? _____ Term? Yes _____ No _____

2) Are you breastfeeding now?  Yes _____ No _______
   o Exclusive time breastfed? ______________
   o Total time breastfed? ______________

3) What is your age? __________

4) What is your race/ ethnicity? _______________

5) What is the last grade that you completed in school? _______

6) How many children do you have and what are their ages? ________________
   o Number of children breastfed? ________ For how long? _____________
   o G ____ P ____

7) Did you attend breastfeeding classes before your baby was born?
   o Breastfeeding class Yes _______ No _______

8) Did you work after the birth of your baby?  Yes ______ No _______
   o If yes, did you take maternity leave after you delivered? ________________
   o For how long? ___________

9) Did you have any health problems with this pregnancy?  Yes _____ No _____
   __________________________________________
   o Did they exist before? _________________________
   __________________________________________
Mother’s Qualitative Questions

10) If you have stopped breastfeeding …. Describe the experience? ____________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

11) Did you feel supported by anyone in your breastfeeding experience? Yes _______ No ____
    If yes, by whom? ______________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

12) Do you have anything you would like to say about your birth, the skin-to-skin experience,
    and the first memories of seeing and touching your child? _________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________

* Approved by WVU IRB
Appendix H

Duration Breastfeeding Rates Graph
Appendix I

Exclusive Breastfeeding Rates

![Exclusive Breastfeeding Rates Chart]

- Comparison
- Skin to Skin
- WV
- US
## Table 1

### Table of Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Research Aim(s)</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Strengths and Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Charpak et al, | AIM: * To assess skin-to-skin contact (SSC), addressed in this study as Kangaroo Mother Care (KMC), & the effect it has on breastfeeding (BF) duration & pattern.                                                                 | RCT         | Independent Variable: * Early KMC & SSC group                          | Results: * Significant differences in BF patterns. Both exclusive & partial BF were highly prevalent in groups, 46% EBF (exclusive BF) & 98% partial BF at 40-41 weeks. * The proportion of KMC mothers who BF at one & at 3 months (EBF or partial) was significantly higher P=0.001 & P=0.05. * No significant differences found between the groups at::  
  - 6 months-51.6%, 48.3%  
  - 9 months-36.3%, 34.8%  
  - 12 months-19.7%, 22.2% | Strengths: * Large sample size * Randomization by four stratified block lists that were prepared from a list of random numbers * Power analysis based on sample size * Contamination bias was minimized through follow up & scheduling the two groups at different times  
Limitations: * Multisite study * Researchers tried to control for this by insuring there was not more than one site per group. * Supplementing the SSC with formula as needed is potentially a confounder that could diminish BF success & duration. * Data obtained from partial & |
| 2001           | * Formula supplements, given as needed, occurred when a desired weight was achieved. Control group: * Infants kept in incubators until they could regulate their own temperature & met the desired weight gain. * Access Data: Where? Clinica San Pedro Claver hospital in Bogota, Colombia  
Intervention group: * Infants positioned on mother’s chest as much as desired & kept SSC for temperature stabilization & breastfeeding (BF). * Formula supplements, given as needed, occurred when a desired weight was achieved. | N=746 mother-baby dyads n=382 KMC group n=364 TCG (traditional care group) | Dependent Variables: * BF patterns during follow up visits at 1, 3, 6, 9 & 12 months. Instruments: * Hospital & clinic records that contained the measurement data from patient visits. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Carfoot et al, 2005 | **AIM:**  
* To examine the effect of early skin-to-skin care (SSC) between mother & infant pair on the initiation and duration of breastfeeding (BF). | RCT  
N=204 mother & baby pairs  
n=102 to each SSC & CC (conventional care) group  
Data:  
Where? Warrington Hospital in North England  
Intervention group: SSC began when the nurse-midwife placed the baby between the mother’s breasts for uninterrupted contact, a minimum of 45 minutes.  
Control group: Babies were dried & wrapped in a towel before being handed to the mother or family member. Interruption of contact occurred for early hospital procedures.  
Participants: Healthy, pregnant women intending to BF, who planned to birth at the hospital, & had a | Independent Variable:  
- Early SSC after birth  
Dependent Variables:  
Successful BF:  
- At initial contact  
- Prior to discharge -At 4 months  
Instrument: -IBFAT - Infant Breastfeeding Assessment tool, an assessment of baby BF competence measuring readiness to breastfeed, rooting and hand movements, and suckling. It was amended to include a “latching-on” component | **Results:**  
* Differences between the two groups for successful initiation of BF after birth & at 4 months were not statistically significant,  
  - SSC group 91%  
  - RCG (routine care group) 83%  
* The success rate difference 8%, 95% CI (-1.6%, 17.6%); X² = 2.7; df = 1; P =0.10.  
* 4 months,  
  - SSC group 43% partially or EBF  
  - RCG 40%  
* The difference in the BF rate at 4 months , 3.3%, 95% CI (–10.3%, 16.7%); X² = 0.22; df = 1; P =0.64. | **Strengths:**  
* Computer generated randomization list  
* Intention to treat principle observed  
* An additional measure on maternal satisfaction between the 2 group was significant for maternal satisfaction & preference for SSC in the future (P<0.001) can be valuable for future research. | **Limitations:**  
* Interference from the researchers in the intervention group.  
* Participant bias could have caused the overall rates of successful BF to be higher than expected.
Mahmood et al., 2011

