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ABSTRACT

Calcium Enhanced Protein Recovery from Underutilized Aquatic Resources and Optimizing Protein Gelation Strategies Using Functional Ingredients

Ilgin Paker

Utilization of aquatic resources has rapidly gained importance. Traditional fish processing does not utilize the majority of the fish, thus generating large quantities of waste that impacts the economy, sustainability and environmental stress adversely. Protein can be extracted from underutilized sources such as silver carp and catfish using a pH shift processing method and incorporated into the human diet. Therefore, myofibrillar proteins were extracted from ground fish using different alkali solubilization and precipitation strategies. The efficacy of protein separation from lipids, and insoluble such as skin, fins, scales and bones were investigated and compared. Protein and lipid recovery yields were calculated to determine the economic feasibility of the procedure by calculating the amount of material recovered out of the available protein or lipids present in the initial material. Mineral content of the recovered protein was analyzed and compared to the initial fish and Alaska Pollock surimi.

Although every factor such as solubilization pH, base, and acid as well as their interactions had a significant effect on the results, effect of processing base was more evident for protein separation. Protein solubility was significantly increased (p<0.05) when calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂) was used compared to sodium hydroxide (NaOH). Therefore, protein concentration was also greater (p<0.05) with lower amount of impurities such as lipids and ash when Ca(OH)₂ was used as the processing base compared to NaOH at every solubilization pH (11.0, 11.5, 12.0, 12.3) tested in this study. Overall, protein was concentrated at a range of 88-92 g/100g and 64-87 g/100g when Ca(OH)₂ and NaOH were used during solubilization, respectively. Contrary to protein concentration, overall recovered lipid concentration ranged between 70-100 g/100g did not differ between processing bases. Protein recovery yields were also similar between processing acids and bases, ranging between 42-59 g/100g. Ca(OH)₂ and organic acids (lactic and acetic acid) were as effective as the traditionally used NaOH and hydrochloric acid in protein recovery using pH shifts. The mineral content of the recovered proteins, on the other hand, differed greatly between solubilization and precipitation strategies applied. Using Ca(OH)₂ for protein solubilization resulted in a protein fraction with increased calcium content and reduced sodium amount compared to NaOH processing.

The recovered protein was then made into protein gels in order to investigate gelation conditions. Fish muscle protein mainly consisting of myosin and actin cross-link and form a gel network upon heating. Yet, the efficiency of gel setting period that allows for the crosslinking to take place depends on a variety of factors mainly impacted by time and temperature. Protein gel texture and color is also affected by post cooking storage. Therefore, widely applied pre-cooking gelation time and temperature strategies, and post-cooking period on texture and color of final protein gels was investigated. Four most commonly applied pre-cooking gelation strategies (no-setting time, 30 min at 25°C, 1 h at 40°C, or 24 h at 4°C) were applied to protein pastes (fish protein concentrate and standard functional additives). After cooking, texture and color were either
analyzed directly or after 24 h at 4°C on gels adjusted to room temperature. Gels that were immediately cooked and analyzed were harder, gummier, and chewier (p<0.05); however, gel chewiness, cohesiveness and firmness increased when gels were allowed to set for 24 h at 4°C and stored before cooking. Therefore a more uniform network formation may be achieved when gels are allowed to set at lower temperatures for a longer period of time; however, the prolonged gel setting time followed by post cooking storage decreased whiteness of gels. A gel storage period post cooking improved gel stability.

Gelation properties as well as protein amount in the recovered protein gels can be changed by salvaging water soluble sarcoplasmic proteins from fish processing water or solution and incorporating them into myofibrillar protein gels. Therefore, sarcoplasmic proteins of silver carp were solubilized and added back to recovered myofibrillar protein or Alaska Pollock in solution form to investigate the impact on texture and color of protein gels. Sarcoplasmic protein amounts tested (77 or 144 mg/kg paste) yielded softer, less gummy, chewy, cohesive and resilient (p<0.05) gels compared gels containing transglutaminase, an exogenous enzyme. In order to investigate the effects of greater amounts of sarcoplasmic proteins in the myofibrillar protein gels, solubilized sarcoplasmic proteins were concentrated, made into a powder using a freeze-dryer and added back into recovered protein gels. Protein gels were developed from either NaOH or Ca(OH)$_2$ processed catfish myofibrillar protein with or without transglutaminase, functional additives and sarcoplasmic protein powder. The effect of sarcoplasmic protein addition differed according to the processing base used to recover myofibrillar protein. When myofibrillar protein was solubilized using NaOH, due to the possible denaturation induced by high salt conditions, sarcoplasmic protein containing gels (10 or 23 g/kg) were softer, less gummy, chewy, firm, and cohesive (p<0.05) compared to gels developed with 5 g transglutaminase/kg paste. On the other hand, Ca(OH)$_2$ processed protein gels containing 23 g/kg paste sarcoplasmic protein and no other functional additive like starch, transglutaminase or polyphosphates similar textural properties (hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, chewiness, resilience, firmness, and resistance to deformation) as gels made with 5 g transglutaminase/kg paste along with other functional additives (starch and polyphosphates).

A separate study investigating the effects of starch addition at increasing amounts (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg paste) determined that most of the textural attributes such as hardness, gumminess, chewiness, firmness and resistance to deformation were higher (p<0.05) for gels containing starch; however, these attributes did not increase with the increasing starch concentration. Therefore, the similar textural properties observed in 23 g/kg paste sarcoplasmic protein containing gels without starch or polyphosphates and gels developed using 5 transglutaminase/kg paste, 15 g starch/kg paste and 3 g polyphosphates/kg paste can be attributed to the gel strengthening properties of high amounts of sarcoplasmic proteins in calcium enhanced myofibrillar protein gels. Comparison between gels recovered by either NaOH or Ca(OH)$_2$ showed that calcium enhanced protein gels were harder, gummer, chewier, and whiter (p<0.05) then gels made with NaOH recovered myofibrillar protein. Therefore, myofibrillar protein recovery using Ca(OH)$_2$ may increase the rate of gel network formation by triggering calcium dependent endogenous TGase activity. Moreover, sarcoplasmic protein may bind to myofibrillar protein and form a firmer gel in low salt containing environments.
Overall, this research shows that Ca(OH)$_2$ is effective in protein solubility and separates proteins from other fractions such as lipids and insolubles when used as a processing base during pH shifts. Ca(OH)$_2$ solubilization yields a recovered protein fraction enhanced with calcium, and lowered sodium. Therefore, protein recovered using Ca(OH)$_2$ will yield a naturally whiter end product with a more beneficial content. Protein gels made from calcium enhanced protein will be harder and naturally whiter. Moreover, sarcoplasmic protein recovered using simple solubilization steps from fish and by-products may be used as a nutritional supplement to enhance protein content of food products or can be incorporated into functional food products such as protein gels containing lower amounts of sodium.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was made possible by the HATCH Program Project # (WVA 00622).

I would like to extend my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my advisor, Dr. Kristen E. Matak. She has been inspirational and encouraging throughout my Master’s and PhD work. Without her guidance and thorough edits this dissertation would not be possible.

I am grateful to my committee members; Dr. Jacek Jaczynski, Dr. Janet Tou, Dr. Jianbo Yao, and Dr. Litha Sivanandan for their support, genuine care, and guidance. Their intellect, experience and friendship will be deeply missed. It was an honor to have worked with such a motivational committee.

My friends and colleagues, Sarah Beamer and Karina Walker, thank you for supporting and helping me in any way that you could.

My sincere gratitude is extended to the faculty and staff of the Division of Animal and Nutritional Sciences for their continued aid, guidance, and for the opportunities they provided to make this research possible.

Thank you, Dr. Semmens for donating the black bullhead catfish, and Dr. Kenney for letting me use the meats laboratory and equipment for vacuum packaging the fish. Susan Slider and Tammy Webster, I am grateful for your help and technical expertise in completing my analyses. Karen Martin, I appreciate your assistance with the optical microscope.

Finally, I want to thank my family. My son, Alp, for the massive love he created; Alper, my dear husband, for always challenging and supporting me; My parents, Nurdan and Tufan Paker, for their unconditional love and support; and finally my grandparents, Turkan and Kemal Tanyeri, thank you for making me the person I am. I have received so much love and support from every single one of you, I could not be happier.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>ii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF TABLES</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LITERATURE REVIEW</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 1</strong></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1. Abstract</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2. Introduction</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3. Materials and methods</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4. Results and discussion</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5. Tables and figures</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 2</strong></td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1. Abstract</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2. Introduction</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3. Materials and methods</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4. Results and discussion</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5. Tables and figures</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 3</strong></td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1. Abstract</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2. Introduction</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3. Materials and methods</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4. Results and discussion</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5. Tables and figures</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHAPTER 4</strong></td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1. Abstract</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2. Introduction</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1

Table 1. Proximate composition (g/100g, dry basis) of the recovered protein and lipid fractions using different solubilization strategies and initial starting material (ground catfish). 54

Table 2. Mineral composition (Fe, Mg, Ca, P, and Na) of the recovered protein using different pH shift treatments. 55

Table 3. Mineral composition (Fe, Mg, Ca, P, and Na) of the recovered protein using different pH shift treatments, initial starting material (ground black bullhead catfish), and Alaska Pollock surimi. 56

CHAPTER 2

Table 1. Proximate composition of the recovered protein and lipid fractions using different solubilization strategies and initial starting material (ground catfish). 80

Table 2. Mineral composition (Iron (Fe), Magnesium (Mg), Calcium (Ca), Phosphorus (P), and Sodium (Na)) of the recovered protein using different pH shift treatments, initial starting material (ground catfish), and Alaska Pollock. 81

Table 3. Daily recommended levels of Protein, Iron (Fe), Magnesium (Mg), Calcium (Ca), and Phosphorus (P), and daily upper limit of Sodium (Na). 82

CHAPTER 3

Table 1. Color properties of recovered black catfish protein gels. 111

CHAPTER 4

Table 1. Description and amount of protein gel additives for different treatments. 130

Table 2. Color properties of protein gels made from recovered silver carp protein and Alaska Pollock surimi. 131

CHAPTER 5

Table 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements (temperature onset (Tonset), maximum temperature (Tmax), and net enthalpy) of isoelectrically recovered black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). 157
Table 2. Color properties of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels containing different starch amounts (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste).

CHAPTER 6

Table 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements (temperature onset (Tonset), maximum temperature (Tmax), and net enthalpy) of isoelectrically recovered black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different additives.

Table 2. Hardness, Springiness, Cohesiveness, Gumminess, Chewiness and Resilience (texture profile analysis) of gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation.

Table 3. Color properties and whiteness of recovered catfish protein gels

CHAPTER 7

Table 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements (temperature onset (Tonset), maximum temperature (Tmax), and net enthalpy) of isoelectrically recovered black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different additives.

Table 2. Texture profile (Hardness, Springiness, Cohesiveness, Gumminess, Chewiness, Resilience) of protein gels.

Table 3. Color and whiteness of protein gels.

APPENDIX

Table 1. Proximate composition (ash, lipid, protein) of recovered protein fractions using different pH shift processing strategies, on dry bases.

Table 2. Comparison of protein recovery yields extracted using different solubilization and precipitation strategies.
LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 1

Figure 1. Protein solubility percentages of different alkali solubilization strategies. 47
Figure 2. Protein concentration mean values separated by processing base to show the effect of solubilization pH. 48
Figure 3. Lipid concentration mean values separated by processing base to show the effect of solubilization pH. 49
Figure 4. Protein and lipid recovery yields of different solubilization strategies. 50
Figure 5. Protein recovery yields of different solubilization strategies processed by different solubilization bases. 51
Figure 6. Lipid recovery yields of different solubilization strategies processed by different solubilization bases. 52
Figure 7. Mineral composition (Fe, Mg, Ca, P, and Na) of the calcium hydroxide solubilized protein using different pH values, initial starting material (ground catfish), and Alaska Pollock surimi. 53

CHAPTER 2

Figure 1. Protein and lipid recovery yields of different solubilization strategies. 83
Figure 2. Protein recovery yields obtained by using different precipitation strategies. 84
Figure 3. Dietary calcium turnover rate in healthy adults. 85
Figure 4. Optical images of the freeze-dried recovered proteins using 86
Figure 5. Optical image of the freeze-dried, gutted, and ground black bullhead catfish. 87

CHAPTER 3

Figure 1. Differential scanning calorimetry thermograms of isoelectrically recovered catfish protein paste, Alaska Pollock surimi and initial ground catfish. 107
Figure 2. Hardness, Springiness, Cohesiveness, Gumminess, Chewiness and Resilience of recovered protein gels. 108
Figure 3. Kramer shear stress (kPa), Kramer shear force (g/g muscle), torsional shear stress (kPa) and torsional shear strain (kPa) of recovered black catfish protein gels. 109
Figure 4. Whiteness of recovered black catfish protein gels. 110
CHAPTER 4

Figure 1. Hardness, Springiness, Cohesiveness, Gumminess, Chewiness and Resilience (texture profile analysis) of gels. 132

Figure 2. Kramer Shear Force, Kramer Shear Stress ($\tau$), Torsional Stress (kPa) and Torsional Strain (kPa) of recovered silver carp protein and Alaska Pollock surimi gels. 133

Figure 3. Whiteness of silver carp protein and Alaska Pollock surimi gels. 134

CHAPTER 5

Figure 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermograms of black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). 152

Figure 2. Expressible water (g/100g) content of protein pastes containing different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). 153

Figure 3. Hardness, Springiness, Cohesiveness, Gumminess, Chewiness and Resilience (texture profile analysis) of gels containing different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). 154

Figure 4. Kramer shear stress, Kramer shear force, torsional shear stress and torsional shear strain of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels containing different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). 155

Figure 5. Whiteness of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels containing different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). 156

CHAPTER 6

Figure 1. Differential scanning calorimetry thermograms of black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different additives. 178

Figure 2. Kramer shear stress, Kramer shear force, torsional shear stress and torsional shear strain of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels. 179

CHAPTER 7

Figure 1. Differential scanning calorimetry thermograms of black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different additives. 204
Figure 2. Kramer shear stress, Kramer shear force, torsional shear stress and torsional shear strain of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels.

APPENDIX

Figure 1. Protein concentrations in the recovered protein fractions using different solubilization and precipitation strategies.
INTRODUCTION

Fish muscle protein is highly soluble in highly positive charged or negative charged solutions where protein-protein interactions are minimized by increased repulsion forces and protein-water interactions are triggered \(^1\). Therefore, protein solubilization can readily be achieved by applying pH shifts followed by precipitation at the isoelectric point. The solubility of muscle protein may be achieved at either extremely low (pH 2.0-3.0) or extremely high (pH 10.5-12.5) pH values with the use of a processing acid or a base \(^2\). Alkaline solubilization using a processing base to increase the pH of the fish and water mixture was shown to result in a similar protein recovery yield as acidic solubilization, while inducing less conformational changes in protein structure, myosin degradation \(^3\)-\(^6\). Traditionally used processing base during pH shift processing, sodium hydroxide (NaOH) yields a protein fraction with increased sodium content compared to both the initial starting material as well as the Alaska Pollock surimi which is considered as the industry standard \(^3\),\(^7\). Using another base such as calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)\(_2\)) during alkali solubilization may increase protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction by effective removal of impurities such as lipids, skins, and bones while yielding a protein fraction with high calcium and low sodium content.

Along with that, protein and lipid recovery yields are important determinants of economic feasibility of the extraction method and may be increased by using different solubilization bases and precipitation acids. Protein recovery yield as well as the efficacy of removal of impurities from the protein fraction depends greatly on solubilization pH, processing acids and bases, and their interactions. Recovery using pH shift processes range from 57-65 g/100g with the traditional surimi processing showing 58 g/100g protein recovery \(^6\),\(^8\). Therefore, four different alkali solubilization pH values (11.0, 11.5, 12.0, and 12.3) were tested using either
NaOH or Ca(OH)$_2$. Precipitation was achieved at the isoelectric point of protein, pH 5.5, using either hydrochloric acid (HCl), lactic acid (LA), or acetic acid (AA) to determine the compositional (ash, lipid, protein) properties of the recovered protein and lipid fractions. Moreover, protein and lipid recovery yields were calculated, and the mineral content (iron, magnesium, calcium, phosphorus, and sodium) of the protein fractions were measured and compared.

The reason for testing the efficacy of organic acids was their association with curing, marinating, and preservation of meat and poultry products$^{9-11}$. Along with their pathogen controlling properties, using different acids will yield different protein and mineral compositions in the recovered fraction$^{3,12-15}$. An additional benefit of using organic acids during protein recovery is the less denaturation they induce in the native confirmation of protein compared to processing with strong acids such as HCl$^{4,5}$. The conformational change induced in protein is important during further processing of the product.

Protein, once recovered, can be restructured into fish gels like surimi or incorporated into food products that are low in protein content. Therefore, gelation properties of recovered protein were investigated. Commonly used gel setting, and storage conditions were investigated to understand recovered protein gelation. The benefit of using pH shifts during protein recovery is the application of the protein extraction method to underutilized, hard to process fish, or fish processing by-products which is associated with reducing waste, and sustaining natural resources. Water soluble proteins, sarcoplastic proteins, making up to 30 g/100g of fish are usually discarded during fish processing or surimi washing with the processing water$^{16}$. Similarly, sarcoplastic protein is lost in the pH shift processing water and discard with the supernatant. In an effort to utilize sarcoplastic proteins as a protein source, sarcoplastic protein
was extracted from the initial ground fish used during pH shifts, and added back to the recovered protein. Protein gels were made using recovered protein with or without functional additives (transglutaminase, potato starch, and polyphosphates), and different amounts of sarcoplasmic protein. Thermal changes induced in protein groups (myosin, actin, and sarcoplasmic protein), and texture and color properties of protein gels were investigated. 

The overall aim of this study was to determine a pH shift recovery strategy that increases the efficacy of protein separation from lipids, and insolubles such as skins, bones, and scales of the initial ground fish. The second objective was to recover protein with high amount of calcium and lower amount of sodium that displays a mineral content in accordance with the recommended daily intake guidelines. Utilizing natural resources more efficiently has been the building block of this study; therefore, incorporating sarcoplasmic protein into recovered protein to develop a protein product high in protein and calcium content was the last main objective of this study.
References


LITERATURE REVIEW

Protein Recovery Using Isoelectric Solubilization and Precipitation Method

Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP) method is an efficient protein recovery technique for underutilized aquatic resources and fish processing by-products. A few simple steps involving grinding the initial material followed by dilution with distilled deionized water and addition of a processing acid or base to achieve an extremely low or high pH value will enable protein solubilization. Following centrifugation, insoluble components such as fish bones, scales, and skin as well as lipids are separated from the protein fraction. The aqueous protein solution is filtered and precipitated at the isoelectric point of the protein where a net charge of zero will lower electrostatic repulsion forces and allow for hydrophobic bond formation between protein molecules 1. Protein will not precipitate if intermolecular hydrophobic bonds are not well developed due to hydration and steric repulsion forces 1; therefore, protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction is an indicator for the efficacy of the solubilization step. The protein recovery yield is the amount of protein recovered from the available protein in the starting material. Recovery yields are greatly affected by the solubilization pH, processing acid and base, the ionic strength of the solution, temperature and the amino acid composition of the initial material 2.

Reported protein concentrations in the protein fraction and protein recovery yields range from 32-95% and 50-79%, respectively 3-9. The wide gap in protein concentration is majorly due to the difference between protein solubilization strategies. For example, Taskaya et al. (2009) applied ISP to whole carp and reported crude protein concentrations of 89-90% and 94-95% when acidic (pH 2.0 and 3.0) and basic (pH 11.5 and 12.5) solubilization was performed using hydrochloric acid and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) 4. The same study reported protein recovery
yields of 49, 42, 60 and 66% for whole gutted silver carp solubilized at pH 2.0, 3.0, 11.5 and 12.5 respectively. A similar study using ISP to recover protein from headed, gutted silver carp also showed increased protein concentrations when acidic solubilization was applied at pH 2.5, and 3.0 using either acetic acid (AA) and a 1:1 combination of 30% formic and lactic acid (F&L), 32-43% and 20-52% respectively; compared to alkali solubilization at pH 11.5 and 12.0 using NaOH, and precipitation was achieved using AA and F&L, 77-82% and 51-80%. Protein recovery yields were higher when alkali solubilization was applied using NaOH as the processing base during ISP. When AA was selected as the processing acid, a 71% recovery yield when was achieved and a 65% recovery yield was recorded when F&L was chosen as the precipitating acid following alkali solubilization using NaOH. Kristinsson and Ingadottir (2006) reported protein recovery yields between 61-68% for alkali-solubilization and 56-61% for acidic solubilization of tilapia muscle proteins. The researchers concluded that there were no statistical differences between the two solubilization strategies. On the other hand, another study conducted on Atlantic croaker using NaOH and HCl showed that acidic protein solubilization (pH 2.5) yielded higher protein recovery at 79% whereas alkali solubilization (pH 11.0) yielded 65%. Therefore, the main aim of this research was to determine if the protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction and protein recovery yield can be improved using different solubilization and precipitation strategies.

Along with improving protein concentration and protein recovery yields, another concern with ISP is the conformational changes induced on the proteins native structure. Although the majority of the changes in protein structure are reversible, some solubilization/precipitation strategies induce fewer conformational changes. In order to assess the conformational changes, researchers generally make the recovered protein into protein paste and use rheological
measurements like elasticity and viscosity or run thermal analysis such as differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) to determine the thermal response of protein pastes. Moreover, spectroscopic studies, determination of protein groups following precipitation, hydrophobicity and sulfhydryl group analysis may also be performed to gain insight into the structural changes induced by processing of proteins. Kristinsson and Hultin (2003) investigated conformational changes in Atlantic cod protein following isoelectric extraction. The muscle protein was solubilized either at pH 2.5 or 11.0 and precipitated at pH 7.5 and it was concluded that acidic solubilization led to higher protein dissociation and lower amount of exposed reactive thiol groups as well as binding sites. It was also concluded by the researchers that both acidic and alkali solubilization induced certain changes in different sub-parts (head, tail, heavy and light chains) of myosin where acidic solubilization resulted in a less stable structure upon reassembly. Moreover, the two different solubilization strategies induced different structural changes and resulted in completely different structures upon refolding. Another study looking into the gelation and protein-protein binding properties of recovered tilapia muscle protein solubilized at pH 2.5, 2.9, 11.0 or 11.2 using either HCl or NaOH reported that acidic solubilization yielded lower viscosity after thermal processing compared to alkali solubilized protein gels. Silver carp protein was also solubilized using either acidic (pH 2.5 or 3.0) or basic (pH 11.5 and 12.0) pH values using NaOH, AA or F&L. Overall, recovered protein gels showed similar viscoelastic and thermal properties as Alaska Pollock surimi which is considered as the industry standard for reconstructed aquatic products. It is common to compare recovered protein to surimi products since surimi production only involves frequent wash cycles and no chemical processing. According to the research data, basic solubilization induced less conformational changes in recovered silver carp protein indicated by viscoelastic properties and torsional shear stress displayed by cooked gels. On the other hand, it
is also important to highlight that using different processing acids and bases yield different protein fractions by means of compositional, and structural properties.

The traditionally used base during pH shift protein recovery method is sodium hydroxide (NaOH). Although an efficient protein solubilizing agent, protein solubilized using NaOH has increased sodium levels compared to the initial starting material (ground fish) as well as fish fillet as shown in a previously conducted study using silver carp. High sodium content in foods is associated with the increased risk of hypertension and cardiovascular diseases and is undesirable. Therefore, an alternative base may yield a protein fraction with less sodium in the recovered protein. A previously conducted study used potassium hydroxide (KOH) as the processing base to reduce sodium content in the recovered protein fraction during ISP processing of striped bass. Although the sodium amount was successfully reduced by replacing NaOH with KOH, viscoelasticity of proteins recovered using KOH indicating functionality was less similar to surimi products compared to NaOH processed protein.

Using KOH as the processing base during ISP increased potassium in the recovered protein; therefore; another base such as calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)\(_2\)) may increase calcium levels in the recovered protein. Calcium hydroxide is a widely used fortification agent in food products as well as a crisper or firming agent specifically in the pickling industry. Benefits of using the generally recognized as safe Ca(OH)\(_2\) include reduced microbial activity, increased shelf life and nutritional value, and potential positive flavor changes. Using Ca(OH)\(_2\) may therefore be preferable for product development after recovering protein by pH shifts. In addition, Ca(OH)\(_2\) is a divalent base, compared to the monovalent NaOH, and may improve protein solubility during pH shift processing if the chemical reactions follow Hofmeister series leading to increased protein concentrations and recovery yields.
While processing base type and solubilization pH have a major impact on protein solubility, the chemical interactions that take place during protein precipitation are more complex. Among many factors affecting precipitation, the role of chemicals and their dissociation or association constants, ionic radii, valence electrons, and the polarity of the ions following dissociation can be listed as the significant variables in similarly designed pH shift processing studies. During alkali isoelectric solubilization, a base is used to negatively charge the protein molecules in order to reduce protein-protein interactions; on the other hand, during acidic solubilization, an acid yielding H into the solution is used to positively charge protein molecules. The negatively or positively charged protein molecules that became water soluble, is freed of charge by adjusting the pH of the solution to the isoelectric point of protein. At this point, due to the increased hydrophobic interactions, protein precipitates. Therefore, the chemicals involved in the solubilization and precipitation reactions determine the ionic strength, and volume of acid or base needed to precipitate protein. Lower amount of solute increases protein solubility; however, super-saturation is required for precipitation of proteins.

Traditionally used hydrochloric acid (HCl) in pH shift processing method may be tested against weaker acids having lower dissociation constants to determine the differences between protein concentrations and the recovery yields. Lower dissociation constants indicate lower rate of protonation. Protonation is the losing of hydrogen (H) into the solution. Similarly, for bases, dissociation constants or ionic yields differ. For example, monovalent bases like NaOH will yield 1 mol of Na⁺ per 1 mol of OH⁻; on the other hand, divalent bases like Ca(OH)₂ will dissociate into 1 mol of Ca²⁺ per 2 mols of OH⁻. This will affect the volume of necessary chemical to increase or neutralize charges on protein molecules. Moreover, salting in and salting out of proteins will also be influenced by the different chemicals.
Ionic strength and dissociation constants of chemicals also have an impact on protein denaturation. According to Paredi, Tomas, Crupkin, and Afion (1994) who investigated the thermal changes in Molina myofibrillar protein using differential scanning calorimetry, fish muscle denaturation increased with increasing ionic strength. Moreover, thermal stability was shown to decrease as pH and ionic strength increased. Therefore, stronger acids like HCl may denature protein to a greater extent compared to organic acids due to the rapid precipitation that occurs when using HCl. The fast precipitation and unstable aggregate formation may be due to not providing enough time for protein molecules to form intermolecular disulphide bonds. This is why using organic acids that have lower dissociation constants compared to the strong acids may have the benefit of reducing protein denaturation.

Another advantage of selecting organic acids as processing chemicals during ISP is ensuring microbial safety. Otto, et al. (2011a,b) investigated the antimicrobial effects of using citric and acetic acids on survival of *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Listeria innocua* when inoculated in rainbow trout. A 6.4 log CFU/g protein *L. innocua* reduction was accomplished when solubilization took place at pH 3.0 during ISP using acetic acid. Efficacy of organic acids in reducing bacterial population compared to HCl was attributed to their ability of accumulating in the bacterial cell and inhibiting cellular activity.

*Functional and Textural Properties of Recovered Protein*

Following recovery of protein, protein gels may be prepared to test and compare thermal denaturation, textural and color properties between different protein recovery strategies. Furthermore, recovered protein gels may be compared with Alaska Pollock surimi gels to determine the structural differences between processing methods as well as fish species. The recovered protein mainly consists of fish muscle or myofibrillar protein where myosin and actin
are the major groups responsible for gel formation. Sarcoplasmic protein makes up to 30% of fish protein is a water soluble protein mainly consisting of enzymes and hemoglobin. Previously conducted research on whether or not sarcoplasmic protein interferes with myofibrillar protein gelation is inconclusive. Some researchers are keen on separation of sarcoplasmic protein from fish flesh whereas other have found sarcoplasmic protein to enhanced gel strength. For example, Macfarlane, Schmidt, and Turner (1977) assessed binding properties of myosin, actomyosin and sarcoplasmic protein and concluded that sarcoplasmic protein displayed poor cohesiveness. Therefore, similar to conclusions drawn by Okada (1964), sarcoplasmic proteins were stated to lower binding proteins and weaken protein gels. On the other hand, more recent studies highlight the positive impact of adding sarcoplasmic protein into myofibrillar protein gels. Siriangkanakun and Yongsawatdigul (2012) found that trypsin, an enzyme that is responsible for protein degredation, was inhibited by sarcoplasmic protein extracted from common carp. Moreover, incorporation of 0.18% common carp sarcoplasmic protein that was in supernatant form into threadfin bream surimi increased breaking force of gels in a range of 58.8-104.9%, and deformation from 18.6% up to 36.2%. Jafarpour and Gorczyca (2008) also showed increased breaking force, breaking distance, and gel strength with the addition of 35% freeze-dried common carp sarcoplasmic protein powder into thawed threadfin bream surimi. Another study by Hemung and Chin (2013) used the combination of sarcoplasmic protein powder (0, 0.1, 0.5, or 1%) and microbial transglutaminase (0.5%) to investigate the effects on red sea bream myofibrillar protein gelation. It was determined that shear stress and gel strength decreased as the sarcoplasmic protein powder concentration increased; whereas, cooking loss was decreased with the increasing sarcoplasmic protein powder incorporation. Moreover, high thermal stability was observed when sarcoplasmic protein...
powder was added at 1%. Therefore, sarcoplasmic protein addition into myofibrillar protein may help stabilize myofibrillar protein and lower cooking loss of gels \(^{32}\).

In addition to the effect of removal or incorporation of sarcoplasmic protein into myofibrillar protein gels, another possible concern is the influence of calcium entrapped in the recovered protein fraction due to processing with calcium hydroxide which may interfere with gel network formation. Speroni, Jung and De Lamballerie (2010) investigated the effects of calcium treatment on thermally induced soybean protein gelation \(^{33}\). The soybean isolates, extracted using ISP were enriched with calcium using 2 and 20 mM CaCl\(_2\) when the protein concentration was 8%, and 2.5 and 25 mM CaCl\(_2\) was used for 10% protein dispersions \(^{33}\). Higher concentrations of CaCl\(_2\) treatment delayed thermal peaks indicated by DSC results, and significantly increased denaturation temperatures of β-conglycinin and glycinin, the two major protein groups found in soybean \(^{33}\). Moreover, gels were stiffer in the presence of calcium possibly due to the coagulation of glycinin and β-conglycinin, and the crosslinking of glycinin and calcium \(^{33}\). It was also explained that calcium may trigger association between protein molecules during heating, and increase hydrophobic interactions as well as form calcium bridges \(^{34}\). Scilingo and Anon (2004) determined that addition of 1.23-5.0 mg calcium/g soybean protein triggered α, α’ soluble aggregates whereas higher calcium amounts (5.0-9.73 mg/g protein) enabled selective glycinin insolubilization. Overall, calcium incorporation into soybean protein isolates stabilized thermally induced gels and increased denaturation energy required to uncoil proteins, and reduced surface hydrophobicity \(^{34}\). Calcium induced protein aggregates were shown to be stabilized by hydrophobic interactions; therefore, contributing to gel stiffness \(^{33}\). This was also seen in previously conducted research where addition of calcium (5-20 mM) in the form of CaCl\(_2\) increased hardness of whey protein gels formed at alkali pH values \(^{35}\).
When the effect of sodium and calcium were compared, lower amounts (25-30 mM NaCl or 7.5 mM CaCl₂) of either ion resulted in thin and translucent gels. Addition of 50-75 mM NaCl increased shear stress of whey protein gels whereas, a similar increase was observed with only 20 mM CaCl₂. Likewise, increasing calcium levels from 10 to 360 mM/L improved gel network formation in whey protein gels indicated by the increase in size and thickness of gel strands. Moreover, adding CaCl₂ also increased shear strain of gels; however, NaCl incorporation showed a decrease in gel strain. Incorporation of up to 180 mM/L CaCl₂ increased shear stress at fracture; however, higher levels of CaCl₂ (such as 360 mM/L) incorporation did not increase stress results any further. Moreover, shear strain and water holding capacity decreased as calcium levels increased.

