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ABSTRACT

Influence of Sex and Blood Collection Method on Innate Immune Response following Lipopolysaccharide Administration in Sheep

Hoda Nikpour

Wethers and ewes were used to study the effect of catheter or repeated venipuncture on circulating cortisol and leukocyte concentrations and physical behaviors following administration of lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a gram-negative bacteria cell wall component. Animals were injected with LPS or saline, as a control, to induce an innate immune system response. In addition, blood leukocytes and cortisol levels were studied to determine the effect of sex on immunological and stress responses. Thirty-eight mature Dorset and Suffolk wethers and ewes were assigned into one of four treatment groups: 1.) no-catheter + LPS treated ewes (n=5) and wethers (n=4) that were restrained to draw blood from the jugular vein; 2.) no-catheter + saline treated ewes (n=6) and wethers (n=4) restrained to draw blood from the jugular vein; 3.) catheter + LPS consisting of LPS-treated ewes (n=6) and wethers (n=4) in which a jugular catheter was placed to draw blood; and 4.) catheter + saline treated ewes (n=6) and wethers (n=4) with a jugular catheter. Blood was collected from the jugular vein catheter, which was placed into the jugular vein the day before the experiment and anchored with a stitch to secure it or venipuncture before the challenge followed by post challenge samples every 30 min for 3 h and every hour until 12 h, and once at 24 h. Physical behaviors and rectal temperatures were monitored before LPS administration and every hour for 12 h post challenge. Total white blood cell counts and leukocyte differentials including lymphocytes, monocytes, and granulocytes were measured immediately after collection using a veterinary hematology blood analyzer (Abaxis VetScan HM5, Abaxis Inc.), and remaining samples were centrifuged, and plasma was collected and stored at -20°C until concentration of cortisol was determined by ELISA. Treatment with LPS resulted in increased cortisol concentrations, rectal temperatures, lethargy, and nasal discharge, as well as decreased WBC count and appetite in ewes and wethers. Catheter insertion alone resulted in increased WBC count, but did not affect cortisol. However, a significant increased in cortisol occurred in LPS treated sheep fitted with catheters compared to those without. Rectal temperatures during LPS challenge were greater in wethers than ewes, and a greater decrease in total WBC count and leukocyte differentials was seen in ewes when compared to wethers. Moreover, there was no significant effect of sex on cortisol concentrations. In summary, there were no differences in cortisol concentrations between sexes and no significant effect of the catheter alone. However, during the LPS challenge catheter exacerbated the innate immune response and cortisol levels, and rectal temperature and WBC count were affected by sex. Therefore, catheter placement created an additional stressor that augmented the LPS-induced immune response.
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Chapter 1  Introduction and Related Works

1.1 Stress and Cortisol

Animals are continually challenged by physical and emotional stressors threatening their homeostasis (Johnson, 1992). Stress responses involve the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) and the autonomic nervous system (ANS), both of which are required for proper response adaptation. Figure 1-1 outlines highly conserved biological systems and their main components: epinephrine, norepinephrine (NE), corticotrophin releasing hormone (CRH), and glucocorticoids (GCs). The bridge between received sensory data, such as physical or psychological challenges, and assessment procedure, is formed by limbic brain structures including the hippocampus (HIPP), amygdala (AMY) and prefrontal cortex (PFC). The HPA axis is controlled by signal transduction to the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus (PVN) to maintain activity of corticotrophin releasing hormone (CRH) neurons (Smith, 2006). Adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) secretion from the pituitary results from release of vasopressin (VP) and CRH by the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus. Therefore, ACTH stimulates episodic secretion of adrenal steroid glucocorticoid (GCs). Secretion of GCs is vital based on their effect on glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, and lipolysis in providing energy, and also peaks temporarily after a stressor (Lightman, 2008). Glucocorticoids affect hormone synthesis, food intake, digestion, and productivity by decreasing nonessential activities. Several mechanisms, such as inhibitory feedback by GCs, which inhibits expression of CRH and ACTH (Uchoa, Ernane, and Torres, 2014), regulate HPA axis activity. Numerous studies have investigated the role of VP in activation of the HPA axis by using various stressors, which differ in nature and intensity. Although there is no evidence to show a clear role for VP either in circadian and basal rhythmicity of plasma ACTH or after chronic stressor, VP is thought to have a stimulatory effect on ACTH secretion after an acute stressor such as LPS administration (Zelena, 2009). Studies have shown a relationship among catecholaminergic activity, HPA axis, and stress. Injection of CRH into different sites in the brain can increase GC and catecholamines production (epinephrine and norepinephrine,
also known as adrenaline and noradrenaline, respectively) from the sympathetic nervous system and their metabolites. This has been shown to affect stress-related behaviors, and increase cardiovascular output and blood flow to the brain and skeletal muscles while GCs act synergistically with them. Furthermore, both GCs and catecholamines provide energy from adipose tissue and hepatic cells to precede the fight-or-flight response. Meanwhile, GCs cause decreases in nonessential behaviors such as reproduction and digestion (Sorrells, 2007).

Early phases of stress have stimulatory effects on the immune system with regard to the activities of catecholamines. Although GCs can suppress immune responses, small amounts of GCs are desirable to permit catecholamines to rapidly stimulate the immunity. High amounts of GCs prevent autoimmune damage due to their suppressive effects. This explains the significance of a controlled immune reaction during fight-or-flight responses to both act beforehand as well as being suppressed in the long-term (Sorrells, 2007). Animals might show alteration in catecholamine concentration based on whether they were exposed to chronic or acute and/or to novel or similar stressors. Furthermore, circulating

Figure 1-1 Systems activated by stress.

Note. ACTH: adrenocorticotropin hormone; AMY: amygdala nuclei; ANS: autonomic nervous system; CORT: corticosterone; CRH: corticotropin releasing hormone; HIPP: hippocampus; HPA axis: hypothalamo–pituitary–adrenal axis; HYP: hypothalamus; LC: locus coeruleus; NA: noradrenaline; PFC: prefrontal cortex. (Adapted from www.sciencedirect.com)
GCs may function in a regulatory fashion by inducing negative feedback of norepinephrine secretion (Pacak and Karel, 1995).

Glucocorticoids are central mediators with a broad diversity of anti-inflammatory and anti-proliferative effects. The primary role of GCs in stress is to suppress immunity. Glucocorticoids decrease macrophage accumulation in a wide range of diseases, such as arterial injury and are powerful anti-inflammatory mediators. Poon et al. (1991) reported that GCs inhibition monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) secretion in arterial smooth muscle cells (SMC) by altering MCP1 mRNA. Dhawan et al. (2007) described GC effect on gene expression, which is mediated by GC receptor ligation followed by triggering transcription of genes containing a glucocorticoid-response section. They reported that GCs could reduce stability of mRNAs including transcripts encoding cyclooxygenase (COX)-2, cyclin D3, exotoxin, granulocyte-macrophage-colony-stimulating factor, interferon-β, interleukin-1, interleukin-6, inducible nitric oxide synthase, leukocyte inhibitory factor, and vascular endothelial growth factor. In contrast, GCs can strengthen mRNA stability of other transcripts including COX-1 and fibronectin.

Even though stressors can affect the HPA axis, its activation depends on duration, strength, and stressor etiology. Gutierrez et al. (2015) studied effects of different stressors (acute and chronic) on triggering HPA axis activity. The authors utilized two different stress models: electrical (physical) and LPS administration. Activation of HPA axis was accomplished by chronic physical stressors and by acute or chronic LPS administration. Increased secretion of corticosterone occurred after LPS administration but not after acute physical stress. In a series of experiments by Hueston et al. (2014), an acute stressor (foot shock) caused a robust increase in IL-1 expression at 60 min after stress. In addition, there was a robust increase in IL-1R2, which can block IL-1 signaling, before IL-1 expression, which remained elevated for 240 minuets. There can be effects of stressors on other genes by activating them, rather than the cytokines, to limit or shut-off signals for neuroinflammation. They also studied the short-term effects of CRH and ACTH (HPA axis hormones) on the expression of cytokines and observed that there was no time relationship between HPA axis activation and robust increases in cytokine expression in the brain. However, ACTH directly links to particular inflammatory-related genes in the adrenal gland. Moreover, increased GC levels following acute stress had negative feedback on
adrenal c-fos, COX-2 and IL-1 expression. Excessive Toll-like receptor (TLR) binding can cause hyper-activation, which can be suppressed by GCs targeting T-cells (Brewer et al., 2003). In contrast, macrophages are targeted by GCs to prevent septic shock induced by LPS (Bhattacharyya et al., 2007).

Mainly, acute stressors can stimulate brain cytokine production because a necessary amount of brain norepinephrine needs to be produced. Studies of stress in restrained rats have shown increased IL-1β in the hypothalamus but no changes in circulating IL-1β, TNF-α, IL-6, or central Cox-2. Restraint stress caused higher plasma corticosterone, which has a continuous and pulsatile pattern, and high plasma epinephrine (Porterfield, 2011). Corticosterone secretion can follow different dynamic patterns based on the strain of rat, which have different responses to acute stress. Windle (1998) performed the first series of experiments studying HPA axis in catheterized rats to minimize handling and disturbance of plasma corticosterone levels. Moreover, they have shown there is a sexual dimorphism in HPA activity, which will be discussed later.

Frank (2013) proposed a novel idea about the effects of GC production on priming both central and peripheral innate immune system in reaction to the stressors in mice due to their effect on inflammatory response following infection or injury. This sensitization would make test subjecys aware of an injury or infection after GC concentration declined. After the fight-or-flight emergency is over, the immune system response to any potential inflammatory threats will be increased because it has been prepared by the neuroendocrine warning signal.