AIM:
* To assess the effect of early skin-to-skin care (SSC) between the mother-infant pair on the time to initiate the first breastfeed (BF), the time to effectively BF & the amount of exclusive BF (EBF) at one month of age.

| RCT | N=183 mother-baby pairs n=92 SSC group n=91 CC group |
| Data: | Where? Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences, Islamabad |
| Intervention group: SSC group, infants were dried & placed on mother’s abdomen after birth; in prone position between their breasts. Uninterrupted contact & BF was allowed for 45-120 minutes. |
| | Control group (CC): Infants shifted to the radiant warmer immediately after cutting the cords. They were cleaned & wrapped with pre-warmed sheets. First feed was started when mothers were ready. |

**Independent Variable:**
* Early SSC after birth

**Dependent Variables:**
* Time to initiate first BF (minutes)
* Time to effectively BF (minutes)
* Time to effectively BF
* Exclusive & partial BF @ one month

**Instrument:**
* IBFAT tool - 3 research assistants & physicians were trained in its use'
* Time to initiate first BF was measured minutes
* Time to effectively BF was measured in minutes from birth until start of 3 consecutive BF
* Exclusivity at one month postpartum was measured by the

**Results:**
* Early SSC significantly enhanced the success of BF initiation & continuation of EBF until one month of age.
* Time to initiate the first feed & the time to effective BF.
* The first BF was 26.25% more successful in the SSC group (58.8% as compared to 32.5% in CC group with a p-value of 0.001).
  * In the SSC group, the mean time to initiate the first BF was 61.6 minutes shorter than in the CC group (40.62 vs. 101.88; p < 0.001).
  * The mean time to achieve effective BF was 207 minutes earlier in the SSC group (149.69 vs. 357.50; p <

**Strengths:**
* Study was randomized with premixed sealed envelopes
* Pilot study was conducted to calculate the sample size & power of 90%.
* Outcomes measured in a standard, valid & reliable way.
* High quality instruments were used in the study.
* Researchers & physicians were trained in the use of the tool.