Protein gel properties depend on variables such as incubation time and temperature, storage conditions, and the fish species or the protein source as well as ionic content and concentration. A study by Ramirez et al. (2003) investigated the effects of CaCl₂ concentration (0-0.4%), and incubation time (30-90 min) and temperature (25-45 °C) on the gel properties of striped mullet protein gels. It was seen that calcium content was the major factor affecting shear stress of gels and optimum gelation conditions indicated by shear stress and strain were determined as 0.4% calcium content, where gels were incubated at 39.3 °C for 1 h. On the other hand, Benjakul, Chantarasuwan, and Visessanguan (2003) determined that tropical fish species like threadfin bream, bigeye snapper, barracuda and bigeye croaker protein gels benefited from incubation at 25 °C for a prolonged time (8 h) indicated by increased breaking force and deformation. Non-disulfide bonds, polymerization and lower amount of heavy chain myosin due to denaturation were highlighted as the reasons for stronger gel formation.
Alvarez, Couso, and Tejada Yabar (1995) determined that sardine surimi gels benefited from a gel setting time for 30-60 min at 35°C indicated by increased gel strength. Moreover, it was determined that an incubation period was necessary for acceptable gel formation and for protein stability during heating. Another similar study investigating the effects of Pacific whiting protein gelation properties stated that gel setting at 25°C yielded the strongest gels. Luo et al. (2004) looked at gel setting conditions of different grade Alaska Pollock and common carp surimi when soy protein isolate was incorporated into the surimi at increasing concentrations (0, 10, 20, 30, and 40%) in surimi gel setting conditions of either directly cooking (no gel setting period) or incubation at 40°C for 60 min prior to cooking. For example, direct cooking with no incubation period was more beneficial for low grade Alaska Pollock surimi gels compared to high grade Alaska Pollock surimi gels which benefited more from gel setting at 40°C for 60 min compared to no set gels as indicated by higher breaking force and distance. Common carp surimi gels, on the other hand, did not show significant differences (p>0.05) in breaking force or distance between gel setting conditions. Gel color being another important aspect of product marketability and consumer acceptance was also assessed. Lightness and whiteness of surimi gels decreased as the soy protein isolate amount increased, on the other hand, gel setting conditions did not influence gel color.

Luo et al. (2001) compared gel strength of common carp, grass carp, and silver carp surimi to Alaska Pollock surimi which is considered the industry standard and a high grade
protein source. They found that Alaska Polok surimi gels were superior to the latter indicated by breaking force of samples, and different fish species as the protein source benefited from different gel setting conditions. For example, incubating Alaska Pollock at 35 °C for 30 min, common carp at 35 °C for 120 min, grass carp at 40 °C for 30 min, and silver carp at 35 °C for 60 min yielded highest breaking force. Moreover, gel setting period increased breaking force of all surimi gels compared to gels with no incubation period.

Gel formation is also affected by the concentration of gel enhancers such as exogenous transglutaminase (TGase), potato starch, polyphosphates and salt. TGase (glutaminyl peptide:amine γ-glutamyltransferase, E.C. 2.3.2.13) is an enzyme that is widely found in animal and fish tissues. TGase is also isolated from Streptoverticillium sp. and is a commercially available to be used in food products with the benefit of functioning without the need of additional calcium ions. Reactions triggered by TGase activity on proteins can be summarized as ε-(γ-glutamyl)lysine (ε-(γ-Glu)Lys) crosslinking; therefore, giving TGase the nickname of “meat glue.” For example, Lee et al. (1997), added microbial TGase at different concentrations (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4% (w/w)) into Alaska Pollock surimi pastes and either allowed the pastes to set for 1 h at 25 °C prior to cooking or no gel setting period was applied. It was observed that gel setting time and increased levels of TGase addition yielded stronger gels due to higher ε-(γ-glutamyl) lysine dipeptide amount, as well as increased non-disulfide polymerization.

Another study showed confirmed that hake skin gelatin benefited from addition of 10 mg/g paste indicated by strong gel formation. It is also important to note that mammalian or fish endogenous TGases, are calcium dependent meaning that calcium is necessary for enzymatic activity. On the other hand, external TGases like microbial TGase which is a variant of Streptoverticillium mobaraense is calcium independent. Using microbial TGase is preferable in
some cases where there is a lack of calcium in the protein source or in food proteins that are susceptible to calcium like casein and globulins which precipitate in the presence of calcium. Endogenous TGases, on the other hand are responsible for cross-linking myosin heavy chains, and formation of non-covalent and disulfide bonds during gel setting and cooking. Therefore, incorporation of sarcoplasmic proteins that contain endogenous enzymes may increase rate of cross-linking between protein molecules. Moreover, endogenous tran glutaminase is a calcium dependent enzyme. Hence, increasing calcium content of protein gels may enhance gel network formation by increasing endogenous transglutaminase activity.

Potato starch absorbs water, gelatinizes and increases gel network strength by interacting with the protein molecules, whereas, polyphosphates on the other hand induce myofibrillar protein solubility, similar to the addition of salts; therefore, increasing myosin binding strength. Wu, Lanier, and Hamann (1985) investigated the effects of starch on fish protein systems during thermal procedures and observed that type of starch used such as corn or potato has different impacts on gelation; however, adding any type of starch increased rigidity of fish protein gels. Differential Scanning Calorimetry results showed different peaks and thermal transitions for each protein and starch groups and the salt or sucrose content of protein gels increased gelation temperatures of starch. Tabilo-Munizaga, and Barbosa-Canovas (2004) added 4% potato starch into surimi gels and compared starch addition to egg white (1% ovalbumin) incorporation where either sample has 2% NaCl with a final paste moisture set at 78%. Potato starch increased lightness of thermally induced surimi gels; however, the combination of both egg white and potato starch increased lightness more than incorporation of potato starch alone. On the other hand, potato starch and egg white incorporation increased
yellowness of surimi gels and decreased gel hardness. Moreover, potato starch decreased gel cohesiveness of both Pacific whiting and Alaska Pollock gels.

Different amounts of functional additives are used in protein gels. For example, Taskaya, Chen, and Jaczynski (2009) added 2% salt (sodium chloride (NaCl)), 1% TGase, 3% potato starch, 1% spray-dried beef plasma protein, 0.3% polyphosphates and 0.25% titanium dioxide (whitening agent) into isoelectrically recovered silver carp protein, set the final moisture of protein paste to either 84% or 78%, and incubated the pastes at 4 °C for 24 h prior to cooking whereas, Tellez-Luis et al. (2002) tested 0, 1 or 2% NaCl, 0, 0.3 or 0.6% commercial microbial TGase (99% maltodextrin, and 1% TGase), and 8% sucrose incorporation into silver carp protein pastes and incubated the pastes at 40 °C for 30 min followed by cooking. Taskaya, Chen, and Jaczynski (2009) determined that functional additives increased functional properties indicated by thermal denaturation and viscoelasticity results. Similarly, Tellez-Luis et al. (2002) concluded that increasing both additive (NaCl, and TGase) amounts resulted in harder and more cohesive gels as well as increased mechanical and functional gelation properties. Moreover, increasing salt concentration reduced expressible water content whereas, TGase activity reduced protein solubility and unbound myosin amount. Protein concentration and the composition of the initial source affects protein gelation. For example, NaOH processing was shown to increase sodium amount in the protein; therefore, salt or polyphosphate added during the development of protein pastes may be adjusted accordingly.

There are different expectations from protein gels depending on the use. For example, reconstructed fish products are usually desired to be viscoelastic, and hard but not too firm, whereas, soft gels are preferred for tofu or soybean curd, and cheese products. Calcium lactate and calcium acetate were shown to yield softer gels. Lu, Carter, and Chung (1980) tested
calcium salts such as calcium chloride, calcium lactate, calcium acetate, calcium carbonate, calcium phosphate, calcium hydroxide, and calcium gluconate during preparation of soybean curd \(^5^5\). It was observed that calcium chloride and calcium acetate was preferable due to the low volume of chemical used to precipitate soybean protein \(^5^5\). In a similar study where isoflavone content and tofu yields were assessed when different chemicals are used during tofu production, lowest amount of chemical to be added for curd formation was determined as calcium chloride \(^5^6\). Further comparison of calcium acetate, calcium lactate and calcium chloride showed that calcium lactate gave the highest tofu obtained (g), followed by calcium chloride \(^5^6\). Moreover, isoflavone levels were similar when tofu was obtained using calcium chloride or calcium lactate; however, the total isoflavone level was lower when calcium acetate was used \(^5^6\). Lightness of tofu was slightly higher when calcium chloride was chosen as the processing chemical compared to the latter \(^5^6\). Yet, if a stronger gel is expected from the lactic acid processed protein, adjusting additive concentrations may be favorable.

Overall, protein gelation initiates by partial denaturation of myofibrillar protein, followed by heat induced aggregation and formation of disulfide bonds between actin and myosin which are the two major groups of proteins found in fish muscle tissues \(^5^0\). The cross-linking of proteins entraps gel constituents and forms a 3-dimensional gel matrix \(^5^0\). Gel formation is affected by a variety of factors including pH, ionic strength, processing strategies, protein concentration, and the interaction of additives such as calcium, salt, starch, fat, and transglutaminase with the myofibrillar protein that determine the final gel structure \(^5^0\). Therefore, gel additives must be selected according to the composition of the initial protein source used in gel pastes and the intended end product.
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CHAPTER 1

Calcium Hydroxide as a Potential Base in Alkali Aided pH Shift Process

Abstract

Protein was extracted from black bullhead catfish (Ameiurus melas) using a pH-shift method. Four alkali solubilization pH values (11.0, 11.5, 12.0, and 12.3) were tested using either sodium hydroxide (NaOH) or calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$) to solubilize protein. Precipitation of protein occurred at pH 5.5 using hydrochloric acid (HCl). Compositional properties (protein, lipid, and ash) and mineral content, including Ca and Na, of the recovered protein and lipid fractions were analyzed. When Ca(OH)$_2$ was used as the processing base, the recovered protein and lipid fractions contained 92.1 g/100g protein and 99.7 g/100g lipid, respectively, which was greater (p<0.05) than values for NaOH. These results indicate that Ca(OH)$_2$ was more effective than NaOH at separating protein and lipids from other fractions. Protein solubilized using Ca(OH)$_2$ had more (p<0.05) Ca in the protein fraction; whereas, using NaOH increased (p<0.05) Na content. Ca(OH)$_2$ is an effective processing base for pH shift protein recovery processes.

Keywords: Calcium hydroxide, pH shift, protein recovery, protein solubility, mineral analysis
1. Introduction

Myofibrillar protein (MP) in fish consists of mainly actin and myosin which are valuable for the food industry due to their functionality and wide usage as an additive as well as the major protein source in surimi or fish sausages. Surimi processing is a conventional method of recovering fish protein where fish flesh is subjected to multiple cycles of washing to remove the undesirable fractions. This method accumulates a lot of processing water and results in the recovery of 25-28 g/100g of fish protein. Another protein extraction method, called isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP), does not generate as much waste water and has a greater protein recovery yield ranging from 35-66 g/100g depending on the protein solubilization strategy. ISP uses pH shifts to separate impurities and lipids from myofibrillar protein by solubilizing and then precipitating it by adjusting the pH to the protein isoelectric point. The isoelectrically recovered protein has similar functionalities as surimi and can be made into protein gels, sausages or used as emulsifiers or foaming agents. Similar to the surimi making process, greater protein recovery is desirable; therefore, improving the protein amount in the recovered protein fraction and the yield of the recovered protein fraction during ISP is essential.

The two major strategies used for protein solubilization is acidic and alkali solubilization. Acidic solubilization takes place when the initial pH of fish and water solution is decreased to extremely low pH values such as pH 2-3; whereas, alkali solubilization happens when pH is increased to high pH values like 10.5-12. Both strategies are effective in protein solubilization; however, alkali solubilization induces less protein denaturation.

Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) is widely used as the processing base during ISP; however, it will significantly increase sodium content in the recovered protein fraction. In an effort to reduce sodium in the recovered protein, Tahergerabi and others (2012) replaced NaOH with
potassium hydroxide (KOH) as the ISP processing base. Results showed a decrease in protein functionality in restructured products. This was attributed to a greater myosin denaturation possibly due to the denaturation or stabilization effect of each cation being different. It was suggested that the changes in gelation patterns were due to differences between ion valence, charge, and size, with the larger K having weaker interactions with myosin than Na. This would result in less protection from the negative charges generated at alkaline pH thus making myofibrillar protein more susceptible to unfolding and denaturation. Therefore, a processing base similar in atomic radii (a measurement of size) as Na may employ a similar stabilizing effect on protein confirmation during ISP.

Calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$), a product of hydrated lime, is a widely used food additive and preservative. Calcium fortified products such as infant formula are produced by adding Ca(OH)$_2$. Due to its low cost and generally recognized as safe status, Ca(OH)$_2$ is a preferred calcium fortification agent. Replacing the traditionally used NaOH during ISP with Ca(OH)$_2$ as the processing base may result in a calcium fortified protein isolate with less Na. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to investigate the efficacy of Ca(OH)$_2$ as a processing base during ISP compared to NaOH. The differences in composition (protein, lipid, and ash amounts) of recovered protein and lipid fractions, lipid and protein recovery yields, and mineral contents of recovered proteins between applying different alkali solubilization pH values (11.0, 11.5, 12.0 and 12.3) were determined and compared.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of ground catfish
Fresh black bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus melas*) were harvested from a fish hatchery (Dog Wood Lake, Morgantown WV) and placed in coolers containing ice and carbon monoxide. The fish were transported to the meats processing laboratory at West Virginia University where they were headed, gutted and rinsed under running tap water before being transferred onto steel trays. The fish were ground into a thick paste using a sanitized meat grinder (Hobart Model 4146, Troy, OH, USA) with a coarse grinder plate. The ground fish paste was stored on a lidded steel tray, chilled at -20°C freezer overnight and then ground again using a fine grinder plate to grind into a finer paste. Fish paste (500 g) was individually weighed and separated into freezer bags (Ziplock Freezer Bags, S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., Racine, Wis., U.S.A.), vacuum packaged (Ultravac KOCH Packaging, KOCH Supplies Inc., Kansas City, MO, USA) and stored at -80°C until analyses were conducted up to a maximum of 8 days.

2.2. Protein separation using isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP)

A package of vacuum packaged fish containing 500 g of paste was thawed at 4°C for 24h prior to processing. The thawed paste was diluted with distilled deionized water at a 1:6 (fish: water) ratio in a glass beaker and homogenized (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) for 5 min. In order to solubilize protein and separate the lipids and insoluble (skin, bones, and scales) fractions, the pH of the solution was increased to either 11.0, 11.5, 12.0 or 12.3 with 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)\(_2\)) or 10 mol L\(^{-1}\) sodium hydroxide (NaOH). The solution was homogenized for 10 min after the final pH was reached and confirmed with a calibrated pH/ion analyzer (Oakton, Eutech Instruments; Singapore). To separate the lipids and insolubles from the protein solution, the slurry was transferred into 1L centrifuge bottles and centrifuged at 98066.5 m s\(^{-2}\) for 15 min at 4°C (Sorvall RC-SB Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge, Du Pont, Wilmington, DE, USA). Following centrifugation, lipids formed the top layer, protein
solubilized in the liquid fraction, and the insoluble components were at the bottom. The solubilized protein solution was filtered through cheese cloth into a glass beaker and the pH was adjusted to 5.5, the protein isoelectric point, using hydrochloric acid (HCl). The solution was homogenized for an additional 5 min after pH was stabilized at 5.5 \(^3\). Upon being transferred into 1L centrifuge bottles, the solution was spun by centrifugation at 98066.5 m s\(^{-2}\) for 15 min at 4°C \(^3\). The precipitated protein formed a pellet which was retained and the process water discarded \(^3\).

The collected fractions (lipids and protein) were frozen at -80°C, and transferred to a freeze-dryer (VirTis Genesis, SP Scientific, Gardiner, N.Y., U.S.A.) to remove excess moisture. The freeze-dried samples were stored at refrigeration temperatures (4°C) until they were analyzed.

2.3. Protein Solubility

Protein solubility was tested for each solubilization pH and base used during the ISP protein recovery process. Fish (250 g) was thawed, diluted at a 1:6 (fish: distilled water) ratio and homogenized (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) in a glass beaker. The initial pH of the fish and distilled water solution was determined as 6.5 using a pH/ion analyzer (Oakton, Eutech Instruments; Singapore). Either NaOH or Ca(OH)\(_2\) were used to increase the pH to basic levels (7-12.5), and 50 ml samples were collected in a centrifuge bottle at every 0.5 change in pH units. The samples were then centrifuged at 10,000 \(x\) g for 15 min (Eppendorf, Micro-centrifuge 5430 R with F-35-6-30 rotor, Hamburg, Germany) \(^3\). The supernatant was filtered through a cheese cloth and a 20 μl/ml sample was drawn with a pipette for running Bradford protein analysis. Bradford protein assay involves dying the protein using Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 and taking measurements at \(A=595\) nm to determine the amount of protein in the solution. The protein samples were tested against a standard curve at known
concentrations of bovine serum albumin (BSA) \(^{14}\). Protein solubility percentages were calculated using the following equation \(^{13}\);

\[
Protein \ Solubility \ % = \frac{soluble \ protein \ in \ sample \ (mg/ml)}{soluble \ protein \ in \ ground \ fish \ (mg/ml)}
\]

2.4. Proximate analyses

Proximate composition (ash, fat, and crude protein) of recovered protein, lipid, and initial starting material (ground, beheaded and gutted catfish) was determined according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1995) to assess the efficiency of using different solubilization strategies during ISP \(^ {15}\). The results are displayed as mean ± standard deviation of 3 separate replications presented as g/100g of sample, dry basis.

2.4.1. Moisture Content

Moisture of the freeze-dried samples was verified using the oven-drying method (Fisher Scientific, Fairlawn, NJ). Approximately 1-2 g of each sample was spread on aluminum pans in triplicates and dried for 24 h at 105°C \(^{3}\). The initial and final weights of the samples were recorded and the moisture content was calculated.

2.4.2. Ash Content

Dried samples (0.5-1 g) were incinerated in triplicates in a muffle furnace oven (Fisher Scientific, Fairlawn, NJ) at 550°C for 24 h to determine ash content \(^ {3}\). The initial and final weight were recorded and the ash content was calculated on a dry weight basis.

2.4.3. Fat and Crude Protein Content
The total fat content was determined using the Soxhlet extraction method where 2 g of each sample was wrapped in Whatman No.41 filter paper and fat extraction was achieved by dripping petroleum ether. The initial weight of each sample and the dried final weights were recorded. Kjedahl analysis was used to measure the amount of crude protein in each sample using Kjeldahl analyzer (Kjeltec 2300, Tecator Technology, Foss, Hillerød, Denmark). Samples were analyzed in triplicates per solubilization treatment and results were reported on a dry weight basis.

2.5. Protein and Lipid Recovery Yields

Protein and lipid recovery yields were calculated using the following formulae to assess the efficiency of each solubilization strategy.

Protein recovery yield = \( \frac{\text{weight of recovered catfish protein (g)(Kjeldahl, drybasis)}}{\text{weight of protein in ground catfish paste (g) (Kjeldahl, drybasis)}} \)

Lipid recovery yield = \( \frac{\text{weight of recovered catfish lipid (g)(Soxhlet, drybasis)}}{\text{weight of lipid in ground catfish paste (g) (Soxhlet, drybasis)}} \)

2.6. Mineral profile analysis

Approximately 1-2 g of ashed recovered protein and Alaska Pollock surimi samples were dissolved in 2 mL of 70% nitric acid and diluted with distilled deionized (d/d) water. The solution was then filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper and further diluted with d/d water in a 50 mL flask. The mineral profile (iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P), and sodium (Na)) amounts of protein recovered using each solubilization strategy, and the initial starting material were determined using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (model P400; Perkin-Elmer, Shelton, CT). The glassware and the crucibles used
in the analyses were kept in a 10% HCl solution overnight in order to avoid any foreign particle interference.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Applied solubilization strategies were randomized using JMP software version 10.2 (SAS Inst., Cary, NC, USA) prior to experimentation. ISP was replicated 3 times per solubilization strategy. The proximate and mineral analyses were also replicated 3 times for each ISP treatment. Data was presented as mean ± standard deviation and analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a significance set at 0.05. The differences in mean data points were determined with Tukey’s honestly significant differences test (p< 0.05) using JMP 10.2 (SAS Inst., Cary, NC, USA). The statistical analysis shown in the figures and tables, indicated by a,b,c,d,e are obtained by comparing all of the applied solubilization strategies within one column or category. Moreover, bold values indicate significant differences between NaOH and Ca(OH)2 within the same column and the same solubilization pH (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05).

Further statistical analysis comparing the significant differences (p<0.05) between solubilization pH values achieved using either NaOH or Ca(OH)2 within each category are performed using Tukey’s honestly significant differences test for the protein and lipid concentrations in protein and lipid fracion, respectively. For mineral analysis, 3 different statistical analysis are performed. Table 2 shows significant (p<0.05) differences between mean values of pH recovery treatments indicated by a,b,c,d,e,f using Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, where bold values indicate significant differences (p<0.05) between solubilization bases within the same solubilization pH group. Table 3 presents comparisons between mean values of protein fractions recovered using pH shift treatments and ground catfish indicated by a,b,c,d,e,f for each mineral tested determined using Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). The second set of
analysis shown with $^{1,u,v,w,x,y,z}$ shows significant differences between mean values of protein fractions recovered using pH shift treatments and Alaska Pollock surimi for each mineral tested (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Protein Solubility and Proximate Composition of the Recovered Fractions

Figure 1 shows that protein was more soluble when Ca(OH)$_2$, instead of NaOH, was used as the processing base during ISP processing, regardless of solubilization pH. Increasing the pH of a solution by adding a base during alkali solubilization promotes amino acid (such as lysly and cysteine residues) deprotonation $^{4,16}$. This leads to increased negative surface charge that induces protein-protein electrostatic repulsion followed by protein-water electrostatic interactions $^{17}$. As the reactions continue, protein-protein interactions decrease and protein-water interactions increase while the protein becomes more charged $^{18}$. Evidently, water molecules link to and surround the protein making it soluble $^4$. Therefore, the more polar the protein gets, the more soluble it becomes in water. The unfolded state of protein achieved by the increased net charge is closely related to electronegativity $^{19}$. According to Pauling Electronegativity Scale, Na has an electronegativity of 0.93, whereas Ca’s electronegativity is 1.0 $^{20}$. Ionization energy increases as electronegativity increases, which may explain the greater (p<0.05) protein solubility displayed by Ca(OH)$_2$ compared to NaOH at every alkali pH (7-12.3) tested (Figure 1).

Protein solubility is dependent on both intrinsic (amino acid composition, and surface area of the protein), and extrinsic factors (pH, ionic strength, temperature, and salt concentration of the environment) $^{16}$. Some salts have destabilizing effects and bind to protein extensively; thereby increasing surface tension and decreasing protein-protein interaction $^{21}$. The
effectiveness of different salts in increasing protein solubility depends on the pH of the solution and the isoelectric point (pI) of the protein. Clarke et al. (1999) demonstrated that when pH of the solution was greater than the pI of protein, the effectiveness of ions followed the Hofmeister sequence. Therefore, as indicated by the results of this study, Ca may be more effective in solubilizing proteins compared to Na following the Hofmeister order of cations in an alkali process due to increased hydrophobic surface area and dipole moment.

Proximate composition of the recovered protein fraction shown in Table 1 revealed that protein concentration was greater (p<0.05) (dry weight basis) when Ca(OH)₂ was used as the processing base compared to NaOH at every solubilization pH tested in this study. This may be explained by the greater (p<0.05) protein solubility displayed by Ca(OH)₂ (Figure 1). Protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction had a range of 89-92 g/100g when Ca(OH)₂ was used; when NaOH was used as the processing base protein concentration ranged from 74-84 g/100g which was significantly less (p<0.05). However, our protein concentration results for NaOH as the processing base are consistent with previously reported alkali solubilization data where protein amount was in the range of 51-82 g/100g. These results indicate that Ca(OH)₂ was more effective than NaOH at separating protein from the other fractions.

When NaOH was used as the processing base, protein concentration was highest in the protein fractions solubilized at pH values 12.0 and 12.3 (p<0.05) (Figure 2). On the other hand, when Ca(OH)₂ was used as the processing base, there was a significant (p<0.05) rise in protein concentration when protein was solubilized at pH 12.0 followed by a significant decrease in fractions solubilized at pH 12.3 (Figure 2). Since Ca(OH)₂ dissociates into Ca²⁺ and 2(OH⁻) in aqueous solutions the trend where there is a reduction in protein recovery (p<0.10) may have
been because the solution reached saturation after pH 12.0. For example, the solubility constant
\( K_{sp} \) of \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) is \( 5.5 \times 10^{-6} \) at room temperature \( (25 \, ^\circ\text{C}) \) \(^2\).

\[
\text{Ca(OH)}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + 2(\text{OH}^-)
\]

\[
K_{sp} = [\text{Ca}^{2+}] [\text{OH}^-]^2 = 5.5 \times 10^{-6}
\]

Therefore, the molar solubility of \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) can be determined using the following
equation where “\( x \)” is the molar concentration of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) and “\( 2x \)” is the molar concentration of
\( \text{OH}^- \):

\[
K_{sp} = (x)(2x)^2 = 5.5 \times 10^{-6}
\]

Solving for \( x \) will reveal that the molar concentration of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) equals 0.01 M, whereas the molar
concentration of \( \text{OH}^- \) will be 0.02M \(^2\). From the following equations \(^2\);

\[
p\text{OH} = -\log [\text{OH}^-] \quad \text{and} \quad pK = pH + p\text{OH} = 14; \quad \text{pOH is calculated as 1.7, leaving the pH of}
\]
saturation as 12.3 at \( 25^\circ\text{C} \) when \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) is used. In order to prevent protein from denaturing,
this current experiment was conducted at \( 4^\circ\text{C} \) which may have caused the saturation of the
solution to occur just after \( pH \) 12.0 which showed the greatest protein solubility. On the contrary,
\( \text{NaOH} \) is highly soluble in water and may have not reached saturation at \( pH \) 12.3 which may
explain the increase in protein solubility as the \( pH \) became more alkaline. Furthermore, \( \text{NaOH} \)
emits \( \text{Na} \) with a single positively charged ion and one \( \text{OH}^- \) per molecule as it dissociates,
compared to \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) which dissociates into \( \text{Ca} \) and \( 2(\text{OH}^-) \) making more ions available in the
solution to be bound to amino acids. Therefore, increasing the \( pH \) of solubilization would require
a greater concentration of \( \text{NaOH} \), which may therefore increase the solubilization properties of
\( \text{NaOH} \).
Ash content, an indicator of impurities such as scales and bones that were not removed from the protein fraction, increased as solubilization pH value increased when Ca(OH)$_2$ was used. On the contrary, when NaOH was used as the processing base, the amount of ash in the protein fraction decreased (p<0.05) as solubilization pH increased. Recovered protein fraction with the greatest (p<0.05) ash content was observed at solubilization pH values 11.0 and 11.5 using NaOH. Another impurity indicator is lipid concentration in the protein fraction which was greatest (p<0.05) when NaOH was used as the processing base at every solubilization pH tested. Ca is effective at separating membranes from solubilized proteins by inducing their detachment from cytoskeletal proteins$^{27}$. This may explain why Ca(OH)$_2$ separated lipids more efficiently from the protein fraction during isoelectric solubilization.

ISP application can also salvage lipids from hard to process resources. The proximate composition of the recovered lipid fractions differed greatly depending on the solubilization strategy applied (Table 1). The lipid amount in the recovered lipid fraction ranged from 72-100 g/100g when Ca(OH)$_2$ was used, and 70-96 g/100g when NaOH was selected as the processing base. The greatest (p<0.05) concentration of lipid in the lipid fraction was reached by using a solubilization pH of 12.3 with Ca(OH)$_2$. This strategy also yielded the lowest ash and protein impurities in the lipid fraction.

Previous studies investigating the composition of isoelectrically recovered lipid fractions from silver carp using NaOH as the processing base reported lipid amounts of 60 g/100g, 73 g/100g, 94 g/100g and 96 g/100g in the recovered lipid fractions for solubilization pH values 11.5, 12.0, 11.5 and 12.5, respectively$^{3,28}$. This is consistent with the results observed in this study. It was also noted that when NaOH was used as the processing base, lipid quantity in the recovered lipid fraction was greatest (p<0.05) at solubilization pH 11.0, on the other hand,
applying a solubilization pH of 12.3 yielded the greatest (p<0.05) lipid amount in the recovered lipid fraction when Ca(OH)$_2$ was used (Figure 3). Lipid amount was more (p<0.05), and the impurities (ash and protein content) were less (p<0.05) at every solubilization pH tested up to 12.3 when NaOH was used as the processing base compared to Ca(OH)$_2$; however, the effectiveness of a processing strategy also depends on the amount of protein and lipid recovered. Therefore protein and lipid recovery yields were also calculated.

3.2. Protein and Lipid Recovery Yields

Recovery yield is an important parameter for determining the efficacy and the economic feasibility of an extraction process. Although, protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction is an important determinant of the efficiency of the separation, it is also essential to employ strategies that increase the yield which takes the amount of protein recovered into account. For example, the recovered lipid was extremely pure (99.7 g/100g) when solubilized at pH 12.3 using Ca(OH)$_2$; however, the yield was lower (11 g/100g) compared to rest of the applied strategies (Figure 4, Table 1).

Protein recovery yield ranges did not vary greatly depending on the processing base, where a range of 42-52 g/100g was seen in fractions recovered using Ca(OH)$_2$ and 46-57 g/100g using NaOH. There was a more evident gap between lipid recovery ranges. At solubilization pH 11.0, 11.5 and 12.0 Ca(OH)$_2$ had better recovery (p<0.05) than NaOH, with a range of 71-85 g/100g; whereas NaOH as the processing base yielded a lipid recovery range of 22-45 g/100g. This may be explained by the proximate composition data where it was concluded that Ca(OH)$_2$ may be more effective in removing the lipids linked to the bones. Additionally, it was observed that Ca(OH)$_2$ was not very effective at pH 12.3, with a recovery yield of 11 g/100 g, possibly due to low solubility and saturation in aqueous solutions.
Solubilization pH also played a significant role in recovery yields similar to that of the proximate composition. When Ca(OH)$_2$ was added as the processing base, protein recovery yield increased with the increasing solubilization pH; however, the opposite trend was true for the lipid recovery yield (Figure 5, Figure 6). Data obtained by using NaOH showed more consistent results between the two recovery yields where solubilization pH 12.0 gave the greatest protein and lipid recovery yields (Figure 5, Figure 6). It is also important to note that, using NaOH showed greater (p<0.05) protein recovery yields compared to Ca(OH)$_2$ at pH values pH 11.0 and 12.0. Whereas, using Ca(OH)$_2$ as the processing base showed greater (p<0.05) lipid recovery yield for all solubilization pH values tested except for pH 12.3.