### 1.2 Innate and Adaptive Immune Response

Initial and rapid response to any pathogen, which is called innate immunity, is an antigen-nonspecific response in all living individuals. The host is born with this mechanism, and it is the first mechanism activated soon after being pathogen exposure (Wira et al., 2005). The four main roles of innate immunity include identifying foreign pathogens, removing them, regulating the immune response, and presenting antigen to effector immune cells. Immune activation by innate immunity is responsible for initiating both humoral and cellular components (Murphy, 2012).
The first step in innate immunity is recognition by leukocytes of invading pathogens through pattern recognition receptors or PRRs (Takeuchi et al., 2001), which include two types: secreted molecules and cell-surface receptors. Secreted molecules are found in blood and lymph and are components of the complement system, while cell-surface molecules are found on macrophages and other phagocytic cells. The TLRs and C-type lectin receptors (CLRs) are cell-surface receptors on macrophages and dendritic cells that induce release of cytokines (Ozinsky, 2000; Pioli et al., 2004). There are at least 11 identified TLRs, which can either act together or separately (Aderem, 2004). The initial response to a pathogen is through macrophages, which are mature monocytes present in different tissues. Macrophages phagocytize pathogens through binding to TLRs on the plasma membrane (cell-extrinsic pathway) in contrast to the receptors in the cytoplasm (cytosolic PPRs/ cell-intrinsic pathway), which are known as nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain proteins (NOD) (Beutler, 2009; Takeuchi and Akira, 2010).

Despite the fact that innate immunity is antigen-nonspecific, some conserved structures named pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP) can be recognized by TLRs on some immune cells. Macrophages, monocytes, dendritic cells, and neutrophils are leukocytes that can start the series of immune responses and recruit other leukocytes by recognizing PAMPs including mannose, peptidoglycan, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), bacterial DNA, glucans, viral nucleic acids, and lipoteichoic acid (LTA) (Janeway and Medzhitov, 2002). Innate immune responses and inflammation are induced by recognition of PAMPs by PRRs. Antigen presenting cells, in particular, dendritic cells, express PRRs leading to detecting microbes and triggering adaptive immune responses. Extracellular pathogens are sensed by cell-extrinsic recognition pattern through TLR-1, TLR-2, TLR-4, TLR-5 and TLR-6, and CLRs expressed on cell membranes of macrophages and DCs. Takeda (2004) has shown the reciprocal action of both TLR-2 and TLR-6 throughout the immune response to peptidoglycan and LTA PAMPs in a way that TLR-2 serves as the initial signal to induce an immune response and the second response is associated with binding of TLR-6. Because of TLR binding to the pathogen, the signal is transmitted to the nucleus of macrophages through nuclear factor (NF)κB. Production of cytokines is the ultimate result of TLR signal transmission by stimulation of gene expressions for those cytokines (Takeda, 2005). Alternatively, cell-intrinsic recognition pathway is used to
detect viral nucleic acids and intracellular bacteria through cytoplasmic sensors. In some cases, both cytosolic and membrane-bound PRRs can detect some PAMPs, such as viral nucleic acids and LPS (Iwasaki, 2015).

The diverse white blood cells (leukocytes) in blood and lymph are responsible for the immune response. They originate from hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) in bone marrow and are differentiated into either myeloid progenitor or lymphoid progenitor cells. Lymphocytes (B and T) and natural killer cells arise from lymphoid progenitor cells; whereas, myeloid progenitor cells give rise to mononuclear or polymorphonuclear phagocytes, mast cells, and red blood cells. Innate immunity is mostly reliant on specialized myeloid cells. Granulocytes or polymorphonuclear leukocytes include neutrophils, eosinophils, and basophils due to their cytoplasmic granules, which contain bactericidal enzymes (Murphy, 2012). Neutrophils have phagocytic properties in addition to having antibacterial enzymes and are generally the first cells to reach the infection site. Soon after infection, monocytes follow neutrophils to the site, thus accumulation of neutrophils and macrophages is a hallmark of inflammation. Mature neutrophils exit bone marrow fully maturated, however, immature neutrophils can be found in blood during the acute phase of an infection. Concentration of mature neutrophils increase in circulation during severe infection, even 5-10 fold in severe cases (Waller, 2002). Circulating neutrophils in sheep average 2,400 neutrophils/μl of blood, while basophils and eosinophils usually average fewer than 5% of the total leukocytes: 50 basophils/μl and 400 eosinophils/μl in blood. Mast cells, basophils, and eosinophils have roles in allergic reactions. Eosinophils also play a part in responding to parasitic infestation.

The main components of mononuclear phagocytes are circulating monocytes and cells derived from them including macrophages (tissue monocytes) and dendritic cells. Average concentration of circulating monocytes in sheep is 200 monocytes/μl. Dendritic cells (DC) are professional antigen-presenting cells (APC) and take up pathogens through micropinocytosis. Then, activated DCs travel to the closest lymph node and activate T-cells, which are pathogen-specific lymphocytes and cellular elements of adaptive immunity. Moreover, activated and mature DCs can produce cytokines to affect mutually innate and adaptive immunity. Kupffer cells, microglial cells, and osteoclasts are examples of macrophages of liver, brain, and bone, respectively. Macrophages can destroy pathogens
by phagocytosis as well as recruiting polymorphonuclear phagocytes to the infection site. Macrophages present antigen through MHC class II to T-cells (CD4+) and stimulate an adaptive immune response (Beutler, 2004). In addition, macrophages can start inflammation that causes redness, swelling, heat, and pain in the affected area. Those characteristics are based upon the increase in blood flow, increased permeability of blood vessels, and vasodilation in the affected area. Furthermore, migration of leukocytes to the site of infection is due to the effect of chemokines responsible for pain (Murphy, 2012). Inflammation is the result of TLR ligation and cytokine signaling causing secretion of additional cytokines, chemokines, and prostaglandins resulting in cell recruitment.

Regulation of immunity is accomplished by intercellular messengers named cytokines, which are released by innate immune cells including monocytes, macrophages, DCs, neutrophils, eosinophils, basophils, natural killer cells, and mast cells. For instance, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) is produced primarily by mast cells and macrophages, and RBCs are the only cells that do not have TNF receptors (Tizard, 2004). Cytokines and chemokines, which are responsible for coordinating the immune response, include interleukins (IL-1, IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12, IL-18), TNF-α, IFN-γ, TGF-β, and CCL4/RANTES. Various stimulators cause their release; one is TLR4 binding by LPS or other pathogens through TLRs. Others are signals come from complement and immunoglobulin.

There are two types of inflammatory cytokines: pro-inflammatory cytokines and anti-inflammatory cytokines. Proinflammatory cytokines, which help systemic inflammation and cause fever and tissue destruction, include TNF-α, IL-1, IL-2, IL-8, and IFN-γ. On the other hand, anti-inflammatory cytokines mostly downregulate inflammatory responses through IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, and IL-10 (Raghupathy, 1997). Cytokine signaling ultimately causes inflammatory or allergic reactions, while proinflammatory cytokines stimulate adaptive immunity by recruiting T-cells, and anti-inflammatory cytokines to resolve the inflammatory response (McGettrick, 2007; Iwasaki, 2010). Proinflammatory cytokines, like IL-8, are released immediately after activation of innate immunity; they are responsible for the release, and production of other chemokines and cytokines. IL-8 is essential because of its chemotactic function to attract neutrophils to the inflammation site (Papanicolau, 1998).
One model used for immune response is the LPS model, which shows that the HPA axis is activated at different points during the acute phase response. The LPS pathway contains TLR-4, which is expressed on the surface of specific WBCs such as neutrophils, monocytes, and dendritic cells and includes CD-14 and MD-2 as co-factors. An LPS injection can affect secretion of systemic IL-1, which is the result of activation of tissue macrophages including hepatic macrophages named Kuppfer cells (Navarra et al., 2001). LPS is one of the chemical motifs that can increase secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines including IL-1β, as well as, causing septic shock and death due to stimulating the systemic release of IL-6, IL-1, and TNFα. Symptoms include fever, hypotension, organ failure and acidosis (Bernheim, 1976; Lacy, 2011). Moreover, IL-1β has positive feedback on IL-6 secretion, which is the essential element to activate HPA axis and cause fever in acute phase response (Turnbull et al., 2003, Cartmell et al., 2000). IL-1 is also involved in growth of different cells, such as vascular smooth muscle, glial cells, and fibroblasts. Initiation of (NF)κB is in response to TLR and NOD, which stimulate macrophages to secrete TNFα as the first cytokine secreted. TNF-α and IL-1 can work together to enhance inflammation, or TNFα can work separately adjacent to the site of inflammation to increase production of vasodilators. Vasodilators also loosen endothelial cell tight junctions which causes gaps to allow leakage of plasma proteins and neutrophils into the tissue. Both TNF-α and IL-1 can cause inflammation symptoms including lethargy, fever, and low appetite (Kuwano et al., 2004, Gupta, 1995). While these two cytokines attract neutrophils to the site of inflammation and trauma, IL-6 is produced by macrophages in response to secretion of IL-1 and TNF-α. Furthermore, IL-6 has a negative feedback on the secretion of those cytokines and attracts macrophages to the site of inflammation; therefore, it is a major component of acute phase responses (APR), which is the first part of the systemic reaction in a case of infection. There is an increase in acute phase proteins (APP), which are reactants produced by hepatocytes, macrophages, smooth muscle and endothelial cells and released into circulation during APR. Changes in the amount of APPs occurs within two hours of inflammation and remain for about two days. Meanwhile, increases in ACTH, cortisol, and catecholamine are observed in addition to neuroendocrine changes like fever, dropped in appetite, and dizziness (Ceciliani, 2002).
Initiation of APR depends on secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines at the beginning of an immune response to inhibit current tissue damage due to the inflammation and reestablish homeostasis and tissue healing (Gabay, 1999). Production of pro-inflammatory cytokines affects the specific part of the brain responsible for regulation of body temperature resulting in secretion of prostaglandin related to high temperature and fever induction. Prostaglandin causes vasoconstriction and stimulation of sympathetic nervous system leading to fever occurrence, which is the defensive mechanism against pathogens via decelerating their growth while increasing host immune response and metabolism (van Deventer, 1990).