**Limitations:**
* Follow-up at one month is a small time interval & not in accordance with national & international guidelines that recommend 6 months of fulltime BF.
* Assessing long-term exclusive BF needs further research.
* Risk for cultural bias
Thukral et al., 2012

**AIM:**
* To evaluate if skin-to-skin care (SSC) improves breastfeeding (BF) behavior & exclusive BF (EBF) rates in term infants at 48 hours & 6 weeks of age.

| Participants: Healthy full term mothers anticipating a normal vaginal delivery with the intention of exclusive BF for at least one month. | index of BF status (IBS) as full, partial or token. | 0.001). * In the SSC group 85.3% infants were exclusively BF at one month as compared to 65.7% in the CC group (p=0.025. | may be a confounder due to diverse customs related to unique health care practices in different countries. * Potential confounders could be found in that mode of birth or medications given during birth were not accounted in the baseline characteristics. |

| RCT N=41 mother-baby pairs n=20 SSC group n=21 CC group Data: Where? All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India Intervention group: Infants in the SSC group were placed prone over the mother’s chest immediately after birth; it was uninterrupted for 2 hours. Control group: Conventional care group, no other description offered. | Independent Variable: * Early SSC after birth Dependent Variables: * BF behaviors in the infant at 36-48 hours of age. * EBF rates at 48 hours & 6 weeks Instruments: * The Modified Infant BF (BAT) tool, successful BF was defined as readiness to BF with a score of ≥ 8. * Video recordings & analysis of one BF session at 36-48 hours. * Record of discharge time. | Results: * Early SSC significantly improved exclusive BF rate at discharge & at 6 weeks. * Early SSC group was more likely to be EBF than those in the control group at both 48 hours & 6 weeks (RR: 2.5, 95% CI 1.4 & 3.2, & 95% CI 1.6-6.3, respectively, p=0.001 at both times). |

| Strengths: * Randomization with allocation concealment, the outcome assessment team blinded & consisted of a group of 3 independent masked observers. * Measurement tool is respected in other SSC literature. * Outcomes measured in a standard, valid & reliable way. |

| Limitations: * A one-time assessment of a BF session. * The absence of
| Moore et al, 2007 | AIM:  
* To evaluate the effect of early skin-to-skin care (SSC) on initial breastfeeding (BF) success & on exclusive BF (EBF) in the first month of life.  
| RCT  
N= 20 maternal-infant pairs  
N=10 SSC group  
N=10 CC group  
| Independent Variable:  
Early SSC after birth  
| Dependent Variables:  
* Time to BF effectively  
* EBF  
| Results:  
* Early SSC enhances BF success during the early postpartum period.  
* SSC group achieved effective BF sooner (935±721 minutes vs 1737 ± 1001; P< .04).  
* No significant differences in EBF (1.50 ± 1.1 vs 2.10 ± 2.2; P<0.45) or problems with BF were found at one month.  
| Strengths:  
* Randomization  
* Power analysis based on sample size.  
* Measurement tool is respected in other SSC literature.  
| Limitations:  
* Potential confounders include no mention of: medication taken in delivery & its effect on the baby, no nurse offered training in SSC, or rooming-in.  
* Small sample size  
* Risk for cultural bias  
* Potential for hawthorne effect- the |
immediately after delivery and then placed under a radiant warmer for a brief physical examination & routine early medical procedures were performed. Participants: Pregnant women without medical complications, 18 years or older, plan-ing to BF for at least 1 month, anticipating a normal vaginal delivery.

Bramson et al. (2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIM:</th>
<th>Prospective cohort study N=21,842 mother-infant pairs n= 16,970 SSC n= 4,872 no SSC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Data: | Where? San Bernardino & Riverside counties, 19 Calif. Perinatal Service (PSN) Hospitals

Intervention group: Mother & infant (M-I) pairs who experienced SSC

Control group: |
| Independent Variables: | * The duration of early SSC
| * The planned amount & intention to BF. |
| Dependent Variables: | * exclusive BF

Instrument: | * PSN data collection measurement tool developed in-house. Same instrument used in each of the 19 hospitals, applied mother’s medical record.