Overall, the recovery yields calculated in this study are slightly lower than the reported values from previous publications$^{3,29}$. This may be due to the low dilution factor used during the homogenization step. Previous studies suggested that dilution factor is an important factor affecting protein solubility, and that a dilution factor of 36 or greater increases protein recovery yield$^{30,31}$. In this study a dilution factor of 6 was applied in order to be able to directly compare results to previously obtained results from the same laboratory. Therefore, increasing the dilution ratio of initial ground fish to water might increase the recovery yields.

3.3. Mineral Content

The mineral content (Iron (Fe), Magnesium (Mg), Calcium (Ca), Phosphorus (P), and Sodium (Na)) of the recovered protein fractions was determined for each pH shift strategy and compared to the initial ground catfish and Alaska Pollock surimi was assessed (Table 2, Table 3, Figure 7, Figure 8). Mineral content differed with the changing solubilization pH and base used. As expected, when Ca(OH)$_2$ was used as the processing base, there was more Ca in the recovered protein (p<0.05). Ca content also increased as solubilization pH increased (p<0.05)
due to the increased amount of Ca(OH)$_2$ added to the solution (Figure 7). A similar trend (p<0.10) was seen when NaOH was used as the processing base where Ca content increased (p<0.05) as the solubilization pH value increased (Figure 8). This may be due to the increased solubility of Ca from the fish bones as the pH increases. Interestingly, Na content did not increase with increasing solubilization pH value when NaOH was used. Na amount was the greatest (p<0.05) when protein was solubilized at pH 11.5, and was reduced (p<0.05) as solubilization pH increased (Figure 8). It is possible that as pH increases, due to the increase in osmotic pressure the protein fraction cannot take up more than a certain amount of Na.

The mineral contents of the recovered protein fractions were also compared to Alaska Pollock surimi which is considered the industry standard (Table 3). Fe content was greater (p<0.05) whereas Mg content was lower (p<0.05) in recovered protein fractions independent of solubilization treatment. This may be due to the Alaska Pollock surimi being made out of washed fish flesh which would contribute to the removal of blood and connective tissue. It is difficult to hypothesize about the differences between Alaska Pollock surimi and black bullhead catfish protein because the mineral compositions differ greatly between fish species. On the other hand, Ca and Na amounts in the recovered protein fractions were dependent on the processing bases added during protein solubilization. Overall, using Ca(OH)$_2$ yielded a protein with more calcium (p<0.05) than Alaska Pollock surimi, whereas, processing with NaOH resulted in a protein fraction with more (p<0.05) sodium compared to the initial starting material as well as Alaska Pollock. In conclusion, Ca(OH)$_2$ is an effective processing base for pH shift protein recovery processes.

4. Abbreviations Used

NaOH: sodium hydroxide
Ca(OH)$_2$: calcium hydroxide

HCl: hydrochloric acid

ISP: Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation

pI: Isoelectric point

$K_{sp}$: Solubility constant

s: Molar solubility

Fe: Iron

Mg: Magnesium

Ca: Calcium

P: Phosphorus

Na: Sodium
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**Figure Captions**

Figure 1. Protein solubility percentages of different alkali solubilization strategies.

Figure 2. Protein concentration mean values separated by processing base to show the effect of solubilization pH.

Figure 3. Lipid concentration mean values separated by processing base to show the effect of solubilization pH.

Figure 4. Protein and lipid recovery yields of different solubilization strategies.

Figure 5. Protein recovery yields of different solubilization strategies processed by different solubilization bases.

Figure 6. Lipid recovery yields of different solubilization strategies processed by different solubilization bases.

Figure 7. Mineral composition (Fe, Mg, Ca, P, and Na) of the isoelectrically recovered protein using different pH values and bases, initial starting material (ground catfish), and Alaska Pollock surimi.
Figure 1. Protein solubility percentages of different alkali solubilization strategies.
Figure 2. Protein concentration mean values separated by processing base to show the effect of solubilization pH.

a,b,c Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).
Figure 3. Lipid concentration mean values separated by processing base to show the effect of solubilization pH.

\textsuperscript{a,b,c} Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).
Figure 4. Protein and lipid recovery yields of different solubilization strategies.

Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).

Significant differences between NaOH and Ca(OH)$_2$ with in the same solubilization pH (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) are indicated with an *. 
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Figure 5. Protein recovery yields of different solubilization strategies processed by different solubilization bases.

a,b,c,d Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).
Figure 6. Lipid recovery yields of different solubilization strategies processed by different solubilization bases.

Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).
Figure 7. Mineral composition (Fe, Mg, Ca, P, and Na) of the recovered protein using different solubilization strategies, initial starting material (ground catfish), and Alaska Pollock surimi. Mean values of recovered protein with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05). * indicates significant differences (p<0.05) between processing bases within the same solubilization pH.
Table 1. Proximate composition (g/100g, dry basis) of the recovered protein and lipid fractions using different solubilization strategies and initial starting material (ground catfish).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solubilization pH</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Ash (g/100g)</th>
<th>Lipid (g/100g)</th>
<th>Protein (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>8.50±0.25 a</td>
<td>13.53±0.66 ab</td>
<td>78.45±0.79 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>2.11±0.20 e</td>
<td>8.21±0.77 c</td>
<td>89.42±0.68 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>9.04±0.23 a</td>
<td>16.69±0.99 a</td>
<td>74.23±1.07 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>3.01±0.06 d</td>
<td>7.81±1.28 cd</td>
<td>89.04±1.26 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>3.51±0.26 cd</td>
<td>13.56±3.10 ab</td>
<td>82.74±3.05 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>3.76±0.31 c</td>
<td>3.91±0.77 d</td>
<td>92.14±0.76 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>3.53±0.14 cd</td>
<td>12.50±0.62 b</td>
<td>83.82±0.72 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>4.63±0.37 b</td>
<td>6.87±1.04 cd</td>
<td>88.42±0.94 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial, Ground Catfish</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.00±0.74</td>
<td>38.18±3.22</td>
<td>53.71±3.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solubilization pH</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Ash (g/100g)</th>
<th>Lipid (g/100g)</th>
<th>Protein (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>0.56±0.10 c</td>
<td>96.21±0.17 b</td>
<td>3.20±0.09 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>7.56±0.24 ab</td>
<td>80.98±0.52 d</td>
<td>10.62±0.85 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>1.53±0.12 c</td>
<td>87.48±0.35 c</td>
<td>10.89±0.23 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>5.03±1.39 b</td>
<td>73.42±1.72 e</td>
<td>21.08±0.80 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>1.53±0.05 c</td>
<td>89.42±0.66 c</td>
<td>9.01±0.67 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>8.16±2.38 a</td>
<td>72.22±1.08 ef</td>
<td>19.48±1.43 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>8.96±0.21 a</td>
<td>70.02±0.73 f</td>
<td>20.96±0.56 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>0.08±0.009 c</td>
<td>99.68±0.02 a</td>
<td>0.05±0.008 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean values in a column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).

Bold values indicate significant differences between NaOH and Ca(OH)₂ within the same solubilization pH (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05).
Table 2. Mineral composition (Fe, Mg, Ca, P, and Na) of the recovered protein using different pH shift treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solubilization pH</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Fe (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Mg (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Ca (mg/mL)</th>
<th>P (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Na (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>77.89±9.40 a</td>
<td>135.16±26.89 bc</td>
<td>250.05±11.11 g</td>
<td>2901.85±57.16 d</td>
<td>11469.80±337.97 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>28.64±3.53 d</td>
<td>180.85±11.89 ab</td>
<td>6764.28±59.72 d</td>
<td>2114.85±55.85 e</td>
<td>618.90±17.78 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>48.66±1.93 c</td>
<td>207.90±15.22 a</td>
<td>474.80±8.00 g</td>
<td>2395.46±32.60 e</td>
<td>22311.97±880.88 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>51.01±1.57 c</td>
<td>181.41±16.57 ab</td>
<td>7753.40±99.01 c</td>
<td>3265.87±215.20 c</td>
<td>722.64±49.51 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>60.44±1.18 bc</td>
<td>205.87±29.13 a</td>
<td>2621.38±80.21 f</td>
<td>4786.68±123.09 a</td>
<td>6295.01±259.28 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>69.43±0.95 ab</td>
<td>125.62±21.32 c</td>
<td>8853.37±139.27 b</td>
<td>2317.30±138.58 e</td>
<td>552.11±6.97 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>56.18±8.23 bc</td>
<td>198.53±16.72 a</td>
<td>3993.14±933.28 e</td>
<td>4111.81±102.00 b</td>
<td>6231.78±101.76 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>78.54±5.36 a</td>
<td>124.39±5.33 c</td>
<td>10568.44±238.68 a</td>
<td>2172.93±111.10 e</td>
<td>491.24±2.21 d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d,e,f,g Mean values in a column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, $p<0.05$).

Bold values indicate significant differences between NaOH and Ca(OH)$_2$ within the same solubilization pH (one way ANOVA test, $p<0.05$).
Table 3. Mineral composition (Fe, Mg, Ca, P, and Na) of the recovered protein using different pH shift treatments, initial starting material (ground black bullhead catfish), and Alaska Pollock surimi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solubilization pH</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Fe (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Mg (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Ca (mg/mL)</th>
<th>P (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Na (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>77.89±9.40 a,v</td>
<td>135.16±26.89 cd,yz</td>
<td>250.05±11.11 f,z</td>
<td>2901.85±57.16 d,y</td>
<td>11469.80±337.97 b,w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>28.64±3.53 d,y</td>
<td>180.85±11.89 abc,xyz</td>
<td>6764.28±59.72 c,w</td>
<td>2114.85±55.85 ef,z</td>
<td>618.90±17.78 d,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>48.66±1.93 c,x</td>
<td>207.90±15.22 a,x</td>
<td>474.80±8.00 f,z</td>
<td>2395.46±32.60 e,z</td>
<td>22311.97±880.88 a,v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>51.01±1.57 c,x</td>
<td>181.41±16.57 abc,xyz</td>
<td>7753.40±99.01 c,v</td>
<td>3265.87±215.20 c,x</td>
<td>722.64±49.51 d,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>60.44±1.18 bc,wxy</td>
<td>205.87±29.13 ab,xy</td>
<td>2621.38±80.21 e,y</td>
<td>4786.68±123.09 a,v</td>
<td>6295.01±259.28 c,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>69.43±0.95 ab,vw</td>
<td>125.62±21.32 d,z</td>
<td>8853.37±139.27 b,u</td>
<td>2317.30±138.58 e,z</td>
<td>552.11±6.97 d,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>56.18±8.23 bc,wx</td>
<td>198.53±16.72 ab,xy</td>
<td>3993.14±933.28 d,x</td>
<td>4111.81±102.00 b,w</td>
<td>6231.78±101.76 c,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>78.54±5.36 a,v</td>
<td>124.39±5.33 d,z</td>
<td>10568.44±238.68 a,u</td>
<td>2172.93±111.10 e,z</td>
<td>491.24±2.21 d,z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ground Catfish: 51.11±8.85 c 153.23±12.26 bcd 9399.43±394.15 b 1850.30±44.25 f 922.19±15.83 d
Alaska Pollock surimi: 9.09±0.52 z 834.36±50.18 w 778.14±26.67 z 2947.61±183.66 xy 5024.73±537.66 y

*Mean values of protein fractions recovered using pH shift treatments and ground catfish in a column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).*

Mean values of protein fractions recovered using pH shift treatments and Alaska Pollock surimi in a column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).
CHAPTER 2
Calcium Enhanced Protein Recovery from Black Bullhead Catfish Using Organic Acids

Abstract

Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP), a protein extraction method utilizing pH shifts to solubilize and then precipitate protein, was applied to black bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus melas*). The efficacy of protein and lipid recovery was investigated at different solubilization pH values (11.0, 11.5, 12.0, and 12.3) using either sodium hydroxide (NaOH) or calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$) and precipitation at the isoelectric point of protein (pH 5.5) using either lactic acid (LA) or acetic acid (AA). Protein, lipid, and ash content of the recovered protein and lipid fractions were analyzed and recovery yields were calculated. Mineral profiles of recovered protein fractions were compared between different solubilization strategies. Solubilization using Ca(OH)$_2$ increased (p<0.05) protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction from 64-87 g/100 to 88-92 g/100g compared to NaOH processing regardless of precipitation acid. Protein recovery yields on the other hand ranged from 42-59 g/100g. Solubilization using Ca(OH)$_2$ resulted in a protein fraction with increased calcium and less sodium (p<0.05) compared to NaOH processing. Overall, organic acids provided similar protein precipitation and recovery yields as the traditionally used hydrochloric acid during pH shift processing.

Keywords: Calcium hydroxide, lactic acid, acetic acid, sodium hydroxide, pH shift, protein recovery, protein solubility, calcium
1. Introduction

Black bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus melas*) are abundant and often found in aquatic habitats in the US that are utilized for recreational fishing. Due to its ability to overpopulate a pond or a fish farm and its interference with the bass-bluegill predator: prey relationship, bullheads are labeled as unwanted fish. Black bullhead catfish can survive in conditions like low oxygen that are not suitable for more desirable fish such as bass. Recreational fishing ponds are usually stocked with bass, channel catfish, bluegill, and grass carp; whereas, appearance of black bullheads are an issue for pond management. The value of these types of unwanted fish species may be increased by extracting their high quality protein that may be repurposed to help ease issues associated with protein malnutrition.

Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP) is a protein recovery method where underutilized fish species, processing byproducts, or any animal protein source can be used as the starting material. This technique involves exposing the initial protein source to extremely acidic (pH 2.0-3.0) or alkali (pH 10.5-12.5) conditions in order to increase protein-water interactions for protein solubilization. The solubilized protein is then separated from water soluble lipids like membrane lipids and insolubles such as skins, bones, and scales. Upon removal of the lipids and insolubles, solubilized protein in the form of an aqueous solution is precipitated using a processing acid or a base to bring the pH of the solution to the isoelectric point of pH 5.5 where the net charge is 0 driving protein-protein interactions causing aggregation and consequently, precipitation. The recovered protein preserves its functionality and thus may be further processed into reconstructed food products such as fish gels, sausages, or nuggets. It may further be used to enhance the amount of protein in food products as a healthier alternative.
Alkali solubilization where protein is subjected to high pH using a processing base negatively charges the protein; therefore, increasing protein-protein repulsive forces and making proteins water soluble. Compared to acidic solubilization, alkali solubilization will yield greater protein recovery while inducing less conformational changes on the protein. Alkali solubilization also yields a protein fraction with higher essential amino acid amounts in comparison to acidic solubilization strategies. On the other hand, protein recovery using the traditionally used base sodium hydroxide (NaOH) during ISP will significantly increase the amount of sodium in the recovered protein fraction which is associated with the risk of hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. Replacing NaOH with calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$), a widely used calcium fortification agent, during alkali solubilization will yield calcium fortified protein which would be beneficial for sustaining bone strength against osteoporosis with the added advantage of containing lower sodium levels upon recovery. Moreover, Ca(OH)$_2$ is more effective protein solubilizing base compared to NaOH yielding a greater protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction and protein recovery yields using either NaOH or Ca(OH)$_2$ showed similar ranges around 44-59 g/100g.

There are four major desired outcomes of ISP application; high protein recovery yield for economic feasibility, little loss of protein functionality, high nutritional value, and removal of fishy smell, muddy taste or off color especially when dark skinned or darker flesh sources are used. Protein recovery yield is mainly affected by the ionic strength of the solution, solubilization pH, and the efficacy of the processing base and acid on solubilizing and then precipitating the protein. As mentioned previously, Ca(OH)$_2$ displayed high protein solubility properties during alkali solubilization; however, the recovery yields were not greater than previously reported results using NaOH and HCl during alkali processing and precipitation of protein.
protein fractions. Therefore, protein recovery yields may be improved by replacing the commonly used protein precipitation acid, hydrochloric acid (HCl) with other types of acids to aid protein precipitation. Processing acids affect precipitation of proteins and organic acids such as acetic acid (AA) and a 1:1 combination of formic and lactic acid were shown to be effective in protein precipitation with the additional benefit of controlling microbial contamination.

Moreover, organic acids may be more effective in removing impurities (such as lipids, scales, and bones) from the protein fraction that would otherwise adversely affect functionality, storage life, color and smell of the food product while inducing less protein denaturation during alkali processing of fish protein due to their weaker nature compared to the traditionally used HCl.

Lactate salts are commonly used in meat products made from pork and beef as a microbial safety measure and for color and sensory property preservation during storage. Using lactic acid (LA) as the processing acid during ISP may provide similar benefits of reducing bacterial contamination and preserving the native structure of protein which is very important for functionality measures. Therefore, LA which is a generally recognized as safe ingredient by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration with no limitations when used in food products, was selected as one of the processing acids in this study. Acetic acid, another organic acid successfully used in meat and poultry products for pathogen growth control and as a meat marination ingredient was shown to tenderize, flavor and increase water holding capacity of meat fibers. Using AA during ISP process was previously shown to yield higher protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction possibly due to its ability to precipitate protein at a higher rate compared to LA. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the compositional (protein, lipid, and ash) differences in recovered protein and lipid fractions when either Ca(OH)₂ or NaOH was used to solubilize protein at four different alkali pH values (11.0,
11.5, 12.0, 12.3) and precipitation was achieved using either LA or AA at pH 5.5. The protein and lipid recovery yields, and the mineral contents of the recovered proteins were also measured and compared to assess the differences when different solubilization and precipitation strategies are applied during ISP processing.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of ground catfish

Freshly caught, gutted black bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus melas*) was processed into ground fish at the meats processing laboratory at West Virginia University. The fish, caught with a net at a fish hatchery (Dog Wood Lake, Morgantown WV) was placed on ice in coolers containing carbon monoxide and transported to the laboratory within 1 h. All fish processing equipment was sanitized prior to fish handling. Upon arrival at the laboratory, the fish were taken out of the containers, washed under running tap water, gutted, and washed one last time under running tap water. Fish was then ground twice using a meat grinder (Hobart Model 4146, Troy, OH, USA) into a thick paste using a coarse grinder plate in order to dispense big chunks of fish, head and bones. The ground fish was stored in a -20 ºC freezer overnight inside capped steel trays for avoiding thermal denaturation and microbiological contamination associated with the rise in heat due to grinding process. Fish was chilled and not frozen at the -20 ºC freezer; therefore, the coarsely ground fish was further reduced in size by fine grinding using a finer grinder plate. The extra step of fine grinding decreased particle size, and allowed for a more homogenous mixture of heads, bones, and the fish flesh. The finely ground fish was then individually weighed (500 g) into freezer bags (Ziplock Freezer Bags, S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., Racine, Wis., USA) and vacuum packaged (Ultravac KOCH Packaging, KOCH Supplies Inc.,
Kansas City, MO, USA). In order to avoid thermal denaturation, the fish packages were stored at -80°C until further analysis up to a maximum of 16 days.

2.2. Protein separation using isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP)

Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation was applied to thawed (4°C for 24-48h), ground catfish (500 g). A dilution ratio of 1:6 (fish: destilled/deionized water) was used and the initial pH of the mixture was determined as 6.7 using a calibrated pH/ion analyzer (Oakton, Eutech Instruments; Singapore). Alkali protein solubilization was achieved by adding either 1 mol L⁻¹ calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂) or 10 mol L⁻¹ sodium hydroxide (NaOH) to raise to the pH to the tested values (11.0, 11.5, 12.0 or 12.3). The mixture was homogenized using a laboratory grade, sanitized homogenizer (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) for 15 min and was continued for an extra 10 min after the target pH was reached to allow for better protein solubilization. The mixture was then transferred to centrifuge bottles and centrifuged at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C (Sorvall RC-SB Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge, Du Pont, Wilmington, DE, USA) to separate water soluble lipids and insoluble such as scales, bones and skin from the solubilized protein fraction. Following centrifugation, lipids having formed a layer at the top of the centrifuge bottle was collected using a steel spatula into a freezer cup. The solubilized protein in aqueous form was filtered through a cheese cloth into a glass beaker. The insoluble that were left as a pellet at the bottom of the centrifuge bottles were discarded. The pH of the protein solution was brought down to the protein isoelectric point of pH 5.5 while continuous homogenization to increase protein-protein interactions causing aggregation followed by precipitation. After an additional 10 min of homogenizing upon confirming pH 5.5 as the pH of the solution, the contents were transferred into centrifuge bottles and centrifuged at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C. Following centrifugation, two distinct phases were seen in the centrifuge
bottles. Precipitated protein having formed a pellet at the bottom of the centrifuge tubes were collected into a freezer cups and the processing water was discarded.

Solubilization strategies consisting of increasing the pH of the solution to 11.0, 11.5, 12.0, or 12.3 using either NaOH or Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} were randomized using JMP software (version 10.2, SAS Inst., Cary, NC) prior to experimentation. Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation was individually replicated 3 times for each solubilization strategy tested. Recovered lipid and protein fractions were frozen at -80\textdegree C first, and then freeze-dried (VirTis Genesis, SP Scientific, Gardiner, NY, USA). Samples were then stored at refrigeration temperatures (4\textdegree C) until further analysis.

2.3. Proximate analyses

Proximate composition (ash, fat, and crude protein content) was measured according to guidelines provided in the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1995) for the recovered protein, and lipid fractions as well as the initial starting material to assess the efficiency of the tested protein solubilization strategies \textsuperscript{23}. Data was collected from 3 individual replications for each tested fraction, and presented as mean ± standard deviation (g/100g of sample, dry basis).

2.3.1. Moisture and Ash Content

Sample moisture was determined by the oven-drying method. Each aluminum pan containing 1-2 g of sample were placed in an oven (Fisher Scientific, Fairlawn, NJ) and dried for 24 h at 105\textdegree C \textsuperscript{6}. Subtracting the dry weight of the sample from the initial weight displayed the final moisture. Ash amount was determined by pacing the dry samples into crucibles to be heated in a muffle furnace oven (Fisher Scientific, Fairlawn, NJ) at 550\textdegree C for 24 h \textsuperscript{6}. Each sample collected using different solubilization strategies were replicated 3 times and data was presented as mean ± standard deviation.
2.3.2. Fat and Crude Protein Content

Soxhlet and Kjeldahl analyses were performed on samples for determining fat and crude protein content respectively. Samples weighing (1-2 g) were placed in Whatman No.41 filter paper, folded and attached with a paper clip to avoid unfolding during Soxhlet extraction. Petroleum ether was used to extract lipids and the samples were taken out of the Soxhlet extractor after 18-24 h. After being dried for 24 h at 105°C, the sample weight were recorded for lipid content calculations. Crude protein content was measured for samples (0.5-1 g) using Kjeldahl analyzer (Kjeltec 2300, Tecator Technology, Foss, Hillerød, Denmark). Samples from each solubilization strategy was replicated 3 times and the data was provided as mean ± standard deviation. Moisture content of the samples were used to calculate lipid and protein amount on dry basis to avoid the effect of moisture content on the interpretation of lipid and protein concentration.

2.4. Protein and Lipid Recovery Yields

Protein and lipid recovery yield calculations are based on the amount of protein recovered from the total amount of available protein at the initial source:

\[
\text{Protein recovery yield} = \frac{\text{weight of recovered catfish protein (g) (Kjeldahl, dry basis)}}{\text{weight of protein in ground catfish paste (g) (Kjeldahl, dry basis)}}
\]

\[
\text{Lipid recovery yield} = \frac{\text{weight of recovered catfish protein (g) (Soxhlet, dry basis)}}{\text{weight of protein in ground catfish paste (g) (Soxhlet, dry basis)}}
\]

2.5. Mineral profile analysis

Application of different solubilization strategies using different processing chemicals have an impact on the mineral content (iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca), phosphorus...
(P), and sodium (Na)) of the recovered protein fractions. Therefore, mineral profile of samples from each solubilization strategy tested was determined as described elsewhere. The mineral contents from the samples were also compared to the mineral profile of the initial starting material as a control, and to Alaska Pollock surimi as the industry standard for fish mince products. Flasks, funnels and all the other equipment used in the mineral profile analysis were dipped in a 10% HCl solution overnight for sanitation and to avoid dust particle interference with the analysis results. Samples were ashed first as described previously, and then dissolved in 2 mL of 70% nitric acid for mineral dissociation. Whatman No.1 filter paper was placed inside funnels and the samples were filtered through the filter paper into 50 mL flasks. The solution was diluted with distilled deionized (d/d) water up to the 50 mL mark on the flasks. Samples (10 mL) were then transferred to separate tubes and analyzed using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (model P400; Perkin-Elmer, Shelton, CT). Each protein fraction recovered using different solubilization strategies, initial ground fish and Alaska Pollock surimi samples were individually replicated 3 times. The results obtained from optical emission spectroscopy was adjusted according to sample weight to avoid differences due to sample weight. Mineral profile data was presented as mean ± standard deviation.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Each solubilization strategy was randomized prior to experimentation. All analyses were replicated 3 times individually for each solubilization strategy tested and data was shown as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis and randomization of trials were conducted using JMP 10.2 software (SAS Inst., Cary, NC, USA). Means values of all applied strategies were separated using Tukey’s honestly significant differences test at a significance level of 0.05, and different letters were assigned to significantly different results (a,b,c,d,e,f,g). Significant differences
between application of different acid and base combinations within the fractions solubilized at the same pH were also evaluated, separated using Tukey’s honestly significant differences test at a significance level at 0.05 where significant differences are indicated with different letters (w,x,y,z) unless indicated otherwise.

2.7. Optical imaging

An Olympus MVX10 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with a 2x/0.50 Plan Apo objective and 6.3x zoom was used to investigate the structure of the freeze-dried protein samples and the initial ground catfish. Two samples were chosen among solubilization and precipitation treatments applied: solubilization at pH 11.5 using Ca(OH)\(_2\) and precipitation at pH 5.5 using AA, and solubilization at pH 12.0 using NaOH and precipitation at pH 5.5 using LA. These two treatments were selected because they yielded similar compositional makeup (ash, lipid, and protein content) with statistically indifferent (p>0.05) protein amounts (87-88 g/100g); and therefore, were comparable. Images presented in Figure 1 and 2 were captured using a DP26 color camera (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Proximate Composition of the Recovered Fractions

Proximate composition (ash, lipid, and protein content) of the recovered protein and lipid samples were investigated and compared to assess the efficacy of the applied solubilization strategies (Table 1). Consistent with previous studies, using Ca(OH)\(_2\) displayed higher (p<0.05) protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction compared to NaOH at every solubilization pH tested during ISP processing \(^{11}\). This may be explained by the increased protein solubility displayed by Ca(OH)\(_2\) application during isoelectric solubilization and precipitation \(^{11}\). Although
it is evident that sodium is an effective protein solubilization agent, calcium was also shown to interact with negatively charged protein groups and decrease electrostatic repulsion between proteins making them soluble\textsuperscript{24}. It was also reported that increased osmotic pressure and decreased surface tension are observed at low salt concentrations leading to phase separation\textsuperscript{25}. On the other hand, high salt concentrations in the solution increase surface energy due to competition for the solvent, and the tendency of phase separation decreases\textsuperscript{25}.

Overall, when Ca(OH)$_2$ was used as the processing base, protein concentration had a range of 88-91 g/100g, and 88-92 g/100g using AA and LA respectively, whereas using NaOH showed a range of 66-87 g/100 g and 64-87 g/100g (AA and LA, respectively). This is consistent with a previously reported study where NaOH and AA were used to recover silver carp protein by ISP application utilizing alkali solubilization, where protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction was 77-82 g/100g\textsuperscript{6}. On the other hand, when a combination of 1:1 formic and lactic acid was used during ISP processing with NaOH, a wide range 51-82 g/100g of protein concentration was observed\textsuperscript{6}. Therefore, using lactic acid alone rather than in combination improved protein concentration in the recovered protein fraction. Traditionally used hydrochloric acid (HCl) during protein precipitation yielded similar protein concentration results as organic acids, with a range of 89-92 g/100g when protein was solubilized using Ca(OH)$_2$ and 74-84 g/100g when NaOH was selected as the processing base\textsuperscript{6}.

Although higher protein amounts in the recovered protein were reported\textsuperscript{26}, the results highly depend on a variety of internal (initial starting material) and external (ionic strength, solubilization pH, processing acids, and dilution ratio) factors\textsuperscript{3}. In this study the interactions of processing base, acid, and tested solubilization pH value greatly affected the compositional properties of recovered protein fractions. For the most part, using Ca(OH)$_2$ as the processing
base resulted in higher protein concentration with lower impurities (insolubles such as skin, scales and bones, and lipids) in the protein fraction compared to NaOH processing regardless of the processing acid. It is especially important to remove impurities from the protein since they reduce protein functionality, and storage stability of the final product made from the recovered protein such as gels, sausages, and nuggets. For example, lipids that are not effectively separated from protein cause oxidation and decrease protein stability and degrade color of the restructured protein product. It was previously determined that calcium increases the rate of lipid membrane separation from cytoskeletal proteins. The increased protein separation displayed by Ca(OH)$_2$ may be explained by the high ionic availability of Ca(OH)$_2$. When dissociated in an aqueous solution, Ca(OH)$_2$ gives out $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and 2(OH$^-$), whereas NaOH yields Na$^+$ and OH$^-$ per molecule. Therefore, adding Ca(OH)$_2$ into the solution will increase negative charge accumulation faster than NaOH processing, therefore, increasing protein solubility. Researchers studying effects of NaOH solubilization on rice bran observed that NaOH was able to solubilize majority of proteins; however, it could not hydrolyze cross-linked polypeptides hence was unable to solubilize them.

The effect of using different acids was less evident on protein concentration when solubilization was achieved using Ca(OH)$_2$. The only difference between acids were observed at solubilization pH 11.0, where precipitation with LA showed higher (p<0.05) protein amount compared to AA following Ca(OH)$_2$ solubilization. A previous study testing the effects of different acids on protein gelation and pH reduction concluded that LA was able to reduce pH to a lower value compared to AA when same amount of each acid was added to the protein solution. Therefore, lower amounts of LA will be required to reduce the pH to 5.5 compared to AA, showing the higher ionic strength of LA. LA (C$_3$H$_6$O$_3$) having a pKa of 3.86 dissociates into.
\[ C_3H_6O_3 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + C_3H_5O_3^- \]

The rate of protonation can be calculated from the following equation;

\[ pK_a = -\log K_a \text{ where } K_a \text{ is the dissociation constant}^{30}. \]

\[ K_a = 10^{-3.86} = 0.000138 \text{ for LA. A similar calculation for retrieving the dissociation constant of AA which has a } pK_a \text{ of } 4.76 \text{ shows that } K_a \text{ of } AA = 10^{-4.76} = 0.000017^{32}. \text{ Therefore, LA has a } 10 \text{ times higher ionization ability than AA, which explains why LA will be more effective than AA when both are added at low amounts while bringing the pH from 11.0 down to pH 5.5. Although this is true for precipitation following Ca(OH)$_2$ solubilization, a different trend was observed in protein concentration when NaOH was used.} \]

Protein precipitation using AA showed higher protein amounts in the recovered protein fraction post NaOH solubilization at pH values 11.0 and 12.3. It was previously shown that LA was not effective in precipitating protein at low ionic concentrations\(^{33}\); therefore, solubilization using NaOH at pH 11.0 might not have produced a high enough negative charge concentration to trigger protein precipitation by LA addition. On the other hand, when weak acids are added at high concentrations, viscosity of solution stays constant\(^{34}\). LA being the stronger of the two organic acids, may reach saturation sooner than AA following NaOH solubilization. This was perhaps not observed with Ca(OH)$_2$ processing due to the higher amount of negative charges accumulated in the solution compared to NaOH addition.