Sometimes cells are not needed for an immune response. In that case, other molecules, which are part of a humoral component of the innate immunity, would be adequate to destroy pathogens, while others just recognize them. Molecules that can kill microbes are complement, lysozyme, lactoferrin, and antimicrobial peptides. Complement includes about 30 proteins, which are proteolytic enzymes made by the liver. Thry ultimately lyse Gram-negative bacteria and disable viruses by forming a “membrane attack complex.” They also make pathogens recognizable to phagocytes. Most of them are inactive pro-enzymes before they are initiated by a pathogen. Some of them are soluble receptors and can be directly triggered by a pathogen, while others intensify the effects of the “complement” antibodies. They are activated in three pathways: the classical pathway, the lectin pathway, and the alternative pathway. The key component of all three pathways is complement component 3 (C3), since, it is activated early in each one. Each of these pathways is triggered in a different way. The classical pathway needs antibody (IgG or IgM) binding to the surface of a pathogen, while the lectin pathway requires mannan-binding lectin, and the presence of pathogen surface is essential for the alternative pathway. Besides, activation of any of those pathways has a positive feedback on activation of the alternative pathway.

All pro-enzymes are activated by proteolytic cleavage, and C3 is activated the same way and cleaved to C3a and C3b. Each cleavage triggered production of serine protease to continue the series of cleavage of the next pro-enzymes. This proteolytic cascade is intensified by activation of the first elements of each chain, which depends on a cleavage with the activated enzyme. Because those enzymes can cleave and activate many
molecules, the proteolytic cascade is being intensified. The results of this cleavage are two fragments: the larger one, which is a membrane-binding molecule, and small fragment, which is biologically active. The small fragment, for instance, the small fragment of C3, which is called C3a, sends signals to recruit lymphocytes and phagocytes to enhance the inflammatory reaction. On the other hand, the large fragment, in the case of C3 is C3b, remains attached to the cell surface of the pathogen to amplify the phagocytic reaction. Moreover, they have a fundamental catalytic role as a protease to initiate the next step. After the activation of each of the complement pathways, C3b remains attached to both the host and pathogen cells. The mechanism to defend host cells from complement attack is based on the production of proteins to inhibit effects of complements on self-cells. Since pathogens do not have those proteins, complements recognize and destroy them. Membrane attack complexes are formed by the last component of complement, which is elicited by C3b produced in any of those ways. These complexes create a pore in a membrane of pathogens, which distorts the bilayer structure of cell membrane and makes it leaky and even lyse (Alberts, 2002). Outer surface of microorganisms such as LPS acts as an immunostimulant, which can be recognized by C1 and results in initiation of alternative complement cascade. Furthermore, LPS as an immune mediator can activate phagocytosis of antigens, which were opsonized with C3b (Merle et al., 2015). LPS is a potential stimulation of both the complement cascade and polymorphonuclear neutrophils via direct or indirect different inflammatory mediators. Purified Lipid A can bind to the C1 and trigger the complement classic pathway independent of antibodies, while initiation of the alternative complement pathway can happen through LPS polysaccharide independent of Lipid A and antibodies. Furthermore, Smooth-form and Rough-form, which will be explained later, stimulate the alternative complement pathway. One of the mechanisms through which LPS antibodies can affect the alternative pathway is via a bond between IgG and C3b. Thus, this interaction boosts the accumulation of C3b on the surface of the bacteria induces the synthesis of new C3 and C5 convertases, which further enhance C3 stimulation and the release of new MAC. Both IgG and IgM act as opsonins independent of the complements or in conjunction with them. In a case of live bacteria it results in opsonophagocytosis by the phagocytes or facilitating the LPS uptake and its clearance in other cases. The Fc receptors on Polymorphonuclear leukocytes, macrophages, and
monocytes recognize and bind to the constant region of IgG antibodies. On the other hand, IgM antibodies do not have the equivalent receptors on the surface of the phagocytic cells but they still can trigger the complement cascade. IgG and IgM, as LPS antibodies, can accumulate the complement on the surface of Gram-negative bacteria by the classic or alternative pathways, which results in adherence of opsonized targets to the phagocytes cell membrane and inducing ingestion and intracellular killing of the antigen (Morrison, 1992).

In contrast to innate immunity, which acts immediately, the adaptive immune system is acquired over time and is mainly dependent on the innate immune system. Any defect in the innate immune system, such as antigen presenting cells, which are vital for adaptive immune function, and cytokine production (type I interferons, IL-12, TNF-α, CD40L, IL-1), may cause inefficiency in adaptive immunity. Unlike innate immunity which acts quickly, adaptive immunity needs time to perform because it takes 4 to 5 days for lymphocytes to mature and differentiate into effector cells. Similar to innate immunity, it contains humoral and cellular components, which are lymphocytes. There are two main types of lymphocytes: B-lymphocyte and T-lymphocyte. The B-lymphocytes have been developed in bone marrow, and they have receptors in their membrane, which can bind to antigens. Naïve B-cells differentiate into active plasma cells when they are exposed to the antigen. Plasma cells then produce antibodies or secreted-B-cell receptor (BCR), which are the humoral components of the adaptive immune system. Antibodies or immunoglobulins (Igs) opsonize pathogens for elimination by myeloid cells. The T-lymphocyte has three types: helper T-cell, regulatory T-cell, and cytotoxic T-cell. DCs have the main role in priming T-cells, especially Th1, by presenting bacterial and fungal antigens to them via their receptors, which is unique to them and is called T-cell receptor or TCR. The major differences between BCR and TCR are first, BCR can be secreted, but TCR is just membrane bound; second, TCR only has one antigen recognition site, but BCR has two. Furthermore, TCR can only recognize and bind to the specific type of proteins known as major histocompatibility complex or MHC (Janeway and Medzhitow, 2002). MHC1 is present on all host cells and can be recognized by T-cells to discriminate non-self from self-cells. All other cells that lack MHC1 will be recognized as foreign cells and phagocytized by natural killer cells (NKC). MHC1 presents antigen to the cytotoxic T-cells.
(CD8+). The other type of MHC molecule called MHCII is found in specific immune cells including DCs, macrophages, and B-cells. It binds to pathogenic proteins and interacts with T-helper cells (CD4+) to initiate proper immune response including recruitment of phagocytes or humoral immune response by activation of B-cells (Hinz, 2014). Th-1 and CD8+ T-cells are responsible for creating immunity against both protozoa and intracellular bacteria through the involvement of PAMPs and TLRs and making IL-12. Cytotoxic lymphocytes also respond to those infections via induction of IL-12 production by CD103+ DCs (Mashayekhi, 2011; Edelson, 2011). Eventually, immunological memory can be established during the late phase of infection. It means after elimination of pathogen, some lymphocytes remain, which are called memory cells. Memory cells are the fundamental part of the immunological memory, which guarantees that the next exposure to the same antigen will be more effective and more rapid. It is the major significance of the adaptive immunity, which forms the basis of vaccination and ensures the long-term protective immunity (Janeway, 2001). Summary of the immune system response is shown in Figure 1-2.
Figure 1-2 Innate and adaptive immune response summary. Adapted from Barker (1999).
1.3 Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria

Bacteria are single-celled and divide by binary fission and most of them at rapid rates. Despite their simplicity, they are highly adaptable and sophisticated. The significance of bacteria is related to their ability to adapt to the situation by inducing instant mutation. Pathologically, they are the main causes of infectious disease.

Bacteria are prokaryotic cells and have less complexity than eukaryotes. The major difference between eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells is the compartmentalized structure, which means the presence of membrane-bound organelles, such as mitochondria, Golgi complex, and endoplasmic reticulum, in eukaryotes but not in prokaryotes. The cytoplasm of bacteria is enclosed by a lipid cytoplasmic membrane, which is a site for oxidative phosphorylation. Bacteria are divided into gram-negative, gram-positive, mycoplasmas, and cyanobacteria. All, except mycoplasmas, have a carbohydrate cell wall (cell envelope in gram-negative bacteria) outside their cell membrane, which is thick and protective against osmotic lysis. The wall is composed of different amounts of peptidoglycan (mucopentide or murein) such that gram-positive bacteria contain 60 to 90 percent peptidoglycan with a 20-80 nm wide wall. Gram-positive bacteria such as staphylocoeci, streptococci, lactobacilli, and Bacillus spp. have teichoic acid in their cell walls. In gram-positive bacteria, cell wall components such as teichoic acids, which are polysaccharides with strong antigenicity, peptidoglycolipids, and polysaccharides are covalently bound to the peptidoglycan. Peptidoglycan can induce an immune response, inflammation, fever, and lethargy.

Gram staining technique has been used to distinguish bacteria. The basis of this technique is the trapping of crystal violet-iodine by gram-positive bacteria due to their cell wall components, which gives them a purple color under the microscope. In contrast, gram-negative bacteria have a low amount of peptidoglycan (5-10 nm wide) in their cell envelope, which is just a monolayer thick. Throughout Gram-staining, less crystal violet-iodine is trapped in their cell envelope, which stains them pink-red, since their cell envelope is thin. Furthermore, gram-negative bacteria have an outer cell membrane of lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which is also named endotoxin (Royet, 2007; Kopecny, 2013).
The LPS consists of two parts: toxic Lipid A (hydrophobic) that is connected to the polysaccharide chain (hydrophilic carbohydrate), which itself has a core (inner and outer core) and an O-Specific chain. The difference in LPS among different strains of bacteria is associated with the inconsistency of the O-Specific chain, which is made up of the various numbers of oligosaccharide repeating units giving each strain of bacteria a heterogeneous mixture of LPS with short, intermediate, and long O-specific chains (Baumgartner, 1993).

Smooth variants of Gram-negative bacteria with short O-specific chain are resistant to complement, while rough variants with long O-specific chain are more susceptible. Lipid A and its unique conformational property presumably play a specific role in eliciting the inflammatory cascade by interacting with the host’s cellular and humoral immune factors.

Some general features of endotoxins include being heat-stable, biologically active, adjuvant, and membrane-bound. Moreover, circulating endotoxins can prompt a devastating inflammatory response, known as “systemic inflammatory response” or “sepsis cascade” by activation of the complement system and macrophages and by triggering interferon production. Septic shock is the result of progressive sepsis, which induces secretion of IL-6, IL-1, and TNF-α and causes devastating symptoms including multiple organ failures, fever, metabolic acidosis, inadequate tissue perfusion and hypotension (Silverman, 1999).