Results: | * A dose–response relationship between SSC & EBF was demon-strated. EBF was higher in mothers who experienced SSC for 1 to 15 minutes odds ratio [OR] 1.376; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.189-1.593), 16 to 30 minutes (OR 1.665; 95% CI, 1.468-1.888), 31 to 59 minutes (OR 2.357; 95% CI, |

Strengths: | * Diverse population
| * 198 sites
| * Large sample size, & a well-designed prospective cohort study
| * Sensitivity to measure variables that could be confounders that interfere with BF success (ex: the mother’s intention to breastfeed, medication received during labor & delivery, & cesarean
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Reference</strong></th>
<th><strong>AIMS:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Prospective</strong></th>
<th><strong>Independent</strong></th>
<th><strong>Results:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Strengths:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>group</strong> was the M-I pairs who did not experience SSC</td>
<td>To evaluate the influence of early skin-to-skin care (SSC) at birth on exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) duration.</td>
<td><strong>Cohort Design</strong></td>
<td><strong>Variable</strong></td>
<td>* SSC significantly increased mean duration of EBF by 0.39 months and overall BF duration by 1.43 months.</td>
<td>* Large sample size, a well-designed prospective cohort study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Participants:</strong> Mothers who delivered a healthy singleton infant (gestational age 37-40 weeks) and were not separated from their infant for more than 1 hour during the mother’s maternity hospital stay.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>N= 11,973</strong> n= 1,020 SSC n= 230 no SSC</td>
<td>* SSC lasting greater than 20 minutes</td>
<td>* Infants kept with their mothers for at least 20 minutes were EBF for 1.35 months longer and weaned 2.10 months later</td>
<td>* Randomization of the initial subset of healthy newborns by systematic sampling of every fifth case.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Data:</strong> Where? Poland, National Survey of Maternity Hospital Practices</td>
<td>* SSC lasting less than 20 minutes</td>
<td>* Standardized</td>
<td>* Power analysis based on sample size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Intervention group:</strong> SSC a procedure after delivery, the infant is placed on the</td>
<td>* No SSC after birth</td>
<td></td>
<td>Limitations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Dependent Variables:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Limitations:</strong></td>
<td>* No blinding, no randomization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>* EBF duration</td>
<td></td>
<td>* Measurement of short duration.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mother in direct body contact. Control group:
* Infants received no SSC care after birth
* Newborns, gestational age ≥37 weeks, a birth weight ≥2500 grams, free of major neonatal health problems and discharged at 2–15 d after birth.

questionnaire measuring the occurrence of SSC, time of initiation & duration of BF over 36 months.

than those who had no SSC after birth.
* SSC after birth significantly coexisted with other hospital practices supportive to BF: rooming-in without separation longer than 1 in 24 hours [relative risk (RR) = 3.18, 95% confidence interval (95% CI): 2.34–4.31] and first BF within 2 hours after birth (RR = 2.94, 95% CI: 2.36–3.67).

* Convenience sample
* Cultural bias Polish maternity wards.
* No available information regarding validity and reliability of the “standardized questionnaire”

