Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports

2015

Estonian displaced persons in post-war Germany

Signe Tonismae

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd>

Recommended Citation

Tonismae, Signe, "Estonian displaced persons in post-war Germany" (2015). *Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports*. 6820.
<https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd/6820>

This Thesis is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by the The Research Repository @ WVU with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this Thesis in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you must obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself. This Thesis has been accepted for inclusion in WVU Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports collection by an authorized administrator of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact researchrepository@mail.wvu.edu.

ESTONIAN DISPLACED PERSONS IN POST-WAR GERMANY

Signe Tõnismäe

**Thesis submitted to the
Eberly College of Arts and Sciences
at West Virginia University**

**in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of**

Master of Arts in History

**Robert E. Blobaum, Ph.D., Chair
Katherine B. Aaslestad, Ph.D.
Joshua Arthurs, Ph.D.**

Department of History

Morgantown, West Virginia

2015

**Keywords: Estonian displaced persons, post-war Germany, U.S. occupation zone
Copyright 2015**

ABSTRACT

Estonian Displaced Persons in post-war Germany

Signe Tõnismäe

The immediate aftermath of the Second World War brought about considerable uncertainty and a great number of displaced persons (DPs) to post-war Germany. During that time around 40 000 Estonians found themselves in occupied Germany. The aim of this thesis is to provide an in-depth understanding of lives of Estonian DPs in post-war Germany by examining the U.S. occupation zone and to determine how people contextualized their experience in the broader post-war environment. For these purposes two research questions were set: (1) How honestly did Estonian DPs look at their own past history? and (2) How did Estonian DPs represent themselves and their status as DPs to the world? This discourse analysis is based on the discussions in Estonian DP press and to a lesser extent the British press. The analysis showed that Estonian DPs press did present Estonian DPs from a perspective that at times was a bit distorted and ignored the true past of Estonia. The British press by contrast held a neutral position regarding the past and present circumstances of Estonian DPs and did not reproduce the narratives presented in the Estonian DP press.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to express my deep appreciation and sincere gratitude to my supervisors Prof. Robert Blobaum and Dr. Kaarel Piirimäe for their endless support, patience and motivation. They have continuously encouraged, provided excellent feedback and supported me with valuable ideas. I could not have imagined having better supervisors for my MA studies.

Besides my supervisors, I would also like thank Prof. Katherine Aaslestad and Prof. Joshua Arthurs for the discussions within the classroom and for encouraging history students to think beyond what, when and where. I am very grateful for these discussions and will take them with me beyond the academic environment. I am very grateful to have been able to be part of the Atlantis programme and study history from an international perspective.

I would also like to express my deep appreciation to my friend and an Atlantis programme student Laura Roop from European College of the University of Tartu for her encouragement, support and enthusiasm.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and close friends who have been immensely supportive, have never lost faith in me and have always been there for me. I could not have done this without them and for that I am eternally grateful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
HISTORIOGRAPHY.....	4
CHAPTER ONE: GERMANY IN 1945	7
CHAPTER TWO: ESTONIAN DPs IN POST-WAR GERMANY.....	12
2.1 Estonian DPs in the U.S. zone of post-war Germany	12
2.2 Estonian DPs in the Geislingen DP camp	20
CHAPTER THREE: THE ESTONIAN PRESS IN POST-WAR GERMANY	26
3.1 Guidelines for information media in post-war Germany.....	26
3.2 Estonian periodicals in the U.S. occupation zone	28
3.2.1. <i>Kauge Kodu</i>	31
3.2.2. <i>Eesti Rada</i>	43
3.2.3. <i>Eesti Post</i>	60
CHAPTER FOUR: THE ESTONIAN DPs IN THE BRITISH PRESS	82
4.1 <i>The Times</i> [London]	82
4.2 Discussion	89
CONCLUSION	92
BIBLIOGRAPHY	96
ANNEXES	104
Annex 1 – The Estonian-German periodicals from 1941 onwards	104
Annex 2 – Map of the occupied zones of Germany in 1945	108
Annex 3 – Maps of the Geislingen camp districts	109

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 – Estonians in the DP camps in the U.S. occupation zone	18
Table 2 - The list of main Estonian periodicals in the U. S. occupation zone of Germany, starting from 1945.....	29

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Illustration 1 – Floor plan of George J. Rebane’s living room in Geislingen	23
Illustration 2 - Estonian DPs housing in Rappenäcker, Geislingen DPs camp	24

GLOSSARY

ACA	Allied Control Authority
ADO	Area Division for Occupied Areas under the U.S. Department of State
CCS	Combined Chiefs of Staff
DP	Displaced person
E&CR	Education and Cultural Relations Division
E&RA	Education and Religious Affairs Branch
HICOG	Office of the High Commissioner (of the U.S.) for Germany
ICD	Information Control Division
JCS	Joint Chiefs of Staff
MG	Military Government
OMGUS	Office of Military Government (of the U.S.) for Germany
OWI	Office of War Information
POWs	Prisoners of War
SHAEF	Supreme Headquarters of the Allied Expeditionary Force
UNRRA	United Nations Relief and Rehabilitation Administration

INTRODUCTION

“I still wonder what became of the majority of us and how they have fared”
Mai Maddisson¹

Today 70 years has passed since the Allied forces unconditionally defeated Nazi Germany. The end of the war brought about many positive changes and laid foundations for the Europe as it is today. At the same time, the immediate aftermath of the war also brought about a lot of uncertainty and a great number of displaced persons (DPs) to post-war Germany.

The overall number of Estonians who emigrated towards the West during World War II is close to around 75 000 to 80 000 individuals. Out of these 40 000 to 45 000 Estonians ended up in Germany². There is also reason to believe that only around 40 000 made it to Germany as approximately 4000-5000³ individuals lost their lives on the way.

40 000 is a significant number of Estonian DPs who all played a role in the creation of Estonian committees, theatre groups, singing choirs, schools, clubs and societies – and at large, to the creation of an Estonian diaspora in post-war Germany⁴

The aim of this thesis is to provide an in-depth understanding of the lives of Estonian DPs in post-war Germany, particularly in the U.S. occupation zone, and to understand how people contextualized their experience in the broader post-war environment. The U.S. occupation zone was chosen namely because large share of Estonian DPs from Allied occupied areas (including

¹ Mai Maddisson and Priit Vesilind, *When the Noise Had Ended: Geidlingen's DP Children Remember* (Woodville, MN: Lakesore Spress, 2009), 214.

² Kumer-Haukanõmm, “Teise Maailmasõja Aegne Eestlaste Sundmigratsioon Läände”, *Acta Historica Tallinnensia*, no. 17 (2011), 95-109.

³ Ferdinand Kool. *DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951* (Lakewood, NJ: Eesti Arhiiv Ühendriikides, 1999), 7.

⁴ Later on the diaspora spread to America, Canada, Great Britain, Australia, and South America where many of the Estonian DPs later emigrated.

Central Germany and former Czechoslovakian territories) were either reallocated or escaped to the U.S. occupation zone. The U.S. zone became a home to one of the largest group of Estonian DPs in post-war Germany.

In my thesis I will be focusing on the discussions within the Estonian DP community to understand how the Estonian DPs defined themselves, their past history, their relationship with the Third Reich, their desire to move forward and the way they represented themselves to the rest of the world.

I will be also exploring the perception of Estonian DPs through the British press. “*The Times*” (London) provides an adequate and international perspective at the DPs in post-war Germany as it looks at the Estonian DPs in the U.S. zone from an external viewpoint. This allows for a comparison of the narratives found in the Estonian and British press.

This study is based upon two research questions: (1) How honestly did Estonian DPs look at their own past history? and (2) How did Estonian DPs represent themselves (to the world)?

This thesis is not a study about post-war German society, but about Estonian DPs experience in post-war Germany. However, brief background information about Germany in 1945 is necessary to understand the situational context.

The timeframe analysed in this MA thesis is from 1945 to 1949, from the time when most of the DP camps in the U.S. zone opened to the time when most of them were closed down. 1949 also marks the year of the creation of two separate German states from the western and eastern occupation zones.

This study uses discourse analysis of the press of the Estonian DPs in post-war Germany to understand how they contextualized their experiences in post-war Germany and how they expressed their situation in the DP press.

The first part of this MA thesis will focus on Germany in the immediate aftermath of the war. I will be looking specifically at the economic and social aspects of post-war Germany that were particularly relevant to the Estonian DP experience.

The second part of this MA thesis will focus on the Estonian DPs in post-war Germany and explores how the Estonian DPs camp in Geislingen was organized, what were the living conditions like for the Estonian DPs and how the Estonian DPs described their experience in the Geislingen DP camp. According to the literature available today, the Geislingen DP camp was the largest Estonian DP camp in the U.S. zone and presents therefore the Estonian DPs lives in a DP camp from the largest group available.

The third part of this MA thesis will focus on the Estonian DP press. I will be looking at the guidelines for information media in post-war Germany established by the Education and Religious Affairs Branch of the U.S. military government, explore the Estonian periodicals in the U.S. occupation zone and then look at three of the Estonian DP newspapers - *Eesti Rada*, *Eesti Post* and *Kauge Kodu*.

The fourth part of this MA thesis will look at the Estonian DPs from an international perspective by exploring the chief representative of the British press - *The Times* of London. In this part of the thesis I will also discuss the results of this research and draw conclusions.

HISTORIOGRAPHY

Estonian Displaced Persons (DPs) in post-war Germany is an area of research that has not gained much attention thus far. To this day, most studies on Estonian DPs focus on the DPs experiences in Sweden, while the experience of the DPs in post-war Germany has been left relatively unexamined.

The literature available today focuses on the DPs experience during the Great Escape from Estonia⁵, detailed information about the Estonian DPs activities within different DP camps⁶ and on their lives after the DP camp experience⁷. So far there has been little discussion on how Estonian DPs contextualized their experience in the broader post-war environment.

Today the key researchers and scholars in this area have been focusing on providing detailed information about the formation of Estonian community in post-war Germany⁸, discussed the issue of Baltic DPs⁹ and provided information about the DPs from a broader perspective¹⁰.

This study is based on the available literature in Estonian and English. In the context of this study I will refer to academic research projects, studies and memoirs that have been written about DPs so far. I will be also looking into the Estonian DPs press (*Eesti Post*, *Eesti Rada* and “*Kauge Kodu*”) published in post-war Germany and explore how the British media was discussing Estonian DPs by looking at “*The Times*” of London.

To provide a broader context to this study I will make use of the literature available today. In this thesis I will use the study done by Ferdinand Kool¹¹ whose research includes personal

⁵ Carl G. Andrae, *Rootsi ja Suur Põgenemine Eestist 1943 – 1944* (Tallin: Olion, 2005)

⁶ Ferdinand Kool. *DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951* (Lakewood, NJ: Eesti Arhiiv Ühendriikides, 1999)

⁷ Mai Maddisson and Priit Vesilind, *When the Noise Had Ended: Geidlingen's DP Children Remember* (Woodsville, MN: Lakesore Spress, 2009).

⁸ Ferdinand Kool. *DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951* (Lakewood, NJ: Eesti Arhiiv Ühendriikides, 1999).

⁹ Kaja Kumer-Haukanõmm, “*Teisest Maailmasõjast tingitud Balti pagulaste probleematika aastatel 1945-1952 Eesti pagulaste näitel*”, (PhD. Diss., University of Tartu, 2012).

¹⁰ Anna Holian. *Between National Socialism and Soviet Communism: Displaced Persons in Post-war Germany* (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2014).

memories (as a DP living in post-war Germany under the U.S. zone), personally collected original material from different Estonian committees and a vast number of archival materials. All these sources combined provide a valuable insight into the life of DPs in post-war Germany. Kool's research is very thorough and covers (in more than 800 pages) information about Estonian DP life in the camps, the formation of committees, information media, repatriations, screenings and relations with the occupying powers. In the context of this MA thesis, Ferdinand Kool's research offers a broader perspective of Estonian DP life in post-war Germany. It is currently one of the few research studies on Estonian DPs in post-war Germany that offers such an immense amount of data in one combined research project.

The research of Kaja Kumer-Haukanõmm is also used in this study. Her doctoral dissertation¹² focuses on the fate of Baltic DPs in post-war Germany and specifically on the problem of Estonian DPs. In this research Kumer-Haukanõmm provides a very thorough overview of Estonian DPs journey to Germany, the issues the Estonian DPs were dealing with during their time in DP camps and also the U.S. and the British attitudes towards the Baltic DPs. Kumer-Haukanõmm's research is built upon very reliable sources including a variety of archival materials. In this research the questions over the definitions 'refugee' and 'displaced person' are also discussed.

Mai Maddisson and Priit Vesilind's book "*When the Noise Had Ended*"¹³ has gathered together more than 30 Estonian DP experiences in the Geislingen camp. This research provides detailed information about the DPs everyday experiences in a DP camp and provides information on their lives after the camp. Similar research has been also presented in Estonian by Arved Plaksin

¹¹ Ferdinand Kool, *DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951* (Lakewood, NJ: Eesti Arhiiv Ühendriikides, 1999)

¹² Kaja Kumer-Haukanõmm, "*Teisest Maailmasõjast tingitud Balti pagulaste probleematika aastatel 1945-1952 Eesti pagulaste näitel*", (PhD. Diss., University of Tartu, 2012).

¹³ Mai Maddisson and Priit Vesilind, *When the Noise Had Ended: Geidlingen's DP Children Remember* (Woodsville, MN: Lakesore Spress, 2009).

his book “*Geislingeni Eesti Gümnaasiumi õpilaste ja õpetajate elulugusid*”¹⁴ where everyday life in a DP camp is explained in great detail.

In terms of understanding DPs perspective in post-war Germany, Anna Holian’s book “*Between national socialism and Soviet communism*” provides answers to questions how DPs were administered, what was their relationship with the Soviets and how did the DPs define their presence in Germany. This research is based on a large collection of archival materials in six different languages.

For a general historical perspective on the Second World War as it affect the northeast Baltic region, particularly useful is “*The Second World War and the Baltic States*”¹⁵ edited by James S. Corum, Olaf Mertelsmann and Kaarel Piirimäe. This collection of articles provides an in-depth understanding of the involvement of Baltic States in the Second World War.

Overall, it has to be said that this area of research is slowly gaining momentum and analysis of DPs in post-war Germany is becoming more sophisticated.

¹⁴ Arved Plaks, “*Geislingeni Eesti Gümnaasiumi õpilaste ja õpetajate elulugusid: kümme lendu 1945 ja 1950 aastate vahemikus*”, [4.trükk] (Huston: Nassau Bay, 2010),

¹⁵ James S. Corum, Olaf Mertelsmann and Kaarel Piirimäe, eds. *The Second World War and the Baltic States* (Frankfurt: Peter Land GmbH, 2014).

CHAPTER ONE – GERMANY IN 1945

After Germany was forced to surrender unconditionally in May 1945, the war had left central Europe in a very poor state. The war had destroyed thousands of homes, killed millions of people and left behind a large number of displaced persons.

The discussions between the East and the West over the division of German territory after the war started already in 1944 and were formally concluded on June 5, 1945. After this date Germany was divided into four occupation zones - the U.S. occupation zone, the British occupation zone, the Soviet occupation zone and the French occupation zone¹⁶.

The U.S. occupation zone was administratively divided into three main areas: (1) Bavaria with almost 9 million residents and 70 237 km²; Greater Hesse with 21 117 km² and around 4 million residents; and Württemberg-Baden with 15 600 km² and 3,6 million residents. There were Estonian DPs in all of these three areas, but the largest camps were in Geislingen and Augsburg¹⁷.

The British zone covered areas including Schleswig-Holstein, Lower Saxony, and North Rhine-Westphalia with Rhineland area¹⁸. Compared to the U.S. zone, the British zone included fairly small number of Estonian DPs and Estonian DP camps. Most of the camps were established to areas where there were larger groups of DPs already present. Estonian DPs were gathered together with other Baltic DPs including Latvians and Lithuanians.

Administratively the DP camps in British zone were divided into four main areas including (1) Schleswig-Holstein, (2) Hannover-Braunschweig, (3) Oldenburg – Westphalia and (4)

¹⁶ To see the division of the occupied areas in Germany please refer to Annex No. 2 – The map of the occupied zones of Germany in 1945.

¹⁷ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 101.

¹⁸ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 28.

North – Rhineland. There were about 80 DP camps in where Estonian DPs were present. However, in some DP camps there were only few Estonian DPs residing¹⁹.

The Soviets zone included the Eastern part of former Germany with Mecklenburg, Saxony-Anhalt, Saxony and Thuringia²⁰. After the war had ended many Estonians from former Czechoslovakian territories moved towards the central-Germany which at that time was under the Soviet zone. Many Estonian DPs continued and reallocated mainly to the U.S. zone, but there were also some group of Estonians who remained in the Soviet zone. There are no accurate numbers of Estonian DPs in Soviet zone as the Soviet forces counted all Estonians (and approximately 100 million other occupied nations including Latvians, Lithuanians, Polish, former Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Romania, Bulgaria, Albania and former Yugoslavia) as Russians within their documentation. It is also unknown how many of these Estonian DPs, documented as Russians, were repatriated back to Estonia and how many stayed. However, what is known is that in January 1945 there were approximately 5000-6000 Estonian DPs in Central-Germany, which later became the Soviet zone²¹.

The French zone included mainly Württemberg - Hohenzollern and Rhineland area of post-war Germany. In May 1945 there were approximately 2000 Estonians in the French zone, but by the summer of 1945 this number declined gradually to around 700-800. The main Estonian DP camps were in Kaiserslautern, Freiburg and Müllheim. Most of the Estonian DPs did not live in DP camps and resided in private flats. The UNRRA provided the necessary food and other items for the Estonian DPs.

¹⁹ The exact number of Estonian DPs in British zone is unknown as many of the Estonian DPs did not live in DP camps and were never accounted for. What is known from previous research is that startin from June 1945 there were approximately 30 primary schools establishes within the British zone for Estonian DP children. For more information please look at Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 720-726.

²⁰ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 28.

²¹ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 71-72.

The Allies decided that administratively each occupying power would be responsible for their own zone. However, on general matters concerning the overall issues of post-war Germany the Allies had to come to a consensus in the Allied Control Council.

In the context of this study I will be focusing on the U.S. zone. According to previous literature available the U.S. zone consisted largest number of Estonians in Allied occupation zones; the activities, decisions and actions taken are documented and the information presented is reliable.

British historian Richard Bessel has argued that in 1945 German society went through three different periods in a very short time – the early phase, the shock phase and the aftermath phase.²²

First, in the early phase up to 12 January 1945 Bessel argues that German society believed that the Nazi leadership was firm and sturdy. Nazi Germany had experienced some defeats, but the overall belief in Nazi military and political leadership remained strong. And there was no reason why the German nation should have believed otherwise since the information that was fed to the German public was highly controlled by the Nazi leaders. Ordinary Germans were not aware of mass murders and killings, the number of lost battles, or the actual state of Germany in the war. Before the Soviet invasion of Germany, Germans were convinced that once the war ended, Germany would emerge victorious as promised by Nazi propaganda.²³

The Soviet offensive of January 1945 could be seen as the beginning of a “total shock” experienced by Germans. This is because before January 1945 the majority of the fighting between German soldiers and the Allies had taken place outside Germany and did not affect the idea of Nazi military supremacy among German citizens. Now, however, the fighting was taking place on German soil. Over a very short period of time in early 1945 the Soviet attack

²² Richard Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*” (Tallinn: Tanapäev, 2010), 17-54.

²³ Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*”, 17-24.

along with the Allied bombings had cost Germany almost half a million lives. It was bloodshed for which Germans had not been prepared.²⁴

After the Soviet attack in January 1945 and the Allied heavy bombing, which followed soon after, German faith in the Nazi regime began to collapse in major cities. Bessel argues that the overall loss of lives during the early months of 1945 was the heaviest the German nation had ever seen. Unlike in 1918 when the Germans lost the First World War but sued for peace without facing invasion, the situation in 1945 was much more devastating.

Along with human capital, the heavy attacks from the Allied powers also destroyed almost all major cities along with thousands of homes, major industries and infrastructure. The war had left Germany in total ruins, as millions of Germans were homeless, jobless, hungry and living under very primitive conditions. The months leading up to the fall of Nazi Germany combined with the total destruction that accompanied it is a period in history, Bessel has described with two words – “total shock”.²⁵

The “total shock” and coming to terms with reality is what Bessel calls the third phase in 1945 Germany. It was the aftermath of the war in which the everyday struggle for survival was mixed with the total shock over Nazi Germany’s unconditional surrender to the Allied powers. It was a loss that was physically and psychologically very hard for the majority of Germans to grasp²⁶.

By that time Germany had become a land of “homeless, poor and displaced persons” which consisted of more than “10 million forced labourers, the war prisoners from Allied countries, concentration camp survivors” who were all hoping to either go back home for find a home there²⁷. According to Supreme Headquarters Allied Expeditionary Force (SHAEF)²⁸

²⁴ Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*” 25-54.

²⁵ Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*”, 5.

²⁶ Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*”, 152 – 174.

²⁷ Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*”, 214-215.

²⁸ Supreme Headquarters Allied Expeditionary Force (SHAEF) was the combined Allies military operations command in Europe until July 1945. It was superseded by Chief of Staff to the Supreme Commander Allied Forces (COSSAC) in January 1944 and replaced by US Forces European Theater (USFET). For more

calculations Europe was home for “ 11 332 700 displaced people out of whom 7 725 000 were in Germany” and out of these approximately “6 362 000 were in Western zones”²⁹.

By the end of July 1945 around 4 million DPs were sent back home from Western areas and approximately 2,2 million DPs were asked to come to UNRRA rescue centres in where their personal data was collected in exchange for food and shelter. Out of the 2,2 million DPs, the Western allies tried to send back as many DPs as possible. By the end September there were approximately 1,2 million DPs left of which approximately 600 000 resided in the U.S. zone and another 600 000 resided in the British zone³⁰.

The only difference between the DPs was that most of the DPs in British zone were Polish nationals who repatriated back to Poland (483 504 Polish out of 596 625 DPs in British zone) and most of the DPs in the U.S. zone were people from Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and other nations that now belonged to the Soviets. In other words, whereas the DPs in the British zone were willing to relocate back home, the DPs in the U.S. zone did not. This meant that the U.S. occupying powers had to give food, find shelter and possible employment for almost all of these DPs.

In the early days of occupation the U.S. forces relied mainly on a SHAEF manual that laid down principles for the Americans to govern the post-war society in the U.S. zone. According to this manual the first task for the U.S. occupying forces was to build an inhabitable environment for the post-war inhabitants, as there were thousands who needed food and shelter. As news about Nazi atrocities started to come to light and world became aware of all these mass killings that had happened during the war it is somewhat understandable why the U.S. officials' initial reaction towards Germans was somewhat

information please refer to: Andrew Szanajda, *The Restoration of Justice in Post-war Hesse: 1945-1949* (Plymouth: Lexington Books, 2007), 34.