Four central proteins have been associated with cell activation by LPS in mammalian cells including lipopolysaccharide binding protein (LBP), TLR-4, MD-2, and CD14. The LBP is a glycoprotein synthetized by hepatocytes. The LBP along with CD14 are initially recognized as receptors for LPS, which are crucial for its sensing in a way that LBP simplifies LPS binding to the CD14 molecule. The LBP-LPS complex, which is formed by binding LPS to LBP, is the main mediator of sepsis in the case of detectable infection and systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) in the case of undetectable infection. In vitro studies have shown decreasing sensitivity of mononuclear cells to LPS-LBP complex. The CD14 molecule can be found on monocyte/macrophage lineage, and its interaction with LPS-LBP prompts monocytes and macrophages to secrete cytokines (IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10) and TNFα, respectfully. Among LPS natural ligands, bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein (BPI), which is produced and stored in primary granules in neutrophils, is associated with LBP by having similar structures and 45% identical amino acids.
(Elsbach, 1998). It has a high affinity for LPS and has an essential role in clearance and neutralizing LPS (Weiss, 2003). Besides, by studying C3H/HeJ mice for years, it has been illustrated that the crucial element of LPS receptor is TLR-4 with an extremely precise role (Beutler, 2003). Studies have shown that activation of TLR-4 is dependent on MD-2 and it is closely related to TLR-2. Activation of TLR-2 is associated with infection with Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, which results in the induction of NF-κB and IL-8.

Furthermore, LPS has a major part in activation of various protein kinases (Dziarski, 2000). Another difference is associated with their functionality in a way that BPI is lethal, but LBP does not have a cytotoxic effect at the same dose. Finally, Gram-negative bacteria coated with LBP are taken up by monocytes or macrophages through mCD14, while those coated by BPI are primarily taken up by polymorphonuclear cells independently of CD14 (Dziarski, 2000; Iizasa, 2016). The LBP has an essential role in forming an LPS-CD14 complex by catalyzing LPS and transferring a single molecule to CD14. The LPS-CD14 complex is the main mediator of activation of the TLR4-dependent cell by transferring LPS to MD-2/TLR-4 complex. On the other hand, BPI prevents inflammation by blocking LBP and inhibiting transfer of LPS to CD14. Moreover, LBP can elicit secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokine by activating monocyte and macrophage by transporting LPS, which is absorbed via intestinal barrier, to lipoproteins and chylomicrons (Krasity, 2011).

Local and limited secretion of TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6 and IL-8 are positive factors for host body by stimulating the immune system to kill a pathogen, while their systemic release is the core cause of sepsis and SIRS in cases of endotoxemia. Most signs of sepsis and SIRS, such as organ damage, endothelial dysfunction, refractory hypotension, shock, and death, are results of overstimulation of those cytokines. Host cells with the receptor for TNF-α, IL-1, and IL-6 over respond to LPS and induce leukocytes like monocytes and macrophages to release key mediators of tissue damage, which cause a systemic inflammatory response. These biologically activated elements including leukotrienes, pro-inflammatory proteases, platelet activating factor, oxygen radicals, and prostaglandins. Vasodilation resulting from the production of nitric oxide by macrophages and endothelial cells leads to vascular leakage and hypotension. Moreover, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), which ultimately turns into shock, is another consequence of endotoxemia triggered by pathological activation of the coagulation system. DIC is the
result of activation of both extrinsic and intrinsic coagulation pathways through stimulation of Factor XII of the coagulation system, which was activated by LPS. It can stimulate activation of Factor XI and trigger production of tissue factor by macrophages and endothelial cells. Factor XII is another cause of hypotension by activating bradykinin, a hypotensive mediator (Raetz, 2002).

1.4 Gender Response in Stress Effects

There are two types of stressors, which can influence an animal’s reaction: psychological and physical stressors. Psychological stresses include restraint, handling, and novelty while physical ones are hunger, thirst, fatigue, injury and thermal extremes. In most cases, fear is a result of psychological stressors such as contact with people, restraint, and transportation. Studies revealed that electrical stimulation of the amygdala elicits fear, behavioral changes, fear-like autonomic responses, and increases in plasma corticosterone, which suggests that the amygdala is a center for fear. Various factors can cause animals’ different responses to the certain stressors such as previous experiences, genetics, animal’s social rank, and gender. Sometimes, the interaction between animal’s genetic and previous experiences can affect an animal’s reaction to the stressor as well. For example, animals with an excitable temperament are more sensitive to rough handling compared to animals having a mild temperament. Even though novelty is one of the strongest stressors, animals can get accustomed to it, some adapt to non-painful stimuli such as weighing, milking, entering a restraint device, and drawing blood through indwelling catheters. Both low and high short-term stressors like handling or slaughter procedures can cause an elevation in cortisol levels; however, heifers, cows, and steers showed higher cortisol levels than mature bulls (Grandin, 1997).

Male and female reactions to the stressor involved a cascade of physiological and behavioral responses, which start with the secretion of hormones such as vasopressin, oxytocin, CRH, and other PVN hormones. In turn, CRH stimulates the release of ACTH, which triggers secretion of GCs (cortisol or corticosterone) from the adrenal cortex. Activation of the sympathetic nervous system, in response to the stressor, and its innervation with the adrenal medulla triggers the release of catecholamines. Sympathetic arousal results in the fight-or-flight response, which is an evolved response to overcome
the predator or flee from the threatening situation. Taylor et al. (2000) posited a theory of “tend-and-befriend” in females as an alternative behavioral response for fight-or-flight in males because the female response is affected by sex hormones, oxytocin, and endogenous opioid in humans and other species. In the male, fight-or-flight aggressive response is mainly linked to the androgens (testosterone). In contrast, oxytocin in females, which is the basis for the biobehavioral attachment and caregiving system, downregulates the HPA and sympathetic response, based on the broad studies in rats, prairie voles, monkeys, and sheep. The tend-and-befriend pattern in females guarantees the survival of offspring in conjunction with social groupings to ensure accessing the resources and the survival of the species. However, some studies found no differences in responses between sexes nor higher cortisol level in females encountering social rejection task compares to the achievement tasks. Sex-specific stress response is a complex and tentative context, which can be affected by the nature and intensity of stress, test-subject state, and experimental techniques (Stroud et al., 2002, Dickerson and Kemeny, 2004)

Neuroimaging data in human beings showed that mild stress causes different neural activation that activates the right prefrontal cortex (RPFC) and deactivates the left orbitofrontal cortex (LOrF) in males, while causing limbic hyperactivity in females. Consistent with the tend-and-befriend stress model, the limbic system, especially the ventral striatum, which contains numerous receptors for oxytocin, vasopressin, dopamine, and endorphin, is activated in females in response to the stressor. On the other hand, suppression of the LOrF, a center for hedonic goals and positive emotion, and activation of RPFC, which is the part of the vigilance system and negative emotion, are linked to the fight-or-flight response in males (Wang, 2007). McEwen et al. (2007) also demonstrated that chronic stress could differently affect male and female's hippocampus and amygdala. It was shown that chronic restraint stress (CRS) induces proliferation in female rats’ dentate gyrus (part of the hippocampus) while reducing proliferation in male rats’. Additionally, CRS decreased neural sensitization in the CA3 region of the hippocampus (part of the limbic system) in males and ovariectomized females but not in intact females. Moreover, CRS enhanced neural sensitization of amygdala in both males and estradiol-treated females.
Dissimilar concentrations of gonadal steroids in males and females have different effects on the HPA axis, which cause sexually dimorphic activity of the HPA axis in human beings and animals. Acute alcohol treatment stimulated activation of the HPA axis in both sexes of rats (Jenkins, 1968; Ogilvie, 1996). However, alcohol administration in both sexes generates higher elevation of ACTH and corticosterone concentrations in females than males due to the inhibitory effect of androgens in comparison to the stimulatory effect of estrogens (E2). Furthermore, higher levels of ACTH and corticosterone in castrated males implanted with E2 in response to the injection of alcohol indicated the activational effect of E2 on ACTH response. Besides, both females and castrated males have a higher concentration of corticosterone binding globulin (CBG), which explains the discordance between ACTH and corticosterone concentrations. Furthermore, E2 not only increases adrenal sensitivity to ACTH but also enhances the clearance of corticosterone (Ogilvie, 1997). Males show higher CRH and AVP mRNA levels in the PVN than females and castrated males with E2 implantation, which suggests a regulatory effect of steroid on CRH and AVP mRNA concentrations in the PVN. Castrated males experiencing a novel stressor had higher CRH and expression of c-fos in the PVN in comparison with intact males. Nevertheless, sex steroids do not influence basal levels of CRH and AVP mRNA in the PVN (Ogilvie, 1997).