Contrary to the higher protein concentration results displayed by Ca(OH)$_2$, using NaOH was more effective (p<0.05) in lipid isolation at all solubilization pH values tested. Lipid concentration in the recovered lipid fraction ranged between 81-91 g/100 kg when NaOH was applied, and 72-86 g/100 kg when Ca(OH)$_2$ was used. These results are higher than the
previously reported values of 60 g/100g and 73 g/100g lipid amounts in the recovered lipid fraction when silver carp was solubilized at 11.5 and 12.0 using NaOH; however, lipid and protein isolation efficiency depends greatly on the fish species used.\(^6\)

Solubilization using Ca(OH)\(_2\) yielded a lipid fraction with higher amounts of protein and lower amounts of lipid compared to NaOH processing at every solubilization pH tested. Since using Ca(OH)\(_2\) solubilized more protein during pH shift processing, perhaps part of the protein that was partially solubilized, or some that aggregated contributed to the high amount of protein collected with the lipid fraction following Ca(OH)\(_2\) processing. High lipid amount recovered using NaOH is possibly due to the monovalent solvent being able to form fatty acid salts more efficiently compared to the divalent Ca(OH)\(_2\). Further analysis looking into recovered amino acid and fatty acid groups in the recovered protein and lipid fractions may be beneficial.

3.2. Protein and Lipid Recovery Yields

Protein and lipid recovery yields shown in Figure 1 give insight into the total weights of recovered fractions and assess the efficiency of the extraction by comparing the recovered fraction weight to the total available protein and lipid in the initial starting material. These results are similar to protein recovery results from black bullhead catfish recovered using HCl with either NaOH or Ca(OH)\(_2\) (42-52 g/100g and 46-57 g/100g, respectively), conventional surimi processing yields (58 g/100g) and herring protein recovery by alkali solubilization (57.3 g/100g); however, other ISP processing strategies yielded more, such as 65 g/100g reported from alkali solubilized silver carp.\(^6,11,35\) Due to the high ionic build up in the processing solution, saturation might be reached, and protein recovery may be limited. Therefore, recovery yields may be improved by increasing the currently used 1:6 (fish: d/d water) dilution ration by increasing dissociated ion concentration.\(^11,36,37\)
Overall, protein recovery yield is highly affected by solubilization acid, base, and solubilization pH as well as their interactions (p<0.0001). Comparison between mean values obtained from different processing strategies showed that the highest protein recovery yields were seen in fractions solubilized with NaOH at pH 12.0 and precipitated with LA, or when solubilization was achieved at pH 12.3 with Ca(OH)$_2$ followed by LA precipitation. Different processing acids and bases were more effective at different solubilization pH values. For example, when AA was chosen as the processing acid, solubilization at lower pH values (11.0 and 11.5) using NaOH resulted in higher protein recovery (Figure 2). On the other hand, protein recovery using Ca(OH)$_2$ and LA benefited from higher solubilization pH values (12.0 and 12.3). It is possible that the weaker of the two tested organic acids precipitates proteins in a lower ion containing environment more efficiently. Along with that, Ca(OH)$_2$ and LA increasing ionic strength compared to LA or NaOH addition in a solution, may display higher recovery yields if initial ground fish would be more diluted with water to allow for increased molecular reactions driving protein precipitation.

Lipid recovery on the other hand, ranging from 36-56 g/100g when NaOH is used for protein solubilization and 37-100 g/100g when protein is processed with Ca(OH)$_2$. Ca(OH)$_2$ as a processing base during ISP showed higher (p<0.05) lipid recovery results compared to NaOH at every pH tested except for pH 12.0. This is in correlation with the previously reported results such as 42-66 g/100g when NaOH is used to solubilize silver carp protein $^3$. The highest (p<0.05) lipid recovery reaching 100g/100g was accomplished using Ca(OH)$_2$ at solubilization pH 12.3. As discussed earlier, Ca(OH)$_2$ is effective in separating lipids from muscle proteins and higher ionic concentration at extremely high solubilization pH values, it’s efficacy may be improved.
3.3. Mineral Content

Mineral content (Iron (Fe), Magnesium (Mg), Calcium (Ca), Phosphorus (P), and Sodium (Na)) provide insight into the nutritional properties of the recovered protein fractions and therefore measured and compared among different recovery strategies (Table 2). The initial starting material and Alaska Pollock surimi as the industry standard for reconstructed fish protein products were also analyzed for mineral content and compared with the recovered protein fractions (Table 2, statistical data not shown). As expected, calcium amount increased as solubilization pH increased with the addition of Ca(OH)₂ regardless of processing acid. Interestingly, sodium content did not show an increase as a function of increasing pH when NaOH was added to solution. Protein solubilized at lower pH values (11.0, and 11.5) using NaOH contained higher (p<0.05) amount of Na regardless of processing acid with the exception of solubilization at pH 12.3 and precipitation with LA. Solubilizing protein at pH 12.3 using Ca(OH)₂ followed by LA precipitation yielded the protein fraction with the highest (p<0.05) Ca amount. Moreover, precipitation with LA yielded a protein fraction with higher calcium content compared to AA for every solubilization pH tested using Ca(OH)₂ except for pH 11.0. Using LA as the processing acid during ISP also resulted in higher sodium content in the protein fraction compared to AA for every solubilization pH tested that utilize NaOH except for pH 11.5.

Overall, mineral content was also highly affected by application of different solubilization and precipitation strategies. Ca(OH)₂ solubilization in general yielded higher Fe, and Ca, and lower Na amounts then Alaska Pollock surimi. It is possible to get the daily recommended amounts of nutrients (Table 3) by consuming reasonable amounts (<50 g, dry basis) of recovered protein; however, bioavailability studies are necessary to determine the absorption rates of the minerals. For example, it was determined that only 50 g/100g of dietary
calcium is absorbed in the bone (Figure 3)\textsuperscript{14}. Therefore, consuming 12.5 g of calcium enhanced protein (solubilized using Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} at pH 12.3 and precipitated with LA) powder will be adequate as a protective measure for the bones against calcium deficiencies.

3.4. Optical imaging

Two samples of freeze-dried recovered protein containing similar (p>0.05) amount of protein was observed under the microscope to determine the structural changes inflicted on recovered protein when different recovery strategies are used (Figure 4). As a reference, initial ground fish was also lyophilized and observed under the microscope (Figure 5). Protein solubilized at pH 11.5 using Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} and precipitated with AA was visibly more similar to the initial ground fish, whereas protein solubilized at pH 12.0 using NaOH and precipitated with LA was more hydrolyzed, and had formed smaller crystals. Although the differences may be attributed to a number of factors (solubilization pH, processing acid or base), gels developed from protein extracted using AA formed covalently linked polymers and were less hydrolyzed compared to LA processed protein gels indicated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) results\textsuperscript{41}. Therefore, it will be beneficial to examine recovered protein fractions using SDS-PAGE to get a better understanding of the protein groups and structural changes in protein.

4. Conclusions

Overall, organic acids provided as efficient protein precipitation and recovery as traditionally used HCl. Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} was more effective in protein solubilization than NaOH indicated by the protein content in the protein fractions. On the other hand, solubilization with NaOH increased lipid concentration. The effect of processing acid was less evident compared to
processing base by means of protein concentration, where Ca(OH)$_2$ proved as an alternative processing base. Using Ca(OH)$_2$ resulted in a calcium enhanced protein fraction having lower amounts of sodium.
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Table 1. Proximate composition of the recovered protein and lipid fractions using different solubilization strategies and initial starting material (ground catfish).

### Recovered Protein Fraction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solubilization pH</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Ash (g/100g)</th>
<th>Lipid (g/100g)</th>
<th>Protein (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>2.03±0.46 d,z</td>
<td>5.45±0.11 gh,z</td>
<td>92.29±0.52 a,w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>4.08±0.04 cd,z</td>
<td>5.60±1.28 gh,z</td>
<td>90.12±1.21 abc,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>15.93±1.74 a,x</td>
<td>9.21±1.34 cde,y</td>
<td>74.53±0.67 e,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>8.79±0.35 b,y</td>
<td>10.27±0.60 c,y</td>
<td>80.61±0.33 d,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>2.73±0.19 cd,z</td>
<td>6.73±0.79 efg,z</td>
<td>89.97±1.09 abc,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>10.57±0.98 b,y</td>
<td>23.08±1.14 a,x</td>
<td>65.96±1.12 f,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>17.04±0.53 a,x</td>
<td>18.75±1.94 b,y</td>
<td>63.95±2.64 f,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>2.83±0.12 cd,z</td>
<td>8.71±0.16 cdef,z</td>
<td>88.30±0.31 bc,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>4.00±0.30 cd,x</td>
<td>3.81±1.81 h,z</td>
<td>91.84±0.52 a,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>2.53±0.07 d,z</td>
<td>9.73±1.07 cd,x</td>
<td>87.40±1.07 c,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>3.41±0.23 cd,y</td>
<td>8.98±0.64 cde,x</td>
<td>87.33±0.68 c,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>2.45±0.11 d,z</td>
<td>6.56±0.85 efg,y</td>
<td>90.91±0.87 ab,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>4.70±0.42 c,z</td>
<td>7.02±0.70 defg,y</td>
<td>87.89±0.91 bc,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>9.39±0.32 b,y</td>
<td>9.18±0.29 cde,x</td>
<td>81.31±0.07 d,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>14.95±1.72 a,x</td>
<td>5.99±0.76 fgh,y</td>
<td>78.61±1.30 d,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>4.06±0.21 cd,z</td>
<td>7.41±0.88 cdefg,xy</td>
<td>88.28±0.73 bc,x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial, Ground Catfish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solubilization pH</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Ash (g/100g)</th>
<th>Lipid (g/100g)</th>
<th>Protein (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>2.16±0.57 c</td>
<td>90.13±0.51 a</td>
<td>7.42±0.84 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>4.96±0.40 b</td>
<td>75.43±0.59 de</td>
<td>18.94±1.24 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>9.76±0.45 a</td>
<td>81.21±2.45 c</td>
<td>8.49±2.13 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>5.09±0.42 b</td>
<td>72.49±0.94 e</td>
<td>22.01±1.14 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>1.65±0.20 c</td>
<td>91.06±0.59 a</td>
<td>6.89±0.34 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>10.67±1.11 a</td>
<td>76.81±1.04 d</td>
<td>12.01±0.33 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>1.90±0.04 c</td>
<td>89.55±0.20 a</td>
<td>7.88±0.16 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Ca(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>2.78±0.52 c</td>
<td>86.04±0.07 b</td>
<td>10.55±0.32 cd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**a,b,c,d,e,f** Mean values in a column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).

**w,x,y,z** Mean values of protein fraction data in a column solubilized using the same solubilization pH with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).

**Bold** values indicate significant differences between NaOH and Ca(OH)$_2$ within the same solubilization pH (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) applied for lipid recovery results.
Table 2. Mineral composition (Iron (Fe), Magnesium (Mg), Calcium (Ca), Phosphorus (P), and Sodium (Na)) of the recovered protein using different pH shift treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solubilization pH</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Fe (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Mg (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Ca (mg/100g)</th>
<th>P (mg/100g)</th>
<th>Na (mg/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>58.24±0.92 bc</td>
<td>185.94±16.56 cd</td>
<td>1834.13±34.96 f</td>
<td>3734.12±105.26 cd</td>
<td>10018.51±341.17 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>61.45±1.36 b</td>
<td>1514.02±89.25 a</td>
<td>7251.75±159.69 d</td>
<td>18566.27±1553.82 a</td>
<td>3932.72±278.05 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>48.89±3.29 cde</td>
<td>183.91±14.79 cd</td>
<td>783.15±45.58 fg</td>
<td>4075.18±240.09 c</td>
<td>15012.92±300.01 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>25.40±3.56 f</td>
<td>127.69±10.40 cd</td>
<td>3680.01±36.93 e</td>
<td>2442.39±117.61 defg</td>
<td>731.83±2.56 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>43.63±2.63 de</td>
<td>59.50±5.79 d</td>
<td>250.05±5.55 g</td>
<td>1393.11±20.78 g</td>
<td>17320.29±1297.58 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>52.37±0.20 bcd</td>
<td>206.65±6.83 c</td>
<td>7907.27±119.37 d</td>
<td>2254.79±42.45 ef</td>
<td>471.69±11.96 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>45.59±2.51 de</td>
<td>89.41±6.76 d</td>
<td>233.84±1.91 g</td>
<td>2336.71±389.23 efg</td>
<td>16750.52±253.93 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>55.29±3.40 bcd</td>
<td>273.08±9.87 c</td>
<td>9160.62±321.74 c</td>
<td>3368.32±170.34 cde</td>
<td>1504.99±63.96 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>54.20±0.90 bcd</td>
<td>115.09±19.97 cd</td>
<td>362.29±92.48 g</td>
<td>2873.99±44.25 cdef</td>
<td>7347.38±47.81 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>60.84±2.20 bc</td>
<td>118.53±10.31 cd</td>
<td>8160.22±86.31 cd</td>
<td>1927.89±43.07 fg</td>
<td>728.12±7.15 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>39.97±1.56 e</td>
<td>182.35±20.26 cd</td>
<td>419.52±37.54 g</td>
<td>3666.35±242.82 cd</td>
<td>9712.96±41.20 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>51.80±0.05 bcd</td>
<td>218.22±40.87 cd</td>
<td>11290.59±1170.23 b</td>
<td>1821.82±37.03 fg</td>
<td>624.05±20.40 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>62.17±4.98 b</td>
<td>141.68±18.22 cd</td>
<td>663.08±247.55 fg</td>
<td>3850.02±60.59 c</td>
<td>8317.30±70.89 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>88.79±5.68 a</td>
<td>197.10±11.21 cd</td>
<td>11986.79±867.93 b</td>
<td>2845.03±48.14 cdef</td>
<td>891.16±29.48 f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>51.82±9.14 bcd</td>
<td>200.24±20.43 cd</td>
<td>578.06±75.30 g</td>
<td>4121.32±76.67 c</td>
<td>10437.17±394.86 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca(OH)2</td>
<td>77.49±0.43 a</td>
<td>1036.93±188.94 b</td>
<td>16017.29±454.76 a</td>
<td>15639.41±569.69 b</td>
<td>3434.16±285.81 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground Catfish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51.11±8.85</td>
<td>153.23±12.26</td>
<td>9399.43±394.15</td>
<td>1850.30±44.25</td>
<td>922.19±15.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Pollock surimi</td>
<td>9.09±0.52</td>
<td>834.36±50.18</td>
<td>778.14±26.67</td>
<td>2947.61±183.66</td>
<td>5024.73±537.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d,e,f,g Mean values in a column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).

Bold values indicate significant differences between NaOH and Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} within the same solubilization pH and processing acid (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05).
Table 3. Daily recommended levels of Protein, Iron (Fe), Magnesium (Mg), Calcium (Ca), and Phosphorus (P), and daily upper limit of Sodium (Na).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Life Stage</th>
<th>Protein (g/kg/d)</th>
<th>Fe (mg/d)</th>
<th>Mg (mg/d)</th>
<th>Ca (mg/d)</th>
<th>P (mg/d)</th>
<th>Na (mg/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child (1-3 y)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child (4-8 y)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult (9-18 y)</td>
<td>0.73-0.76</td>
<td>5.9-7.7</td>
<td>200-340</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,055</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult (19-50 y)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>330-350</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult (&gt;50 y)</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table adapted from 39,40
Figure 1. Protein and lipid recovery yields of different solubilization strategies.

Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).

Mean values of protein recovery yield within the same solubilization pH with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).

Significant differences between NaOH and Ca(OH)$_2$ with in the same solubilization pH (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) are indicated with an * for lipid recovery.
Figure 2. Protein recovery yields obtained by using different precipitation strategies.

Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05). Significant differences between NaOH and Ca(OH)$_2$ with in the same solubilization pH (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) are indicated with an *.
Figure 3. Dietary calcium turnover rate in healthy adults (diagram adapted from Bartl, and Frisch, 2009 [14])
Figure 4. Optical images (6.3x zoom) of the freeze-dried recovered proteins using A. calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$) to achieve protein solubilization at pH 11.5 and acetic acid (AA) to precipitate protein at pH 5.5., and B. sodium hydroxide (NaOH) for solubilizing protein at pH 12.0 and achieving precipitation at pH 5.5 with lactic acid (LA).
Figure 5. Optical image (6.3x zoom) of the freeze-dried, gutted, and ground black bullhead catfish.
CHAPTER 3

Influence of Pre-Cooking Protein Paste Gelation Conditions and Post-Cooking Gel Storage Conditions on Gel Texture

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Gelation conditions affect setting of myofibrillar fish protein gels. Therefore, the impact of widely applied pre-cooking gelation time/temperature strategies and post-cooking period on texture and color of final protein gels was determined. Four pre-cooking gelation strategies (no-setting time, 30 min at 25°C, 1 h at 40°C, or 24 h at 4°C) were applied to protein pastes (fish protein concentrate and standard functional additives). After cooking, texture and color were either analyzed directly or after 24 h at 4°C on gels adjusted to 25°C.

RESULTS: No-set gels were harder, gummier, and chewier (p<0.05) when analyzed immediately after cooling; however, gel chewiness, cohesiveness and firmness indicated by Kramer force benefited from 24 h at 4°C gel setting when stored post-cooking. Gel setting conditions had a greater (p<0.05) effect on texture when directly analyzed and most changes occurred in no-set gels. There were significant (p<0.05) changes between directly analyzed and post-cooking storage gels in texture and color, depending on pre-cooking gelation strategy.

CONCLUSION: Pre-cooking gelation conditions will affect final protein gel texture and color, with gel stability benefitting from a gel-setting period. However, post-cooking storage may have a greater impact on final gels with textural attributes becoming more consistent between all samples.

Key words: protein gels, texture properties, pH shift, gel setting, color
1. Introduction

Protein gelation conditions will affect the texture of processed fish products and will ultimately impact the acceptability of the final product. Myofibrillar proteins, mainly actin and myosin, are the major contributors to gelation because they form strong gels when heated above 40°C. Heat-induced gel formation occurs when myofibrillar proteins form a 3-dimensional network matrix due to partial unfolding and irreversible aggregation of myosin heads to adjacent tails through disulfide bonds and hydrophobic interactions. As the protein denaturation and aggregation mechanism proceeds, existing disulphide bonds are broken down and sulphydryl (SH) groups that were inside the unfolded protein are revealed. These SH groups will enable to form intermolecular bridging and enforce protein gel formation. Consequently, the functional properties that cause intermolecular bridge formation and aggregate formation will ultimately impact textural and sensory properties of the final product. Changing any of the conditions during the development of a protein gel such as compositional concentration, additive amounts and especially heating time and temperature, will alter the structural properties of gels.

The gelation temperature of fish protein depends on the fish species. In general, gelling occurs at low temperatures (0-4°C) for cold water fish, whereas gels made from warm water fish species need higher temperature conditions (40°C) for gel formation. Gel setting temperature and time are not the same for each fish species because of different optimal conditions for endogenous transglutaminase (TGase) activity, an enzyme that catalyzes myosin cross-linking, and myofibrillar protein denaturation. The duration and temperature at which gels are set are important as they will impact textural properties of the final product. In addition, the functional and textural properties of gels are influenced by rate of heating (temperature), pH (net charge), protein concentration and the amount of other food ingredients such as lipids, salts and sugars.
Therefore, the purpose of this study is to investigate the impact of widely used pre- and post-cooking gelation strategies on the texture and color of protein gels.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of starting material for protein pastes

Fresh black bullhead catfish (Amieturus melas) were gutted and rinsed in tap water. The fish were then transferred to stainless steel trays and stored at -20°C for 18 hours to prevent heat-induced denaturation. Fish were ground twice using a coarse grinding filter plate on a meat processor (Hobart Model 4146, Troy, OH, USA). The coarse filter was replaced with a fine plate and ground fish were processed once more, so that the fish paste was free of big bones or chunks of skin. The ground fish was placed into freezer bags, each containing 500 g fish paste (Ziplock Freezer Bags, S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., Racine, WI, USA), and stored at -80°C until the protein separation process was conducted.

2.2. Protein separation

The protein used to make gels was separated from the ground fish using a pH-shift method called isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP) process. Briefly, when exposed to high or low pH extremes, myofibrillar protein solubilizes in solution and is separated from bones, skin, scales, etc., by centrifugation. When the protein solution is returned to the isoelectric point, the protein precipitates out of solution and is separated from the process water by centrifugation. Prior to ISP processing, ground fish was thawed at 4°C for 24-48 h. To begin, 1500 g of ground fish was diluted 1:6 with distilled, deionized (d/d) water in a glass beaker and homogenized for 5 min (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). The pH of the solution was increased to 11.5 using 1 mol L⁻¹ calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂) confirmed using a calibrated pH/ion analyzer (Oakton, Eutech Instruments; Singapore). After reaching the target
solubilization pH, homogenization continued for an additional 10 min. The slurry was poured into centrifuge bottles and centrifuged at 98066.5 m s$^{-2}$ for 15 min at 4°C (Sorvall RC-SB Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge, Du Pont, Wilmington, DE, USA) to allow for phase separation. The top layer consisted of lipids and the bottom pellet consisted of insoluble constituents, such as skin and bones, which were discarded. The middle layer, containing solubilized protein and process water, was filtered through a cheese cloth and poured into a glass beaker. The pH of the solution was lowered to the protein isoelectric point (pH= 5.5) with the addition of hydrochloric acid (HCl) and the solution was homogenized for an additional 5 min to facilitate protein precipitation. Protein separation was achieved by centrifugation at 98066.5 m s$^{-2}$ for 15 min at 4°C. After centrifugation was completed, the supernatant was discarded and the protein which had formed a pellet at the bottom of the centrifuge tube was collected using a steel spatula.

2.3. Development of protein paste

After ISP recovery, the protein pellet (750 ± 17.8 gr) having an initial moisture of 870 g kg$^{-1}$ confirmed by a moisture analyzer (Ohaus, Model MB45, Switzerland), was transferred to a universal food processor (model UMC5, Stephan Machinery Corp., Columbus, OH) and chopped at low speed for 1 min, after which 0.20 g kg$^{-1}$ salt was added and chopping was continued for an additional min. Chilled water (4°C) was added to adjust the final moisture of the paste to 800 g kg$^{-1}$. Standard functional additives including potato starch (0.15 g kg$^{-1}$) (Penbind 1000 modified potato starch, Penford Food Ingredients Corp., Centennial, CO); polyphosphates (0.03 gr kg$^{-1}$) (Kena FP-28, Innophos, Cranbury, NJ) and transglutaminase (TGase) (5 g kg$^{-1}$) were mixed in. The pH was adjusted to pH 7.0- 7.2 by adding 1 mol L$^{-1}$ Ca(OH)$_2$. HCl was used for fine adjustments to stabilize pH. Following confirmation of pH, chopping was resumed for 3 min at
high speed under vacuum (50 kPa). The temperature of the universal food processor was
adjusted, so that the protein paste was processed at 1-4°C during the paste development. Air
pockets were removed from the paste prior to stuffing by vacuum packing. The vacuum-packed
paste was then placed in a gel presser and stuffed into lightly oil-sprayed stainless steel tubes
(length= 17.5 cm, inner diameter= 1.9 cm) and dumbbell shaped stainless steel torsion tubes
(length= 17.5 cm, end diameter= 1.9 cm, midsection diameter= 1.0 cm).

2.4. Verification of recovered protein gelation properties

Protein recovery using a pH-shift method like ISP may induce changes in the native
structure of the myofibrillar protein. Therefore, the functional properties of the ISP-recovered
protein was confirmed by measuring heat induced aggregation and denaturation of protein
samples using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC; DSC Infinity Series F5010, Instrument
Specialists, Inc., Spring Grove, IL). Fresh protein paste was spread onto an aluminum pan
(Instrument Specialists Inc. 4 mm crimp/en cap), hermetically sealed and placed in the scanner.
Temperature was increased from 5°C to 90°C at a rate of 10°C min⁻¹. Data were analyzed using
the DSC thermograms provided by the Infinite Software. DSC testing was performed in
triplicates and results (net heat enthalpy, the onset and maximum temperatures) were presented
as a mean. Results of DSC were compared to the fresh ground fish and Alaska Pollock surimi.

2.5. Pre and Post-Cooking Timing Strategies

Eight widely applied gelation conditions were selected to investigate the effects on the
texture and color attributes. Three different time/temperature strategies for gel setting prior to
cooking were tested: 30 min at room temperature (25°C), 1 hr at 40°C or 24 hr at 4°C.
In addition, direct cooking (no waiting period) was applied as a control. Two different post-
cooking strategies were performed: no post-cooking waiting period (direct analysis) or storage for 24 h at 4°C prior to analysis.

2.6. Preparation of the gels

Following the pre-cooking gelation strategy, tubes were cooked at 90°C for 20 min in a water bath (Precision, Jouan Inc, Winchester, Virginia) and then chilled in an ice bath for 15 min. Depending on post-cooking strategy, the gels were either removed from the tubes and analyzed immediately or were stored in the tubes at 4°C for 24 h. Prior to all analyses, gels were adjusted to room temperature (25°C).

2.7. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA)

Texture profile analysis (TPA) was performed using a texture analyzer (Model TA-HDi, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY) with a 70 mm TPA compression plate attachment. Textural properties of hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, chewiness and resilience were analyzed by Texture Expert software (Texture Expert Exceed version 2.64, Stable Micro Systems, 2003, Hamilton, MA, USA). At least 10 cylindrical gel samples (length 2.54 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) were tested per treatment.

2.8. Kramer Shear Cell Test

The Kramer shear cell test was applied to observe shear stress and shear force using a texture analyzer (Model TA-HDi, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY) with a Kramer cell attachment. The attachment had five blades (3 mm thick and 70 mm wide) that cut through the gel samples. The force (g peak force g⁻¹ gel sample) was measured at 127 mm min⁻¹ crosshead speed and shear force was calculated by peak force divided by the weight of each sample. The shear stress was calculated as the force divided by the area of the sample. At least 6 cylindrical gel samples (length 8 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) per treatment were tested. Each gel
sample was weighed prior to testing, and then placed in the Kramer cell of the texture analyzer. The shear force was calculated by dividing force by the weight of each sample; and the shear stress was calculated by dividing the force by the area of each sample.

2.9. Torsion Analysis

A torsion test was performed to measure shear stress and shear strain at mechanical fracture using a Hamman Gelometer (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC). At least 4 samples were tested for each treatment and the data was analyzed using Torsion Vane software (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC).

2.10. Color analysis

Color data was collected using a colorimeter (Minolta Camera Co. Ltd, Osaka, Japan) calibrated with a standard white plate No.21333180 (CIE L* 93.1; a* 0.3135; b* 0.3198). After L* (lightness; scale: 0 - 100), a* (intensity in red color; scale: -60 - +60), and b* (intensity in yellow color; scale: -60 - +60) were measured, the whiteness was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Whiteness} = 100 - \left(100 - L^*\right)^2 + a^2 + b^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

At least ten samples were used for color measurement per treatment and the results were given as a mean.

2.11. Statistical Analysis

The data retrieved from the analyses were presented as mean ± SD. The treatments were randomized. Statistical analyses were run using JMP software (JMP 10, SAS Inst., Cary, NC, USA). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and standard Least Squares (LS) were used. The mean values for each treatment were statistically separated and assigned a letter using Tukey’s Honestly Significant Differences. Significance level was set at 0.05 (p<0.05).
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

A thermally-induced protein gel forms through denaturation, aggregation and eventually gelation. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) method provided insight into the stability of proteins by exploiting the unfolding and aggregation transitions through thermodynamic data. Therefore, this method was used to confirm that the protein recovered using ISP process had similar gelation properties to Alaska Pollock surimi gel. Moreover, the functional properties of the ISP-recovered protein were also compared with the initial starting material, ground catfish. The results of DSC for the original ground catfish, Alaska Pollock surimi and the ISP-recovered protein pastes are shown in Figure 1. The curves for all three protein sources showed similar exothermic reactions (T\text{onset}, T\text{max}, and enthalpy). For the most part, there were no statistical differences (p>0.05) between the heat transaction properties of ground catfish, Alaska Pollock surimi and recovered catfish paste, which implies that the pH shifts to recover protein did not cause major losses in functionality.

Fish protein recovered by the ISP process mainly consists of myofibrillar protein (myosin, actin and actomyosin). Myosin is made up of a head, neck and tail region that partially unfolds when exposed to heat. This partial unfolding leads to irreversible aggregation of myosin heads to the binding sites on adjacent tails through disulfide bonds and hydrophobic interactions. This association of myosin heads occurs at a transition temperature between 27-46°C and is represented by Peak I on a DSC thermogram. Figure 1 shows that the initial peak (I) of the protein paste had a transition temperature of 29.76±2.40°C, reaching a T\text{max} of 53.23±4.39°C and an enthalpy of 0.48±0.19 J which is consistent with previously reported temperatures and enthalpies.
The second peak (II) is attributed to the association of myosin tails which was observed at 46.17±5.42°C in our study (Figure 1). The third peak which had a T_{onset} of 66.17±5.64°C reflects actin association. Actin is relatively more heat stable compared to myosin and therefore, was denatured at a higher temperature \(^{17}\). In a previous study where silver carp protein was recovered using NaOH as the base, an enthalpy of 1.25 was measured for actin association \(^{17}\), whereas in this current study, the enthalpy was 2.59±0.75. Addition of salt or treatment of either actomyosin or myofibrils with NaCl or KCl was reported to denature F-actin even at low temperatures \(^{19,20,21}\). Mineral analysis performed on ISP-recovered protein revealed that sodium levels were increased in the protein fraction when NaOH was used as the processing base \(^{22}\). Therefore, replacing NaOH with Ca(OH)\(_2\) may have decreased F-actin denaturation because the recovered protein would have less sodium.

3.2. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA)

Texture attributes (hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, chewiness and resilience) of protein gels were assessed by TPA, an instrumental method involving two compression-decompression cycles (Figure 2). Gels were hardest (p<0.05), as measured by the peak force of the first compression, when there was no prior to and post-cooking gel-setting period (Figure 2). It was observed that gel hardness decreased as gels were allowed to set when they were directly analyzed; however, when there was a post-cooking 24 h storage period at 4°C prior to analyses, the hardness of gels benefited from a gel setting period. In general, gels got softer (p<0.05) when they were stored at 4°C for 24 h following cooking compared to direct analysis. Chewiness and gumminess, defined as the energy required to masticate a solid food and to disintegrate a semisolid food into a state of readiness for swallowing \(^{23}\) respectively, followed the same trend as hardness. Hardness, chewiness and gumminess of gels were lower when there
was a post-cooking storage period regardless of the pre-cooking gel setting strategy suggesting protein degradation over time.

In the literature, most protein gels are made by combining concentrated protein with salt and then incubation below 40°C prior to cooking \(^{24,25}\). Typically, cooking pre-set gels yields harder gels when compared to gels cooked without a pre-cooking storage period \(^{5,26-28}\).

Transglutaminase (TGase) (R-glutaminyl-peptide: amine g-glutamyltransferase; EC 2.3.2.13) is the major enzyme responsible for protein gels to set by activating myosin polymerization and \(\varepsilon\)-(\(\gamma\)-glutamyl) lysine crosslinking \(^{4,5,29-31}\). Fish muscle protein contains a high amount of endogenous TGase which is calcium dependent \(^{32}\). The recovered fish protein fraction contained an increased amount of calcium when Ca(OH)\(_2\) was used as the processing base during ISP compared to protein recovered using NaOH \(^{33}\). This may have led to an increased endogenous TGase activity. Moreover, microbial TGase (calcium independent) was added in the amount of 5 g/kg protein paste, which likely resulted in additional TGase activity. This may have initially induced rapid gel setting as was observed in harder gels \((p<0.05)\) that resulted when pastes were cooked without a gel-setting storage period.

