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Abstract

1, 2, 3-triazole as the Directing Group for Metal-Catalyzed C-H Activation

1,2,3-Triazoles as versatile directing group for selective sp² and sp³ C–H activation: cyclization vs substitution

A selective cyclization and substitution was achieved with designated 1,2,3-triazole acid auxiliary groups as directing groups under Pd catalyzed C–H activation conditions. Both sp² and sp³ C–H bonds were effectively activated, giving the desired products in good yields. This result revealed the first successful example of exclusive substitution using 1,2,3-triazole ligands, while other triazole-containing directing groups dominantly gave cyclization under identical conditions.

Palladium-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidative C–H Olefination with Removable 1,2,3-Triazole Directing Group

Ortho-olefination of arenes was achieved with removable 1,2,3-triazole auxiliary through Pd-catalyzed C–H activation. Excellent yields were received even when molecular O₂ (1 atm) was used as the terminal oxidant. Other heterocyclic directing groups, such as pyridine and quinoline, gave poor reactivity under this aerobic oxidative condition, which highlighted the unique reactivity of triazole in promoting directed C–H activation.

Nickel-catalyzed directed sulfenylation of sp² and sp³ C–H bonds

Directed sulfenylation of both sp² and sp³ C–H bonds was achieved via nickel catalyzed C–S bond formation, giving the desired product in good to excellent yield (up to 90%). Other metal cations including Cu, Fe, Pd, Rh, Ru and Co, gave almost no reaction under identical conditions, which highlighted the unique reactivity of this Ni system.
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Part I

1,2,3-Triazoles as versatile directing group for selective sp² and sp³ C–H activation: cyclization vs substitution

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Directing group for metal-catalyzed C-H activation

During the last decade, transition metal catalyzed C-H functionalization has been utilized as an efficient and versatile approach in complex molecule synthesis.¹ Due to many different C-H bonds within nearly all molecular frameworks, the differentiation of C-H bonds with similar chemical environment becomes a general concern and inhibits the wide application of C-H activation strategy. Directing group (DG) approaches are particularly important since it can lead the metal to selectively activate specific C-H bonds.² As shown in Scheme 1, various functional groups have been successfully utilized as directing groups for the modification of ortho sp² C-H bond.

Scheme 1. Directing group strategy for C-H activation

Selected examples of DG:

![Diagram of DG examples]

1
On the other hand, relatively few effective directing groups have been reported for metal-catalyzed functionalization of inactivated sp³ C-H bond (not on benzylic position or α to heteroatoms), mainly due to a higher bond dissociation energy for sp³ C-H bonds, which demands a stronger directing group to facilitate cyclometalation. Thus, a novel directing group strategy is highly desirable.

1.1.2 Bidentate auxiliary directed palladium catalyzed C-H bonds functionalization

1.1.2.1 Application on amine derivatives

Amines are essential functional groups and widely exist in an abundance of natural products and many biologically active compounds. Selective functionalization of amine derivatives through C-H activation is therefore always attractive. Amines were not considered as a good directing group towards transition metals. It might be because the good binding affinity between nitrogen and late transition metal impedes the catalyst turnover. Also, most aliphatic amines are highly sensitive to oxidants, which are commonly involved in a C-H activation process for metal redox neutralization. An amide modification/protection becomes a general approach, which is widely applied for sp² C-H functionalization of amine derivatives. Attempts to utilize simple amides for sp³ C-H bond were less successful. One successful example is demonstrated by Yu’s group in which they adopt a Tf amide directing group.³ With assistance of amino acid ligands, sp³ C-H arylation could be accomplished.⁴ However, the difficulty of Tf deprotection makes this approach less synthetically appealing. Recently, Daugulis initiated a bidentate auxiliary directing group approach to circumvent this challenging task (Scheme 2). With
a picolinic acid auxiliary, the first example of Pd-catalyzed sp\(^3\) C-H arylation was accomplished in 2005.\(^5\) Notably, the reaction involves a 5,5-bidentate palladacycle intermediate, leading to functionalization on the inner γ-sp\(^3\) C-H bond. Whether the anionic amide serves as an X-type or L-type ligand is still unclear. However, this bidentate chelating mode presumably enhanced the reactivity of Pd for C-H bond metallation as well as enhancing the stability to overcome unwanted byproduct pathways (predominantly β-H elimination).

**Scheme 2. Design auxiliary for amide derivatives**

Later, Chen and other groups further extended the category with several newly designed bidentate acid auxiliaries, which allows the enrichment of synthetic scope and functional group tolerance. The results are summarized in **Scheme 3**.\(^6,7\)

**1.1.2.2 Application on acid derivatives**

Under a revised procedure, the aminoquinoline auxiliary was employed for the activation of carboxylic acid derivatives, promoting a β-sp\(^3\) C-H functionalization
(Scheme 4). In general, the aminoquinoline amide presented a stronger directing effect towards palladium, as the arylation could be achieved on the methylene C-H bond under a milder condition (110 °C) with higher yield (92%) obtained.\(^5\)

Scheme 3. Examples of bidentate auxiliary DGs for amide derivatives

\[
\text{HN}^\text{DG} \quad \overset{\text{Pd cat.}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \text{HN}^\text{FG}
\]

\[
\text{DG} = \begin{array}{c}
\text{PA} \quad \text{Daugulis, 2005} \\
\text{PA-OTBS} \quad \text{Chen, 2011} \\
\text{PSA} \quad \text{Carretero, 2013} \\
\text{OA} \quad \text{Zhao, 2014} \\
\text{MIA} \quad \text{Ma, 2013}
\end{array}
\]

Selected examples

Scheme 4. Design auxiliary for carboxylic acid derivatives

First Example by Daugulis:

\[
\text{COOH} \quad \overset{\text{Auxiliary DG amine}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \text{NH} \quad \overset{\text{Cyclometalation}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \text{N}^\text{M} \quad \overset{\text{1)FG 2)Deprotection}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \text{HOOC}^\text{FG}
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Similarly, several new bidentate amine auxiliaries were designed to supplement the category and target more challenging transformations (Scheme 5).  

Scheme 5. Examples of bidentate auxiliary DGs for acid derivatives

1.2 Research objective

1.2.1 Challenge for chemoselectivity

Significant progress have been achieved for effective functionalization of sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ C-H bonds with bidentate auxiliary directing group, however, one challenge is the chemoselectivity between cyclization and substitution when PhI(OAc)$_2$ was employed as oxidant. As shown in Scheme 6, with the picolinic acid (PA) directing groups, the cyclization products were dominant, even in the formation of highly strained azetidine rings. Recently, Chen and coworkers reported the application of alcohols as the co-solvents to promote the substitution over the cyclization. Although this work provided one successful example of O-substitution upon C-H activation using this strategy, the requirement of an alcohol as a co-solvent limited the potential application for the
incorporation of other functional groups due to the crucial competition from the alcohol solvent. Thus, alternative versatile directing groups that render effective chemoselectivity control are highly desired.

Scheme 6. Challenge for picolinic acid directing group

Picolinic acid DG: dominant cyclization, poor substitution

1.2.2 1,2,3-triazole metal complex

The 1,2,3-triazoles are highly electron-deficient aromatic heterocycles and therefore have been overlooked as potential ligands in transition metal coordination due to the assumed “poor” electron donating ability. In the last several years, our group has been working towards the development of new metal catalysts with 1,2,3-triazole ligands. Several stable triazole complexes were successfully prepared and characterized, revealing good binding ability of 1,2,3-triazole toward transition metal cations, unlike the previous assumption (Scheme 7). Thus, we wondered whether triazole derivatives could be used as suitable directing groups in the Pd-catalyzed C-H activation.
1.2.3 1,2,3-triazole as the potential directing group

1.2.3.1 Advantage

To be synthetically useful, the designed directing/protecting group has to be easily deprotected after C-H functionalization. As demonstrated in Scheme 8, the 1,2,3-triazole 4-carboxylic acid (TAA) can undergo facile deprotection under relatively mild conditions.

Scheme 8. Advantage: Easy deprotection with electron-deficient TAA

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{N} = \text{N} & \quad \text{LiOH} (1\text{M}) \\
\text{O} & \quad \text{THF:MeOH} = 1:1 \\
\text{80°C, 4h} & \quad \text{isolated yields}
\end{align*}
\]
1.2.3.2 Potential problem

One potential problem associated with our proposed strategy is the acidic C-H proton on 1,2,3-triazole ring. As shown in Scheme 9, Ackermann and coworkers have done comprehensive studies regarding the metal catalyzed C-H activation on conjugated triazole-phenyl compounds. Based on their results, under the Pd catalyzed conditions, C-H activation exclusively occurred on the triazole C5-H bond (instead of the arene C-H). On the other hand, the arene C-H bond activation was achieved under Ru catalyzed conditions with 1,2,3-triazole directing group. When this project started, no Pd-catalyzed triazole-directed C-H activation has ever been reported in the literature.

Scheme 9. Challenge: C-H activation occurred dominantly on the acidic C-H bond

1.3 1,2,3-Triazole directed C-H amidation: Cyclization

1.3.1 Evaluation of directing groups

To test our hypothesis, triazole amide 2a was prepared along with several other similar heteroaromatic amides. These substrates were screened under identical conditions, (Pd(OAc)₂ and PhI(OAc)₂ in DCE, 80 °C). As shown in Scheme 10, the TAA
A directing group could effectively promote arene C-H activation, giving the cyclization product 3a in 83% isolated yield, similar to quinaldic acid (QA) and picolinic acid (PA) directing groups. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of 1,2,3-triazole directed arene C-H activation with Palladium catalysts. Interestingly, other tested heteroaromatic compounds, such as imidazole, furan and pyrazole, could not promote this reaction at all, despite being more electron-rich than triazole.

### Scheme 10. Evaluation of directing groups

![Scheme 10](image)

### 1.3.2 Substrate scope

As shown in Table 1, the triazole amide protecting/directing group effectively promoted the C-H activation of both sp² and sp³ C-H bonds, furnishing the corresponding cyclization products in good to excellent yields. This transformation tolerated a large group of substrates. With the presence of both sp² and sp³ C-H at the γ-positions, the reaction occurred exclusively on the sp² carbon (4b). Both EDG (4g, 4j) and EWG (4d, 4i, 4l) substituted benzene were suitable for this reaction. Remarkably, with halides present on the ortho positions (4c-4e), the directed C-H activation occurred preferentially.
over oxidative addition, even for the aryl iodide (4e). Excellent regioselectivity was observed for the meta-substituted benzenes (4h, 4i), giving the single cyclization products on the less sterically hindered ortho-carbon.

Table 1. Reaction scope for TAA directed sp² C-H activation

|                        | Reaction scope for TAA directed sp² C-H activation |  
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------|
|                        | Pd(OAc)₂ 5 mol% and PhI(OAc)₂ 2 equiv. in DCE, Ar, 80 °C |      |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Product Structure</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MeOOC</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>87%²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>60%²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>TAA</td>
<td>85%²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ The reactions were carried out with Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol%) and PhI(OAc)₂ (2 equiv) in 1,2-dichlorethane at 80°C under Ar, unless otherwise mentioned. ² Run at 100 °C. Isolated yield.

N1-p-methyloxypenyl-1, 2, 3-triazole was then identified as the effective directing group to promoting the sp³ C-H cyclization (the yield of 4m was improved from 72% to 81% and the d.r. selectivity was almost the same). Similarly to PA-directed C–H
activation, the reaction selectively occurred on the primary carbon (CH₃) over the secondary carbon (CH₂, 4o) for the sp³ C–H bonds (Table 2). Formation of azetidines was observed in all cases, even with the presence of a C–H at the δ-position (4o, for the synthesis of pyrrolidine). Good to excellent diastereoselectivity was observed.

Table 2. Reaction scope for TAA directed sp³ C-H activation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R₁</th>
<th>R₂</th>
<th>Reaction Conditions</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>dr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MeOOC</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)₂ 5 mol%, Phl(OAc)₂ 2.5 equiv, AcOH 2 equiv, DCE, Ar, 120 °C</td>
<td>4m</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>7:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcO</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td></td>
<td>4n</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>&gt;20:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOOC</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td></td>
<td>4o</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>&gt;20:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td></td>
<td>4p</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>5:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ª The reactions were carried out with Pd(OAc)₂ (5 mol%), Phl(OAc)₂ (2.5 equiv), AcOH (2 equiv.) in 1,2-dichlorethane at 120 °C under Ar, unless otherwise mentioned. b TAA = N1-p-methoxyphenyl-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylic acid. c Determined by ¹H NMR analysis. d Isolated yield.

As shown in Scheme 11, TAA amide from tert-octylamine was also prepared and tested as a substrate that could undergo cyclization to form a pyrrolidine (activation on CH₃ at the δ-position) with 84% isolated yield. Notably, for sp² and sp³ substrates, cyclization products were the dominant products observed with the TAA directing/protecting group.
1.4 1,2,3-triazole directed C-H acetoxylation: Substitution

1.4.1 Rational design of TA-Py directing group

Encouraged by the TAA directed C-H activation, we then focused on the more challenging substitution reaction. PA, QA and TAA directing groups all gave dominant cyclization products due to a bidentate Pd-N coordination mode, which results in favored \textit{in-plane} reductive elimination.

Scheme 12. Plausible Pd(IV) intermediate for cyclization

We wondered whether the incorporation of a tridentate directing group could force a change of the overall Pd transition state which may favor selective reductive elimination of N/C (cyclization) or O/C (substitution).\textsuperscript{14,15,16} As shown in Scheme 13, the formed Pd-C bond would be forced into an axial position, favoring C-O bond reductive elimination.
Therefore, tridentate Py-TA directing group \(5a'\) was prepared and charged with standard oxidation conditions. No indoline product was observed. This result was consistent with our hypothesis that tridentate directing group would successfully block the cyclization reaction path (in-\textit{plane} reductive elimination vs. out-of-\textit{plane} reductive elimination). However, under the standard reaction conditions, no substitution product was obtained (\textbf{Scheme 14}).

\textbf{Scheme 14.  Tridentate Py-TA directing group}

Interestingly, when switching the protecting group from Py-TA to TA-Py, an effective arene C-H activation was achieved and the substitution product \(6a\) was successfully obtained in 56% isolated yield (67% conversion, \textbf{Scheme 15}).
Scheme 15. Designed TA-Py directed selective substitution

Notably, when the amide is replaced with an analogous ester or N is substituted with methyl, no acetoxylation reaction occurred, which indicated the importance of amide coordination, and further confirmed the proposed tridentate TA-Py intermediate (Scheme 16).

Scheme 16. Conformation for tridenate intermediate

Importance of amide coordination
Table 3. **Optimal condition for acetoxylation**

![Chemical structure](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidant</th>
<th>Additive (0.5 equiv)</th>
<th>Conversion (^b)</th>
<th>Yield (^c)</th>
<th>Mono:Di (^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhI(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>1:0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhI(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>1:0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhI(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>1:0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhI(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>AgOAc</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>1:0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhI(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>HOAc</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>1:0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhI(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>KOAc</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>1:0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhI(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>NaOAc</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhI(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>AgOAc</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>1:0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhI(OAc)(_2)</td>
<td>AgOTf</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgOAc</td>
<td>1.5 equiv.</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)The reactions were carried out with Pd(OAc)\(_2\) (10 mol\%), additive (0.5 equiv.) and PhI(OAc)\(_2\) (2.5 equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane at 80 °C under Ar. \(^b\)Conversions were determined based on the consumption of 5a; \(^c\)NMR yields of product were determined with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard. \(^d\)The ratio of the monosubstitution to di-substitution was determined by \(^1\)H NMR analysis.

**1.4.2 Reaction condition optimization**

We then sought to increase the conversion by the screening of different additives, which are summarized in Table 3. With the presence of 0.5 equiv of AgOAc as the co-
oxidant, the reaction could reach 100% conversion, giving the substitution product 6a (mixture of mono-OAc and di-OAc, m:d=1:0.8) in excellent yields (85% isolated yields). Notably, no cyclization product was observed under these conditions at all. To the best of our knowledge, the exclusive substitution (for the substrates that gave dominant cyclization reaction with PA, QA and TAA directing groups) revealed the first example of directing group controlled (over substrate controlled) selective C-H functionalization through designated protecting group tuning.

1.4.3 Substrate scope

Various TA-Py amides were prepared to evaluate the reaction scope. The results are summarized in Table 4. Similar to TAA, the TA-Py directing group could effectively promote the Pd catalyzed C-H activation for both sp$^3$ and sp$^2$ C-H bonds. A wide substrate scope was observed with this TA-Py protecting group. For sp$^2$ substrates, reactions occurred selectively on the ortho-C-H over the ortho-halides, including aryl iodide (7c, 7d, 7f). Both EDG (7e) and EWG (7d) modified arenes were suitable under the reaction conditions. Typically, mixtures of mono and di-acetoxylation products were observed when both ortho C-Hs were present. Good yields were obtained for di-substitution in the present of excess oxidants (7m-7o). Excellent regioselectivity was received with the meta-substituted benzene, with substitution occurring at the less hindered carbons (7g-7i).
Table 4. Reaction scope for TA-Py directed sp2 C-H activation<sup>a,e</sup>

![Reaction scheme for TA-Py directed sp2 C-H activation](image)

The reactions were carried out with Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol%), AgOAc (0.5 equiv.) and PhI(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (2.5 equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane at 80°C under Ar, unless otherwise mentioned. <sup>b</sup> Run at 100°C. <sup>c</sup> PhI(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (3 equiv.) was used at 100°C. <sup>d</sup> The ratio of the mono-substitution to di-substitution was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>e</sup> Isolated yield.

The reaction also worked well with sp<sup>3</sup> C-H substrates, giving the desired substitution products in good isolated yields (Table 5). Notably, all these substrates gave the
dominant cyclization products with PA, QA and TAA directing groups while the TA-Py directing group gave no cyclization products in all cases. Similar to TAA, the TA-Py selectively dictated C-H activation at the primary carbon (CH₃) over the secondary carbon (CH₂, 7r). The reaction also gave very good diastereoselectivity as shown in 7p-7r. The stereogenic centers in starting materials did not epimerize under the reaction conditions, which suggests potential applications of this strategy in complex molecule synthesis.

**Table 5. Reaction scope for TA-Py directed sp3 C-H activation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Stereochemistry</th>
<th>Diastereoselectivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7p, 76%</td>
<td>m:d = 3:1</td>
<td>OAc</td>
<td>TA-Py</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7q, 73%</td>
<td>m:d = 5:1</td>
<td>OAc</td>
<td>TA-Py</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7r, 58%</td>
<td>(72% brsm)</td>
<td>OAc</td>
<td>TA-Py</td>
<td>m:d &gt; 10:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7s, 68%</td>
<td>m:d &gt; 10:1</td>
<td>OAc</td>
<td>TA-Py</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[^a]: The reactions were carried out with Pd(OAc)$_2$ (10 mol%), AgOAc (1.5 equiv.) and PhI(OAc)$_2$ (3.0 equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane at 140°C under Ar, unless otherwise mentioned.
[^b]: The ratio of the mono-substitution to di-substitution was determined by $^1$H NMR analysis.
[^c]: Isolated yield.
1.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a directing/protecting group controlled selective substitution or cyclization with designated 1,2,3-triazole in the Pd-catalyzed sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ C-H activation. This work provided the first example of Pd catalyzed C-H activation with the 1,2,3-triazole directing group. The success in the challenging substitution with the TA-Py directing group provided the opportunity for other C-H functionalization through the Pd catalyzed C-H activation by overcoming the inherent cyclization path. In addition, the sequence dependent directing group effect (TA-Py over Py-TA) further emphasized the unique reactivity of 1,2,3-triazoles as ligands in Pd catalyzed reactions, which will open the door for further developments.

This project is collaborated with Dr. Zhengrong He, Tonia Ahmed, Keith Weise, Dr. Novruz G. Akhmedov, and Dr. Jeffrey Petersen. Dr. Zhengrong He, Tonia Ahmed, Keith Weise helped with part of the substrate synthesis. Dr. Petersen helped with the X-ray crystallography study for product 3a. Dr. Akhmedov carried out the NMR analysis.

This project is published on *Chem. Sci.*, **2013**, 4, 3712-3716
Part II

Palladium-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidative C–H Olefination with Removable 1,2,3-Triazole Directing Group

2.1 Introduction

During the last decade, transition metal catalyzed C-H functionalization has been utilized as an efficient approach in complex molecule synthesis. One applicable transformation is the Pd-catalyzed C-H olefination of arene. This method, known as Fujiwara-Moritani oxidative Heck reaction, allows direct installation of alkene functional groups on aromatic rings. However, poor selectivity remains a challenge for this transformation, since selectivity generally relies on the reactivity of different C-H bonds for given substrates. Recently, Yu reported one suitable approach to selective olefination on the C-3 position of pyridine derivatives by employing a bidentate ligand (Scheme 17). However, a large excess of arene (16 equiv.) was required, limiting the potential application.

Scheme 17. Ligand-promoted C-H olefination of pyridines.
On the other hand, directing group (DG) strategies were still a dominant approach for selective C-H activation. Various directing groups have been investigated for C-H olefination, such as OR, COOH and pyridine (Scheme 18).

Scheme 18. Selective C-H olefination using directing groups

As mentioned in the previous part, amine is usually not an effective directing group. An interesting approach is then using a removable amide protecting group for chelation control. Among literature reported examples, picolinic acid (PA) and quinaldic acid (QA) moieties were the most successful precursors. Interestingly, neither compound has been used as directing groups for C-H olefination under oxidative Heck conditions. The only successful example of C-H olefination for protected amine derivatives was the -NHTf system reported by Yu and coworkers (Scheme 19). However, there were some problems associated with this approach. First, excess silver salts (2.5 equiv) were required as oxidant to facilitate the reaction. Second, the reaction proceeded with a low reaction rate (72h under 130 °C). Additionally, Tf is not a good protecting group for amines due to some difficulty in the deprotection process. Thus, a new removable
directing group is highly desired to promote the reaction under “silver-free” oxidative condition.

Scheme 19. Tf amide directed Pd-catalyzed C-H Olefination

2.2 Optimization of 1,2,3-triazole directing group

As discussed in Part I, 1,2,3-triazoles (TA) were demonstrated as a new versatile removable directing group in promoting sp² and sp³ C-H activation. Impressively, the triazole DGs demonstrated excellent chemoselectivity, achieving selective C-N reductive elimination (cyclization) or C-O reductive elimination (substitution) through alternating the triazole DG coordination patterns (TAA vs TA-Py, Figure 20). Unfortunately, under previous standard conditions (PhI(OAc)₂ as the oxidant, 100 °C), no C-H olefination was observed with either the TAA or TA-Py directing group. The presence of acrylate prevented the formation of the undesired cyclization or acetoxylation with complete recovery of starting material. Due to its strong oxidizing ability, PhI(OAc)₂ was commonly involved in Pd(II)-Pd(IV) reaction cycle. Considering the well accepted
Pd(0)-Pd(II) catalytic cycle for an oxidative Heck reaction, we then turned our attention to weaker oxidants.

**Scheme 20. TA-promoted selective C-H activation**

Interestingly, a brief screening of oxidants revealed AgOAc (2.5 equiv) effectively promoted this C-H olefination, giving the desired alkene 8a in good yield (51% conversion and 49% yield). Moreover, cyclization product was not observed even with the bi-dentate TA(Bn) as the directing group under this new condition (AgOAc as oxidant). In fact, the desired C-H olefination product 8b was obtained in 31% yield along with olefination on the triazole C-H bond (Scheme 21-A). Thus, Pd-catalyzed direct triazole C-H activation, which has been reported by Ackermann, Jiang, and others, is the main problem for achieving C-H olefination with good regioselectivity.\(^{25}\) We then postulated that the 4,5-disubstituted triazoles TA(Ph), shown in Scheme 21-B, should be an effective DG to promote this C-H olefination by substituting the reactive triazole C-H bond. As expected, reaction of TA(Ph) modified 11 under the standard condition gave the desired C-H olefination product 12a in excellent yield (94%). This result was crucial
since it confirmed the possibility of using triazole DG to promote C-H activation under Pd(0)-Pd(II) conditions.