Various types of stressor activate the sympathoadrenal medullary system as well as the HPA axis, while the sexes and gonadal steroids affect both. Gonadectomized sheep subjected to isolation and restraint stress showed elevation in plasma epinephrine. Even though epinephrine concentrations did not differ between gonadectomized ewes and gonadectomized rams, plasma epinephrine elevation remained for a longer time in gonadectomized rams than in gonadectomized ewes. Besides, norepinephrine did not seem to be influenced by stress in either sex (Stackpole, 2003). Sex differences are apparent in human beings, although they are inconsistent because of the stages of the menstrual cycles among the test subjects and lack of standardized sex steroids. For instance, men and women have comparable responsiveness to a physical stressor, whereas, a psychological stressor increases heart rates and diastolic pressures more in women than men (Tersman, 1991). The cortisol response in ewes and rams depends on the stressors in such a way that ewes tend to have higher cortisol level in response to the psychological stressors like isolation.
and restraint. However, rams subject to the metabolic stressors such as insulin-induced hypoglycemia showed higher cortisol levels (Turner, 2012). Furthermore, some stressors, like endotoxin and exercise, do not seem to have a different effect on cortisol levels of gonadectomized rams and gonadectomized ewes (Turner, 2010). The mechanism for the different cortisol responses in various sexes to some stressors, such as tail docking and ACTH, emerges early in life, for example, time of further maturation of HPA axis in lambs is between age 1 and 8 weeks (Turner, 2006). Distinct stress responses between sexes derives from gonadal factors as well as factors at each level of the HPA axis including neuropeptide distribution in the PVN, adrenal size, and adrenal responsiveness to ACTH. The bidirectional interaction between the HPA axis and reproductive axis means that sex steroids can elicit activation of the stress system, and the stress system can influence reproductive function. Additionally, an in vitro experiment demonstrated that estradiol-17β triggered catecholamine production from adrenal medullary cells, which showed effects of steroids on the sympathoadrenal medullary system. Furthermore, steroids can affect catecholaminergic neurons in different brain loci; for example, estradiol benzoate treatment in rats causes high levels of norepinephrine in the pre-optic region of the hypothalamus (Yanagihara, 2006). Moreover, in ewes it was shown that estradiol-17β has a direct effect on the adrenal glands by enhancing its response to ACTH and regulating cortisol secretion. Female human beings and rodents generally have higher basal GCs than males. Furthermore, in rodents, female have higher ACTH and GCs in response to stressors. One explanation for the stimulatory effect of E2 on HPA axis is its direct effect on the estrogen-responsive elements of the CRH gene and an influence on the PVN neurons via E2-receptor α (ERalpha). On the other hand, direct action of testosterone on the PVN neurons through E2-receptor β (ERbeta) thus modifying oxytocinergic neurons is responsible for the inhibitory effect of androgens (Handa, 2011). In sheep, presence or absence of gonads in either sex did not influence adrenocortical response to ACTH. However, gonadectomized sheep showed higher CRH and VP levels in the median eminence despite rising VP levels in males than females, which provides a neuroanatomical description for sex differences in HPA axis regulation (Canny, 1999). Different stages of reproductive cycle affect HPA axis in a different way. For example, women in the follicular phase have lower GCs in response to the psychological stress than women in the luteal phase, which suggests the suppressive
role of the estrogentic environment on the HPA axis in women, contradictory with its effect on rodents. Additionally, the attenuating effect of progesterone on the GCs responses was shown in both men and rodents, which suggests its down-regulating role in the stress response, the same as the influence of testosterone on the stress system. Lactation is another factor that has an attenuating effect on the GCs production and stress responsiveness based on the inhibitory effects of prolactin and oxytocin (Turner, 2012).

Jones et al. (2016) reported no differences between men and women skeletal muscle sympathetic nervous activity (MSNA) and cardiovascular activity to different standardized laboratory stressors (cold pressor and mental arithmetic). They suggested that acute stress affects the sympathetic nervous system and cardiovascular reactivity in both sexes in the same way; therefore, there is no sex-related difference in their MSNA respond to the acute stress. However, Some findings show higher sympathetic activity in males while others show no differences. Those discrepant outcomes could be, first, the result of a lack in standardized and equivalent stressors in men and women. Second, it could be related to a higher sympathoexcitatory stimulus rather than a constitutional variation. Third, sometimes cardiovascular and humoral responses may not properly reflect the sympathetic reactivity. For instance, plasma level of catecholamine (norepinephrine) is varied by different rate of clearance, release, and neural reuptake, so, it might not be consistent with the sympathetic neural release.

From an immunological point of view, men and women display striking differences in the pro-inflammatory response to an antigenic challenge: men are more susceptible to septic shock. Following administration of LPS, women show a more profound pro-inflammatory response by having higher IL-6, TNF-α, and LBP. However, both sexes show nearly the same concentrations of IL-10, which is the anti-inflammatory cytokine. The relationship between sex hormone and innate immunity can be explained by its effect on the increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and LBP mRNA in women treated with E2. Due to the insignificant role of the circulating leukocytes on the systemic cytokine production, no sex differences in leukocyte differential were observed other than significantly lower monocyte counts in women. Higher levels of LBP were associated with secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokine in women, which facilitated TL-4 signaling (van Eijk, 2007). Thus, E2 acts in a pro-inflammatory manner while testosterone is more anti-inflammatory,
i.e., testosterone reduces eosinophil degranulation, Fc-receptor expression, antibody production, and inducible nitric oxide synthase mRNA expression. Conversely, E2 increases these immune reactions.

The complexity of the sex-specific variation in response to infection is obvious. Lastly, sex-susceptibility to different infections depends on the type of the microbe. In most cases, E2 acts to stimulate the immune system, and testosterone acts to suppress the immune system. The interaction between sex and immune responses to specific microbes may cause clearance of the infection due to enhanced immune response or amplify infection because of the decreased immune response. Bacterial cell wall components, such as LPS and LTA, can cause strong immune responses with sex differences. For example, administration of LPS from Salmonella abortus equi and LTA from Staphylococcus aureus induced higher levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-8) and IFN-γ in male mice while females receiving LPS showed delayed apoptosis of granulocytes and higher overall survival; survival rate in female mice was 84% and 41% in male mice 24 hours after intraperitoneal LPS administration. Furthermore, males showed a rapid drop in the numbers of peritoneal macrophages with a concomitant surge in neutrophils by 18 hours after LPS administration, while females had the same drop in macrophages without the concurrent granulocyte inflow. Vulnificus LPS (Vibrio) was more lethal in intact female than male rats, but ovariectomized female rats had a mortality rate similar to males, and estrogen administration to gonadectomized rats of either sex resulted in higher rates of mortality (McClelland, 2011).
Chapter 2  Objectives

Drawing blood from the jugular vein while the animal’s head is restrained can cause a fight-or-flight response, which can elicit an immune response and elevation in white blood cell count. The aim of the current study was first to determine whether the type of blood draw from the jugular vein elicits stress, which can affect the immune response. The second aim was to determine the effect of sex on the stress and immune response. Therefore, the primary null hypothesis for this experiment was that different blood draw types do not elicit a difference in total white blood cell count, cortisol level, rectal temperature, and physical appearance. The secondary null hypothesis was that sex does not affect total white blood cell count and cortisol level, which means administration of LPS does not elicit a difference in total white blood cell count, cortisol level, mucosal response, rectal temperature, and lethargy in ewes compared to wethers. Hence, the main objectives of this study were to test the effects of different blood draw types and sexes on the immune system and the HPA axis response to LPS administration.
Chapter 3  Materials and Methods

Thirty-eight mature Dorset and Suffolk wethers and ewes, ~ 46 kg BW, (WVU IACUC #13-0404.1) were fed free choice native grass hay and had ad libitum access to fresh water. They were assigned randomly to a 2 x 2 x 2 experimental design and assorted into four groups by blood draw type (restraint versus catheter), sex, and treatment (LPS versus saline). The first group consisted of ewes (n=5) and wethers (n=4) that received LPS and were restrained to draw blood by repeated puncture of a jugular vein, and the second group consisted of ewes (n=6) and wethers (n=4) that did not receive LPS and were restrained to draw blood from the jugular vein. The third group consisted of ewes (n=6) and wethers (n=4) that received LPS while having a jugular catheter to draw blood, and the fourth group consisted of ewes (n=6) and wethers (n=4) that did not receive LPS and had a jugular catheter to draw blood. Each sheep’s neck was shorn from the dorsolateral and front to expose the jugular vein. The catheter was placed into the jugular vein the day prior to the experiment, anchored with a stitch, and covered by veterinary wrap to prevent other animals tugging on it. Jugular samples were collected from all animals 12 h before the challenge.

On the day of the experiment, each animal was bled before receiving either 2.5 mL of 0.1% BSA/PBS (controls) or 2.5 mL of 2.5 μg/kg of LPS (Sigma Altrich, St. Louis, MO) via the jugular vein through the catheter or direct draw. Jugular samples (6-8 mL) were collected in EDTA-treated tubes every 30 min post challenge for 180 min, every hour through 12 h, and 24 h after the initial challenge. Catheters were removed after the hour 12 samples were taken. In addition, changes in body temperature and behavior and/or physical appearance were documented during the first 12 h of blood sampling, and cortisol levels were measured for hours 0-6 post-treatment. Complete blood counts (CBCs) and white blood cell differentials were determined by veterinary hematology blood analyzer machine (Abaxis VetScan HM5, Abaxis Inc.) immediately after sampling. Remaining samples were centrifuged, and plasma was collected and stored at -20°C until the concentrations of cortisol were measured in a single assay with a commercially available human cortisol ELISA (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, CA).
3.1 Statistical analysis

Null Hypotheses:

1. The concentration of cortisol in jugular plasma and total white blood cell concentration, including lymphocyte, monocyte, and granulocyte concentrations, rectal temperature, and physical observation do not differ between the catheter and non-catheter groups after injection of LPS or BSA/PBS (control).

2. The concentration of cortisol in jugular plasma; total white blood cell concentrations, including lymphocyte, monocyte, and granulocyte concentrations; rectal temperature; and physical observation do not differ between sexes after injection of LPS or BSA/PBS (control).

Alternative Hypotheses:

1. The concentration of cortisol in jugular plasma and rectal temperature are higher in non-catheter groups and they show more symptoms after injection of LPS or BSA/PBS (control). Total white blood cell concentration, including lymphocyte, monocyte, and granulocyte concentrations are lower in non-catheter groups after injection of LPS or BSA/PBS (control).

2. The concentration of cortisol in jugular plasma and rectal temperature are higher in wethers compared to ewes and they show more symptoms after injection of LPS or BSA/PBS (control). Total white blood cell concentration, including lymphocyte, monocyte, and granulocyte concentrations are lower in wethers after injection of LPS or BSA/PBS (control).