Numerous pre-cooking time and temperature protein gelation strategies utilizing different protein sources have been reported in the literature, each with varying results. It is important to note that the species of fish will impact gelation characteristics. For example, silver carp protein gels were made using ISP-recovered protein (NaOH was used as the processing base) and the same standard functional additives, with 24 h at 4°C pre-cooking storage followed by direct analysis \(^{13}\). The resulting gels were harder and had similar springiness, cohesiveness, resilience, gumminess and chewiness as the gels in this current study. In addition, gelation properties of protein gels made from Alaska Pollock, common carp, grass carp, and silver carp varied among
species even when gels were exposed to the same heating strategies. The same conclusion was made when textural properties of surimi produced from threadfin bream, big eye snapper, barracuda and big eye croaker were compared. Therefore, differences in textural attributes may not only be due to calcium enhancement, but due to differences in fish species.

3.3. Kramer Shear Cell Test

Kramer shear cell test is commonly applied to food products to measure additional texture attributes such as shear stress which defines the strength of the product and shear force that gives an idea about the firmness and is a mechanical shearing procedure. Kramer shear stress (Figure 3) was highest (p<0.05) when the gels were either directly cooked and stored for 24 h at 4°C, or when they were allowed to set at 24 h at 4°C and directly analyzed. This shows that the catfish protein gel strength benefited from a gel setting or storage period for 24 h at 4°C; therefore, as shown in another study, it is suggested that longer periods of gel setting at lower temperatures may allow for increased polymerization. Interestingly, Kramer shear force was highest (p<0.05) either when a 30 min prior to cooking gel setting at room temperature and direct analysis, or a 24 h pre- and post-cooking storage at 4°C were performed (Figure 3). No pre-cooking period or a short gel setting period (30 min at 25°C) followed by an overnight pre-analysis storage yielded the lowest (p<0.05) shear force. This is consistent with the TPA findings where the pre- and post-cooking strategies that yielded the highest (p<0.05) cohesiveness as well as the highest (p<0.05) shear force. Along with the same trend, the gel-setting strategy that yielded the lowest (p<0.05) shear force resulted in numerically the lowest hardness, gumminess and chewiness values. Therefore, when the cooked gels are going to be stored over a period of time, a gel setting period may be more beneficial.

3.4. Torsion Test
Torsion testing is a recommended standard for the surimi industry. Torsional stress and strain provide insight into the angular deformation resistance of gels. Specially molded, cylindrical, dumbbell shaped specimen breaks at mid-length where a fracture occurs while being twisted with both its upper and lower bases glued to plastic discs for attachment to the testing apparatus. The stress and strain at fracture measured in this study are presented in Figure 3. Torsional stress at fracture was highest (p<0.05) when a 30 min at 25°C pre-cooking storage was performed followed by 24 h at 4°C post-cooking storage. The gels also benefited (p<0.05) from a gel setting period when they were direct analyzed and the highest (p<0.05) strain was measured when gel setting was applied for 24 h at 4°C. Following a similar trend, strain was highest (p<0.05) when either a 24 h at 4°C pre-cooking period followed by direct analysis or a 30 min at 25°C pre-cooking storage followed by 24 h at 4°C post-cooking storage was applied. These findings are in line with what was observed by TPA and Kramer shear cell analyses. Therefore, it can be concluded that the ISP-recovered catfish protein used in this study may benefit from a pre-cooking gel-setting period either at 25°C or at 4°C. Pre-cooking gel setting strategies are usually performed at three different temperature classifications: low (0-4°C), medium (25°C) or high (40°C) temperatures. The textural properties attained by gel setting at different temperatures will differ depending on which fish species is used as the protein source. Although lower temperatures require longer gel-setting periods for gel setting to be complete, lower temperatures induce less protein degradation by proteinases. Medium or low temperature pre-cooking storage may result in better textural measurements due to lower proteolysis and degradation.

3.5. Color Measurement
Color was measured using a colorimeter and the measurements for L* (lightness), a* (intensity in red color; scale), and b* (intensity in yellow color). When 24 h pre-cooking period at 4°C followed by a 24 h storage at 4°C was applied, gels were the reddest (p<0.05) and least yellow (p<0.05) (Table 1) suggesting that a prolonged pre- and post-cooking storage at low temperature preserves color properties of the protein gel. On the other hand, gels were darkest (p<0.05) when a 24 h at 4°C pre-cooking period was followed by 24 h at 4°C post-cooking storage period was applied. Cohesiveness was highest with prolonged gel-setting period at low temperature thus the darker color may be due to denser myofibrillar gel matrix. Moreover, longer storage periods may accelerate auto-oxidation of myoglobin. Gels were lightest (p<0.05) when there was no pre-cooking period followed by a 24 h post-cooking storage at 4°C. Overall, looking at the pre-cooking gel-setting strategies, it was observed that as pre-cooking storage time increased, lightness decreased (p<0.05). The increase and decrease in lightness of protein gels differ depending on the structure the protein gel matrix and the size of scattering particles.

Whiteness is an important aspect that determines consumer acceptability of the end product. The values for whiteness (Figure 4) ranged from 58.2 to 72.7 and these measurements were similar to or whiter than gels from previous research where the protein gels were from isoelectrically recovered silver carp using NaOH and the addition of 5 g titanium dioxide/kg protein paste, a commonly used whitening agent in food products. Titanium dioxide was not used in this current study and the high whiteness of the gels are likely due to the whitening effect of Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} used during ISP processing. The protein fraction was determined to contain an increased amount of Ca when processed with Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} during protein recovery. Therefore, the protein gels made with this recovered protein contained a higher calcium amount trapped in the gel matrix compared to gels made with protein recovered using NaOH as the processing base.
The increased calcium may have inhibited the reaction between tyrosinase and its phenolic components; therefore, decreasing browning \(^{41}\). Moreover, calcium particles are white and water soluble. In the gels, the calcium particles will have solubilized in water, and mixed in the gel to make it whiter. This suggests that calcium enhanced products have the potential to improve whiteness of products without the need for additional whitening agents.

4. Conclusion

Although textural properties such as hardness, chewiness and gumminess were higher when either direct cooking or a short period of prior to cooking gel-setting period was applied; cohesiveness and gel firmness benefited from longer storage period at low (4°C) and medium temperatures (25°C). Calcium content of the recovered catfish protein gels may have played a role in rapid gel formation; however, future studies are necessary to determine if the gel strength was reflecting aggregate formation or protein polymerization. Additionally, gels were harder, chewier, gummier and more resilient to axial deformation when they were allowed to set for either 30 min at 25°C or 24 h at 4°C followed by a storage period (24 h at 4°C) post-cooking; therefore, gel setting may be required for products that will be stored over time. Whiteness of the gels decreased as the duration of storage increased. Overall, protein gel characteristics were greatly affected by prior and post-cooking storage temperature and time. Therefore, pre- and post-cooking storage conditions must be carefully selected depending on the expected gel properties.
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**Figure 1.** Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermograms of isoelectrically recovered black bullhead catfish protein paste, Alaska Pollock surimi and initial ground black bullhead catfish. Each curve was drawn using the mean of data points collected from 3 replications.
Figure 2. Hardness, Springiness, Cohesiveness, Gumminess, Chewiness and Resilience (texture profile analysis) of gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. a, b, c Mean values with different letters in each post cooking storage application are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Significant differences (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) between the same pre-cooking gel setting treatments are indicated with an *. 
Figure 3. Kramer shear stress (kPa), Kramer shear force (g/g muscle), torsional shear stress (kPa) and torsional shear strain of recovered black catfish protein gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. Mean values with different letters in each post cooking storage application are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Significant differences (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) between the same pre-cooking gel setting treatments are indicated with an *. 
Figure 4. Whiteness of recovered black catfish protein gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. a, b, c Mean values with different letters in each post cooking storage application are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Significant differences (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) between the same pre-cooking gel setting treatments are indicated with an *. 
Table 1. Color properties of recovered black catfish protein gels, where L* indicates lightness (scale: 0-100), a* measures the intensity of red color (scale: -60 to +60), and b* shows the intensity of yellow color (scale: -60 to +60) 13. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. a, b, c, d Mean values with different letters in each post cooking storage application are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Significant differences (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) between the same pre-cooking gel setting treatments are indicated with bold numbers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-cooking Gel Setting</th>
<th>Post-Cooking Storage</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct cooking</td>
<td>Direct analysis</td>
<td>74.18±1.66 a</td>
<td>0.6±0.2 b</td>
<td>13.82±0.99 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min at 25°C</td>
<td>Direct analysis</td>
<td>66.85±0.83 c</td>
<td>1.6±0.26 a</td>
<td>9.41±0.54 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h at 40°C</td>
<td>Direct analysis</td>
<td>69.04±1.32 b</td>
<td>0.03±0.01 c</td>
<td>11.82±1.43 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h at 4°C</td>
<td>Direct analysis</td>
<td>68.23±2.19 b</td>
<td>-0.33±0.14 d</td>
<td>7.19±1.45 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cooking</td>
<td>24 h at 4°C</td>
<td>78.52±3.28 a</td>
<td>-6.35±0.81 c</td>
<td>15.28±2.01 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 min at 25°C</td>
<td>24 h at 4°C</td>
<td>75.26±1.14 b</td>
<td>-2.00±0.22 b</td>
<td>8.50±0.91 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 h at 40°C</td>
<td>24 h at 4°C</td>
<td>65.22±1.39 c</td>
<td>-1.87±0.83 b</td>
<td>14.98±1.12 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h at 4°C</td>
<td>24 h at 4°C</td>
<td>60.82±1.86 d</td>
<td>2.49±1.02 a</td>
<td>13.84±3.58 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 4
Impact of Sarcoplasmic Proteins on Texture and Color of Silver Carp and Alaska Pollock Protein Gels

Abstract

Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP) relies on extreme pH shifts to solubilize, precipitate and recover myofibrillar protein (MP), whereas sarcoplasmic proteins (SP) are water soluble. SP were recovered from silver carp (SC) and added to ISP-recovered SC MP or Alaska Pollock (AP) to investigate the impact on texture and color of their cooked gels. MP was solubilized at pH 12.3 using Ca(OH)₂ and precipitated at pH 5.5 with acetic acid. Protein (ISP recovered SC MP or AP) was combined with transglutaminase (TGase) at 0 or 5g, starch at 15 or 20g, polyphosphates at 3g, and SP at 0, 77mg or 144mg per kg final protein gel paste. Gels without added SP (5g TGase only) were harder, gummier, chewier, more cohesive and resilient (p<0.05), for both SC and AP. Interestingly, addition of SP in SC gels showed similar results as adding 5g TGase for some textural measurements, including springiness and Kramer and Torsional stress (p>0.05). This was likely due to the calcium content and endogenous TGase activity in ISP-recovered protein. Future studies comparing effects of SP and endogenous TGase on protein gels with different calcium content would be beneficial.

Key Words: pH shift, sarcoplasmic proteins, calcium hydroxide, texture, color

Chemical compounds studied in this article

Calcium hydroxide (PubChem CID: 6093208); Acetic acid (PubChem CID: 176)
Introduction

The traditional surimi making process involves continuous washing of fish flesh with chilled water to separate fractions that interfere with gelation such as blood, connective tissue and the less desirable, water-soluble sarcoplasmic proteins from the high quality myofibrillar protein (MP) (Hall & Ahmad, 1997). A high concentration of MP is preferred for making protein gels since it improves gelation properties in fish protein gels (Okada, 1964; Nakayama & Sato, 1971; Nakagawa et al., 1989). This is mainly due to myosin and actomyosin being the predominant proteins responsible for protein gelation (Stone & Stanley, 1992). However, functional additives such as potato starch, transglutaminase (TGase), polyphosphates and titanium dioxide that enhance protein gelation and color are frequently used for developing marketable protein gels especially when isoelectrically recovered protein is used (Taskaya et al., 2009).

Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP) is an acid/alkali-aided protein recovery process that relies on pH shifts to separate protein from the lipid and insoluble fractions of fish. The traditional acid used in ISP is hydrochloric acid (HCl); however, researchers have demonstrated that using organic acids may have bactericidal effects (Otto et al., 2011a,b). The traditional processing base used in ISP is sodium hydroxide (NaOH) which increases the sodium content of the recovered protein fraction (Paker et al., 2013a). Therefore, using an alternative processing base may reduce sodium content in the recovered protein. To date, there are no reported studies where calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$) is used as the processing base for ISP processing. Replacing NaOH with Ca(OH)$_2$, a widely used fortifier and whitening agent in the food industry (Han et al., 2012), may yield a final product that has more calcium and also eliminate the need to use titanium dioxide as a whitening agent during protein gel making.
As with surimi processing, protein recovered by ISP processing is mainly MP and it exhibits similar functionality as traditional surimi gels (Taskaya et al., 2009; Paker et al., 2013b). In both of these recovery processes, sarcoplasmic proteins are typically discarded with the process water; however, the potential of sarcoplasmic proteins as a functional ingredient has not been thoroughly explored. Sarcoplastic proteins may impact gel formation and texture properties of protein gels by stimulating the setting effect of MP (Yongsawatdigul & Piyadhammaviboon, 2007). Therefore, if the otherwise discarded sarcoplastic proteins are recovered from the process water it may have potential as an additive.

Transglutaminase (EC 2.3.2.13; TGase), the major functional additive that enhances gel network formation, is an enzyme that catalyzes an acyl-transfer reaction and in turn activates in-vitro cross-linking in actomysin (Zhu et al., 1995). Commercial TGase is either recovered from animal tissue or isolated from microbial fermentation. Researchers have measured a substantial amount of TGase activity in fish tissue (Yasueda et al., 1994; Worratao & Yongsawatdigul, 2005) as well as significant amount of TGase in the wash water of surimi (Yongsawatdigul et al., 2002). It is possible that endogenous TGase would be recovered with sarcoplastic proteins and would work synergistically as gel enhancers (Yongsawatdigul & Piyadhammaviboon, 2007). Sarcoplastic protein was previously lyophilized and incorporated into protein gels; however, low amounts (0.01 g/kg) of sarcoplastic protein powder were not effective in increasing gel strength (Hemung & Chin, 2013). Freeze-drying may induce structural changes in sarcoplastic protein. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of added sarcoplastic proteins as an aqueous solution in order to avoid confirmation change on the textural properties of isoelectrically recovered silver carp MP or Alaska Pollock (AP) surimi gels.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Preparation of fresh headed gutted silver carp

Wild caught silver carp (SC) (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) purchased from Fin International LLC (New Orleans, LA, USA) were shipped overnight on ice to the meats processing laboratory at West Virginia University. The fish were headed, gutted and sanitized by dipping into a 50mg/kg bleach solution for 10 s (Clorox Regular Bleach, Clorox, Oakland, CA, USA). The fish were then drained and ground using a sanitized food processor (HobartModel 4146, Troy, OH, USA) using a coarse and fine plate. Finally, the ground fish paste (215 g) was packed into freezer bags (Ziplock Freezer Bags, S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., Racine, WI, USA), vacuum packaged (Ultravac KOCH Packaging, KOCH Supplies Inc., Kansas City, MO, USA) and stored at -80°C. All equipment used in the experiments were sanitized using a 70ml/100ml ethanol spray and drying under UV light (254 nm) for 15 min (Otto et al. 2011a).

2.2. Alaska Pollock surimi

Alaska Pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) caught by pelagic trawl at the Northeast Pacific Ocean was purchased as a frozen block of surimi. The surimi block contained Alaska Pollock incorporated with sorbitol, sugar, sodium tripolyphosphate, and tetrasodium pyrophosphate. Alaska Pollock surimi is known as having the most preferred textural attributes in the reconstructed aquatic products industry. Therefore, it was selected to compare the textural differences between isoelectrically recovered silver carp and a product that was accepted in the fish products industry. Moreover, effects of sarcoplasmic protein addition in Alaska Pollock surimi were assessed in order to determine if the extensive washing cycles are necessary to achieve certain gel strength.

2.3. Recovery of sarcoplasmic proteins
Thawed (24 h at 4°C) ground fish paste was diluted with distilled, deionized water at a 1:2 ratio in a glass beaker using a homogenizer (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Homogenization was continued for 10 min to allow for solubilization of the sarcoplasmic proteins. The solution was then transferred into centrifuge bottles and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C. The solubilized sarcoplasmic proteins were poured off the remaining fish pellet into a glass beaker, covered with foil, and stored at 4°C for 24 h. After 24 hours, the solution of sarcoplasmic proteins was taken out of the refrigerator, filtered through cheese cloth and collected in a glass bottle to be used immediately. The amount of sarcoplasmic proteins in the solution was 55.7±4.6mg/100ml as determined using Bradford Protein Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., CA) with bovine serum albumin as the standard.

2.4. Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP)

After the sarcoplasmic proteins were removed by solubilization, the remaining fish paste pellet containing mainly myofibrillar silver carp protein and other insoluble fractions (i.e. skin, bones, etc.) was diluted with distilled, deionized water at a 1:6 dilution ratio in a glass beaker using a homogenizer (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). After the pH was adjusted to and stabilized at pH 12.3 using 1 mol equi/L Ca(OH)$_2$ (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA) for MP solubilization, homogenization continued for an additional 5 min. The homogenate was then transferred into centrifuge bottles and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C (Sorvall RC-SB Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge, Du Pont, Wilmington, DE, USA) to separate insoluble (bones, skin, scales, etc.) and lipids from the protein and process water. After centrifugation, the lipids and insoluble components were removed from the supernatant containing mostly solubilized protein by filtration through a cheese cloth. Glacial acetic acid (AA) (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, USA) was used to return the pH to 5.5, the isoelectric
point of the protein for protein precipitation. Homogenization continued for an additional 5 min at protein isoelectric point. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 15 min at 4°C for protein recovery. The recovered protein was collected and stored at 4°C following centrifugation.

2.5. Protein paste development

Protein pastes were developed as described previously (Paker et al., 2013b, 2014). A universal food processor (model UMC5, Stephan Machinery Corp., Columbus, OH) was used to chop and mix the recovered SC protein or thawed AP at low speed for 5 min with functional additives such as (20g/kg) salt, (15-20g/kg) potato starch (Penbind 1000 modified potato starch, Penford Food Ingredients Corp., Centennial, CO), (3g/kg) polyphosphate (Kena FP-28, Innophos, Cranbury, NJ), (0-144mg/kg) sarcoplasmic proteins solution and (0-5g/kg) TGase (Activa GS 100, Ajinomoto Inc., Tokyo, Japan) depending on the treatment. The ingredients and the amounts used in protein paste development are presented in Table 1. Each treatment was designed so that the total functional additives would add to the standard amount of 23g/kg of gel composition used in previous studies (Taskaya et al., 2009; Paker et al., 2013b). The temperature of the food processor was adjusted to -10°C so that the protein temperature would not go over 4°C throughout the process. The final moisture was set to 820g/kg (confirmed by a moisture analyzer) using either chilled (4°C) distilled water or a chilled (4°C) solution of sarcoplasmic proteins recovered prior to ISP processing. The protein solution contained 55.7±4.6mg of sarcoplasmic proteins per 100ml. The gels with 77mg and 144mg protein solution contained 77±8.3mg and 144±12.6mg sarcoplasmic proteins, respectively determined using Bradford Assay with bovine serum as the standard. Setting the pH of the paste to pH 7.0-7.2 was accomplished by adding AA or 1 mol equi/L Ca(OH)₂. After chopping for an additional 3 min at
high speed under vacuum (50kPa), the paste was transferred to a vacuum bag and vacuum packaged (Ultravac KOCH Packaging, KOCH Supplies Inc., Kansas City, MO, USA) to remove air pockets that may interfere with the texture analyses.

2.6. Preparation of the protein gels

The vacuum bag containing protein paste was placed in a stainless steel sausage stuffer and pressed into cylindrical stainless steel tubes (length 17.5 cm, inner diameter 1.9 cm) and dumbbell shaped pre-molded stainless steel torsion tubes (length = 17.5 cm, end diameter = 1.9 cm, mid-section diameter = 1.0 cm) that were lightly sprayed with cooking oil (PAM Original cooking spray, ConAgra Foods, USA) before stuffing. The tubes containing protein gels were refrigerated (4°C) for 24h to allow gel formation. After gel setting period, the tubes were taken out of the refrigerator and cooked in a water bath (Precision, Jouan Inc, Winchester, Virginia) at 90°C, for 15 min. The protein gels developed in this study are exposed to heat induced gelation. Although gel matrix forms after gel setting period, the final form of the gels are given by exposing the paste to heat. Following cooking, they were chilled on ice for 15 min. After the gel temperature was adjusted to room temperature, they were removed from the stainless steel tubes by uncapping and letting the gel slide out of the tube. The gels were then cut into smaller pieces for further analyses. Texture and color analyses were performed on the gels samples at room temperature right after the gels were cut and adjusted to room temperature.

2.7. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA)

Texture profile analysis (TPA) measures rheological characteristics such as hardness, chewiness, gumminess, cohesiveness, springiness, and resilience. The analysis was performed as described elsewhere (Paker et al., 2014; Taskaya et al., 2009) and the values were computed using a software (Texture Expert Exceed version 2.64, Stable Micro Systems, 2003). The gel
samples (length 2.54 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) were tested by being placed under a 70 mm TPA compression plate attachment that compressed the sample for two cycles.

2.8. Kramer Shear Cell

Kramer shear cell test also measures rheological attributes such as firmness and cohesiveness by mimicking mastication. A texture analyzer (Model TA-HDi, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY) was used to perform analysis with a Kramer cell attachment. The gel samples (length 8 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) were placed under the Kramer cell attachment consisting of five shear blades (3 mm thick and 70 mm wide) after being weighed. After the blades cut through the gels, shear force (N peak force g/gel sample) was measured at 127 mm min\(^{-1}\) crosshead speed. The shear stress is calculated as the shear force over the area of the gel.

2.9. Torsional shear stress and strain

A Hamman Gelometer (gel Consultant, Raleigh, NC) was used to measure torsional shear stress and shear strain at fracture. Similar to the Kramer shear cell test, these attributes help in determining gel strength and cohesiveness. The protein gels that were molded in the dumbbell shaped steel tubes were cut into 2.54 cm long cylinders after removal from the tubes. Top and bottom ends of the samples were glued to plastic discs, set at room temperature for 20 min and then placed in a Hamman torsion meter (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC, USA). The samples were twisted at 2.5 rpm until they broke at fracture in the mid-section. Shear stress and strain were calculated using Torsion Vane (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC, USA) from torque and angular displacement data. At least 6 samples were tested for each formula and the results were presented as mean± standard deviation.

2.10. Color and whiteness
A colorimeter (Minolta Camera Co. Ltd, Osaka, Japan) calibrated with a standard white plate No.21333180 (CIE L* 93.1; a* 0.3135; b* 0.3198) was used for color measurements. Colorimeter read values of L* (lightness; scale: 0 - 100), a* (intensity in red color; scale: -60 - +60), and b* (intensity in yellow color; scale: -60 - +60) after gel samples (length 2.54 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) were placed under the sensor. For whiteness calculations, the following equation was used:

Whiteness = 100 − \[(100 − L)² + a² + b²\]¹/²

2.11. Statistical Analysis

Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation was applied 3 times for each protein gel formula tested. The gels were made from the combination of the three separate replications of protein recovery. Bradford analysis was replicated 5 times to determine the amount of sarcoplasmic protein in solution. Texture analyses (TPA, Kramer shear cell and Torsion) were replicated at least four times, and the color was measured at least six times per treatment. The data were presented as mean (± SD) and analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Mean values of gels made with silver carp or Alaska Pollack were separated by Tukey-Kramer’s honestly significant differences test (HSD) (p< 0.05) (JMP 10, SAS Inst., Cary, N.C., USA). The significant differences between the same functional additive treatments in SC and AP were identified using a one way ANOVA test (p<0.05).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Texture

The purpose of using a solution of sarcoplasmic proteins in the current study was to investigate the feasibility of adding some portion of fish processing water back to the protein gel and to determine its effect on gel texture and color. Sarcoplasmic protein, a water-soluble protein found up to 30g/100g of fish muscle tissue, majorly consists of enzymes such as endogenous...
TGase (Miyaguchi et al., 2000). TGase is an enzyme that enhances gel network formation of myofibrillar protein. Moreover, it triggers acyl transfer reaction which enables the formation of ε-(γ-glutamyl)lysine linkages between molecules that are not susceptible to proteolytic activity (Yongsawatdigul & Piyadhammaviboon, 2007). Sarcoplasmic protein isolated from common carp also showed proteolysis inhibitory activity and incorporation of 1.8 g sarcoplasmic protein/kg paste into Threadfin bream surimi gels increased gel breaking force and resistance to deformation by inhibiting proteolysis (Siriangkanakun & Yongsawatdigul, 2012).

Texture profile analysis (TPA) results presented in Figure 1 show that ISP-recovered silver carp (SC) protein and Alaska Pollack (AP) gels containing 5g TGase were harder, gummier, chewier, more cohesive and resilient (p<0.05) than all gels with added sarcoplasmic proteins. For the most part, there were no differences in springiness (p>0.05). There were no differences between Kramer shear stress in the SC samples (p>0.05) regardless of concentration of sarcoplasmic proteins; however, similar to TPA findings, Kramer shear stress in AP samples and Kramer Shear force for both SC protein and AP was greatest (p<0.05) when no sarcoplasmic proteins were added to the gels (Figure 2). Torsional stress and strain results were consistent with Kramer results (Figure 2). There were no significant differences (p>0.05) in torsional shear stress and few differences in torsional shear strain in SC gels; however, AP gels had significantly greater (p<0.05) torsional shear stress and strain when no sarcoplasmic proteins were added.

Sarcoplasmic proteins have low water holding capacity and therefore, do not allow for a well-developed gel matrix formation that entraps water and the rest of the gel constituents (Sikorski, 1994). In addition, they do not form a gel matrix (Haard et al., 1994) which may explain why a very small quantity (77mg) of sarcoplasmic proteins in addition to 5g TGase yielded similar textural impacts as adding 144mg sarcoplasmic proteins and no TGase. The
sarcoplasmic proteins may have disrupted the gel network formation of the myofibrillar protein and interfered with TGase activity. In contrast, increasing the amount of sarcoplasmic proteins, i.e., 35g from common carp and 1-5g from rockfish, was reported to harden gels as indicated by textural analysis (Jafarpour & Gorczyca, 2009; Kim et al., 2005). However, the sarcoplasmic proteins in these studies was concentrated by lyophilization, which may have induced conformational changes to native protein structure and decreased functional properties of sarcoplasmic proteins. It would be interesting to see if adding higher amounts (>1g) of sarcoplasmic proteins that has not undergone any denaturation inducing process, like in this current study, would have a similar gel strengthening effect on myofibrillar protein gels.

Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) is typically used as the processing base to increase pH during ISP-processing. In this present study, calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}) was used as the processing base and this may have also played a role in the results. In a previous study from our lab, protein gels (final moisture 80g/100g) were made from ISP-recovered silver carp protein (using AA as the processing acid and NaOH as the processing base) and functional additives (including 5g TGase) (Paker et al., 2014). When the current TPA data was compared to the previous data, there were no significant differences (p>0.05) between the hardness of SC gels made with 144mg sarcoplasmic proteins (with no added TGase) and the standard SC gels (NaOH, 5g TGase, no added SP) from the previous study (Paker et al., 2014). Moreover, the gels made in this present study were more cohesive and resilient (p<0.05) than the gels prepared in the previous study (NaOH, TGase no added SP) (Paker et al., 2014). Hardness of protein gels will decrease with increasing moisture content (Lin et al., 2000); however, in this present study the final moisture was 820g/kg compared to 800g/kg in the previous study and there were no differences in gel hardness. It is likely that Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} played a role in increasing hardness of the protein gels due to
the increased amount of calcium (Ca) in the recovered protein fraction by withholding more water (Barbut, 1995). Therefore, replacing NaOH with Ca(OH)$_2$ in the current study may have contributed to the harder, more resilient and more cohesive gels due to calcium fortification of the recovered protein. Further investigations that directly compare the effects of different bases on the final product are necessary.

Comparison of texture results between fish sources when the same treatment was applied showed that SC gels with added sarcoplasmic proteins (no TGase) were harder, gummier and chewier and had more torsional shear stress (p<0.05) compared to AP gels (no TGase) with added sarcoplasmic proteins. Addition of sarcoplasmic proteins without TGase interfered with the gel matrix formation for Alaska Pollock gels, whereas TGase addition resulted in a more (p<0.05) cohesive, gummier, chewier AP gel with higher (p<0.05) shear stress and strain compared to the gels with TGase and added sarcoplasmic proteins. Sarcoplasmic proteins and surimi wash water contain endogenous TGase which is a calcium dependent enzyme (Ramirez et al., 2007). When Ca(OH)$_2$ is used as the processing base during ISP, the recovered protein has an increased calcium content (Paker et al., 2014). This calcium entrapped in the protein fraction likely interacted with the endogenous TGase in the sarcoplasmic proteins which contributed to the changes in textural properties in the silver carp protein gels. On the other hand, adding exogenous microbial TGase, which is not calcium dependent, was likely beneficial for developing protein gels from fish sources that are not calcium enhanced like Alaska Pollock surimi. Sarcoplasmic proteins recovered from the process water of surimi or seafood products may have potential as an additive in calcium fortified protein gels; however, the impact on textural properties of the final product needs to be determined.

3.2. Color
Color is an important attribute for consumer acceptance of a food product. ISP is an effective method of removing blood, connective tissue and certain color pigments with the use of pH shifts which is especially necessary when using fish with dark skin and meat such as silver carp (Taskaya et al., 2009). Still, researchers have shown that using titanium dioxide was an effective additive for a whiter and more acceptable food ingredient since some yellow pigments are retained in the protein fraction during ISP (Taskaya et al., 2010).

Lightness of the gels (Table 2) indicated by L* were all similar to or higher than the lightness of ISP-recovered silver carp protein gels made with protein solubilized using NaOH and HCl as well as that of Alaska Pollack surimi with added titanium dioxide (Taskaya et al., 2010). Lightness of the SC gels were statistically higher (p<0.05) when sarcoplasmic proteins and TGase were added. This may be due to the proteolytic activity inhibition effect of sarcoplasmic proteins lowering gel darkening and the increased protein binding caused by exogenous TGase addition working synergistically to form a homogenous inner gel network (Siriangkanakun & Yongsawatdigul, 2012).

Whiteness was the highest (p<0.05) when sarcoplasmic proteins and TGase were added to the recovered SC protein and AP gels (Figure 3). Moreover, when sarcoplasmic proteins and TGase were added, the whiteness of the SC protein gel was higher than gels made with recovered SC protein using NaOH and added titanium dioxide in a previous study (Paker et al., 2014). The whiteness of all other treatments were comparable to or higher than gels made with isoelectrically recovered SC protein either using NaOH as the processing base and with the addition of titanium dioxide (Paker et al., 2014; Taskaya et al., 2010). Using Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} as the processing base increased the whiteness of silver carp gels and may eliminate the need for
adding titanium dioxide as a whitening agent. AP is a whiter fish that may not need a whitening agent for an acceptable final product.