Scheme 21. TA-promoted C-H olefination with AgOAc as oxidant

A) TA-promoted C-H olefination: undesired TA-C-H activation

B) Success C-H olefination with modified TA(Ph) directing group

2.3 Selected Optimization of Reaction Conditions

Next, we put our effort into exploring the feasibility of using molecular O₂ as the terminal oxidant to substitute silver salts with the knowledge of a low oxidation potential between Pd(0) and Pd(II). The screening of conditions is summarized in Table 6.
Firstly, the TA(Ph) amide 11 alone could give the desired C-H olefination with O₂ oxidant (entry 2), though in low yield (22%). Addition of HOAc (2.5 equiv) slightly improved the reaction performance (entry 3) with significant amount of 11 leftover. Finally, addition of Cu(OTf)₂ as co-catalysts (10 mol %) led to complete 11 conversion, giving the desired C-H olefination product 12a in excellent isolated yield (95%).²⁸ To the best of our knowledge, this is the first successful example of removable directing group promoted C-H olefination using O₂ as the terminal oxidant.²⁹

### 2.4 Evaluation of other directing groups

Substrates containing other common directing groups were also prepared and evaluated under the same conditions. As shown in Scheme 22, poor yields were obtained in all tested cases, including PA and QA modified substrates. Notably, PA and QA could not promote effective C-H olefination due to the rapid Pd decomposition (formation of palladium black). These results highlighted the unique reactivity of 1,2,3-triazole directing groups in promoting C-H activation. Triazole ester and N-methyl triazole amide gave no reactions, which was consistent with the chelation model (for successful C-H activation) as reported in other similar systems. To evaluate the reaction scope, various TA(Ph) modified substrates were prepared and treated with the optimal conditions.
Table 6. Selected Optimization of Reaction Conditions$^a$

```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>Variations from the 'standard' conditions</th>
<th>Conversion of 11a (%)</th>
<th>Yield of 12a (%)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>w/o Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>w/o HOAc and Cu(OTf)$_2$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>w/o Cu(OTf)$_2$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>w/o HOAc</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CuCl$_2$ instead of Cu(OTf)$_2$</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cu(OAc)$_2$ instead of Cu(OTf)$_2$</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>HOAc as solvent</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Toluene as solvent</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PdCl$_2$ instead of Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>no O$_2$ (Ar protection)</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

$^a$Reaction conditions: 11 (0.1 mmol), Butyl acrylate (0.4 mmol), catalyst, additives and solvent (0.2 M) in 20 mL Schlenk tube with O$_2$ balloon; $^b$Yield was determined by $^1$H NMR using 1,3,5 trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard.

![Diagram of the reaction](image-url)
1.5 Substrate scope

As shown in Table 7, this new TA-directing group tolerated a large number of substrates, furnishing the corresponding olefination in good to excellent yields. Both EDG (13b) and EWG (13c) modified arenes were suitable for this reaction. Notably, substrates with ortho-halides (13c, 13d) could survive the reaction, giving the desired C-H activation (over oxidative addition) in good yields. Good regioselectivity was obtained for the meta-substituted benzenes, with substitution occurring primarily at the less hindered ortho-carbon position (13e-13g). Typically, the selectivity of mono and di-olefination would be poor when both ortho-C–Hs were present (13j and 13k). This problem was overcome through increasing the steric bulk at the benzylic position. As shown in 13l, incorporation of a methyl group effectively improved the m:d selectivity to
>20:1. Meanwhile, using excess amount of alkene (12 equiv.) gave the di-olefination in good yields (13m-13o).

The scope of alkene was also evaluated. Besides acrylate, most of the other activated alkenes, such as, acrylonitrile (14c), acrylamide (14d), vinyl phosphate (14e) and vinyl sulfone (14f) are suitable for this transformation, giving the desired olefins in excellent yields. Impressively, non-active olefins, such as styrene derivatives, could also undergo this transformation with good to excellent yields (14g-14i). However, similar to other reported Heck-type reactions, α or β substituted alkenes failed to give the desired products due to steric hindrance on either alkene insertion or β-hydride elimination steps. Nevertheless, the broad substrate scope, simple reaction conditions and use of atmospheric oxygen as the oxidant highlighted the advantages of this new triazole-directing group in promoting selective C-H olefination.
### Table 7. Substrate Scope for phenylethyl amine derivatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>Product Structure</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td><img src="13a.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>95% A</td>
<td>Condition A: 7.5 mol % Pd(OAc)$_2$, 10 mol % Cu(OTf)$_2$, O$_2$ balloon, 105 °C, 20 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMe</td>
<td><img src="13b.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>94% A</td>
<td>Condition B: 10 mol % Pd(OAc)$_2$, 10 mol % Cu(OTf)$_2$, 1 atm O$_2$ charged in seal tube, 115 °C, 20 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td><img src="13c.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>90% B</td>
<td>Condition C: 15 mol % Pd(OAc)$_2$, 10 mol % Cu(OTf)$_2$, 2.4 mmol n-butyl acrylate, 1 atm O$_2$ charged in seal tube, 115 °C, 20 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td><img src="13d.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>81% A</td>
<td>Isolated yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td><img src="13e.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>91% A</td>
<td>The ratio of mono- and di-substitution was determined by $^1$HNMR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td><img src="13f.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>86% A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td><img src="13g.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>78% B</td>
<td>m:d = 2:1$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td><img src="13h.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>90% A</td>
<td>m:d = 1:0.9$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td><img src="13i.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>86% A</td>
<td>m:d &gt; 20:1$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td><img src="13j.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>91% A</td>
<td>m:d = 1:1$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td><img src="13k.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>87% B</td>
<td>m:d = 1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td><img src="13l.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>86% A</td>
<td>m:d = 0.8:1$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td><img src="13m.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>82% C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td><img src="13n.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>85% C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td><img src="13o.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>84% C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$General reaction conditions: 11 (0.2 mmol), alkene (0.8 mmol), HOAc (5.0 mmol) and catalyst in 1,4-dioxane (1.0 mL), and Condition A: 7.5 mol % Pd(OAc)$_2$ and 10 mol % Cu(OTf)$_2$, O$_2$ balloon, 105 °C, 20 h; Condition B: 10 mol % Pd(OAc)$_2$ and 10 mol % Cu(OTf)$_2$, 1 atm O$_2$ charged in seal tube, 115 °C, 20 h; Condition C: 15 mol % Pd(OAc)$_2$ and 10 mol % Cu(OTf)$_2$, 2.4 mmol n-butyl acrylate, 1 atm O$_2$ charged in seal tube, 115 °C, 20 h. Isolated yield. $^b$The ratio of mono- and di-substitution was determined by $^1$HNMR.
Table 8. Substrate Scope for different alkenes

General reaction conditions: 11 (0.2 mmol), alkene (0.8 mmol), HOAc (5.0 mmol), 7.5 mol % Pd(OAc)$_2$, 10 mol % Cu(OTf)$_2$, 10 mol % HOAc 2.5 equiv, 1 atm O$_2$, 1,4-dioxane (0.2 M), 105 °C, 20 h. Isolated yield.

1.6 Kinetic isotope effect and derivatization

1.6.1 Kinetic isotope effect

Kinetic isotope studies were also performed to probe the reaction mechanism. A primary KIE was observed ($k_H/k_D = 2.1$) as shown in Scheme 23, suggesting C-H activation over a Lewis acid catalyzed Friedel-Craft type mechanism.
Scheme 23. Kinetic isotope effect

\[
\begin{align*}
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\]

\[k_H/k_D = 2.1\]

1.6.2 Derivatization

Removal of TA directing group was demonstrated in Scheme 24-A using the general protocol reported by Chen.\(^{29a}\) The Boc protected alkene-amine was obtained in excellent yield. Finally, treating the resulting acrylate-amide product (3a) with NaH gave the tetrahydroisoquinoine in excellent yield (Scheme 24-B), further highlighting the efficiency and potential application of this method in complex molecules synthesis.

Scheme 24. Derivatization

A) Removal TA directing group

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{OMe} & \quad \text{TA(Ph)} \\
\text{H} & \quad \text{OMe} \\
\rightarrow & \quad \text{OMe} \\
\end{align*}
\]

B) Synthesis of tetrahydroisoquinoine

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{OMe} \\
\text{N} & \quad \text{TA(Ph)} \\
\rightarrow & \quad \text{MeOOC} \\
\end{align*}
\]

90% yield
1.7 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have exposed 1,2,3-triazoles as effective directing groups in promoting aerobic C-H oxidative olefination. This study not only revealed a new efficient and economic approach (using 1 atm O₂ as the terminal oxidant) in achieving selective C-H olefination, but also further affirmed the versatile reactivity of 1,2,3-triazole in promoting metal catalyzed C-H activation. Other synthetic applications using this new TA-directed C-H activation strategy are currently under investigation.

This project is published on Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4448-4451.
Part III

Nickel-catalyzed directed sulfenylation of \( sp^2 \) and \( sp^3 \) C–H bonds

3.1 Introduction

As discussed in the previous section, directing group assisted palladium C-H activation of \( sp^3 \) C-H bonds has been well studied and explored. However, the use of precious late transition metals such as palladium or rhodium presents issues due to the higher cost when compared to first row transition metals. For this reason, a directed C-H activation process involving abundant first row transition metals, such as iron, nickel, and copper, become more attractive. However, the differences in electronic character of first row transition metals, may present further challenges in the C-H activation and functionalization steps. With less electron density presented in first-row transition metals, direct insertion into carbon-hydrogen bonds may be less favored.\(^{30}\) Additionally, the reduced stability of carbon-metal bonds for first row transition metals may lead to uncontrollable and unpredictable reactivity upon C-H activation. In previously reported examples using first row metals, the C-H activation processes are suggested to be more complicated than simple base induced metallation. Single electron mechanisms may also be operable, which potentially leads to C-H activation predicated more strongly on electronic character, rather than chelation control.

To circumvent the issues mentioned earlier, more complex bidentate and tridentate chelating directing groups may be applied. Given the higher oxidation potential of first
row-metals (such as Cu(III), Ni(IV)), the formation of higher valent species for reductive elimination and desired product formation can be difficult. Higher coordination modes brought about by auxiliary tethered DGs offers an opportunity to achieve C-H activation in first row-metals due to: 1) a closer and more intimate interaction of smaller metal-center with the desired CH bond. 2) more rigid and entropically favored metalla-cycle upon C-H activation and 3) a metalla-cycle intermediate more poised for subsequent oxidation (using either electrophilic reaction partner or external oxidant) following C-H activation (Scheme 25). With these benefits brought by bidentate and tridentate chelating directing groups, first-row transition metals may be more effectively utilized in future C-H activation approaches.

Scheme 25. Proposed First-row transition metal catalyzed C-H activation

Recent progress on copper catalyzed/mediated C-H activation with the assistance of a bidentate directing group was illustrated in Scheme 26. Copper has been widely explored as an alterative metal for converting the ortho-C-H bond on benzoic acid derivatives into C-heteroatoms, including F and SCF₃ functional group. However, copper is still not an efficient catalyst towards sp³ C-H bond activation. So far, the only
successful example was reported by Ge and coworkers for C-H amidation, giving β-lactam as major products.\textsuperscript{31}

Meanwhile, iron was also investigated for both sp\textsuperscript{2} and sp\textsuperscript{3} C-H activation in cooperation with Grignard or organozinc reagent, which allows the reaction to proceed under milder condition for C-C bond formation (Scheme 27). One general disadvantage is the functional group tolerance of highly reactive Grignard or organozinc reagents, limiting the substrate scope. The detailed mechanism is still unclear, however, the requirement of a bidentate chelating directing group under these oxidative conditions highlighted the importance of greater saturation around the metal.

Scheme 26. Copper catalyzed/mediated bidentate DG assisted C-H activation
Nickel was always considered as a potential substitute for palladium (same group with d^{10} electron around). Nickel catalyzed directed C-H activation was rarely reported until bidentate directing groups were applied (Scheme 28). Recently, most palladium-mimicked transformations, such as arylation, alkylation, alkenylation, and alkynylation, have been accomplished by nickel towards unactivated C-H bonds (sp^{2} and sp^{3}).
Comparing to previously reported palladium-catalyzed reactions, no silver salt is required as an external oxidant or halide scavenger. The associated limitation is that Thorpe–Ingold effect, which required two more substituents on α-carbon center, is pivotal to enhance reactivity. Besides, the reaction scopes could be extended with other coupling partners, which are not compatible in palladium systems. For example, when internal alkynes are employed, the oxidative cycloaddition will occur, furnishing annulated isoquinolone products.

The detailed mechanism for these transformations is still unclear at this point. This chelation directing group strategy unveiled one potential C-H activation protocol for first-row transition metals, thus, more practical transformation will be expected.
Scheme 28. Selected examples for nickel catalyzed C-H activation

**sp² C-H Arylation**

Chatani, JACS. 2013, 5308.

**sp² C-H Akylation**
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**sp³ C-H Akylation**
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**sp³ C-H Arylation**

Chatani, JACS. 2013, 5308
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3.2 Research objective

3.2.1 C-S bond formation

Organosulfur compounds present significant roles in chemical and biological research. Therefore, effective formation of a C-S bond is a synthetically important transformation (Scheme 29).

Scheme 29. Five of top selling drugs in the USA in 2013 contain sulfur

Previously, the cross coupling approach between aryl-halide and thiol/disulfide has been achieved for the sp² C-S bond construction under special conditions (solvents, catalyst and ligand) with limited reaction scope (Scheme 30).³² The biggest concern is the coordination ability of sulfur atoms toward various metal cations, thus leading to
potential poisoning of the catalyst. Thus, new approaches that can efficiently construct C-S bonds are always highly desirable.

Scheme 30. C-S formation through cross-coupling

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R} \quad \text{X} &\quad + \quad \text{RSH or RSSR} &\quad \Rightarrow &\quad \text{SR} \\
&\quad [\text{M}] &\quad \Rightarrow &\quad [\text{M}] = \text{Pd, Rh, Ir, Cu, Fe} \\
\quad &\quad \text{R} \quad = \quad \text{alkyl or aryl}
\end{align*}
\]

3.2.2 C-S bond formation through C-H activation

Directed C-H sulfenylation offers high efficiency and good atom economy (by avoiding the usage of aryl halides), though challenges still arise.\textsuperscript{33} These issues are manifested by the strong coordination between metal and sulfur, potentially inhibiting C-H activation and or catalyst turnover.

In 2006, Yu and co-workers developed the first directed C-H sulfenylation by coupling 2-phenylpyridine with disulfide or thiol precursors.\textsuperscript{34} One limitation of this reaction is the use of a stoichiometric amount of copper (Scheme 31-A). Nevertheless, this reaction provides insight for reaching the catalytic C-H sulfenylation by first-row transition metals. More recently, directed C-H sulfenylation was further developed and accomplished with precious transition metals like Rh and Pd.\textsuperscript{35} The directing group is limited to N-containing heterocyclic rings in these cases. Also, other metal salts and ligand additives are required, which makes this transformation less practical. (Scheme 31-B). Thus, an efficient and economical approach for catalytic C-H sulfenylation with abundant first-row transition metals is in high demand.
Very recently, Daugulis and co-workers reported a successful example of sp² C-H sulfonylation using 8-aminoquinoline (Q) moiety as the chelate directing group with Cu(OAc)₂ as catalyst precursor (up to 50% loading). However, under their optimal conditions, sp³ C-H sulfonylation did not occur (< 15% yield) when using 1 equiv. of Cu(OAc)₂ (Scheme 32). Moreover, disulfides were required as the sulfur source/oxidant, decreasing atom economy with limited scope. Inspired by previous works, we wanted to forge this bidentate directing group strategy into a general protocol for C-H sulfonylation of both sp³ and sp² C-H bonds under catalytic conditions. Additionally, thiols are enlisted as the sulfur source.

Scheme 32. Cu catalyzed C-H sulfenylation: Challenge for sp$^3$ C-H

3.3 Evaluation of directing groups

To explore the possibility of sp$^3$ C-H sulfenylation, we turned our attention to nickel complexes. Nickel catalysis is known for its versatile reactivity including an operable and rapid single electron transfer (SET). During the past several years, nickel catalysis has received increasing attention due to the promising reactivity toward directed sp$^3$ C-H functionalization. This is evidenced through several examples reported in the literature for C-C and C-N formation. To explore the feasibility of directed C-H sulfenylation, we prepared various amide (containing different directing groups) and charged them with nickel catalysts under different conditions. The results are summarized in Scheme 33.

As shown in Scheme 33, substrates with various literature-reported directing groups were prepared, including quinoline (18a), pyridine (18b), Yu–Wasa auxiliary (18c) and 1,2,3-triazole (18d). In Daugulis’ previous report, DMSO was revealed to be the optimal solvent for sp$^2$ C–H sulfenylation. One plausible reason was the inherent redox stabilization ability of DMSO towards thiol-disulfide interconversion. However, with a nickel catalyst, no sp$^3$ C–H activation was observed using DMSO as solvent under various conditions. Interestingly, the only condition giving the desired sp$^3$ C–H
sulfonylation was the reaction of the quinoline directing group modified substrate 18a in DMF with LiOtBu as the base (50% yield, 58% conversion). Notably, both the choice of solvent and base are crucial: using other solvents (DMSO, DCE or MeCN) with LiOtBu or using other bases (Cs₂CO₃, KOTBu or NaOTBu) in DMF gave significantly lower reactivity. Moreover, the quinoline substrate 18a gave significantly better results than other directing groups.

Scheme 33. Evaluation of directing groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cat.</th>
<th>DG</th>
<th>conditions</th>
<th>results convn.</th>
<th>yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiCl₂(DME) 20%</td>
<td>18a</td>
<td>DMF, LiO-tBu (5 eq), 12 h</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

alternation from above conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cat.</th>
<th>DG</th>
<th>conditions</th>
<th>results convn.</th>
<th>yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NiCl₂(DME) 50%</td>
<td>18a-18c</td>
<td>solvent: DMSO, DCE or CH₃CN</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCl₂(DME) 50%</td>
<td>18a-18c</td>
<td>base: Cs₂CO₃, NaO-tBu, KOTBu</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCl₂(DME) 20%</td>
<td>18b</td>
<td>DMF, LiO-tBu (5 eq), 12 h</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCl₂(DME) 20%</td>
<td>18c</td>
<td>DMF, LiO-tBu (5 eq), 12 h</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiCl₂(DME) 20%</td>
<td>18d</td>
<td>DMF, LiO-tBu (5 eq), 12 h</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notably, complete conversion of starting materials was observed with 1,2,3-triazole modified substrate 18d, though rather complex reaction mixtures were obtained. Investigation of other triazole-directing groups are currently undergoing in the group.

### 3.3 Reaction condition optimization

Based on these preliminary results, we conducted detailed condition screening. As shown in Table 9, under the optimal conditions with Ni(OTf)$_2$ as a catalyst precursor, LiO'Bu as base, DMF as solvent and with argon protection, the desired sp$^3$ C–H sulfenylation product 19a was obtained in 86% isolated yields. Interestingly, reaction parameters under optimal conditions seemed to be crucial. First, under the identical conditions, other tested metal complexes, including Cu(OAc)$_2$, CuI, Pd(OAc)$_2$, [Rh(COD)Cl]$_2$, RuCl$_3$, and Fe(OTf)$_3$, gave little reactivity with less than 10% C–H sulfenylation products obtained (entry 2). Similarly, both DMF and LiO'Bu were critical for the reaction performance (entries 3–5); Ni(OTf)$_2$ gave the best results when compared with other nickel salts (entries 6 and 7). The reaction could not reach total completion without argon protection (entry 8), suggesting the decomposition of nickel catalysts under an air atmosphere over time. Finally, the addition of previously reported assisting ligands (dppbz and MesCOOH, entries 9 and 10) did not show improvement. Considering the strict reliance on DMF as the optimal solvent and lithium base, it suggested the formation of Ni–DMF complexes under the reaction conditions, which served as the actual catalysts in promoting the reaction. Decreasing nickel catalyst loading or reducing the amount of disulfide caused lower yields due to incomplete reaction over time (entries 11 and 12). Interestingly, thiophenol also gave 15% yield under optimal conditions, suggesting the
importance of oxidants involved in the catalytic cycle. Inspired by this result, coupling with thiophenol was explored. As shown in entry 14, the reaction of thiophenol could not reach a full conversion (56%) under air and significant product decomposition was observed. To our delight, electron-deficient benzenethiol exhibits better performance with 83% yield (100% conversion). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example that successfully achieved sp\(^3\) C–H sulfenylation with disulfide or thiol in excellent yields.
### Table 9. Selected conditions on Ni catalyzed sp³ C-H sulfenylation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>Variations from the “standard” conditions</th>
<th>yield (convn.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>88% (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Other catalysts (100%), including Cu(OAc)₂, CuI, Pd(OAc)₂, [Rh(COD)Cl]₂, RuCl₃, Fe(OTf)₃ et al.</td>
<td>&lt;5% (&lt;10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Other solvents, including DMSO, CH₃CN, DMA</td>
<td>&lt;20% (&lt;30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NaO'Bu as base</td>
<td>&lt;5% (&lt;5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>KO'Bu as base</td>
<td>9% (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NiCl₂(DME) 20 % as cat.</td>
<td>84% (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ni(acac)₂ 20 % as cat.</td>
<td>82% (91%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Under air, no argon</td>
<td>75% (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Air, Ni(OTf)₂ + dppbz</td>
<td>70% (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Air, Ni(OTf)₂ + MesCOOH</td>
<td>78% (88%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.5 equiv PhSSPh</td>
<td>56% (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10% Ni(OTf)₂</td>
<td>52% (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>PhSH 2.5 equiv.</td>
<td>15% (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>PhSH under Air</td>
<td>50% (56%) b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>o-FPhSH, under Air</td>
<td>83% (100%) b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: la (0.2 mmol), PhSSPh (2.5 equiv.), Ni(OTf)₂ (20 mol%), Base (5 equiv.) and additive (if applicable) in dry DMF (0.4 mL), Ar; b ArSH (4 equiv.), LiOrBu (7 equiv.) was used; c The yield was determined by ¹H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.
3.4 Substrate scope

3.4.1 Substrate scope of Ni catalyzed sp³ C-H sulfenylation

With this optimal condition in hand, we embarked on the evaluation of the reaction substrate scope.

Table 10. Reaction scope of Ni catalyzed sp³ C-H sulfenylation with disulfides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction conditions: 1a (0.3 mmol), PhSSPh (2.5 equiv.), Ni(OTf)₂ (20 mol%), LiO'Bu (5 equiv.) in dry DMF (0.5M), Ar, 120 °C. Isolated yield.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>20a</strong>, 86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20b</strong>, 74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20c</strong>, 87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20d</strong>, 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20e</strong>, 71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20f</strong>, 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20g</strong>, 88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20h</strong>, 72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20i</strong>, 63% (85%brsm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20j</strong>, 80% m.d=2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No conversion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 10, the quinoline directing group effectively promoted sp³ C–H bond activation and furnished the sulfenylation products in good yields. In general, the reaction targeted methyl C–H (CH₃) over methylene (CH₂), even in the presence of
benzylic CH$_2$ (20d). Similarly, 1-methyl-cyclobutane derivatives gave the desired sulfonylation product with 20i without C-H sulfonylation on the ring. Notably, substrates with cyclopropane on the side chain could also tolerate this transformation (20e) without ring opening. Moderate selectivity was observed when two methyl groups were present (formation of a mixture of mono and disulfenylation, 20j). The Thorpe–Ingold effect was also necessary for the transformation. The transformation is targeting exclusively on β-C-H, since γ-CH will not be activated even with more reactive sp$^2$ C-H bonds.

Table 11. Reaction scope of Ni-catalyzed sp$^3$ C-H sulfonylation with thiophenols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction conditions: amide (0.3 mmol), Ni(OTf)$_2$ (20 mol%), ArSH (4 equiv.), LiO'Bu (7 equiv.) in dry DMF (0.5M), Air, 120 °C. Isolated yield.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21a, 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21e, 81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: amide (0.3 mmol), Ni(OTf)$_2$ (20 mol%), ArSH (4 equiv.), LiO'Bu (7 equiv.) in dry DMF (0.6 mL), Air, 120 °C. Isolated yield.

Considering the obvious practical advantages, we then explored various thiols (instead of disulfides) as the sulfur source. Most EWG substituted thiophenols gave good
yields (21a, 21b, 21d–21f). Lower yields were observed with electron rich thiolphenols (21g) and ortho-CF₃ thiolphenols (21c). Reactions with alkyl thiol or disulfide did not proceed under these conditions, even with 1 equiv of the nickel catalyst precursor being used. Nevertheless, even with some limitation, this method provides the first successful example to achieve sp³ C–H sulfenylation.

3.4.2 Substrate scope of Ni catalyzed sp² C-H sulfenylation

Although the exact reason for significantly better results using the combination of DMF and LiOÎBu is unclear, this new condition could presumably be extended to the sp² C–H sulfenylation. Furthermore, the application of thiophenol as the sulfur source greatly extended the reaction scope. After a brief screening, a general condition was revealed with air-stable NiCl₂(DME) as a catalyst precursor (10 mol%) at 100 °C under ambient pressure. Under this mild condition, good to excellent yield of sp² C–H sulfenylation was achieved.