All continuous variables were tested for normal distribution by goodness of fit - ShapiroWilk W-test and in case of a right skewness, data were transformed using natural logarithm (ln). A 4-point logistic regression was used to develop cortisol standard curve. Data for white blood cells count (total, lymphocytes, granulocytes, and monocytes) and cortisol concentration were measured over time and were analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA in a 2x2x2 factorial arrangement of treatments (sex, method of blood draw and LPS). Main effects of LPS, time, sex, method of blood draw, and interaction of catheter by LPS and LPS by sex were evaluated. Physical observations, including vaginal discharge for ewes, lethargy, loss of appetite, coughing, nasal discharge, and watery eyes, were
analyzed as categorical data in a contingency table using Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. All data were analyzed using JMP and SAS software (JMP®, Version Pro 11, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, Copyright ©2013; SAS®, Version 9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, Copyright ©2002-2010). Significance criterion alpha level for all tests was 0.05.
Chapter 4  Results

4.1 Plasma cortisol concentration

Sheep treated with LPS exhibited greater cortisol concentration (161.79 vs. 54.22 µg/dL, \( p<0.0001 \)), even though effects of catheter, sex and their interaction were not statistically significant (Figure 4-1). Interaction of LPS x catheter, but not LPS x sex, was significant (\( p<0.0001 \)). Mean cortisol concentration in catheterized sheep treated with LPS was 184.94 µg/dL and in non-catherized animals treated with LPS was 126.29 µg/dL. In addition, control group (No-LPS+No-Catheter, \( n=9 \)) showed higher mean level of cortisol (74.00 µg/dL) than No-LPS+Catheter (41.79 µg/dL, \( n=9 \)). Ewes and wethers treated with LPS displayed high cortisol level (162.75 µg/dL and 159.72 µg/dL, respectively), while there was no significant difference between sexes. Hour (\( p<0.0001 \)), and LPS x hour (\( p<0.0001 \)) differed but responses due to sex, catheter, and LPS x sex did not differ over time (Figure 4-2). Mean concentration of cortisol in hour one in wethers with LPS and catheter (\( n=4 \)) was the highest (416.80 µg/dL) of all treatment groups, and the concentration in wethers of the control group (\( n=4 \)) at hour five was the lowest (40.51 µg/dL).
Figure 4-1 Mean plasma cortisol concentration in jugular blood in catheterized and non-catheterized sheep after treatment with saline or LPS.

Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), LPS x Catheter (p<0.0001).
4.2 Blood leukocyte analysis

4.2.1 Total white blood cell count in jugular blood

There was no significant difference between ewes (7.66 million) and wethers (8.34 million) in their total WBCs and no significant effect of the catheter over all time points. Total white blood cell (WBC) count differed due to LPS (p<0.0001), catheter (p=0.0512), LPS x catheter (p<0.00 Figure 4-3A), and LPS x sex (p=0.0019, Figure 4-3 B). Mean total WBCs for no-LPS+no-catheter group (n=10) was 9.27 million, no-catheter + LPS (n=9) was 5.75 million, catheter + LPS (n=9) was 3.57 million, and catheter + no-LPS (n=11) was 12.65 million. Sheep treated with catheter and no LPS had the greatest increase in total WBCs, while catheter group with LPS exhibited the least increase in total WBCs. Additionally, there was a significant interaction between LPS x catheter (p<0.0001) and LPS x sex (p=0.0019). However, sheep treated with LPS maintained lower total WBCs over all the
sampling period (Figure 4-4). The interaction between sex and LPS was not significant while the interaction between catheter and LPS was significant (p=0.0002). Figure 4-5 shows total WBC count repeated measures overall equally spaced time points in all four groups.

Figure 4-3 Total white blood cell (WBC) concentration in jugular blood in catheterized and non-catheterized sheep (A), and in ewes and wethers (B) after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), catheter (p=0.0512), LPS x catheter (p<0.0001), LPS x sex (p=0.0019).
Concentration of Lymphocytes in jugular blood

Lymphocyte concentrations (Figure 4-6) differed due to LPS (p<0.0001) and LPS x catheter (p<0.0001) while there was no difference between sexes and catheterization or the

Figure 4-4 Total white blood cell (WBC) concentration in jugular blood in sheep after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001), catheter x LPS (p=0.0002).

Figure 4-5 Total white blood cell (WBC) concentration in jugular blood in catheterized and non-catheterized ewes and wethers after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001), catheter x LPS (p=0.0002).

4.2.2 Concentration of Lymphocytes in jugular blood

Lymphocyte concentrations (Figure 4-6) differed due to LPS (p<0.0001) and LPS x catheter (p<0.0001) while there was no difference between sexes and catheterization or the
interaction. Lymphocyte counts for no-LPS+no-catheter group were 7.57 million, no-catheter + LPS was 4.73 million, catheter + LPS was 3.10 million, and catheter + no-LPS was 10.50 million. Lymphocyte counts did not differ significantly between ewes (6.33 million) and wethers (6.95 million). The effects of LPS (p<0.0001), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001, Figure 4-7), and LPS x catheter (p<0.0001) were significant (Figure 4-8). Decline in numbers of lymphocytes started 1 hour post treatment in LPS groups averaging 2.54 million cells/ml in ewes (n=5) and 3.00 million cells/ml in wethers (n=4) in no-catheter group and 2.53 million cells/ml in ewes (n=6) and 1.06 million cells/ml in wethers (n=4) in catheter group.

Figure 4-6 Concentrations of lymphocytes in jugular blood in catheterized and non-catheterized sheep after treatment with either saline or LPS.

Effect of LPS (p<0.0001) and LPS x catheter (p<0.0001).
Figure 4-7 Concentrations of lymphocytes in jugular blood in sheep after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001).

Figure 4-8 Concentrations of lymphocytes in jugular blood in catheterized and non-catheterized ewes and wethers after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001), catheter x LPS (p<0.0001).
4.2.3 Concentration of Monocytes in jugular blood

Monocytes concentrations (Figure 4-9) differed due to LPS (p<0.0001), catheter (p=0.0428) and LPS x catheter (p<0.0001), while there was no difference between sexes (ewes 0.0383 million and wethers 0.0419 million) and LPS x sex. Monocyte counts for no LPS and no-catheter group were 0.0464 million, no catheter and LPS was 0.0290 million, catheter and LPS was 0.0182 million, and catheter and no LPS was 0.0630 million. Monocyte counts did not significantly differ between. Effects of hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001, Figure 4-10), and LPS x catheter (p<0.0001) were significant (Figure 4-11) even though there was no significant effect of catheter or sex over time. The decline in monocytes started 1 hour after treatment with LPS averaging 0.0160 million cells/ml in ewes and 0.0133 million cells/ml in wethers without catheters and 0.0060 million cells/ml in ewes and 0.0027 million cells/ml in wethers with catheters.

Figure 4-9 Concentrations of monocytes in jugular blood in catheterized and non-catheterized sheep after treatment with either saline or LPS.

Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), catheter (p=0.0428), LPS x catheter (p<0.0001).
Figure 4-10 Concentrations of monocytes in jugular blood after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001).

Figure 4-11 Concentrations of monocytes in jugular blood in catheterized and non-catheterized ewes and wethers after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001), catheter x LPS (p<0.0001).
4.2.4 Concentration of Granulocytes in jugular blood

Granulocyte concentrations differed by LPS (p<0.0001), catheter (p<0.0001), and LPS x catheter (p<0.0001, Figure 4-12) but did not differ by sex (ewes 1.26 million and wethers 1.35 million) or LPS x sex. Granulocyte counts for the no LPS and no-catheter animals were 1.66 million, no catheter and LPS was 0.99 million, catheter and LPS was 2.02 million, and catheter and no-LPS was 0.45 million. There was a difference in hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001, Figure 4-13), catheter (p=0.0037), catheter x hour (p=0.0491), and catheter x LPS (p= 0.0005, Figure 4-14). Decline in granulocytes started 1-hour post treatment in LPS groups averaging 0.76 million cells/ml in ewes and 0.01 million cells/ml in wethers in no-catheter group, and 1.12 million cells/ml in ewes and 0.36 million cells/ml in wethers in catheter group.

Figure 4-12 Concentrations of granulocytes in jugular blood in catheterized and non-catheterized sheep after treatment with either saline or LPS.

Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), catheter (p<0.0001), LPS x catheter (p<0.0001).
Figure 4-13 Concentrations of granulocytes in jugular blood after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001).

Figure 4-14 Concentrations of granulocytes in jugular blood in catheterized and non-catheterized ewes and wethers after treatment with either Saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001), catheter (p=0.0037), catheter x hour (p=0.0491), catheter x LPS (p= 0.0005).
4.3 Rectal temperature

Main effects of LPS (p<0.0001), catheter (p=0.0059, Figure 4-15), sex (p=0.0457), and LPS x sex (p=0.006, Figure 4-16) were found for rectal temperatures. Means of rectal temperatures for non-catheterized animals treated with saline was 38.43°C or with LPS was 38.98°C, and for catheterized animals treated with saline was 38.26°C or with LPS was 38.68°C, and was significantly different between ewes (38.56°C) and wethers (38.64°C). There was a difference in hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001, Figure 4-17), sex x hour (p=0.0114), and catheter x hour (p<0.0001, Figure 4-18). Rectal temperatures increased in non-catheterized animals treated with saline or LPS at 1-hour post-treatment (means 38.57°C and 38.96°C respectively). It peaked at 4 hours in non-catheterized ewes and wethers (means 39.49°C and 40.72°C respectively) treated with LPS. Rectal temperatures for catheterized animals treated with LPS group first increased at hour 1 and then decreased for hours 2 and 3 but then peaked at hour 6 and remained high for 24 hours in wethers (40.00°C) and peaked at hour 9 in ewes (38.95°C) but declined after hour-12.
Figure 4-15 Rectal temperatures after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), catheter (p=0.0059).

Figure 4-16 Rectal temperatures in ewes and wethers after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p<0.0001), sex (p=0.0457), LPS x sex (p=0.006).
Figure 4-17 Rectal temperatures after treatment with either saline or LPS. Effect of LPS (p=0.0014), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour (p<0.0001).
Figure 4-18 Rectal temperatures for 24 h in ewes and wethers with or without catheters and after treatment with either saline or LPS.

Effect of LPS (p=0.0014), hour (p<0.0001), LPS x hour(p<0.0001), sex x hour (p=0.0114), catheter x hour (p<0.0001).