4. Conclusion

Sarcoplasmic proteins are highly soluble and often discarded with fish processing waste water. When sarcoplasmic proteins were added to protein gels, gels were softer and less cohesive, gummy, chewy and resilient. Additionally, comparison of different protein sources, recovered silver protein and Alaska Pollack surimi, showed that different additives have different effects on gels based on the composition of the protein used. Addition of sarcoplasmic proteins reduced the gel matrix formation in AP gels; however, SC gels were not as affected by the addition of sarcoplasmic proteins as AP gels. Transglutaminases in mammal and fish tissues require Ca for expression of enzymatic activity. It is likely that endogenous TGase in the sarcoplasmic proteins (from fish) was more active in the silver carp gels because of the increased Ca content resulting in textural differences. Future studies comparing effects of sarcoplasmic proteins and endogenous TGase on protein gels with different calcium content would be of interest.
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Table 1. Description and amount of protein gel additives for different treatments. The amount of functional additives were kept at 23g for a kg protein paste for each treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Isoelectrically Recovered</th>
<th>SP (mg)</th>
<th>TGase (g)</th>
<th>Starch (g)</th>
<th>Polyphosphates (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP+TGase</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGase</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP+TGase</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGase</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC: Silver Carp, AP: Alaska Pollock
Table 2. Color properties of protein gels made from recovered Silver carp (SC) protein and Alaska Pollock (AP). Data are given as mean ± standard error of mean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>144mg SP</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>76.02 ± 2.57 c</td>
<td>-1.09 ± 0.36 c</td>
<td>8.77 ± 2.75 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77mg SP+ 5g TGase</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>106.95 ± 3.82 a</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.15 a</td>
<td>2.96 ± 1.13 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5g TGase</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>80.63 ± 2.13 b</td>
<td>-0.36 ± 0.15 b</td>
<td>8.30 ± 1.35 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144mg SP</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>80.32 ± 2.43 b</td>
<td>-2.24 ± 0.27 a</td>
<td>5.10 ± 1.67 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77mg SP+ 5g TGase</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>82.12 ± 1.94 a</td>
<td>-2.66 ± 0.25 b</td>
<td>4.34 ± 2.17 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5g TGase</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>77.32 ± 1.02 c</td>
<td>-3.66 ± 0.18 c</td>
<td>-1.98 ± 1.21 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c Mean values in a column within protein groups with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s least significant difference test, p<0.05).

Bold values indicate significant differences between the same functional additive treatments in SC and AP (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05).
Figure 1. Hardness, Springiness, Cohesiveness, Gumminess, Chewiness and Resilience (texture profile analysis) of gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. Black solid fill indicates 144 mg SP, gray solid fill shows 77 mg SP and 5 g TGase, and striped fill presents data for 5 g TGase containing gels. a,b,c. Mean values with different letters in each fish group are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Significant differences between the same functional additive treatments in silver carp and Alaska Pollock (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) are indicated with an *. 
Figure 2. Kramer Shear Force, Kramer Shear Stress ($\tau$), Torsional Stress (kPa) and Torsional Strain of recovered silver carp protein and Alaska Pollock surimi gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. Black solid fill indicates 144 mg SP, gray solid fill shows 77 mg SP and 5 g TGase, and striped fill presents data for 5 g TGase containing gels. a,b,c Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05) from the other mean values in the corresponding fish species group. Significant differences between the same functional additive treatments in silver carp and Alaska Pollock (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) are indicated with an *. nd for not detected.
Figure 3. Whiteness of silver carp protein and Alaska Pollock surimi gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation.

Mean values with different letters in each fish group are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Significant differences between the same functional additive treatments in silver carp and Alaska Pollock (one way ANOVA test, p<0.05) are indicated with an *. Black solid fill indicates 144 mg SP, gray solid fill shows 77 mg SP and 5 g TGase, and striped fill presents data for 5 g TGase containing gels.
CHAPTER 5

Effects of Starch Concentration on Calcium Enhanced Black Bullhead Catfish Protein Gels

Abstract

Myofibrillar protein recovered from black bullhead catfish (Ameiurus melas) was used to develop protein gels containing increasing amounts (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste) of potato starch to determine the effects of starch on functional, textural and color properties of calcium enhanced protein gels. Energy required to unfold protein groups (myosin tail, actin, and sarcoplasmic proteins) was higher (p<0.05) with the addition of 5 g starch/kg protein paste. Textural properties such as hardness, gumminess, chewiness, firmness and resistance to deformation as indicated by torsional measurements were all increased (p<0.05) by the addition of starch but for the most part it was not a function of starch concentration. Gels got darker (p<0.05) with the addition of 10, 15, 20 g starch/kg paste. The textural properties of protein gels were generally not affected by starch concentrations between 5 and 20 g/kg protein paste.
1. Introduction

Myofibrillar protein consists of myosin and actin and form thermally induced protein gels by establishing a three dimensional network between protein paste constituents such as fat, protein, and water. The structural and textural properties of the final gel depend on the functionality of the protein groups as well as the composition of the gel formula such as the salt amount, myosin to actin ratio, and other ingredients that might interfere with protein unfolding and aggregation. Functional additives such as starch, salt, polyphosphates, and transglutaminase (an exogenous enzyme) have been used in protein gels to enhance gelation by increasing the intermolecular interactions, water holding capacity, and rate of crosslinking.

Starch granules absorb water and swell during gel formation. Upon heating, they interact with protein and gelatinize, thus increasing gel strength. Amylose to amylopectin ratio influence the gelation properties of different starches. Potato starch, which is high in amylopectin, will increase firmness and cohesiveness among gels made with commercially available starches such as wheat starch. Gel strengthening properties of starch may be especially beneficial for protein gels that are made from chemically processed protein. For example, it was previously shown that myofibrillar fish protein recovered using lactic acid yielded softer and less cohesive gels compared to gels made with protein processed with hydrochloric acid during pH shift recovery process.

In an effort to improve textural properties of MP gels such as hardness, cohesiveness, and resistance to deformation, strategies such as calcium and sarcoplasmic protein addition were applied; however, gel strength still remained lower than gels made with myofibrillar protein recovered using sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid during pH shift processing. Moreover, it was important to determine the impact of modifying starch content in gels to verify the effects.
of other additives such as sarcoplasmic protein in the previously conducted studies \(^6,7\). Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the functional, texture and color changes in myofibrillar protein gels containing increasing amounts of potato starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ground catfish preparation

All equipment used to handle fish were sanitized prior to fish arrival. Freshly caught (Dog Wood Lake, Morgantown WV) black bullhead catfish (\textit{Ameiurus melas}) were transported to the meats processing laboratory at West Virginia University in containers with ice and carbon monoxide within 1 h of being caught. Fish were rinsed under running tap water, gutted, and coarsely ground twice using a meat processor (Hobart Model 4146, Troy, OH, USA) with a coarse grinding plate attachment. The coarse fish paste was then transferred to lidded stainless steel trays for storage at -20°C for 12 h to prevent thermal denaturation. After being processed through the meat grinder using a fine grinder plate twice, the finely ground fish were individually packaged (500 g) into freezer bags (Ziplock Freezer Bags, S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., Racine, WI, USA). The bags of fish were vacuum packaged (Ultravac KOCH Packaging, KOCH Supplies Inc., Kansas City, MO, USA) and stored at -80°C for a maximum of 5 days until further analysis was conducted.

2.2. Myofibrillar protein separation using isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP)

Myofibrillar fish protein was extracted using a method called isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP) that relies on pH shifts to solubilize and then precipitate the protein \(^8\). Thawed (4°C for 24-48h), ground catfish (500 g) was mixed with distilled deionized water at a 1:6 (fish: water) ratio in a glass beaker. Isoelectric solubilization and precipitation was carried out as described elsewhere \(^9\). The initial pH of the mixture was pH 6.6±0.2, confirmed using a
calibrated pH/ion analyzer (Oakton, Eutech Instruments; Singapore). In order to facilitate protein solubilization, 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)\(_2\)) was added to the mixture to raise the pH to 11.0 while homogenizing using a laboratory grade, sanitized homogenizer (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA)\(^9\). After reaching the targeted pH value, homogenization was continued for an additional 10 min. The mixture was then centrifuged at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C (Sorvall RC-SB Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge, Du Pont, Wilmington, DE, USA). Distinct layers had formed after centrifugation, lipids having formed the top layer and the bottom pellet containing insolubles (such as skin, bones, and scales) were discarded. Solubilized protein that made up the aqueous middle layer was filtered through a cheese cloth into a glass beaker. Homogenization was continued while lactic acid was added and the pH was reduced to the protein isoelectric point of pH 5.5. The mixture was homogenized for an additional 10 min after reaching the target pH to allow ample time for precipitation. The solution was then centrifuged at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C and the protein having formed a pellet at the bottom of the centrifuge tubes were collected into a freezer cups. The supernatant was discarded. The recovered protein was used for paste development immediately after being collected.

2.3. Protein paste development

Immediately following protein recovery, the collected protein (800 g) was used to develop protein paste. A universal food processor (model UMC5, Stephan Machinery Corp., Columbus, OH) was used to chop protein at low speed for 1 min. Salt (20 g/kg paste) was added and the mixture was chopped for 1 min. The initial moisture of the recovered protein (85 g/100g) confirmed by a moisture analyzer (Ohaus, Model MB45, Switzerland) was adjusted to 80g/100g by adding chilled water (4°C). Transglutaminase (5 g/kg paste), (3 g/kg paste) polyphosphates (Kena FP-28, Innophos, Cranbury, NJ, USA) and (0, 5, 10, 15, or 20 g/kg paste) potato starch
(Penbind 1000 modified potato starch, Penford Food Ingredients Corp., Centennial, CO, USA) was added depending on the tested formula. After final pH of the mixture was adjusted to pH 7.0-7.2 (Oakton, Eutech Instruments; Singapore) by adding lactic acid, the mixture was chopped for 3 min at high speed under vacuum (50 kPa). Thermal denaturation was prevented by adjusting the temperature of the universal food processor at 1-4°C throughout the process. The protein paste was then transferred to vacuum bags and the air that might have interfered with the texture and color analysis results was removed (Ultravac KOCH Packaging, KOCH Supplies Inc., Kansas City, MO, USA). Protein paste (10 g) was separated for differential scanning calorimetry analysis immediately after paste development. Stainless steel tubes (length= 17.5 cm, inner diameter= 1.9 cm) and dumbbell shaped stainless steel torsion tubes (length= 17.5 cm, end diameter= 1.9 cm, midsection diameter= 1.0 cm) were lightly sprayed with canola oil to avoid sticking of gels upon cooking. Protein paste was pressed into the tubes using a gel presser. The tubes containing the pastes were stored in the refrigerator (4°C) for 24h to allow for gel formation.

2.4. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) Analysis

Thermal changes in protein pastes (10-15 µg) were assessed using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC; DSC Infinity Series F5010, Instrument Specialists, Inc., Spring Grove, IL, USA) during which temperature was increased from 5°C to 90°C at a rate of 10°C min⁻¹. Analysis was replicated for 4 times per each gel sample containing different amounts of starch and the results were computed using Infinite Software (Instrument Specialists, Inc., Spring Grove, IL, USA). Thermograms were drawn for each sample using the mean values of 4 replications. Temperature onset, temperature maximum, and enthalpy required for thermal transactions were presented as mean ± standard deviation.
2.5. Protein gel development

The steel tubes containing protein pastes that were stored (4 °C) for 24 h were cooked at 90°C for 20 min in a water bath (Precision, Jouan Inc, Winchester, Virginia). The cooked gels in tubes were then chilled on ice for 15 min, and stored approximately at room temperature (1 h) for adjustment. The gels were then removed from the steel tubes, cut and analyzed for expressible water content, texture and color properties.

2.6. Expressible water content

Expressible water content was determined for each formula by folding 5 g of sample in 2 layers of Whatman No.1 filter paper and centrifuging at 7500 x G for 15 min (Eppendorf, Micro-centrifuge 5430 R with F-35-6-30 rotor, Hamburg, Germany). The final weight was recorded. Expressible water content was calculated using the formula:

Expressible water (%) = \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100

The test was performed in triplicates for each formula tested and the results were given as mean ± standard deviation.

2.7. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA)

Texture profile analysis (TPA) determining hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, chewiness, and resilience was performed using a texture analyzer (Model TA-HDi, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY, USA) using a compression plate attachment (70 mm). Gels were cut so they were 2.54 cm long with a diameter of 1.9 cm. The results were calculated using Texture Expert software (Texture Expert Exceed version 2.64, Stable Micro Systems, 2003, Hamilton, MA, USA). Each gel sample made using different amount of starch was replicated at least 6 times. Data was presented as mean ± standard deviation.
2.8. Kramer Shear Cell Test

Kramer shear cell test mimics the process of a food sample being cut with a knife and is used to measure firmness and cohesiveness of a gel sample. A texture analyzer (Model TA-HDi, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY) with a Kramer cell attachment was used to cut through the samples with a five blade (3 mm thick and 70 mm wide) attachment. Peak force (g peak force g\(^{-1}\) gel sample) was measured at 127 mm min\(^{-1}\) crosshead speed. Shear force and shear stress were calculated by dividing the peak force by the weight of each sample, and dividing the peak force by the area of the sample, respectively. The test was replicated at least 6 times using gel samples (length 8 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) for each formula tested. The results were provided using Texture Expert software (Texture Expert Exceed version 2.64, Stable Micro Systems, 2003, Hamilton, MA, USA). Data was presented as mean ± standard deviation.

2.9. Torsion Analysis

Torsional shear stress and strain measure the gel strength and uniformity by twisting the sample until it break at the mid-section of the dumbbell shaped gels. Hamman Gelometer (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC, USA) was used to analyze the gel samples which were glued to plastic discs at the top and bottom. Data retrieved from at least 6 replications per tested formula was analyzed using Torsion Vane software (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC, USA) and presented as mean ± standard deviation.

2.10. Color measurement

Color was measured using a colorimeter (Minolta Camera Co. Ltd, Osaka, Japan) calibrated with a standard white plate No.21333180 (CIE L* 93.1; a* 0.3135; b* 0.3198). After collecting the data for L* (lightness; scale: 0 - 100), a* (intensity in red color; scale: -60 - +60), and b* (intensity in yellow color; scale: -60 - +60), whiteness was calculated using the equation:
Whiteness = 100 − [(100 − L)^2 + a^2 + b^2]^{1/2}

At least twelve samples were used for each formula tested and the results were given as mean ± standard deviation.

2.11. Statistical Analysis

ISP and formulation trials were randomized prior to beginning of the study using JMP software (JMP 10.2, SAS Inst., Cary, NC, USA). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used at a significance level of 0.05 and the mean values for each formula tested were statistically separated using Tukey’s Honestly Significant Differences test. Data was presented as mean ± standard deviation. Different letters (a, b, c) were assigned to significantly different values of tested gels containing different amounts of starch. Further statistical analysis comparing the gel samples with starch (5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste) were compared, significant differences (p<0.05) are presented with x, y, z. Regression analysis was performed for Texture Profile Analysis data.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

Thermal changes induced in protein groups in myofibrillar protein gels containing different amounts (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste) of starch are presented in Figure 1 and Table 1. Myosin and actin are the main protein groups in myofibrillar protein and are responsible for cross-linking and entrapping gel constituents. Starch as an additive may affect actin and myosin thermo-stability and denaturation enthalpies due to its ability to absorb and hold water as well as its gelation properties on its own. Peak I, represents the denaturation and aggregation of myosin head which was similar between gels containing different amount gels. Denaturation started around 6 °C for myosin head, where the most (p<0.05) energy required to unfold the
molecule was seen in gels containing 5 and 10 g starch/kg protein paste. Myosin tail is relatively less thermo-susceptible than the head. Denaturation began around 26-33 °C and enthalpy was increased (p<0.05) with the addition of 5 g starch/kg protein paste. Similarly, actin and sarcoplasmic protein unfolding temperatures both onset and maximum temperature required for denaturation shown under Peak III and IV respectively was similar between gel formulae tested; however, the energy required for denaturation was much higher (p<0.05) for gels containing 5 g starch/kg protein paste.

The binding effects of starch are highly dependent on the composition of the gel constituents as well as the type of starch incorporated into the protein paste. For example, amylose and amylopectin being the major components of starch, have different effects on protein gelation. Amylopectin is the main contributor to cohesive gel network formation in protein gels increasing gel strength and viscosity, whereas amylose does not show a synergistic effect in combination with protein. Modified potato starch used in this study has 76% amylopectin, which is higher than other starch sources. In correlation with that, granule size being an important indicator of potential for swelling is higher in potato starch while the range of particle sizes are wider compared to corn and lentil starch. This may be the reason why a very low amount of starch, such as 5 g/kg paste was able to affect protein unfolding and aggregation curves. Therefore, the high enthalpies (myosin tail, actin, and sarcoplasmic protein) shown in this study when a very low amount of starch (5g/kg paste) was added to protein paste was possibly due to the high swelling and water absorption properties of starch granules increasing thermal stability of protein fractions without dominating or competing with protein groups for water absorption.
Starch granules are more thermo-stable and begin gelling after the protein groups. Both protein and starch compete for the water in the gel, and since protein starts cross-linking earlier, some portion of starch gelation is prevented by either lack of water or due to being entrapped by protein network. Therefore, the lower thermal stability displayed by the enthalpies (myosin tail, actin, sarcoplasmic proteins) of gels containing higher amounts of starch (10, 15, 20 g/kg paste) may be due to the increased amylose and amylopectin in the paste not being able to gelatinize. Overall, the maximum amount of starch to be included in protein gels for avoiding increased thermal susceptibility depends greatly on the type and concentration of protein, starch and the other additives in the paste as well as the ionic strength, heating rate and the pH of the environment.

3.2. Expressible water content

Expressible water content is an important measure that shows how well the gel had formed around water molecules, entrapping liquid constituents. Expressible water content decreased as starch amount increased (p<0.05) due to the swelling of starch granules as expected (Figure 2). It is interesting to note that expressible water content was significantly lower (p<0.05) in gels with no starch or 10 g starch/kg protein paste. This was also observed in a preliminary study using calcium enhanced protein to develop protein gels with 10 g starch/kg paste, 3 g polyphosphates/kg paste, and 10 g sarcoplasmic protein/kg paste, where Kramer and torsional shear stress of gels were not affected from the low water holding properties but gels were softer, less cohesive, weaker, and less resistant to axial deformation (results not shown). On the other hand, a similarly designed study using sodium enhanced protein rather than calcium showed that addition of 10 g starch/kg protein paste formed firmer gels with higher (p<0.05) textural
properties compared to similarly formulated gel made from calcium enhanced myofibrillar protein.

The low expressible water content associated with less cohesive gels, observed in gels containing 10 g starch/kg protein paste may be attributed to the calcium-starch interaction. Calcium binds to amino acids at the carboxyl side chains. Starch also forms hydrogen bonds at the carboxyl end of the proteins and may compete with calcium during gelation of calcium enhanced protein gels. Moreover, increased calcium content is directly related to increased swelling of protein gels and decreased elasticity and viscosity. Therefore, calcium competing with starch for both water and active binding sites of proteins may have been responsible for the functional and textural differences of gels having no starch or the lowest amount (5 g/kg protein paste) starch. It is possible that 10 g/kg protein paste starch interfered with the calcium-protein binding; however, the amount of starch was not enough to display its gel strengthening or water withholding properties. Therefore, higher amounts of starch (15, 20 g/kg protein paste) showed lower expressible water content.

3.3. Texture properties

Textural properties (hardness, springiness, gumminess, and chewiness) of the gels containing different amounts of starch are shown in Figure 3. Hardness, chewiness, and gumminess of gels containing starch was greater (p<0.05) than gels with no starch; however, springiness and cohesiveness did not change with the addition of starch (p>0.05). These properties were not changed as the amount of starch in the gels was increased. The amount of starch included in protein gels may be too small to notice more apparent differences. Increased calcium content was previously linked to higher endogenous TGase activity, and may be responsible for the “no starch gels” forming a cohesive network. It was previously reported that
calcium increased thermo-stability of the protein gels by binding to the free carboxylic groups of aspartic and glutamic acids, thus triggering crosslinking of proteins. The starch concentrations tested in this study are much lower than the previously conducted research using 30-80 g starch/kg protein paste. Starch content in food products is usually high due to the textural improvements as well as cost. In this study, the aim was to test the effects of starch amounts generally incorporated into restructured myofibrillar protein.

The gels made with 20 g starch/kg protein paste were less cohesive (p<0.05) than all other starch gels. This is consistent with previous reports where starch addition increases gel formation and water withholding up to a certain concentration, and then interferes with myofibrillar protein cross-linking properties. Starch that is partially swollen due to inadequate amount of water in the protein paste may form large granules, leaving the portion of starch that has not absorbed water as small granules; therefore, interfering with the uniformity of the gel. Overall, there were no differences (p>0.05) between starch containing gels in hardness, springiness, gumminess, and chewiness. A similar study investigating the effects of lentil starch at increasing amounts in gels concluded that starch amount did not affect (p>0.05) gel properties such as strength and gumminess at lower starch concentrations (100-150 g/kg). The starch amounts used in this study are much lower than the ones incorporated into gels in the previously mentioned study. Therefore, higher amounts of starch in protein gels may display more evident results in protein gel texture.

Kramer shear force and stress, and torsional shear stress and strain of gels is another indicator of gel firmness and resistance to deformation both when the force is applied at the same plane of the product as well as at axial fracture. Linear regression indicated that torsional shear stress and strain would increase with increasing concentrations of starch (R² = 0.78 and 0.78,
respectively) (Figure 4). When only the gels containing starch were compared, the only statistical difference was between gels containing 10 g starch/kg protein paste and 20 g starch/protein paste. These results are consistent with the expressible water data and shows that starch increases swelling of muscle fibers and water with holding capacity. On the other hand, calcium enhanced protein is likely to produce more cohesive gels due to increased cross-linking interaction of myosin and actin. The effects of mineral and starch concentrations on final gel properties may depend on the amino acid composition of the myofibrillar protein, amylose to amylopectin ration of the tested potato starch, and the gel development and cooking strategy applied 10.

3.4. Color

Color and whiteness properties of the protein gels containing increasing concentrations of starch are presented in Table 2 and Figure 5, respectively. Similar to the previous reports, the naturally lighter color of the calcium enhanced protein gels was adversely affected by the addition of higher amounts of starch (10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste) 21,22. Although previous studies reported a trend towards blue and green hue as indicated by an increase in negative a* and b* results respectively; in this study a different color scheme was observed 21. The visually yellow potato starch addition increased (p<0.05) yellowness of gels indicated by b* compared to the gels containing no starch. This was also shown in a previous study, where starch containing gels were more yellow which were attributed starch addition 9. The increased redness (a*) in the starch containing samples (p<0.05) may be as a result of the amylose leakage contributing opaque color 22. When starch granules swell, amylose is leaked into the gel aligning and linking itself with the protein. Upon cooking, amylose is precipitated, influencing the final color of the gel while strengthening the gel structure 21,22. At a certain concentration of added starch, amylose leaking is minimized; therefore, softer and darker gels are observed in gels containing higher
levels of starch\textsuperscript{21,22}. It is possible that the starch amounts used in this study as an additive in myofibrillar protein gels were too small to see differences. The amylose content may not be adequate to improve gel color, thus a decrease in whiteness was observed with the addition of potato starch from 5 g starch/kg protein paste until 20 g starch/kg protein paste suggesting that higher (<20 g/kg paste) amounts of starch may increase whiteness of gels.

4. Conclusions

Overall, gels were harder, gummier, chewier, firmer and more resistant to axial deformation (p<0.05) when starch was added to protein gels; however, the textural properties (hardness, springiness, chewiness, and gumminess) did not change significantly (p>0.05) with the increasing starch addition. This may be due to the interactions and competition between protein, starch and calcium to bind water in the paste. Gel color got darker with the increased starch amounts (10, 15, 20 g/kg paste).
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Figure 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermograms of black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). Each curve was drawn using the mean of data points collected from 4 individual replications.
Figure 2. Expressible water (g/100g) content of protein pastes containing different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste).

Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).
Figure 3. Hardness (g), Springiness (mm), Cohesiveness (ratio), Gumminess (g), Chewiness (g mm) and Resilience (ratio) (texture profile analysis) of gels containing different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. a, b, c indicate differences between all treatments and x, y, z indicate significant differences between only the gels containing starch (5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste) (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).
Figure 4. Kramer shear stress (kPa), Kramer shear force (g/g muscle), torsional shear stress (kPa) and torsional shear strain of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels containing different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. a, b, c Indicate differences between all treatments and x, y indicate significant differences between only the gels containing starch (5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste) (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).
Figure 5. Whiteness of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels containing different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. a, b, c Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).
Table 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements (temperature onset (Tonset), maximum temperature (Tmax), and net enthalpy) of isoelectrically recovered black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different amounts of starch (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste). Peak I and II represent the heat flow required to unfold myosin head and tail respectively. Peak III and IV represent the heat flow required to unfold actin and sarcoplasmic protein respectively. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starch (g/kg)</th>
<th>Peak I</th>
<th>Peak II</th>
<th>Peak III</th>
<th>Peak IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tonset (°C)</td>
<td>Tmax (°C)</td>
<td>Enthalpy (J/g)</td>
<td>Tonset (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.08±0.31 ab</td>
<td>11.10±0.79 a</td>
<td>1.79±0.20 b</td>
<td>26.26±4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.50±0.26 b</td>
<td>9.11±0.71 b</td>
<td>2.51±0.11 a</td>
<td>33.94±5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.28±0.30 a</td>
<td>10.76±0.40 ab</td>
<td>2.49±0.32 a</td>
<td>29.08±1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.98±0.36 ab</td>
<td>10.70±0.54 ab</td>
<td>1.67±0.25 b</td>
<td>26.96±3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.01±0.32 ab</td>
<td>11.11±1.68 a</td>
<td>1.3±0.12 b</td>
<td>25.67±2.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).
Table 2. Color properties of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels containing different starch amounts (0, 5, 10, 15, 20 g/kg protein paste), where L* indicates lightness (scale: 0-100), a* measures the intensity of red color (scale: -60 to +60), and b* shows the intensity of yellow color (scale: -60 to +60). Data are given as mean ± standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starch (g/kg paste)</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>70.88±1.00 a</td>
<td>-0.16±0.07 c</td>
<td>8.77±0.49 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>70.69±1.56 a</td>
<td>-0.46±0.16 d</td>
<td>9.79±0.65 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>69.09±1.20 b</td>
<td>-0.19±0.08 c</td>
<td>9.43±0.29 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>67.96±1.12 b</td>
<td>0.26±0.08 a</td>
<td>9.94±0.22 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>69.14±1.00 b</td>
<td>0.09±0.02 b</td>
<td>9.38±0.88 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).
CHAPTER 6
The Effect of Sarcoplasmic Protein Powder as an Additive in Myofibrillar Protein Gels Recovered by pH-Shift Process Using Lactic Acid

Abstract

Myofibrillar protein (MP) was recovered from ground black bullhead catfish using a pH-shift process where MP was solubilized at pH 11.0 using sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and precipitated at pH 5.5 with lactic acid (LA) or hydrochloric acid (HCl). Sarcoplasmic protein (SP) was recovered by dilution and drying. MP was mixed with standard functional additives and sarcoplasmic protein (SP) at different concentrations and the functional, textural and color properties of protein pastes and cooked gels were tested. The effect of processing acid type (LA or HCl) during MP recovery on paste and gel properties were also investigated. Although thermal unfolding of MP gels were not greatly affected by SP incorporation, gels were harder, gummier, chewier, firmer, and more cohesive (p<0.05) when 5 g TGase/kg paste was added to MP. For the most part, MP recovered using HCl had improved (p<0.05) textual properties (harder, gummier, chewier, and firmer) compared to similarly formulated MP gels developed from LA recovered MP. SP addition yielded whiter (p<0.05) MP gels. The influence of SP may be more evident if greater amounts (>23 g SP/kg paste) are added to MP gels. Moreover, SP may be used in reconstructed food products where softer gel structure is desired.

Keywords: sarcoplasmic protein, lactic acid, protein gel, functionality, texture, color
1. Introduction

Surimi, a worldwide consumed myofibrillar protein (MP) concentrate, is obtained by extensively washing fish mince usually from white-fleshed fish such as Alaska Pollock \(^1\-^2\). Standard surimi is composed of 35-45g/100g protein, 40 g/100g water, 5-10 g/100g starch, 1 g/100g additives, 5 g/100g oil, 2 g/100g salt and trace amount of flavorings and colorants \(^3\)-\(^4\). The repeatedly performed wash cycles facilitates removal of gelation interfering agents such as water soluble proteins and lipids, resulting in a reduction of the final protein content yet an improvement of functional and textural properties in the final product \(^2\)-\(^5\). The process water contains from 0.5-2.3g/100g up to 50g/100g solids of which are mainly sarcoplasmic proteins (SP) \(^2\)-\(^6\)-\(^8\). SP are water soluble, are easily collected, and have the potential to be incorporated back into the human diet rather than being discarded \(^5\)-\(^9\). One way of utilizing the SP is to add it back to MP and process it into a surimi-like gel. Although there is controversy on the effects of using SP as an additive in protein gels, recent studies highlight possible positive contributions to MP gelation such as increased gel strength and inhibition of proteases that would otherwise denature the gel \(^15\)-\(^16\).

Protein isolates similar to surimi are concentrated MP often collected from underutilized species such as carp, catfish, and mackerel \(^10\)-\(^12\). Recovery of protein isolates is generally achieved by using a pH shift recovery process. MP in ground fish is solubilized by adjusting the pH to extremely high (10.5-13) or low (2.0-3.0) values followed by protein precipitation at the isoelectric point of protein (pH 5.5) \(^17\). Since this is a chemical process, a certain degree of irreversible denaturation is inflicted upon the protein; however, the majority of the recovered protein displays similar functionality as the initial starting material or Alaska Pollock surimi \(^18\). In order to more efficiently and sustainably utilize aquatic resources, strategies to repurpose the
SP protein that would have been discarded should be investigated. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to assess the changes in functional, textural, and color properties of MP pastes and gels as a result of increasing SP concentration. In addition, it was previously suggested that using organic acids (such as formic and lactic acid) during the pH-shift process would induce fewer changes in protein functionality when compared to the traditionally used strong acid, hydrochloric acid (HCl) \(^{13,14}\). Furthermore, sodium lactate which would be present in MP recovered using LA, was reportedly two times more effective than sucrose as a cyroprotectant due to stabilizing effect on actomyosin (indicator of gel formation) \(^{19}\). Therefore the differences in functional, textural, and color properties of pastes and gels made from MP solubilized using LA and HCl were compared.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of starting material

Fresh black bullhead catfish (\textit{Ameiurus melas}) was supplied by Dog Wood Lake, Morgantown WV. Fish were placed in coolers filled with ice and carbon monoxide. Upon arrival at the meats processing laboratory at West Virginia University the fish were immediately headed, gutted and rinsed under running tap water. A sanitized meat grinder (Hobart Model 4146, Troy, OH, USA) with a coarse grinder plate was used to ground the fish into a thick paste which was stored in steel trays with plastic lids and chilled at -20°C freezer overnight. The frozen thick paste was ground further using a fine grinder plate, then the fine paste was individually packaged (500g) into freezer bags (Ziplock Freezer Bags, S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., Racine, Wis., U.S.A.), vacuum packaged (Ultravac KOCH Packaging, KOCH Supplies Inc., Kansas City, MO, USA) and stored at -80°C until analyses were performed. The experiments were completed in 10 days.

2.2. SP Recovery
Initial starting material, finely ground catfish (1500g) was thawed at 4°C for 24-48 h prior to experiments. After diluting the thawed, ground fish at a ratio of 1:3 fish: deionized/distilled water, the solution was homogenized for 15 min using a steel homogenizer (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). The solution was then transferred to centrifuge bottles, and spun at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C (Sorvall RC-SB Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge, Du Pont, Wilmington, DE, USA). The supernatant was filtered through cheese cloth into freezer cups. Following lyophilization (VirTis Freeze Dryer, SP Scientific, Stone Ridge, NY, USA), the SP in powder form was weighed and stored at 4°C until further analysis.