²⁹ Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*”, 220-221.

³⁰ Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*”, 231-233.

“reserved”. However, over time more information came to light, which neutralized the relations between the U.S. occupying powers and local Germans.

Having looked at the situation of DPs in post-war Germany, I will now focus on Estonian DPs in post-war Germany and explore the Estonian DP camps in more detail.

CHAPTER TWO – ESTONIAN DPs IN POST-WAR GERMANY

2.1 Estonian DPs in the U.S. occupation zone of post-war Germany

The U.S. occupation zone was administratively divided into three main areas: (1) Bavaria with almost 9 million residents and 70 237 km²; Greater Hesse with 21 117 km² and around 4 million residents; and Württemberg-Baden with 15 600 km² and 3,6 million residents. There were Estonian DPs in all of these three areas, but the largest camps were in Geislingen, and Augsburg³¹.

Sources vary on the overall number of Estonian citizens who emigrated to the west during World War II. According to Kumer-Haukanõmm the westward migration of Estonians during the war can be divided into four emigration waves:

- 1939
- 1940-1941
- 1941-summer 1944
- and the Great Escape, from August-October 1944

In 1939 the approximate number of Estonians who left for the West has been calculated at approximately 4000. Kumer-Haukanõmm argues that as the first wave of emigration was

³¹ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 101.

happening during the time Baltic Germans were leaving the country as part of an agreement with the Soviets, the wave of emigration in 1939 may have also included Estonians who presented themselves as Baltic Germans.

The next wave of emigration towards the West (including Germany, Sweden and Finland) took place during the first Soviet occupation of Estonia in 1940 to 1941. The number of émigrés during that time has been estimated at approximately 7000 to 8000 Estonians. This number does not include all those Estonians who emigrated to Germany. However, by the end of 1944 the estimated number of Estonians in Germany has been calculated at approximately 10 000 individuals³².

A massive wave of emigration from the Baltic states (Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania) towards Germany (and Sweden), referred to as the Great Escape, started in the Fall of 1944. This was after the Red Army had captured many large cities in Estonia. The main reason why so many Estonians fled their country in favour of Germany was mainly a reflection of the experience of Estonians during the earlier Soviet occupation of 1940-41.

Kumer – Haukanõmm calculates the overall number of Estonians who emigrated towards West during the World War II at around 75 000 to 80 000 individuals. Out of these 40 000 to 45 000 Estonians ended up in Germany³³. According to another source³⁴ around 45 000 Estonians started their journey towards Germany in the Fall of 1944. Of these, only around 40 000 made it to their destination, and approximately 4000-5000 individuals lost their lives on the way.

It is necessary to mention here that among the émigrés who left Estonia were also those Estonians who fought alongside the Germans in units of the Waffen-SS. In his book on the history of the Baltic states historian Kasekamp explains that it was not uncommon for Estonian men to fight in foreign uniforms – some wearing the uniform for Red army, whereas others

³² Kumer-Haukanõmm, “*USA välispoliitika Balti küsimuses 1945-1952 läbi Balti põgenike probleemiatika*”, (MA thesis, University of Tartu, 2005) 33.

³³ Kumer-Haukanõmm, “*Teise Maailmasõja Aegne Eestlaste Sundmigratsioon Läände*”, 95.

³⁴ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*” 7.

wore the Waffen-SS uniform. It was also not uncommon for many Estonian men to defect from the Soviet army to German army. The reason behind this might be simpler than it may initially seem. Kasekamp explains that “in the appalling conditions during winter of 1941³⁵” as many as “33 000³⁶” Estonian men were sent to the Soviet front to fight against Germans. The conditions in Red Army were very poor and “the desire for revenge against the Soviet regime and to liberate family members deported by the communists” led to a situation in which many Estonian men swapped their Red Army uniform for the German uniform.

There were many Estonians who were involved in mobilising Estonians to fight for the Germans. The top Estonian executives in the so-called Estonian Self-Administration were Oskar Angelus, Oskar Öpik and Dr. Hjalmar Mäe³⁷. Estonians were technically never admitted into the Nazi party and “Eastern Europeans were not allowed to serve in the Wehrmacht”³⁸.

Nevertheless, it is important to note that as many as 33 000 Estonian men enlisted with the German-sponsored military forces. Furthermore, the number of Estonians who did so grew towards the end of the war up to 40 000 men. Most of the Estonian men who enlisted with the Waffen-SS did so with the hope to “liberate their family members deported by the communists” and to defend their homeland. However, there were also some “Baltic volunteers”, including Estonians who were “directly involved in the execution of Jews in Belarus and Ukraine”³⁹. As it can be seen learn later from the information published in Estonian DP press, the screenings in post-war Germany under the U.S. occupation zone affected mainly those Estonians who were directly involved with the Nazis regime during the war.

The last months of the war was a period in the lives of many Estonian DPs that was full of horrendous escape/emigration experiences, full of uncertainty, hard work, poor living conditions and malnutrition. The number of displaced persons grew rapidly and became much greater than

³⁵ Andres Kasekamp, *“A History of the Baltic States”* (Basingstoke; Palgrave Macmillan, 2010), 136.

³⁶ Kasekamp, *“A History of the Baltic States”*, 136.

³⁷ Hjalmar Mäe, *“Kuidas kõik teostus: minu mälestusi”* (Muraste: Matrix Kirjastus, 2005).

³⁸ Kasekamp, *“A History of the Baltic States”*, 137.

³⁹ Kasekamp, *“A History of the Baltic States”*, 136.

had been expected by the Allies earlier. The Allied powers did not know what to do with all the displaced persons living in Germany nor did they have a suitable policy to deal with them.

Robert G. Moeller noted that the only document that outlined how to deal with the DPs was the SHAEF Administrative Memorandum No. 39.⁴⁰ This regulation was published in November 1944 by SHAEF, but by spring 1945 it became clear to the U.S. Military Government that updates to this document were necessary as soon as possible.

In the Administrative Memorandum No. 39 the displaced persons were defined as individuals who were “outside the national boundaries of their country by reason of war.”⁴¹ DPs were seen as individuals who were only temporarily outside their country and should be returned back to their homelands as soon as possible. SHAEF did not even consider the possibility that some of these DPs might be political refugees or do not want to return back to their country (or former country in the case of Estonia and other neighbouring countries). As far as the initial DP memorandum stated, all Estonians within the borders of occupied territories outside of Estonia (or Soviet Union) should be sent back as part of the repatriation process. The only distinction in the way DPs were defined was made between those people who needed temporary housing and care because their status (to which country they belonged) was unclear, and those who should be repatriated immediately.

Over the course of repatriations several Estonians were sent back to Estonia, or it would be correct to say they were sent back to the former Estonia under the the new occupying power, the Soviet Union. According to Kool the number of repatriated individuals who were sent back to their original countries now occupied by the Soviet Union is unclear, as proper records for the

⁴⁰ Robert G. Moeller, *West Germany under construction: politics, society, and culture in the Adenauer era* (Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press, 1997), 234.

⁴¹ Moeller, *West Germany under construction: politics, society, and culture in the Adenauer era*, 234.

repatriated individuals were not kept. Kool claims that according to UNRRA the repatriated number of individuals was “2 530 000 out of which most were classified as Russians”⁴². I can safely assume that this number must have also included Estonians. How many exactly, is still unknown.

Toivu U. Raun also discusses the number of unaccounted Estonians and explains that “hard data on the ethnic composition of Estonia in 1944 to 1952 is simply not available”⁴³, because former Estonians were counted as the citizens of the Soviet Union. What made this situation even harder was the notion that “as large numbers of Estonians were deported eastward out of Estonia, many Russian-Estonians from the interior of the Soviet Union were moving westward into Estonia”⁴⁴. Therefore, it is hard to define the accurate number of ethnic Estonians who were living in Estonia or were repatriated back to Estonia. However, what is known from another research study by Bobby V. Johnson is that Allied powers had determined to send back from Germany around “six million displaced persons” and “between 1,5 to two million” individuals refused to go back”⁴⁵. Although the exact number of repatriated ethnic Estonians is unknown, Kool claims that by Autumn 1945 the overall number of Estonians in post-war Germany was approximately around 19 000⁴⁶ individuals and by the end of the year (with reallocations) this number increased to 22 895 Estonians⁴⁷.

In terms of repatriations, the initial clarification of this process for Estonian DPs came when SHAEF released a document on the 12th of May 1945, no. S-87880. It was a sanction that prohibited the forced repatriation of any individual from the former Baltic States, including Estonians, Latvians and Lithuanians⁴⁸. This meant that those Estonians who decided to leave for

⁴² Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 43.

⁴³ Toivu U. Raun, *Estonia and the Estonians* [2nd Ed.] (Stanford: Hoover Institution Press, 2002), 182.

⁴⁴ Raun, *Estonia and the Estonians*, 182.

⁴⁵ Bobby V. Johnson, *High on the Mountain : Israel's Prophetic History* (Bloomington: WestBow Press, 2014), 123.

⁴⁶ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 102

⁴⁷ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, , 592.

⁴⁸ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 50.

Estonia did so and those who decided to stay were allocated into different DP-camps across Germany (within the borders of the U.S. occupation zone).

In Kumer-Haukanõmm research,⁴⁹ the author claims that up to the first part of 1946 most people who returned home did so voluntarily of their own free will. This meant that those Estonians who wanted to return back to Estonia did so. It also meant that those Estonians who were saved from the first wave of repatriation and remained in DP camps had already been living in the DP camp situation for more than a year. Kumer-Haukanõmm also claims that “in April 1946 when the former mayor of New York, Fiorello LaGuardia became the new head at the UNRRA a new resolution concerning the repatriation of post-war DPs in Germany was released and the main focus was on Baltic DP reparations.”⁵⁰ The propaganda that was unleashed as a result by the Soviet Union was full of promises of a better life that was never realized.

After UNRRA’s new leader LaGuardia released the resolution for the repatriation of Baltic citizens and before the second wave of repatriations occurred, at the very end of 1945 and the very beginning of 1946 there were thousands of Estonian DPs who were scattered across different DP camps around post-war Germany.

Table 1 below indicates the number of known Estonian DPs within different DPs camps in the end of 1945 in the U.S. zone of occupation of post-war Germany.

⁴⁹ Kumer-Haukanõmm, “USA välispoliitika Balti küsimuses 1945-1952 läbi Balti põgenike problemaatika”, “USA välispoliitika Balti küsimuses 1945-1952 läbi Balti põgenike problemaatika”, 20.

⁵⁰ Kumer-Haukanõmm, “USA välispoliitika Balti küsimuses 1945-1952 läbi Balti põgenike problemaatika”, 20-21.

TABLE 1: ESTONIANS IN DP CAMPS IN THE U.S. ZONE

No.	CAMP NAME	ESTONIANS	SIZE OF THE CAMP (individuals)
1.	Allmendfeld	103	290
2.	Altenstadt	625	781
3.	Amberg	432	4532
4.	Ansbach	272	1672
5.	B.-Mergenth.	250	2344
6.	B.-Wörishof	203	1063
7.	Beyreuth	198	998
8.	Bamberg	234	1172
9.	Berchtesg.	47	832
10.	Dettendorf	212	212
11.	Dieburg	98	998
12.	Dilligen	110	1610
13.	Erlangen	68	432
14.	Forchheim	57	117
15.	Fürth	508	593
16.	Geislingen	3728	3728
17.	Hanau	641	6741
18.	Haunstetten	530	2190
19.	Heidelberg	152	352
20.	Hochfeld	1700	4700
21.	Ingostadt	96	96
22.	Kassel – Bett.	104	1034
23.	Kleinheubach	628	693
24.	Mannheim	217	6167
25.	Marktredwitz	273	523
26.	Memmingen	526	1941
27.	Mühlendorf	36	526
28.	Neuburg	136	136
29.	Munich	200	1980
30.	Regensburg	27	6880
31.	Sindelfingen	50	78
32.	Stuttgart	135	261
33.	Traunstein	125	2625
34.	Ulm	97	97
35.	Wielandshag	104	104
36.	Weissenburg	107	107
37.	Wiesbaden	437	8087
TOTAL		13466	66692

Source: Ferdinand Kool. *DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*, 103-104.

According to Kool's data presented in Table 1 the number of Estonian DPs in different camps by the end of 1945 across the U.S. occupation zone can be calculated at 13466 individuals and the overall number of Estonians in post-war Germany to 30 000⁵¹ individuals. A very similar estimated number of Estonians in post-war Germany has been also presented by Wolfgang Jacobmeyer who claims that by the end of 1945 there were 30 505 Estonians in the four occupation zones of Germany⁵². According to another source presented by Rudolf Wierer the overall number of Estonian DPs in post-war Germany was by Autumn 1945 approximately around 40 000 Estonians⁵³.

Many of the DPs who were outside of the borders of the U.S. zone tried to relocate into it, away from the Soviets. By 1946 the number of people in different DP camps across the U.S. zone grew increasingly. One example here includes the Geislingen DP camp which consisted almost fully of Estonian DPs. Based on the information provided by Ferdinand Kool in Table 1 earlier, the number of DPs in Geislingen camp was by late 1945 approximately 3728 individuals (who were all Estonian nationals).

According to George J. Rebane who was one of the DPs living in this camp with his family, "5000 would be interred in the Geislingen DP Camp"⁵⁴.

In order to understand the daily experiences of Estonian DPs in post-war Germany and their role in the creation of a new narrative, the following section will focus on the life of Estonian DPs in the Geislingen camp.

⁵¹ Kool, "DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951", 101.

⁵² Jacobmeyer, Wolfgang, *Vom Zwangsarbeiter zum Heimatlosen Ausländer. Die Displaced Persons in Westdeutschland 1945-1951*, Göttingen, 1985, 122

⁵³ Rudolf Wierer, *Probleme der heimatlosen Ausländer in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland: mit Berücksichtigung der deutschen Heimatvertriebenen* (Munich: E.Gans, 1960), 29.

⁵⁴ George J. Rebane, May 11, 2013 "Geislingen DP Camp Years, 1945-49", The Rebane's Ruminations, available at: http://rebaneruminations.typepad.com/files/geislingen-dp-camp-years_v130608-2.pdf

2.2 Estonian DPs in the Geislingen DP camp

In the summer of 1944, about a year before DPs settled in the Geislingen area, the city was known by locals as a “Nazi town” as it was full of “luxurious houses and villas” in which many high-ranking members of the Nazi party resided. During that time there was only one known Estonian maid living in the area⁵⁵.

Geislingen was also known as the “city of five valleys” surrounded by nature. It was a picturesque town and “as Geislingen did not have any great industries, it was also saved from the destruction of war”⁵⁶.

In late 1944 about 75 Estonians were sent by German officials to work at the local metal factory which the locals knew as “Metallwarenfabrik Württemberg”. Most of these DPs were from the central part of Estonia, from the Paide area. Most of the Estonian workers at the Metallwarenfabrik Württemberg were living in very poor conditions. They lived in old metal factory buildings which had previously stored “unused machinery”⁵⁷. The living conditions were far from comfortable, but as non-German citizens “hiding from the Soviets” the inevitable had to be accepted.

The rumours about Estonians living in Geislingen spread to other Estonians in Germany and in addition to the 75 Estonians working in the metal factory, several Estonian families also ended up in the Geislingen area. By April 1945, when the U.S. occupying powers had reached Geislingen, there were more than 100 Estonians living in the area. The responsibility of ensuring the well-being of Estonians and other DPs was given to UNRRA Team 190.

⁵⁵ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 232.

⁵⁶ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 232-233.

⁵⁷ Arved Plaks, “*Geislingeni Eesti Gümnaasiumi õpilaste ja õpetajate elulugusid: kümme lendu 1945 ja 1950 aastate vahemikus*”, [4.trükk] (Huston: Nassau Bay, 2010), 8.

On the 11th October 1945 UNRRA started transporting Estonians into Geislingen area which meant that over the course of “a few days the Geislingen camp grew from 100 Estonians to close to 2000”⁵⁸.

UNRRA was responsible for housing all the DPs and the means of accommodation for it were taken from local Germans living in the area. Ferdinand Kool explains that General Dwight D. Eisenhower gave strict instructions to locate DPs into comfortable properties. This meant that many local Germans were forced to leave their homes and vacate their property for the DPs within 24 hours notice. Many Germans protested against their relocation and as shown later in the Estonian DP press it caused tensions between the locals and Estonian DPs.

By the end of 1945 the Geislingen DP camp had grown to its maximum capacity and was closed down for new DPs wishing to reside in the Geislingen area. Administratively Geislingen was divided into five areas: (1) Schlosshalde, (2) Wilhelmshöhe, (3) Rappenäcker, (4) Burgstrasse and (5) Bölckestrasse⁵⁹. Kool claims that by that time there were approximately “4000” inhabitants in the Geislingen camp with 13 houses, 160 rooms, 6095,8 m² for official uses and 174 houses, 1442 rooms” and 18 892,6 m² for residential purposes⁶⁰.

George J. Rebane, who was one of the former Geislingen DP camp inhabitants, remembers his time in camp well. In his autobiography George J. Rebane explains that “UNRRA’s rule was that each family would live in one room that would serve as its living, dining and sleeping quarters” which was “approximately 40 square feet of space for each family member”⁶¹. With the increasing number of DPs and low number of available rooms to be allocated, the Rebane

⁵⁸ Arved Plaks, “*Geislingeni Eesti Gümnaasiumi õpilaste ja õpetajate elulugusid: kümme lendu 1945 ja 1950 aastate vahemikus*”, [4.trükk] (Huston: Nassau Bay, 2010), 9.

⁵⁹ Mai Maddisson and Priit Vesilind, “*When the Noise Had Ended: Geidlingen’s DP Children Remember*” (Woodsville, MN: Lakesore Spres, 2009), 34. Please also see Annex No. 3 for the these areas.

⁶⁰ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 236.

⁶¹ George J. Rebane, May 11, 2013 “Geislingen DP Camp Years, 1945-49”, The Rebane’s Ruminations, available at: http://rebaneruminations.typepad.com/files/geislingen-dp-camp-years_v130608-2.pdf ,3.

family ended up living in a room that was 10 by 13 feet (about 3 by 4 meters) which was much smaller than what UNRRA had recommended⁶². The building was located on the second floor of 173 Weingarten Strasse in the Rappenäcker quarter of Geislingen area. This large house, which was originally built for two prosperous German families was reallocated to Estonian DPs. 173 Weingarten Strasse became a home for 14 Estonian families, 7 on each side, where each family had its own private living room, but had to share a kitchen and a second floor bathroom⁶³. Rebane claims that though the living conditions were poor, the tight-knit community was active and the tight indoor space was mainly used for eating and sleeping. The illustration below is a floor plan of George J. Rebane's family living space.

⁶² George J. Rebane, May 11, 2013 "Geislingen DP Camp Years, 1945-49", The Rebane's Ruminations, available at: http://rebaneruminations.typepad.com/files/geislingen-dp-camp-years_v130608-2.pdf , 3.

⁶³ George J. Rebane, May 11, 2013 "Geislingen DP Camp Years, 1945-49", The Rebane's Ruminations, available at: http://rebaneruminations.typepad.com/files/geislingen-dp-camp-years_v130608-2.pdf , 3.

ILLUSTRATION 1: REBANE FAMILY’S LIVING SPACE IN GEISLINGEN DP CAMP

This illustration is a courtesy of George J. Rebane⁶⁴

As it can be seen from this illustration, the DPs living conditions were tight and the space had to be used very wisely to fit all the necessary activities in that little space. Unfortunately there are no photographs remaining that show the inside of Rebane family’s living quarters, but this illustration above paints a very clear picture why children of that time, such Rebane himself was, spent most of their time playing outside.

Almost all the houses in the Rappenäcker area of Geislingen were fairly large and had previously “belonged to upscale Germans loyal to the Nazi Party”⁶⁵. The illustration below is of a house in Rappenäcker where Estonian DPs resided.

⁶⁴ George J. Rebane, May 11, 2013 “Geislingen DP Camp Years, 1945-49”, The Rebane’s Ruminations, available at: http://rebaneruminations.typepad.com/files/geislingen-dp-camp-years_v130608-2.pdf, 3

⁶⁵ Maddisson and Vesilind, “*When the Noise Had Ended: Geidlingen’s DP Children Remember*”, 34.

**ILLUSTRATION 2 - ESTONIAN DP HOUSING IN RAPPENÄCLER IN GEISLINGEN
DP CAMP⁶⁶**

As it can be seen from this photograph, the houses in Rappenäcker were built on a hill surrounded by nature. The houses, which at one point were meant for 1 to 2 German families, now housed more than 10 Estonian DP families in small, tight conditions.

In an interview with the author on June 25, 2014, George J. Rebane revealed that "the Geislingen DP camp became a totally integrated and fully functioning Estonian community, a 'little Estonia' as it were, in which we set up and operated all the civil institutions required for daily life"⁶⁷.

⁶⁶ Maddisson and Vesilind, "When the Noise Had Ended: Geidlingen's DP Children Remember", 34.

⁶⁷ George J. Rebane interview with the author, June 25, 2014.

The period from May to December 1945 in post-war Germany is a period in Estonian DP life that can be called the “most hopeful and positive”⁶⁸ as it was a time when most Estonian DP camps were developed with a hope for better future. The development of different DP camps was also a time when an Estonian Gymnasium⁶⁹, different Estonian National Committees and other political and cultural groups started forming. The overall understanding within the Estonian DP community at that time was that “is it not possible that the democratic West will accept the annexation of the Baltics”⁷⁰. The Americans (and the U.S. occupying forces in particular) were seen as a strong carrier of democratic values who would look after the Estonian DPs until Estonians were able to return back to Estonia, freed from the Soviets.

Along with different committees and unions Estonian DPs also started to publish different periodicals, the main purpose of which was to share local and international news in the Estonian language.

In order to understand how information media was organized in the U.S. occupation zone of post-war Germany the following section will look at the guidelines imposed by the U.S. Military Government to govern their activities. I will then look at the Estonian DP press more specifically and focus on some of the main Estonian DP newspapers in the U.S. zone at that time.

⁶⁸ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 101.

⁶⁹ To read more about the formation of Estonian Gymnasium, its students and their memories from that time please refer to Arved Plaks “*Geislingeni Eesti Gümnaasiumi õpilaste ja õpetajate elulugusid: kümme lendu 1945 ja 1950 aastate vahemikus*”, [4.trükk] (Huston: Nassau Bay, 2010) who has compiled almost 500 page book about it.

⁷⁰ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 101.

CHAPTER THREE – THE ESTONIAN PRESS IN POST-WAR GERMANY

3.1 Guidelines for information media in post-war Germany

The Education and Religious Affairs Branch of the U.S. military government had already before the unconditional surrender of Germany agreed that all Nazi periodicals, information bulletins, posters, school (and university) textbooks and all other propaganda materials would be banned as soon as the occupying powers assumed control over Germany.⁷¹ It is fair to say that controlling what was printed and published inside Germany was of utmost importance to the Allied powers.