The substrate scope is summarized in Table 12. First, both EWG and EDG substituted benzenes were suitable for this reaction. Good regioselectivity was observed for the meta-substituted benzenes (22c and 22d) with sulfenylation occurring on the less hindered C–H bond. Interestingly, a reversed regioselectivity was obtained with m-Cl and m-F substituted benzene (22e and 22f ), leading to C–S bond formation at the adjacent C–H bond (confirmed by X-ray crystallography). The exact reason for this unusual regioselectivity is currently under investigation. The selectivity between mono- and di-sulfenylation was poor as other reported cases. In those cases, the di-sulfenylation products were achieved with good yields (22m–22o) with an increasing amount of
thiophenol/LiO^t^Bu. Notably, this strategy was also suitable for di-sulfenylation of meta-substituted benzene (22k and 22l). Thiophene was also suitable for this reaction with C–S products formed in good yield (22i).

Table 12. Reaction scope for sp^2^ C-H sulfenylation with benzoic acid derivatives^a^

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Reaction Conditions</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhSH</td>
<td>NiCl_2(DME) 10 mol%, LiO^t^Bu 5 equiv. in DMF (0.5M), 100 °C, Air</td>
<td>22a, 89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22a</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22b</td>
<td>CF_3</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22c</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22d</td>
<td>F_3C</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22e</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22f</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22g</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22h</td>
<td>F_3C</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22i</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22j</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22k</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22l</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22m</td>
<td>F_3C</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22n</td>
<td>MeO</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22o</td>
<td>MeO</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22p</td>
<td>SPh</td>
<td>O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a^Reaction conditions: amide (0.3 mmol), ArSH (2.2 equiv.), NiCl_2(DME) (10 mol%), LiO^t^Bu (5 equiv.) in dry DMF (0.6 mL), Air, 100 °C. Isolated Yield. ^b^ ArSH (4.0 equiv.), NiCl_2(DME) (15 mol%), LiO^t^Bu (7 equiv.) was used, 110 °C.
The scope of thiols was also evaluated in Table 13. Besides thiophenol, both electron-rich and electron-deficient benzenethiols were tolerated, giving promising yields. Notably, with halides present on the benzene ring, the C–S bond formation occurred over oxidative addition (23h, 23j). Diphenylselenium (23m) was examined and gave the desired products in good yields. The challenging aliphatic thiols showed almost no reactivity under standard conditions. Remarkably, using aliphatic disulfide and 50% NiCl₂(DME), the reactions proceeded well and the desired products (23n–23p) were produced in good yields. These results clearly highlighted the significantly improved reactivity of this newly discovered catalytic system in C–H sulfenylation.

A gram-scale synthesis was carried out as shown in Scheme 34, which showcased the robust nature of this new method.

Scheme 34. Gram-scale synthesis

3.5 Mechanism investigation

3.5.1 Radical scavenger experiment

To understand the reaction mechanism, we first performed a radical trapping experiment (Scheme 35). TEMPO (3 equiv.) did not influence the reaction efficiency. This result suggested that a single electron transfer (SET) process was likely not involved.
Table 13. Reaction scope for sp² C-H sulfenylation with thiolphenols⁶,⁷

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RSH</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PhSH</td>
<td>23a</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF₃SH</td>
<td>23b</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeO</td>
<td>23c</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23d</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>23e</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23f</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23g</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23h</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SePh</td>
<td>23i</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23j</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23k</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23l</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23m</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23n</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23o</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>23p</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁶ Reaction conditions: 1a (0.3 mmol), ArSH (2.2 equiv.), NiCl₂(DME) (10 mol%), LiO'Bu (5 equiv.) in dry DMF (0.6 mL), Air, 100 °C. ⁷ Isolated yield. ⁸ 120 °C. ⁹ Disulfide 1.5 equiv. and 50 mol% NiCl₂(DME) was used.

Scheme 35. Radical scavenger experiment
3.5.2 Mercury poison experiment

Mercury metal could inhibit the reactivity of heterogeneous catalyst by forming an alloy, while unaffected the homogeneous catalysis process. Thus, a mercury poison experiment was tested. As shown in Scheme 36, mercury poisoning was done at the starting point (t = 0) and/or at some reaction point (t = 25 min) and showed no quenching of reactions, indicating a homogenous nickel catalytic process.

Scheme 36. Mercury poison experiment

3.5.3 Competition experiment

The competition experiment between different arenes gave exclusively sulfenylation on electron-deficient aromatic rings (Scheme 37). This result strongly suggests that C–H bond cleavage might depend largely on acidity.
Scheme 37. **Competition experiment**

\[
\text{Me} \quad \text{C} \quad \text{N} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text{F} \\
\text{Q}
\end{array}
\text{H} + \text{PhSSPh} \\
\text{PhSSPh} \\
0.5 \text{equiv}
\xrightarrow{\text{NiCl}_2(\text{DME}) \text{ 10 mol\% LiOtBu 5 equiv.}}
\text{DMF(0.5M), 100 °C} \\
\text{Air, 5h}
\xrightarrow{\text{only Product}}
\text{86%}
\]

Scheme 38. **Proposed reaction mechanism**
3.5.4 Plausible Mechanism

Based on the evidence above, the proposed catalytic cycle was shown in Scheme 38. After the nickel coordination due to the presence of the directing group (quinoline amide), the C-H activation occurs with the assistance of strong base. Presumably, the disulfide performed as a true oxidant in promoting either Ni(I)/Ni(III) or Ni(II)/Ni(IV) catalytic cycle under disulfide/Ar conditions.\(^{41}\) Interestingly, the thiol/air system gave faster reaction kinetics than the disulfide reactions. Detailed mechanism is still unclear and the investigations are currently underway in our lab.
3.6 Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a general synthesis of substituted sulfide carboxylic acid derivatives by directed C–H sulfinylation. The efficient C–S bond formation was enabled through homogenous nickel catalysis with C–H bond activation on both sp² and sp³ carbon atoms. Furthermore, this study revealed a new efficient and economical approach towards sulfur containing product formation (using thiol directly), highlighting the potential application of the former in biological and pharmaceutical sciences. Such investigations are currently underway in our laboratory.

This project is collaborated with Dr. Jeffrey Petersen. Dr. Petersen helped with the X-ray crystallography study for product 22a, 22e

This project is published on Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 7863-7866
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Part V.

Experiment Section
Section A: General Methods and Materials

All of the reactions dealing with air and/or moisture-sensitive reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen using oven/flame-dried glassware and standard syringe/septa techniques. Unless otherwise noted, all commercial reagents and solvents were obtained from the commercial provider and used without further purification. $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on Varian 600 MHz spectrometers and Agilent 400 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts were reported relative to internal tetramethylsilane ($\delta$ 0.00 ppm) or CDCl$_3$ ($\delta$ 7.26 ppm) for $^1$H and CDCl$_3$ ($\delta$ 77.16 ppm/77.00 ppm) for $^{13}$C. Flash column chromatography was performed on 230-430 mesh silica gel. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed with precoated glass baked plates (250µ) and visualized by fluorescence and by charring after treatment with potassium permanganate stain. HRMS were recorded on LTQ-FTUHRA spectrometer and Thermo Q-Exactive MS.
Section B: General Experiment and Procedures

Part I. 1,2,3-Triazoles as versatile directing group for selective sp² and sp³ C–H activation: cyclization vs substitution

Preparation of Triazole/Triazole-Pyridine acid

General procedure for the preparation of Triazole acid (TAA-1)

\[
\text{O} + \text{N}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{CuSO}_4 5 \text{ mol\%}, \text{Sodium ascorbate 10 mol\%}} \text{t-BuOH: H}_2\text{O= 1:1, rt, 24h} \rightarrow \text{TAE-1} \xrightarrow{\text{LiOH (2M)}} \text{TAA-1}
\]

Synthesis of triazole ester TAE-1: A mixture of 1-azido-4-benzylbenzene (1.0 equiv.), methyl propiolate (1.05 equiv.), CuSO₄ (0.05 equiv.) and sodium ascorbate (0.1 equiv.) were stirred in t-BuOH/H₂O (1:1, 0.2 M) at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (about 12 h), the saturated NH₄Cl was added. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified through silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 4/1) to give triazole ester TAE-1 (yield: 95%) as white solid.

Synthesis of triazole acid TAA-1: To a solution of triazole ester (1.0 equiv.) in THF/MeOH/H₂O (1:1:1 mixture, 0.2 M), was added LiOH (2 equiv., 2M) at room temperature. and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (6 h), HCl (1M) was added to acidify the solution. The white participation was then collected by Büchner funnel and washed by water to afford Triazole acid TAA-1 (yield: 97%).
General procedure for the preparation of Triazole acid(TAA-2)

The syntheses of 1-azido-4-methoxybenzene were followed according to procedures described in literature: Zhu, W.; Ma, D., *Chem. Comm.* 2004, 7, 888.

**Synthesis of triazole ester TAE-2:** A mixture of 1-azido-4-methoxy benzene (1.0 equiv.), methyl propiolate (1.05 equiv.), CuSO$_4$ (5 mol%) and sodium ascorbate (10 mol%) were stirred in $t$-BuOH/H$_2$O (1:1, 0.2 M) at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (about 12 h), the saturated NH$_4$Cl was added. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO$_4$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified through silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 3/1) to give triazole ester TAE-2 (yield: 94%) as yellow oil.

**Synthesis of triazole acid TAA-2:** To a solution of triazole ester (1.0 equiv.) in THF/MeOH/H$_2$O (1:1:1 mixture, 0.2 M), was added LiOH (2 equiv., 2M) at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (6 h), HCl (1M) was added to acidify the solution. The mixture was extracted with DCM, and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO$_4$, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated. The obtained brown solid was then recrystallized using DCM/Hexane to afford Triazole acid TAA-2 (yield: 90%).
General procedure for the preparation of 5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylic acid (TA-Py)

Synthesis of triazole ester E: To a solution of methyl 3-phenylpropiolate (1.0 equiv.) in DMSO (0.2 M), was added NaN₃ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 °C and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (6 h), HCl (1M) was added to acidify the pH to 4. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was recrystallized using DCM/Hexane to afford TAE (yield: 90%) as white solid.

The syntheses of Triazole Pyridine ester TAPyE were followed according to procedures described in literature: Yan, W.; Wang, Q.; Lin, Q.; Li, M.; Petersen, J. L.; Shi, X. Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 5011. (Yield: 50%, 80% brsm, yellow solid)

The hydrolysis of Triazole Pyridine ester TAPyE were followed General procedure A to afford Triazole Pyridine acid TA-Py (yield: 90%) as white solid.

General procedure for the preparation of Triazole-Pyridine acid TAPyA
The syntheses of Triazole Pyridine ester TAPyE were followed according to procedures described in literature: Yan, W.; Wang, Q.; Lin, Q.; Li, M.; Petersen, J. L.; Shi, X. Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 5011. (N2: N1 isomer: 1: 3, Yield of N2 isomer TAPyE: 30% as white solid)

The hydrolysis of Triazole Pyridine ester PyTAE were followed General procedure A to afford Triazole Pyridine acid Py-TA (yield: 94%) as white solid.

**Preparation of Triazole/Triazole-Pyridine amide substrates**

The syntheses of corresponding amine 4d, 4e, 4n, 7d, 7e, and 7n were followed according to procedures described in literature as below


**General procedure for the preparation of Triazole/Trizaole-Pyridine acid based amide substrates.**
A mixture of triazole/triazole-pyridine acid (1.0 equiv.), amine (1.2 equiv.), EDC·HCl (1.2 equiv.), HOBT (1.2 equiv.) and Et3N (1.2 equiv.) were stirred in anhydrous DCM (0.1M) at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (about 12-24 h), the water was added. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO4, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified through silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = 1:2, V/V) to give desired amide product in 80-95%.

**General procedure for Pd-catalyzed intramolecular amindation**

**Procedure A:** Synthesis of indolines via Pd-catalyzed intramolecular amindation.

A 20 mL screw-cap vial was charged with the triazole amide (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), Pd(OAc)2 (0.015mmol, 5 mol%), Phl(OAc)2 (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in 3 mL anhydrous DCE. The vial was purged with Ar and placed in a preheated metal block at 80 °C. After
the reaction was completed (24 h), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 4:1, V/V) to give desired product.

**Procedure B:** Synthesis of Azetidines via Pd-catalyzed intramolecular amidation.

![Chemical Structure](image)

A 20 mL sealed tube was charged with the triazole amide (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), Pd(OAc)$_2$ (0.015 mmol, 5 mol%) , Phl(OAc)$_2$ (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and AcOH (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in 3 mL anhydrous DCE. The vial was purged with Ar and placed in a preheated metal block at 120°C. After the reaction was completed (24 h), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 2:1, V/V) to give desired product.

**General procedure for Pd-catalyzed Acetoxylation.**

**Procedure C:** Pd-catalyzed acetoxylation of δ-C(sp2)-H bonds

![Chemical Structure](image)
A 20 mL screw-cap vial was charged with the triazole-pyridine amide (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), Pd(OAc)$_2$ (0.03 mmol, 10 mol%) , PhI(OAc)$_2$ (0.75 mmol, 2.5 equiv) and AgOAc (0.15 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) in 6 mL anhydrous DCE. The tube was stirred at 80 °C. After the reaction was completed (24 h), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 2 : 1, V/V) to give desired acetoxylation product.

**Procedure D:** Pd-catalyzed acetoxylation of γ-C(sp3)-H bond

A 20 mL sealed tube was charged with the triazole- pyridine amide (0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), Pd(OAc)$_2$ (0.03 mmol, 10 mol%) , PhI(OAc)$_2$ (0.75 mmol, 2.5 euqiv) and AcOH(0.45 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in 8 mL anhydrous DCE. The tube was stirred at 140 °C. After the reaction was completed (24 h), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 2:1, V/V) to give desired acetoxylation product.
Part II. Palladium-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidative C–H Olefination with Removable 1,2,3-Triazole Directing Group

Preparation of Triazole acid

\[
\text{OMe} + \text{Ph} + \text{MeO} \rightarrow \text{Ph} + \text{Ph} + \text{MeO} \rightarrow \text{Ph} + \text{Ph} + \text{MeO}
\]

General procedure for the preparation of Triazole acid

**Synthesis of triazole ester TAN1:** A mixture of methyl 3-phenylpropiolate (1.0 equiv.), Benzyl azide (1.5 equiv.) were stirred in DMSO (0.2 M) at 80 °C and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (about 12 h), the saturated NH₄Cl was added. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified through silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 4/1) to give triazole ester TAN1: TAN3 =1.5: 1 (yield: 85%).

**Synthesis of triazole acid TAAN1:** To a solution of triazole ester (1.0 equiv.) in THF/MeOH/H₂O (1:1:1 mixture, 0.2 M), was added LiOH (2 equiv., 2M) at room temperature, and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (6 h), HCl (2M) was added to acidify the solution. The white participation was then collected by Büchner funnel and washed by water to afford Triazole acid TAAN1 (yield: 97%) without further purification.
Preparation of Triazole amide substrates

General procedure for the preparation of Triazole acid based amide substrates.

A mixture of triazole acid TAAN1 (1.0 equiv.), amine (1.2 equiv.), EDC HCl (1.2 equiv.), HOBT (1.2 equiv.) and DMAP (10 mol%) were stirred in anhydrous DCM (0.1M) at room temperature and monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (about 12-24 h), the water was added. The mixture was extracted with DCM (3 x 20 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO4, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified through silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = 1:2, V/V) to give desired amide product in 80-95%.

General procedure for Pd-catalyzed Olefination

Condition A: A 20 mL schlenk tube was charged with the triazole amide (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), alkene (0.8 mmol, 4.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)$_2$ (0.015 mmol, 7.5 mol %), Cu(OTf)$_2$ (0.02 mmol, 10 mol %) and HOAc (0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in 1 mL anhydrous 1,4-Dioxane. The tube was placed under vacuum and purged with O$_2$ balloon. The reaction was run at 105 °C for 20 h. After the reaction was completed, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give desired olefination product.
**Condition B:** A 20 mL seal tube was charged with the triazole amide (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), alkene (0.8 mmol, 4.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)$_2$ (0.02 mmol, 10 mol %), Cu(OTf)$_2$ (0.02 mmol, 10 mol %) and HOAc (0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in 1 mL anhydrous 1,4-Dioxane. The tube was placed under vacuum and purged with O$_2$ twice. The reaction was run at 115 °C for 20 h. After the reaction was completed (20 h), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give desired olefination product.

**Condition C:** A 20 mL seal tube was charged with the triazole amide (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv), alkene (2.4 mmol, 12 equiv), Pd(OAc)$_2$ (0.03 mmol, 15 mol %), Cu(OTf)$_2$ (0.02 mmol, 10 mol %) and HOAc (0.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in 1 mL anhydrous 1,4-Dioxane. The tube was placed under vacuum and purged with O$_2$ twice. The reaction was run at 115 °C for 20 h. After the reaction was completed (20 h), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give desired olefination product.

**Kinetic Isotope Effect Experiments**

KIE ($K_{H}/K_{D}$) was determined based on parallel reactions of compound 11ba and compound 11bd with butylacrylate under the general condition A. The reaction mixture was quenched after 3h and analyzed by $^1$H NMR. The $K_{H}/K_{D}$ was determined by the ratio of $^1$H NMR yield of 13b. $k_{H}/k_{D} \sim 2.1$.

**General procedure for the synthesis of tetrahydroisoquinoine**
To the solution of 13a (0.4 mmol) in dry THF (4 mL) at 0°C, NaH (0.8 mmol) was added slowly. The mixture was initially stirred at 0°C for another 15 min and then at ambient temperature, monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed (about 3 h), the water was added. The mixture was extracted with DCM (2 x 10 mL), and the combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried with anhydrous MgSO4, and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified through silica gel flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/hexane = 1:2, V/V) to give desired amide product in 90%.
Part III. Nickel-catalyzed directed sulfonylation of sp\(^2\) and sp\(^3\) C–H bonds

**General Procedure for preparation of sp\(^2\) starting materials**

![Chemical reaction image]

To the solution of carboxylic acid (10 mmol) and 10 drops of DMF in 30mL dry DCM at 0 °C, oxalyl chloride (20 mmol) was added dropwise under Ar. The mixture was then warm to r.t and stirred for another 5h. The solvent was removed under vacuum to give crude acid chloride, which was used directly for next step without further purification.

To the mixture of 8 aminoquinoline (10 mmol) and Et\(_3\)N (12 mmol) in dry DCM (30 mL) at 0 °C, the crude acid chloride obtained from previous step in 20 mL dry DCM was added dropwise. The mixture was then warm to r.t and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\). The mixture was extracted, washed with saturated NaHCO\(_3\) solution. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO\(_4\)), and concentrated in vacuum and then purified by silica gel chromatography with a mixture of hexanes and ethyl acetate as the eluent to afford the corresponding amide products.

**General Procedure for preparation of sp\(^3\) starting materials**

![Chemical reaction image]

The LDA solution was prepared freshly, by adding 2.5 M n-BuLi in hexane (10 mmol) into the THF solution (30 mL) of diisopropylamine (10 mmol) at -78 °C under Ar
atmosphere. The ester (10 mmol) was then added at -78 °C. After stirring at same temperature for another 1h, Alkyl halide (15 mmol) was then added. The mixture was warmed to r.t. and stirred overnight. The reaction was carefully quenched with the NH₄Cl solution. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuum, affording the crude ester. The crude ester used directly for next step without further purification.

NaOH (4M, 10 mL) was then adding into a solution of crude ester in 20mL MeOH. The mixture was then stirred at 60 °C overnight. The reaction was carefully acidified with 2M HCl. The aqueous phase was extracted with ether and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuum, affording the crude acid. The crude acid used directly for next step without further purification.

To the solution of carboxylic acid (10 mmol) and 10 drops of DMF in 30mL dry DCM at 0 °C, oxalyl chloride (20 mmol) was added dropwise under Ar. The mixture was then warm to r.t and stired for another 5h. The solvent was removed under vacuum to give crude acid chlorid, which was used directly for next step without further purification.

To the mixture of 8 aminoquinoline (10 mmol) and Et₃N (12 mmol) in dry DCM (30 mL) at 0 °C, the crude acid chloride obtained from previous step in 20 mL dry DCM was added dropwise. The mixture was then warm to r.t and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with H₂O. The mixture was extracted, washed with saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuum and then purified by silica gel chromatography with a mixture of hexanes and ethyl acetate as the eluent to afford the corresponding amide products.

**General Procedure for sp² C-H Sulfenylation.**

A 10 mL tube was charged with the amides (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), LiOtBu (1.5 mmol, 5.0 equiv.) and NiCl₂(DME) (0.03 mmol, 10 mol%) in 0.6 mL anhydrous DMF. The benzenethiol (0.66 mmol, 2.2 equiv.) was then added into mixture slowly. After stirring
at r.t for 15 min, the mixture was then heated at 100 °C. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After the reaction was completed, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 15 : 1, V/V) to give desired sulfonylation product.

**General Procedure for Sp³ C-H Sulfonylation.**

![Reaction Scheme](image)

**Condition A:** A 10 mL sealed tube was charged with the amides (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), LiOtBu (1.5 mmol, 5.0 equiv.) and Ni(OTf)₂ (0.06 mmol, 20 mol%) in 0.6 mL anhydrous DMF. The disulfide (0.75 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) was then added into mixture slowly. The mixture was purged, protected under Ar, and then heated under 120 °C. After the reaction was completed (20h), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 15 : 1, V/V) to give desired sulfonylation product.

**Condition B:** A 10 mL sealed tube was charged with the amides (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), LiOtBu (2.1 mmol, 7.0 equiv.) and NiCl₂(DME) (0.06 mmol, 20 mol%) in 0.6 mL anhydrous DMF. The disulfide (1.2 mmol, 4 equiv.) was then added into mixture dropwise. The mixture was first stirred at r.t for 15 min, and then heated under 120 °C. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of the reaction (5-6 h), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate/hexane = 15 : 1, V/V) to give desired sulfonylation product.
**Competition experiment.**

To a mixture of benzamide 22ca (0.20 mmol), 22fa (0.20 mmol), phenyldisulfide (0.10 mmol), NiCl₂(DME) (10.0 mol %) and LiOt-Bu (1.00 mmol) was added DMF (0.4 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 4 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was passed through a silica pad and washed by EtOAc. 1, 3, 5 trimethoxybenzene was then added as internal standard. The mixture was concentrated under vacuum and analyzed by ¹HNMR.
Section C: Compound Characterization

Part I. 1,2,3-Triazoles as versatile directing group for selective sp² and sp³ C–H activation: cyclization vs substitution

1-benzyl-N-phenethyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (2a) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.41-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.32-7.27 (m, 4H), 7.24-7.20 (m, 3H), 7.18-7.17 (m, br, 1H), 5.54 (s, 2H), 3.71-3.68 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.92-2.90 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 160.1, 140.5, 138.8, 133.9, 129.4, 129.3, 128.9, 128.8, 128.4, 126.7, 125.3, 54.7, 40.5, 36.0; HRMS Calculated for C₁₈H₁₇N₄O [M+H]⁺: 307.1554, Found: 307.1553.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(indolin-1-yl)methanone (3a) was prepared following the general procedure A, and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 83%; ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.30-8.28 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (s, 1H), 7.42-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.33-7.31 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.21 (m, 2H), 7.08-7.06 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (s, 1H), 4.81-4.78 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.25-3.23 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.1, 145.9, 143.4, 133.9, 132.4, 129.4, 129.2,
128.6, 128.5, 127.5, 124.8, 124.6, 118.0, 55.6, 50.3, 28.8; HRMS Calculated for C\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{15}N\textsubscript{4}O [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 305.1397, Found: 305.1397.

\begin{center}
\includegraphics[width=0.2\textwidth]{4a.png}
\end{center}

\textbf{(S)-methyl-2-(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)-3-phenylpropanoate (4a')} was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.53-7.51 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.26 (m, 4H), 7.25-7.22 (m, 1H), 7.18-7.17 (m, 2H), 5.56-5.51 (ABq, \(J = 15, 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 5.05-5.02 (dt, \(J = 7.8, 6.6\) Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.24-3.16 (ddd, \(J = 31.8, 14.4, 6.3\) Hz); \textsuperscript{13}C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 171.6, 159.7, 143.1, 135.9, 133.8, 129.6, 129.4, 129.3, 128.8, 128.5, 127.3, 125.5, 54.7, 53.1, 52.5, 38.4; HRMS Calculated for C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{20}N\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{3} [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 365.1609, Found: 365.1613.
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\textbf{(S)-methyl-1-(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carbonyl)indoline-2-carboxylate (4a)} was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 70%; \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 8.36-8.35 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 8.13 (s, 1H), 7.42-7.38 (m, 3H), 7.31-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.24 (m, br, 1H), 7.21-7.20 (d, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.09-7.07 (t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 1H), 6.27-6.25 (d, \(J = 10.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.57-5.50 (ABq, \(J = 14.4, 26.4\) Hz, 2H), 3.69-3.66 (m, 4H), 3.37-3.34 (d, \(J = 17.4\) Hz); \textsuperscript{13}C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 172.7, 159.4, 142.3, 133.7,
129.5, 129.3, 128.81, 128.80, 128.6, 128.0, 124.8, 124.5, 118.1, 61.9, 54.6, 52.8, 33.9; HRMS Calculated for C$_{20}$H$_{18}$N$_{4}$O [M+H]$^{+}$: 363.1452, Found: 363.1452.