Table 4-1 Statistical significance (p-values) of treatment effects and interactions for all continuous variables.
4.4 Physical observation

Ewes treated with LPS exhibited a vaginal discharge (p=0.0046) while controlling for effects of hour and catheter. In addition, 1.28% of the ewes in No-Catheter and No-LPS group and 12.82% in No-Catheter and LPS group showed vaginal discharge (Figure 4-19, Figure 4-24). Both wethers and ewes receiving LPS showed loss of appetite (p<0.0001), lethargy (p<0.0001), and mucosal responses including nasal discharges (p<0.0001) and coughing (p=0.0296). Lethargy occurred in 43.7% of ewes and 23.1% of wethers in LPS+Catheter group and 32.1% of ewes and 30.8% of wethers in LPS+No-Catheter, while just 2.56% of ewes and 1.92% of wethers in control group did so (Figure 4-21). Ewes and wethers in LPS+Catheter group exhibited loss of appetite, 25.3% and 17.9%, respectively (Figure 4-21). 2.30% of ewes and 2.56% of wethers in LPS+Catheter group showed coughing. Furthermore, 12.82% of ewes and 7.7% of wethers in LPS+No-Catheter and 7.7% of wethers in control group exhibited coughing (Figure 4-22). 5.8% of wethers in control group had nasal discharge, while that number was zero for ewes of the same group. 11.5% of ewes and 20.5% of wethers in LPS+Catheter group showed nasal discharge and 15.4% of ewes and 20.5% of wethers in LPS+No-Catheter, while 5.8% of wethers in control group did so Figure 4-23.
Figure 4-19 Vaginal discharge in Catheter and No-Catheter ewes after treatment with either saline or LPS (No-Catheter+No-LPS n=6, No-Catheter+LPS n=5, Catheter+No-LPS n=6, Catheter+LPS n=6).
Figure 4-20 Lethargy in Catheter and No-Catheter ewes and wethers after treatment with either Saline or LPS.

Figure 4-21 Loss of appetite in Catheter and No-Catheter ewes and wethers after treatment with either Saline or LPS.
Figure 4-22 Coughing in Catheter and No-Catheter ewes and wethers after treatment with either Saline or LPS.

Figure 4-23 Nasal discharge in Catheter and No-Catheter ewes and wethers after treatment with either Saline or LPS.
Figure 4-24 Physical appearance in LPS and No-LPS groups.
Figure 4-25 Physical appearance in Catheter and No-Catheter groups after treatment with LPS.

Figure 4-26 Physical appearance in ewes and wethers after treatment with LPS.
Chapter 5  Discussion

Various methods are used for blood sampling. The procedures used most frequently in laboratory animals include venipuncture in rodents of the tail vein, jugular vein, or retro-orbital sinus, and the saphenous vein in non-human primates. Other common methods in rodents are tail tip excision, cardiac puncture, and decapitation. Teilmann (2014) conducted a study in mice that showed that taking blood from the caudal and facial veins was stressful, inducing significant elevations in plasma corticosterone compared to automated blood sampling group through a catheter. Existing approaches for drawing blood manually by jugular venipuncture in sheep requires restraining the animal’s neck, human presence, and repeated animal handling. This procedure, which can be stressful and even painful, mimics an attack by a predator, which can trigger a fight-or-flight response and result in HPA and ANS activation and secretion of GCs and catecholamines. Two alternative and less invasive methods used world-wide for taking samples for measuring cortisol in ruminants are salivary sampling and jugular catheterization. While being a good indicator for plasma cortisol, measuring salivary cortisol is invasive and has a time lag to reach its peak (Hernandez, 2014). In another study, pigs with indwelling catheters had lower cortisol and NE compared to jugular venipuncture (Marchant-Forde, 2012). In the present study, catheterized animals showed no clear elevation in cortisol concentrations, while animals that were manually sampled exhibited a sustained elevation (41.79 µg/d vs 74.00 µg/dL). No elevation in the GCs levels in the catheterized sheep emphasizes stress reduction in blood sampling via catheterization. The effectiveness of sampling via a catheter in reducing stress hormone responses may be affected by the animal’s previous experience, genetic, and temperament.

Various stressors can influence different hematology measures among which the immune system, especially circulating leukocytes, are the most sensitive. Since there is an elaborate relationship between immune response and stressors, the immune system can be affected in different ways. For example, handling can impact some cells of the immune system while it might not have any effect on other ones in such a way that it can have both stimulatory and depressing effects. In general, increased leukocyte counts occur seconds to minutes subsequent to a short-term stressor based on the effect of NE. Then, after long-
term effects due to the GCs, the stress leukogram (mature neutrophilia, lymphopenia, eosinopenia, and variable monocytosis) can be evoked. Not all of these changes might be seen with moderate stressors. Thus, duration and intensity of the stressor play a vital role in leukocyte response. In this study, total WBC, lymphocyte, monocyte, and granulocyte counts in blood samples were measured. There were significant effects of catheter and catheter*LPS interaction on total WBC count: decreased total WBC count in both No-Catheter and Catheter groups and it remained lower than the initial count for whole twelve hours.

Mature neutrophilia and eosinophilia are other components of the stress leukogram. Increases in circulating neutrophils might result from moving from margination to the circulation. Eosinophilia is the consequence of low utilization from the bone marrow, short survival, and retreatment in lymphoid tissue and marginal pool. Granulocyte (neutrophils, eosinophils and basophils) counts decreased in both Catheter and No-Catheter group compared to the initial level. In this study, lymphocyte count in Catheter group was lower than the initial count throughout the experiment while in No-Catheter group it decreased one hour after the experiment and back to normal after hour eleven. However, lymphopenia or low normal lymphocytes is one of the key elements of the stress leukogram, which was observed in both Catheter and No-Catheter groups. More evaluations such as physiological changes, clinical pathology, and histology are needed to discern the primary reason for these alterations. Furthermore, there was a catheter*LPS interaction on lymphocyte count showed that No-Catheter+No-LPS group had lower numbers of lymphocytes than the Catheter+No-LPS group while Catheter+LPS group had the lowest numbers of lymphocytes.

There is an inconsistent relationship between GCs production and monocytes level in different species. In rats, circulating monocytes follows the GCs circadian pattern but in human beings, after GCs injection it decreases transitionally for few hours before increasing above the basal level. Even though GCs have varying influence on monocyte counts, monocyte pattern matches pattern of lymphocytes after GCs infusion in human beings. In stress leukogram of dogs, monocytosis is one of the characteristics of the stress response, even though it is rarely seen in cattle and horses. The results for Catheter and No-Catheter groups showed declined in monocyte counts, which nearly paralleled the
pattern for lymphocytes and remained lower than the basal level during the experiment, while no significant effect of the catheter was seen. In general, it is possible not to see all four elements of the stress response. However, two sensitive findings of stress leukogram are mature neutrophilia and lymphopenia. Therefore, it is fundamental to measure other signs of stress (clinical finding and chemical pathology), as well. Besides, the similarity of stress and inflammation leukograms makes it impossible to distinguish these changes based on the single hemogram. Interpreting data from sequential hemogram along with clinical findings and other laboratory exams can be helpful to discriminate each of these responses or concurrent presence.

There are controversial reports based on the animals’ immune responses to various stressors. Male mice handled daily, and restrained for an injection established lower IgM and IgG to the antigen Keyhole Limpet Hemocyanin (KLH) compared to the unhandled controls, and female mice slightly handled without restraint for intraperitoneally injected KLH developed lower IgG. On the other hand, no difference has been shown between levels of IgM, CD4+ markers, and CD8+ markers of splenocytes of unhandled (control) mice and two-week-handled ones. Handled C3H/HeJ and BALB/c mice exhibited lower IgM and IgG levels compared to unhandled control group. In contrast, isolated male rhesus macaques showed about 50% more CD8+ T-cells when bled after encountering a stressor (Balcombe, 2004). May (2010) has found interchangeable CBC result (total WBC count, segmented neutrophils, lymphocytes, eosinophils, basophils, and RBCs) in blood samples obtained directly from venipuncture versus jugular catheter in horses. Himberger (2001) established a study in human beings analyzing hematologic values including WBC and RBC drawn from peripheral IV catheters and direct venipuncture. Their results showed no significant difference between those two groups. Depending on blood sampling method, stress response can be the result of pain, repeated handling, and restraint. It is believed that repeated excision of the tail tip or “milking” the tail in rodents is a painful procedure, which results in inflammatory leukocytosis. However, Abatan (2008) did not show a classic stress leukogram between tail-clip method and saphenous vein catheterization. In this study, the effect of the catheter was significant on total WBC, lymphocyte, granulocyte, and monocye.
Administration of LPS triggers stimulatory effect of VP on ACTH secretion (Zelena, 2009), which increases GC secretion, which is one of the major inhibitory mechanisms that controls inflammatory and immunological responses. Following LPS administration, concentrations of the pro-inflammatory cytokines, TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 increase, as well as, secretion of the stress-related hormones such as GC, epinephrine, and norepinephrine. Production of acute-phase proteins also was enhanced following LPS injection. This study demonstrates that the sheep responded to LPS with an increase in the secretion of cortisol and becoming anorexic, lethargic and showing mucosal responses, which can be explained by the negative effect of GCs on the unnecessary behaviors such as digestion. Therefore, poor appetite was observed in the groups with high cortisol levels. These behavioral changes and altered neuroendocrine secretions are components of the acute-phase response (Ceciliani, 2002). In the present study, we also sought to measure ewes and wethers respond to the LPS injection. Manually sampled wethers, which did not receive LPS showed a peak at hour four post-treatment, while there was no noticeable elevation seen in ewes of the same group. Ewes treated with LPS and sampled via venipuncture showed slightly higher cortisol levels compared to the wethers at all time points, while both males and females sustained similar pattern. LPS administration has been known as a way to study HPA axis response to immune stimuli and a stimulator for TNF-α and cytokine secretion. High dose of LPS stimulates hypothalamic AVP synthesis and secretion as a fundamental correspondent in HPA axis activation by LPS (Zelena et al. 2009).