The strict measures on the post-war German press are described further in the U.S. Education Mission report of 1946 in which it is stated that “German education shall be controlled completely to eliminate Nazi and militaristic doctrines and to make possible the successful development of democratic ideas.”⁷² With this commitment set forth in the report, the U.S. Military Government also became responsible for finding and developing replacements – replacements for newsletters, school textbooks and other printed materials.

One aspect that the Education and Religious Affairs Branch at the U.S. Military government did not foresee was the number of DPs in early post-war Germany who were, as Kool brilliantly describes, “craving daily news as much as daily bread”⁷³. The initial rules of the Education and Religious Affairs Branch at the U.S. Military government did not allow any publications in the native languages of DPs. After several underground DP newspapers and bulletins started appearing in various DP camps, the occupying powers somewhat changed their attitude towards DP press. Although the U.S. military government officials varied from area to area, the overall decision was taken that DPs should be allowed to publish their press as long as translated copies were provided to occupation authorities if requested.

⁷¹ U.S. Military Government Report on “Textbooks in Germany, American Zone” (Wiesbaden: Education and Religious Affairs Branch, 1946), 1-2.

⁷² United States Education Mission, “Report of the United States Education Mission to Germany” (Washington, D.C. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1946), 10.

⁷³ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 747.

Setting up new rules and regulations for the post-war German information media which promoted “democratic values” was a task that the Allied Forces took seriously. Information media was seen as a tool through which the Germany’s resident population (including Estonian DPs) could gather information, form ideas and act democratically in the new post-war order. The first set of rules that the U.S. Military Government imposed for the publication of periodicals in its occupation zone consisted of six main points:

1. No dissemination of nationalist, militant, or anti-democratic materials was to be allowed.
2. No dissemination of materials disruptive to the occupying powers or hostile to occupation authorities was to be allowed.
3. Facts and editorial opinions had to be separated.
4. No affiliation with political parties was to be allowed.
5. Writing had to be understandable for an average resident.
6. All periodicals had to follow the approved guidelines of the U.S. Military Government⁷⁴.

Except for the U.S. occupation zone, the occupying powers had a policy of preventive censorship, which meant that an issue of a periodical had to gain their acceptance before it was released. The U.S. occupation zone did not censor newspapers before they were published. However, the U.S. Military Government did check published newspapers and the punishment for violating its rules was taken very seriously. In the case of Estonian DP newspapers and other periodicals the occupying powers requested translated (to English) copies of some issues. This sometimes created a situation in which there was plenty of good information gathered by the journalists for the DP press, but due to the length of the newspaper there were not enough translators who could cope with these demands⁷⁵.

⁷⁴ Raul. A. McClure, “Rebuilding Germany’s Information Media” *Army Information Digest*, 3 (1948), 11.

⁷⁵ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 754

Regardless of the strict rules and regulations established by the Education and Religious Affairs Branch at the U.S. Military government, the post-war years at the U.S. zone saw the birth of many Estonian DP periodicals. The following section will focus more specifically on the Estonian periodicals in the U.S. occupation zone of Germany.

3.2 Estonian periodicals in the U.S. occupation zone of Germany

By the summer of 1945 most Estonian DPs who had escaped from the Red Army and Soviet occupation and had made it to Germany had also found their way to different DP camps. As presented earlier in Table 1, the overall number of Estonian DPs in different camps in Germany by the end of 1945 was approximately 13466⁷⁶ individuals who all played a significant role in the Estonian DP information media - either externally as a reader or internally as a member of information media publishing team.

It is necessary to mention here that although some Estonians had emigrated to Germany already in 1939 and were responsible for publishing different newspapers (such as discussed below), the new wave of Estonian DPs was also very quick to establish different teams necessary to publish periodicals.

The periodicals that were issued before the U.S. occupation zone was officially established include newspapers like "*Eesti Sõna*" ("*Estonian Word*"), "*Postimees*" ("*Courier*"), "*Teateleht eestlastele*" ("*Newsletter for Estonians*") and many more.

One of the main reasons why different groups of DPs, including Estonians, were so eager to issue various newsletters, newspapers and magazines was that apart from the official newsletter published by the SHAEF called "S.H.A.E.F.T," there was according to Ferdinand Kool "no other trustworthy source of information".⁷⁷

⁷⁶ Kool, "*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*", 101.

⁷⁷ The Allied powers newsletter called "S.H.A.E.F.T" was issued daily and published in 4 different languages including English, French, Russian and Polish. It was active from April-July 1945. For more information see S.H.A.E.F. G5 intelligence: political newsletter 1-11 from Kool, "DP Kroonika," 748.

The periodicals published from May 8, 1945 onwards include several weekly newspapers, smaller monthly newspapers, different bulletins, newsletters and magazines. According to my research within the Estonian National Library Catalogue during the period of 1945 to 1949 there were 39 Estonian-language periodicals in post-war Germany – 20 of which were newspapers and 19 of which were magazines⁷⁸. Of these 39 periodicals, 20 were published in the U.S. occupation zone.

Table 2 contains a list of main the Estonian periodicals in the U. S. occupation zone of Germany, starting from 1945.

TABLE 2: MAIN ESTONIAN PERIODICALS IN THE U.S. OCCUPATION ZONE OF GERMANY

TITLE	WHERE	FROM	UNTIL	COMMENTS
1. <i>“Eesti Post”</i> ⁷⁹ (“Estonian Post”)	Geislingen	06.11.1945	14.01.1953	This newspaper was published 3 times per week on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.
2. <i>“Eesti Rada”</i> (“Estonian Trail”)	Hochfeld	29.09.1945	2000	<i>“Eesti Rada”</i> was one of the first Estonian newspapers in the U.S. zone
3. <i>“Kauge Kodu”</i> (“Faraway home”)	Kempton	23. 06.1945	1949 (date unknown)	<i>“Kauge Kodu”</i> was issued twice per week on Wednesdays and Saturdays
4. <i>“Päevauudised”</i> (“Daily News”)	Hochfeld	29.08.1945	Sept.1953 (date unknown)	This newspaper focused on international affairs and was issued six times per week
5. <i>“Uudised”</i> (“News”)	Märzfild	02.07.1946	unknown	<i>“Uudised”</i> focused on administrative information, notices, official orders and cultural aspects. It was issued three times per week on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday

⁷⁸ See Attachment 1 – Estonian German periodicals

⁷⁹ The first five numbers of this periodical were called “Geislingeni Laagri Teated”, the first being published on October 18, 1945; Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 765.

6. "Geislingeni Laagri Teated" (Geislingen Camp Post)	Geislingen	18.10.1945	early 1950 when all DPs left and the camp was liquidated	"Geislingeni Laagri Teated" published 5 issues after which " <i>Eesti Post</i> " took over. " <i>Eesti Post</i> " ran this newspaper as a section of " <i>Eesti Post</i> " until April 1945. After that date "Geislingeni Laagri Teated" started publication again as a separate newspaper. It was active until the very end of the Geislingen DP camp, after which the newspaper ceased to exist.
--	------------	------------	--	--

Many of the periodicals can be found at archives of the National Library of Estonia. However there are also many issues of these periodicals that are not in the archives and have only remained as a memory among the Estonian DPs and are sometimes mentioned in memoirs⁸⁰.

In the context of this study I will not be looking at the articles from Estonian periodicals before May 8, 1945, but only those published after that date which marks the start of occupation and military government in the U.S. zone of post-war Germany. Even more specifically I will be focusing on "*Eesti Rada*", "*Eesti Post*" and "*Kauge Kodu*", during the period from 1945 to 1949.

The reason why I have chosen "*Eesti Rada*" ["*Estonian Way*" in English] as one of the main newspapers for analysis is that "*Eesti Rada*" was the first newspaper that was published in the U.S. zone. Ferdinand Kool, a trained journalist by profession organized the establishment of this newspaper. Before immigrating to Germany Ferdinand Kool had been the chief editor of two Estonian newspapers "*Oma maa*" and "*Sakala*". With Ferdinand Kool, the editorial team of "*Eesti Rada*" also included the writer Kaarel Eerme and poet J. Hennoste, and a well-known sports-journalist Johannes Västriik. A very strong team of experts ran "*Eesti Rada*" and its popularity along with the number of editions published increased continuously. The range of

⁸⁰ For a complete list of Estonian periodicals in Germany please see Annex No. 1

people who were involved with the publication of “*Eesti Rada*” assured that articles were published with a high level of professionalism⁸¹.

The reason why I have chosen “*Eesti Post*” [“*Estonian Post*” in English] for analysis is that “*Eesti Post*” was one of the most frequently published newspaper in one of the largest Estonian DP camps. By the time the first issue of “*Eesti Post*” was published (on the 6th of November 1945) there were already more than 3000 Estonians living in the Geislingen area. Similarly to “*Eesti Rada*”, the editorial team of “*Eesti Post*” also included many professional journalists which gives reason to believe that the overall professionalism in this periodical was proficient. Also, as “*Eesti Post*” was published (initially) on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday it was the most widely read newspaper in Geislingen area. Towards the end of 1946 the editorial team did change due to internal conflicts and the newspaper was issued only twice per week, it still remained as one of the most important newspapers within Estonian DP-s community⁸².

3.2.1. “*Kauge Kodu*”

I have chosen “*Kauge Kodu*” [“*Far Away Home*” in English] as the third main Estonian DP newspaper to be analysed within the context of this study. The Kempten Estonian DPs published “*Kauge Kodu*” twice per week on every Wednesday and Saturday. The first issue was published on the June 23, 1945 and among DP press titles, it was one of the first DP newspapers to be published within the U.S. zone. It is not known how many people in Kempten area were reading “*Kauge Kodu*” as their main source of information. However, what is known from previous research is that by the end of the first year the newspaper had published 52 issues, 724 pages, 14 938 copies and 150-500 printed numbers⁸³.

⁸¹ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 758-765

⁸² Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 765-768

⁸³ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 755.

In early 1946 the newspaper was renamed and it became known as “*Kauge Kodu Päevauudised*” [“*Far Away Home Daily News*” in English]. The previous title “*Kauge Kodu*” was given to the Altenstadt Estonian Committee which started publishing a magazine under this name. The main focus of this magazine was directed mainly on art and literature.

Along with the new name there also came new members to the editorial team. This also brought along some changes. One of the most noticeable was that the newspaper became shorter (from 16-page to 8-10 page) and the topics were more focused on analysing everyday issues and political news rather than covering cultural events of Estonian DPs.

One central theme of “*Kauge Kodu*” is a narrative that shows Estonian DPs as democratic and freedom-loving nation. This can be seen for example in the very first issue of “*Kauge Kodu*” in which a journalist declared “The Estonian nation has never lost its urge for independence. Despite the slavery and violence that Estonian land has seen from generation to generation, we are still carrying a secret hope in our hearts to be free again.... our group of Estonians here at the heart of South-Bayern carry these hopes with us...we want to be free and true owners of our land. This is every nation’s natural urge and God-given right that cannot be erased by any political power. Walk steady, demand justice, time will tell”⁸⁴.

This theme continues in the following pages where an article “Homeland” appears. In this article the journalist discussed the “democratic Western ideology” and states that “the Estonian nation abroad cannot lose its faith for a better tomorrow. The logic of our mind knows that Western democracy will not leave us half way. We need to believe, be strong and do what is in our power”⁸⁵. In this article the journalist discusses the role of Estonians abroad and supports the idea that Western democracy is an ideology that is natural to Estonians.

⁸⁴ “What do we want?” “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 1, June 23, 1945, 3-4.

⁸⁵ “Homeland”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 1, June 23, 1945, 4-5.

This theme of representing Estonian DPs as members of a democratic and freedom-loving nation can be also found on a later issue in which journalists discuss the capturing of Estonian war criminals in an article titled “Boils”. There are no specific references to any of the Estonian DPs in particular, but from the article it can be seen that it was written in response to the disappointments within Estonian DP community. The heat of the discussion revolves around the issue of how the capturing of Estonian war criminals may change the perspective of the Allied powers on Estonian DPs.

On the one hand the Estonian DP community seems to be satisfied that Estonian war criminals are finally captured. However, at the same time there is also a discussion that the capture of these war criminals will put the rest of the Estonian DPs into a position in where they are not seen as reliable as they had been seen before. The journalist continues with these discussions and writes “there are men who were working alongside with Germans during the German occupation in Estonia and those men did betray us. We are not them. They are few out of thousands who did not do so....it is natural that some boils do appear in these tough conditions many of us have been through. But right now our country needs us to stick together. Our citizens’ well-being depends on it and we cannot let them down. We are a small nation compared to other nations in this world – but we matter. The Estonian nation has a lot to offer to this world”⁸⁶. It is a very emotionally written article and it is clear that the issue of capturing Estonian war criminals is important to the Estonian DP community. However, at the same time there is also a question about whom this article is really written for. Are Estonian DPs writing this to remind themselves and the whole DP community that “we are a nation that matters” or are they writing this for somebody else? The occupying force did ask for translated copies of newspapers and the question here is that is it possible that the Estonian DPs are not only writing

⁸⁶ “Boils”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 27, September 22, 1945, 2-7.

these newspapers to themselves. Is it possible that these articles were written under the presumption that they would be also translated to English for the occupying powers?

By looking at the content of "*Kauge Kodu*" there seems to be only one reference to the capturing of Estonian war criminals and it is the imprisonment of Dr. Hjalmar Mäe. There are no other articles between June to September 1945 in which the capture of other Estonian war criminals is mentioned. This article about Dr. Hjalmar Mäe is very informative and only states that: "According to unconfirmed sources, Estonian Dr. Hjalmar Mäe has been captured and imprisoned on the Austrian (Tyrol) territory. During the German occupation in Estonia, 1941/1944, he was known as the Head of Local Government"⁸⁷. This story was not printed on the first pages of "*Kauge Kodu*", but rather at the back of the newspaper on the 9th page of the issue. It is merely presented as information and there is no discussion or commentary that follows.

One reason for this is that the later article "Boils" discusses only the issue of Dr. Hjalmar Mäe and this is what has caused disappointments within the Estonian DP community. Hence, the debate within the article. However, it is also possible that the newspaper did not publish all the names of captured Estonian war criminals for different reasons, but that the information had still reached Estonian DPs through other means (gossip) and that worries over their own well-being remained.

From the literature available today⁸⁸ it is evident that there were other Estonian "war criminals" that were captured during that time, but the question is why aren't they mentioned in the press? Is it at all possible that the DP press avoiding talking about the captured war criminals?

Another key theme in "*Kauge Kodu*" is victimization. For example, in issue No. 13 the journalists are discussing the issue of small nations and their importance in the world. The article claims that: "it is inarguably true that science and art, which are all forms of culture,

⁸⁷ "Dr. Hjalmar Mäe imprisoned", "*Kauge Kodu*", No. 2, June 27, 1945, 9.

⁸⁸ Hjalmar Mäe, "*Kuidas kõik teostus: minu mälestusi*" (Muraste: Matrix Kirjastus, 2005).

human culture, develop, grow and blossom during the time of peace. Only to be destroyed again in devastating wars and revolutionary storms...we are the couriers of our nation, our culture. We should not burden our readers with appraisal about ourselves, but we would to like conclude this article with words from Sir David Lloyd George who has said that the salvation of mankind came through a little nation”⁸⁹. The article claims “we should not burden our readers with appraisal about ourselves”, but in fact this article does come across as portraying a picture of Estonians and Estonian DPs as victims who are in desperate need for support and care from larger nations. There are no firm statements stating that “We are victims”, but the way this article has been written leads one to feel compassionate towards Estonians whose possibility for creating art and engaging in science has been interrupted.

This theme is continued in subsequent issues of the newspaper. For example, in issue No. 22 there is an article titled “The Basis of Estonian Foreign Policy”⁹⁰. It is an article where the journalist discusses the importance for the small nations to have good relations with other nations and how Estonian foreign policy has always been directed by the values of the democratic West, particularly Great Britain and the United States. The article begins by stating how important the international cooperation between different nations is and then focuses on the occupation of Estonia, describing Estonians and Estonia as victim of occupation regime. The journalist paints a picture of Estonians as victims of the Soviet regime in Estonia, as victims of war and also as victims of not being able to return back home. The article continues and states that: “Now when the war is over and peace has emerged, it is a good time for the Western countries to ask what happened to Estonia, what happened to the citizens of the Democratic Republic of Estonia who stayed true to their fundamental beliefs? The journalist’s reference to Estonia as the Democratic Republic of Estonia is emphasized by the use of all first capital letters. This is somewhat strange since

⁸⁹ “Small nations carry culture”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 13, August 4, 1945, 2.

⁹⁰ “The Basis of Estonian Foreign Policy”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 22, September 22, 1945, 3-4.

the “Democratic” with first capital letter seems to suggest as if this is part of the Republic of Estonia’s title – which it is not.

It is almost as if the journalist is saying that Estonia was as democratic as any of the Western countries at that time (which is not true when we think back to Konstantin Päts’ regime at that time), and that it is the duty of Western Allies to help a fellow democratic nation in distress. Furthermore, the journalist seems to suggest that the Western Allies should confront the Soviets over the annexation of Estonia. The article continues with a discussion over the challenges Estonian still has to face and ends with a simple question – “have we done enough?”.

By the end of 1945 another key theme emerged in “*Kauge Kodu*” that presented the Estonian DPs as the unwilling victims of occupation. For example, in October 1945 “*Kauge Kodu*” published an article titled “Why?”. It describes how Estonian DPs escaped from tyranny, away from the Soviets, but are still the unwilling victims of occupation, both in Germany and in Estonia. The journalist argues that: “we had nothing when we arrived, but right now our troubles over accommodation, food and clothing have been resolved by the U.S. occupying authority...we have learned how to earn money - by trading on the Black Market with the Americans...and we have everything we need to stay alive, but our hearts still long for our homeland....when we want to live the life of our dreams, we need to work hard and find a way to do it with joy and creativity. Only this way can we one day live a free life”. In this narrative the DP press is portraying the Estonians who survived the escape from tyranny as unwilling victims of war who need protection, rather questioning about their past.

This line of thought that Estonians are the unwilling victims of occupation also continues in the December (1945) issue where the journalist has published an anonymous letter by an Estonian from Paris. The article states that: “De Gaulle has spoken in a private conversation very highly of Estonians and has asked about the well-being of Estonians”. The journalist

continues and claims that De Gaulle is a “great supporter of Estonia” and is “worried about the future of [the now occupied] Estonia”. According to the journalist, in this letter the anonymous Estonian also stated that De Gaulle had shown a “great deal of respect towards the Estonian nation” and believes that the Western powers should aid Estonia in their mission, as Estonia is the weaker side of this conflict.

The article continues and deepens the victimization narrative of Estonians even further by presenting information about an exhibition that the Soviets have arranged in Paris. The exhibition is called “The Happy Families of Soviet Union” and is described as a “propaganda exhibition with false facts”. Among these “false facts” are the following: “In 1940 Estonia voluntarily joined the Soviet Union... when the Soviets reached Tallinn they were greeted with masses of Estonians on the Freedom Square...Nazis destroyed 6.000.000 buildings out of which 4.000.000 were private property belonging to workers and farmers”⁹¹. There is a side-note commentary by the editor who states, “this is a propaganda exhibition with some interesting facts...it is a “teachable” moment for all Estonians to show how Soviets are conducting their propaganda with false facts”. This commentary even deepens the idea on the victimization of Estonians.

In the middle of January (1946) before “*Kauge Kodu*” was renamed to “*Kauge Kodu Päevauudised*” (in February 1946) the first editorial team published its last issue of this newspaper. In it “*Kauge Kodu*” presented readers with a three-page essay/opinion article written by one of the editors (there are no journalist names mentioned) titled “Today’s challenges”. It is a long piece that seems to go back to the earlier narrative of portraying Estonians as a democratic and freedom-loving nation – as had been done in the first few issues of this newspaper.

⁹¹ A letter from Paris: De Gaulle’s support for Estonians and the Soviets propaganda exhibition in Paris”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 46, December 28 1945, 2.

This article starts by resenting rumours about Estonian DPs and claims that “the German press and USA internal military press have been throwing dirt towards Estonians which we cannot accept...calling us thieves who are sleeping during day time... party and steal during the night is unheard of.... UNRRA team members know that Estonian DPs are intelligent and have good habits.... and UNRRA is already looking for the truth to these accusations internally within the military...it is possible that early in the next year the annexation of Estonia will be reviewed on the international level and we might have a possibility to get back our country.... these accusations are unjust...the questions of our future should be approached from the Atlantic Charter and Truman’s 12 principles...we know what happened to Estonians in 1940.-41.....and how the “political decisions” were made back then....we do not care about the dirt the Germans are throwing at us, we are above it”⁹². The article continues with opinionated statements about the challenges Estonians are facing [in 1946], discusses what is in their power to change this situation and then continues with the narrative of democratic Estonians. For example, the journalists state that “the free will of the democratic Estonian nation is to have our country back...we have never democratically chosen to join the Soviets....the 1940 voting was not done in a democratic way and this is why we cannot accept it....we can win or lose this battle, but we cannot give up democracy”⁹³. The journalist concludes the article by stating that: “we need to show the world who we are....and how we have risen to become a democratic and cultural nation...we owe this to our ancestors who have fought so hard for our country”⁹⁴.

From February 1946 the newspapers new editorial team somewhat changed the key theme and rather than focusing on Estonians as democrats and freedom lovers, the new narrative projects Estonian DPs as the unwilling victims of occupation(s). For example, in issue No. 32 there is an article titled “There are 1.700.00 DPs and refugees in Europe”⁹⁵ in which the journalist discusses the issue of DPs in Europe. According to this article there are about “900.000 DPs in

⁹² Today’s challenges”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 3 (55), January 12, 1946, 2-3.

⁹³ Today’s challenges”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 3 (55), January 12, 1946, 4.

⁹⁴ Today’s challenges”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 3 (55), January 12, 1946, 4.

⁹⁵ “There are 1.700.00 DPs and refugees in Europe”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 32, April 27, 1946, 4.

post-war Germany” who cannot go back because the “repatriation [of these individuals] is not possible”. The journalist states that among those 900 000 DPs there are also “200.000 Estonians, Latvians and Lithuanians” who would more than anything would like to go back, but are unable to do so as they do not have a country to return to.

The new editorial team continued with this key theme in the July (1946) issue with article titled “There are no decision makers on the issue of Baltic war guilt”. It discusses the war guilt of Estonians and argues over the matter of who should proceed against the Estonian war criminals. The journalist states that “as the Baltic nations do not have a current legislative government.... and as the USA has not recognized the annexation of Estonia...there cannot be any war guilt, or judges to judge over the Baltic nationals war crimes. The article states that “USA does not give this right either to the Soviets nor the Baltic legislative councils” which means that “there simply are no decision makers on the issue of Baltic war guilt”. The journalist refers to the Allied agreement in which it was determined that each country would judge their own war criminals and claims that since “Estonians do not have a legislative government,” there is no constituted legal authority to make these decisions. This article truly paints a picture of Estonians as the unwilling victims of occupation, but this time not only the occupation of Estonia by the Soviets, but also as unwilling victims of post-war order in which Estonian DPs do not possess any legal rights⁹⁶.