2.3. MP Recovery

MP was separated from ground catfish using isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP) process which is a pH-shift protein extraction method. Initial starting material (1500 g) was diluted at a ratio of 1:6 with distilled/deionized water in a glass beaker and homogenized (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). MP protein solubilization occurred at pH 11.0 (pH analyzer, Oakton, Eutech Instruments; Singapore) with the addition of 10N NaOH and homogenization was continued for an additional 10 min to stabilize the solution. The solution was transferred to 1L centrifuge bottles and spun at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C (Sorvall RC-SB Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge, Du Pont, Wilmington, DE, USA). Phase separation was achieved during centrifugation. The lipid fraction formed the top layer and was removed using a metal spatula. The middle section containing the aqueous protein slurry was filtered through a cheese cloth into a glass beaker. The pH of the solution was reduced to the protein isoelectric point of pH 5.5 using LA or HCl. The homogenization continued for another 5 min after confirming the pH of 5.5 to allow for more efficient protein precipitation. The solution was then
poured into 1L centrifuge bottles and centrifuged at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C. The protein fraction formed a pellet following centrifugation and the supernatant was discarded. Recovered protein was immediately used to develop protein pastes.

2.4. Development of protein paste

Extracted MP (800 g) was chopped in a universal food processor (model UMC5, Stephan Machinery Corp., Columbus, OH) at low speed for 1 min. Salt (20g/kg) was added and the mixture was chopped for 1 more min. Moisture of the mixture was adjusted to 800g/kg (Ohaus Model MB45, Nänikon, Switzerland) by adding chilled distilled/deionized water (4 °C).

Standard functional additives including potato starch (0, 10, or 15g/kg) (Penbind 1000 modified potato starch, Penford Food Ingredients Corp., Centennial, CO); polyphosphates (0 or 3g/kg) (Kena FP-28, Innophos, Cranbury, NJ) and transglutaminase (TGase) (0 or 5g/kg) were added at quantities to keep the total amount of additives at 23g/100g. SP was added at 0, 10, or 23g/kg depending on the tested formula. The total amount of functional additives were fixed at 23 g/kg paste which is the standard amount. The mixture was chopped for 1 min and the pH was adjusted to pH 7.0- 7.2 by adding 10N NaOH. After confirming the pH, the mixture was chopped for 3 min at high speed under vacuum (50 kPa). Temperature of the mixture was maintained at 1- 4 °C throughout the process. The paste was then transferred into a vacuum bag and vacuum packaged. A gel presser was used to stuff the paste into stainless steel tubes (length= 17.5 cm, inner diameter= 1.9 cm) and dumbbell shaped stainless steel torsion tubes (length= 17.5 cm, end diameter= 1.9 cm, midsection diameter= 1.0 cm) both of which were lightly sprayed with canola oil to prevent sticking.

2.5. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) Analysis
Thermal changes (temperature onset, maximum temperature, and enthalpy) in the protein paste when subjected to heat was measured using DSC (DSC Infinity Series F5010, Instrument Specialists, Inc., Spring Grove, IL). Protein paste (10-15 µg) was spread onto an aluminum pan (Instrument Specialists Inc., 4 mm crimp/en cap), hermetically sealed and placed in the scanner immediately after paste development. The sample was run against an empty container while the temperature in the scanner increased from 5 °C to 90°C at a rate of 10 °C/min. Each tested formulation was individually replicated at least 3 times. Infinite Software (Instrument Specialists Inc., Twin Lakes, WI, USA) was used to draw the thermograms and to analyze the data points.

2.6. MP Gel Preparation

In order to facilitate protein gelation, the stainless steel tubes containing the protein paste were stored at 4°C for 24 h prior to cooking. The tubes were cooked at 90 °C for 20 min in a water bath (Precision, Jouan Inc, Winchester, Virginia) and chilled on ice for 15 min upon being removed. The tubes were then stored at room temperature (approximately 23°C) for 1 h to allow the gels to adjust to room temperature. After being removed from the tubes, the gel samples were cut and immediately analyzed.

2.7. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA)

Textural properties (hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, chewiness and resilience) of MP gels were analyzed using a texture analyzer (Model TA-HDi, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY, USA) with a 70 mm TPA compression plate attachment. The data from 12 cylindrical gel samples (length 2.54 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) analyzed by Texture Expert software (Texture Expert Exceed version 2.64, Stable Micro Systems, 2003) was presented as mean± standard deviation.

2.8. Kramer Shear Cell Test
Kramer shear stress and shear force was analyzed using a texture analyzer (Model TA-HDi, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY, USA) with a Kramer cell attachment with five blades (3 mm thick and 70 mm wide). Each gel sample was weighed prior to testing. The peak force (g/g sample) was measured at 127 mm min\(^{-1}\) crosshead speed. Shear force was determined as a ration of peak force over the weight of the gel sample, and shear stress was calculated by dividing the force by the area of the sample. At least 6 cylindrical gel samples (length 8 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) were run for each formula and the results were analyzed using Texture Expert software (Texture Expert Exceed version 2.64, Stable Micro Systems, 2003).

2.9. Torsion Analysis

Torsional shear stress and shear strain at mechanical fracture was determined using a Hamman torsion meter (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC, USA). At least 8 samples were tested for each formula. The results were obtained using Torsion Vane (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC, USA) and presented as mean± standard deviation.

2.10. Color analysis

L* (lightness; scale: 0 - 100), a* (intensity in red color; scale: -60 - +60), and b* (intensity in yellow color; scale: -60 - +60) were measured using a colorimeter (Minolta Camera Co. Ltd, Osaka, Japan) that was calibrated with a standard white plate No.21333180 (CIE L* 93.1; a* 0.3135; b* 0.3198). At least fifteen samples were tested per formulation. Whiteness of the samples was calculated using the following equation\(^{14}\):

\[
\text{Whiteness} = 100 - [(100 - L)^2 + a^2 + b^2]^{1/2}
\]

2.11. Statistical Analysis

Prior to starting experiments, the MP extraction strategy involving different processing acids and the protein paste formulation trials were randomized. The results were statistically
analyzed with JMP software (JMP 10.2, SAS Inst., Cary, NC, USA) and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied. Significance level was set at 0.05 (p<0.05). The results were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Different letters (a,b,c) indicate significant differences between mean values of gels containing 5 g TGase/kg paste and 10 g SP/kg protein paste with functional additives Tukey’s honestly significant differences (HSD) test, p<0.05). Different letters (x,y,z) represent significant differences in mean values of gels developed from MP recovered using LA within a column (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Formulae not containing any starch or polyphosphates are also compared with each other using Tukey’s HSD with a significance level set at 0.05 and the differences are indicated either in bold or with an *. Italic and bold values are used to indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels developed from MP recovered using hydrochloric acid (HCL) or lactic acid (LA) and with 5 TGase/kg protein paste addition (Tukey’s HSD).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Thermal Changes

Changes in MP gels as a reaction of thermally induced unfolding and aggregation was shown in Figure 1 and Table 1. The two major protein groups in MP are myosin and actin. Peak I represents the change in heat flow due to myosin head denaturation and shows that myosin head started to lose its native structure around 5.6-7.3°C and required 1.3-2.8 J/g of energy as indicated by the enthalpy. Comparison of different protein paste formulae highlighted that the temperature of unfolding (Tonset) was similar among gel samples; however, SP addition with or without functional additives reduced (p<0.05) the energy required to denature myosin head. Similar results were reported when freeze-dried SP in MP rockfish protein had weakened the functionality of the MP gels 20. It is interesting to note that Peak II which represents the thermal
changes of the myosin tail had a wide range of Tonsets 21.4-35.2 °C, the starting point of thermal susceptibility. Although when 10 g/kg SP was added to MP gels the myosin tail unwound at a lower temperature and the energy needed to denature that protein group was significantly greater (p<0.05) compared to the protein gels containing 5 g TGase/kg developed from MP recovered using HCl. This strategy also yielded similar enthalpy results with the protein gels containing 5 g TGase/kg developed from MP recovered using LA. Therefore, functional additives such as starch and polyphosphates likely have an impact on thermal unfolding of SP in MP gels. Overall, additives (starch, polyphosphates, and SP) reduced the energy required to fully denature the protein. This might be due to the MP concentration decreasing with increased functional ingredient addition. The total amount of additives including SP was kept at 23 g/kg for every formula containing additives. Therefore, the only formula not containing any functional additives had a higher concentration of myosin and actin. This may explain the higher (p<0.05) thermal stability displayed by the gel containing only salt (20 g/kg paste) and no other additives.

Actin on the other hand is more thermally stable compared to myosin and unfolds at higher temperatures. Peak III represents the temperature and energy needed to aggregate actin which started unraveling between 43.5-50.5°C, which are slightly lower temperatures than were previously reported for silver carp and rockfish. The enthalpy ranged from 0.2-1.7 J/g and was greatest (p<0.05) for gels with no additives and gels with only 23 g SP/kg paste without starch and polyphosphates. Both formulations that contained SP showed increased (p<0.05) thermo-stability of actin compared to TGase containing gels. Similar to the results obtained from thermal changes in myosin head and tail, protein concentration of gel samples may explain these outcomes. A previous study showed that when the SP concentration was increased from 0 to 50g/kg the thermal resistance of myosin and actin also increased. In this study, the gels
developed with 23 g/kg SP, the energy required of actin to unfold was less than (p<0.05) gels containing 10 g SP/kg paste or TGase (5 g/kg). On the other hand, the gels containing the most SP tested in this study showed no significant (p>0.05) differences between gels with no additives by means of enthalpy associated with actin denaturation. Therefore, protein concentration plays a significant role in thermal stability. Addition of greater amounts (>23g/kg paste) of SP may improve gel functionality because the total protein content would be increased.

Peak IV is attributed to SP in the MP gels. SP started to undergo thermal changes around 65.8-73.3°C. Although there weren’t any significant (p>0.05) differences in functional additive containing formula by means of SP denaturing temperatures, it was observed that the energy required to unfold SP was higher (p<0.05) in gels containing 10 g SP/kg paste compared to gels also developed from LA processed MP with 5 g TGase/kg paste. This was also reported in a previous publication where SP denaturation was not detectable in MP gels recovered at solubilization pH 11.5 using a combination of 1:1 formic and lactic acid that contained 5 g TGase/kg paste. It is possible that LA may be more effective in protein precipitation compared to HCl, leading to better separation of the water soluble SP from MP. The energy required to unwind SP was the highest (p<0.05) in gels with no functional additives similar to the myosin tail peak data, possibly due to the higher protein concentration.

Overall, increasing the SP content in gels did not improve thermo-stability any more than gels without any additives. This may be attributed to the salt concentration in MP gels. Although the standard amount of 20g salt/kg paste was added, the MP recovered using NaOH had a greater amount of sodium in the recovered protein fraction. The MP gels without functional additives formed comparable gels with those that had functional additives possibly due to the increased sodium in the recovered MP. On the other hand, SP was shown to be destabilized at 20g/100g
paste salt containing conditions, and their endothermic transition temperatures were reduced\textsuperscript{20}. Therefore, effects of SP may be more pronounced in MP gels containing lower amounts of salt.

3.2. Textural Properties

Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) is a compression test where solid food samples are subjected to a two-cycle compression-decompression similar to mastication\textsuperscript{22}. The resulting force versus time curve is used to calculate textural and sensory properties of food such as hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, chewiness, and resilience\textsuperscript{14}. The textural properties observed for gels made from MP recovered using different processing acids and formulated with different additives is shown Table 2 and Figure 2. Overall, using HCl as a recovery acid resulted in harder, gummier, and chewier (p<0.05) gels and the addition of TGase yielded more cohesive (p<0.05) gels independent of the MP recovery acid used. Hardness, gumminess, and chewiness was reduced (p<0.05) in gels made with SP or no additives among gels made with MP recovered with LA. Furthermore, gels were most resilient (p<0.05) when 10 g/kg SP was added. This strategy also yielded more cohesive gels (p<0.05) compared to gels without functional additives such as starch and polyphosphates. Therefore, functional additives are necessary for a cohesive gel network formation.

The impact of SP on textural characteristics may be more apparent if greater concentrations of SP were used in the formulations\textsuperscript{9}. A previous study reported that addition of 20 g SP/kg paste did not affect resistance to deformation of Pollock surimi gels compared to gels containing no SP or 20 g sucrose/kg paste. In this current study, although 23 g SP/kg protein paste containing gels were less resistant to breaking at axial point compared to TGase containing gels, they showed increased resistance to deformation compared to gels containing no additives. The same study investigating the effects of 20 g SP/kg paste addition into Pollock surimi gels
reported that SP had hardened the gels \(^{20}\). As previously explained, SP loses its functionality at high salt concentrations (20g/kg paste). In our study MP gels solubilized using NaOH had higher sodium content compared to ground catfish as well as Alaska Pollock surimi even prior to adding 20 g salt/kg paste. Adding 20 g salt/kg paste during paste development increased salt concentration furthermore, thus possibly denaturing SP.

TPA results were supported by Kramer Shear data. Addition of TGase in gels developed from MP recovered using HCl showed significantly more (p<0.05) shear force indicating gel strength. Comparison of formulations developed using MP recovered with LA showed that addition of TGase also resulted in stronger gels (p<0.05). Moreover, shear stress, an indicator of the susceptibility to deformation when a force is applied on the same direction \(^{24}\), was greatest for gels made with TGase gels made with MP recovered with LA when compared to the gels that contained functional additives. However, when all formulations were tested, there were no significant differences (p>0.05).

Torsional testing is used to detect into the inner flaws of the food product, especially in the gel matrix which cannot be seen or determined by shear cell testing \(^{25}\). Torsional shear stress and strain observations confirmed the previous textural measurements where SP addition less stress and strain (p<0.05) compared to gels containing TGase. There were no statistical differences (p>0.05) between gels made with TGase regardless of the MP recovery acid. Both shear stress and shear strain were statistically similar (p>0.05) between gels containing SP and no additives. On the other hand, torsional shear strain was greater for 23 g SP/kg paste containing gels compared to gels having no additives; therefore, the resistance of gels to deformation may be increased with the addition of a greater amount of SP.
These results may be due to SP interfering with the gel network formation by binding actomyosin which is the major protein complex responsible for a viscous gel formation \(^{26,27}\). During protein paste development, actin filament and myosin bind to form actomyosin complex \(^{28}\). Protein gelation starts when actomyosin undergoes irreversible structural changes when subjected to heat, including denaturation and then aggregation \(^{27,28,29}\). Among many factors affecting MP gelation; i.e., the differences in compositions of MP and SP extracted from different fish species, the protein recovery method, protein concentration, heating rate, the pH of the protein paste, salt concentration, the functional additives included in the protein paste, etc., may have played a significant role in this study \(^{30}\). For the most part, SP did not improve textural properties in black bullhead catfish MP gels in the amounts tested in this study; however, gelation occurred when SP was added into MP. It is indicated in a previously conducted study that softer texture is preferred for soybean curd \(^{31}\); therefore, SP addition will be beneficial by increasing protein amount in gels where softer texture is desirable.

3.3. Color

Color properties of the gel samples, where \(L^*\) shows lightness, \(a^*\) indicates the intensity of red color, and \(b^*\) measures the intensity of yellow color, are provided in Table 4. The addition of SP increased (\(p<0.05\)) lightness shown by \(L^*\) in all gel formulations. This may have been due to the naturally light color of the SP powder or by the light reflecting from the less firm gel network. Moreover, \(b^*\) which indicates yellowness was also higher in SP containing gels, possibly due to the heme-proteins associated with SP.

It is also important to note the changes in color when different processing acids are used to recover MP. For example, the gel samples made with MP recovered using HCl during protein precipitation contained more redness (\(p<0.05\)) likely due to poorer separation of hemoglobin.
Along with that, adding functional ingredients increased (p<0.05) redness of the gels. Whereas, gels were more yellow (p<0.05) in MP gels made with the protein recovered using LA compared to HCl. Although, heme proteins associated with SP likely increased (p<0.05) yellowness, addition of SP also increased lightness and therefore, gels containing SP were whiter (p<0.05).

Whiteness of gels ranged from 47-58, where MP recovered with LA and TGase added were less white (p<0.05) (Table 3). SP as an additive in gels significantly increased (p<0.05) whiteness and the whitest (p<0.05) values were observed in gels containing 23 g/kg SP. These findings are contradictory of previously published data where whiteness was reduced with the addition of 20 g/kg SP to Pollock surimi. Fish species and the composition of SP recovered play a significant role in the color outcomes. MP recovered from black bullhead catfish in this study was darker when compared to other studies with different species of fish. Therefore, the visibly whiter SP powder may have helped lighten the color of black bullhead catfish MP.

4. Conclusions

Although SP addition did not adversely affect thermal stability of MP gels, textural properties (except for resilience) were not improved in SP containing gels compared to gels developed using TGase. Adding SP to MP gels will increase the total protein amount, and may naturally whiten gels made from darker meat; therefore, SP incorporation may be useful in products where softer texture is preferable. Efficacy of protein gelation depends on a variety of factors such as gelation temperature, time, and composition of the gels especially salt amount. Since SP binds to MP during protein gelation, it may take longer time for SP containing gels to form a firm network. Therefore, studies that investigate the effect of sodium, increased amounts of SP and longer setting times in MP gels and their impact on textural and functional properties should be explored.
5. Abbreviations

MP: Myofibrillar protein

SP: Sarcoplasmic protein

HCl: Hydrochloric acid

LA: Lactic acid

NaOH: Sodium hydroxide

TGase: Transglutaminase

DSC: Differential scanning calorimetry

TPA: Texture profile analysis

PP: Polyphosphates
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermograms of black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different additives.

Figure 2. Kramer shear stress (kPa), Kramer shear force (g/g muscle), torsional shear stress (kPa) and torsional shear strain (kPa) of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels. Different statistical analysis results are shown.
Figure 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermograms of black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different additives. Each curve was drawn using the mean of data points collected from 4 individual replications.
Figure 2. Kramer shear stress (kPa), Kramer shear force (g/g muscle), torsional shear stress (kPa) and torsional shear strain (kPa) of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. a,b,c indicate significant differences between mean values of gels containing 5 g TGase/kg paste and 10 g SP/kg protein paste (Tukey’s honestly significant differences (HSD) test, p<0.05). x,y,z Mean values of lactic acid (LA) recovered MP gels with different letters in a column are significantly different (Tukey’s HSD, p<0.05). * indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels made using no functional additives (starch, and polyphosphates (PP)). Bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels developed from MP recovered using hydrochloric acid (HCL) or LA and with 5 TGase/kg protein paste addition.
Table 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements (temperature onset (Tonset), maximum temperature (Tmax), and net enthalpy) of isoelectrically recovered black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different additives. Peak I and II represent the heat flow required to unfold myosin head and tail respectively. Peak III and IV represent the heat flow required to unfold actin and sarcoplasmic protein respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TGase (g/kg)</th>
<th>SP (g/kg)</th>
<th>Starch (g/kg)</th>
<th>PP (g/kg)</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Peak I Tonset (°C)</th>
<th>Peak II Tonset (°C)</th>
<th>Peak I Tmax (°C)</th>
<th>Peak I Enthalpy (J/g)</th>
<th>Peak II Tmax (°C)</th>
<th>Peak II Enthalpy (J/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>6.63±0.51</td>
<td>7.35±0.53 b</td>
<td>2.70±0.28 a</td>
<td>35.17±1.49 a</td>
<td>38.71±2.43 a</td>
<td>0.23±0.03 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>7.33±0.16 x</td>
<td>10.61±0.14 a,y</td>
<td>2.81±0.35 a,y</td>
<td>29.90±0.44 b,y</td>
<td>34.59±0.41 a,y</td>
<td>0.27±0.07 ab,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>6.64±1.25 xy</td>
<td>10.69±0.43 a,y</td>
<td>1.30±0.18 b,z</td>
<td>21.42±2.69 c,z</td>
<td>25.40±4.98 b,z</td>
<td>0.33±0.02 a,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>5.56±0.27 z</td>
<td>10.16±0.58 y</td>
<td>1.70±0.16 z</td>
<td>30.68±1.93 y</td>
<td>33.64±1.32 y</td>
<td>0.18±0.07 z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>5.79±0.40 yz</td>
<td>6.84±0.69 z</td>
<td>2.60±0.22 y</td>
<td>27.08±2.15 y</td>
<td>35.34±1.06 y</td>
<td>0.62±0.08 y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TGase (g/kg)</th>
<th>SP (g/kg)</th>
<th>Starch (g/kg)</th>
<th>PP (g/kg)</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Peak III Tonset (°C)</th>
<th>Peak IV Tonset (°C)</th>
<th>Peak III Tmax (°C)</th>
<th>Peak III Enthalpy (J/g)</th>
<th>Peak IV Tmax (°C)</th>
<th>Peak IV Enthalpy (J/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>43.48±2.61 b</td>
<td>53.29±5.21</td>
<td>0.18±0.05 b</td>
<td>68.73±0.72</td>
<td>74.63±5.81</td>
<td>1.24±0.34 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>50.48±0.40 a</td>
<td>52.71±1.42 z</td>
<td>0.23±0.01 b,z</td>
<td>68.83±0.08 yz</td>
<td>74.46±1.85 yz</td>
<td>0.68±0.08 b,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>49.41±3.60 ab</td>
<td>53.17±4.50 z</td>
<td>0.66±0.13 a,z</td>
<td>65.81±4.62 z</td>
<td>73.50±5.67 z</td>
<td>1.48±0.04 a,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>47.67±1.34</td>
<td>55.99±2.10 z</td>
<td>1.56±0.29 y</td>
<td>69.02±2.03 yz</td>
<td>76.18±1.24 yz</td>
<td>1.08±0.26 yz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>50.33±4.83</td>
<td>62.11±0.89 y</td>
<td>1.68±0.08 y</td>
<td>73.28±3.64 y</td>
<td>80.3±0.82 y</td>
<td>2.52±0.16 x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a,b,c* indicate significant differences between mean values of gels containing 5 g TGase/kg paste and 10 g SP/kg protein paste with functional additives. *x,y,z* Mean values obtained from gels developed from MP recovered using lactic acid (LA) with different letters in a column are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).

Bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels made using no functional additives (starch, and polyphosphates (PP)). Italic and bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels developed from MP recovered using hydrochloric acid (HCL) or lactic acid (LA) and with 5 TGase/kg protein paste addition.
Table 2. Hardness, Springiness, Cohesiveness, Gumminess, Chewiness and Resilience (texture profile analysis) of gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TGase (g/kg)</th>
<th>SP (g/kg)</th>
<th>Starch (g/kg)</th>
<th>PP (g/kg)</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Hardness (g)</th>
<th>Springiness (mm)</th>
<th>Cohesiveness (Ratio)</th>
<th>Gumminess (g)</th>
<th>Chewiness (g mm)</th>
<th>Resilience (Ratio)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>1057.78±81.60a</td>
<td>2.03±0.03</td>
<td>0.60±0.08 a</td>
<td>699.08±133.13a</td>
<td>1413.36±258.11a</td>
<td>0.39±0.03 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>11.30±2.02b,y</td>
<td>1.92±0.27yz</td>
<td>0.55±0.09 a,x</td>
<td>4.38±2.88 b,y</td>
<td>13.73±3.90 b,y</td>
<td>0.33±0.04 b,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>2.05±0.31b,z</td>
<td>2.12±0.28y</td>
<td>0.29±0.08b,y</td>
<td>0.55±0.13b,z</td>
<td>1.20±0.18b,z</td>
<td>0.80±0.19a,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1.43±0.43z</td>
<td>1.67±0.003z</td>
<td>0.21±0.04z</td>
<td>0.35±0.14z</td>
<td>0.61±0.24z</td>
<td>0.07±0.02z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>1.21±0.11z</td>
<td>1.68±0.01z</td>
<td>0.21±0.02z</td>
<td>0.24±0.05z</td>
<td>0.43±0.09z</td>
<td>0.07±0.008z</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c indicate significant differences between mean values of gels containing 5 g TGase/kg paste and 10 g SP/kg protein paste with functional additives. x,y,z Mean values obtained from gels developed from MP recovered using lactic acid (LA) with different letters in a column are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).

Bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels made using no functional additives (starch, and polyphosphates (PP)). Italic and bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels developed from MP recovered using hydrochloric acid (HCL) or lactic acid (LA) and with 5 TGase/kg protein paste addition.
Table 3. Color properties and whiteness of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels, where L* indicates lightness (scale: 0-100), a* measures the intensity of red color (scale: -60 to +60), and b* shows the intensity of yellow color (scale: -60 to +60).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TGase (g/kg)</th>
<th>SP (g/kg)</th>
<th>Starch (g/kg)</th>
<th>PP (g/kg)</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
<th>Whiteness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>55.17±1.24 b</td>
<td>5.36±0.27 a</td>
<td>10.16±0.50 c</td>
<td>53.71±1.22 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>48.91±2.21 c,z</td>
<td>2.98±0.67 b,x</td>
<td>12.76±0.74 b,z</td>
<td>47.24±2.11 c,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>58.56±0.91 a,x</td>
<td>3.22±0.70 b,x</td>
<td>16.33±0.39 a,x</td>
<td>55.33±0.79 a,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>61.48±1.34 w</td>
<td>0.19±0.41 y</td>
<td>16.07±0.49 x</td>
<td>58.26±1.22 w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>56.29±0.88 y</td>
<td>-0.66±0.59 z</td>
<td>13.43±0.77 y</td>
<td>54.26±0.80 y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c indicate significant differences between mean values of gels containing 5 g TGase/kg paste and 10 g SP/kg protein paste with functional additives. x,y,z Mean values obtained from gels developed from MP recovered using lactic acid (LA) with different letters in a column are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).

Bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels made using no functional additives (starch, and polyphosphates (PP)). Italic and bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels developed from MP recovered using hydrochloric acid (HCL) or lactic acid (LA) and with 5 TGase/kg protein paste addition.
CHAPTER 7
Sarcoplasmic Protein Powder as an Additive in Calcium Enhanced Catfish Protein Gels

Abstract

Sarcoplasmic proteins (SP) were recovered from ground, black bullhead catfish by dilution with distilled/deionized water and then dried to a powder. Myofibrillar protein (MP) was isolated from ground catfish using a pH shift method. Protein solubilization was achieved at pH 11.0 with calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)\(_2\)) or sodium hydroxide (NaOH); the isoelectric point (pH 5.5) was reached using lactic acid for MP precipitation. SP powder was added to MP at 0, 5, or 23 g/kg protein paste. Functional, textural and color properties of gels made with SP addition were compared to MP gels containing 5 g microbial transglutaminase (TGase)/kg protein paste. Effects of using different processing bases during MP recovery were assessed. SP addition at 23 g/kg paste without other functional additives such as potato starch and polyphosphates improved (p<0.05) thermal stability of all protein groups and yielded similar textural properties (hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, chewiness, resilience, Kramer shear stress, and torsional shear strain) as gels containing TGase made from calcium enhanced MP. Calcium enhanced MP gels containing TGase were harder, gummier, chewier, and whiter (p<0.05) then gels made with NaOH recovered MP. Gels made with Ca(OH)\(_2\) were whiter compared to NaOH processed MP gels. MP recovery using Ca(OH)\(_2\) may improve gelation conditions by catalyzing protein-protein interactions through enhanced calcium dependent endogenous TGase activity. Gels may benefit from the addition of greater amounts (>20 g/kg paste) of SP addition, and formulae using SP in combination with functional additives should be investigated.

Keywords: calcium hydroxide, lactic acid, protein gel, sarcoplasmic protein, texture, color
1. Introduction

Marketabley of surimi and restructured fish products are mainly defined by the gelation properties of the myofibrillar protein (MP). A gel network forms as a result of electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions, hydrogen bonds and both disulphide and non-disulphide covalent bonds that hold together a matrix of ingredients. Textural characteristics may be enhanced with functional additives such as salt, starch, beef plasma protein, polyphosphates, and exogenous transglutaminase (TGase) that function as gel matrix binders or fillers by preventing proteolysis caused by endogenous enzymatic activity. Therefore, the composition of a gel drives these protein-protein, protein-water and protein-lipid-water interactions which greatly impact the texture of the final product, a major criterion for assessing the desirability of the food product.

Sarcoplasmic proteins (SP) are water soluble proteins that make up to 30g/100g of all muscle protein. Although they are easily extracted, their utilization in protein gels are still questioned. SP include glycolytic enzymes, creatine kinase, myoglobin and extracellular fluids which bind to MP, the protein mainly responsible for forming a stable gel. The structural deformation which deteriorates gel formation properties associated with MP, majorly myosin, is attributed to the proteases. Therefore, adding SP containing endogenous enzymes may interfere with gelation by increasing proteolytic degradation of myofibrillar protein. In addition to the proteases, other enzymes such as glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase were reported to bind to MP and prevent gel formation. On the other hand, several studies showed that adding SP increased gel strength and prevented gel softening by possibly inhibiting trypsin activity and reducing proteolytic activity. The differences in observed changes in MP gels when SP used as an additive, is attributed to the different SP compositions isolated from different fish species.
Fish muscle protein consisting mainly of myosin and actin are isolated using a variety of methods and made into gels such as surimi. The traditional way of removing impurities and preserving the functionality of MP is to expose minced fish flesh to extensive wash cycles\textsuperscript{13}. Although washing successfully removes the majority of lipids and connective tissues, waste is generated\textsuperscript{14}. Moreover, the processing water contains SP which is also a source of protein and can be utilized\textsuperscript{15}. Another method of protein extraction, isoelectric solubilization and precipitation (ISP), exposes the protein source to extremely high or low pH to solubilize protein followed by precipitation at the isoelectric point of protein. This method may be a viable alternative to surimi processing\textsuperscript{16}. Using ISP, impurities can be removed and MP can be isolated without significant change to the confirmation of proteins native structure\textsuperscript{17,18}.

Processing acids and bases responsible for decreasing and increasing the pH during ISP affects the functionality and the compositional properties (protein, lipid, impurities, and mineral content) of the recovered MP\textsuperscript{16}. Organic acids such as acetic acid, and a 1:1 combination of formic and lactic acid (LA) are effective at protein precipitation during ISP. They induce less protein degradation compared to the traditionally used hydrochloric acid with the benefit of reducing possible bacterial contamination\textsuperscript{16-22}. Furthermore, alkali solubilization where protein solubilization is achieved at pH 10.5-12.5 induces fewer conformational changes on the native structure of the protein when compared to acidic solubilization\textsuperscript{16-18}. Therefore, alkali solubilization followed by precipitation with LA was applied in this study to recover MP.

Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) is commonly used during alkali protein solubilization as the processing base because of high protein recovery yields; however, the addition of NaOH will increase sodium levels in the recovered MP\textsuperscript{23,24}. Another processing base, calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2}), was reported to increase protein solubility and effectively remove impurities from
MP while increasing calcium amount and decreasing sodium content. Calcium enhancement in the recovered MP may impact gelation properties of MP and should be investigated. It was previously suggested that the increased concentration of a divalent salt such as Ca$^{2+}$ may enhance MP cross-linking and work as a binder for aggregates by facilitating covalent and hydrogen bonds between protein molecules. Furthermore, calcium enhancement may trigger endogenous transglutaminase activity and contribute to the gel matrix formation. Therefore, adding SP to calcium enhanced MP gels may improve gelation conditions.