1-benzyl-N-(2-phenylpropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4b') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ7.93(s, 1H), 7.40-7.36(m, 3H), 7.33-7.31(m, 2H), 7.28-7.27(m, 2H), 7.25-7.21(m, 3H), 7.10-7.08(m, br, 1H), 5.52(s, 2H), 3.74-3.69(m, 1H) 3.53-3.48(qd, J = 7.8, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.07-3.01(dq, J= 14.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.34-1.32(d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 160.1, 144.0, 143.7, 133.9, 129.4, 129.2, 128.9, 128.4, 127.3, 126.9, 125.3, 54.7, 45.9, 40.1, 19.4; HRMS Calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_{4}$O [M+H]$^{+}$: 321.1710, Found: 321.1709.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(3-methylindolin-1-yl)methanone (4b) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 80%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ8.29-8.27 (d, J = 7.8, 1H), 8.13(s, 1H), 7.41-7.37(m, 3H), 7.32-7.31(m, 2H), 7.25-7.21(m, 2H), 7.11-7.09(t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.57(s, 2H), 5.02-4.99(dd, J = 10.5, 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.30-4.27(dd, J = 11.4, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.57-3.51(tq, J = 7.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.38-1.37(d, J = 11.4, 6.6 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 159.0, 145.9, 142.9, 137.5, 133.9, 129.25,
129.20, 128.6, 127.7, 124.7, 123.6, 117.8, 58.2, 54.5, 35.5, 20.1; HRMS Calculated for C_{10}H_{18}N_{4}O [M+H]^+: 319.1554, Found: 319.1553.

1-benzyl-N-(2-bromophenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4c') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid. $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$7.95(s, 1H), 7.55-7.54(m, 2H), 7.41-7.37(m, 3H), 7.29-7.27(m, 2H), 7.25-7.22(m, 2H), 7.10-7.08(m, 1H), 5.54(s, 2H), 3.73-3.69(q, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.07-3.05(t, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$160.2, 143.7, 138.2, 133.9, 133.1, 131.0, 131.0, 129.4, 129.3, 128.5, 127.8, 125.3, 124.7, 54.7, 39.0, 36.2; HRMS Calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{17}$BrN$_{4}$O [M+H]$^+$: 385.0659, Found: 385.0664.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(4-bromoindolin-1-yl)methanone (4c) was prepared following the general procedure A at 100 $^\circ$C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 87%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.25-8.23 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.11(s, 1H), 7.42-7.39(m, 3H), 7.29-7.31(m, 2H), 7.23-7.21(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.12-7.09(t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.58(s, 2H), 4.84-4.81(t, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.25-3.22(t, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$159.46, 145.6, 144.5, 133.8, 133.0, 129.5, 129.31, 129.29, 128.7, 127.3, 119.6, 116.6, 54.6, 49.8, 30.4; HRMS Calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{15}$BrN$_{4}$O [M+H]$^+$: 383.0502, Found: 383.0507.
1-benzyl-N-(2-fluorophenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4d') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.94(s, 1H), 7.40-7.37(m, 3H), 7.29-7.27(m, 2H), 7.23-7.19(m, 3H), 7.08-7.02(m, 2H), 5.54(s, 2H), 3.72-3.68(q, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H) 2.97-2.95(t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 160.6, 160.1, 143.7, 133.9, 131.21, 131.18, 129.4, 129.3, 128.57, 128.51, 128.4, 125.8, 125.7, 125.3, 124.37, 124.35, 115.6, 115.5, 54.7, 39.3, 29.5; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{17}\)FN\(_4\)O [M+H]\(^+\): 325.1460, Found: 325.1459.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(4-fluoroindolin-1-yl)methanone (4d) was prepared following the general procedure A at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 60%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.11(s, 1H), 8.08-8.06(d, \(J = 7.8\), 1H), 7.42-7.38(m, 3H), 7.33-7.31(m, 2H), 7.22-7.18(dd, \(J = 14.4\), 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.80-6.78(dd, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 5.58(s, 2H), 4.87-4.84(t, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 3.28-3.25(dd, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 160.0, 159.25, 158.4, 145.7, 133.9, 129.47, 129.44, 129.39, 129.31, 128.7, 128.5, 118.5, 113.76, 113.75, 111.42, 111.28, 54.63, 50.84, 25.07; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{15}\)FN\(_4\)O [M+H]\(^+\): 323.1303, Found: 323.1306.
1-benzyl-N-(2-iodophenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4e') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$7.95(s, 1H), 7.84-7.82(dd, $J$ = 7.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.37(m, 3H), 7.29-7.28(m, 3H), 7.27-7.24(td, $J$=7.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.22(m, br, 1H), 6.93-6.90(td, $J$=7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.55(s, 1H), 3.72-3.68(q, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.97-2.95(t, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$:160.2, 143.8, 141.5, 139.8, 133.9, 130.2, 129.5, 129.3, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 125.3, 100.6, 54.7, 40.7, 39.2; HRMS Calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{17}$IN$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 433.0520, Found: 433.0526.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(4-iodoindolin-1-yl)methanone (4e) was prepared following the general procedure A at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 62%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$:8.29-8.27 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.11(s, 1H), 7.45-7.44(d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42-7.38(m, 3H), 7.33-7.31(m, 2H), 6.96-6.94(t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.57(s, 2H), 4.82-4.80(t, $J$ = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 3.19-3.16(t, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$:159.6, 143.5, 137.0, 133.8 133.4, 129.5, 129.47, 129.34, 129.31, 128.7, 128.5, 117.5, 93.1, 54.6, 49.3, 34.2; HRMS Calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{15}$IN$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 431.0364, Found: 431.0370.
1-benzyl-N-(2-methylphenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4f') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ7.95(s, 1H), 7.40-7.37(m, 3H), 7.29-7.28(m, 3H), 7.29-7.27(m, 2H), 7.26-7.13(m, br, 1H), 7.18-7.13(m, 4H), 5.54(s, 1H), 3.67-3.64(q, $J$ = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.94-2.91(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.56(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ160.2, 143.8, 136.9, 136.5, 133.9, 130.6, 129.44, 129.39, 129.27, 128.4, 126.8, 126.3, 125.3, 54.7, 39.5, 33.4, 19.5; HRMS Calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 321.1710, Found: 321.1714.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(4-methylindolin-1-yl)methanone (4f) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as yellow solid; Isolated yield 53%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ8.15-8.14 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.11(s, 1H), 7.42-7.26(m, 3H), 7.33-7.26(m, 2H), 7.16-7.14(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.91-6.90(t, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.57(s, 2H), 4.82-4.79(t, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.15-3.13(t, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 2.27(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ159.1, 146.1, 143.1, 134.2, 133.9, 131.1, 129.5, 129.2, 128.55, 128.51, 127.7, 125.6, 115.4, 54.6, 50.3, 27.7, 18.8; HRMS Calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{18}$N$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 319.1554, Found: 319.1557.
**1-benzyl-N-(2-methoxyphenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4g')** was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.93(s, 1H), 7.52-7.49(m, br, 1H), 7.40-7.36(m, 3H), 7.28-7.26(m, 2H), 7.23-7.20(td, \(J = 7.8, 1.8\) Hz, 1H), 7.17-7.15(dd, \(J = 7.5, 1.5\) Hz, 1H), 6.91-6.86(m, 2H), 5.53(s, 1H), 3.88(s, 3H), 3.67-3.64(q, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 2H), 2.95-2.93(t, \(J = 6.9\) Hz, 2H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 160.1, 157.6, 144.0, 133.9, 120.8, 129.4, 129.2, 128.4, 128.1, 127.5, 125.1, 120.8, 110.4, 55.5, 54.6, 40.0, 30.3; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{20}\)N\(_4\)O\(_2\) [M+H]: 337.1660, Found: 337.1662

**1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(4-methoxyindolin-1-yl)methanone (4g)** was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as yellow solid; Isolated yield 67%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.11(s, 1H), 7.93-7.92(d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 7.41-7.38(m, 3H), 7.32-7.31(m, 2H), 7.22-7.19(t, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 1H), 6.64-6.63(d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 5.57(s, 2H), 4.82-4.78(t, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 3.85(s, 3H), 3.17-3.14(t, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 159.1, 155.9, 145.9, 144.8, 133.9, 149.4, 129.2, 128.9, 128.52, 128.49, 119.7, 110.9, 106.8, 55.5, 54.6, 50.8, 25.8; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{18}\)N\(_4\)O\(_2\) [M+H]: 335.1503, Found: 335.1507.
1-benzyl-N-(3-methylphenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4h') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ7.94(s, 1H), 7.41-7.37(m, 3H), 7.29-7.27(m, 2H), 7.20-7.18(dd, $J = 7.5, 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.05-7.02(m, $J = 3$ Hz, 1H), 5.54(s, 1H), 3.88(s, 3H), 3.70-3.67(q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.88-2.86(t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.33(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ160.1, 143.8, 138.7, 138.4, 133.9, 129.7, 129.4, 129.3, 128.7, 126.4, 127.5, 125.9, 125.3, 54.7, 40.5, 35.9, 21.5; HRMS Calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 321.1710, Found: 321.1713.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(5-methylindolin-1-yl)methanone (4h) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 88%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ8.18-8.16(d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.10(s, 1H), 7.41-7.38(m, 3H), 7.32-7.31(m, 2H), 7.05(s, 1H), 7.04-7.02(d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.57(s, 2H), 4.79-4.77(t, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.21-3.18(t, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.85(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ158.8, 146.1, 141.1, 134.3, 133.9, 132.5, 129.5, 129.2, 128.50, 128.45, 127.99, 125.5, 117.6, 54.6, 50.4, 28.8, 21.2 ; HRMS Calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{18}$N$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 319.1554, Found: 139.1557.
1-benzyl-N-(3-fluorophenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4i') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.94(s, 1H), 7.40-7.37(m, 3H), 7.29-7.21(m, 3H), 7.21-7.18(m, br, 1H), 7.01-7.00(d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 6.94-6.92(m, 2H), 5.54(s, 1H), 3.88(s, 3H), 3.71-3.68(q, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H), 2.92-2.90(t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 163.94, 162.3, 160.1, 143.7, 141.37, 141.32, 133.9, 130.28, 130.22, 129.5, 129.3, 128.4, 125.3, 125.54, 124.52, 115.84, 115.70, 113.7, 113.6, 54.7, 40.2, 35.78, 35.77; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{17}\)FN\(_4\)O [M+H]\(^+\): 325.1460, Found: 325.1462.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(5-fluoroindolin-1-yl)methanone (4i) was prepared following the general procedure A at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 55%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.26-8.24(dd, \(J = 8.4, 5.1\) Hz, 1H), 8.11(s, 1H), 7.41-7.38(m, 3H), 7.32-7.31(m, 2H), 6.94-6.88(m, 2H), 5.57(s, 2H), 4.83-4.80(t, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 3.24-3.21(t, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 160.7, 159.0, 158.8, 145.7, 139.5, 134.42, 134.36, 133.9, 129.3, 128.5, 118.72, 118.67, 113.8, 113.7, 112.1, 111.9, 54.6, 50.5, 28.8; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{15}\)FN\(_4\)O [M+H]\(^+\): 323.1303, Found: 323.1307.
1-benzyl-N-(4-methoxyphenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4j’) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ7.94(s, 1H), 7.41-7.38(m, 3H), 7.29-7.27(m, 2H), 7.19-7.18(m, br, 1H), 7.15-7.14(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.85-6.84(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.54(s, 1H), 3.79(s, 3H), 3.67-3.64(q, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.86-2.84(t, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ160.0, 158.4, 143.8, 133.9, 130.8, 129.8, 129.4, 128.4, 125.2, 114.2, 55.4, 54.7, 40.7, 35.1; HRMS Calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_4$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 337.1660, Found: 337.1663.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(6-methoxyindolin-1-yl)methanone (4j) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 67%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ8.11(s, 1H), 7.99(s, 1H), 7.41-7.36(m, 3H), 7.32-7.31(m, 2H), 7.10-7.09(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.64-6.62(dd, $J$ = 8.1, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.56(s, 2H), 4.81-4.78(t, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.80(s, 3H), 3.17-3.14(t, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ159.3, 159.2, 145.9, 144.5, 133.9, 129.4, 129.2, 128.54, 128.47, 124.8, 124.2, 111.0, 103.8, 55.7, 54.5, 51.2, 28.0; HRMS Calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{20}$N$_4$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 335.1503, Found: 335.1506.
1-benzyl-N-(4-methylphenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4k') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid. \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.94(s, 1H), 7.41-7.37(m, 3H), 7.29-7.27(m, 2H), 7.20-7.17(m, br, 1H), 7.12(s, 4H), 5.54(s, 1H), 3.88(s, 3H), 3.69-3.65(q, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H), 2.88-2.86(t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H), 2.32(s, 1H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 160.1, 143.8, 136.2, 135.7, 133.9, 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 128.7, 128.4, 125.3, 54.7, 40.6, 35.6, 21.2; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{20}\)N\(_4\)O [M+H]\(^+\): 321.1710, Found: 321.1713.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(6-methylindolin-1-yl)methanone (4k) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 70%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.15(s, 1H), 8.11(s, 1H), 7.41-7.36(m, 3H), 7.33-7.31(m, 2H), 7.12-7.11(d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 6.90-6.88(d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 5.57(s, 2H), 4.79-4.77(t, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 2H), 3.20-3.17(t, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 2.36(s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 159.1, 146.1, 143.6, 137.4, 134.0, 129.4, 129.3, 128.51, 128.50, 128.47, 125.3, 124.4, 118.6, 54.6, 50.7, 28.5, 21.8; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{18}\)N\(_4\)O [M+H]\(^+\): 319.1554, Found: 319.1558.
1-benzyl-N-(4-fluorophenethyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4l') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ7.94(s, 1H), 7.40-7.38(m, 3H), 7.29-7.28(m, 2H), 7.20-7.17(m, 3H), 7.00-6.97(m, 2H), 5.54(s, 1H), 3.88(s, 3H), 3.69-3.65(q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.88-2.86(t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.32(s, 1H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ162.6, 161.02, 160.09, 143.7, 134.45, 134.33, 133.8, 130.33, 130.27, 129.5, 129.3, 128.4, 125.3, 115.7, 115.5, 54.7, 40.5, 35.2; HRMS Calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{17}$FN$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 325.1460, Found: 325.1462.

(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(6-fluoroindolin-1-yl)methanone (4l) was prepared following the general procedure A at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 3/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 85%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ8.13(s, 1H), 8.06-8.04(d, $J = 10.8$, 1H), 7.41-7.38(m, 3H), 7.33-7.31(m, 2H), 7.15-7.12(dd, $J = 8.4$, 6 Hz, 1H), 6.78-6.74(td, $J = 8.4$, 2.4Hz, 1H), 5.57(s, 2H), 4.85-4.82(t, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.20-3.17(t, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ163.1, 161.5, 159.3, 145.6, 144.6, 133.8, 129.45, 129.42, 129.3, 128.71, 128.70, 128.5, 127.55, 127.54, 125.1, 125.0, 111.0, 110.9, 106.1, 105.9, 64.6, 51.2, 28.1; HRMS Calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{15}$FN$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 323.1303, Found: 323.1306.
(S)-methyl-2-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)-3-methylbutanoate (4m') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.40(s, 1H), 7.64-7.60(m, 3H), 7.05-7.03(d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.77-4.74(dd, $J = 9.0$, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.87(s, 3H), 3.77(s, 3H), 2.35-2.27(m, 1H), 1.04-1.01(dd, $J = 9.0$, 6.6 Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 172.0, 160.4, 160.0, 143.4, 130.0, 123.9, 122.5, 115.1, 57.2, 55.8, 52.3, 31.6, 19.2, 18.0; HRMS Calculated for C$_{16}$H$_{20}$N$_4$O$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 333.1558, Found: 333.1562.

(2S,3R)-methyl-1-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carbonyl)-3-methylazetidine-2-carboxylate (4m) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 81%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$), ratio of rotamers: ~1.2/1) δ 8.44-8.43(m, 1H), 7.64-7.61(m, 2H), 7.04-7.02(m, 2H), 5.16-5.15(d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 0.55H), 4.97-4.95(t, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 0.45H), 4.53-4.52(d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 0.45H), 4.42-4.39(t, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 0.55H), 4.34-4.32(dd, $J = 9.6$, 5.4 Hz, 0.55H), 3.88-3.87(m, 3H), 3.81(s, 1.35H), 3.76-3.37(m, 2H), 2.81-2.72(m, 1H), 1.47-1.46(d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1.65H), 1.44-1.43(d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1.35H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 171.5, 160.6, 160.4, 160.3, 129.99, 129.98, 125.2, 124.7, 122.5, 122.4, 115.11, 115.07, 70.65, 70.63, 66.4, 59.0, 55.81, 55.80, 53.8, 52.53, 52.52,
52.51, 31.31, 31.29, 30.9; HRMS Calculated for C_{16}H_{18}N_{4}O_{4} [M+H]^+: 331.1401, Found: 331.1405.

(S)-2-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)-3-methylbutyl acetate (4n') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.40(s, 1H), 7.64-7.63(d, \(J = 9.0\) Hz, 2H), 7.25-7.23(m, br, 1H), 7.06-7.04(d, \(J = 9\) Hz, 2H), 4.29-4.21(m, 3H), 3.88(s, 3H), 2.08(s, 3H), 2.02-1.96(m, 1H), 1.04-1.03(dd, \(J = 7.7, 1.2\) Hz, 6H); \(^13\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 171.1, 160.5, 160.0, 143.7, 123.8, 122.5, 115.1, 64.7, 55.8, 53.3, 29.8, 21.0, 19.6, 18.8; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{22}\)N\(_4\)O\(_4\) [M+H]^+: 347.1714, Found: 347.1718

((2S,3R)-1-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carbonyl)-3-methylazetidin-2-yl)methyl acetate (4n) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 69%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), ratio of rotamers: \(\sim 1.8/1\)) \(\delta\) 8.44(s, 1H), 7.64-7.62(d, \(J = 9\) Hz, 2H), 7.04-7.02(d, \(J = 9\) Hz, 2H), 4.90-4.88(dd, \(J = 6.6, 3.6\) Hz, 0.35H), 4.85-8.82(t, \(J = 9\) Hz, 0.65H), 4.75-4.73(dd, \(J = 11.7, 4.5\) Hz, 0.35H), 4.57-4.54(dd, \(J = 11.7, 4.8\) Hz,
0.65H), 4.47-4.43(m, 1H), 4.34-4.22(dd, J = 9, 4.2 Hz, 0.65H), 4.26-4.23(t, J = 9 Hz, 0.35H), 4.22-4.19(dd, J = 9.9, 6 Hz, 0.65), 3.87(s, 3H), 3.70-3.67(dd, J = 10.5, 4.5 Hz, 0.35H), 2.67-2.60(m, 1H), 2.10-2.07(m, 3H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 170.03, 169.98, 163.2, 161.0, 160.4, 144.3, 143.9, 130.01, 129.98, 125.1, 125.0, 122.38, 122.35, 115.11, 115.08, 69.8, 66.8, 64.9, 63.7, 58.7, 55.8, 53.7, 29.8, 28.8, 28.5, 21.02, 20.99, 19.3, 18.9; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{20}\)N\(_4\)O\(_4\) [M+H\(^+\)]: 345.1558, Found: 345.1563.

(2S)-methyl-2-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)-3-methylpentanoate (4o’) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.40(s, 1H), 7.64-7.60(m, 3H), 7.04-7.03(d, J = 9 Hz, 2H), 4.79-4.77(dd, J = 9, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.87(s, 3H), 3.77(s, 3H), 2.06-2.00(m, 1H), 1.58-1.52(m, 1H), 1.33-1.25(m, 1H), 1.00-0.99(d, J =6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.97-0.95(t, J =7.5 Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 171.9, 160.4, 159.8, 143.4, 130.0, 123.8, 122.5, 115.1, 56.5, 55.8, 52.3, 38.2, 25.3, 15.7, 11.7; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{22}\)N\(_4\)O\(_4\) [M+H\(^+\)]: 347.1714, Found: 247.1718.

(2S,3R)-methyl-3-ethyl-1-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carbonyl)azetidine-2-carboxylate (4o) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated
yield 56%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) ratio of rotamers: ~1.5/1) $\delta$8.44-8.43(m, 1H), 7.64-7.61(m, 2H), 7.05-7.02(m, 2H), 5.66-5.65(d, $J$ = 9.6 Hz, 0.60H), 5.04-5.03(d, $J$ = 9.6 Hz, 0.60H), 4.88-4.85(d, $J$ = 9 Hz, 0.40H), 4.47-4.44(dd, $J$ = 9.3, 6.9 Hz, 0.40H), 4.28-4.25(t, $J$ = 9 Hz, 0.60H), 3.91-3.88(dd, $J$ = 9.6 Hz, 0.60H), 3.88-3.87(m, 3H), 3.80(s, 1.2), 3.75(s, 1.8), 3.03-2.95(m, 1H), 1.67-1.60(m, 1H), 1.55-1.42(m, 1H), 0.92-0.89(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$170.2, 160.4, 160.33, 160.28, 159.9, 144.1, 143.9, 130.0, 124.7, 122.5, 122.3, 115.1, 115.06, 67.8, 55.84, 55.82, 52.84, 52.83, 52.1, 35.4, 35.1, 23.3, 23.0, 11.3, 11.09, 11.07; HRMS Calculated for C$_{17}$H$_{20}$N$_4$O$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 345.1558, Found: 345.1561.

(2S)-methyl-3-(acetoxyethyl)-2-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)pentanoate (4oa) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil, Isolated yield 30%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.39(s, 1H), 7.81-7.79(d, $J$ = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.64-7.62(d, $J$ = 9 Hz, 2H), 7.05-7.04(d, $J$ = 9 Hz, 2H), 5.08-5.06(dd, $J$ = 9.0, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.21-4.19(dd, $J$ = 11.7, 3.9 Hz, 3H), 4.02-3.99(dd, $J$ = 11.4, 9 Hz, 1H), 3.88(s, 1H), 3.79(s, 1H), 3.36-2.30(m, 1H), 2.13(s, 3H), 1.60-1.53(m, 3H), 1.56-1.42(m, 1H), 1.04-1.02(t, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$171.6, 171.0, 160.5, 159.9, 143.2, 130.0, 123.9, 122.6, 115.1, 64.0, 55.85, 55.84, 52.9, 52.63, 52.62, 42.6, 21.1, 20.7, 11.9 ; HRMS Calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{24}$N$_4$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 405.1769, Found: 405.1773.
1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-N-(3-methylbutan-2-yl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (4p') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.38(s, 1H), 7.64-7.63(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.05-7.03(m, 3H), 4.11-4.07(m, 1H), 3.88(s, 3H), 1.86-1.81(m, 1H), 7.06-7.04(d, $J$ = 9 Hz, 2H), 4.29-4.21(m, 3H), 3.88(s, 3H), 2.08(s, 3H), 2.02-1.96(m, 1H), 1.04-1.03(dd, $J$ = 7.7, 1.2 Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$160.4, 159.4, 144.1, 123.5, 122.5, 115.1, 55.8, 50.1, 33.4, 31.1, 18.79, 18.71, 17.9; HRMS Calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{20}$N$_4$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 289.1660, Found: 289.1663.

((2R,3R)-2,3-dimethylazetidin-1-yl)(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanone (4pa) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 74%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) ratio of rotamers: ~1.5/1) $\delta$8.43-8.41(s, 1H), 7.66-7.63(m, 2H), 7.04-7.03(m, 2H), 4.90-4.87(t, $J$ = 9.3 Hz, 0.60H), 4.77-4.73(m, 0.40H), 4.32-4.29(t, $J$ = 9.0 Hz, 0.4H), 4.21-4.17(m, 1H), 3.87(s, 3H), 3.69-3.66(dd, $J$ = 10.8, 4.8 Hz, 0.4H), 2.37-2.23(m, 1H), 1.61-1.60(d, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 1.20H), 1.57-1.56(d, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 1.80H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$160.5, 160.1, 144.7, 144.1, 129.9, 124.766, 124.762, 124.6, 122.2, 122.1, 114.9, 65.1, 57.8, 55.7, 55.65, 55.63, 52.4, 33.4, 32.8, 21.4, 19.9, 19.1, 18.7; HRMS Calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{18}$N$_4$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 287.1503, Found: 287.1505.
((2R,3S)-2,3-dimethylazetidin-1-yl)(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanone (4pb) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 15%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$, ratio of rotamers: ~1.5/1) δ 8.42-8.40(s, 1H), 7.66-7.63(m, 2H), 7.05-7.03(m, 2H), 5.32-5.27(m, 0.60H), 4.81-4.74(m, 1.20H), 4.34-4.28(m, 1H), 3.87(s, 3H), 3.78-3.75(ddd, $J = 10.2, 7.2, 0.6$ Hz, 0.40H), 3.05-3.29(m, 1H), 1.53-1.52(d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1.20H), 1.49-1.48(d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1.80H), 1.21-1.19(d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1.80H), 1.18-1.16(d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1.20H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.2, 160.3, 130.1, 124.9, 124.7, 122.4, 115.1, 60.2, 59.1, 55.82, 55.81, 53.97, 28.2, 15.8, 14.4, 13.98, 13.96; HRMS Calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{18}$N$_4$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 287.1503, Found: 287.1505.

(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)(2,2,4,4-tetramethylpyrrolidin-1-yl)methanone was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless soild; Isolated yield 84%; $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.41(s, 1H), 7.64-7.62(d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.04-7.02(d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 2H), 4.08(s, 2H), 3.87(s, 3H), 1.81(s, 2H), 1.65(m, 6H), 1.18(s, 6H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 160.2, 159.6, 147.2, 130.2, 126.0, 122.4, 115.0, 64.5, 62.6, 55.9, 55.8, 55.77, 36.97, 27.9; HRMS Calculated for C$_{18}$H$_{24}$N$_4$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 329.1972, Found:329.1972.
N-phenethyl-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (5a) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.65-8.63(d, \(J = 4.8\) Hz, 1H), 8.16-8.14(d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 8.12-8.10(m, 2H), 7.95-7.92(td, \(J = 7.8\), 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.40(m, 3H), 7.38-7.36(ddd, \(J = 7.2\), 1.8, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.29(m, 2H), 7.25-7.21(m, 4H), 3.72-3.69(q, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 2H), 2.96-2.94(t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H); \(^13\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 160.3, 150.5, 150.2, 149.1, 140.8, 139.3, 128.9, 129.65, 129.60, 129.1, 128.74, 128.71, 128.3, 126.6, 123.8, 114.6, 41.0, 36.0; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{19}\)N\(_5\)O \([M+H]^+\): 370.1663, Found: 370.1668.

2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (6b) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 47%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.66-8.65(d, \(J = 4.8\) Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.18(d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 8.14-8.12(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(td, \(J = 7.2\), 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.45(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(m, 1H), 7.32-7.24(m, 3H), 7.20-7.17(td, \(J = 7.8\), 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.07-7.04(d, \(J = 7.2\), 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.58-3.55(q, \(J = 6.0\) Hz, 2H), 2.90-2.88(t, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 2.33(s, 3H); \(^13\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 169.9, 160.4, 150.5, 150.2, 149.4, 149.1, 140.8, 139.4, 130.89, 130.84, 128.0, 126.5, 123.9, 122.7, 114.6, 39.9, 30.4, 21.1; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{24}\)H\(_{21}\)N\(_5\)O\(_3\) \([M+H]^+\): 428.1718, Found: 428.1716.
5-phenyl-N-(2-phenylpropyl)-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7a’)

was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \( ^1 \)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.58-8.57(d, \( J = 4.8 \) Hz, 1H), 8.08-8.04(m, 3H), 7.86-7.83(td, \( J = 7.8, 1.8 \) Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.40(m, 3H), 7.32-7.28(m, 3H), 7.25-7.23(m, 2H), 7.21-7.18(m, 1H), 7.14-7.12(t, \( J = 6.6 \) Hz, 1H), 3.74-3.70(m, 1H), 3.53-3.48(m, 1H), (ddd, \( J = 7.2, 1.8, 0.6 \) Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.29(m, 2H), 7.25-7.21(m, 4H), 3.72-3.69(q, \( J = 6.6 \) Hz, 2H), 2.96-2.94(t, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 160.2, 150.2, 149.9, 144.0, 139.1, 129.45, 129.41, 129.0, 128.6, 128.1, 127.2, 126.6, 114.3, 46.3, 39.8, 19.2; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{23}\)H\(_{21}\)N\(_5\)O [M+H]\(^{+}\): 384.1819, Found: 384.1825.

2-(1-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)propan-2-yl)phenyl acetate (7aa)

was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 68%; \( ^1 \)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.63-8.62(d, \( J = 4.8 \) Hz, 1H), 8.15-8.14(d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 1H), 8.11-8.10(m, 2H), 7.93-7.91(td, \( J = 8.4, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.43(m, 3H), 7.39-7.35(m, 2H), 7.25-7.23(m, 2H), 7.13-7.11(m, br, 1H), 7.01-6.99(m, 1H), 3.74-3.70(m, 1H), 3.50-3.46(m, 1H), 3.67-3.31(m, 1H), 2.26(s, 3H), 1.30-1.29(d, \( J = 6.6 \) Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 170.0, 160.6, 149.1, 149.0, 139.3, 136.0, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 128.2,