The sequence to this story comes a few months later in issue No. 76 in which the UNRRA headquarters has requested “*Kauge Kodu Päevauudised*” to translate and publish UNRRA’s official note on DPs. With reference to Administrative Memo Nr. 159, director J.H. Whiting (who was officially at that time the Head of the U.S. zone) states, “all DPs must immediately return back to their country of origin”. Along with this official administrative note is also an official explanation from General McNarney who pointed out why DPs needed to return back

⁹⁶ “There are no decision makers on the issue of Baltic war guilt”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 50, July 7, 1946, 5.

including the pressure on food rations and that DPs belong to the countries where they came from⁹⁷.

The UNRRA note is followed by immediate commentary, and is preceded by an article that discusses the issue of Baltic DPs in a very detailed manner: “Another letter concerning Baltic DPs from London”⁹⁸. It refers to an article in “The Manchester Guardian” from the 23rd of August (1946) by a journalist named Albert Kerso, who stated that “I was one of the Baltic DPs and sometimes I have a feeling that the world has forgotten all about us...I am glad to see that Manchester Guardian still continues with the discussion on Baltic DPs...and I am deeply concerned that Baltic people are not heard and their voice has been taken away from them...the decisions on Baltic nationals are based on false information from individuals who are hostile towards Baltic nationals, how honest can it be?Germans are accusing Estonians of crimes which they themselves should be accounted for...there is no debate on resolving the Baltic question....we are stuck between having no voice and false judgements”⁹⁹. The article continues the key theme of Estonians as the unwilling victims of two occupations in which both Germans and Soviets are feeding false information to the American allies about Estonians, their activities, nature and characteristics to fulfil their own goals. The article ends by stating that the “Baltic DPs need to be heard” in order to get to the bottom of the issue and to resolve it.

After the official note on the repatriation of DPs was issued, another key theme emerged which focused on Estonian (and Baltic) DPs and their achievements in the post-war Germany. For example, there are two articles in the October 19 issue that focus on the DP achievements. One article is titled “DPs are learning to farm”¹⁰⁰ and the other one is titled “Agronomists come

⁹⁷ “Official Note on the Repatriation of DPs”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 76, October 9, 1946, 1-2.

⁹⁸ “Another letter concerning Baltic DPs from London”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 76, October 9, 1946, 4.

⁹⁹ “Another letter concerning Baltic DPs from London”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 76, October 9, 1946, 4.

¹⁰⁰ “DPs are learning to farm”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 79, October 19, 1946, 4.

together”¹⁰¹. Both of these articles are focusing on the Baltic DPs and are discussing how much produce the DPs have been able to harvest within such a short period of time. In stark contrast to the narratives that were prevalent in the earlier issues of “*Kauge Kodu*”. The new theme or narrative here seems to be focusing on the benefits the DPs are bringing to the post-war Germany.

This line of thought was continued in an article published in a subsequent issue, “The President supports Estonians with kind words”¹⁰². It discusses the intelligence and efficiency of Estonians as workers and was followed by two articles, the first titled “Truman’s authorized special arrangement for the Estonian DPs to stay in the USA”¹⁰³ and the second “USA millionaire offered Estonians to move to Cuba”. Both of these articles describe Estonians as valued workers who have many opportunities to live and work where they desire. In the first article the journalist references President Truman and states that “the President has proposed guidelines to move as many Estonian DPs to the USA as possible, but only if they do not wish to repatriate back to their place of origin for political or religious reasons”.

The construction of a narrative focusing on the achievements of Estonian DPs continued to develop in the early issues of 1947. For example, the first issue of that year focused on the development of the Estonian Altstadt Committee proceedings. In addition, there is a long article describing all the achievements the old committee achieved and envisioning the goals for the new Committee. The article focuses in detail to the amount of donations the UNRRA has made towards Estonian Altstadt Committee and introduces the new members of this organisation. Compared to the earlier versions of “*Kauge Kodu*”, this newspaper also perceives

¹⁰¹ “Agronomist got together”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 79, October 19, 1946, 5.

¹⁰² “The President supports Estonians with kind words”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 82, October 30, 1946, 1.

¹⁰³ “Truman’s authorized special arrangement for the Estonian DPs to stay in the USA”, “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 82, October 30, 1946, 1.

the Estonians through the lens of achievement, but its accounts are more factual, almost like a report with no opinion expressed within it¹⁰⁴.

The less opinionated and more factual style of writing continues in the January 11th issue in which the general ledger of Geretsreid Estonian Committees is printed and discussed. Again, the discussion is merely stating facts and there is no real debate over the contents of this document¹⁰⁵.

The narrative portrait of Estonians DP achievements continued throughout 1947 and all the way to the end of 1948. The articles in 1948 continue with this “report-style” of writing and the main discussion, for example in issues No. 99¹⁰⁶ to No. 100¹⁰⁷, mainly focus on the dealings of Estonian Committees, on Estonian DP theatre or the achievements of Estonian DP choir.

From previous research it is evident that the “*Kauge Kodu Päevauudised*” was issued until the Kempten camp was closed. However, at the moment there are very few issues available for the period of 1948 to 1949 and that makes it difficult to understand if the construction of the narrative remained same as it was in the previous years or whether it changed towards the end as the camp was being closed.

It is hard to determine why there was such a shift in tone from the issues published in 1945-1946 in the 1947-1948 issues. However, one explanation could be that from 1945 to 1946 the editorial team changed, the newspaper was taken over by a new team and by 1947 there were many Estonian DPs who started emigrating from the Kempten camp to other Western countries (even before the camp was closed).

Another explanation might also be that towards the end of the Estonian DPs time in post-war Germany they had to face several accusations and tuning down the opinions expressed in the

¹⁰⁴ “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 1, January 4, 1947, 7-8.

¹⁰⁵ “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 3, January 11, 1947, 6.

¹⁰⁶ “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 100, December 18, 1948.

¹⁰⁷ “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 99, December, 15, 1948.

press seemed like an easy option to stay out of trouble. Similar tendencies also appear in “*Eesti Rada*” which I will discuss in more detail below.

3.2.2 “*Eesti Rada*”

“*Eesti Rada*” was one of the first Estonian periodicals issued in post-war Germany in the U.S. occupation zone. The first number of “*Eesti Rada*” was issued on the 29 September 1945. It was a four-page weekly that cost 30 pence. The first number was issued in 3000 copies and it appeared on every Saturday.

The first Estonian DP periodical had many tasks to cover, but what was probably the most important to many DPs living in post-war Germany was to understand what the following days and months would entail for Estonian DPs. The DPs wanted to understand the decisions made by the Big Three, how these decisions would influence their lives, and also to hear from other Estonians from different areas. According to Ferdinand Kool who was the initiator of “*Eesti Rada*,” the question of other Estonians’ well-being, especially close family members and their whereabouts was something that determined the content of this newspaper¹⁰⁸.

By analysing the published issues of this periodical I will again be looking to find answers to the following questions: (1) how honestly did the Estonian DPs look at their own past history? and (2) how did the Estonian DPs represent themselves (to the world)?

The first issue of “*Eesti Rada*” (as it might be expected) seems very informative and covers on the first page topics such as “Estonian prisoners of war [POWs] heading towards freedom,” “Estonian war-prisoners are released from the British Zone,” “Neuhammer is now a camp for former war-prisoners”. The following numbers of “*Eesti Rada*” continued this neutral news style. It is not until 13 October 1945, approximately 2 weeks after the first number was issued that articles concerning Estonian DPs appeared.

¹⁰⁸ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 758-759.

One central theme from the early 1945 issues is the construction of Estonian DPs identity which portrays Estonians as democratic and freedom-loving escapees from tyranny. For example, in the 13 October 1945 issue of "*Eesti Rada*" there is an article published which is titled "Our responsibility". The article is written almost like a formal declaration and starts by claiming that "More than a year ago we were violently ripped apart from a place where we felt rooted...before the yardstick for deciding was simple and clear: our duties lied with our nation and out country, but what to do if you do not have a home anymore, does it mean that you do now have any responsibilities anymore? ...Estonians' mentality, their attitude towards the world society has always been clear. Since ancient times Estonians have always been freedom-lovers, democrats by birth. Our history shows that democracy has always been the preferred form of government, despite the powers and ideologies that have tried to occupy us, including Nazis. The passive resistance, public and secret conflicts with different occupying powers are proof how nasty their ideologies have been to us...now when we meet again with democratic values from which Nazis tried to keep us away from, it is our duty to carry this ideology further. Continuous peace and the development of our global society depend on those who carry the democratic ideology and idea within themselves.... Our larger responsibilities have never changed"¹⁰⁹.

This is a very emotionally written article that is almost like a call or reminder for Estonians to show their positive intentions towards democracy.

It is interesting that this article was published in the third number of "*Eesti Rada*", but when we compare this with the articles from "*Eesti Post*" a bit later on in this analysis, the intentions behind it may become clearer.

The construction of the narrative that portraits Estonians as freedom-loving and democratic individuals continues in the following issues. For instance, on 27 October 1945 the fifth number

¹⁰⁹ "Our responsibility." "*Eesti Rada*", No. 3, (13 October, 1945), 2.

of “*Eesti Rada*” was issued and it is the first time that the Nuremberg trials were mentioned in an article titled “Nuremberg trials on the 20th of November”¹¹⁰. It provides an overview of how the Nuremberg trials will be conducted, and the accusations against the defendants.

There is no immediate commentary from the editorial board about the Nuremberg trials, but the sequel to this story along with Estonian DPs opinion is presented in the November issue of “*Eesti Rada*”. The article titled “The ways of justice.”¹¹¹ It is an opinion-piece about the Nuremberg trials which at that time were three weeks away from starting. This article starts by stating “The citizens of the world are very expectant of the Nuremberg trials. This is mainly not because people are looking for revenge or are just superficially curious. The reason is that the atrocities that were carried out according to the Nazi belief are something that our world has never seen before.”¹¹² This opinion-article is situated side by side with articles such as “Postal network in Germany”, “Evacuation and going home” and “Estonians are released from war-prisoners camps.” Since the latter mentioned articles are more on the informative side, then the first article clearly stands out as opinion. The first article in this issue seems to suggest that “if you have done the crime, you need to take responsibility for it.” It is also the only article in this issue which has been signed. The signature belongs to K., but does not specify what this letter means or who this K. is¹¹³. This article continues with the key theme of presenting Estonian DPs as freedom-loving and democratic individuals who believe in fair judgement from the judicial system.

The editors of “*Eesti Rada*” continue with this key theme in the November and December issues and there are articles side by side titled “Lets build an Estonian Chapter”, “UNRRA tasks and goals,” “Estonians living in barracks”. The lastly mentioned article discusses living conditions and says that “Estonians are hoping to build a sauna and the UNRRA officials will allocate

¹¹⁰ “*Eesti Rada*”, no. 5, (27 October 1945), 2.

¹¹¹ “The ways of justice” , “*Eesti Rada*”, no. 6, (3 November 1946), 2

¹¹² “The ways of justice” , “*Eesti Rada*”, no. 6, (3 November 1946), 2

¹¹³ Knowing that Ferdinand Kool was active at that time in the newspaper editorial team, the K. Symbolises most likely Ferdinand Kool’s signature.

money for these purposes”¹¹⁴. These are all articles that support the construction of the narrative that Estonian DPs are strong supporters of democracy.

On the 8th of December 1945 “*Eesti Rada*” issued an article titled “What happens to Germany?”¹¹⁵. It is an overview of the report of Byron Price, the Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, to President Truman, in which Price raises concerns about the repatriation of DPs in post-war Germany and claims that though rehabilitation of post-war Germany is important, it should not be the financial responsibility of Americans. Taking responsibility for post-war Germans as well as DPs is something that Price claims the U.S. Military Government is not prepared to do. He claims “our responsible head of military government believes that military government is not the correct apparatus to govern over foreign nations”¹¹⁶. Besides the matter of DPs the report also puts into question the necessity or rather the extent of implementation in post-war Germany of the four D-s: demilitarization, denazification, democratization and decentralization.

Price claimed that rebuilding post-war Germany is financially a huge burden to bear and suggests that U.S. military government should follow the lead of other occupying powers and focus more on the economic side of rebuilding post-war Germany, rather than on the ideological side. The portrayal of DPs in this report is not very positive. Price goes as far as suggests that as many DP’s as possible should be sent back to their country of origin where they belong as it would ease the economic burden. In other words, by this report Price suggested to President Truman that further review of the question of DPs is necessary in order to determine which DPs should be sent back to the country of origin. The report continued by stating that the rebuilding of post-war German society (including denazification) should be left for Germans to deal with and the role of Americans should be minimal. This article (overviewing Price’s report to President Truman) does not mention DP

¹¹⁴ “*Eesti Rada*,” no. 7 (10 November 1945), 2.

¹¹⁵ “*Eesti Rada*,” no. 11 (8 December 1945), 3.

¹¹⁶ “*Eesti Rada*,” no. 11 (8 December 1945), 3.

screenings specifically, but as it can be seen from subsequent articles a new wave of screenings took place in different DP camps.

For a while it seemed like the "*Eesti Rada*" was trying to avoid discussion of the issues of repatriation and the fate of DPs, but eventually an article appeared titled "Humanity and peace"¹¹⁷. This article does not reference Price's report from 8 December directly. However, it does cover the same topics. The article "Humanity and peace" starts by stating that "the road from war to peace is not easy.... peace begins with humanity, the way people are being humane to each other.... small nations need the help of bigger nations in order to restore order and humanity.... as a small nation we would like to see humanity escalate"¹¹⁸. With this article the "*Eesti Rada*" continued with the construction of a narrative of Estonian DPs as freedom-loving and democratic escapees from tyranny.

In the same issue there is also an article titled "Russia demands Dr. Mäe". This article states that the Soviets have been demanding that the U.S. Military Government send back all the Estonian DPs who are living in post-war Germany. However, they have listed the allegedly top war criminals and Dr. Mäe is one of them. The article stated, "it is unclear whether Dr. Mäe will be handed over to the Russians, but what it does tell us is that the U.S. forces are also interested in obtaining Dr. Mäe"¹¹⁹. The placing (or location) of this news item within the newspaper is interesting, not on the first page as it might be expected but rather on the corner on the 3rd page of this newspaper - almost as if publishing this information was not optional. The only option was to insert it towards the end of the newspaper in an inconspicuous location. It is also possible that the editors of "*Eesti Rada*" were knowingly trying to avoid articles in which Estonians collaboration with Nazi forces was evident.

¹¹⁷ "*Eesti Rada*", no 14 (31 December, 1945), 2.

¹¹⁸ "*Eesti Rada*", No 14 (31th December, 1945), 2

¹¹⁹ "*Eesti Rada*", No 14 (31th December, 1945), 3.

Furthermore, it is also possible that consciously aware that the American forces did not know a lot about the Baltic States, the Estonian DPs saw this as an opportunity to introduce Estonia and the Soviet Union from the perspective most suited to their current need to avoid repatriation.

It is a good moment to recall that most Estonian men who had served alongside the German army had done so with a hope to “liberate their family members deported by the communists” and to defend their homeland, but there were also some “Baltic volunteers” who were “directly involved in the execution of Jews in Belarus and Ukraine”¹²⁰. The direct involvement of Dr. Mäe in atrocities is unknown. What has become evident from his memoirs is that in May 1945 when U.S. denazification policy in Germany began, his name was among those listed as war criminals. Dr. Mäe was arrested and kept in war prison until early 1947¹²¹.

By early 1946 the portrait of Estonian DPs in “*Eesti Rada*” changed and the articles focused on Estonians as the unwilling victims of totalitarian political regimes. For example, the January 12 issue of “*Eesti Rada*” dedicated a whole page (out of this four-page weekly newspaper) to explain the status of Estonian DPs and their connection to Nazi leaders. The heading of this page is “Truth and justice for the protection of the Baltics.”¹²² The first article is subtitled “We are forced fugitives” and it explains to the readers the reason why so many Estonians have ended up in Germany. The article suggests that “leaving Estonia was not a decision which was up for Estonians to decide....we had to escape in order to stay alive....the accusations of Estonians moving to better soil is so disrespectful and hurtful.”¹²³ The article concludes by stating that recently several newspapers including “The Stars and Stripes” as well as the “Die Neue Zeitung” had falsely accused Estonians of something which they

¹²⁰ Kasekamp, “*A History of the Baltic States*”, 136.

¹²¹ Mäe, “*Kuidas kõik teostus: minu mälestusi*”, 35.

¹²² “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 16 (12th Jan, 1946), 2

¹²³ “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 16 (12th Jan, 1946), 2

could not accept and this is why this page of “*Eesti Rada*” has been dedicated to issues which needed to be clarified.

The construction of this narrative is also supported by the subtitled articles on this page, including “We are not felons”, “Estonians have never been Nazis” and “Not voluntarily, but forced.” When we look at the article subtitled “Estonians have never been Nazis” we can see that the issue of calling Estonian DPs Nazis is something that Estonians DPs feel very strongly about. From this piece we can read that “We are accused of being part of the Nazi army whilst protecting our country from the Soviets. We have never followed the Nazi ideology and yes, we may have been part of Nazi military forces at some point, but it was to protect our land and our country. We have always carried democratic beliefs and fighting for our country is the only crime we can accept”¹²⁴.

When we asked before about how Estonians viewed their past, then from this issue of “*Eesti Rada*” it is evident that Estonians saw themselves as the victims of war, the “forced fugitives” who saw their connection to the Nazi regime from the perspective of the need to survive. In the article “We are not felons”, the author explains that the accusation that labels Estonians as criminals is one that is “outrageously unheard-of and extremely insulting.”¹²⁵ The article continues by explaining that Estonians did not leave their country to escape their crimes, Estonians escaped because staying in Estonia was not an option for them. The article subtitled “Not voluntarily, but forced” continues this debate and concludes by stating that “We can say it with pride and pure conscience to all the accusers out there that we (Estonians) are not felons or Nazis. It is true that our nation has seen a few traitors who did indeed work with Nazis, but this is not who we are as a nation. These traitors’ names are known to most Estonians and they have been judged for their behaviour in our hearts. However, this is not the reason why all Estonians should be accused as the beholders of Nazi ideology. As we also should not accuse Norway or

¹²⁴ “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 16 (12th Jan, 1946), 2

¹²⁵ “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 16 (12th of Jan, 1946), 2

Slovakia of Nazi ideology, because they had Quisling and Tiso”¹²⁶ From this it can be seen that Estonians felt that they did not have to feel guilty about their past and felt that their DP status in post-war Germany was justified. This view is also consistent with what Andres Kasekamp has written about the choice of many Estonians who swapped their Red Army uniforms for German uniforms: “motivated by the desire for revenge against the Soviet regime and to liberate family members deported by the communists”¹²⁷.

The construction of this narrative portraying Estonians as unwilling victims of dictatorial regimes is further supported when the issue of Estonians and their involvement in Nazi activities returned as a heated topic in the 9 February 1946 issue. As a sequel to the article published on 12 January, it also carried the same title - “Not voluntarily, but forced.” It describes the forced mobilization of Estonians following the German invasion of Estonia. The article describes how democracy in Estonia was replaced with Nazi ideology, how using the word “sovereignty” could get someone imprisoned, how being sent to labour camps was not a free choice and how escaping from Estonia was the only way to survive for those able to flee the country. This article yet again refuses to accept the idea that any Estonians took part in Nazi activities voluntarily. It is almost as if the editors of “*Eesti Rada*” are trying to defend the honour of those Estonians who supported the Germans in the hope to free Estonia from the Soviets and ignore that some Estonians were indeed more closely involved with the Nazis. A few individuals by no means represent the whole nation of Estonians and the 9 February issue is right to claim that many Estonians were indeed forced to join the Waffen SS. At the same time it would be ignorant to avoid the fact that some Estonians were indeed war criminals, at least in the eyes of the U.S. military government.

¹²⁶ “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 16 (12 January, 1946), 2

¹²⁷ Kasekamp, “*History of the Baltic States*”, 136.

The next issue of “*Eesti Rada*” concludes these matters with an article titled “Let’s focus on the present moment! DP life and DP psychosis”¹²⁸. For a short period of time the articles in “*Eesti Rada*” seem fairly neutral and almost opposite to the opinionated articles presented in the earlier issues. Instead, the focus turns towards the wellbeing of DPs and argues that the constant need to prove to foreign powers that Estonians are not Nazis and war criminals has led to something that can be called the “DP psychosis.” The article states that “in order to avoid the DP psychosis from happening we need to have an ideology, goals and milestones to reach for. There are so many treasures hidden in all of us Estonians, which might not be seen by others from outside. We need to show our treasures through our actions to those who decide our faith”¹²⁹.

The newspaper thus called for Estonian DPs to start living by the values they believe in and stop worrying what the newspapers are publishing. From this it can be seen that by late 1945 and early 1946 Estonians were indeed seen (at least in the beginning of U.S. occupation in Germany when this article was published) like perpetrators or felons who collaborated the Nazis. As the “*Eesti Rada*” was read by both the DPs as well as by the Allied military administration it is also possible that the construction of a new, more positive and less defensive identity via the press was a way to avoid repatriation and remain recipients of the U.S. aid and retain refugee status.

For most of 1946 the key theme in “*Eesti Rada*” was about keeping a low profile and staying active via different DP organizations. The newspaper merely presented information and news appear in a fairly neutral manner. For example, on 16 February 1946 “*Eesti Rada*”¹³⁰ published a resolution from the United Nations Organisation (UNO) which decided the following issues: (1) DP camps cannot be administered by any of the nationalities, (2) DPs must keep away from any political activities against the UNO (3) DP camps will be screened again for war criminals, and (4) none of the DPs would be forced to repatriate back to their country of origin if they did not wish to return. This was news that the Estonian DPs (and others, too) had been waiting for a

¹²⁸ “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 21 (16 February, 1946), 3

¹²⁹ “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 21 (16 February, 1946), 3

¹³⁰ “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 21/7 (16 February, 1946), 1

long time. Over the next few months “*Eesti Rada*” focused its attention on more informative news items—for example, the overall political situation in the world, how and where DPs should register to obtain ID cards, what was happening in Estonian DP camps in other zones, how youth activities were being organized in post-war Germany and so on. The issue of the alleged connection of Estonian DPs to the Nazi party and related matters were not mentioned at all.

Another example includes the article in April where there is only a slight mention of the relationship between the Estonian DPs and the U.S. occupation authorities. The article titled “How should DPs use this moment wisely?”¹³¹ encourages all the DPs to register with a school or a workshop and learn a profession that has practical value and might be of use to the people in the U.S. occupying zone. Other than that there is no other mention of DPs in “*Eesti Rada*” until 18 May 1946 in an article titled “New DP- screening will be carried out.” The content of this article is drawn from the American military newspaper “The Stars and Stripes” which informed that a new screening would take place. The main reason given in this article is that “there are a number of felons and speculators who are using DP camps as a means for hiding. These felons need to be found and brought to justice.”¹³² When we look at this article in the larger context, it is understandable that these new screenings are a result of UN resolutions, which aimed to find the remaining war criminals on German soil. This article is not directed at Estonian DPs specifically, but it does mention that there are around 400 000 DPs in post-war Germany and hiding oneself inside a DP camp is an easy escape from justice.