| Defrisco et al, 2011 | AIMS:  
* To determine factors associated with exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) following hospital discharge at 2 and 4 weeks. | Descriptive Survey Design N=113, mothers who experienced SSC & BF at discharge  
Data: Where? A large urban academic medical center with 4.600 births annually  
Data collection: Convenience sample of mothers who BF in the first hour after birth (with SSC) and who continued to BF | Independent Variable:  
* Initiation of BF & SSC after delivery, in the first hour & BF at discharge  
Dependent Variables:  
* EBF & amount of BF at 2 & 4 weeks  
Instrument:  
* Survey questionnaire tool with 28 items  
* Two methods of data | Results:  
* Mothers who reported BF in the first hour of birth (61.5%) were significantly more likely to be EBF at 2 to 4 weeks after discharge (x²=8.046 {1, n=96}, p=.005).This was true for all mothers, whether the mode of delivery was vaginally or by cesarean. No other | Strengths:  
* Controlled for confounders.  
* Power analysis based on sample size  
Limitations:  
* Convenience sample non-experimental design  
* Selection bias, 86% were experienced breast feeders.  
* Population was predominantly middle class, the sample was
at discharge were contacted by telephone at 2 & 4 weeks to complete the survey.
collection telephone interview & self-directed online.
variables were significantly associated with EBF at 2 to 4 weeks after discharge.
* 86% of all study participants were experienced breast feeders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AIMS: Crenshaw et al, 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AIMS:</strong>&lt;br&gt; * Aim 1: (Part 1) describe the rate of EBF with early SSC after a vaginal or cesarean birth by staff trained in the SSC PRECESS Model.&lt;br&gt; * Aim 2: (Part 2) sustainability in monthly rates of SSC EBF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Descriptive Observational Design (mixed methods study of an intervention)</strong>&lt;br&gt; Part I- N=11&lt;br&gt; Part II- N=300 (over 5 months)&lt;br&gt; -n= 30 vaginal monthly&lt;br&gt; -n= 30 cesarean monthly (Total n = 60 per month)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Independent Variable:</strong>&lt;br&gt; * SSC within one hour after birth&lt;br&gt; * Vaginal birth vs. cesarean birth rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dependent Variables:</strong>&lt;br&gt; * EBF, exclusive breastfeeding rates at discharge &amp; monthly for 5 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Result:</strong>&lt;br&gt; Part I&lt;br&gt; * SSC increased above baseline by 25%&lt;br&gt; Part II&lt;br&gt; * No significant difference (Pearson v2 = 2.690, df = 5, p = 0.748) in the rate of EBF across the 6 study months.&lt;br&gt; * Overall rate of SSC grew monthly, the baseline in July was 58% &amp; by Dec. was 88%.&lt;br&gt; * EBF monthly rate ranged from 49% to 54%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengths:</strong>&lt;br&gt; * Large sample&lt;br&gt; * 5 months follow-up&lt;br&gt; * Internal validity: an effective model for measuring success with SSC: the details provided in the 9 steps appear more précised &amp; accurate than any measure seen in the evidence.&lt;br&gt; * Pilot program in staff training: SSC PRECESS Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limitations:</strong>&lt;br&gt; * Convenience sample non-experimental design&lt;br&gt; * Selection bias&lt;br&gt; * Cultural bias-none of the physicians or nurse anesthetists participated. ---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
admitted to the labor and delivery unit for a vaginal birth or scheduled cesarean surgery, who agreed to SSC after birth. Healthy mothers included.

* Hawthorne effect-the experts present at each birth may have affected the results.

**Moore et al, 2012**

**AIM:**
*to assess the effects of early SSC on breastfeeding, physiological adaptation, and behavior in healthy mother-newborn dyads.

**Design:**
*randomized controlled trials comparing early SSC with usual hospital care
*18 countries: non & westernized nations, inclng US & UK
*November 2011
*34 RCTs
*2177 mother-infant dyads

**Method:**
*quality of the included studies was mixed-
*many studies did not provide clear information on the way the randomization sequence was generated
* or on the methods used to conceal group allocation when randomized

**Results:**
*13 trials with 702 participants included a significantly positive effect of early SSC on breastfeeding at one to four months: RR=1.27, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.53.
*7 trials with 324 participants-SSC increased breastfeeding duration - without significance (mean difference of 42.55 days, 95% CI -1.69 to 86.79, P= 0.06).

**Strengths:** level I research, large sample numbers with combined statistics, and clear and specific recommendations.

**Limitations:** mixed methods with heterogeneity, and variations in implementation and outcomes related to the intervention.

**Direction is positive- authors concluded that SSC appears to benefit breastfeeding outcomes and there are no short or long-term negative effects.**

**Linares et al, 2016**

**AIMS:**
* to evaluate the

**Design:**
*longitudinal

**Data Analysis:**
*to assess the

**Results:**
* participation in

**Strengths:** bivariate
**Anderzen-Carlsson et al, 2014 Part 1**

**AIM:** To describe parental experiences of providing skin-to-skin care (SSC) to their newborn infants.

**Design:**
- Part I of a meta-study exploring parental experiences & SSC
- First qualitative systematic review regarding parental

**Data Collection:**
- Four databases were searched, without year or language limitations, up until December 2013
- Manual searches performed in reference lists &