127.6, 127.4, 126.7, 122.8, 45.8, 32.9, 21.0, 18.7; HRMS Calculated for C25H23N5O3 [M+H]+: 442.1874, Found: 442.1880.

2-(1-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)propan-2-yl)-1,3-phenylene diacetate (7ab) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 13%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.63-8.62(d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.14-8.11(m, 3H), 7.93-7.91(td, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.42(m, 3H), 7.38-7.36(m, 2H), 7.24-7.21(t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H ), 7.15-7.13(m, br, 1H), 6.93-6.91(d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 3.93-3.88(m, 1H), 3.55-3.43(m, 2H), 2.27(s, 6H), 1.30-1.28(d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); \(^13\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.5, 160.7, 150.6, 150.3, 150.2, 149.1, 140.8, 139.2, 129.8, 129.5, 129.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.5, 123.7, 121.07, 121.06, 121.05, 114.6, 43.89, 43.87, 31.3, 21.179, 21.172, 16.97; HRMS Calculated for C27H25N5O5 [M+H]+: 500.1929, Found: 500.1936.

N-(2-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7b') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.65-8.64(d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.17-8.16(d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.13-8.11(m, 2H), 7.95-7.92(t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.43(m, 3H), 7.41-7.38(ddd, J = 7.2, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28(m, br, 1H), 7.19-7.13(m, 4H), 3.69-3.66(q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.28(s, 3H), 1.67(d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.30(s, 3H).
2.98-2.95 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H). 2.37 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ160.3, 150.2, 149.1, 140.8, 139.3, 137.0, 136.5, 130.5, 129.65, 129.62, 129.5, 128.3, 126.8, 126.2, 123.9, 114.6, 39.8, 33.4, 19.5; HRMS Calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{21}$N$_5$O $[M+H]^+$: 384.1819, Found: 384.1818.

3-methyl-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7b) was prepared following the general procedure C at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 85%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ8.65-8.64 (d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.18 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.15-8.14 (m, 2H), 7.95-7.93 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.41-7.39 (m, 1H), 7.32 (m, br, 1H), 7.16-7.13 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.07-7.06 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.89-6.88 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H) 3.58-3.55 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.93-2.90 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ170.2, 160.5, 150.6, 150.2, 149.8, 149.2, 140.9, 139.3, 138.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.3, 129.2, 128.30, 128.27, 127.3, 123.9, 120.2, 114.6, 38.9, 27.4, 21.2, 19.7; HRMS Calculated for C$_{25}$H$_{23}$N$_5$O$_3$ $[M+H]^+$: 442.1874, Found: 442.1873
N-(2-bromophenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7c') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.66-8.65(d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.17(d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.12-8.11(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(td, $J = 8.4$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.56-7.54(dd, $J = 8.4$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.50-7.45(m, 3H), 7.42-7.39(ddd, $J = 7.2$, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.29-7.22(m, 3H), 7.11-7.08(td, $J = 7.8$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.75-3.71(q, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.12-3.10(t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$160.4, 150.6, 150.3, 149.2, 140.8, 139.3, 188.3, 122.1, 129.75, 129.73, 129.72, 129.6, 129.2, 128.5, 127.8, 124.8, 123.9, 114.6, 39.5, 36.2; HRMS Calculated for C$_{22}$H$_{18}$BrN$_5$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 448.0768, Found: 448.0767.

3-methyl-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7c) was prepared following the general procedure C at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 73%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.65-8.64(dd, $J = 4.8$ 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.18(d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.16-8.14(m, 2H), 7.95-7.93(td, $J = 7.8$, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.43(m, 3H), 7.41-7.38(ddd, $J = 7.2$, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.30(t, $J = 6$ Hz, 1H), 7.13-7.10(t, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.01-7.00(dd, $J = 8.1$, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65-3.62(q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 2H), 3.10-3.08(t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H). 2.29(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$160.5, 150.5, 150.3, 150.1, 149.1, 140.8, 139.3, 131.3, 130.6, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 128.6, 128.2, 125.7, 123.9, 122.2, 114.6, 38.4, 30.5, 20.9; HRMS Calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{20}$BrN$_5$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 506.0823, Found: 506.0822.
N-(2-bromophenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7d’) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.66-8.65(dd, $J = 4.8$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.18-8.16(d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.12-8.11(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(td, $J = 7.8$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(dd, $J = 7.2$, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.19(m, 4H), 7.08-7.05(td, $J = 7.8$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.05-7.02(t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.74-3.70(q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 160.3, 160.4, 150.6, 150.3, 149.2, 140.8, 139.30, 139.28, 131.33, 131.30, 129.7, 129.6, 129.1, 128.51, 128.46, 125.9, 125.8, 124.34, 124.32, 123.9, 115.6, 115.5, 114.6, 39.8, 29.52, 29.51; HRMS Calculated for C$_{22}$H$_{18}$FN$_5$O [M+H]$^+$: 388.1569, Found: 388.1566.

3-fluoro-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7d) was prepared following the general procedure C at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 60%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.65-8.64(d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.18(d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.14-8.13(m, 2H), 7.95-7.93(td, $J = 8.4$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.44(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(dd, $J = 7.2$, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.27(s, br, 1H), 7.23-7.19(td, $J = 8.4$, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.97-6.94(t, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.87-6.86(d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.65-3.61(q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.96-2.92(t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H). 2.28(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 169.6, 162.8, 161.1, 160.5, 150.52, 150.47, 150.2, 149.1, 140.8, 139.4, 129.7, 129.6,
129.1, 128.2, 128.1, 127.99, 123.9, 119.5, 119.4, 118.54, 118.52, 114.6, 113.2, 113.10, 39.1, 23.94, 23.92, 20.9; HRMS Calculated for C_{24}H_{20}FN_{5}O_{3} [M+H]^{+}: 446.1623, Found: 446.1623.

N-(2-methoxyphenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7e') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.64-8.63(dd, $J = 4.8$, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 8.15-8.14(d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.12-8.11(m, 2H), 7.93-7.90(td, $J = 7.8$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.42 (m, 3H), 7.39-7.36(m, 2H), 7.22-7.19(m, 2H), 7.18-7.166(dd, $J = 7.8$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.89-6.85(m, 2H), 3.84(s, 1H), 3.71-3.67(q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.98-2.96(t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$160.3, 157.6, 150.5, 120.0, 149.1, 141.0, 139.2, 130.7, 129.6, 129.5, 129.2, 128.2, 127.9, 127.4, 123.7, 120.7, 114.5, 110.4, 55.4, 40.0, 30.5; HRMS Calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{21}$N$_{5}$O$_2$ [M+H]$: 400.1768, Found: 400.1768.

3-methoxy-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7e) was prepared following the general procedure C at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 74%(82% brsm); $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.63(s, 1H), 8.17-8.14(m, 3H), 7.94-7.91(td, $J = 8.4$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.37(m, 3H), 7.39-7.37(dd, $J = 6.6$, 4.8 Hz,
1H), 7.35-7.33(m, br, 1H), 7.21-7.18(t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.76-6.74(d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.67-6.66(d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.84(s, 3H), 3.62-3.59(q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.93-2.91(t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H). 2.26(s, 3H); 13C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 169.8, 160.4, 158.8, 150.3, 150.0, 149.09, 149.08, 141.0, 139.2, 129.7, 129.5, 129.2, 128.2, 127.7, 123.7, 120.0, 114.9, 114.5, 118.1, 55.9, 39.1, 24.0, 20.9; HRMS Calculated for C25H23N5O4 [M+H]+: 458.1823, Found: 458.1822

[Diagram of molecule]

N-(2-iodophenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7f)

was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; 1H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.67-8.66(dd, J = 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.20-8.18(d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.13-8.11(m, 3H), 7.97-7.94(td, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.84-7.83(d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.45 (m, 3H), (ddd, J = 7.2, 4.8, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.29-7.24(m, 2H), 7.25-7.23(m, br, 1H), 6.92-6.91(m, 1H), 3.73-3.69(q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.11-3.08(t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H); 13C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 160.5, 150.6, 150.3, 149.2, 141.6, 140.8, 139.8, 139.3, 130.3, 129.71, 129.66, 129.2, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 123.9, 114.7, 100.7, 40.6, 39.7; HRMS Calculated for C22H18IN5O [M+H]+: 496.0629, Found: 496.0635.

[Diagram of molecule]

3-iodo-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7f) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash
chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 68%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 8.65-8.64(d, J = 3.6 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 8.20-8.19(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 8.17-8.15(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(t, J = 7.8, 1.5 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.72-7.01(d, J = 7.8, 1H), 7.48-7.44(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(dd, J = 7.2, 4.8 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.24-7.04 (t, J = 6 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.04-7.02(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 6.97-6.94(t, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 3.63-3.59(q, J = 6.6 \text{ Hz, 2H}), 3.10-3.08(t, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz, 2H}). 2.30(s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 169.9, 160.5, 150.5, 150.2, 149.3, 149.2, 140.8, 139.3, 137.4, 134.2, 129.8, 129.6, 129.23, 129.17, 128.2, 123.9, 123.2114.6, 101.5, 38.5, 35.1, 20.9; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{24}\)H\(_{20}\)FN\(_5\)O\(_3\) [M+H]\(^+:\) 554.0684, Found: 554.0692.

![7g'](image)

N-(3-fluorophenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7g') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 8.67-8.65(dd, J = 4.8, 1.2 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 8.18-8.16(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 8.11-8.09(m, 2H), 7.97-7.94(dd, J = 8.4, 7.8, 1.8 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.49-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.42-7.39(ddd, J = 7.2, 4.8, 0.6 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 7.29-7.25(m, 1H), 7.21-7.19(m, br, 1H), 7.03-7.02(m, 1H), 6.97-6.95(m, 1H), 6.94-6.91(m, 1H), 3.73-3.69(q, J = 6.6 \text{ Hz, 2H}), 2.97-2.94(t, J = 7.5 \text{ Hz, 2H}); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta 162.3, 160.4, 150.6, 150.3, 149.2, 141.5, 140.8, 139.3, 130.3, 130.2, 129.1, 128.4, 124.63, 124.61, 123.9, 115.9, 115.8, 114.6, 113.7, 113.5, 40.8, 35.80, 35.79; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{18}\)FN\(_5\)O [M+H]\(^+:\) 388.1569, Found: 487.1573.
4-fluoro-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl))-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7g) was prepared following the general procedure C at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 64%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.65-8.64(d, $J$ = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.17(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.13-8.12(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(td, $J$ = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.45(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(dd, $J$ = 7.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.25(m, br, 1H), 7.03-6.99(m, $J$ = 2H), 7.96-6.92(m, 1H), 3.67-3.63(q, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.93-2.91(t, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H). 2.32(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$169.8, 161.2, 160.5, 160.5, 159.6, 150.5, 150.2, 149.1, 145.22, 145.20, 140.6, 139.2, 132.99, 123.94, 129.8, 129.66, 129.64, 129.14, 129.09, 128.3, 128.2, 126.6, 124.0, 123.9, 120.8, 117.2, 117.1, 114.65, 114.63, 114.61, 114.5, 39.6, 30.5, 20.9; HRMS Calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{20}$FN$_5$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 446.1623, Found: 446.1630.

N-(3-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7h') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.61-8.60(d, $J$ = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.13-8.09(m, 3H), 7.89-7.86(td, $J$ = 7.2, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.42(m, 3H), 7.35-7.33(m, 1H), 7.26-7.224(m, br, H), 7.19-7.17(t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.06(s, 1H), 7.04-7.02(m, 2H), 3.71-3.67(q, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.92-2.89(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H). 2.31(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$160.2, 150.3,
4-methyl-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7h) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 78%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ8.65-8.64(d, $J$ = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.17(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.14-8.13(d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.96-7.93(td, $J$ = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.45(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(dd, $J$ = 7.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.25(m, br, 1H), 7.11(s, 1H), 7.06-7.04(d, $J$ =8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.92-6.91(d, $J$ =8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.67-3.63(q, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.85-2.82(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H). 2.31(s, 3H), 2.30(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ170.1, 160.4, 150.5, 150.2, 149.1, 147.1, 140.8, 139.3, 136.1, 131.4, 130.4, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 128.6, 128.32, 128.29, 123.9, 122.3, 114.6, 39.9, 30.8, 21.1, 20.9; HRMS Calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{23}$N$_5$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 442.1874, Found: 442.1880.

N-(3-methoxyphenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7i') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ8.64-8.63(d, $J$ = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.15-8.14(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H),
8.12-8.10(m, 2H), 7.94-7.91(t, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.44(m, 3H), 7.39-7.37(dd, J = 6.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.20(m, 2H), 6.84-6.83(d, J = 7.2, 2H), 6.79(s, 1H), 6.78-6.76(dd, J = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.77(s, 3H), 3.73-3.69(q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.94-2.92(t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$160.3, 159.9, 150.5, 150.2, 149.1, 140.8, 140.5, 139.2, 129.7, 129.6, 129.1, 123.83, 123.82, 121.26, 121.24, 114.6, 114.55, 114.54, 114.48, 112.2, 55.3, 40.9, 36.0; HRMS Calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{21}$N$_5$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 400.1768, Found: 400.1768.

$^4$-methoxy-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7i) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 75%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.65-8.64(d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.17(d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.13-8.12(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(td, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.45(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(dd, J = 7.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.25(m, br, 1H), 6.96-6.94(d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 6.83-6.82(d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.79-6.77(dd, J = 9, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.76(s, 3H), 3.68-3.64(q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.86-2.83(t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H). 2.31(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$170.3, 160.5, 157.6, 150.6, 150.2, 149.2, 142.9, 140.8, 139.3, 131.8, 129.8, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 128.3, 128.2, 123.9, 123.4, 115.6, 114.6, 113.2, 55.7, 39.8, 30.7, 21.0; HRMS Calculated for C$_{25}$H$_{23}$N$_5$O$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 458.1823, Found: 458.1829.
N-(4-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7j') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.65-8.64(d, \(J = 4.2\) Hz, 1H), 8.16-8.15(d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 8.12-8.10(d, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H), 7.95-7.92(t, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.43(m, 3H), 7.39-7.37(dd, \(J = 7.2, 5.4\) Hz, 1H), 7.18(s, br, 1H), 7.14-7.11(dd, \(J = 14.4, 7.8\) Hz, 4H), 3.71-3.67(q, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 2H), 2.93-2.91(t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H), 2.32(s, 3H); \(^13\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 160.3, 150.6, 150.2, 149.2, 140.9, 139.3, 136.1, 135.8, 129.67, 129.61, 129.4, 129.2, 128.8, 128.3, 123.8, 114.6, 41.1, 35.6, 21.2; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{23}\)H\(_{21}\)N\(_5\)O\(_2\) [M+H]\(^+\): 384.1819, Found: 384.1824.

5-methyl-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-1H,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7j) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as white solid; Isolated yield 37%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.65-8.64(m, 1H), 8.19-8.17(d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 8.13-8.11(m, 2H), 7.95-7.93(m, 1H), 7.49-7.44(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(dd, \(J = 7.2, 5.4\) Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.23(m, br, 1H), 7.18-7.17(d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 7.00-6.99(d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 6.86(s, 1H), 3.65-3.62(q, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 2H), 2.85-2.82(t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 2H), 2.32(m, 6H); \(^13\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 169.9, 160.4, 150.6, 120.2, 149.3, 149.2, 140.8, 139.3, 138.0, 130.5, 129.6, 129.2, 128.3, 127.6, 127.3, 123.9, 123.1, 114.6, 40.0, 30.1, 21.11, 21.10; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{25}\)H\(_{23}\)N\(_5\)O\(_3\) [M+H]\(^+\): 442.1874, Found: 442.1880.
5-methyl-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-1,3-phenylene diacetate (7n) was prepared following the general procedure C with 3 equiv. Phl(OAc)$_2$ at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 70%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.65-8.64(d, $J$ = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.18(d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.17-8.15(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(td, $J$ = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.44(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(dd, $J$ = 7.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.26(m, br, 1H), 6.79(s, 2H), 3.55-3.51(q, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.80-2.78(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.315(s, 3H), 2.306(s, 6H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$169.7, 160.5, 150.5, 150.2, 150.1, 149.1, 140.8, 139.3, 138.2, 129.8, 129.6, 129.2, 128.2, 123.9, 121.0, 120.9, 114.6, 39.1, 24.9, 21.2, 21.0; HRMS Calculated for C$_{27}$H$_{25}$N$_5$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 500.1929, Found: 500.1936.

N-(4-methoxyphenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7k') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.65(s, 1H), 8.16-8.15(d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.11-8.10(d, 2H), 7.95-7.92(m, 1H), 7.49-7.44(m, 3H), 7.40-7.39(m, 1H), 7.17-7.15(m, br, 1H), 7.17-7.15(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.85-6.84(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 3.79(s, 3H), 3.69-3.66(q, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.91-2.88(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$160.3, 158.4, 150.6, 150.2, 149.2, 140.9, 139.3, 129.9, 129.77, 129.75, 127.74, 129.73, 129.2, 128.3,
5-methoxy-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)phenyl acetate (7k) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 30%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.66-8.65(m, 1H), 8.19-8.17(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.13-8.11(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(m, 1H), 7.49-7.44(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(m, 1H), 7.24-7.22(m, br, 1H), 7.20-7.19(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.76-6.74(dd, $J$ = 8.4, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 6.61-6.60(d, $J$ = 2.4 Hz), 3.77(s, 3H), 3.64-3.60(q, $J$ = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.82-2.79(t, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.32(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 169.7, 160.4, 159.3, 150.0, 149.187, 149.181, 140.8, 139.3, 131.2, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 123.9, 122.8, 114.6, 112.3, 108.4, 99.9, 55.6, 40.1, 29.8, 21.1; HRMS Calculated for C$_{25}$H$_{23}$N$_{5}$O$_{4}$ [M+H]$^+$: 458.1823, Found: 458.1830.

2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-1,3-phenylene diacetate (7m) was prepared following the general procedure C with 3 equiv. PhI(OAc)$_2$ at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 62%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.65-8.64(d, $J$ = 4.2 Hz,
1H), 8.19-8.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.17-8.15 (m, 2H), 7.96-7.93 (td, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.41-7.39 (dd, J = 7.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.26 (m, br, 1H), 6.55 (s, 2H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.53-3.49 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.76-2.74 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 6H); 

13C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 169.5, 160.5, 158.9, 150.8, 150.5, 150.1, 149.1, 140.8, 139.3, 129.8, 129.6, 129.2, 128.2, 123.9, 116.0, 114.6, 106.8, 55.7, 39.1; HRMS Calculated for C27H25N5O6 [M+H]+: 516.1878, Found: 516.1885

N-(4-fluorophenethyl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7l') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; 1H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.67-8.65 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.17-8.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.11-8.10 (m, 2H), 7.97-7.94 (td, J = 7.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.45 (m, 3H), 7.42-7.40 (dd, J = 7.2, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.21-7.19 (m, 3H), 7.00-6.98 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 3.70-3.67 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.94-2.92 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H); 13C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl3) δ 162.6, 161.0, 160.4, 150.6, 150.3, 149.2, 140.8, 139.3, 134.58, 134.56, 130.41, 130.36, 129.1, 123.9, 115.6, 115.5, 114.6, 41.088, 41.084, 35.2, ; HRMS Calculated for C22H18FN5O [M+H]+: 388.1569, Found: 388.1573.

7k mixture of mono&di substitution

7k was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) to get mono- and di-substitution product as inseparable mixture (ratio of mono-: di ~1: 0.2); Isolated yield of mixture 68%; 1H-NMR
(600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.65-8.64(m, 1H), 8.19-8.17(m, 1H), 8.18-8.11(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(m, 1H), 7.48-7.44(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(m, 1H), 7.27-7.24(m, 0.77H), 6.93-6.89(td, $J = 8.4$, 3.0 Hz, 0.77H), 6.84-6.82(dd, $J = 9.0$, 2.4 Hz, 0.77H), 6.78-6.77(d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 0.35H), 3.64-3.61(q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1.54H), 3.53-3.49(q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 1.54H), 2.86-2.84(t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1.54H), 2.81-2.79(t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 0.35H), 2.33(s, 2.31H), 2.32(s, 0.53H).

5-methoxy-2-(2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-1,3-phenylene diacetate (7m) was prepared following the general procedure C with 3 equiv. at 100 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 80%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.65-8.64(d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.18(d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.17-8.15(m, 2H), 7.96-7.93(td, $J = 8.4$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.44(m, 3H), 7.41-7.39(dd, $J = 7.2$, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.26(m, br, 1H), 6.55(s, 2H), 3.75(s, 3H), 3.53-3.49(q, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.76-2.74(t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.31(s, 6H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 169.5, 160.5, 158.9, 150.8, 150.5, 150.1, 149.1, 140.8, 139.3, 129.8, 129.6, 129.2, 128.2, 123.9, 116.0, 114.6, 106.8, 55.7, 39.1; HRMS Calculated for C$_{27}$H$_{25}$N$_{5}$O$_{6}$ [M+H]$^+$: 516.1878, Found:516.1880.

(S)-methyl-3-methyl-2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)butanoate (7p') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.68-8.66(dd, $J = 4.8$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.20-8.18(d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.09-8.08(m, 2H), 7.98-7.95(td, $J = 7.8$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.41(m, 5H), 4.76-4.74(q, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.77(s, 3H), 2.32-2.67(m, 1H), 1.040-1.036(d,
\[ J = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 3H \], 1.029-1.025(d, \( J = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 3H \); \(^{13}\text{C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl}_3 \)) \delta 172.3, 160.2, 150.5, 149.2, 140.3, 139.3, 129.8, 129.7, 129.1, 128.3, 123.9, 114.8, 57.5, 52.4, 31.6, 19.2, 18.4; HRMS Calculated for C20H21N5O3 [M+H]^+ : 380.1717, Found: 380.1722.

(2S,3R)-methyl-4-acetoxys-ethyl-butanoate (7pa) was prepared following the general procedure D and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 57\%; \(^1\text{H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl}_3 \)) \delta 8.65-8.64(m, 1H), 8.20-8.19(d, \( J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H \), 8.09-8.08(m, 2H), 7.98-7.94(td, \( J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H \), 7.76-7.75(d, \( J = 6.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H \), 7.48-7.41(m, 4H), 4.95-4.93(q, \( J = 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H \), 4.02-4.17(m, 1H), 4.08-4.05(m, 1H), 3.78(s, 3H).; \(^{13}\text{C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl}_3 \)) \delta 171.5, 171.0, 160.4, 150.5, 150.4, 149.1, 140.0, 139.2, 129.7, 129.6, 129.0, 128.5, 124.0, 114.7, 65.6, 54.4, 52.5, 35.5, 20.9, 14.2; HRMS Calculated for C23H25N5O5 [M+H]^+ : 452.1929, Found: 452.1936.
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\( 7pa \)

(S)-2-(2-methoxy-oxo-1-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)propane-1,3-diyl diacetate (7pb) was prepared following the general procedure D and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 19\%; \(^1\text{H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl}_3 \)) \delta 8.64-8.62(m, 1H), 8.20-8.18(d, \( J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H \), 8.09-8.08(m, 2H), 7.98-7.94(td, \( J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H \), 7.87-7.85(m, 1H), 7.48-7.41(m, 4H), 5.14-5.12(q, \( J = 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H \), 4.35-4.32(m, 1H), 4.22-4.18(m, 2H), 3.79(s, 3H), 2.84-2.81(m, 1H), 2.16(s, 3H), 2.08(s, 3H); \(^{13}\text{C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl}_3 \)) \delta 172.6, 160.2, 150.5, 149.2, 140.3, 139.3, 129.8, 129.7, 129.1, 128.3, 123.9, 114.8, 57.5, 52.4, 31.6, 19.2, 18.4; HRMS Calculated for C23H25N5O5 [M+H]^+ : 452.1929, Found: 452.1936.
MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$171.1, 171.7, 160.4, 150.6, 150.5, 149.1, 139.8, 139.2, 129.8, 129.7, 129.0, 128.4, 124.1, 114.8, 61.95, 61.93, 51.9, 51.4, 40.0, 20.97, 20.95; HRMS Calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{25}$N$_5$O$_7$ [M+H]$^+$: 496.1827, Found: 496.1832.

(S)-3-methyl-2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)butyl acetate (7q') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.68-8.67(m, 1H), 8.20-8.19(d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.10-8.08(m, 2H), 7.98-7.95(t, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.42(m, 4H), 7.09-7.08(m, br,1H), 4.30-4.21(m, 2H), 2.06(s, 3H), 2.01-2.97(m, 1H), 1.04-1.02(t, $J$ = 5.4 Hz, 6H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$171.2, 160.3, 150.5, 149.2, 140.7, 139.4, 129.6, 129.8, 129.2, 128.3, 123.9, 114.9, 64.7, 53.8, 29.7, 21.1, 19.6, 19.2; HRMS Calculated for C$_{21}$H$_{23}$N$_5$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 394.1874, Found: 394.1880.

(3S)-2-methyl-3-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)butane-1,4-diyldiacetate (7qa) was prepared following the general procedure D and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 61%; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$8.65-8.64(d, $J$ = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.20-8.18(d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.09-8.08(m, 2H), 7.98-7.95(td, $J$ = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.41(m, 4H), 7.38-7.26(d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.46-4.41(m, 1H), 4.33-4.25(m, 2H), 4.18-4.11(m, 2H), 2.25-2.22(m, 1H), 2.10(s, 3H), 2.07(s, 3H), 2.48-2.44 (m, 1H), 2.14(s, 3H), 2.087(s, 3H), 2.081(s, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$170.9, 170.87, 170.82, 160.2, 150.6, 149.1, 140.1, 139.3, 129.8, 129.0, 128.3, 114.8, 64.1, 62.12, 62.089, 62.083, 41.4, 38.7, 21.04, 20.99; HRMS Calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{25}$N$_5$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 452.1929, Found: 452.1936.
(S)-2-(acetoxymethyl)-3-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)butane-1,4-diyl diacetate (7qb) was prepared following the general procedure D and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 13%; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.63-8.62(d, \(J = 4.8\) Hz, 1H), 8.20-8.18(d, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 8.09-8.08(m, 2H), 7.98-7.95(td, \(J = 7.8, 1.8\) Hz, 1H), 7.51-7.41(m, 5H), 4.70-4.65(m, 1H), 4.33-4.17(m, 6H), 2.48-2.44 (m, 1H), 2.14(s, 3H), 2.087(s, 3H), 2.081(s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 170.9, 170.87, 170.82, 160.2, 150.6, 150.5, 149.1, 140.1, 139.3, 129.8, 129.0, 128.3, 114.8, 64.1, 62.12, 62.089, 62.083, 41.4, 38.7, 21.04, 20.99; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{25}\)H\(_{27}\)N\(_5\)O\(_7\) [M+H]\(^+\): 510.1984, Found: 510.1987.

\(\text{(2S)-methyl-3-methyl-2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)pentanoate (7r')}\) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white liquid; \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.68-8.66(d, \(J = 4.8\) Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.18(d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 1H), 8.09-8.08(m, 2H), 7.97-7.94(td, \(J = 8.7, 1.5\) Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.41(m, 5H), 4.81-4.79 (dd, \(J = 8.4, 5.4\) Hz, 1H), 3.77(m, 3H), 2.04-2.01(m, 1H), 1.588-1.54(m, 1H), 1.32-1.27(m, 1H), 1.00-0.99 (d, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 3H), 0.97-0.95(t, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 172.2, 160.1, 150.6, 150.5, 149.2, 140.3, 139.2, 129.8, 129.6, 129.1, 128.3, 140.0, 114.8, 56.8, 52.33, 52.32, 38.2, 25.6, 15.7, 11.7; HRMS Calculated for C\(_{21}\)H\(_{23}\)N\(_3\)O\(_3\) [M+H]\(^+\): 394.1874, Found: 394.1880.
(2S)-methyl-3-(acetoxyethyl)-2-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)pentanoate (7r) was prepared following the general procedure D and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; isolated yield 58% (72% brsm); $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.65-8.64 (d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.18 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.11-8.07 (m, 2H), 7.96-7.94 (td, $J = 7.8$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.69-7.67 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.41 (m, 4H), 5.05-5.03 (q, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.22-4.19 (m, 1H), 4.06-4.03 (m, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.31-2.27 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.60-1.56 (m, 1H), 1.49-1.12 (m, 1H), 1.04-1.01 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 171.7, 171.0, 160.2, 150.56, 150.54, 149.2, 140.1, 139.2, 129.75, 129.68, 129.0, 128.3, 124.0, 114.7, 63.8, 53.3, 52.598, 52.593, 42.6, 21.06, 21.00, 17.6, 11.9.; HRMS Calculated for C$_{23}$H$_{25}$N$_5$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 452.1929, Found: 452.1936

N-(3-methylbutan-2-yl)-5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide (7s') was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; $^1$H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.66-8.65 (d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.18-8.17 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 8.11-8.10 (m, 2H), 7.95-7.92 (td, $J = 8.7$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.41-7.39 (dd, $J = 7.2$, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 6.91-6.90 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.01-4.04 (m, 1H), 1.87-1.79 (m, 1H), 1.22-1.21 (d, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 3H), 0.98-0.96 (dd, $J = 9.0$, 7.2 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 159.7, 150.6, 150.3, 149.2, 141.1, 139.2, 129.7, 129.5, 129.3, 128.2, 123.8, 114.7, 50.6, 33.3, 19.2, 18.8, 17.8; HRMS Calculated for C$_{19}$H$_{21}$N$_5$O [M+H]$^+$: 336.1819, Found: 336.1823
2-methyl-3-(5-phenyl-2-(pyridin-2-yl)-2H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)butyl acetate (7s) was prepared following the general procedure D and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 68%; ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.65-8.64 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.19-8.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.11-8.10 (m, 2H), 7.96-7.93 (td, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.42-7.39 (dd, J = 7.2, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.19-7.18 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.30-4.26 (m, 1H), 4.15-4.02 (m, 1H), 4.09-4.06 (m, 1H), 1.87-1.79 (m, 1H), 2.11-2.07 (m, 4H), 1.28-1.27 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.04-1.03 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.3, 159.7, 150.4, 149.1, 140.8, 139.3, 129.8, 129.6, 129.2, 128.3, 114.8, 66.7, 47.4, 37.4, 21.1, 17.9, 13.9; HRMS Calculated for C₂₁H₂₃N₅O₃ [M+H]⁺: 394.1874, Found: 394.1879.

N-phenethyl-6-(4-phenyl-2H-1,2,3-triazol-2-yl)picolinamide (PyTAA) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.44 (s, 1H), 8.39-8.38 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.27-8.26 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.12-8.09 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.95-7.94 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.95-7.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79-7.78 (m, br, 1s), 7.54-7.51 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.45-7.44 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.0-7.0 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.33-7.30 (m, 3H), 8.19-8.18 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.11-8.10 (m, 2H), 7.96-7.93 (td, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.44 (m, 3H), 3.84-3.81 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.00-2.98 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.2, 162.8, 149.2, 148.5, 147.8, 141.0, 139.0, 130.0, 129.2, 129.1, 192.0, 128.9, 126.9, 126.2, 122.3, 116.6, 116.5, 40.5, 35.9; HRMS Calculated for C₂₂H₁₉N₅O [M+H]⁺: 370.1662, Found: 370.1666.
Figure 1. ORTEP Drawing of the X-ray Crystal Structure 3a (C\textsubscript{18}H\textsubscript{16}N\textsubscript{4}O)
CCDC Number: 932446
Part II. Palladium-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidative C–H Olefination with Removable 1,2,3-Triazole Directing Group

11a  (1-benzyl-N-(2-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.47-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 4H), 7.17-7.12 (m, 3H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.60 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.90 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.33 (s, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 160.2, 139.17, 139.00, 136.9, 136.3, 134.7, 130.4, 129.96, 129.90, 129.3, 128.8, 128.50, 128.36, 127.5, 126.6, 126.14, 125.94, 52.0, 39.1, 33.3, 19.4;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{25}$H$_{24}$N$_4$O$^+ [M+H]$: 397.2023, Found: 397.2015.

11b  (1-benzyl-N-(2-methoxyphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.60-7.58 (t, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.27-7.24 (m, 5H), 7.23-7.19 (td, $J = 8.0$, 1.6Hz, 1H), 7.17-7.14 (dd, $J = 7.6$, 1.6Hz, 1H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 2H), 6.91-6.86(m, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.63-3.58 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.94-2.90 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 160.21, 157.47, 139.16, 139.04, 134.81, 130.57, 129.97, 129.78, 128.76, 128.41, 128.30, 127.80, 127.45, 127.42, 126.03, 120.62, 110.19, 55.27, 51.93, 39.64, 30.23;

11c (1-benzyl-N-(2-fluorophenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.47-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.38-7.35 (m, 1H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 5H), 7.25-7.17 (m, 2H), 7.08-7.04 (m, 1H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.67-3.62 (m, 2H), 2.94 (t, $J=7.2$ Hz, 2H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 162.48, 160.26, 160.03, 139.20, 138.92, 134.73, 131.07, 131.02, 129.96, 129.90, 128.79, 128.48, 128.36, 128.29, 128.21, 127.49, 125.93, 125.82, 125.66, 124.17, 124.14, 115.47, 115.25, 52.00, 39.05, 39.03, 29.37;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{21}$FN$_4$O [M+H]$^+,: 401.1772$, Found: 401.1769.

11d (1-benzyl-N-(2-bromophenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.55-7.52 (dd, $J=7.9$, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.38 (m, 4H), 7.29-7.20 (m, 7H), 7.10-7.05 (ddd, $J=8.0$, 6.7, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.65 (dt, $J=7.9$, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.06-3.02 (t, $J=7.3$ Hz, 2H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 160.26, 139.18, 138.91, 138.18, 134.71, 132.88, 130.89, 129.94, 129.88, 128.76, 128.46, 128.33, 128.19, 127.58, 127.44, 125.90, 124.53, 51.98, 38.67, 36.07;
HRMS Calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{21}$BrN$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 461.0972, Found: 461.0968.

11e  (1-benzyl-N-(3-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;
$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 7.49-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.35-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.29-7.24 (m, 5H), 7.20-7.17 (m, 1H), 7.04-7.00 (m, 5H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.62 (dt, $J = 7.9, 6.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.85 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.32 (s, 3H);
$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 160.2, 139.19, 139.00, 138.7, 138.2, 134.7, 129.97, 129.89, 129.5, 128.8, 128.4, 127.5, 127.2, 126.0, 125.7, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 52.0, 40.3, 35.9, 21.3;

11f (1-benzyl-N-(3-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;
$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.48-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.36 (t, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.23 (m, 5H), 7.22-7.18 (m, 1H), 7.01-6.98 (m, 2H), 6.81-6.79 (m, 1H), 6.77-6.74 (m, 2H), 5.40 (s, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.65-3.60 (m, 2H), 2.86 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H);
$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 160.2, 159.7, 140.4, 139.2, 138.9, 134.7, 129.93, 129.87, 129.6, 128.8, 128.45, 128.33, 127.4, 125.9, 121.0, 114.2, 112.1, 55.1, 52.0, 40.2, 36.0;
HRMS Calculated for C$_{25}$H$_{24}$N$_{4}$O$_{2}$ [M+H]$^+$: 413.1972, Found: 413.1970.

$^{11}$g (1-benzyl-N-(3-fluorophenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;
$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.47-7.38 (m, 4H), 7.28-7.22 (m, 6H), 7.02-6.98 (m, 3H), 6.93-6.89 (m, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.66-3.61 (m, 2H), 2.89 (t, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 2H);
$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 164.1, 161.7, 160.2, 141.40, 141.34, 139.2, 138.9, 134.7, 130.04, 129.95, 129.93, 128.8, 128.48, 128.36, 127.5, 125.9, 124.37, 124.34, 115.7, 115.5, 113.4, 113.2, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 52.0, 40.0, 35.67, 35.65;
HRMS Calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{21}$FN$_{4}$O [M+H]$^+$: 401.1772, Found: 401.1770.

$^{11}$h (1-benzyl-N-phenethyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;
$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 7.47-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.32-7.22 (m, 9H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.64 (q, $J$ = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.89 (t, $J$ = 7.3 Hz, 2H);
$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 160.2, 138.8, 134.7, 129.97, 129.90, 128.79, 128.72, 128.59, 128.48, 128.36, 127.5, 126.4, 125.9, 52.0, 40.3, 36.0;
HRMS Calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{22}$N$_{4}$O [M+H]$^+$: 383.1866, Found: 383.1863
11i (1-benzyl-N-(4-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.46-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 5H), 7.11 (s, 4H), 7.01 (dd, $J = 6.2$, 2.8 Hz, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.61 (q, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.84 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 160.2, 139.15, 138.98, 135.9, 135.7, 134.7, 129.94, 129.86, 129.3, 128.76, 128.57, 128.45, 128.33, 127.5, 125.9, 52.0, 40.4, 35.5, 21.0;


11j (1-benzyl-N-(4-methoxyphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.47-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.32 (t, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 5H), 7.14 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 2H), 6.86-6.83 (m, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.60 (q, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 2.83 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 160.2, 158.2, 139.17, 138.99, 134.7, 130.8, 129.96, 129.89, 129.7, 128.8, 128.48, 128.36, 127.5, 125.9, 114.0, 55.2, 52.0, 40.5, 35.0;

HRMS Calculated for $C_{25}H_{24}N_4O_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 413.1972, Found: 413.1966.
11k (1-benzyl-N-(4-fluorophenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.49-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.36-7.33 (m, 1H), 7.28-7.24 (m, 5H), 7.19-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.02-6.95 (m, 4H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.63-3.58 (m, 2H), 2.86 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 162.80, 162.80, 160.37, 160.37, 160.21, 160.21, 139.21, 139.21, 138.88, 138.88, 134.68, 134.68, 134.47, 134.47, 134.44, 134.44, 130.15, 130.15, 130.07, 130.07, 129.93, 129.93, 129.91, 129.91, 128.48, 128.48, 128.37, 128.37, 125.88, 125.88, 115.45, 115.45, 115.24, 115.24, 51.99, 51.99, 40.31, 40.31, 40.30, 40.30, 35.12, 35.12

HRMS Calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{21}$FN$_4$O [M+H]$^+$: 401.1772, Found: 401.1770

11l (1-benzyl-5-phenyl-N-(2-phenylpropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.48-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.33-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.19 (m, 7H), 7.01-6.99 (m, 2H), 5.39 (s, 2H), 3.66 (dt, $J = 13.5$, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (ddd, $J = 13.4$, 7.9, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.03 (sextet, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H), 1.30 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H);
$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 160.2, 144.0, 139.1, 138.9, 134.7, 129.92, 129.82, 128.72, 128.60, 128.42, 128.30, 127.4, 127.1, 126.6, 125.9, 51.9, 45.7, 39.8, 19.2;


13a ((E)-butyl-3-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-3-methylphenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 99.2 mg, 95%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.41-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.32-7.27 (m, 4H), 7.24-7.20 (m, 3H), 7.18-7.17 (m, br, 1H), 5.54 (s, 2H), 3.71-3.68 (q, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.92-2.90 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 166.9, 160.2, 142.4, 139.2, 138.9, 137.6, 136.5, 134.8, 134.1, 132.2, 129.99, 129.89, 128.8, 128.48, 128.34, 127.4, 126.8, 125.9, 124.9, 120.7, 64.4, 52.0, 38.9, 30.7, 29.4, 20.1, 19.2, 13.7;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{32}$H$_{34}$N$_{4}$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 523.2704, Found: 523.2701.

13b (E)-butyl-3-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-3-methoxyphenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 101.2 mg, 94%;
$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 7.98 (d, $J$ = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (t, $J$ = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.38 (m, 3H), 7.28-7.16 (m, 7H), 7.01-6.98 (m, 2H), 6.91 (dd, $J$ = 8.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.33 (d, $J$ = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 4.16 (t, $J$ = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.55 (q, $J$ = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 3.13 (t, $J$ = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.69-1.62 (m, 3H), 1.45-1.36 (m, 2H), 0.94 (d, $J$ = 14.8 Hz, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.7, 160.2, 157.7, 141.6, 139.04, 139.01, 135.02, 134.83, 130.0, 129.8, 128.8, 128.37, 128.29, 127.6, 127.35, 127.27, 126.0, 121.07, 121.05, 119.1, 111.3, 64.4, 55.7, 51.9, 39.7, 30.7, 24.9, 19.2, 13.7;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{32}$H$_{34}$N$_4$O$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 539.2653, Found: 539.2650.

![Chemical structure](image)

$^{13}$c ((E)-butyl-3-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-3-fluorophenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 94.8 mg, 90%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 7.92 (d, $J$ = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.36-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.29-7.19 (m, 6H), 7.06 (td, $J$ = 8.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 2H), 6.35 (d, $J$ = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 4.15 (t, $J$ = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.58 (q, $J$ = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.10 (td, $J$ = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 1.68-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.45-1.35 (m, 2H), 0.94 (t, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.4, 162.8, 160.33, 160.23, 140.37, 140.33, 139.2, 138.8, 136.05, 136.01, 134.8, 130.00, 129.84, 128.8, 128.42, 128.32, 128.12, 128.03, 127.4, 125.93, 125.76, 125.60, 122.53, 122.50, 121.8, 116.6, 116.4, 64.5, 51.9, 39.1, 30.7, 25.34, 25.31, 19.2, 13.7;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{31}$H$_{31}$FN$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 527.2453, Found: 527.2451.
13d (E)-butyl 3-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-3-bromophenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 94.5 mg, 81%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.98 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, $J = 8.0, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.40 (m, 5H), 7.29-7.25 (m, 6H), 7.11 (t, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.00-1.02 (m, 2H), 6.29 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 2H), 4.13 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.63-3.58 (m, 2H), 3.26 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.65-1.59 (m, 2H), 1.43-1.34 (m, 2H), 0.93 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 166.3, 160.2, 141.5, 139.2, 138.8, 137.4, 136.3, 134.8, 134.3, 130.02, 129.96, 129.83, 128.8, 128.41, 128.31, 128.28, 127.47, 127.38, 126.35, 126.33, 125.9, 122.2, 64.5, 51.9, 38.5, 32.6, 30.6, 19.1, 13.7;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{31}$H$_{31}$BrN$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 587.1652, Found: 587.1645.

13e ((E)-butyl-3-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-4-methylphenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 94.5 mg, 91%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.96 (d, $J = 15.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.41 (m, 4H), 7.35 (t, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.23 (m, 5H), 7.07 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.03-7.00 (m, 2H), 6.34 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.58-3.55 (m, 2H), 3.01 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 1.48 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 1.21-1.16 (m, 6H), 0.87 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H);
Hz, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.71-1.64 (m, 3H), 1.42 (q, $J = 8.3$, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 0.96 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 167.0, 160.2, 141.3, 140.5, 139.2, 138.9, 138.2, 134.8, 131.2, 130.5, 129.97, 129.88, 128.8, 128.46, 128.33, 128.0, 127.4, 126.7, 125.9, 119.2, 64.3, 52.0, 40.0, 33.1, 30.7, 21.3, 19.2, 13.7;

**HRMS** Calculated for C$_{32}$H$_{34}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 523.2704, Found: 523.2701.

$^{13}$f ((E)-butyl-3-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 85.0 mg, 79%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.92 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.36-7.33 (m, 1H), 7.30-7.25 (m, 9H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 2H), 6.81-6.76 (m, 2H), 6.28 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 2H), 4.18 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.62-3.57 (m, 2H), 3.04 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.71-1.64 (m, 2H), 1.47-1.38 (m, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 0.96 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 13-C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 167.2, 161.1, 160.3, 140.8, 140.3, 139.2, 138.9, 134.8, 129.98, 129.92, 128.8, 128.49, 128.37, 127.5, 125.94, 125.81, 117.7, 115.1, 113.5, 64.3, 55.3, 52.0, 39.9, 33.3, 30.8, 19.2, 13.8;

**HRMS** Calculated for C$_{32}$H$_{34}$N$_4$O$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 539.2653, Found: 539.2651.
13g ((E)-butyl 3-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-4-fluorophenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 58.8 mg, 56%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 7.74 (d, $J = 16.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.36-7.32 (m, 1H), 7.28-7.22 (m, 7H), 7.06-6.97 (m, 4H), 6.58 (dd, $J = 16.1$, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 2H), 4.20 (t, $J = 6.7$ Hz, 2H), 3.60 (q, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H), 3.06 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.67 (dq, $J = 14.2$, 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.43 (dq, $J = 15.0$, 7.5 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) 13C NMR (101 MHz; cdcl3): δ 167.2, 161.1, 160.3, 140.8, 140.3, 139.2, 138.9, 134.8, 129.98, 129.92, 128.8, 128.49, 128.37, 127.5, 125.94, 125.81, 117.7, 115.1, 113.5, 64.3, 55.3, 52.0, 39.9, 33.3, 30.8, 19.2, 13.8;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{31}$H$_{31}$FN$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 527.2453, Found: 527.2452.

13gd (2E,2’E)-dibutyl 3,3’-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-4-fluoro-1,3-phenylene)diacrylate) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 36.0 mg, 28%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 7.98 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, $J = 16.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.52 (dd, $J = 8.7$, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.25 (m, 6H), 7.07-7.00 (m, 3H), 6.49 (dd, $J = 16.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.26 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 4.14 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz,
4H), 3.54 (q, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.18 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.64 (td, J = 7.9, 4.8 Hz, 6H),
1.43-1.36 (m, 4H), 0.94 (td, J = 7.4, 1.7 Hz, 6H);
$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.6, 166.4, 160.7, 160.2, 140.9, 139.96, 139.93, 139.3,
138.6, 134.91, 134.82, 131.15, 131.11, 129.8, 129.40, 129.30, 128.39, 128.31, 126.28,
126.15, 125.9, 122.99, 122.88, 121.5, 115.3, 115.0, 64.59, 64.49, 51.9, 39.3, 30.7, 29.48,
29.47, 19.2, 13.7;

$^{13}$h was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 98 mg, 90%
(inseparable mixture of mon and di olefination products, ratio =1:0.9);
$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ  8.04 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1.8H), 7.99 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H),
7.58-7.56(m, 2.8H), 7.47-7.41 (m, 5H), 7.33-7.24 (m, 14H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 3.8H), 6.37 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1.8H), 5.41 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 3.8H), 4.17 (m, 5.6H),
3.62-3.51 (m, 3.8H), 3.19 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1.8H), 3.05 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.73-1.61 (m, 5.6H), 1.45-1.37 (m, 5.7H), 0.95 (m, 8.4H);
$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.8, 166.4, 160.23, 160.17, 141.6, 141.4, 139.25,
139.22, 138.85, 138.65, 138.3, 137.3, 135.2, 134.83, 134.74, 133.4, 130.4, 130.16,
130.06, 129.97, 129.89, 129.80, 128.78, 128.63, 128.46, 128.36, 128.34, 128.31, 128.28,
127.45, 127.39, 127.35, 127.1, 126.9, 125.9, 121.8, 120.3, 64.45, 64.42, 51.98, 51.91,
39.9, 39.6, 33.1, 30.71, 30.66, 28.9, 19.18, 19.15, 13.7, 40.5, 36.0.
HRMS Calculated for C₃₁H₃₂N₄O₃ [M+H]$^+$: 509.2547, Found: 509.2543
13i was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 105.3 mg, 91% (inseparable mixture of mon and di olefination products, ratio = 1:1);

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 8.02 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.96 (d, $J = 16.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.39 (m, 8H), 7.35 (q, $J = 5.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.25 (m, 10H), 7.13-7.11 (m, 2H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 4H), 6.36 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.31 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 2H), 5.41 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 4H), 4.16 (dt, $J = 17.4$, 6.7 Hz, 6H), 3.60-3.49 (m, 4H), 3.15 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 3.01 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.34 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 6H), 1.70-1.61 (m, 6H), 1.45-1.37 (m, 6H), 0.98-0.92 (m, 8H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 166.9, 166.5, 160.17, 160.12, 141.8, 141.5, 139.21, 139.18, 138.9, 138.7, 136.79, 136.62, 135.3, 134.93, 134.81, 134.72, 134.5, 133.1, 131.0, 130.4, 130.03, 129.95, 129.85, 129.77, 129.35, 129.25, 128.75, 128.57, 128.43, 128.34, 128.31, 128.25, 127.43, 127.33, 125.9, 121.5, 119.9, 64.38, 64.35, 51.95, 51.88, 40.0, 39.6, 32.7, 30.69, 30.64, 28.5, 20.9, 19.16, 19.13, 13.7.

HRMS Calculated for C$_{32}$H$_{34}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 523.2704, Found: 509.2543
C$_{39}$H$_{44}$N$_4$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 649.3384, Found: 635.3379.
13j was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 95.0 mg, 86% (inseparable mixture of mon and di olefination products, ratio =0.8 :1);

**1H-NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 8.01 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.95 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 0.8H), 7.47-7.39 (m, 4.6H), 7.35-7.25 (m, 9.5H), 7.16 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 0.8H), 7.08-7.87 (m, 2.8H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 3.6H), 6.89-6.86 (m, 0.8H), 6.35(d, 15.7 Hz, 0.8H), 6.30(d, 15.7 Hz, 2H), 5.412-5.408 (m, 3.6H), 4.20-4.13 (m, 5.6H), 3.84-3.81(m, 5.4H), 3.58-3.47 (m, 3.6H), 3.13 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.99 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1.6H), 1.71-1.61 (m, 5.6H), 1.45-1.35 (m, 5.6H), 0.98-0.92 (m, 8.4H);

**13C-NMR** (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 166.8, 166.4, 160.17, 160.11, 158.4, 158.2, 141.7, 141.4, 139.20, 139.17, 138.85, 138.65, 136.2, 134.80, 134.71, 134.3, 131.5, 130.6, 130.02, 129.94, 129.85, 129.76, 129.63, 128.7, 128.43, 128.33, 128.31, 128.25, 127.42, 127.33, 125.9, 121.8, 120.3, 116.3, 114.0, 111.5, 64.45, 64.43, 55.35, 55.28, 51.95, 51.88, 40.1, 39.8, 32.3, 30.67, 30.62, 28.3, 19.15, 19.12, 13.7 δ 160.1, 140.5, 138.8, 133.9, 129.4, 129.3, 128.9, 128.8, 128.4, 126.7, 125.3, 54.7, 40.5, 36.0.

**HRMS** Calculated for C$_{32}$H$_{34}$N$_4$O$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 539.2653, Found: 539.2647

C$_{39}$H$_{44}$N$_4$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 665.3334, Found: 665.3326.