Towards the end of the year the question of DPs and their repatriation returned to the political arena and so did the issue of Estonian political identity. For example, just days before the last sentence was rendered in the Nuremberg trials, an article¹³³ was published in “*Eesti Rada*” titled “Russians are blaming and throwing accusations towards DPs.” This is a translation from an article in “*The New York Times*” in which the Americans reported that the Soviets were

¹³¹ “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 14/28 (27 April, 1946), 2.

¹³² “*Eesti Rada*”, No. 14/28 (27 April, 1946), 2.

¹³³ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 42 (27 November, 1946), 3.

accusing the DPs for not returning to their homeland. The Soviets were also accusing the other occupying powers (namely the Americans) for helping the DPs to settle themselves in DP camps so comfortably. The issue of Estonian DPs and their repatriation is again a topic of discussion. The Estonians' response within this article follows the same line of thought as before, namely that they do not see the need to return back to a land which is not theirs anymore.

In an article titled "Another year of DP days" Professor A. Perandi argues that Estonian DPs should be proud of what they have achieved during 1946 and highlights that any society's value can be measured by the cultural activities that a particular community is willing to invest its resources in. The Professor Perandi further claims that "society can be measured by the amount of valuable material and spiritual culture it has brought to its people in order to create these values." The Professor states that when in the beginning of DP life in camps Estonians had to focus on constantly proving their innocence from charges of complicity in Nazi crimes, go through several screenings and fill out several forms, then the second half of this period has been about creating a democratic and free society in which culture can thrive. This article states that "in DP camps we do not have the same privileges as citizens....but we do have the right to choose whether we would like to live democratically...democracy means freedom of words, freedom to create culture." The article explains that the number of schools, clubs, societies and periodicals Estonians have established since the second half of 1945 to the end of 1946 is an action which speaks louder than words. The professor concludes the article by stating "we should not stop with our cultural activities...it is something we cannot allow. May the success of our actions be for the following years to judge, but we should not stop"¹³⁴. The article emphasises that Estonian DPs are a very cultured nation and thus continues the construction of a narrative that Estonians are democrats in heart.

¹³⁴ "*Eesti Rada*", No, 45/60 (30 December, 1946), 2.

In late 1946 and early 1947 political tensions between the Western Allies and the Soviet Union slowly started to heat up. The U.S was unsure what to do about the DPs and the pressure to find a solution for DPs in Europe resulted in another wave of screenings within the DP camps. It is evident that the tensions between the Americans and Soviets were also causing worry within the DP community as can be seen in the early issues of "*Eesti Rada*", including articles "We [USA] stay true to our principles"¹³⁵, "What to do with DPs?"¹³⁶ and "UNRRA started a new set of screenings"¹³⁷.

In following the early issues of "*Eesti Rada*" another key theme emerges. The central theme from late January onwards is focused on portraying Estonian DPs as the unwilling victims of totalitarian political regimes. This is evident in the memorandum the Augsburg Estonian DPs submitted to the headquarters of the U.S. Military Government. With this memorandum the Estonian DPs requested that the UNRRA officials stop the screening process as it was "emotionally exhausting and causing great pain to the Estonian DPs"¹³⁸.

This theme is also evident in a story where "*Eesti Rada*" is trying to counter the accusations made against Baltic women, including Estonians. In this story local Germans are spreading rumours that a group of Estonian women have been transported to Germany to be given over to the Soviet forces. "*Eesti Rada*" denies this and claims that Estonians are the unwilling victims of the Soviet regime. With this story "*Eesti Rada*" continued the narrative that the occupation regimes (both in Estonia and the Soviet zone of post-war Germany) are not voluntarily accepted by the Estonian DPs and they are the victims of this current situation¹³⁹.

This theme is also evident in a story in which it was reported that the German police could arrest DPs for being outside of the camp territory¹⁴⁰ and in another story about the 300 000

¹³⁵ "*Eesti Rada*", No 1/61 (8 January 1947), 1.

¹³⁶ "*Eesti Rada*", No 1/61 (8 January 1947), 1.

¹³⁷ "*Eesti Rada*", No 1/61 (8 January 1947), 1.

¹³⁸ "*Eesti Rada*", No 2/62 (15 January 1947), 2.

¹³⁹ "*Eesti Rada*", No 7/67 (19 February 1947), 1.

¹⁴⁰ "*Eesti Rada*", No 6/66 (12 February 1947), 3.

Baltic nationals in post-war Germany and Austria protesting for implementation of the Atlantic Charter¹⁴¹.

With the changes in the political arena and also seeing that the narrative of Estonians as unwilling victims of the Soviet regime might be as effective as hoped, "*Eesti Rada*" started focusing more on the tensions between the U.S. and the Soviets. From April 1947 the main emphasis of "*Eesti Rada*" is focused on the Cold War and the "threatening" actions of the Soviets. This is evident for example in an article wherein the U.S.-Soviet relations are discussed using the information from international media sources¹⁴². This theme continues in the May issues¹⁴³ and to make their voices heard even louder a special issue of "*Eesti Rada*" was published in English.

The special issue of "*Eesti Rada*"¹⁴⁴ presented articles including "Estonia and the Western Democracy", "Do not forget the Baltic States", "Estonian Musical Culture", "Why are we in Germany", "Destruction of Warfare in Estonia" and many others. The journalists have drawn illustrations to show that Estonian DPs are most well-read and cultural DPs in post-war Germany with the highest number of published works per 10 000 inhabitants. The illustrations and discussions over the culture of Estonians continue, but the key theme of these articles is the democratic identity of Estonians. Almost all of these articles represent Estonians as hard-working cultured democrats who request that the U.S. sympathize with their conditions.

Articles in the following issues also continue with this theme and the editors of "*Eesti Rada*" emphasize that within the DP camps in post-war Germany the Estonian DPs have the smallest percentage of reported crimes¹⁴⁵, they stick together in tough times and have soldiers who are the "embodiment of the democratic will of their own defence"¹⁴⁶. The editors also direct a letter

¹⁴¹ "*Eesti Rada*", No 11/71 (12 March 1947), 1.

¹⁴² "*Eesti Rada*", No 16/76 (16 April 1947), 1.

¹⁴³ "*Eesti Rada*", No 18/78 (2 May 1947), 1.

¹⁴⁴ "*Eesti Rada*", No 23/83 (3 June 1947), 1-4

¹⁴⁵ "*Eesti Rada*", No 43/103 (12 August 1947), 1.

¹⁴⁶ "*Eesti Rada*", No 43/103 (12 August 1947), 1.

to the Estonian DPs to state that “we are one heart and one soul...let us forget about the small arguments and stick together”¹⁴⁷. With these articles “*Eesti Rada*” contextualizes the DPs experience in post-war Germany as a fight for freedom.

By 1948 the tensions between the U.S and the Soviets had become quite intense and they clearly influenced the articles in “*Eesti Rada*”. The key theme in the early issues of 1948 in “*Eesti Rada*” was about the future of DPs. The journalists presented several articles to show that the Soviets did not have good intentions in regard to the Baltic DPs. There articles claimed that many Estonians were escaping from tyranny with a hope to find a better life elsewhere. For example, in an article “Estonians across Atlantic”¹⁴⁸ the journalists stated that a number of Estonians had sailed across the Atlantic Ocean to escape from oppression in Estonia. The article continues also notes that “along with Estonians there were also 12 Finns” who were also escaping from Soviet tyranny.

In another article where the DP issues are discussed the journalists refer to the words of the U.S. Secretary of State Edward R. Stettinius. The Secretary had discussed the tensions between the Americans and the Soviets and had said that in some way the DPs have become “a symbol of the fight against totalitarianism”¹⁴⁹. In echoing Stettinius, “*Eesti Rada*” leaves the impression that “the USA will not give in” to the demands of the Soviets¹⁵⁰.

According to “*Eesti Rada*” the Americans attitude towards the Soviets is somewhat opposite to the Finns who “sacrificed 15 Estonians to the Soviet Union”, out of whom four were killed later on by the Soviet forces¹⁵¹. This is not to say that these Estonians were handed over lightly as the article does question whether the Finns, who are known as the “big brother” of Estonia, were

¹⁴⁷ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 90/120 (27 November 1947), 1.

¹⁴⁸ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 1/146 (2 January 1948), 1.

¹⁴⁹ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 2/147 (7 January 1948), 1.

¹⁵⁰ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 6/151 (20 January 1948), 1.

¹⁵¹ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 34/179 (27 April 1948), 1.

threatened by the Soviets to give up those 15 Estonians. What this article is saying is that the Soviets method to “have it in their own way”¹⁵² has no limits.

In March 1948 the (former) Czechoslovakian Foreign Minister Jan Masaryk committed suicide¹⁵³. “*Eesti Rada*” wrote a long article to cover the sad news and claimed that this death was the responsibility of the Soviet Union. According to this article the Soviet leaders actions had directly led the Foreign Minister to his death. The journalist claims that “this terror [by the Soviets] cannot continue” any longer and needs to be stopped. This topic was also addressed by the US Secretary of State Marshall who claimed that the “international situation is extremely serious” and needs a resolution immediately.

After the news about Foreign Minister Masaryk appeared, “*Eesti Rada*” issued article after article in which the Soviets and their methods for cooperation are discussed. For example, in an article “Red army claims to have saved the European civilization”¹⁵⁴ the journalists highlight the false claims the Soviets were publishing in their media. In articles titled “Russians are gathering tanks to Berlin”¹⁵⁵ and “Russians hinder cooperation”¹⁵⁶ the focus of the discussion is on Russians methods for international cooperation, their violent attitude and inappropriate behaviour.

These discussions continue and by the summer of 1948 “*Eesti Rada*” published an article in which it claimed that the communists had a conspiracy to drive the Allies out of Berlin¹⁵⁷. In an article “Soviets are playing with fire”¹⁵⁸ “*Eesti Rada*” argued that the Soviet actions had become so violent and atrocious that they all could lead to a Third World War. The overall key theme in “*Eesti Rada*” during these months of 1948 focused on the Soviets and their wrongdoings.

¹⁵² “*Eesti Rada*”, No 37/181 (27 April 1948), 1.

¹⁵³ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 35/179 (12 March 1948), 1.

¹⁵⁴ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 16/161 (24 February 1948), 1.

¹⁵⁵ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 31/176 (16 April 1948), 1.

¹⁵⁶ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 31/176 (16 April 1948), 1.

¹⁵⁷ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 53/201 (7 July 1948), 1.

¹⁵⁸ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 59/207 (16 April 1948), 1.

From July 1948 onwards the key theme in “*Eesti Rada*” focused on the U.S. and their actions against the Soviets. This can be seen for example in an article discussing the military readiness of the Americans in “Flying Fortresses in Europe”, in another about U.S. economic sanctions against the Soviets in “West is prepared for a new step”¹⁵⁹ and finally in one titled “New note ready for the Soviets”¹⁶⁰. These discussions of Soviets actions continue in an article titled “Russia is gathering forces to Yugoslavian borders”¹⁶¹ according to which the Soviets were preparing themselves for a war.

In examining the articles published in “*Eesti Rada*” in 1948 it seems that the Estonian DPs may have been very seriously concerned about their repatriation back to Estonia. It is also possible that the journalists were using “*Eesti Rada*” to somewhat contextualize the Estonian DP experiences with the Soviets into a narrative through which they could represent themselves to the American forces - a narrative which would promote their relocation to other western countries.

The issues of “*Eesti Rada*” in 1949 are somewhat different to those from 1945 to 1948. One of the first differences that are visibly evident is that the newspapers are smaller in size and also thinner, in terms of published pages. The issues are published with less frequency and at times even 8-10 days apart from the previous issue. The 1949 version of “*Eesti Rada*” no longer can be called a weekly newspaper as, at times, it was not even printed every week.

The key themes in 1949 in “*Eesti Rada*” focus even more on relations between the Americans and the Soviets, and DPs are hardly mentioned at all.

For instance, in an article “America does not have a lot of time...”¹⁶², the journalist presents information from one of the recent ministerial meetings where the solution for peaceful cooperation in Europe was discussed. The following issues cover news including “No one wants

¹⁵⁹ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 61/206 (21 July 1948), 1.

¹⁶⁰ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 62/207 (23 July 1948), 1.

¹⁶¹ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 67/212 (4 August 1948), 1.

¹⁶² “*Eesti Rada*”, No 1 (11 January 1949), 1.

war”¹⁶³, “Russia ready to cooperate with USA”¹⁶⁴, “Atlantic Charter – eyesore for Moscow”¹⁶⁵, and “Western World ensures its defence”¹⁶⁶.

In February when Estonia celebrated its Independence Day “*Eesti Rada*” published a salute to the “free Western Democracies” and matters such as the well-being of Estonian DPs, their repatriation back to Estonia or their possible relocation from Germany to other western countries are not mentioned at all. The lack of patriotic opinion articles that accompanied the news in the earlier versions of “*Eesti Rada*” raise a question whether most of the editorial staff responsible for running “*Eesti Rada*” has already left post-war Germany.

After the Independence Day issue of “*Eesti Rada*” its articles continued to focus on the relations between the Americans and the Soviets. For example, in an article discussing the possibility for international cooperation the journalist reports that “Russians do not support free press”¹⁶⁷ and are “against controlled military forces”¹⁶⁸ to which the Americans respond that “North Atlantic Treaty is an excellent perspective for cooperation”¹⁶⁹. This was followed by the article “12 signatures signed against communism”¹⁷⁰ in which the USA, Canada, Great Britain, France, Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg, Norway, Denmark, Iceland, Portugal and Italy have shown their will for an international cooperation with their signature to the NATO Treaty.

The signing of North Atlantic Treaty immediately resulted in protests among the admirers of Soviet communism in Europe which then in turn led to protests against the Soviets. “*Eesti Rada*” published information about both and argued that there was a “powerful protest against terror” in which more than “5000 political refugees were protesting in Stuttgart” to show their

¹⁶³ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 3 (25 January 1949), 1.

¹⁶⁴ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 4 (1 February 1949), 1.

¹⁶⁵ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 5 (8 February 1949), 1.

¹⁶⁶ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 6 (15 February 1949), 1.

¹⁶⁷ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 8 (1 March 1949), 1.

¹⁶⁸ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 8 (1 March 1949), 1.

¹⁶⁹ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 11 (22 March 1949), 1.

¹⁷⁰ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 13 (5 April 1949), 1.

views about the Soviets. Protesters from “9 different nationalities” were present and gave voice to these who “murdered, enslaved and deported” by the Soviets.

The following issues of “*Eesti Rada*” continued with the same theme and mainly focus on news of relations between the Western and Eastern leaders. However, towards the end of the year the “*Eesti Rada*” reported mostly news about the Soviets unwillingness to cooperate with the West and states that “world peace has been reached only halfway”¹⁷¹. The reporters also state that the actions of the Soviets may lead to “the Third World War”¹⁷², especially now when the Soviets are not willing to cooperate and the rumours about the “Soviets having the nuclear bomb”¹⁷³ are expanding fast. The Soviets were also said to be expanding their military forces and in the process of “creating a military town”¹⁷⁴ close to Berlin. In the middle of all these discussions there are almost no news about the DPs, their relocation from the camps or about the DP conditions in post-war Germany. It seems as if the editorial team of “*Eesti Rada*” has itself been relocated elsewhere and publishing of the newspaper had been left to a group whose main focus was on the international relations between the East and West.

3.2.3. “*Eesti Post*”

The first number of “*Eesti Post*” was issued on Tuesday, 6 November 1945. The cost of this newspaper was 20 pence. “*Eesti Post*” was published on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday and the editorial team of “*Eesti Post*” included many professional journalists and writers. It was one of the most frequently published newspapers for Estonian DPs in post-war Germany.

¹⁷¹ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 23 (14 June 1949), 1.

¹⁷² “*Eesti Rada*”, No 28 (19 July 1949), 1

¹⁷³ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 30 (2 August 1949), 1

¹⁷⁴ “*Eesti Rada*”, No 28 (19 July 1949), 1

By the time the first issue of “*Eesti Post*” was published (on the 6th of November 1945) there were already more than 3000 Estonians living in the Geislingen area¹⁷⁵. Towards the end of 1946 the editorial team changed due to internal conflicts and the newspaper was then issued only twice per week (compared to 3x per week before), but it still remained one of the most widely circulated newspapers within the Estonian DP community¹⁷⁶.

Similarly to “*Kauge Kodu*” and “*Eesti Rada*”, in exploring the issues of “*Eesti Post*” I will be looking to find answers to the following questions: (1) How honestly did the Estonian DPs look at their own past history? and (2) how did the Estonian DPs represent themselves to the world?

One central theme in the early issues of “*Eesti Post*” is democracy. The newspaper portrayed Estonians as democratic and freedom-loving people. This can be seen for example in the article “Democracy challenged” in which the journalist presents an overview of Winston Churchill’s speech in the House of Commons. What is interesting about this article is the section of Churchill’s speech that has been copied, translated and printed for the Estonian DPs to read. This long article starts with a general statement by saying “communism has already reached or is close to becoming the power of dictatorship. Countries that have been crushed by the atrocities of the war need authoritative government at first. Otherwise, it would lead to anarchy, but this is not the way it should remain.” The article then shifts focus from the societal point of view and more towards that of national identity and states “Despite of that (spread of authority) we still need to know and be clear about who we are.” The following words in the article have been underlined and emphasized: “Democracy is challenged now more than it has ever been before.” We need to carry this (democracy) within our hearts with care, with infinite and ceaseless force. We need to keep it alive.”¹⁷⁷ It may be the translation, but the parts that have been extracted from the original piece come across as very urgent and emotional, almost like a

¹⁷⁵ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 765.

¹⁷⁶ Kool, “*DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*”, 765-768.

¹⁷⁷ “*Eesti Post*” Nr. 1, (6 November, 1945), 2-3

plea. The way this article has been positioned within “*Eesti Post*”, the way some lines are in bold and underlined indicated that this was either a topic that was very close to heart for Estonian DPs or that this article is a lens through which DPs were hoping to portray themselves. This key theme continues throughout subsequent issues of “*Eesti Post*” and was also evident in “*Kauge Kodu*” and “*Eesti Rada*” as previously discussed.

Another key theme in “*Eesti Post*” is victimization, which portrays Estonian DPs as the unwilling victims of two situations – the Nazi occupation in Estonia and the status of DPs in post-war Germany. For example, in an article titled “Who we are?” the newspaper constructs a narrative through which DPs are to present themselves in post-war Germany, mainly to the Americans. According to the “*Eesti Post*”, a “*T-Patch*” journalist¹⁷⁸ has “accused Estonian DPs of occupying local properties, living a more prosperous life than many post-war Germans” and has gone so far as to claim that “Estonians were proud Nazis who were showing off their SS uniforms and swastika”¹⁷⁹. The article in “*Eesti Post*” contains the response to these charges that the Estonian Geislingen DP-camp sent to the T-Patch newspaper. The response had been constructed in a very formal manner and covered five main points: (1) “None of the Estonians in Geislingen DP-s camp has come here out of their own will; (2) “None of the Estonians in Geislingen DP camp is a Nazi; (3) None of the Estonians in Geislingen DP camp have worked hand in hand with Nazi power; (4) None of the Estonians in Geislingen DP camp has ever worn swastika symbols and (5) Estonians have been placed to Geislingen DP camp by the authorities of the U.S. Military Government”.

On top of these five points, the article reporting the response of Geislingen Estonian DPs to the T-Patch editors also mentions that “it seems unfounded and unjust to call Estonians Nazi regime supporters especially when a large group of Estonians in the Geislingen DP camp consists of Estonians who were prosecuted by the Nazi leaders as hostile to Nazi regime and sent to labour

¹⁷⁸ “T-Patch” was the U.S. Military Government’s 36th Infantry Division newspaper

¹⁷⁹ “*Eesti Post*” Nr. 1, (6 November, 1945), 3-4.

camps as punishment.” The article claims that Geislingen Estonian DPs viewed such statements as “an ugly and irresponsible slander” which instead of supporting the inhabitants of post-war Germany pulls them further apart. This very emotionally written article rejected all accusations made towards Estonian DPs. Instead, the Estonian DPs were identified in this article as democratic and freedom-loving.

The key theme of victimization was also evident in the following issues of the newspaper. For instance, the third number of “Eesti Post” focused on the question of Baltic DPs. The first page of this issue draws conclusions from a meeting in London in which the status of Baltic DPs was discussed. Later in the same issue of “Eesti Post” is the article titled “Who We are?” An article the with same title was published in the first issue of “Eesti Post”, but now it came in the form of a reply from one of the soldiers in the U.S. Military Government’s 36th army division.

The reply is titled “One American’s letter to Eesti Post” and it starts by stating “It is very regrettable that a journalist has written an article to T-Patch which sheds a negative light on the Estonian colony. This statement does not reflect the opinion of the 36th division and is merely the journalist’s own personal opinion. We hope that Estonians will not allow themselves to be influenced by this insignificant incident and change their friendly attitude towards local Americans.”¹⁸⁰ The article continues by informing that there is a social gathering occurring within the premises of U.S. Army base in which American food, drinks and music will be offered to the guests.

The article also states “Americans, as the representatives of a democratic country, will not allow themselves to be influenced by one opinion from one journalist in last week’s Army internal newspaper.” This is almost like statement or a plea that invites Estonian DPs to do the same.

¹⁸⁰ “*Eesti Post*”, No.3, (13 November, 1945), 3.

The article is signed by “one of the Americans” and in the last sentence states that “if this article [in T-Patch] was unpleasant for Estonians, then it is up to every individual to decide whether they would like to take part in these festivities or not.”

After the accusations in T-Patch and response letters from the American officials, “*Eesti Post*” focused its attention towards the Nazi occupation of Estonia and continues with the theme of portraying DPs as victims of the war. For instance, an article titled “When the Superintendent was partying” starts by describing Nazi Germany’s invasion of Estonia. The journalist claims “when Estonia was occupied by Germany the most profitable and influential positions within Estonia, especially in Tallinn, were taken over by Nazi military officials. The Nazi German officials were “friendly”¹⁸¹ towards Estonians, but at the same time sent all the available food resources back to Germany, gave access to the best jobs and merchandise to those closest to them, and treated working-class Estonians poorly. Those who did not comply with the orders of Nazi leaders were sent to prison or labour camps in Germany.

This article proposes that Estonians under Nazi occupation did not have a choice whether to cooperate with Nazi authorities or not. It was a matter of survival, of maintaining a job to buy food and getting enough food to stay alive. The article comes across almost as an attempt to explain the participation and dealings of those Estonians who had to cooperate with Nazi officials in Estonia (some the Estonians who may have been present in the U.S. occupation zone of post-war Germany) and hence feeds into the construction of a narrative according to which Estonians were the unwilling victims of alien political regime.