**Results:**
- Two themes emerged:
  - A restoring experience
  - An energy-draining experience.

**Strengths:**
- Well conducted qualitative research
- Birth stories are valuable to balance the rigors of statistical research

**Limitations:**
- Convenience sample, small study, reasons for not practicing SSC were not documented in some of the infant charts

**Direction:**
- Younger maternal age and increased prenatal infant feeding intention may be associated with increased likelihood of EBF across both time points

**Influence of early SSC on initiation & sustained EBF at 1 month postpartum**

* To understand underlying healthcare disparities of a vulnerable population group

**Study of breastfeeding Hispanic women**

* Kentucky
* Convenience sample of 100 Hispanic women
* Controlled for demographic and clinical variables in the model

**Relationship between SSC and EBF over time**

* Used the generalized estimating equations (GEE) model for binary outcomes
* A repeated measures model analyzed EBF status at discharge and 1 month post-discharge

**Early SSC- associated with a greater than sevenfold increase in the odds of EBF at discharge (p = .005)**

* Not predictive of EBF at 1 month post-discharge (p = .7)

**Descriptive statistics chi square, independent t-tests**

**Early SSC group more likely to be EBF at discharge from maternity stay versus dyads not experiencing early SSC.**

**Analyses examining the association, well conducted research, two-third of the group women practiced early SSC**

**Limitations:**
- Convenience sample, small study, reasons for not practicing SSC were not documented in some of the infant charts

**Direction:**
- Younger maternal age and increased prenatal infant feeding intention may be associated with increased likelihood of EBF across both time points

**Anderzen-Carlsson et al, 2014 Part 1**

**AIM:** To describe parental experiences of providing skin-to-skin care (SSC) to their newborn infants.

**Design:**
- Part I of a meta-study exploring parental experiences & SSC
- First qualitative systematic review regarding parental

**Data Collection:**
- Four databases were searched, without year or language limitations, up until December 2013
- Manual searches performed in reference lists &

**Results:**
- Two themes emerged:
  - A restoring experience
  - An energy-draining experience.

**Strengths:**
- Well conducted qualitative research
- Birth stories are valuable to balance the rigors of statistical research

**Limitations:**
### AIM:
To synthesize and interpret qualitative research findings focusing on parental experiences of skin-to-skin care (SSC) for newborn infants.

### Design:
*meta-synthesis was guided by the methodology described by Paterson and co-workers. *four databases were searched, without year or language limitations, up until December 2013 *manual searches also performed

*Sweden

### Level 5: systematic review of descriptive qualitative studies

### Data Collection:
*meta-synthesis entails a meta-data analysis, analysis of meta-method *searches and subsequent quality appraisal resulted in the inclusion of 29 original qualitative papers *9 countries *401 mothers and 94 fathers.

### Results:
a theoretical model of becoming a parent under unfamiliar circumstances emerged. *providing SSC seems to be a restorative as well as an energy-draining experience *supportive environment has been described as facilitating the restorative experience *challenges in the environment seem to make the provision of

### Strengths:
well conducted qualitative research, birth stories are valuable to balance the rigors of statistical research

### Limitations:
Level 5 research

### Direction:
*SSC is a family-including & important health care intervention *the process of becoming a parent in this specific situation

---

experiences & SSC

* systematic and manual searches led to the inclusion of 29 original qualitative papers from 9 countries *401 mothers and 94 fathers.

*Sweden

Level 5: systematic review of descriptive qualitative studies

in a bibliography of the topic. *after a quality-appraisal process, data from the original articles were extracted & analyzed using qualitative content analysis