The current study challenges the hypothesis that there is no difference in cortisol response between ewes and wethers exposed to LPS administration and their stress response to be restrained for venipuncture. The results support the hypothesis and show statistically no difference in stress respond based on the sex. In the same way Turner et. al (2010) found same results in cortisol level of male and female sheep due to endotoxin stress and physical activity, while they saw a higher elevation in the females cortisol in response to other stressors such as restraint and wetting. It was suggesting that sexual dimorphism in stress response depends on the nature of the stressor means that some stressors might influence HPA axis separate from sex steroids. Therefore, it might not be accurate to generalize specific stress response. Restraint is known as an acute stressor, which has a stimulatory effect on the HPA axis and rapid elevation of ACTH and GCs. In response to the acute
restraint CRH and AVP act synergically to increase ACTH release (Lightman, 2008). Sexual dimorphism can be diminished by gonadectomy, which causes gonadectomized animals to exhibit similar adrenal cortex activity because its secretory function is partially influenced by sex hormones. Studies on a gonadectomized female and male sheep showed the same cortisol level with no effect of sex differences. Furthermore, intact ewes showed higher cortisol level in comparison with gonadectomized ones in response to the ACTH injection. In contrast, there was no effect of gonadectomy found in rams and wethers, which suggested the regulatory effect of estrogen on cortisol level at the adrenal cortex but no effect of testosterone on it. The stimulatory effect of Estradiol benzoate (EB) was shown in gonadectomized ewes while administration of testosterone cypionate (TC) to wethers exhibited no effect on cortisol secretion in response to the ACTH. Possible mechanisms to explain the function of sex steroids at the adrenal cortex level include steroid precursor receptiveness, adrenocortical responsiveness, and enzyme initiation (Van Lier, 2003). In this study, there was no significantly different response between ewes and wethers to catheter and venipuncture, which means no significant effect of sex on cortisol levels due to restraint stressor. In another study done by Van Lier (2014) a direct regulatory aspect of sex steroids on adrenal cortex was shown by comparing cortisol level, androgen receptor (AR), melanocortin 2 receptor (MC2R), and estrogen receptor alpha (ERS1) in ovariectomised ewes and wethers treated with or without EB or TC. There was no difference in cortisol level in wethers receiving or not receiving TC while wethers with TC showed higher AR and MC2R than wethers without TC. Furthermore, AR, ERS1, and MC2R expression were higher in wethers with TC in comparison with ovariectomized ewes received EB. Moreover, cortisol secretion was higher in EB-treated ewes than non-treated ewes even though non-treated ewes exhibited higher AR expression. Van Lier (2014) results confirmed the modulatory effect of sex hormones on cortisol secretion in adrenocortical level. Mabley (2005) reported the anti-inflammatory effect of estrogen in female mice by showing an attenuated inflammatory response in the female by producing less TNF-α and macrophage inflammatory protein-1α (MIP-1α) in the case of endotoxemia and showed less LPS-induced mortality. Male rats are often test subjects for stress studies. However, they have lower GCs levels with fewer secretory pulses than the female rats. Furthermore, females have quicker elevation in GCs levels following the stressors (Everds,
Generally, various factors such as sex, age, frequency and nature of the stressors can affect neural function in response to the stressors.

The present in vivo study reports that ewes and wethers subjected to the LPS injection exhibited the same response during systemic inflammation subsequent to the administration of *E. coli* endotoxin. Interestingly, total WBCs, monocytes, lymphocytes, and granulocytes of LPS-treated ewes and wethers and no-treated ones did not differ; however, there was a significant effect of sex on rectal temperature. Furthermore, there was an LPS*Sex interaction in total WBC count. Van Eijk (2007) demonstrated that higher inflammatory response in females compared with males after *E. coli* endotoxemia is due to the greater LPS-binding in the female, which is associated with ameliorated TLR-4 signaling. Moreover, females showed a rise in C-reactive proteins along with higher cytokines and TNF-α, which suggested the pronounced pro-inflammatory response in females. They also reported no significant sexual dimorphism in leukocyte differential, although females showed more leukopenia. LPS-treated groups showed leukocyte sequestration and a higher level of cytokines, which showed no significant relation between circulating leukocytes and systemic cytokine release. TLR-4 is the main detector for LPS, which is found on the surface of MQ, DCs, and monocytes. There are some variations among of receptors expression and cytokines production between males and females. For example, females MQs express more MyD88 and p38 MAP kinase phosphorylation, therefore, their MQs activated more in response to the LPS administration. On the other hand, males MQs express a greater amount of TLR-4. There are also differences in level of inflammatory cytokines secretion, for instance, peripheral monocytes, and peritoneal MQs from male produce greater amounts of TNF-α, IL-1b, and IL-6 while producing lower levels of anti-inflammatory prostanoids after receiving LPS. Besides, males display less cytotoxic T-lymphocyte activity than females who have upregulated expression of pro-inflammatory genes. The activities of effector cells of the immune system such as T-cells, B-cells, DCs, MQs, and NK cells are modulated by sex hormones via their receptors on those cells. Unlike progesterone and testosterone which, have inhibitory effects on B-cells and antibody production, estradiol has a stimulatory effect on B-cells proliferation and differentiation into plasma cells facilitated by Th-2 (Klein, 2015). There is a sexual dimorphism in the thymic structure and its catecholamine composition such that males
have higher levels of catecholamine in their thymus whereas females have a heavier thymus. Despite the greater number of T-cells in females, both sexes have similar total lymphocyte count (Pitychoutis, 2010). The present results not only mirror those for total lymphocytes but also for monocytes and granulocytes.

In the present study, as expected, LPS ($p<0.001$) injection caused a surge in rectal temperature, whereas saline had no effect on rectal temperature. Furthermore, sexual dimorphism was observed in rectal temperature after LPS exposure. Similar dimorphic responses have been found by Queen (2015) in male and female mice. They also studied changes in six different cytokines/gene expression in the result of three separate strains of \textit{E. coli} LPS exposure in male and female mice. The dimorphic responses in two cytokines/gene expression and body temperature were observed in their study. In the current study, subsequent to the LPS injection, all groups displayed the same predicted changes in physical behavior in both sexes. Likewise, Carroll (2015) found increased sickness behavior in bulls and heifers following LPS administration, females recovered quicker than males. However, heifers displayed prolonged and higher body temperature. They also observed leukopenia post LPS injection in both sexes, while heifers had less total leukocytes and lymphocytes pre-challenge, there were no significant differences in total leukocytes and lymphocytes between sexes after LPS administration. Similarly, no significant effect of sexes was observed on circulating leukocytes obtained from ewes and wethers. However, there was a significant effect of sex*LPS interaction on total WBC and lymphocyte in such a way that ewes had higher baseline total WBC (11.37 in ewes, 10.50 in wethers) and displayed greater leukopenia following LPS challenge (4.23 in ewes, 5.45 in wethers). Lymphocyte concentration was comparable with total WBC pattern in ewes and wethers. In addition, the mean of rectal temperature was higher in heifers in comparison with bulls. Therefore, it was suggested that heifers displayed vigorous acute response following LPS administration even though bulls exhibited severe symptoms of sickness. Conversely, our results showed higher mean temperature in LPS-treated wethers ($39.09^\circ C$) compared to ewes ($38.7^\circ C$) of the same group while average for rectal temperature in no-LPS treated ewes ($38.39^\circ C$) was higher than no-LPS treated wethers ($38.28^\circ C$). Furthermore, LPS+No-Catheter group peaked in rectal temperature between hour 3-4 post-challenge while Catheter group delayed in increased temperature (6-7 hours...
post-challenge), which is a negative response for catheter. Moreover, females showed lag in their temperature responses while having sustained low grade fever. Generally, a febrile response post-LPS administration showed its assistance in determining endotoxic shock. There are various examples of sex susceptibility to different infections, which is complex and multifaceted. The principal basis for these variations is believed to be as a result of sex hormones and their influences on the immune system and gene expression in the same way. Likewise, it could be the consequence of diverse innate physiology among different sexes. Above all, type of microbe affects sex response to the particular infection, as well. Generally, testosterone is supposed to be anti-inflammatory while estrogen is pro-inflammatory. However, in some infections, there is different susceptibility between sexes microbe type (McClelland, 2011).
Chapter 6  Conclusion and Future Works

The current study tested the hypothesis that catheterization minimizes handling and disturbance of plasma GCs levels compared to direct blood draw through venipuncture. However, catheter and LPS interaction seems to put an additional inflammatory response on top of the post-LPS inflammation, which affects total WBC as well as other leukocyte differentials. Data from this study and previous studies suggested that sex stress response and their vulnerability to the detrimental effect of stress on GCs level, leukocyte counts and differentials may be stressor specific. Along with those findings, examining a broad range of cytokines and stress gene expression and their correlation with temperature and sickness behavior may be beneficial for understanding the stress response and sexual dimorphism in response to various stressors and LPS administration. However, no differences between GCs level in both sexes and no significant effect of the catheter, it is not accurate to generalize these results to all types of stressors because it seems to be other factors involved, which need to be determined. In other words, genetic predilection, environmental conditions, and the situation in fetal life are feasibly significant for interpreting stress responses in adulthood. Therefore, one of the important factors to be considered is whether the animal is in his or her pre-pubertal, pubertal, or post-pubertal stage. In conclusion, blood collection via intravenous catheter is a practical possibility to lessen stress to the animal and has a positive effect on animal and staff interaction while making blood sampling simple with no need to restrained the animal. Furthermore, waiting at least three days after catheterization to give the animal enough time to recover from the stress and inflammation, which were caused by the catheter might be the effective way to minimize the detrimental effect of catheter while using along with LPS. Last but not least, sex differences in response to the physical stressors and LPS model for immune response were multifactorial and are dependent on the LPS strain, animal’s life stage, time, genetic, environmental condition, and individual characteristic and vulnerability. In summary, this study demonstrates the sheep responded to LPS with an increase in the secretion of cortisol and becoming anorexic, lethargic and showing mucosal responses. The effectiveness of
sampling via a catheter in reducing stress hormone responses may be affected by animal’s previous experience, temperament, and genetic. Sexual dimorphism in stress response depends on the nature of the stressor means that some stressors might influence HPA axis separate from sex steroids. Therefore, it might not be accurate to generalize specific response to the particular stressor to various stressors.
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