The way this article was written it seems as if the accusations towards Nazis and their behaviour while being in Estonia is somehow a justification to say “we were bad, but they were worse”. The wording in this article is very emotional. And although the aim (presumably) of this article

¹⁸¹ The word “friendly” in this article has been placed between quotation mark by the Estonian journalist which means the opposite of friendly “*Eesti Post*” No. 4, (15 November, 1945), 2.

was to show that Estonians were not supporters of Nazi regime, the article comes across as an accusation against the Nazi occupation regime.

This same narrative continues in the next issue of "*Eesti Post*" which allocates an entire page to reject accusations that Estonians are fascists and should be repatriated back to Soviet Union. Three articles are united under one big title "Estonians escaping from Bolshevism are labelled as fascists." This charge of fascism made against Estonians is obviously something with which the journalists do not agree or they do not wish to be associated with this perception. There is an excerpt from the "Schwäbische Donau-Zeitung" which reports: "Russian leaders are not satisfied with the fact that the Americans and British are not complying with the idea that all Soviet citizens should be repatriated back to the Soviet Union."¹⁸²

In the response article the Estonian journalist states: "they (Russians) do not want to have witnesses who can confirm the nightmares which are happening behind the 'iron curtain,' they want to hide what is happening from the democratic world".¹⁸³ The article explains that the DP camps are right now flooded with Soviet officers who are offering an idyllic life for the DPs who are returning with them back to Soviet Union.

Similar discussion continues in the 11 December 1945 issue in an article titled "American senator attacks the Soviet Union." The journalist notes, "democratic Senator James Eastland attacked the Soviet regime and claimed that USA will have to help post-war Germany to stop the tyrannical regime the Soviets are trying to implement in the Soviet zone." The journalist praises the Senator and says "we cannot allow that post-war Germany will be turned into a communist regime, it is as dangerous as the ideology Hitler proposed."¹⁸⁴

¹⁸² "*Eesti Post*", No. 9 (27 November, 1945), 2-3.

¹⁸³ "*Eesti Post*", No. 9 (27 November, 1945), 2.

¹⁸⁴ "*Eesti Post*", No. 14 (11 December, 1945), 2.

By the end of 1945 another key theme appeared. In comparison to the previous narrative, which portrayed Estonians as unwilling victims of war, the new focus was on Germans and their inability to understand their post-war responsibilities. For example, on 15 December 1945 *“Eesti Post”* published an article titled “Germans are accusing others, instead of themselves: USA journalist’s impressions from a trip across Germany”¹⁸⁵.

This discussion continues on the next issue of *“Eesti Post”* with a front-page article headlined in bold capital letters “Germans need to take responsibility for their actions.”¹⁸⁶ This article starts by stating, also in capital letters, that: “Germans have already forgotten the war and do not seem to understand that their land has been occupied by foreign powers.” The article explains that for organizational purposes some of the Germans were removed from their homes and relocated. This has made many Germans very angry and they are now accusing the DPs of taking over properties they have no right to. The Estonian journalists respond to this claim (again with bold capital letters) that “it is an order from the higher ranking officers that DPs should live as comfortably as the post-war Germans.” In Geislingen the post-war Germans are being asked specifically to leave Frankfurt Street. The article states that “Germans were supporting Hitler’s war and this is why all Germans, some more, some less, are responsible for the situation after the war. In any case the accusations that the Polish, Baltic DPs were the allies of Nazi forces are not true. We have never been as close allies to Hitler as the Germans themselves were.” It is a very emotionally written article and again rejects all Estonian involvement with the Nazi regime.

The political identity of Estonian DPs and their collaboration with Nazis becomes a discussion point again in January. In the 8 January issue of *“Eesti Post”* there is an article titled “Baltic DPs are friendly towards Nazis”. This article contains a statement issued by the Military Government which claims that it had found a former SS-officer of Polish origin who was in a

¹⁸⁵ *“Eesti Post”*, No. 16 (15 December, 1945), 2.

¹⁸⁶ *“Eesti Post”*, No. 17 (18 December, 1945), 1.

leading position in one of the DP camps. The statement also claimed that Baltic DPs were responsible for different robberies in town and according to a recent poll were found friendly towards Nazis. This article has been given a side note by the editors from "*Eesti Post*" who state that "Stars and Stripes published this announcement in its 3.1.46 issue...[and] where these interesting claims are coming from will be a matter of investigation for the Baltic Committee as well as for the UNRRA. This matter will be investigated thoroughly, but in any case it must be a very coarse mistake and care will to be taken to insure that this will be dealt with accordingly". It is unclear if this article elicited a response "Stars and Stripes" editorial team or the UNRRA, since I was unable to find one, but based on the articles subsequent issues it seems as if not continuing with the discussion of these claims was part of constructing a narrative that presented Estonian DPs from a different perspective. Over the next few days, weeks and months there is fair amount of information on Estonian Committees, cultural clubs and sporting events. In other words, the news regarding accusations towards Estonians are avoided and the information about the success of different DP organisations is published instead. This contributes to the promotion of the idea that the accusations made against Estonians are false.

It seems that focusing on the positive news on DP activities (instead of accusation against DPs) paid off. On 16 February "*Eesti Post*" published the UN resolution that decided "DPs will not be sent home if they have reason for not returning home."¹⁸⁷ It also stated that the screening process would continue and if war criminals or Nazis were found in DP camps, then they would be dealt with accordingly. There is no comment attached to this information by "*Eesti Post*," but it is worth mentioning that this news was posted on the front page and titled and written in bold capital letters.

In February 1946 the key theme in DP newspapers focused on presenting Estonia and former Estonians as citizens of a democratic state. On the occasion of the anniversary of Estonia's independence on February 24 (1918), the focus in "*Eesti Post*" was on Estonia. It is not then a

¹⁸⁷ "*Eesti Post*", No 38 (16 February, 1946), 1.

surprise that the Saturday issue of “*Eesti Rada*” was devoted entirely to celebrating this day. “*Eesti Post*” no. 40 consists of many pages, which are almost all devoted celebrating the Independence Day of Estonia. The first two pages are full of congratulations from different organizational bodies such as the Estonian Committee and the UNRRA team.

On the third page of this issue there is a prologue titled “Our eyes are directed at the Freedom Square in Estonia” in where the journalist discusses the freedom of Estonia. At the end of this article the journalist states, “The Republic of Estonia and Konstantin Päts are two entities that are inseparable”. The appraisal of Konstantin Päts continues on the next pages, one of which was devoted solely to Päts who served as the Head of Estonia, altogether five times, and praises his activities.

It is interesting to see that the DPs were so fond of K. Päts. The way Konstantin Päts governed Estonia has caused divided opinions between academics. Evald Uustalu argues that Konstantin Päts was indeed a democratic leader, but his fellow politicians may not have always understood his strategy as such¹⁸⁸. At the same time Toivo Raun argues that while Päts was the Head of State his governing style was not very democratic. In other words, Raun claims that Konstantin Päts and his regime were far removed from democracy and were more authoritarian in spirit and practice. Raun further argues that “Päts’s authoritarianism went further and lasted longer than necessary”. However, in the article (“*Eesti Rada*”, No. 40) the journalist claims that “Konstantin Päts was and will remain as a great self-sacrificing democrat.”¹⁸⁹. The editors of “*Eesti Post*” are either of a completely opposite opinion to that of Raun or it is also possible that they are using the lack of knowledge from the American side as a means to present the interwar Estonian state in a more favourable light. This is not to say that the DP perception of Konstantin Päts is entirely false, but it seems that this presentation might be bit too idealistic and part of a narrative created for the occupying powers.

¹⁸⁸ Evald Uustalu, *Eesti rahva ajalugu* (Tallinn: Olion, 2005), 48.

¹⁸⁹ Konstantin Päts, “*Eesti Post*”, No. 40, 23 February 1946, 5.

The creation of this idealistic narrative continues in the following issue of “*Eesti Rada*” where there is an article titled “ We believe democracy will win”. It reflects on the celebration of Estonian 28th Independence Day by presenting an overview of different assemblies that took place and concludes that “six hard years have passed and the Western Allies have always protected us...this has given us optimism and hope for future... and strengthen our belief in our own nation.... this is why we cannot give up.... we have to continue fighting to get back what was taken from us...our duty right now is to show with our excellent behaviour what Estonians are really like”¹⁹⁰. This article is clearly targeted at the DPs who are reading the press.

In the first part of 1946 the Allies reported that another wave of screening would take place within the DP camps. This results in another shift in focus in the newspapers. From February 1946 onwards “*Eesti Post*” turned its attention to the support and good relations the DPs have with American occupying forces. It is almost as if the press wants to encourage the Estonians DPs to think in a certain way about their relationship with the Americans. For example, “*Eesti Post*” devoted a whole page to the visit of Mrs Roosevelt to the Geislingen DP camp. The article is titled “Mrs Roosevelt among DPs” and its main focus is on the conversations the DPs had with this important American visitor. The journalist mentions that Mrs Roosevelt was positively surprised about the immaculate order and cleanliness of Estonian DP camps. The article states that Mrs Roosevelt was deeply concerned about the status of DPs in post-war Germany and that she would do her utmost best to relieve this situation for as many as possible¹⁹¹.

The discussion of the good relations of Estonian DPs with the American forces continues and the positive attitude of Americans towards Estonians is discussed in issue No. 60 of “*Eesti Rada*” in which the journalist focuses on Ernst C. Helmreich’s newly published book “Contemporary Europe”. According to this article this new book describes Estonians as a very

¹⁹⁰ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 41, (27 February 1946), 3-4.

¹⁹¹ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 48, (22 March 1946), 7.

cultured nation who over the past 20 years have built an economically, culturally and industrially strong country of which every Estonian should feel proud¹⁹².

The following issues of "*Eesti Rada*" continue with the discussion about Estonian DPs. The 21 May issue contains an article titled "new screenings for DPs". In this article the journalist states that in March 1946 alone approximately 27 000 DPs were repatriated and the screening process continued until 10 May 1946 when there was an order from higher up to stop this process. There is no number of Estonians mentioned in this article or any other nationality for that matter, but the article does note that the U.S. Military Government is on the lookout for former Nazi criminals¹⁹³.

The article titled "UNRRA and DPs" contains the response of Harold Ingham, the former assistant director of UNRRA team no. 124, to an article published by the journalist Livesey in the "Manchester Guardian". In it Livesey had claimed that all DPs should be repatriated back to their former countries. Ingham's reply claimed that "repatriation is the biggest fear for Baltic DPs as living under the Soviet regime would be agony for most". He continued that most Baltic DPs are very hard working individuals and calling them fascist is unjust. The journalist from "*Eesti Rada*" states that Livesey's comments come from ignorance or from poor information on the actual matter. The journalist argues that it would be great if Livesey could spend some time in former Baltic states under the Soviet regime to really understand what life would be like there for a former Baltic state national¹⁹⁴.

Livesey's response in the "Manchester Guardian" was already published in the next issue of "*Eesti Post*". His answer was firm and short: "I understand the dilemma for Baltic DPs, but I still stick with the overall understanding from UNRRA head directors who are forcing the

¹⁹² "*Eesti Post*", No. 60, (10 May, 1946), 3.

¹⁹³ "*Eesti Post*", No. 63, (21 May, 1946), 2.

¹⁹⁴ "*Eesti Post*", No. 69, (14 June, 1946), 2.

repatriations and the DPs to return back to the original country”¹⁹⁵. There is no further commentary from the “*Eesti Post*” journalists.

The issue with the “Manchester Guardian” reporter may have been laid to rest, but the debate on the DPs continued. In the next issue there is a little note in the corner of newspaper that states “all the DPs will be issued new ID-cards which will aid the movement of DPs within Europe, if they wish to do so”¹⁹⁶. This news is presented as an announcement and no commentary is offered. However, the next issue of “*Eesti Post*” had a long article titled “DPs are still a pressing problem. Why won’t they go home?” The article was originally published in the “Observer” by Inez Holden who raised the question – why won’t the Baltic DP-s return home?. In this article Holden provides data to show that Baltic DPs are the largest group of DPs who refuse to return home, despite the Allies’ efforts. She claims in her article that since the end of the war 5,5 million DPs had been repatriated, and by the end of summer of 1946 there were approximately 600 000 DP-s from 50 different nations who were not willing to repatriate. The journalist claimed that more than 500 000 Poles had returned to Poland. The Baltic DPs were now the largest group of DPs who should repatriate back home. So far, she claimed, only 1000 Baltic DPs had returned. Holden believed that this number should be much higher.

There is unfortunately no response from “*Eesti Post*” journalists to this article, but its main message seems to focus solely on Baltic DPs as the reason why UNRRA food rations are low and why to Baltic DPs are an unnecessarily drain on UNRRA resources. It is almost as if this article is hoping to argue that in terms of numbers Baltic DPs are the greatest problem for UNRRA in post-war Germany. At the same time, as it is known from the articles above, the Estonian DPs in post-war Germany generally had very good relations with the occupying powers.

¹⁹⁵ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 70, (18 June, 1946), 1.

¹⁹⁶ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 80, (22 July, 1946), 2.

The American support of Estonians is also featured in the 85th issue of “*Eesti Post*” in an article titled “ USA does not recognize the annexation”. An Estonian translation of U.S. Foreign Secretary James F. Byrnes’ official letter to the Estonian Consul General Johannes Kaiv, this article covers the main points why USA does not recognize the annexation of Estonia and states at the end that Johannes Kaiv will remain on his post as an Estonian Consul General. There is no immediate commentary by the journalists to this news apart from the date (12 June 1946) when this letter was sent.

News about Estonian collaboration with Nazi Germany is again a discussion point in the 17 September 1946 issue of “*Eesti Post*”. In it the newspaper presents new information on Dr. Hjalmar Mäe, the former high ranking Estonian official who was directly involved with mobilizing Estonians into the Waffen SS. This article claims that during the initial screening process Dr. Hjalmar Mäe went to American officers and provided information on several German Nazi officers. Imprisoned for his collaboration with the Nazis, Dr Mäe was sent to a prison camp where he was currently confined.

Discussion of the Nuremberg trials was renewed in first several pages of the next issue of “*Eesti Rada*”. On the first page of the newspaper the article reveals that among the top Nazi criminals there were 12 death penalties, 3 acquittals, and different lengths of imprisonment for the rest of the accused. The journalist has provided a name and a photo of each Nazi criminal who was sentenced.

On a side of this article there is an opinion piece titled “The voice of justice”. It starts by stating that “1 October 1946 answered the question for many people who on 1 September 1939 asked whether there is any justice left in this world or not”. The article ends by stating, “today we accept the decisions at the Nuremberg trials with a deep feeling of peace. Not because we want revenge on Nazi criminals when we think back to all the pain and suffering these Nazi criminals caused. No, because this pain is far too great for any revenge. Justice is what we wanted as we

saw that peace and trust into humanity are restored again... The Nuremberg international tribunal showed to the world that justice does have a place in this world over violence and that aggression is punishable. This gives other nations courage to act for justice if necessary”¹⁹⁷. With this article the journalists provide an argument that the international community does not allow terror to go unnoticed and, by doing so, they are also trying to raise the hopes of the DP press readers that there is a possibility for Estonia to become independent again.

This narrative also continues in another article where the journalist states that the “Nuremberg is not a guarantee”¹⁹⁸. It is another opinion piece by one of the journalists from “*Eesti Post*” in which the journalist argues that the Nuremberg trials do not give guarantee that future conflicts will not happen. But it also points out that the Nuremberg trials separated the war criminals from their organizations. The blame for the crimes committed was placed on individuals rather than organizations. This means that the future trials on war criminals will be employing the same style – resting the responsibility over war crimes on the individual level, rather than on the collective level. As this article was published around the time when the DPs were still unclear about future screenings then it is also possible that this article is using Nuremberg trials example to explain the situation of Estonian DPs. In the article the journalist says that crimes should be judged on the basis of individual rather than collective actions. In the case of Estonian DPs community what the journalist is saying is that only those Estonians should be accounted who were collaborating with the Nazis and leave the larger community out of it.

The key theme in the early issues of “*Eesti Post*” in 1947 focus on the Estonian DPs identity, or rather the construction and perception of the Estonian DP as a decent worker with democratic values. For example, in an article “Geislingen has new representatives”¹⁹⁹ a journalist discusses the local Committee elections and is using phrases like “votes exceeded our hopes”, “many new faces”, “competition was high this year” and “former members voted out” which all paint a

¹⁹⁷ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 100, (1 October, 1946,) 2.

¹⁹⁸ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 104, (14 October, 1946), 2.

¹⁹⁹ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 2, (7 January 1947), 1.

picture of a tight-knit democratic community. Also, in an article “Elections in February for Estonian representatives in Germany” emphasis is placed on the importance of communication between the Estonians in different occupation zones. This article attempts to show that Estonian DPs are actively organizing their lives through committees, elections and representatives.

This theme continues in an article titled “Baltic Women Conference”²⁰⁰ in which the upcoming conference for all Baltic women, mainly from the U.S. zone, is discussed. The journalist notes that this is the first conference for Baltic women and highlights that its aim is to establish a point of cooperation for all the Baltic women on a daily basis regardless the area they are located. This article represents Baltic women, including Estonian DPs as open-minded individuals who are focusing on the cooperation with other women from the region with a hope of creating something great together. This article promotes a perception of Baltic women as active and democratic citizens who believe that together and through cooperation they can influence their environment with positive change.

In February 1947 the tone in “*Eesti Post*” changed somewhat and the main focus is again on the Baltic DPs. For example, in an article “Screening has been permanently finished”²⁰¹ the journalist presents the readers with an outcome of a note that Geislingen DPs sent to UNRRA (with 2768 signatures). In response to this note UNRRA proclaimed that screenings have been permanently finished and that the DPs do not need to worry about them any longer. However, at the same time, next to this article is another titled “DP status still undecided”²⁰² which claims that “25 000 British zone DPs are heading towards the ‘iron curtain’ to reside” and is questioning the destiny of DPs in U.S. zone. As the newspapers were continuously translated to English as well it seems that some of these articles are meant more for the U.S. military officials, rather than for the Estonian DP community.

²⁰⁰ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 6, (21 January 1947), 1.

²⁰¹ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 12, (11 February 1947), 1.

²⁰² “*Eesti Post*”, No. 12, (11 February 1947), 1.

This seems to be the case also in an article titled “ Strange DPs”²⁰³ which is a response to the claims of a “high official from the U.S.” about the Baltic DPs. The journalist points out several counterarguments to why the DPs are still in Germany and states that “in spite of the problems in Europe it seems that the American journalists who are covering the news about DPs do not really comprehend or care about the situation” in which the DPs are living on a daily basis. The journalist points out that “for outsiders it might seem odd why DPs are not returning home, but we do not have a home to return to”. The words “do not have a home to return to” have been written in bold. The journalist suggests that the DP issues would be resolved much faster if they would be “allowed to relocate elsewhere”. In this discussion the journalist also states that remaining in Germany with DP status is something that is “not satisfactory to the DPs” as they would have “no food or means to support themselves”.

By August 1947 the issues about DPs were discussed daily and the reporters also issued many opinion articles about justice, rights and humanity. For example, in an article “Indivisible law and justice” the journalist writes that “ as the governing power of this zone the U.S. should focus more attention on resolving the issue for DPs” and continued that “if Western powers would acknowledge the sovereignty of Estonia” the Estonian DPs would have a home to return to. The journalist argues that although “the War has ended”, but the fight for Estonian DPs continues since “law and justice are just indivisible”. With this story the journalist feeds the narrative of Estonians as unwilling victims of political circumstances.

Over the next few months tensions rose between the Americans and the Soviets and news about the Soviets, their actions and crimes started appearing in “*Eesti Post*”. The key theme in the newspaper thus changed and shifted more towards the atrocities of the Soviets. For example, in an article titled “Russians are deporting Baltic people to Siberia” the situation in the Baltic States is discussed and as a result many Estonians are escaping to Sweden to escape deportation to Siberia. The journalist states that the Soviets are deporting all those Estonians who had been

²⁰³ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 11, (7 February 1947), 2.

known to be fighting alongside the Nazis. The article notes that most of the people who have managed to escape to Sweden are mainly specialists and craftsman, but according to the escapees there were many Estonians who had been left on the coast because they did not find transportation across to Sweden.

Towards the end of 1947 the articles in "*Eesti Post*" shifted again towards DPs and the key theme is to find a solution for the remaining Baltic DPs. This can be seen for example in an article titled "We will offer you a home and freedom"²⁰⁴, which is a phrase from a speech the Canadian Minister of Agriculture gave during his visit to the Geislingen DP camp. In his speech the Minister James G. Gardiner said that Canada had thousands of homes ready for DPs who were willing to work in agriculture, mining or the sugar industry. This news was accompanied by another article titled "Questions about the terms and conditions in Canada"²⁰⁵ in which the journalists who interview Gardiner question him over the terms and conditions of his invitation to DPs. The journalist writes that "from the first moments Minister J.G. Gardiner arrived to the Estonian DP camp he was well liked and admired for his simple behaviour...it seems that he was also impressed by the Estonians and our camp in Geislingen, as he had only kind words to say about us". The journalist paints a rather idyllic image of Canada as the next home for many DPs in the Geislingen camp.

This theme of relocation to Canada continued in the following issues "*Eesti Post*". For example, in an article "Respect to those who brought them here" the journalist presents a story that was published "in Canada's biggest newspaper The Montreal Daily Stars" about two Estonian girls who were working as housemaids in Montreal, about their journey and everyday living conditions in Canada. The article describes one of them as "a blue eyes goldilocks whose behaviour and work ethic is as sweet as her smile". The article goes on to claim that Estonians

²⁰⁴ "*Eesti Post*", No. 67, (2 September 1947), 1.

²⁰⁵ "*Eesti Post*", No. 67, (2 September 1947), 1.

are very valued workers on the other side of the Ocean. When one of the girls is asked about her life in Canada she says “I am glad to be in a safe place and not a DP anymore in Hamburg”.

Similar to “*Kauge Kodu*” and “*Eesti Rada*” the key theme in 1948 in “*Eesti Post*” is the question of Baltic DPs, including Estonians. The question of the fate of Baltic DPs was approached from an international perspective by looking at what the international organisations were discussing²⁰⁶ in their meetings, from a local perspective by looking at the DPs views on this issue²⁰⁷ and also from a Cold War perspective²⁰⁸ in which the journalists discuss relations between the East and the West. The DP press presented the Estonian DP community with several articles discussing the importance of small nations. For example, in “World peace is determined by the fate of small nations”²⁰⁹ the reporter reminds his readers, “we have to be courageous and brave, and show the world the real history of Estonia”. Next to it is an article titled “Courage to fight for the future”²¹⁰ about the celebration of Estonian Independence Day and proclaims “Courage will define the road we walk on” and adds that “united we are strong”. These articles are almost like reminders to departing DPs to not forget about the struggle to restore the sovereignty of Estonia.