---

Anderzen-Carlsson et al, 2014 Part 2
### SKIN-TO-SKIN CONTACT: A MIXED METHODS STUDY

| Palmer et al, 2010 | **AIM:** to describe women’s lived experience initiating breastfeeding within the context of early home discharge. | **Design:** *exploratory, descriptive & consent from the women*  
*reflective lifeworld research design based on phenomenologic al philosophy*  
*Sweden*  
Level 6 – single descriptive qualitative study | **Sample:** 8 women,  
* 2 primiparous,  
* 6 multiparous  
**Process:** Interviewed within 2 months after birth. | **Results:** Essential meaning below from its five constituents:  
*fascination in the first encounter*  
*balancing the unknown*  
*devoting oneself and enduring the Situation*  
*seeking confirmation in the unique*  
*having the entire responsibility* | **Strengths:** *well conducted qualitative research*  
*birth stories are valuable to balance the rigors of statistical research*  
**Limitations:** *Level 6 research*  
*it was a challenge for the midwife researcher to separate her own lived experiences from the mothers in the study*  
**Direction:** Is positive-  
*breastfeeding interlaces biological and existential issues* |
SKIN-TO-SKIN CONTACT: A MIXED METHODS STUDY

that cannot be separated into different parts
*it must be regarded in its wholeness with lived experience as essential

Finigan et al, 2009
AIMS:
*to study women’s lived experiences of SSC with their baby immediately after birth.
* to explore the experiences from the women’s own perspective and to clarify whether or not this is a ‘mother-friendly’ approach.

Design:
*exploratory, descriptive & consent from the women.
*Transcripts were returned to the women for verification.
*United Kingdom
Level 6 – single descriptive qualitative study

Sample:
*6 multigravid women

Process:
*Women kept an audiotaped diary for 28 days (end of postnatal) *Followed by an in-depth interview day 28
* All interviews & audiotaped diaries transcribed verbatim

Results:
*women verbalized emotive and visceral language to describe their experiences SSC with their babies immediately after birth
* Five themes *discovered: immediate feelings of ‘bonding’; stroking & touching *description of gazing coming to know the baby *described a ‘natural, instinctive’ behavior *desiring not to let go of the baby

Strengths:
*well conducted qualitative research
*birth stories are valuable to balance the rigors of statistical research

Limitations:
Level 6 research

Direction:
The women described:
*‘instinctive’ feelings with SSC
*the desire for a longer period of uninterrupted skin-to-skin contact.
*felt the baby was a ‘reward’ after the challenges of labor.
*the time was described as pleasurable & an important birth memory
### Table 2

*Demographics as Means and Standard Deviations*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>SCC Group (n = 34)</th>
<th>Comparison Group (n = 21)</th>
<th>Total (n = 55)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at birth in years</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>5.310</td>
<td>23.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last grade completed in school</td>
<td>14.21</td>
<td>2.487</td>
<td>13.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term in weeks</td>
<td>39.21</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>38.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children breastfed</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternity leave in months</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time of breastfeeding in months *</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>6.187</td>
<td>8.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. No statistically significant differences were found between the SCC and comparison groups.

*Eleven in the SSC (n=23) had not weaned the baby from breastfeeding at the time of the interview.
Table 2A

Demographics as a Percentage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>SCC Group * (n = 34)</th>
<th>Comparison (n = 21)</th>
<th>Total (n = 55)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attended breastfeeding class</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternity leave</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health problems with pregnancy or postpartum</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health problems not pregnant</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieved desired length of breastfeeding *</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health problems with breastfeeding</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother felt supported with breastfeeding</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. No statistically significant differences were found between the SCC and comparison groups.  
*Eleven in the SSC (n=23) had not weaned the baby from breastfeeding at the time of the interview.
Table 3

*Duration of Breastfeeding Rates at 6 weeks*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of BF 6 weeks</th>
<th>Received SSC/kc per policy</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Length of BF 6 weeks</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>38.1%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Length of BF 6 weeks</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>68.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>74.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Length of BF 6 weeks</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Duration of Breastfeeding Chi-Square Tests at 6 weeks*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (1-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>2.861&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuity Correction&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.884</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>2.802</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher's Exact Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear-by-Linear Association</td>
<td>2.809</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.35.
b. Computed only for a 2x2 table
Table 4