13k ((E)-butyl-3-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-5-fluorophenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 47mg, 44%;

**1H-NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 7.91 (dd, $J = 15.8$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.50-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.35-7.31 (m, 1H), 7.30-7.19 (m, 9H), 7.04-6.98 (m, 3H), 6.35 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s,
2H), 4.19 (t, \( J = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\)H), 3.59-3.53 (m, 2H), 3.02 (t, \( J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 2\)H), 1.71-1.64 (m, 2H), 1.47-1.38 (m, 3H), 0.96 (t, \( J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 3\)H);

\(^{13}\text{C-NMR\ (100 MHz, CDCl}_3\): \( \delta \) 166.5, 162.9, 162.1, 160.5, 160.3, 140.34, 140.31, 138.8, 135.21, 135.14, 134.7, 134.10, 134.07, 132.12, 132.04, 129.97, 129.95, 128.8, 128.50, 128.39, 127.5, 125.9, 121.4, 117.2, 117.0, 113.3, 113.1, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 64.6, 52.0, 40.0, 32.5, 30.7, 19.2, 13.7;

**HRMS** Calculated for \( \text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{31}\text{FN}_4\text{O}_3\) [M+H]^+: 527.2453, Found: 527.2449.

13kd \((2E,2'E)-\text{dibutyl 3,3'}-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-5-fluoro-1,3-phenylene)diacrylate)\) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 57.0 mg, 43%;

\(^1\text{H-NMR\ (400 MHz; CDCl}_3\): \( \delta \) 7.99 (dd, \( J = 15.7, 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 2\)H), 7.46-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.32-7.25 (m, 8H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 2H), 6.30 (d, \( J = 15.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\)H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 4.15 (t, \( J = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 4\)H), 3.51 (q, \( J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\)H), 3.15 (t, \( J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 2\)H), 1.68-1.61 (m, 4H), 1.40 (quintetd, \( J = 8.3, 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 4\)H), 0.94 (t, \( J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 6\)H);

\(^{13}\text{C-NMR\ (100 MHz, CDCl}_3\): \( \delta \) 166.2, 160.2, 140.59, 140.57, 139.3, 138.6, 137.16, 137.09, 134.8, 133.30, 133.27, 130.02, 129.85, 128.8, 128.39, 128.31, 127.4, 125.8, 122.8, 115.2, 115.0, 64.6, 51.9, 39.5, 30.6, 28.5, 19.1, 13.8;

**HRMS** Calculated for \( \text{C}_{38}\text{H}_{41}\text{FN}_4\text{O}_5\) [M+H]^+: 653.3134, Found: 653.3130
13l ((E)-butyl 3-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)propan-2-yl)phenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 90.1 mg, 86%;

\[ ^1\text{H-NMR} \text{ (400 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta 8.05 \text{ (d, } J = 15.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 7.53 \text{ (dd, } J = 7.8, 1.3 \text{ Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.38 \text{ (m, 3H), 7.37-7.32 \text{ (m, 1H), 7.25 \text{ (dd, } J = 19.7, 1.6 \text{ Hz, 6H), 7.18-7.16 \text{ (m, 1H), 7.00 \text{ (td, } J = 3.7, 1.7 \text{ Hz, 2H), 6.31 \text{ (d, } J = 15.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 5.44-5.36 \text{ (m, 2H), 4.18 \text{ (t, } J = 6.7 \text{ Hz, 2H), 3.78-3.70 \text{ (m, 1H), 3.53-3.44 \text{ (m, 2H), 1.71-1.64 \text{ (m, 2H), 1.46-1.39 \text{ (m, 2H), 1.30 \text{ (d, } J = 6.7 \text{ Hz, 3H), 0.95 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ Hz, 3H);}}}}\]

\[ ^{13}\text{C-NMR} \text{ (100 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta 166.8, 160.2, 143.1, 141.8, 138.8, 134.7, 133.7, 130.3, 129.99, 129.88, 128.8, 128.44, 128.41, 128.34, 127.46, 127.29, 126.8, 125.98, 125.91, 120.9, 64.4, 52.0, 44.6, 35.0, 30.7, 19.4, 19.2, 13.8; \]

HRMS Calculated for C\(_{32}\)H\(_{34}\)N\(_4\)O\(_3\) [M+H]\(^+\): 523.2704, Found: 523.5703.

13m ((2E,2'E)-dibutyl-3,3'-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-1,3-phenylene)diacrylate) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 104.0 mg, 82%;

\[ ^1\text{H-NMR} \text{ (400 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta 8.05 \text{ (d, } J = 15.7 \text{ Hz, 2H), 7.57 \text{ (d, } J = 7.8 \text{ Hz, 2H), 7.46-7.40 \text{ (m, 3H), 7.31-7.26 \text{ (m, 8H), 7.02-6.99 \text{ (m, 2H), 6.32 \text{ (d, } J = 15.7 \text{ Hz, 2H), 5.41 \text{ (s, 2H), 4.14 \text{ (t, } J = 6.7 \text{ Hz, 4H), 3.53 \text{ (q, } J = 6.8 \text{ Hz, 2H), 3.21-3.18 \text{ (m, 2H), 1.68-1.61 \text{ (m, 4H), 1.44-1.35 \text{ (m, 4H), 0.94 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ Hz, 6H);}}}}\]

\[ ^{13}\text{C-NMR} \text{ (100 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta 166.5, 160.2, 141.7, 139.3, 138.7, 137.3, 135.1, 134.8, 130.06, 129.97, 129.81, 128.78, 128.64, 128.37, 128.29, 127.4, 125.9, 121.8, 64.5, 51.9, 39.6, 30.7, 28.9, 19.2, 13.7; \]

HRMS Calculated for C\(_{38}\)H\(_{42}\)N\(_4\)O\(_5\) [M+H]\(^+\): 635.3228, Found: 635.3225
13n  

\((2E,2'E)\text{-dibutyl-3,3'-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-5-methyl-1,3-phenylene)diacrylate}\) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 110.0 mg, 85%;

\(^1\text{H-NMR}\) (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 8.01 (d, \(J = 15.7\) Hz, 2H), 7.46-7.39 (m, 5H), 7.30-7.24 (m, 8H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 2H), 6.30 (d, \(J = 15.7\) Hz, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 4.14 (s, 4H), 3.51 (q, \(J = 6.7\) Hz, 2H), 3.15 (t, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.68-1.60 (m, 4H), 1.44-1.35 (m, 4H), 0.94 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 6H);

\(^{13}\text{C-NMR}\) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 166.5, 160.1, 141.8, 139.2, 138.7, 136.8, 134.95, 134.83, 134.5, 130.05, 129.97, 129.87, 129.78, 129.4, 128.8, 128.45, 128.35, 128.26, 127.44, 127.37, 127.34, 125.9, 121.5, 64.4, 51.9, 39.7, 30.7, 29.7, 28.5, 21.0, 19.1, 13.7;

HRMS Calculated for C\(_{39}\)H\(_{44}\)N\(_4\)O\(_5\) [M+H]\(^+\): 649.3384, Found: 649.3380.

13o  

\((2E,2'E)\text{-dibutyl-3,3'-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-5-methoxy-1,3-phenylene)diacrylate}\) was prepared following the general procedure C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 111.0 mg, 84%;

\(^1\text{H-NMR}\) (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 8.01 (d, \(J = 15.7\) Hz, 2H), 7.46-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.29-7.25 (m, 7H), 7.10 (s, 2H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 2H), 6.30 (d, \(J = 15.7\) Hz, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 4.15 (t,
14a ((E)-methyl-3-(2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-3-methylphenyl)acrylate) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 87.9 mg, 92%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 8.07 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.46-7.39 (dt, $J = 16.1$, 8.2 Hz, 5H), 7.29-7.26 (m, 5H), 7.17 (dt, $J = 16.9$, 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (t, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.32 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 2H), 3.74 (d, $J = 0.5$ Hz, 3H), 3.50 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.08 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 167.2, 160.2, 142.7, 139.2, 138.9, 137.6, 136.6, 134.8, 134.1, 133.2, 132.2, 131.1, 130.00, 129.89, 128.8, 128.48, 128.35, 127.4, 126.8, 125.9, 124.9, 120.2, 52.0, 51.6, 38.9, 29.4, 20.1;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{28}$H$_{26}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 481.2234, Found: 481.2233
14b  

(1-benzyl-N-(2-methyl-6-((E)-3-oxo-3-((1S,2R,4R)-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-yl)prop-1-en-1-yl)phenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 103.0 mg, 86%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 8.01 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.40 (m, 4H), 7.34 (t, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.26 (m, 5H), 7.20-7.13 (m, 2H), 7.00 (t, $J = 3.7$ Hz, 2H), 6.31 (d, $J = 15.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.42 (s, 2H), 4.78 (t, $J = 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.51 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 3.07 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.84 (d, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 2H), 1.75-1.54 (m, 4H), 1.07 (d, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 3H), 0.87 (d, $J = 13.8$ Hz, 6H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 166.2, 160.2, 141.9, 139.2, 138.9, 137.6, 136.4, 134.8, 133.9, 132.2, 129.98, 129.88, 128.8, 128.47, 128.33, 127.4, 126.8, 125.9, 124.8, 121.1, 81.0, 52.0, 48.9, 47.0, 45.0, 38.95, 38.86, 33.7, 29.4, 27.1, 20.13, 20.10, 19.96, 11.5;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{38}$H$_{42}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 603.3330, Found: 603.3327.

14c  

((E)-1-benzyl-N-(2-(2-cyanovinyl)-6-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 71.2 mg, 80%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 7.77 (d, $J = 16.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.35-7.31 (m, 3H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 5H), 7.18 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.03-7.01 (m, 2H), 5.73 (d, $J = 16.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.43 (s, 2H), 3.52 (q, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.02 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 160.4, 148.8, 139.6, 138.6, 137.7, 136.5, 134.7, 133.6, 133.1, 130.0, 128.48, 128.35, 127.0, 125.8, 124.2, 118.0, 98.3, 52.1, 38.8, 29.2, 20.0;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{28}$H$_{28}$N$_5$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 448.2132, Found: 448.2130.
14d  

\[
\text{((E)-1-benzyl-N-(2-(3-(dimethylamino)-3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)-6-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide)}
\]

was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/2) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 80.8 mg, 82%;

\[\text{\textsuperscript{1}H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): } \delta 8.02 (d, J = 15.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.47-7.40 (m, 4\text{H}), 7.37 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.29-7.25 (m, 5\text{H}), 7.18-7.12 (m, 2\text{H}), 7.02-6.99 (m, 2\text{H}), 6.76 (d, J = 15.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 5.41 (s, 2\text{H}), 3.51 (dt, J = 8.8, 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 3.14-3.04 (m, 8\text{H}), 2.38 (s, 3\text{H}).;\]

\[\text{\textsuperscript{13}C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): } \delta 166.5, 160.2, 140.5, 139.14, 138.99, 137.6, 136.3, 135.3, 134.8, 131.5, 129.9, 128.48, 128.34, 128.31, 127.5, 126.6, 126.0, 124.8, 120.3, 52.0, 38.9, 37.4, 35.9, 29.4, 20.1;\]

\textbf{HRMS} Calculated for C$_{30}$H$_{31}$N$_{5}$O$_{2}$ [M+H]$^+$: 494.2551, Found: 494.2549.

14e  

\[
\text{((E)-diethyl 2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamido)ethyl)-3-methylstyrilphosphonate)}
\]

was prepared following the general procedure B and purified
by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 1/2) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 100.0 mg, 90%;

\[^1\text{H-NMR}\] (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 7.85 (dd, \(J = 22.4, 17.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.37 (m, 4H), 7.28-7.25 (m, 6H), 7.17 (dt, \(J = 14.9, 7.3\) Hz, 2H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 2H), 6.18 (dd, \(J = 18.8, 17.2\) Hz, 1H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 4.15-4.07 (m, 4H), 3.52-3.46 (m, 2H), 3.08-3.05 (m, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.32 (t, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 6H);

\[^{13}\text{C-NMR}\] (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 160.2, 146.49, 146.42, 139.2, 139.0, 137.6, 136.0, 134.81, 134.77, 134.59, 132.2, 129.96, 129.88, 128.55, 128.36, 126.8, 126.0, 124.64, 124.63, 117.8, 115.9, 61.94, 61.88, 52.0, 38.8, 29.7, 29.4, 20.0, 16.44, 16.38;

HRMS Calculated for C\(_{31}\)H\(_{35}\)N\(_{4}\)O\(_{4}\)P \([\text{M+H}]^+\): 559.2469, Found: 559.2468.

14f  ((E)-1-benzyl-N-(2-methyl-6-(2-(phenylsulfonyl)vinyl)phenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 94.5 mg, 84%;

\[^1\text{H-NMR}\] (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 8.02 (d, \(J = 15.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.94-7.91 (m, 2H), 7.57-7.53 (m, 1H), 7.48-7.40 (m, 5H), 7.35-7.21 (m, 7H), 7.12 (t, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.04-7.01 (m, 2H), 6.78 (d, \(J = 15.1\) Hz, 1H), 5.43 (s, 2H), 3.51-3.45 (m, 2H), 3.07 (t, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H);

\[^{13}\text{C-NMR}\] (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 160.3, 140.61, 140.58, 139.4, 138.8, 137.9, 137.1, 134.7, 133.20, 133.04, 132.0, 130.1, 129.8, 129.5, 129.3, 128.8, 128.45, 128.29, 127.7, 127.4, 126.9, 126.0, 125.2, 52.0, 38.8, 29.5, 20.0;

HRMS Calculated for C\(_{33}\)H\(_{30}\)N\(_{4}\)O\(_{3}\)S \([\text{M+H}]^+\): 563.2111, Found: 563.2106.
14g  

\[
\text{((E)-1-benzyl-N-(2-methyl-6-styrylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide)}
\]

was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 85.1 mg, 85%;

\(^1\text{H-NMR}\) (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 7.54 (d, \(J = 16.0\) Hz, 1H), 7.50-7.40 (m, 7H), 7.28-7.18 (m, 8H), 7.18-7.10 (m, 3H), 7.00-6.98 (m, 2H), 6.92 (d, \(J = 16.0\) Hz, 1H), 5.37 (s, 2H), 3.58-3.53 (m, 2H), 3.09 (t, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H);

\(^{13}\text{C-NMR}\) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 160.3, 139.1, 138.9, 137.6, 137.4, 136.8, 134.91, 134.75, 131.1, 130.0, 129.83, 129.81, 128.8, 128.51, 128.40, 128.32, 127.43, 127.32, 126.75, 126.63, 125.9, 124.2, 51.9, 38.7, 29.5, 20.0;

\(\text{HRMS}\) Calculated for C\(_{33}\)H\(_{30}\)N\(_4\)O \([\text{M+H]}^+\): 499.2492, Found: 499.2489.

14h  

\[
\text{((E)-1-benzyl-N-(2-(4-chlorostyryl)-6-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide)}
\]

was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; Isolated yield: 94.4 mg, 89%;

\(^1\text{H-NMR}\) (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 7.60 (d, \(J = 16.0\) Hz, 1H), 7.56-7.52 (m, 1H), 7.48-7.40 (m, 6H), 7.31-7.25 (m, 4H), 7.23-7.09 (m, 5H), 7.02-6.99 (m, 2H), 6.85 (d, \(J = 16.0\) Hz, 1H), 5.39 (s, 2H), 3.57-3.51 (m, 2H), 3.08 (t, \(J = 7.8\) Hz, 2H), 2.39 (s, 3H);
\[ ^{13}C\text{-NMR} \text{ (100 MHz, CDCl}_3\): } \delta 160.4, 139.2, 138.9, 137.1, 136.8, 136.2, 135.0, 134.7, 132.7, 130.06, 129.96, 129.95, 129.5, 128.8, 128.60, 128.50, 128.40, 127.9, 127.52, 127.50, 126.7, 126.0, 124.0, 52.0, 38.8, 29.6, 20.0; \]

HRMS Calculated for C\(_{33}\)H\(_{29}\)ClN\(_4\)O \([\text{M+H]}^+\): 533.2103, Found: 533.2100.

14i \((\text{E})-1\text{-benzyl-N-(2-(4-(tert-butyl)styryl)-6-methylphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide}\) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 89.5 mg, 81%;

\[ ^1\text{H-NMR} \text{ (400 MHz; CDCl}_3\): } \delta 7.53-7.39 \text{ (m, 7H), 7.29-7.20 \text{ (m, 7H), 7.17-7.09 \text{ (m, 2H), 7.02-6.99 \text{ (m, 2H), 6.90 \text{ (d, } J = 16.0 \text{ Hz, 1H), 5.38 \text{ (s, 2H), 3.57-3.52 \text{ (m, 2H), 3.08 \text{ (t, } J = 7.7 \text{ Hz, 2H), 2.39 \text{ (s, 3H), 1.32 \text{ (s, 9H); }}}\]

\[ ^{13}C\text{-NMR} \text{ (100 MHz, CDCl}_3\): } \delta 160.3, 150.4, 139.1, 138.9, 137.6, 136.8, 134.84, 134.76, 130.9, 130.1, 129.80, 129.65, 128.8, 128.40, 128.35, 127.4, 126.6, 126.4, 126.0, 125.5, 124.2, 51.9, 38.7, 34.6, 31.3, 29.6, 20.1; \]

HRMS Calculated for C\(_{37}\)H\(_{38}\)N\(_4\)O \([\text{M+H]}^+\): 555.3118, Found: 555.3114.

14j \((\text{E})-1\text{-benzyl-N-(2-(4-fluorostyryl)-6-methoxyphenethyl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxamide}\) was prepared following the general procedure B and purified
by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as white solid; Isolated yield: 89.5 mg, 84%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.59-7.56 (m, 1H), 7.50-7.46 (m, 1H), 7.44-7.38 (m, 5H), 7.28-7.18 (m, 7H), 6.98 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 6.91-6.86 (m, 3H), 6.82 (t, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 5.37 (s, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.57 (q, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 2H), 3.11 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 2H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 163.4, 161.0, 160.4, 157.7, 139.02, 139.00, 138.2, 134.8, 133.73, 133.70, 130.04, 130.00, 129.96, 129.80, 128.8, 128.38, 128.34, 128.19, 128.10, 127.43, 127.36, 126.04, 125.99, 125.97, 125.4, 118.4, 115.5, 115.3, 109.3, 55.6, 51.9, 39.3, 25.5;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{33}$H$_{29}$FN$_4$O$_2$ [M+H$^+$]: 533.2347, Found: 533.2342

15 ((E)-tert-butyl 2-(4-fluorostyryl)-6-methoxyphenethylcarbamate) was prepared according to procedures described in literature: Zhao, Y.; Chen, G. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4850. Isolated yield: 70.0 mg, 90% as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.55 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.47 (t, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.25-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.07-7.01 (m, 2H), 6.97-6.88 (m, 1H), 6.84-6.79 (m, 1H), 4.72 (s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.31 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2H), 3.00 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.40 (s, 9H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 13-C NMR (101 MHz; c6d6): $\delta$ 163.6, 161.1, 157.8, 156.0, 138.1, 133.77, 133.74, 133.5, 129.9, 129.04, 128.96, 128.31, 128.23, 127.3, 126.03, 126.01, 125.7, 125.5, 118.2, 115.6, 115.4, 109.3, 55.5, 40.5, 28.4, 26.3, 22.7;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{22}$H$_{26}$FNO$_3$ [M+Na$^+$]: 394.1789, Found: 394.1786.
16 (methyl 2-(2-(1-benzyl-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carbonyl)-5-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolin-1-yl)acetate) was prepared following the general procedure 1.5 and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 2/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield: 174.5 mg, 90%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 7.42-7.36 (m, 2H), 7.34-7.22 (m, 6H), 7.11-7.01 (m, 4H), 6.17-6.13 (m, 0.3), 5.99 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 0.7H), 5.44 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.77-4.71 (m, 0.3), 4.62-4.57 (m, 0.7H), 3.61-3.52 (m, 1.6H), 3.39 (s, 2.1H), 3.20 (ddd, $J = 13.6$, 11.3, 5.0 Hz, 0.3), 3.06-2.98 (m, 1.0H), 2.81-2.69 (m, 2.9H), 2.22-2.19 (s, 3H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 170.86, 170.83, 161.0, 140.3, 139.5, 136.70, 136.68, 135.6, 134.93, 134.82, 132.7, 132.5, 130.1, 129.70, 129.65, 128.82, 128.75, 128.53, 128.48, 128.42, 128.36, 128.24, 127.58, 127.46, 126.15, 126.07, 125.99, 124.8, 124.5, 54.3, 52.07, 52.01, 51.72, 51.70, 50.5, 42.4, 41.0, 40.6, 35.9, 27.2, 25.6, 21.0, 19.36, 19.28, 14.2;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{29}$H$_{28}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 481.2234, Found: 481.2236.

13bd was prepared according to procedures described in literature (Zhao, Y.; Chen, G. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4850.) in 1mmol scale; isolated yield: 330.0 mg, 81% as white solid;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 7.59-7.57 (m, 1H), 7.46-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.27-7.19 (m, 6H), 7.01-6.99 (m, 2H), 6.88 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.61 (q, $J = 6.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.92 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H);

$^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 160.2, 157.5, 139.17, 139.06, 134.8, 130.6, 129.99, 129.80, 128.8, 128.43, 128.32, 128.29, 127.8, 127.43, 127.38, 126.1, 120.63, 120.52, 110.2, 55.3, 51.9, 39.6, 30.25, 30.19;

HRMS Calculated for C$_{25}$H$_{23}$DN$_4$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 414.2035, Found: 414.2033
Part III. Nickel-catalyzed directed sulphenylation of sp² and sp³ C–H bonds

20a: 1-(((phenylthio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide was prepared following the general procedure Condition A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 86%;

\textbf{1}^\text{H NMR} (400 MHz; CDCl₃): \(\delta\) 10.40 (s, 1H), 8.82 (dd, \(J = 4.2, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 8.71 (dd, \(J = 5.8, 3.3\) Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 7.50-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.32-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.08-7.04 (m, 2H), 7.01-6.97 (m, 1H), 3.32 (s, 2H), 2.35-2.30 (m, 2H), 1.74-1.55 (m, 7H), 1.43-1.37 (m, 1H).

\textbf{1}³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃): \(\delta\) 173.4, 148.2, 138.8, 136.7, 136.2, 134.3, 129.9, 128.6, 127.8, 127.3, 125.9, 121.47, 121.29, 116.4, 49.1, 44.5, 33.8, 25.7, 22.8.

\textbf{HRMS} Calculated for \([C_{23}H_{25}N_{2}OS]^+\): 377.1682, Found: 377.1687

20b: 2-ethyl-2-(((phenylthio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)butanamide was prepared following the general procedure Condition A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 74%;

\textbf{1}^\text{H NMR} (400 MHz; CDCl₃): \(\delta\) 10.32 (s, 1H), 8.81 (dd, \(J = 4.3, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 8.76 (dd, \(J = 7.0, 2.0\) Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 7.54-7.40 (m, 6H), 7.21-7.17 (m, 2H), 7.12-7.10 (m, 1H), 3.41 (s, 2H), 2.04-1.89 (m, 4H), 0.93 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 6H).

\textbf{1}³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃): \(\delta\) 173.8, 148.2, 138.8, 136.8, 136.2, 134.3, 132.4, 130.0, 128.7, 127.9, 127.4, 126.0, 121.5, 121.3, 116.3, 52.0, 38.6, 28.0, 8.6.

\textbf{HRMS} Calculated for \([C_{22}H_{25}N_{2}OS]^+\): 365.1682, Found: 365.1684.
20c: 2-ethyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)heptanamide was prepared following the general procedure Condition A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 87%;

**1H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 10.33 (s, 1H), 8.81 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.75 (dd, J = 7.0, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.52-7.41 (m, 5H), 7.22-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.12 (m, 1H), 3.45-3.38 (ABq, J = 12.8 Hz, 2H), 2.05-1.78 (m, 5H), 1.31-1.26 (m, 5H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 0.85 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H).

**13C NMR** (101 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 173.9, 148.2, 138.8, 137.0, 136.2, 134.3, 130.0, 128.7, 127.9, 127.4, 126.0, 121.5, 121.3, 116.3, 51.7, 38.9, 35.3, 28.4, 26.3, 23.1, 13.9, 8.6


20d: 2-benzyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)butanamide was prepared following the general procedure Condition A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 75%;

**1H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 10.27 (s, 1H), 8.75-8.73 (m, 2H), 8.15 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.55-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.25-7.10 (m, 8H), 3.40-3.21 (m, 4H), 1.97 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.02 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H).

**13C NMR** (101 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 172.9, 148.2, 138.7, 136.74, 136.68, 136.2, 130.08, 129.93, 128.8, 128.2, 127.98, 127.87, 127.4, 126.6, 126.0, 121.52, 121.41, 116.4, 53.1, 41.0, 38.6, 28.2, 8.8.

**20e:** 2-(cyclopropylmethyl)-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)butanamide was prepared following the general procedure **Condition A** and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 71%;

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.34 (s, 1H), 8.81 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.76 (dd, $J = 7.1$, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.53-7.50 (m, 2H), 7.47-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.23-7.19 (m, 2H), 7.12 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.54 (d, $J = 0.9$ Hz, 2H), 2.05 (dt, $J = 17.2$, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.86 (dd, $J = 6.8$, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 3H), 0.72-0.68 (m, 1H), 0.41-0.38 (m, 2H), 0.21-0.11 (m, 2H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 173.9, 148.2, 138.8, 137.0, 136.3, 134.3, 129.8, 128.7, 127.9, 127.4, 125.9, 121.5, 121.3, 116.4, 52.4, 40.3, 38.8, 28.3, 8.6, 6.4, 4.4, 4.1

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{24}$H$_{27}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 391.1839, Found: 391.1840.

---

**20f:** 2-ethyl-5-phenyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)pentanamide was prepared following the general procedure **Condition A** and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 75%;

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.29 (s, 1H), 8.79 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.72 (dd, $J = 6.7$, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.52-7.40 (m, 5H), 7.19-7.07 (m, 8H), 3.41 (s, 2H), 2.58 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.00-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.92-1.84 (m, 2H), 1.82-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.65-1.60 (m, 2H), 1.32-1.24 (m, 2H), 0.87 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 173.8, 148.2, 141.9, 138.8, 136.9, 136.2, 134.3, 130.1, 128.8, 128.34, 128.18, 127.9, 127.4, 126.1, 125.7, 121.53, 121.34, 116.4, 51.5, 39.4, 38.2, 36.1, 35.4, 25.9, 17.5, 14.4

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{30}$H$_{33}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 469.2308, Found: 469.2315.
20g: 2-benzyl-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)pentanamide was prepared following the general procedure Condition A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 88%;

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 10.28 (s, 1H), 8.75-8.72 (m, 2H), 8.14 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 7.55-7.48 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.39 (m, 3H), 7.25-7.09 (m, 8H), 3.38-3.26 (m, 4H), 1.91-1.82 (m, 2H), 1.46-1.40 (m, 2H), 0.90 (t, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 3H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 173.0, 148.2, 138.7, 136.71, 136.70, 136.2, 134.1, 130.07, 129.91, 128.8, 128.2, 127.9, 127.4, 126.6, 126.0, 121.51, 121.39, 116.4, 52.8, 41.4, 38.9, 37.9, 17.7, 14.3

HRMS Calculated for \([C_{28}H_{29}N_2OS]^+\): 441.1995, Found: 441.2001.

20h: 2-((phenylthio)methyl)-2-propyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)heptanamide was prepared following the general procedure Condition A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 72%;

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 10.32 (s, 1H), 8.81 (dd, \(J = 4.2, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 8.74 (dd, \(J = 7.0, 2.0\) Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 7.54-7.41 (m, 3H), 7.23-7.19 (m, 2H), 7.14-7.10 (m, 1H), 3.42 (s, 2H), 1.97-1.89 (m, \(J = 5.7\) Hz, 2H), 1.85-1.76 (m, \(J = 5.3\) Hz, 2H), 1.36-1.24 (m, 8H), 0.89 (t, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 3H), 0.83-0.80 (m, 3H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 174.1, 148.2, 138.8, 137.0, 136.2, 134.4, 130.0, 128.7, 127.9, 127.4, 126.0, 121.5, 121.3, 116.4, 51.5, 39.3, 38.4, 35.9, 32.2, 23.8, 22.4, 17.5, 14.5, 14.0

HRMS Calculated for \([C_{26}H_{33}N_2OS]^+\): 421.2308, Found: 421.2315
20i: 1-((phenylthio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)cyclobutanecarboxamide was prepared following the general procedure **Condition A** and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 63%;

**$^1$H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 10.19 (s, 1H), 8.82 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (dd, $J = 5.6$, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.50-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.35-7.33 (m, 2H), 7.09-7.05 (m, 2H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 1H), 3.56 (s, 2H), 2.67 (ddd, $J = 12.7$, 9.5, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.30-2.23 (m, 2H), 2.04 (ddt, $J = 19.6$, 10.7, 5.1 Hz, 2H).

**$^{13}$C NMR** (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 174.2, 148.2, 138.7, 136.2, 134.2, 130.0, 128.6, 127.8, 127.3, 126.0, 121.49, 121.37, 116.3, 50.3, 42.9, 30.3, 15.1

**HRMS** Calculated for [C$_{21}$H$_{21}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 349.1369, Found: 349.1373.

20j: 2-methyl-2-phenyl-3-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)propanamide was prepared following the general procedure **Condition A** and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 53%;

**$^1$H NMR** (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 9.91 (s, 1H), 8.75 (dd, $J = 7.5$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.58 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.54-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.40-7.29 (m, 6H), 7.20-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.12-7.08 (m, 1H), 3.86-3.71 (ABq, $J = 12.8$ Hz, 2H), 1.97 (s, 3H).

**$^{13}$C NMR** (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 173.8, 148.1, 141.7, 138.6, 137.4, 136.1, 134.4, 129.8, 128.80, 128.71, 127.8, 127.6, 127.2, 126.9, 126.0, 121.47, 121.44, 116.1, 52.9, 44.9, 23.2

**HRMS** Calculated for [C$_{25}$H$_{23}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 399.1526, Found: 399.1530.
20j-di: 2-phenyl-3-(phenylthio)-2-((phenylthio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)propanamide was prepared following the general procedure Condition A and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 27%;