As the political situation in 1948 constantly changed and there were no firm certainties for the DPs in post-war Germany, many articles in “*Eesti Post*” covered news about the relations between the Americans and the Soviets. For example, in an article “Russia is closing the embassy in USA”²¹¹ readers learn that the Soviets have decided to close down their consulates in New York and San Francisco. The article also presents a comment about this from Moscow where Soviet officials have said that “these decisions do not affect our relationship with America...we will remain friends”. At the same time, there is also news that Americans have been “negotiating with the Soviets for more than a year so they can open a consulate in

²⁰⁶ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 1, (9 January 1948), 3.

²⁰⁷ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 14, (25 February 1948), 2.

²⁰⁸ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 66, (27 August 1948), 1

²⁰⁹ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 14, (25 February 1948), 1.

²¹⁰ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 14, (25 February 1948), 1.

²¹¹ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 66, (27 August 1948), 1

Leningrad”²¹², but so far the Soviets have not accepted this offer. The way this article has been written leaves the impression that relations between the Soviets and the Americans are getting worse.

In the summer of 1948 another key theme appeared in “*Eesti Post*”, that of emigration from Germany. Several articles in “*Eesti Post*” focus on the DPs and their possible emigration to western countries, but beginning with the article “DP Act is approved”²¹³ the focus is redirected towards suggestions on how DPs can relocate away from Germany. For example, in an article “How to get to USA”²¹⁴ there are detailed instructions on what to do and information about how this process works.

This theme continues in the following issues where an American official explains the emigration process as well as the terms and conditions behind it. The USA Deputy Consul R.P. Robinson answers the questions of “*Eesti Post*” journalists and notes that “the emigration into USA is done on the basis of quotas”²¹⁵. The DP press provides detailed information on what the DPs need to do to get to the other side of the Ocean, discusses the main worries people might have about the emigration process and also includes news about the DPs who have already settled in America. These articles are almost written like an invitation for the DPs to begin the emigration process as quickly as possible.

In one of the articles where the “*Eesti Post*” journalist discuss the DPs life in USA there is also a commentary added by Ambassador E. Gossel who claimed that “he never thought that there are so many qualified workers among Estonian DPs”²¹⁶. Ambassador Gossel also added that “he is very impressed by the level of skills Estonians have and said that they are great help for

²¹² “*Eesti Post*”, No. 66, (27 August 1948), 2

²¹³ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 47, (22 June 1948), 12.

²¹⁴ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 79, (11 November 1948), 3.

²¹⁵ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 74, (15 October 1948), 1

²¹⁶ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 80, (26 November 1948), 1

America”²¹⁷. This news is followed by information about positions in the U.S. offered to doctor and nurses.

After the amount of detailed information about the emigration process to America and the several invitations from the Americans and Canadians it seems that almost all the DPs in Geislingen camp did indeed emigrate from Germany²¹⁸.

Perhaps because of the DPs massive emigration along with other reasons in 1949 the “*Eesti Post*” was a very different kind of publication compared to 1945. The newspaper was now issued about once per week (compared to 3 times per week earlier) and the tone of the articles is neutral, with no opinion pieces present. In the 1949 issues of “*Eesti Post*” three key themes are noticeable – DPs life abroad, the North Atlantic Treaty and preparations for leaving Germany.

In the early 1949 issues of “*Eesti Post*” the key theme is DPs life abroad. For example in an article titled “Taxes in Australia”²¹⁹ the journalist reports on Estonians’ life in Australia and provides detailed information for readers to show how the taxation system works there in. The article that although these taxes are high, they are still manageable. The discussion continues with an article about Australian officials who are “tempting Freiburg DPs” to “consider relocating to Australia”²²⁰.

Regarding the relocation of DPs, there is also a story about 100 000 Soviet soldiers who have escaped from the Soviet Union with the hope of gaining DP status and immigrating to America²²¹. According to this article about 11 000 out these 100 000 Soviet soldiers have hidden in the U.S. zone and are hoping to get a free ticket to America. The commentary from

²¹⁷ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 80, (26 November 1948), 1

²¹⁸ For more information about the DPs lives, their journeys to and away from the Geislingen camp, please refer to Mai Maddisson and Priit Vesilind, “*When the Noise Had Ended: Geislingen’s DP Children Remember*” (Woodsville, MN: Lakesore Press, 2009).

²¹⁹ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 1, (5 January 1949), 3.

²²⁰ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 2, 14 January 1949), 2.

²²¹ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 2, 14 January 1949), 2.

the U.S. officials is that before emigration all DPs are carefully screened and none of the DPs will be allowed to relocate to America before then.

Just before the Estonian Independence Day celebrations the key theme in the newspaper shifts and discussions about the North Atlantic treaty appear. For example, in an article titled “North Atlantic Treaty will become reality”²²² there is information from Washington officials who have presented their counterargument to the Soviet Union and say that “USA Foreign Minister categorically denied the Soviets accusations that the North Atlantic Treaty will be the united West’s aggression towards Russia”. The Americans deny these allegations. The discussion about the Treaty also continues in an article “Treaty’s text is being examined”²²³ about how Western parties are familiarizing themselves with the text, and also in “the Soviets attack the Treaty” about a radio host who viciously attacked the Treaty in his radio show. Both of these articles were written in very neutral manner and there is no commentary added by the journalist. It seems almost as if the articles are taken from international newspapers and pieced together by a translator.

In April 1949, just after the North Atlantic Treaty was signed, the “*Eesti Post*” reported that many of the remaining DPs of Geislingen area were preparing to leave post-war Germany. The articles focus on the DPs preparations for departure. This can be seen for example in an article titled “Attention for all the émigrés”²²⁴, where the newspaper relates the U.S. officials suggestions to DPs before they leave. It is also evident in an article titled “Bamberg is being liquidated, Hanau camp will be relocated to another camp”²²⁵, in where closing and relocation of this DP camp is reported. There are no comments or discussions with these articles. They are simply presented as information.

²²² “*Eesti Post*”, No. 6, (11 February 1949), 1.

²²³ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 8, (25 February 1949), 1.

²²⁴ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 2, 14 January 1949), 3.

²²⁵ “*Eesti Post*”, No. 2, 14 January 1949), 3.

In general it can be said that the themes and trends in “*Eesti Post*” changed considerably depending on the political situation that was happening outside the camp. From 1945 onwards the articles were quite opinionated and well written. From 1947 onwards when the emigration process for the Geislingen camp began there was also a slight shift in the newspaper. The former style of writing which included many analytical and well-constructed pieces changed into a more informative style of writing. From the style of writing it may seem as if the editorial board had changed, but in reality what happened was that the editorial team and managing board (Estonian Committee) had a disagreement.

The root of this disagreement was to do with the incoming money the newspaper was gathering. The journalists and editorial team who had established “*Eesti Post*” as an organization based on volunteering did not agree with the managing boards investment decisions. However, as “*Eesti Post*” was by the U.S. military government’s legislation owned by the Estonian Committee the volunteers did not have a legislative right to make any final decisions.

Despite the fact that the disagreements were resolved on the same year and the editorial team remained largely the same as it were, the articles were less critical, less analytical and less opinionated.

By 1949 the newspaper was issued less frequently and the tone became very formal with no subjective commentary.

CHAPTER 4 - ESTONIAN DPs IN THE BRITISH PRESS

4.1 “*The Times*” [London]

In comparison to the local DP press in post-war Germany it is also interesting to approach the construction of different narratives in Estonian DP press from an international press perspective. A brief case study in this regard of “*The Times*” of London will enable to explore in a partial way whether the shared messages among the Estonian DPs also resonated with the Allies or whether the DPs, through their press, were merely writing to themselves.

The British newspaper “*The Times*” has been for decades one of “Britain’s oldest and most influential newspapers”²²⁶. During 1945 to 1949 the cost of “*The Times*” was around 3 pence and it was one of the most widely read newspapers in Britain at that time. What is interesting about “*The Times*” and the way it reported news about the DPs is that as time went on its ability to make distinctions among the DPs became clearer. In the earlier articles all the DPs from Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania along with Poles were named as the Eastern Europeans. However, closer to 1946 to 1947 the journalists started making a distinction between the Baltic nationals and Poles and by 1948 to 1949 the distinction between Estonians, Latvians and Lithuanians was even clearer. Throughout 1945 to 1949 its discussion on DP matters was very active. Often the discussions followed the same themes as the DP press in post-war Germany. However, at times there were also themes that were somewhat different to the ones promoted in the DP press.

The keywords I used for searching “*The Times*” were the following: displaced persons, refugees, Eastern Europeans, Baltic nationals, Baltics, Balts, and Estonians.

In exploring the articles concerning DPs from 1945 to 1949 it is clear that the key themes in “*The Times*” were in direct correlation with developments in the larger political situation. For

²²⁶ “The Times: British Newspaper”, last modified October 21, 2014, <http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/596228/The-Times>

example in 1945 when there was a great deal of uncertainty for Estonia DPs in post-war Germany, there was also a lot of confusion in the British press over the DPs. The British journalists were confused about the repatriation process of DPs and articles discussing the homeless Europeans appeared at this time²²⁷. There was a general confusion over the reasons why so many DPs did not want to return back to their homeland and at the same time discussions over the means how to deal with DPs from a practical perspective.

This disbelief was followed by even further confusion over the people in DP camps. An article from “*The Times*” in July 1945 called the DPs the “problem children of Europe” who should return back to their home countries. The newspaper’s special correspondent in Germany stated that “if a winter in camps in present conditions is added to the memory of the war years, those who survive may well lose all ability to take their place in any form of European society”²²⁸.

Around the same time the central theme in Estonian DP newspapers was to present Estonians as democratic and freedom-loving people. There were no discussions or concerns expressed in the DP press over the upcoming winter. Estonian DPs were more concerned with representing themselves and their needs²²⁹.

By early 1946 the issue of DPs in the British press remained, but the focus or the theme of the articles changed somewhat. In 1946 the main theme in British press is to understand why the DPs behave the way they do and why were the not willing to go back to their homelands. In May the editor of “*The Times*” writes that “In spite of their love of home and country many [DPs] feel that they cannot return [back home] with a sense of security...the terror caused by the possibility of enforced repatriation is such that news has come that many DPs from the

²²⁷ “Homeless Eastern Europeans”, *The Times* [London], May 30, 1945, 3.

²²⁸ A Special correspondent laterly in Germany, “Homeless Europeans”, *The Times* [London], July 21, 1945, 5.

²²⁹ “What do we want?” “*Kauge Kodu*”, No. 1, June 23, 1945, 3-4.

Baltic States are hiding to-day outside the camps rather than take advantage of the food and shelter they provide”²³⁰.

Around the same time the Estonian DP press in Geislingen was focused on a story in which the Estonian DPs are cited as an example by the American foreign visitors in the way their camp and life has been organized in post-war Germany. There were also discussions about the screening process, but the issue of forced repatriation was not discussed²³¹.

By the second half of the 1946 it was clear to the British reading public that many of the Baltic DPs, including Estonian DPs, did not wish to repatriate back to their homeland and articles discussing the possibility of employing DPs for the UK labour market began to appear. For example in July “*The Times*” reported that the “the prisoners of war and displaced persons division of the Control Commission in Germany” had agreed that “first to arrive in Britain will be women from Latvia, Estonia and Lithuania”, as they “have been approved by the selection board”²³². Despite the fact that these DPs described are from the British zone the article continues and explains further how the DPs selection process was conducted. The journalist is stating that the Baltic DPs “showed that they represented the *intelligentsia* of the Baltic States”²³³ and would be valuable assets for the British labour market. The Estonian DP press in the American zone did mention that some of the DPs were moving to Great Britain, but it was not the subject of sustained discussion. The main theme in Estonian DP press instead continued to promote the narrative representing Estonians as a democratic nation. One of the reasons why the news over the Baltic women’s relocation to Great Britain was not the main topic discussion in “*Eesti Rada*” *Eesti Post*” or “*Kauge Kodu*” is that most of these women were mainly from British occupation zone.

²³⁰ Katharine Atholl, “Enforced Repatriation”, *The Times* [London], May 25, 1946, 5.

²³¹ “*Eesti Post*”, No.59, (7 May 1946), 1.

²³² “Displaced Persons for England”, *The Times* [London], July 24, 1946, 4.

²³³ “Displaced Persons for England”, *The Times* [London], July 24, 1946, 4.

In late 1946 and early 1947 the British press continued its discussion about DPs, but the frequency of attention devoted to them was diminishing. Most of the articles on Baltic DPs focused on Baltic nationals who were working in different factories and on the Baltic DPs good work ethic. There was still some discussion about the DPs still in the U.S. zone of Germany and most of the articles focused on how to help the DPs to relocate to America. For example, in July “The Times” correspondent in Washington reported that President Truman had made a special request to the Congress to accept a “substantial number of DPs”. The correspondent maintained that the President along with the American nation feels that at times like this larger nations should help those in need. This article was not particularly long, but it supported the idea of relocating DPs to western countries.

In the middle of June 1946 “The Times” published an article that seemed to contradict everything the DP newspapers, and lately the Western Allies, had been proposing for the DPs in post-war Germany. The article is titled “Baltic Displaced Persons” and it contains a letter to draw attention to a message proposed by a group of Estonians from Soviet Estonia²³⁴. In this message Soviet Estonians call upon the Western powers to stop listening to the false claims from the DPs and repatriate them back to the Soviet Union. The group of Soviet Estonians declared that “those responsible for this dangerous political game are persons of Baltic descent who were compromised by collaboration with the enemy during the occupation”. The letter further claims that “in 1946 these individuals set up the ‘Committee of Baltic Peoples’ at Detmold which was doing its best to assume political leadership of all regional *Länder* and youth committees. The whole trend of their activity runs counter to the agreement reached by the Moscow conference of the Council of Foreign Ministers”. The letter also contends that

²³⁴ The Soviet Estonians letter is a response to a group of Baltic DPs in post-war Germany who were on a hunger strike on the 11th of March in Melle (Hannover province in Germany) to raise awareness for the unresolved Baltic question. Along with the hunger strike the Baltic DPs also appealed to the United Nations to demand the immediate withdrawal of Soviet forces from the Baltic States.

Soviet Estonia's economy is doing well and there is plenty of room for the DPs to return back to Soviet Estonia and find a wholesome life there...Estonian public opinion deems it is essential to put an end to an abnormal state of affairs in DP camps and to stop all propaganda against repatriation". The Soviet Estonians are of the opinion that the DPs are doing propaganda in post-war Germany and claim that the DP camps are an "asylum for war criminals". The Soviet Estonians who claim to be the authors of this letter include some very well known names among Estonians, including academic professors, artists, actresses and famous writers²³⁵.

There is no commentary from the editors of "The Times", but this letter is in clear opposition to what the Estonian DP press in post-war Germany and the British press in Great Britain had been discussing for the past two years. The rest of the news in 1947 did not focus on the issues of DPs as much as they did on the first two years. Most of the news regarding Baltic DPs focusing on those who have moved to Great Britain, and on the re-education of Baltic DPs to turn them into "skilled and trained miners"²³⁶.

During 1948 the issue of Baltic DPs was not much covered and the DPs are only briefly mentioned once in a while. For example, in January 1948 a special correspondent from Oldham noted that "the Minister of Labour praised Lancashire housewives recently for taking into their homes the DPs who have come to fill the gaps in the cotton mills". The correspondent reported that there were "600 more beds on offer that can yet be used". From this article it seems that the British did not wish to be in the middle of the conflict between the Soviet Union and the DPs, but were doing all in their power to accommodate those still in post-war Germany. However, presumably the focus for the British was more on their zone of occupation, but at the same time it is known from previous research that some DPs from the U.S. zone were reallocated to Great Britain.

²³⁵ "Baltic Displaced Persons", *The Times* [London], June 12, 1947, 5.

²³⁶ "Refreshers course for Baltic miners", *The Times* [London], August 2, 1947, 4.

Throughout 1948 the British press continued with the same theme and the issue of Baltic DPs is barely touched upon. One of the articles, for example, provided an overview of the Australian Prime Minister Mr Chifley's trip to Great Britain. During the trip and conversations over the problems of immigration, the topic of Baltic DPs was also touched upon. Prime Minister Chifley reportedly said that "a small number of settlers from the Baltic countries have proved satisfactory " and praised the Baltic DPs for their good work.

By 1949 the British journalists' interest in the Baltic DPs was renewed and there are several articles published concerning Baltic DPs. For example, in January "The Times" published a letter from the wives of Royal Air Force and other ranking officers who "appeal to the British public to send food and clothes for people in Germany"²³⁷. In April the British correspondent from Berlin discusses "the terms of the occupation statute" and says that the Western powers are preparing themselves to leave the post-war Germany²³⁸. In August the discussion over the remaining DPs in post-war Germany continued and "The Times" correspondent in Geneva reported that the British need to do more for the DPs as "economically their situation will be precarious and they will be in competition with the German refugees from the Eastern zone who will start with their privileges of German citizenship"²³⁹. The British journalists seem to be deeply concerned over the wellbeing of DPs and raise the possibility of resettling more Baltic DPs to Australia (as the feedback from the Baltic DPs and Australians about their experience there has been very positive). Towards the end of the year "The Times" seemed to be very concerned about the DPs in post-war Germany and there were several articles that highlight the necessity to support Baltic DPs²⁴⁰.

²³⁷ "German refugees", *The Times* [London], January 4, 1948, 5.

²³⁸ "Maximum Self-government for Germany", *The Times* [London], April 11, 1949, 4.

²³⁹ "Flotsam of Europe", *The Times* [London], August 12, 1949, 5.

²⁴⁰ For example in "Fate of Har Core of Refugees", *The Times* [London], October 5, 1949, 3. Also in "Refugees from Europe", *The Times* [London], December 3, 1949, 5. and in "Displaced Persons", *The Times* [London], December 22, 1949, 5. and in "Helping Displaced Persons, an appeal for funds", *The Times* [London], December 31, 1949, 2.

As an upper class intellectual's newspaper *The Times*, London represented (via the journalist) the perspective of British intellectuals on DPs - the way they understood (Estonian) DPs, the extent to which the British were able to help the (Estonian) DPs and whether the British upper class saw (Estonian) DPs as those who needed assistance. It is very likely that the extent of issues the (Estonian) DPs were facing in post-war Germany were not actively discussed within the lower class communities of Britain. Hence why newspapers with large communities such as *Manchester Times* for example were not included. As the Second World War had a very negative influence on British economy, it is very likely that the focus of British public was more on Britain, rather than on DPs in post-war Germany.

As indicated in articles presented in *The Times*, London, the British society was not very aware of the extent of DP problems in the early years of occupation (1945-1946). In September 1945 when majority of the DPs from the British zone were sent back to their homeland (out of 596 625 DPs, 483 504 were Polish DPs who were repatriated back), the British military government was left with significantly less DPs, compared to the U.S. zone. In other words, what the articles in *The Times*, London revealed was that the British public was not as invested into solving the issue of DPs as it did not influence their everyday lives as much as it did for Americans across the ocean. Nor was it discussed as much as it was in Estonian DP newspapers. The articles regarding DPs became active as plans about DPs reallocation to Britain were planned.

It is therefore fair to say that the British perspective on Estonian DPs (as presented in *The Times*, London) is not similar to the perspective presented in Estonian DP press.

4.2 DISCUSSION

The newspapers seem to be a method through which the Estonian DPs constructed a narrative that presented them as freedom-loving and democratic escapees from tyranny, as the unwilling forced victims of Nazi occupation. This can be seen particularly in articles where the Estonian DP press discusses the Independence Day of Estonia. What the press is saying paints a picture of Estonia which projects it (before the invasion of the Soviets) as highly democratic and free. The press failed to mention Konstantin Päts's authoritarian regime in Estonia²⁴¹. This is not to say that the perception of the Estonian DPs press of Konstantin Päts is entirely false, but it seems that this presentation might be bit too idealistic and part of a narrative created for the occupying powers.

Consciously aware that the Americans did not know a lot about the Baltic States, the Estonian DPs may have seen this as an opportunity to introduce Estonia and the Soviet Union to the Allies. At the same time they present themselves as victims of two regimes so they can be recipients of U.S. aid and to justify their DP status in Germany.

This can be seen for example in "*Eesti Post*" articles where the Estonian DPs find themselves in a dispute with the journalist Livesey from the "Manchester Guardian"²⁴², when the latter published an article in which he suggested that Baltic DPs should be sent back to their homes. The Estonian DP press countered that it would be great if Livesey could spend some time in former Baltic states under the Soviet regime to really understand what life would be like there for a former Baltic state national²⁴³. With this article the Estonians

²⁴¹ Toivu U. Raun, *Estonia and the Estonians* [2nd Ed.] (Stanford: Hoover Institution Press, 2002), 182.

²⁴² *Eesti Post*, No. 69, (14 June, 1946), 2.

²⁴³ *Eesti Post*, No. 69, (14 June, 1946), 2.

present themselves as the unwilling victims of the war and claim that returning back is not an option for Baltic DPs due to Soviets aggression.

This is not to say that the discussions in the DP press about Soviet crimes, including mass killings and deportation to Siberia, were not true. However, at times it does seem that some of the articles were written in a way which played into the construction of a narrative that sees Estonian DPs as freedom-loving escapees from tyranny.

Another aspect that the DP press brought to light was that the Estonian DP community was culturally very active. It is hard to determine whether it was because the DPs saw culture as a way to keep focused and intellectually stimulated or because it was a way to add to the creation of a narrative that saw Estonians as essentially freedom-loving democrats. There was a lot of effort to build a strong community. The DP press became a voice to promote different cultural activities, constantly inviting people to communal meetings, theatre plays, singing choirs, clubs and different sporting events. The press painted a rather idealistic picture of Estonians' cultural life in the DP camp.

Estonian DPs and their identity in the British press was not as idealized as it was in the Estonian DPs press.

As a whole, the themes in "*The Times*" (London) can be directly correlated with the international political situation, which is not the case with the Estonian DP press discussed earlier. By looking at the British press, represented by "*The Times*," starting from the second half of 1945 there are many articles in which the journalists are not sure how to refer to Estonian DPs, whether as Baltic DPs, Eastern Europeans or at times even Russians. There seems to be also quite lot of confusion over the Baltic issue and on why the Baltic nationals refused to return back to their homelands.

Compared to the articles in 1945, the articles in early 1946 of "*The Times*" (London) tried to focus more on the underlying causes on why the DPs were refusing to return back to their homes. The journalists were much clearer in their use of the terms Eastern Europeans and Baltic nationals and even mention ethnic Estonians, Latvians and Lithuanians separately.