The aim of this study was to determine the effects of SP powder at different amounts on functional, textural and color properties of protein gels when used as an additive in calcium enhanced myofibrillar protein (MP) gels.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of starting material for protein pastes

Black bullhead catfish (*Ameiurus melas*) that were netted from runways in Dogwood Lake, Morgantown, WV were immediately placed on ice containing carbon monoxide and taken to the Meat Processing Laboratory at West Virginia University, Department of Animal and Nutritional Sciences. The fish were then washed under running tap water, gutted and washed one more time under running tap water. A food grade meat grinder (Hobart Model 4146, Troy, OH, USA) was sanitized prior to use. The fish were ground twice using a coarse grinder plate, transferred to steel trays with plastic lids, and stored overnight at -20°C freezer to avoid thermal denaturation due to grinding. A finer ground fish paste was obtained by further grinding the chilled fish using the meat grinder with a fine plate. Finally, fish paste was individually weighed into freezer bags (Ziplock Freezer Bags, S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc., Racine, Wis., U.S.A.) each containing 500 g fish paste, vacuum packaged (Ultravac KOCH Packaging, KOCH Supplies
Inc., Kansas City, MO, USA) and stored at -80°C until further analyses. Experiments were completed within 10 days.

2.2. Separation of Sarcoplasmic Proteins

Thawed (at 4°C for 24-48 h) fish paste (1500 g) was homogenized with distilled/deionized water at a ratio of 1:3 fish to water using a homogenizer (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) for 15 min. Centrifuge tubes containing the homogenized solution was spun at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C (Sorvall RC-SB Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge, Du Pont, Wilmington, DE, USA). Three distinct layers formed after being centrifuged. The top layer was a very thin layer of water soluble lipids, the supernatant contained water soluble sarcoplasmic protein (SP), and the pellet contained myofibrillar protein and insolubles such as skin, bones, and scales as well as trace amounts of lipids. In order to separate SP, the supernatant was filtered through cheese cloth into freezer cups. The samples were then freeze-dried (VirTis Freeze Dryer, SP Scientific, Stone Ridge, NY, USA) and the SP in powder form was stored at 4°C until further analysis.

2.3. Myofibrillar Protein Recovery

Myofibrillar protein was recovered by isoelectric solubilization and precipitation process (ISP) \(^4,16\). Thawed (at 4°C for 24-48 h), ground fish (1500 g) was homogenized with distilled/deionized water (9000 ml) in a glass beaker and homogenized (PowerGen 700, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). In order to increase protein-water interactions and decrease protein-protein binding, 1N Ca(OH)\(_2\) or 10N NaOH was added to the solution to increase the pH from 6.7 to 11.0 (pH analyzer, Oakton, Eutech Instruments; Singapore). Following homogenization for 20 min, the contents were poured into centrifuge bottles and spun at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C (Sorvall RC-SB Refrigerated Superspeed Centrifuge, Du Pont, Wilmington, DE, USA). The
lipid fraction on the top portion was removed using a metal spatula and the middle liquid portion containing MP was filtered through a cheese cloth to remove the impurities. The insoluble that were separated as a pellet at the bottom of the centrifuge tubes were discarded. The liquid portion was transferred to a glass beaker and homogenized while glacial L-lactic acid (LA) was added to reduce the pH to the protein isoelectric point of 5.5. In order to recover the precipitated MP, the solution was transferred to centrifuge bottles and spun at 10,000 x G for 15 min at 4°C. After discarding the supernatant containing process water, the protein fraction that had formed a pellet was collected. Protein paste was developed immediately after the protein was weighed.

2.4. Development of Protein Paste

A universal food processor (model UMC5, Stephan Machinery Corp., Columbus, OH) was used to chop the collected MP (800 g). The initial moisture of the recovered myofibrillar protein was 840 g/kg, measured using a moisture analyzer moisture analyzer (Ohaus Model MB45, Nänikon, Switzerland). MP was chopped at low speed for 1 min and then salt (20 g/kg) was added. Following mixing for 1 min, chilled (4 °C) distilled/deionized water, and standard functional additives including potato starch (0, or 15 g/kg) (Penbind 1000 modified potato starch, Penford Food Ingredients Corp., Centennial, CO), polyphosphates (0 or 3 g/kg) (Kena FP-28, Innophos, Cranbury, NJ), transglutaminase (TGase) (0 or 5 g/kg), and SP powder (0, 5, or 23 g/kg) were added. The final moisture of the mixture was adjusted to 800 g/kg and the total amount of additives were set at 23 g/100 g for all tested formulations. The paste was mixed for 1 min to ensure even distribution of additives. Temperature of chopper was kept at 1-4 °C throughout the process to avoid thermal denaturation of proteins. After confirming the pH of the paste as 7.0-7.2, the paste was mixed for 3 min at high speed under vacuum (50 kPa). The final paste was vacuum packaged to avoid air bubble formation which would interfere with the
textural properties upon cooking. Protein paste (5 g) was separately weighed and placed in a steel container on ice for differential scanning calorimetry testing right after vacuum packaging. The rest of the paste in the vacuum package was placed in a gel presser. The paste was then stuffed into lightly oil sprayed stainless steel tubes (length= 17.5 cm, inner diameter= 1.9 cm) and dumbbell shaped stainless steel torsion tubes (length= 17.5 cm, end diameter= 1.9 cm, midsection diameter= 1.0 cm) to ensure flawless gel formation after cooking.

2.5. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) Analysis

DSC measures the thermodynamic properties of molecules including the unfolding temperatures of proteins and the energy required to illicit these phase transitions 27. Therefore, the functional properties (temperature onset (Tonset), maximum temperature (Tmax), and enthalpy) of the protein paste samples were measured using DSC (DSC Infinity Series F5010, Instrument Specialists, Inc., Spring Grove, IL) 17. Samples were prepared by placing freshly developed protein paste (10-15 µg) onto aluminum pans (Instrument Specialists Inc., 4 mm). The pans were then hermetically sealed and placed in the scanner. Reference sample was an empty, hermetically sealed aluminum pan. The temperature in the scanner increased from 5 °C to 90°C at a rate of 10 °C/min. Each protein paste formulation was tested 4 times and the data was presented as mean± standard deviation. Infinite Software (Instrument Specialists Inc., Twin Lakes, WI, USA) was used to analyze Tonset, Tmax, and the enthalpies. Thermograms representing the protein unfolding curves were drawn in excel from the data points collected from the analysis.

2.6. Myofibrillar Gel Preparation

Steel tubes containing protein pastes were stored at 4°C for 24 h before cooking to allow for gel network formation 4,18. Following cooking at 90 °C for 20 min in a water bath (Precision,
Jouan Inc, Winchester, Virginia) and chilling on ice for 15 min, the tubes were kept at room temperature (approximately 23°C) for 1 h. Upon cooling, the gels were taken out of the tubes, cut and texture and color properties were analyzed.

2.7. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA)

Texture profile analysis is a widely used analysis to determine the textural properties (hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, chewiness and resilience) of solid food substances by using a compression plate attachment that presses food samples twice mimicking biting\textsuperscript{29}. Therefore, 8 cylindrical gel samples (length 2.54 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) from each formula tested were analyzed using a texture analyzer (Model TA-HDi, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY) with a 70 mm compression plate\textsuperscript{4,18}. Hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, gumminess, chewiness and resilience values were calculated by Texture Expert software (Texture Expert Exceed version 2.64, Stable Micro Systems, 2003) and was presented as mean± standard deviation.

2.8. Kramer Shear Cell Test

Kramer shear cell test is another method for measuring gel strength. Similar to the TPA, a texture analyzer (Model TA-HDi, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale, NY) is used with a Kramer cell attachment with five blades (3 mm thick and 70 mm wide). This method evaluates the force required to cut the gel sample similar to that of cutting a food sample with a knife. The peak force (g/g sample) in this study was measured at 127 mm min\textsuperscript{-1} crosshead speed using Texture Expert software (Texture Expert Exceed version 2.64, Stable Micro Systems, 2003)\textsuperscript{4,18}. At least 6 cylindrical gel samples (length 8 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) were analyzed for each formula. The shear force was calculated by dividing peak force by the initial weight of the sample. Shear stress, showing gel cohesiveness and firmness was calculated by a ratio of the
force with the area of the sample provided by the Texture Expert software. The results were presented as mean± standard deviation.

2.9. Torsion Analysis

The protein gels that were molded in the dumbbell shaped steel tubes were cut into 2.54 cm long cylinders after removal from the tubes. Top and bottom ends of the samples were glued to plastic discs, set at room temperature for 20 min and then placed in a Hamman torsion meter (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC, USA). The samples were twisted at 2.5 rpm until they broke at fracture in the mid-section. Shear stress and strain were calculated using Torsion Vane (Gel Consultants, Raleigh, NC, USA) from torque and angular displacement data. At least 12 samples were tested for each formula and the results were presented as mean± standard deviation.

2.10. Color analysis

Color is another important aspect determining the marketability of a food product. Although color can be manipulated using food grade additives such as titanium dioxide, a widely used whitener, naturally whiter gels are preferable due to cost and demand for no-additive products. Moreover, color properties such as L* (lightness; scale: 0 - 100), a* (intensity in red color; scale: -60 - +60), and b* (intensity in yellow color; scale: -60 - +60) indicate the removal or presence of impurities such as hemoglobin and connective tissues. Therefore, at least 15 cylindrical gel samples (length 2.54 cm, diameter 1.9 cm) were tested for each protein gel formula using a colorimeter (Minolta Camera Co. Ltd, Osaka, Japan) that was calibrated with a standard white plate No.21333180 (CIE L* 93.1; a* 0.3135; b* 0.3198). The following equation was used to calculate whiteness:

\[
\text{Whiteness} = 100 - [(100 - L)^2 + a^2 + b^2]^{1/2}
\]
2.11. Statistical Analysis

All trials were randomized prior to this research. Statistical analysis was performed using JMP software (JMP 10.2, SAS Inst., Cary, NC, USA). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied at a significance level of 0.05. The data points were replicated as indicated under each section and the results were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Tukey’s Honestly Significant Differences (HSD) test showing differences between starch (15g/protein paste) and polyphosphate (3 g/kg protein paste) containing formulae is indicated by letters a,b,c. Another statistical analysis was performed to compare values obtained from different gel formulations and different letters (w,x,y,z) were assigned to show significant (Tukey’s HSD, p<0.05) differences between all tested formulae. Moreover, formulae not containing any starch or polyphosphates are compared with each other and the differences are indicated in bold (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Thermal Changes

The thermal denaturation curves of protein groups in the myofibrillar protein gels and the thermostatic properties of protein unfolding and aggregation are provided in Figure 1 and Table 1, respectively. Myosin and actin are the major protein groups in myofibrillar protein and are responsible for gelation 29. Myosin consists of a globular head region that is more susceptible than the tail to thermal denaturation because it contains the sites for ATP hydrolysis and fibrillar actin binding 30. Gelation occurs as myosin and actin bind together and entrap the gel constituents 31; therefore, it is important to determine the differences in thermal stability of these molecules as impacted by different formulae and processing strategies.
Peak I shows that the thermal changes in myosin head did not differ (p>0.05) between strategies containing 23 g SP/kg paste and no additives. Myosin, with its high gelation properties was able form a gel without functional additives. Furthermore, incorporating 23 g SP/kg paste did not affect myosin unfolding adversely. For the most part, gels containing 23 g SP/kg paste required more energy for conformational changes as indicated by the enthalpies for myosin tail (Peak II), actin (Peak III) and sarcoplasmic protein (Peak IV) compared to all other gels (p<0.05). It was previously reported that the thermal stability of gels with added SP is reduced when salt concentrations in the protein pastes are high and are improved when salt concentrations are low, possibly due to increased binding of sarcoplasmic protein and myosin at lower salt concentrations \(^{31,33}\). Another study where 20 g salt/kg was added to protein paste, which is the same amount incorporated into MP in this study, reported significant reduction in thermal stability \(^{33}\). In correlation with that, porcine SP was shown to have high fat binding characteristics as well as thermal stability without added salt \(^{34}\). This is why MP gels containing salt and no other additives yielded comparable, or even higher (enthalpy of form actin denaturation (Peak 3)) results than gels containing functional additives such as potato starch, and polyphosphates for resistance to thermal change. Although salt increases MP solubility by enabling actin myosin cross-linking, gels containing SP will benefit from low salt content. This is also shown in a similarly designed study where MP recovered using NaOH were incorporated with SP \(^{35}\). Myosin, and SP denaturation peaks indicated that these protein groups were more thermo-stable when only salt containing MP gels were more resistant compared to gels containing 23 g SP/kg paste \(^{35}\). This can be attributed to the suppressed SP activity at high salt conditions.
When TGase was replaced with 5 g SP/kg paste MP functionality was maintained (Table 1). Especially myosin head and actin, as indicated by Peak I and III, was more resistant (p<0.05) to thermal degradation when 5 g SP/kg paste was added by increasing the heat energy necessary to unfold the protein groups. Samples with 5 g SP/kg paste required more (p<0.05) energy to unfold SP in the MP gels, compared the TGase gels made with MP recovered using NaOH. On the other hand, gels made out of MP processed with Ca(OH)$_2$ were more (p<0.05) thermoresistant than NaOH gels for SP peak (Peak IV). This is likely because decreased salt content increases thermal stability of SP; however, 5 g SP/kg paste may be too little to observe significant effects. This is consistent with the enthalpy results of where energy required to unfold all discussed protein groups (myosin, actin, and sarcoplasmic protein) is higher (p<0.05) for gels made with 23 g SP/kg protein paste compared to gels containing TGase. A study reported that gel strength was significantly increased and resistance to deformation was not influenced when SP was added to MP gels which was attributed to increased thermal stability by SP 33. Therefore, higher amounts of SP (>20 g/kg paste) with low amount salt (<20 g/kg protein paste) may improve functionality of protein groups responsible for gel formation during thermal processes.

As expected, using different processing bases during ISP for MP recovery resulted in gels with different thermal denaturation peaks. When TGase gels made with either NaOH or Ca(OH)$_2$ processed MP are compared, MP recovered using NaOH formed gels requiring more (p<0.05) energy for myosin head denaturation; whereas, gels made with calcium enhanced protein showed increased (p<0.05) actin and SP resistance to thermal processing. This may be explained by the previously mentioned effect of salt content 31. NaOH processing resulted in MP with high amounts of sodium compared to Ca(OH)$_2$ processing which resulted in MP with high amounts of calcium. Endogenous TGase which is abundant in fish muscle is a calcium dependent enzyme;
therefore, using calcium enhanced protein may result in more cohesive gels due to increased endogenous TGase activity. It is also interesting to see that MP gels containing 5 g SP/kg paste which are made from Ca(OH)₂ recovered protein showed similarly high functionality with NaOH processed gels containing TGase in myosin head thermo-stability which may be associated with the increased endogenous TGase activity due to higher calcium content. Similar results were observed when SP recovered from tilapia was added to actomyosin, the complex formed during gelation due to the linking of actin with myosin. In the same study, it was seen that SP isolated from tilapia triggered myosin heavy chain making up the myosin head and troponin cross-linking; however, actin and tropomyosin were not influenced by SP addition. These observations similar to the ones reported in this study are possibly due to the proteolytic activity inhibition properties of SP.

3.2. Textural Properties

Texture profile analysis data is shown in Table 2. Comparison between gels containing functional additives such as starch and polyphosphates revealed that MP gels were harder, gummier and chewier (p<0.05) when TGase was added to MP recovered using Ca(OH)₂. On the other hand, resilience, which is the resistance to deformation when a force presses down the sample, benefited (p<0.05) from 5 g SP/kg paste addition. This is confirmed with the torsional shear strain results presented in Figure 2, where shear strain, which reflects resistance to deformation at the axial deformation point, was higher (p<0.05) in gels containing 5 g SP/kg paste. Since resilience and torsional shear strain results are the same for the two TGase containing gel formulae, the improved (p<0.05) values displayed by 5 g SP/kg paste addition is attributed to the protease inhibitory activity of SP. Similar observations were noted in a study.
where 10 g SP/kg paste recovered from lizardfish was added to MP gels and breaking force and resistance to deformation was increased 37.

Using different processing bases during MP solubilization had an effect on textural properties as they did on functional properties. Protein recovery with Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} resulted in harder, gummier, chewier and stronger (p<0.05) gels indicated by TPA results and Kramer shear force (Table 2, Figure 2). MP recovered using Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} had more (p<0.05) protein (850g/kg) in the recovered protein fraction compared to MP solubilized at the same pH using NaOH (700g/kg). 23 As previously explained, this may be due to the increased protein solubilization properties associated with Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} when used as a processing base during pH shifts 23. On the other hand, when MP was solubilized with NaOH torsional shear stress and cohesiveness were greater (p<0.05) indicating a more uniform gel network formation (Table 2, Figure 2). This may be explained by the higher sodium content of the MP used to make the gels. Improvement in myosin cross-linking due to the greater glutamine and lysine residue availability was reported at high salt concentrations for TGase added MP gels 34. Therefore, a stronger gel network may be formed with the increased glutamine and lysine isopeptide bonds 38.

Addition of 23 g SP/kg paste with no other functional additives resulted in harder, gummier, and chewier (p<0.05) gels compared to MP gels containing 5 g SP/kg paste with 15 g starch/kg paste and 3 g PP/kg paste. This formula also yielded gels with similar texture (hardness, gumminess, and chewiness) as gels containing TGase made with calcium enhanced MP. Previous studies using 10 g SP/kg paste recovered from lizardfish, and 20g SP/kg paste from rockfish incorporated into MP gels displayed improved gel texture and resistance to deformation 33,37. Therefore, increasing the amount of SP added and using both functional additives and SP in MP gels may show higher textural properties.
3.3. Color

Color of the MP gels made with using different functional additives are presented in Table 2, and the whiteness values are shown in Figure 3. Lightness, as indicated by \( L^* \), was highest (\( p<0.05 \)) in MP solubilized using \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) and formulated with TGase while the gels with the same formulation made from MP recovered using \( \text{NaOH} \) were the darkest (\( p<0.05 \)). When \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) was used as the processing base protein gels were whiter (\( p<0.05 \)), regardless of formulation, and therefore may reduce the need for adding the widely used titanium dioxide.

Redness (\( a^* \)), which associated with blood residue, was highest (\( p<0.05 \)) in gel samples made with no functional additives. Moreover, when the processing bases during MP recovery are compared, \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) yielded gels are less red (\( p<0.05 \)). Similarly, yellowness (\( b^* \)), which is linked to connective tissue and heme protein content, was lower (\( p<0.05 \)) when \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) was used during pH shifts. Furthermore, addition of as low as 5 g SP/kg paste increased yellowness, which is consistent with other studies. This is most likely due to heme proteins associated with SP. It is interesting to note that both gels that did not contain starch were less yellow (\( p<0.05 \)) than the SP gel with starch which is attributed to the visibly yellow color of potato starch.

Gels containing SP were darker (\( p<0.05 \)) than the TGase gels made with MP solubilized using \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \). SP includes albumins, globulins, and enzymes, and the concentration of each depends on the fish species it is extracted from and will likely impact color. There was no difference (\( p>0.05 \)) between gels with 23 g SP/kg and the gels containing no functional additives. Yet, 23 g SP/kg paste containing gels were whiter (\( p<0.05 \)) than gels formulated with 5 g SP/kg paste; therefore, the decreased whiteness was possibly influenced by potato starch rather than SP addition as previously discussed. Moreover, using \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) during protein
recovery resulted in whiter (p<0.05) gels also indicated by lightness (L*) due to the increased calcium content in the recovered MP.

4. Conclusions

Overall, improved myosin-actin complex formation leading to a more cohesive gel network and stronger gels may either be obtained by the combination of high salt concentration and microbial TGase addition or lower amount of sodium containing MP with high amounts (>23 g/kg paste) of SP addition. In addition to the salt content, using calcium enhanced MP as a result of Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} application during recovery may contribute to increased gel strength. Since fish muscle protein contains a high amount of calcium dependent endogenous TGase, using calcium enhanced protein may catalyze protein-protein interactions without the need for adding microbial TGase. Additionally, protein recovery using Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} will yield harder, springier, gummier, chewier, firmer, and whiter gels compared to NaOH processing due to calcium enhancement.

5. Abbreviations

MP: Myofibrillar protein

SP: Sarcoplasmic protein

LA: Lactic acid

NaOH: Sodium hydroxide

TGase: Transglutaminase

DSC: Differential scanning calorimetry

TPA: Texture profile analysis
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Figure 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) thermograms of black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different additives. Each curve was drawn using the mean of data points collected from 4 individual replications per formulation.
Table: Tested Additives and Recovery Bases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additives and Recovery Bases</th>
<th>Kramer Shear Force</th>
<th>Kramer Shear Stress</th>
<th>Torsional Shear Strain</th>
<th>Torsional Shear Stress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 g/kg TGase, NaOH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 g/kg TGase, CaOH2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 g/kg SP, CaOH2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only 23 g/kg SP, CaOH2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No additive, CaOH2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Kramer shear stress (kPa), Kramer shear force (g/g muscle), torsional shear stress (kPa) and torsional shear strain of recovered black bullhead catfish protein gels. Data are given as mean ± standard deviation.

*a,b,c* indicate significant differences between mean values of gels containing 5 g TGase/kg paste and 5 g SP/kg protein paste (Tukey’s honestly significant differences (HSD) test, p<0.05). *x,y,z* Mean values with different letters in a column are significantly different (Tukey’s HSD, p<0.05). * * indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels made using no functional additives (starch, and polyphosphates (PP)). Bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between gels developed from MP recovered using either NaOH or Ca(OH)₂ processed MP with 5 TGase/kg protein paste.
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Table 1. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements (temperature onset (Tonset), maximum temperature (Tmax), and net enthalpy) of isoelectrically recovered black bullhead catfish protein pastes developed using different additives. Peak I and II represent the heat flow required to unfold myosin head and tail respectively. Peak III and IV represent the heat flow required to unfold actin and sarcoplasmic protein respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested Formula and MP Solubilization Base</th>
<th>Peak I</th>
<th>Peak II</th>
<th>Peak III</th>
<th>Peak IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGase (g/kg)</td>
<td>SP (g/kg)</td>
<td>Starch (g/kg)</td>
<td>PP (g/kg)</td>
<td>Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. a, b, c Starch and polyphosphate (PP) containing formulae are compared with each other and significant differences between mean values are presented with different letters (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). The formulae not containing starch and PP are compared with each other and the significant differences (p<0.05) are presented in bold. x,y,z indicates significant differences within a column between mean values of myofibrillar protein (MP) gels made with Ca(OH)₂ recovered protein (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Italic and bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between the 5 g/kg TGase containing gels made with either NaOH or Ca(OH)₂ processed MP.
Table 2. Texture profile (Hardness, Springiness, Cohesiveness, Gumminess, Chewiness, Resilience) of protein gels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested Formula and MP Solubilization</th>
<th>Texture Profile Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td>Hardness (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgase (g/kg)</td>
<td>SP (g/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. Starch and polyphosphate (PP) containing formulae are compared with each other and significant differences between mean values are presented with different letters (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). The formulae not containing starch and PP are compared with each other and the significant differences (p<0.05) are presented in bold. x,y,z indicates significant differences within a column between mean values of myofibrillar protein (MP) gels made with Ca(OH)2 recovered protein (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Italic and bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between the 5 g/kg TGase containing gels made with either NaOH or Ca(OH)2 processed MP.
Table 3. Color and whiteness of protein gels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested Formula and MP Solubilization Base</th>
<th>Color</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGase (g/kg)</td>
<td>SP (g/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are given as mean ± standard deviation. a, b, c Starch and polyphosphate (PP) containing formulae are compared with each other and significant differences between mean values are presented with different letters (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). The formulae not containing starch and PP are compared with each other and the significant differences (p<0.05) are presented in bold. x,y,z indicates significant differences within a column between mean values of myofibrillar protein (MP) gels made with Ca(OH)₂ recovered protein (Tukey’s honestly significant differences test, p<0.05). Italic and bold values indicate significant (p<0.05) differences between the 5 g/kg TGase containing gels made with either NaOH or Ca(OH)₂ processed MP.
CONCLUSIONS AND INDUSTRY IMPLICATIONS

Calcium hydroxide (Ca(OH)$_2$) may be used as an alternative base during pH shift processing. Protein solubility and protein concentration was greater with a lower amount of impurities (ash and lipids) retained in the protein fraction when Ca(OH)$_2$ was added during isoelectric solubilization of fish protein compared to sodium hydroxide (NaOH). The highest protein concentration was reached at 92% when Ca(OH)$_2$ was used to solubilize the protein at pH 11.0 and lactic acid was added to precipitate the protein at the isoelectric point of pH 5.5. Protein and lipid recovery yields both benefited from the addition of Ca(OH)$_2$ where the highest yields were recorded as 60% and 73-75% for protein and lipid recovery, respectively. Similar to that of protein concentration data, highest protein recovery was also achieved with the aid of lactic acid. Moreover, using Ca(OH)$_2$ during pH shift processing yielded a protein fraction with lower sodium and higher calcium concentration compared to NaOH processing.

Protein gels recovered using Ca(OH)$_2$ were harder but less cohesive compared to NaOH recovered proteins. Moreover, lactic acid processing decreased hardness of gels significantly compared to when hydrochloric acid was used to aid protein precipitation. Low amounts (77 or 144 mg/kg paste) of sarcoplasmic protein when incorporated into recovered protein gels or Alaska Pollock surimi did not improve gel texture (hardness or cohesiveness). On the other hand, recovered protein gels were less adversely affected from sarcoplasmic protein addition compared to Alaska Pollock surimi. When sarcoplasmic protein was concentrated and incorporated into recovered protein gels at higher concentrations (0.5, 1 or 2.3%), more evident changes were observed in the gel texture. Incorporation of sarcoplasmic protein powder into NaOH processed myofibrillar protein gels showed lower textural measurements such as hardness, and cohesiveness compared to 0.5% transglutaminase addition. On the other hand, when myofibrillar
protein was recovered using Ca(OH)$_2$, sarcoplasmic protein powder addition increased textural attributes. For example, incorporation of 2.3% sarcoplasmic protein powder into calcium enhanced, myofibrillar protein gels without exogenous transglutaminase, potato starch or polyphosphates resulted in similar gel texture (hardness and cohesiveness) as gels containing the standard protein gel formula (1.5% starch, 0.5% transglutaminase, and 0.3% polyphosphates). Sarcoplasmic protein addition also increased whiteness of gels made from darker fleshed fish naturally. On the other hand, Ca(OH)$_2$ processed myofibrillar protein gels which were whiter and lighter than NaOH processed protein gels, did not get whiter with the addition of sarcoplasmic protein powder.

Overall, application of different processing strategies have a significant impact on the compositional properties of recovered protein and the recovery yields. The differences in compositional and mineral contents affect functional properties of proteins during gelation and therefore impact the final gel texture and color. Protein recovery strategy should be selected according to the expected reconstructed product. Using calcium hydroxide during processing and utilizing sarcoplasmic protein as a gel additive may answer to economic feasibility while improving sustainability of natural resources.
APPENDIX

Comparison of protein concentration and recovery yield of protein fractions using different solubilization and precipitation strategies

Figure 1. Protein concentrations in the recovered protein fractions using different solubilization and precipitation strategies.

\[a,b,c,d\] Mean values with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, \(p<0.05\)).

\[x,y,z\] Mean values obtained from data in the same solubilization pH group with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, \(p<0.05\)).
Table 1. Proximate composition (ash, lipid, protein) of recovered protein fractions using different processing strategies, on dry basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solubilization pH</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Ash (g/100g)</th>
<th>Lipid (g/100g)</th>
<th>Protein (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td><strong>15.93±1.74</strong> ab,x</td>
<td><strong>9.21±1.34</strong> efgh,y</td>
<td><strong>74.53±0.67</strong> g,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>2.03±0.46 g,z</td>
<td>5.45±0.11 jk,z</td>
<td><strong>92.29±0.52</strong> a,v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td><strong>8.79±0.35</strong> cd,y</td>
<td><strong>10.27±0.60</strong> def,y</td>
<td><strong>80.61±0.33</strong> ef,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>4.08±0.04 ef,z</td>
<td>5.60±1.28 ijk,z</td>
<td><strong>90.12±1.21</strong> abc,w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td><strong>8.50±0.25</strong> d,y</td>
<td><strong>13.53±0.66</strong> cd,x</td>
<td>78.45±0.79 g,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>2.11±0.20 g,z</td>
<td>8.21±0.77 fghij,y</td>
<td>89.42±0.68 abc,w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td><strong>17.04±0.53</strong> a,w</td>
<td><strong>18.75±1.94</strong> b,y</td>
<td>63.95±2.64 h,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>2.73±0.19 fg,z</td>
<td>6.73±0.79 ghijk,z</td>
<td><strong>89.97±1.09</strong> abc,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td><strong>10.57±0.98</strong> c,x</td>
<td><strong>23.08±1.14</strong> a,x</td>
<td>65.96±1.12 h,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>2.83±0.12 efg,z</td>
<td>8.71±0.16 fghijk,z</td>
<td><strong>88.30±0.31</strong> bc,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td><strong>9.04±0.23</strong> cd,y</td>
<td><strong>16.69±0.99</strong> bc,y</td>
<td>74.23±1.07 g,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>3.01±0.06 efg,z</td>
<td>7.81±1.28 fghij,y</td>
<td><strong>89.04±1.26</strong> abc,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>3.41±0.23 efg,y</td>
<td><strong>8.98±0.64</strong> fghi,y</td>
<td>87.33±0.68 cd,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>4.00±0.30 ef,y</td>
<td>3.81±1.81 k,z</td>
<td><strong>91.84±0.52</strong> ab,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>2.53±0.07 fg,z</td>
<td>9.73±1.07 efg,xy</td>
<td>87.40±1.07 cd,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>2.45±0.11 fg,z</td>
<td>6.56±0.85 ghijk,yz</td>
<td><strong>90.91±0.87</strong> abc,xy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>3.51±0.26 efg,y</td>
<td><strong>13.56±3.10</strong> cd,x</td>
<td>82.74±3.05 e,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>3.76±0.31 efg,y</td>
<td>3.91±0.77 k,z</td>
<td><strong>92.14±0.76</strong> a,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td><strong>14.95±1.72</strong> b,x</td>
<td>5.99±0.76 hijk,z</td>
<td>78.61±1.30 f,z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>4.70±0.42 e,z</td>
<td>7.02±0.70 fghijk,z</td>
<td><strong>87.89±0.91</strong> c,w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td><strong>9.39±0.32</strong> cd,y</td>
<td>9.18±0.29 efg,h,xy</td>
<td>81.31±0.07 ef,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>4.06±0.21 ef,z</td>
<td>7.41±0.88 fghijk,xy</td>
<td><strong>88.28±0.73</strong> bc,w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>3.53±0.14 efg,z</td>
<td><strong>12.50±0.62</strong> de,x</td>
<td>83.82±0.72 de,y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Ca(OH)₂</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>4.63±0.37 e,z</td>
<td>6.87±1.04 fghijk,z</td>
<td><strong>88.42±0.94</strong> bc,w</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean±a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j,k. Mean values in a column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05). v,w,x,y,z indicates significant differences (p<0.05) between mean values within the same solubilization pH value. **Bold** values show significant differences between processing bases when the solubilization pH and the processing acids are the same (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).
Table 2. Comparison of protein recovery yields extracted using different solubilization and precipitation strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Protein Recovery Yield (g/100g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>55.75±0.23 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>44.83±0.60 klm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>54.47±0.49 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>45.07±0.26 klm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>52.59±0.53 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>42.00±0.32 n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>50.48±0.85 efg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>46.85±0.16 ikl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>46.23±1.91 jklm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>44.00±0.53 mn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>46.00±0.66 jklm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>46.35±0.66 jklm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>48.84±0.59 gh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>44.73±0.43 lm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>58.27±0.45 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>51.40±0.29 ef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>57.33±2.11 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>50.07±0.41 fgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>47.74±0.04 hij</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>47.21±0.39 ijk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>45.52±0.75 jklm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>59.12±0.61 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>51.33±0.44 ef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>CaOH2</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>52.01±0.55 ef</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values in a column with different letters are significantly different (Tukey’s honestly significant difference test, p<0.05).