Duration of Breastfeeding Rates at 3 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of BF 3 months</th>
<th>Received SSC/kc per policy</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Length of BF 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>months</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>47.4%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per policy</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Length of BF 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>months</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per policy</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>73.5%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Length of BF 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>months</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per policy</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Duration of Breastfeeding Chi-Square Tests at 3 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (1-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>2.568a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuity Correctionb</td>
<td>1.718</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>2.541</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher's Exact Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear-by-Linear Association</td>
<td>2.521</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5.
b. Computed only for a 2x2 table
Table 5

*Duration of Breastfeeding Rates at 6 months*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of BF 6 months</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Length of BF 6 months</td>
<td>38.7%</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>55.9%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Length of BF 6 months</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>43.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Length of BF 6 months</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Duration of Breastfeeding Chi-Square Tests at 6 months*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (1-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>2.861a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuity Correctionb</td>
<td>1.884</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>2.802</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher's Exact Test</td>
<td>2.809</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear-by-Linear Association</td>
<td>2.809</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5.  
b. Computed only for a 2x2 table
Table 6

*Exclusive Breastfeeding Rates at 6 weeks*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EBF for 6 weeks</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within EBF for 6 weeks</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
<td>26.5%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within EBF for 6 weeks</td>
<td>32.4%</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>73.5%</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within EBF for 6 weeks</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Exclusive Breastfeeding Chi-Square Tests at 6 weeks*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (1-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>1.583a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuity Correctionb</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>1.565</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher's Exact Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear-by-Linear Association</td>
<td>1.555</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 6.87.
b. Computed only for a 2x2 table
### Table 7

**Exclusive Breastfeeding Rates at 3 months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EBF for 3 months</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Count</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within EBF for 3 months</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>YES</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within EBF for 3 months</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>64.7%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within EBF for 3 months</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exclusive Breastfeeding Chi-Square Tests at 3 months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (1-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>0.822&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuity Correction&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher's Exact Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear-by-Linear Association</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<sup>a</sup> 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 8.40.

<sup>b</sup> Computed only for a 2x2 table
Table 8

Exclusive Breastfeeding Rates at 6 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EBF for 6 months</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBF for 6 months</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within EBF for 6 months</td>
<td>37.2%</td>
<td>62.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>79.4%</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within EBF for 6 months</td>
<td>41.7%</td>
<td>58.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>21.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within EBF for 6 months</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Received SSC/kc per policy</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total</td>
<td>38.2%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exclusive Breastfeeding Chi-Square Tests at 6 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (2-sided)</th>
<th>Exact Sig. (1-sided)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>0.079a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuity Correctionb</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood Ratio</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher's Exact Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear-by-Linear Association</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. 1 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 4.58.
b. Computed only for a 2x2 table
Table 9  Qualitative Data: Most common words, phrases, themes

**Survey Question:** Do you have anything you would like to say about your birth, the skin-to-skin experience, and the first memories of seeing and touching your child?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top Descriptors about SSC</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It was the most amazing experience  (awesome and exciting also used)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I thought it was great</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It was just wonderful</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It was special and unique</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SSC Effects on Breastfeeding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSC Effects on Breastfeeding</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It helped me get breastfeeding started</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It probably helped me breastfeed longer</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel like he breastfed faster</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SSC Effects on Bonding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSC Effects on Bonding</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It helped promote bonding ... (22 included both bonding and breastfeeding)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It made us a lot closer</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I couldn’t have imagined it</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unique Descriptors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique Descriptors</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I don’t think that I would have bonded with him or breastfed as well without it</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It made me feel relaxed and calmer to breastfeed, my husband also did better</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A bond started right away and it helped us form a greater dependency together</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is the way that we are supposed to feel about our babies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyone should do skin-to-skin. It helped with breastfeeding and everything</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The more contact you have with your child the more the bond grows</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I wanted to breastfeed longer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn’t feel so overwhelmed at first ... all the visitors didn’t even bother me</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It was the most amazing thing that I have ever been through in my life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would do it all over again. I immediately started my breast feeding and I didn’t have any complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>