The articles in 1946 of "*The Times*" seem to be sympathetic toward the Estonian (and Baltic) DPs and there are some arrangements made for some of the DPs to relocate abroad. In 1947 and 1948 the discussion on the Baltic DPs seems to have abated and most of the stories focus on the DPs and the American support for them. Even when some Soviet Estonians requested to publish their letter (inviting all DPs to return to Soviet Union) in "*The Times*", there was no comment that ensued from the British editors. In 1949 the British editors seem to have woken up from the silence and there were many articles published about Baltic DPs. Starting from early January the newspaper appealed for the British public to support the "refugees" [DPs] in post-war Germany, noted that the Baltic DPs in Australia were valued for their good work and towards the end of 1949 there was a flood of articles appealing for the international community to help those DPs who were not fit for the immigration due to their poor health, old age or disability. The overall impression gained from "*The Times*" is that the British press may have been confused in early 1945, but there was strong support for the Baltic DPs from labour officers who valued Estonians and other Baltic DPs.

The aim of this thesis was to provide a broader understanding of the lives of Estonian DPs in post-war Germany and to understand how people contextualized their experience in the broader post-war environment. In the beginning of this research I hoped to find answers to the question of how Estonian DPs defined themselves, how they viewed their past history and their relationship with the Third Reich, discover how they defined their future agenda, and how did they represented themselves to the outside world.

After analysing the Estonian DP press and looking the DPs from British press perspective it can firstly be said that Estonians self-representation was at times consistent with the news presented in “*The Times*” [London]. However, at times, the Estonian DPs did use DP press as a method through which they constructed a narrative of Estonians as freedom-loving escapees from tyranny who are the unwilling forced victims of German occupation in Estonia and the DP situation in Germany. In that sense, the Estonians were honest with their past history at times, but also used it to create a narrative which was most suited to their conditions in post-war Germany.

Secondly, the articles in the Estonian DPs press show that Estonians represented themselves to the world as a hard-working, cultured and democratic group of people. There are many articles in the press in where foreign visitors to Estonian DP camps praise Estonians for their cultural activities and organized camp surroundings. During a time of repatriation and relocation there were also many stories presented in the Estonian DP press where different countries offer Estonian DPs possibilities for emigration. This all plays into the narrative of projecting a positive image of Estonian DPs to the world.

CONCLUSION

Today, the Second World War remains the “greatest cause of emigration in Europe”²⁴⁴. During that time around 75 000 to 80 000 Estonians emigrated towards the West. Around 40 000 to 45 000 Estonians immigrated to Germany and became the DPs in different occupation zones. There is reason to believe that around 13 500 Estonians emigrated to what became the U.S. occupation zone.

²⁴⁴ Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*”, 238.

The purpose of this MA thesis was to provide an in-depth understanding of the lives of Estonian DPs in post-war Germany in the U.S. zone and to understand how people contextualized their experience in the broader post-war environment. This MA thesis analysed (1) how honestly Estonian DPs looked at their own past and (2) how Estonian DPs represented themselves to the world. As discussed earlier, to this day there is very little research on Estonian DPs that focuses on the role of the DP press in this regard.

For these purposes I looked at the discussions within Estonian DP press in post-war Germany, focusing particularly on “*Eesti Post*”, “*Eesti Rada*” and “*Kauge Kodu*”. For a comparative perspective I studied the articles in the British press, particularly the “*The Times*” of London. The period covered by this study is from 1945 to 1949, from the time the DP camps were established to the time most of the DP camps closed.

In the first part of the thesis I looked at conditions in post-war Germany and found that in 1945 Germany had become a temporary home for about 7 720 000 people out of whom approximately 6 362 000 were in the Western zones²⁴⁵ who all needed food, shelter and other forms of assistance.

In the second part of this thesis I looked at Estonian DPs in post-war Germany and found that the emigration of Estonians to Germany can be divided into four different emigration-waves. These four emigration-waves included Estonian DPs who already before the end of the war were living in Germany. The news of Estonians living in Geislingen in the U.S. zone reached other Estonian DPs living in different areas of Germany and with the help of UNRRA hundreds of Estonians were transported to Geislingen. The Geislingen DP camp became the largest Estonian DP camp in post-war Germany.

To get a better understanding of the Estonian DPs everyday life and conditions in the U.S. zone I also explored George J. Rebane’s family’s living space. From this I found that the living

²⁴⁵ Bessel, “*Saksamaa 1945 – sõjast rahuaega*”, 220-221.

quarters for this particular DP family were tight which meant that most of the time during the day was spent outside of their makeshift home. The Estonian DPs' living conditions help better to understand DPs behaviour and why they sought to relocate to other western countries once the threat of repatriation to Soviet Estonia subsided.

In the third part of this thesis I focused solely on the press. I looked at the guidelines for information media in post-war Germany to understand what was involved with the opening and running of DP press, the difficulties of doing so and the rules which the DP press had to follow. From this section of the thesis I found that the rules and regulations of the Education and Religious Affairs Branch at the U.S. Military Government were strict. Nonetheless, I also found that during that time 20 Estonian periodicals were issued in the U.S occupation zone.

In the context of this study I looked at "*Eesti Post*", "*Eesti Rada*" and "*Kauge Kodu*" and found that the discussions in the newspapers proposed different narratives of who Estonians were. I found that Estonian DPs represented themselves as unwilling victims of a Nazi regime forced on Estonia that had also brought them to Germany. The discussions in the press also projected Estonians as freedom-loving escapees from tyranny and as a nation endowed with strong democratic beliefs.

What the Estonian DPs projected in the press was not wrong, but their self-representation was a bit distorted. I found that the key themes (or projections) of how the Estonian DPs represented themselves in the press were: (1) as unwilling victims of the war and two totalitarian regimes, (2) as freedom-loving democrats and (3) as escapees from tyranny.

I also found that when talking about their past the Estonian DPs painted a more democratic picture of Estonia than it may have been in reality. As showed earlier, the Estonian DP press presented Konstantin Päts's regime more as a democratic government and discussions about the authoritarian nature of Päts' regime were completely avoided. This means that the Estonian

DPs were not totally honest about their past, as presented in Estonian DP press. Across the different Estonian DP press publications analysed here, Konstantin Päts was described as a man with strong democratic values and governing style. As discussed earlier this was part of the narrative of portraying Estonian DPs as unwilling victims of the war that may have played into the U.S. empathy, sympathy and potentially immigration.

In comparison to the Estonian DPs press, I also looked the issue of Estonian DPs from an external perspective by exploring the discussions in the largest British newspaper, "*The Times*" of London. The discussion in "*The Times*" was somewhat different to those in "*Eesti Post*", "*Eesti Rada*" and "*Kauge Kodu*". I found that Estonian DPs were in the early occupation years addressed in "*The Times*" as Eastern Europeans and only later on as Baltic DPs. The British press did not share the narrative put forward by the Estonian DPs press. To the contrary, in the early years of the occupation in Germany, the British press was somewhat confused and did not understand the reasons why many of the DPs (including Estonian DPs) were not willing to go back home. At times the early articles referred to the DPs as those who were too comfortable to relocate to their homelands. In 1946 to 1947 the British press started referring to Estonian DPs as Baltic DPs and the distinction between Baltic and Eastern DPs became clearer to the journalists. Towards the end of the occupation years, in 1948 to 1949, the British press was very sympathetic towards Estonian DPs and several times presented requests in the newspaper to aid those DPs who had not yet relocated from the camps in Germany to other western countries. Towards the end of this period, the British press emphasised the good work of Estonian DPs who were relocated from the British zone to England

Taking everything into consideration I can say that Estonian DP press did present Estonian DPs from a perspective which at times was idealized and ignored the true past of Estonia, mainly because it suited their present purposes of avoiding repatriation to Soviet and eventually their relocation from the camps in Germany to more hospital locations in the western world.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

PRIMARY SOURCES

NEWSPAPERS

“Eesti Post”

“Eesti Rada”

“Kauge Kodu”

“The Times” (London)

SECONDARY SOURCES

BOOKS

Agnew, John A. And Entrikin, J. Nicolas. *The Marshall Plan Today: Model and Metaphor*. London: Routledge Publishing, 2004.

Andrae, Carl G. *Rootsi ja Suur Põgenemine Eestist 1943 – 1944*. Tallin: Olion, 2005.

Baker Annie P. *American Soldiers Overseas: The Global Military Presence*. Westport: Praeger Publishers, 2004.

Bessel, Richard. *From War to Peace*. London: Simon & Schuster, 2009.

Browder, Dewey. *Americans in Post-World War II Germany: Teachers, Tinkers, Neighbors and Nuisances*. Lewiston: The Edwin Mellen Press, 1998.

Buhite, Russel D. *Decision at Yalta: An Appraisal of Summit Diplomacy*. Scholarly Resources: Wilmington, 1986.

Cooper, Duncan. *Immigration and German Identity in the Federal Republic of Germany from 1945-2006*. Berlin: Lit Verlag Münster, 2012.

Corum, James S., Mertelsmann, Olaf and Piirimäe, Kaarel. eds. *The Second World War and the Baltic States*. Frankfurt: Peter Land GmbH, 2014.

Dietrich, John. *The Morgenthau Plan: Soviet Influence of American Post-war Policy*. Kindle edition: Algora Publishing, 2002.

Eisenberg, Carolyn Woods. *Drawing the Line: The American Decision to Divide Germany, 1944-1949*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1996.

Feis, Herbert. *Churchill Roosevelt Stalin: The War They Waged and the Peace they Sought*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1957.

Fulbrook, Mary. *History of Germany 1918-2000: The Divided Nation*. 3rd Ed. Oxford: Blackwell, 2011.

Gimbel, John. *A German Community under American Occupation: Marburg, 1945-1952*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1961.

Grossmann, Atina. *Jews, Germans, and Allies: Close Encounters in Occupied Germany*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009.

Gunnarsson, Robert L., *American Military Police in Europe, 1945-1991: Unit Histories*. North Carolina: McFarland & Company, Inc, 2011.

Holian, Anna. *Between National Socialism and Soviet Communism: Displaced Persons in Post-war Germany*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2014.

Jarausch, Konrad, H., *After Hitler: Recivilizing Germans, 1945-1995*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006.

Johnson, Bobby V. *High on the Mountain : Israel's Prophetic History* .
Bloomington: WestBow Press, 2014.

Kasekamp, Andres. "A History of the Baltic States". Basingstoke; Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.

Klaar, Julia. *Fight for Survival: Memoirs. October 1944 to July 1947*. Tallinn: Aade AV, 2012.

Kool, Ferdinand. *DP Kroonika: Eesti pagulased Saksamaal, 1944-1951*. Lakewood, NJ: Eesti Arhiiv Ühendriikides, 1999.

Kumer-Haukanõmm, Kaja, Rosenberg, Tiit and Tammaru, Tiit., *Suur Põgenemine 1944: eestlaste lahkumine läände ja selle mõjud*. Tartu: Tartu Ülikooli Kirjastus, 2006.

Lutzer, Erwin W. & Zacharias, Ravi. *Hitler's Cross: How the Cross of Christ was used to promote the Nazi Agenda* [Kindle Edition], Chicago: Moody Publishing, 2012.

Maddisson, M. and Vesilind, P. *When the Noise Had Ended: Geislingen's DP Children Remember*. Woodsville, MN: Lakesore Spress, 2009.

Merrit, Anna J. and Merritt Richard L., *Public Opinion in Occupied Germany: the OMGUS Surveys, 1949-1949*. Chicago: University of Illinois Press, 1970.

Mischamble, Wilson D. *From Roosevelt to Truman: Potsdam, Hiroshima, and the Cold War*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.

Moeller, Robert G. *West Germany under Construction: Politics, Society, and Culture in the Adenauer Era*. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press, 1997.

Mäe, Hjalmar. *Kuidas kõik teostus: minu mälestusi*. Muraste: Matrix Kirjastus, 2005.

Plaks, Arved, "Geislingeni Eesti Gümnaasiumi õpilaste ja õpetajate elulugusid: kümme lendu 1945 ja 1950 aastate vahemikus" [4.trükk]. Huston: Nassau Bay, 2010.

Penrose, E. F. *Negotiating on Refugees and Displaced Persons, 1946*. // *Negotiating with the Russians*. Ed. R. Dennett, J.F. Johnson, USA, 1951

Piirimäe, Kaarel. *Roosevelt, Churchill and the Baltic question: allies relations during the Second World War*. New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2014.

Raun, Toivo U. *Estonia and the Estonians* [2nd Ed.]. Stanford: Hoover Institution Press, 2002)

Smith, Gaddis. *American Diplomacy During the Second World War* [2nd ed.] New York: McGraw-Hill, 1985.

Stephan, Alexander. *Americanization and Anti-Americanism: The German Encounter with American Culture after 1945*. New York: Berghahn Books, 2007.

Szanajda, Andrew. *The Restoration of Justice in Post-war Hesse: 1945-1949*. Plymouth: Lexington Books, 2007.

Frederick Taylor, *Exorcising Hitler: The Occupation and Denazification of Germany*. Bloomsbury: London, 2012.

Uustalu, Evald. *Eesti rahva ajalugu*. Tallinn: Olion, 2005.

Williamson, D.G. *Germany from Defeat to Partition 1945-1963*. New York: Longman, 2001.

Wierer, Rudolf. *Probleme der heimatlosen Ausländer in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland: mit Berücksichtigung der deutschen Heimatvertirebenen*. Munich: E.Gans, 1960.

Wolfgang, Jacobmeyer. *Vom Zwangsarbeiter zum Heimatlosen Ausländer. Die Displaced Persons in Westdeutschland 1945-1951*. Göttingen, 1985.

JOURNAL ARTICLES

Arendt Hannah. "The Aftermath of Nazi-Rule, Report from Germany." *Commentary* 10 (Oct. 1950), 343-353.

Kumer-Haukanõmm, Kaja. "Forced migration of Estonians to the West during World War II." *Acta Historica Tallinnensia*, 17 (2011): 95-109.

McClure, Raul. A. "Rebuilding Germany's Information Media." *Army Information Digest*, 3(1948).

Plitschke, Elmer. "Denazifying the Reich." *The Review of Politics*. Vol. 9, Issue 02, April 1947, 153-172.

PUBLIC DOCUMENTS and DISSERTATIONS

Kumer-Haukanõmm, Kaja. "USA välispoliitika Balti küsimuses 1945-1952 läbi Balti põgenike probleematika", (MA thesis., University of Tartu, 2015).

Kumer-Haukanõmm, Kaja. "*Teisest Maailmasõjast tingitud Balti pagulaste probleematika aastatel 1945-1952 Eesti pagulaste näitel*", (PhD. Diss., University of Tartu, 2012).

Piirimäe, Kaarel. “Estonia, the Baltic question and the 'Big Three' Allies in World War Two” (PhD. Diss., University of Cambridge, 2009).

Statistisches Bundesamt, Statistisches Jahrbuch Für Die Bundesrepublik Deutschland 1951. Wiesbaden: Wiesbaden Verlag, 1952.

Supreme Headquarters Allied Expeditionary Forces, G-5, Civil Affairs Section, Handbook for Military Government in Germany, April 1944.

The United States. Department of State. *Foreign Relations of the United States, 1945*, Vol 3. European Advisory Commission: Austria, Germany, 1945.

The United States Department of State Office of the Historian. Documents on Germany, 1944-1985. U.S. Department of State, Office of the Historian, Bureau of Public Affairs, 1985.

The U.S. Military Government Report on “Textbooks in Germany, American Zone”. Wiesbaden: Education and Religious Affairs Branch, 1946.

The United States Education Mission, “Report of the United States Education Mission to Germany”. Washington, D.C. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1946.

RESOURCES AVAILABLE ON INTERNET

George J. Rebane, May 11, 2013 “*Geislingen DP Camp Years, 1945-49*”, The Rebane’s Ruminations, available at:
http://rebaneruminations.typepad.com/files/geislingen-dp-camp-years_v130608-2.pdf

“*The Times: British Newspaper*”, last modified October 21, 2014,
<http://www.britannica.com/EBchecked/topic/596228/The-Times>

ANNEXES

ANNEX NO. 1 – THE ESTONIAN-GERMAN PERIODICALS FROM 1941 ONWARDS

No	TITLE	WHERE	FROM - TO
	NEWSPAPERS		
1.	“Eesti Post” (“Estonian Post”)	Geislingen (USA zone)	1945 – 1953
2.	“Eesti Rada” (“Estonian Way”)	Hochfeld (USA zone)	1945 – 1949 1953-1956 1960, 1982, 2000
3.	“Eesti Sõna” (“Estonian Word”)	Berliin	1941-1945
4.	“Eesti Teated” (“Estonian News”)	Göttingen (British zone)	1945-1946
5.	“Im Ausland” (“Abroad”)	Augsburg (USA zone)	1948
6.	“Kodumaale tagasi- pöördumise eest” (“For returning back to homeland”)	Berliin	1955-1957
7.	“Postimees” (“Courier”)	Heidelberg (USA zone)	1944
8.	“Ravensburgi Postimees” (“Ravensburg Courier”)	Ravensburg (French zone)	1944
9.	“Rünnak : Eesti Vabadusliidu võitlusleht” (Attack: Estonian Freedom Union Fight Newsletter)	Hildesheim (USA zone)	1944-1945
10.	“Sõnumid : Eesti Teadeteleht” (“Messages: Estonian Newsletter”)	Lübeck (British zone)	1945-1946
11.	“Sõnumid : Estonian Weekly News” (Messages: Estonian Weekly News”)	Detmold (British zone)	1946-1948

	SMALLER NEWSLETTERS & NEWSPAPERS		
12.	“Augsburgi Rahvusgrupi Päevauudised” (“Augsburg Ethnic Group Daily News”)	Augsburg (USA zone)	1952-1955
13.	“Eesti Rada. Sport” (“Estonian Trail: Sport”)	Augsburg (USA zone)	1948
14.	“Eesti Sõjavangide häälekandja” (Estonian War-prisoners Newspaper”)	Mittenwald, Garmisch – Parkten (USA zone)	1944
15.	“Eesti Võitlejate Ühingu teated” (“Estonian Association of Combatant newsletter”)	Primasens (British zone)	1950-1952
16.	“Hinge häääl” (“Voice of the Soul”)	Memmingen (USA zone)	1957
17.	“Information Bulletin”	Pinneberg (British zone)	1946-1949
18.	“Kauge kodu päevauudised” (“Faraway Home Daily News”)	Kempton (USA zone)	1945-1949
19.	Laagri teated	Geislingen (USA zone)	1945 - 1949
20.	“Löke : Sandplatzi laagri skautide leht” (“Bonfire: Sandplatzi scout camp newsletter”)	Sandplatz (British zone)	1948-unknown
21.	“Maleva Teataja : Eesti Gaidide ja Skautide Malevate ühine hääle -kandja Saksamaal” (“Malev Journal: Estonian Scouts and Scout common newspaper in Germany”)	Nürnberg (USA zone)	1960-1964
22.	“Põhjamaa tähistel” (“Nordic Letters”)	Geislingen (USA zone)	1946-1949
23.	“Päevauudised” (“Dailly News”)	Bocholt (British zone)	1945-1953

24.	“Sõnumid Briti tsooni eestlastele” (“Messages for the Estonians in the British Zone”)	Augustdorf & Detmold (British zone)	1948-1951 1948-1949
25.	“Teated” (“News”)	Wiesbaden (French zone)	1946
26.	“Teated Briti tsooni eestlastele” (“News for the Estonians in the British Zone”)	Detmold (British zone)	1948
27.	“Teateid eestlastele” (“News for Estonians”)	München	1988-
28.	“Teateleht eestlastele” (“Newsletter for Estonians”)	Berliin (Danzig)	1944
29.	“Uudised Märzfeldi Eesti Rahvuskondise informatsioonileht” (“News: Märzfeld National Information Bulletin”)	Märzfeld (USA zone)	1946
30.	“Ühendaja rada: maailma- laagri teateleht” (“Connector Trail: World Camp Newsletter”)	Bundenbach	1970
	MAGAZINES		
1.	“Hochfeld camp sõnas ja pildis” (“Hochfeld camp in words and pictures”)	Augsburg (USA zone)	1945
2.	“Kirikuleht” (“Church News”)	Geislingen (USA zone)	1946-1955
3.	“Lux Aeterna / Eesti Kristlik Üliõpilasühing” (“Lux Aeterna / Estonian Christian undergraduate association”)	Oldenburg (British zone)	1948-1954
4.	“Löke / Põhja Skautide Malev” (“Bonfire / Northern Scouts Malev”)	Nürnberg	1947-1948
5.	“Meie lastele : Kirikulehe lisa” (“Our children: Church Newsletter”)	Geislingen (USA zone)	1947-1948
6.	“Meie Mats” “Our Mats”	Geretsried, Munich (USA zone)	1946-1947
7.	“Noorte sõber / EÜSL Kooli ja Haridustoimkond”	Kempten	1955-1956

	("Youth friend/ EÜSL School and Education Department")	(USA zone)	
8.	"Optimist: GEGi 11a klassi ajakiri" ("Optimist: Geslingen Estonian Gymnasium class 11a newsletter")	Geislingen (USA zone)	1947
9.	"Pildipost" ("Picturepost")	Geislingen (USA zone)	1947-1949
10.	"Pildiposti kõverpeegel" ("Picturepost curved mirror")	Geislingen (USA zone)	1948
11.	"Ronk / Ronga salk" ("Raven/ Raven squad")	Hochfeld (USA zone)	1947
12.	"Sport"	Meerbeck (USA zone)	1946-1947
13.	"Sädemed : Tasuja rühma ajakiri" ("Sparks: Tasuja team magazine")	Ohmstede (British zone)	1946-1949
14.	"Tulilind / Geislingeni Eesti Rahvuskondise Gümnaasium" ("Firebird / Geislingen Estonians Gymnasium team")	Geislingen (USA zone)	1947-1948
15.	"Urituli"	Uchte (British zone)	1945-1946
16.	"Vagu : Eesti põllumajanduskooli ajakiri" ("Furrow: Estonian agricultural school magazine")	Perdoel (British zone)	1948
17.	"Viiking" 'Viking'	Uchte (British zone)	1946
18.	"Virmaline / Kaktuse salk, Suure Tõlli skautlipkond" ("Northern Lights / Cactus squad, Great Chariot Scout Troop")	Geislingen (USA zone)	1948
19.	Õitsitud	Geislingen (USA zone)	1945

ANNEX NO. 2 – THE MAP OF OCCUPIED ZONES OF GERMANY IN 1945²⁴⁶

²⁴⁶ *The U.S. Armed Forces German Youth Activities Program, 1945-1955* (Heidelberg, Germany: Historical Division, HQ U.S. Army Europe, 1956), 3.

ANNEX NO. 3 – MAPS OF THE GEISLINGEN CAMP DISTRICTS

(1) Administrative division of Geislingen DP camp²⁴⁷

(2) Map of Rappenäckern area in the Geislingen DPs camp:

²⁴⁷ Mai Maddisson and Priit Vesilind, *When the Noise Had Ended: Geidlingen's DP Children Remember* (Woodsville, MN: Lakesore Spres, 2009), 34.

(3) Map of Wilhelmshöhe area in the Geislingen DPs camp:

(4) Map of Schlosshalde area in the Geislingen DPs camp