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.93 (s, 1H), 8.64 (dd, $J = 7.2$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.53 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.50-7.43 (m, 5H), 7.37-7.31 (m, 3H), 7.30 (s, 4H), 7.08-6.98 (m, 5H), 4.03 (s, 4H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 171.4, 148.0, 139.8, 138.5, 136.2, 135.9, 134.1, 130.5, 129.4, 128.79, 128.59, 128.0, 127.22, 127.18, 126.2, 121.47, 121.37, 116.3, 56.7, 41.7

HRMS Calculated for $[C_{31}H_{27}N_2OS_2]^+$: 507.1559, Found: 507.1568.

21a: 1-(((2-fluorophenyl)thio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide was prepared following the general procedure Condition B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 80%;

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.37 (s, 1H), 8.82 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.66 (t, $J = 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.44 (m, 3H), 7.34-7.30 (m, 1H), 6.99-6.94 (m, 1H), 6.81-6.76 (m, 2H), 3.30 (s, 2H), 2.34-2.30 (m, 2H), 1.75-1.58 (m, 7H), 1.43-1.38 (m, 1H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 173.2, 161.5 (d, $J = 244.4$ Hz), 148.2, 138.8, 136.1, 134.3, 132.93 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz), 128.26, 128.18, 127.8, 127.3, 124.08 (d, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 123.24, 121.5, 121.3, 116.4, 115.34 (d, $J = 22.2$ Hz), 49.0, 43.6, 33.7, 25.7, 22.8

HRMS Calculated for $[C_{23}H_{24}FN_2OS]^+$: 395.1588, Found: 395.2595.
21b: \[1-(((2\text{-chlorophenyl})\text{thio})\text{methyl})-N-(\text{quinolin-8-yl})\text{cyclohexanecarboxamide}\] was prepared following the general procedure \textbf{Condition B} and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 78%;

$^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.40 (s, 1H), 8.81 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (dd, $J = 5.4$, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48-7.42 (m, 3H), 7.34 (dd, $J = 7.9$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (dd, $J = 7.9$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99-6.95 (m, 1H), 6.89 (td, $J = 7.6$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (s, 2H), 2.39-2.34 (m, 2H), 1.78-1.58 (m, 7H), 1.45-1.39 (m, 1H).

$^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 173.2, 148.2, 138.8, 136.1, 135.5, 134.39, 134.29, 130.3, 129.3, 127.8, 127.3, 126.80, 126.66, 121.47, 121.35, 116.5, 48.8, 43.12, 33.8, 25.7, 22.8.

\textbf{HRMS} Calculated for $[C_{23}H_{24}ClN_2O\text{S}]^+$: 411.1292, Found: 411.1297.

21c: \[N-(\text{quinolin-8-yl})-1-(((2-\text{(trifluoromethyl)phenyl})\text{thio})\text{methyl})\text{cyclohexanecarboxamide}\] was prepared following the general procedure \textbf{Condition B} and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 42%;

$^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.40 (s, 1H), 8.80 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.71 (dd, $J = 5.7$, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.52-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.31-7.28 (m, 1H), 7.10-7.05 (m, 1H), 3.36 (s, 2H), 2.37-2.31 (m, 2H), 1.79-1.57 (m, 7H), 1.43-1.36 (m, 1H).
\(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (101 MHz; CDCl\(\text{3}\)): \(\delta\) 173.3, 148.3, 138.8, 136.1, 134.3, 132.3, 131.8, 127.8, 127.3, 126.38 (q, \(J = 5.8\) Hz), 125.8, 125.0, 123.7 (q, \(J = 272.3\) Hz), 121.51, 121.44, 116.5, 49.0, 45.01, 44.99, 33.6, 25.7, 22.8

HRMS Calculated for \([\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{24}\text{F}_3\text{N}_2\text{OS}]^+\): 445.1556, Found: 455.1559

\(21\text{d}:\) \(1\)-(((3-fluorophenyl)thio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide was prepared following the general procedure **Condition B** and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 75%;

\(^1\text{H-NMR}\) (400 MHz; CDCl\(\text{3}\)): \(\delta\) 10.38 (s, 1H), 8.82 (dd, \(J = 4.2, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 8.70 (dd, \(J = 5.2, 3.8\) Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.05-6.95 (m, 3H), 6.66-6.61 (m, 1H), 3.31 (s, 2H), 2.34 (dd, \(J = 12.9, 4.5\) Hz, 2H), 1.72-1.56 (m, 8H).

\(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (101 MHz; CDCl\(\text{3}\)): \(\delta\) 173.1, 163.48 (d, \(J = 246.6\) Hz), 148.2, 139.00 (d, \(J = 7.6\) Hz), 138.796, 136.2, 134.2, 129.72 (d, \(J = 8.5\) Hz), 127.9, 127.3, 124.976 (d, \(J = 2.8\) Hz), 121.50, 121.38, 116.39, 116.24 (d, \(J = 23.2\) Hz), 112.66 (d, \(J = 21.2\) Hz), 49.0, 44.1, 33.9, 25.7, 22.8.

HRMS Calculated for \([\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{24}\text{F},\text{N}_2\text{OS}]^+\): 395.1588, Found: 395.1590.

\(21\text{e}:\) \(1\)-(((3-chlorophenyl)thio)methyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)cyclohexanecarboxamide was prepared following the general procedure **Condition B** and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 81%;

\(^1\text{H-NMR}\) (400 MHz; CDCl\(\text{3}\)): \(\delta\) 10.37 (s, 1H), 8.82 (dd, \(J = 4.2, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 8.68 (t, \(J = 4.5\) Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.24 (t, \(J = 1.8\) Hz, 1H),
7.14 (dt, $J = 7.5$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.95-6.87 (m, 2H), 3.31 (s, 2H), 2.38-2.32 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.59 (m, 8H), 1.42-1.38 (m, 1H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 173.0, 148.3, 138.78, 138.60, 136.2, 134.25, 134.17, 129.46, 129.26, 127.9, 127.7, 127.3, 125.9, 121.49, 121.38, 116.4, 48.9, 44.2, 33.9, 25.7, 22.8

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{23}$H$_{24}$ClN$_2$OS]$^+$: 411.1292, Found: 411.1203.

21f:  
N-(quinolin-8-yl)-1-(((3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)thio)methyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide was prepared following the general procedure **Condition B** and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 70%.

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.38 (s, 1H), 8.81 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.65 (dd, $J = 5.6$, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.50-7.42 (m, 5H), 7.12 (dt, $J = 17.2$, 8.3 Hz, 2H), 3.34 (s, 2H), 2.37 (dd, $J = 15.4$, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.72-1.56 (m, 7H), 1.44-1.36 (m, 1H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 172.9, 148.2, 138.7, 138.0, 136.2, 134.1, 132.5, 131.0-130.7 (q, $J = 32.1$ Hz), 128.8, 127.8, 127.3, 125.98 (q, $J = 5.8$ Hz), 123.6 (q, $J = 271.6$ Hz), 122.390 (q, $J = 5.8$ Hz), 121.50, 121.41, 116.3, 48.9, 44.1, 33.9, 25.7, 22.8

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{24}$H$_{27}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 445.1556, Found: 455.1564.
21g: N-(quinolin-8-yl)-1-((m-tolylthio)methyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide was prepared following the general procedure Condition B and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 37%;
\[\text{H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta \text{ 10.38 (s, 1H), 8.82 (dd, } J = 4.2, 1.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 8.70 (dd, } J = 5.7, 3.3 \text{ Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, } J = 8.3, 1.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 7.49-7.43 (m, 3H), 7.12-7.08 (m, 2H), 6.94 (t, } J = 7.6 \text{ Hz, 1H), 6.77-6.75 (m, 1H), 3.30 (s, 2H), 2.36-2.32 (m, 3H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.73-1.58 (m, 7H), 1.39 (dd, } J = 5.9, 4.2 \text{ Hz, 1H).} \]
\[\text{C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta \text{ 173.4, 148.2, 138.8, 138.3, 136.26, 136.17, 134.4, 130.6, 128.4, 127.8, 127.3, 127.0, 126.7, 121.4, 121.2, 116.4, 49.0, 44.6, 33.8, 25.8, 22.9, 21.0} \]
\[\text{HRMS Calculated for [C}_{24}\text{H}_{27}\text{N}_{2}\text{OS}^+}: 391.1837, \text{ Found: 391.1848.}\]

22a: 2-methyl-6-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless soild; Isolated yield 89%;
\[\text{H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta \text{ 9.94 (s, 1H), 8.96 (dd, } J = 7.4, 1.6 \text{ Hz, 1H), 8.68 (dd, } J = 4.2, 1.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, } J = 8.3, 1.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 7.61-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.41 (dd, } J = 8.3, 4.2 \text{ Hz, 1H), 7.34-7.31 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.12 (m, 6H), 2.47 (s, 3H).} \]
\[\text{C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl}_3\text{): } \delta \text{ 166.94, 166.94, 148.13, 148.13, 140.11, 140.11, 138.46, 138.46, 136.22, 136.22, 136.13, 136.13, 135.68, 135.68, 134.28, 134.28, 133.00, 133.00, 131.31, 131.31, 130.41, 130.41, 129.65, 129.65, 129.55, 129.55, 129.06, 129.06, 127.92, 127.92, 127.39, 127.39, 127.05, 127.05, 121.93, 121.93, 121.54, 121.54, 116.87, 116.87, 19.59, 19.59.} \]
\[\text{HRMS Calculated for [C}_{23}\text{H}_{19}\text{N}_{2}\text{OS}^+}: 371.1213, \text{ Found: 371.1217.}\]
22b: 2-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-6-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless solid; Isolated yield 85%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 10.07 (s, 1H), 8.96 (dd, $J = 7.1$, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.73 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.63-7.57 (m, 3H), 7.46-7.41 (m, 5H), 7.30-7.25 (m, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 164.0, 148.2, 138.4, 137.6, 136.3, 134.8, 134.1, 133.5, 133.0, 129.8, 129.5, 128.32 (q, $J = 31.2$ Hz), 128.28, 128.0, 127.4, 124.6 (q, $J = 4.7$ Hz), 123.4 (q, $J = 272.4$ Hz), 122.3, 121.6, 117.1.

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{23}$H$_{16}$F$_3$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 425.0930, Found: 425.0939

22c: 5-methyl-2-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 78%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 10.51 (s, 1H), 8.91 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.17 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.63-7.62 (m, 1H), 7.59-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.44 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39-7.36 (m, 2H), 7.27-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.19 (m, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 166.5, 148.2, 137.34, 137.16, 136.3, 135.4, 134.6, 132.4, 132.11, 132.00, 131.82, 129.27, 129.19, 127.9, 127.42, 127.35, 121.8, 121.6, 116.8, 21.0

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{23}$H$_{19}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 371.1213, Found: 371.1221.
**22d:** 2-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 65%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.55 (s, 1H), 8.93 (dd, $J = 6.7$, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.82 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.00 (t, $J = 0.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.64-7.58 (m, 2H), 7.55-7.47 (m, 4H), 7.41-7.38 (m, 3H), 7.12 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 165.0, 148.4, 143.9, 138.6, 136.4, 134.96, 134.76, 134.2, 132.0, 129.8, 129.27, 129.23, 128.0, 127.7 (q, $J = 33.3$ Hz), 127.40, 127.3 (q, $J = 3.5$ Hz), 125.0 (q, $J = 3.5$ Hz), 123.7 (q, $J = 271.0$ Hz), 122.3, 121.8, 117.0

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{23}$H$_{15}$F$_3$N$_2$O$^+$]: 447.0749, Found: 447.0749

![22d STRUCTURE](attachment:image1)

**22e:** 3-chloro-2-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless solid; Isolated yield 81%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.25 (s, 1H), 8.80 (dd, $J = 6.4$, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 8.62 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (ddd, $J = 13.6$, 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.55-7.51 (m, 2H), 7.46 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.43-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.17-7.10 (m, 4H), 7.07-7.03 (m, 1H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 165.8, 148.1, 144.5, 140.8, 138.4, 136.2, 135.7, 134.2, 131.9, 130.3, 129.9, 128.9, 128.7, 127.8, 127.3, 126.2, 122.0, 121.6, 116.8

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{22}$H$_{16}$ClN$_2$O$^+$]: 391.0666, Found: 391.0678.

![22e STRUCTURE](attachment:image2)
22f: 3-fluoro-2-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless solid; Isolated yield 80%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.42 (s, 1H), 8.63 (dd, $J = 4.2, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, $J = 8.3, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.62-7.58 (m, 1H), 7.56-7.46 (m, 3H), 7.41 (dd, $J = 8.3, 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.27-7.22 (m, 3H), 7.17-7.13 (m, 2H), 7.11-7.07 (m, 1H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 165.2, 162.91 (d, $J = 248.8$ Hz), 148.2, 143.3, 138.5, 136.2, 135.5, 134.3, 130.92 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz), 129.02 (d, $J = 23.7$ Hz), 127.9, 127.3, 126.5, 124.55 (d, $J = 3.8$ Hz), 122.1, 121.6, 119.50, 119.30, 117.91 (d, $J = 23.9$ Hz), 116.8

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{22}$H$_{16}$FN$_2$OS]$^+$: 375.0962, Found: 375.0969.

22g: 2,4-dimethyl-6-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless solid; Isolated yield 91%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.91 (s, 1H), 8.94 (dd, $J = 7.4, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 8.66 (dd, $J = 4.2, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, $J = 8.3, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.60-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.41 (dd, $J = 8.3, 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.21-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.11 (m, 1H), 7.07-7.03 (m, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 167.2, 148.1, 139.7, 138.5, 137.9, 136.19, 136.11, 136.03, 134.4, 132.3, 131.2, 130.9, 130.7, 129.0, 127.9, 127.4, 126.8, 121.8, 121.5, 116.8, 21.1, 19.5

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{24}$H$_{21}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 385.1369, Found: 385.1376.
22h: 2-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-1-naphthamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless solid; Isolated yield 90%;

^1^H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 10.21 (s, 1H), 9.11 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.63 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.06-8.04 (m, 1H), 7.86-7.81 (m, 2H), 7.66-7.56 (m, 3H), 7.53-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.42-7.38 (m, 4H), 7.27-7.18 (m, 4H).

^13^C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 166.5, 148.2, 138.5, 137.5, 136.2, 134.4, 132.4, 131.5, 130.78, 130.63, 130.0, 129.34, 129.15, 128.08, 127.97, 127.7, 127.44, 127.24, 126.8, 125.3, 122.1, 121.6, 117.0.


22i: 3-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)thiophene-2-carboxamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 72%;

^1^H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 11.71 (s, 1H), 8.89 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.55-7.49 (m, 3H), 7.45-7.40 (m, 3H), 7.32-7.24 (m, 3H), 6.98 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H).

^13^C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 159.8, 148.3, 139.0, 138.3, 136.1, 135.1, 134.9, 133.4, 131.8, 130.3, 129.44, 129.27, 128.0, 127.35, 127.33, 121.9, 121.5, 117.3

HRMS Calculated for [C₂₀H₁₅N₂OS₂]⁺: 363.0620, Found: 363.0627
22j: 2-(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)cyclohex-1-enecarboxamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 82%;

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 10.24 (s, 1H), 8.87 (dd, $J = 7.5$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.72 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.53-7.47 (m, 4H), 7.44-7.40 (m, 1H), 7.35-7.27 (m, 3H), 2.66 (tt, $J = 6.1$, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (tt, $J = 6.1$, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 1.80-1.68 (m, 4H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 167.8, 148.0, 136.9, 136.6, 136.3, 134.6, 133.7, 132.7, 131.5, 129.4, 128.9, 127.9, 127.5, 121.49, 121.41, 116.6, 77.3, 77.0, 76.7, 31.3, 28.4, 23.3, 21.9

HRMS Calculated for $[C_{22}H_{21}N_{2}OS]^+$: 361.1369, Found: 361.1371.

22k: 3-chloro-2,6-bis(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure with 4 equiv. PhSH, 7 equiv. LiOrBu, and 15 mol% NiCl$_2$(DME) and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 77%;

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 9.97 (s, 1H), 8.98 (dd, $J = 7.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.65-7.58 (m, 2H), 7.52-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.38-7.28 (m, 5H), 7.23-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.16-7.12 (m, 1H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 164.4, 148.0, 145.7, 139.3, 138.3, 136.1, 135.4, 134.7, 134.0, 133.6, 133.3, 132.8, 131.4, 130.4, 129.5, 128.92, 128.87, 128.2, 127.8, 127.4, 126.4, 122.1, 121.5, 117.0

HRMS Calculated for $[C_{28}H_{19}ClN_{2}OS_{2}Na]^+$: 521.0520, Found: 521.0527.
22I: 2,6-bis(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure with 4 equiv. PhSH, 7 equiv. LiOrBu, and 15 mol% NiCl₂(DME) and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 72%.

¹H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 9.80 (s, 1H), 8.83 (dd, J = 6.8, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.62 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.56-7.49 (m, 4H), 7.41-7.34 (m, 4H), 7.10 (dd, J = 8.5, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.06-7.03 (m, 2H), 7.00-6.95 (m, 2H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 163.8, 148.0, 144.3, 143.5, 138.3, 136.7, 136.1, 134.7, 134.0, 131.6 (q, J = 30.0 Hz), 131.2, 130.03 (q, J = 1.6 Hz), 129.9, 129.33, 129.16, 128.8, 128.5, 127.83 (q, J = 5.5 Hz), 127.77, 127.3, 126.1, 123.3 (q, J = 271.0 Hz), 122.1, 121.5, 116.9

HRMS Calculated for [C₂₉H₂₀F₃N₂O₂S₂]⁺: 533.0964, Found: 533.0969.

22m: 2,6-bis(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure with 4 equiv. PhSH, 7 equiv. LiOrBu, and 15 mol% NiCl₂(DME) and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 83%.

¹H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 10.05 (s, 1H), 8.97 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (dd, J = 4.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.60-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.43-7.40 (m, 5H), 7.27-7.12 (m, 9H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 165.2, 148.1, 138.5, 136.2, 135.7, 134.38, 134.23, 132.5, 130.16, 130.08, 129.3, 127.91, 127.75, 127.5, 122.0, 121.5, 117.0.
**HRMS** Calculated for $[C_{28}H_{21}N_2OS_2]^+$: 465.1098, Found: 465.1090.

![Chemical structure](image)

22n: **2,6-bis(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-4-(trifluoromethyl)benzamide** was prepared following the general procedure with 4 equiv. PhSH, 7 equiv. LiOrBu, and 15 mol% NiCl$_2$(DME) and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as yellow solid; Isolated yield 81%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.12 (s, 1H), 8.96 (dd, $J = 7.0$, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.62-7.56 (m, 2H), 7.47-7.43 (m, 5H), 7.33-7.28 (m, 6H), 7.26-7.22 (m, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 164.0, 148.6, 148.2, 138.5, 138.0, 136.6, 136.3, 136.2, 133.9, 133.3, 132.5, 131.1, 129.66, 129.51, 128.7, 128.02-127.98(q, $J = 4.4$ Hz), 127.9, 127.4, 125.1(q, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 123.1(q, $J = 265.6$ Hz), 122.4, 121.7, 121.60-121.23 (q, $J = 37.3$ Hz), 117.2

**HRMS** Calculated for $[C_{29}H_{20}F_3N_2OS_2]^+$: 533.0963, Found: 533.0977.

![Chemical structure](image)

22o: **4-methoxy-2,6-bis(phenylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide** was prepared following the general procedure with 4 equiv. PhSH, 7 equiv. LiOrBu, and 15 mol% NiCl$_2$(DME) and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as yellow solid; Isolated yield 77%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 10.07 (s, 1H), 8.95 (dd, $J = 7.4$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.59-7.51 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.39 (m, 5H), 7.29-7.20 (m, 6H), 6.60 (s, 2H), 3.59 (s, 3H).
$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 165.2, 160.1, 148.0, 138.5, 137.3, 136.2, 134.3, 133.9, 132.8, 132.3, 129.3, 127.93, 127.88, 127.4, 121.8, 121.5, 116.9, 115.1, 55.3

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{29}$H$_{23}$N$_2$O$_2$S$_2$]$^+$: 495.1196, Found: 495.1203.

23a: 2-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-6-(o-tolylthio)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as yellow solid; Isolated yield 86%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.98 (s, 1H), 8.98 (dd, $J = 7.4$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.70 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.43 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.33 (m, 1H), 7.20-7.10 (m, 5H), 6.94 (dd, $J = 7.7$, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 19.59, 20.60, 116.88, 121.55, 121.91, 126.73, 127.42, 127.91, 128.29, 128.72, 129.58, 130.48, 133.35, 133.63, 133.76, 134.36, 136.10, 136.24, 138.51, 138.83, 140.12, 148.14, 166.95, 20.6, 19.6

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{24}$H$_{21}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 385.1369, Found: 385.1373.

23b: 2-((2-fluorophenyl)thio)-6-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 84%;
$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.98 (s, 1H), 8.96 (dd, $J = 7.4$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.69 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.61-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.41 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.25 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.13 (m, 3H), 7.02-6.93 (m, 2H), 2.47 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 166.7, 161.15 (d, $J = 246.3$ Hz) 148.1, 140.2, 138.5, 136.2, 134.3, 133.74, 133.73, 131.5, 130.2, 129.84, 129.71, 129.33, 129.25, 127.9, 127.4, 124.635(d, $J = 3.8$ Hz), 122.0, 121.6, 116.9, 115.78(d, $J = 22.0$ Hz), 19.6

HRMS Calculated for $[C_{23}H_{18}FN_2S]^{+}$: 389.1118, Found: 389.1122.

23c: **2-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-6-((2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)thio)benzamide** was prepared following the general procedure at 120 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 73%;

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.90 (s, 1H), 8.91 (dd, $J = 7.1$, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 8.66 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.59-7.51 (m, 3H), 7.43-7.34 (m, 3H), 7.32 (s, 3H), 7.21-7.18 (m, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 166.6, 148.1, 141.8, 138.5, 136.5, 136.3, 134.1, 132.8, 132.5, 132.2, 131.0, 130.8, 130.0, 127.9, 127.4, 126.4 (q, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 126.21, 123.6(q, $J = 273.6$ Hz), 122.0, 121.5, 117.0, 19.6

HRMS Calculated for $[C_{24}H_{18}F_3N_2OS]^{+}$: 439.1086, Found: 439.1093.
23d: 2-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-6-(m-tolylthio)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 88%;

\(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 9.91 (s, 1H), 8.97 (dd, \(J = 7.4, 1.5\) Hz, 1H), 8.68 (dd, \(J = 4.2, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 7.62-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.42 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 4.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.24-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.14 (m, 2H), 7.08 (dd, \(J = 9.9, 5.7\) Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, \(J = 7.2\) Hz, 1H), 2.47 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 3H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 167.0, 148.1, 138.9, 138.5, 136.26, 136.08, 135.2, 134.3, 133.4, 132.2, 130.3, 129.58, 129.40, 128.9, 128.7, 128.0, 127.4, 121.9, 121.5, 116.9, 21.2, 19.6

HRMS Calculated for \([C_{24}H_{21}N_2OS]^+\): 385.1369, Found: 385.1370.

![Chemical Structure](image)

23e: 2-((3-fluorophenyl)thio)-6-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 84%;

\(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 9.87 (s, 1H), 8.93 (dd, \(J = 7.3, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 8.65 (dd, \(J = 4.2, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.60-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.42 (t, \(J = 4.1\) Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.28 (m, 3H), 7.12-7.08 (m, 1H), 7.01 (dt, \(J = 7.8, 1.3\) Hz, 1H), 6.94 (dt, \(J = 9.3, 2.1\) Hz, 1H), 6.76 (tdd, \(J = 8.3, 2.5, 0.9\) Hz, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H).

\(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 166.7, 162.87 (d, \(J = 246.8\) Hz), 148.1, 141.4, 139.1, 138.4, 136.5, 136.2, 134.2, 132.0, 130.78, 130.66, 130.11 (d, \(J = 8.3\) Hz), 129.88, 127.9, 127.37, 127.34, 125.465 (d, \(J = 3.0\) Hz), 122.0, 121.6, 116.8, 116.6, 113.504 (d, \(J = 21.2\) Hz), 19.6

HRMS Calculated for \([C_{23}H_{18}FN_2OS]^+\): 389.1118, Found: 389.1112.
f: 2-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-6-(3-(trifluoromethyl)phenylthio)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure at 120 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 75%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 9.80 (s, 1H), 8.90 (dd, $J = 7.2$, $1.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.57 (dd, $J = 4.2$, $1.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, $J = 8.3$, $1.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.59-7.52 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.34 (m, 5H), 7.26-7.22 (m, 2H), 2.50 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 166.6, 148.1, 142.6, 142.3, 138.2, 136.9, 136.2, 134.0, 133.1, 131.4, 130.1, 129.2, 128.4, 127.87, 127.78, 127.34, 127.31, 126.6, 125.70 (q, $J = 3.7$ Hz), 124.0 (q, $J = 270.2$ Hz), 122.1, 116.8, 19.6

HRMS Calculated for $[C_{24}H_{18}F_3N_2O]$: 439.1086, Found: 439.1091.

23g: 2-((3-methoxyphenyl)thio)-6-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 80%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 9.90 (s, 1H), 8.97 (dd, $J = 7.4$, $1.6$ Hz, 1H), 8.66 (dd, $J = 4.2$, $1.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, $J = 8.3$, $1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.61-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.41 (dd, $J = 8.3$, $4.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.28-7.26 (m, 2H), 7.21 (td, $J = 4.4$, $0.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.90 (ddd, $J = 7.7$, $1.7$, $0.9$ Hz, 1H), 6.84 (dd, $J = 2.4$, $1.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.65 (ddd, $J = 8.3$, $2.5$, $0.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 3H).
\[\text{HRMS Calculated for } [C_{24}H_{21}N_{2}O_{2}S]^+: 401.1318, \text{ Found: 401.1320.}\]

\[\text{HRMS Calculated for } [C_{23}H_{18}ClN_{2}O_{5}]^+: 405.0823, \text{ Found: 405.0828.}\]

**23h: 2-((3-chlorophenyl)thio)-6-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide** was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 72%;

\[\text{1H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl}_3): \delta \ 9.85 (s, 1H), 8.92 (dd, } J = 7.4, 1.6 \text{ Hz, 1H), 8.65 (dd, } J = 4.2, 1.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 8.13 (dd, } J = 8.3, 1.7 \text{ Hz, 1H), 7.59-7.51 (m, 2H), 7.40 (dd, } J = 8.3, 4.2 \text{ Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.24 (m, 3H), 7.21 (q, } J = 1.2 \text{ Hz, 1H), 7.13-7.09 (m, 1H), 7.06-7.01 (m, 2H), 2.52-2.42 (m, 3H).}\]

\[\text{13C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl}_3): \delta \ 166.7, 148.1, 141.3, 138.6, 138.4, 136.5, 136.2, 134.7, 134.1, 131.9, 130.9, 130.6, 129.90, 129.88, 129.7, 128.2, 127.9, 127.4, 126.7, 122.0, 121.6, 116.8, 19.6\]

**23i: 2-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-6-(p-tolylthio)benzamide** was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 90%;
$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 9.94 (s, 1H), 8.98 (dd, $J = 7.4$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.70 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.61-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.42 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.29-7.19 (m, 3H), 7.15-7.11 (m, 2H), 7.02-7.00 (m, 2H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 167.0, 148.1, 139.2, 138.5, 137.5, 136.2, 135.9, 134.34, 134.32, 132.4, 131.4, 129.9, 129.5, 129.3, 129.0, 127.9, 127.4, 121.9, 121.5, 116.9, 21.0, 19.6

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{24}$H$_{21}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 385.1369, Found: 385.1373.

$^{23}$j: 2-((4-bromophenyl)thio)-6-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 83%.

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 8.94 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.65 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.58-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.42 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.26-7.23 (m, 3H), 7.12-7.10 (m, 2H), 2.47 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): δ 166.7, 148.2, 141.0, 138.3, 136.5, 136.2, 135.6, 134.1, 132.04, 131.92, 131.53, 131.45, 130.4, 129.8, 127.9, 127.3, 122.0, 121.6, 120.8, 116.7, 19.6

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{23}$H$_{18}$BrN$_2$OS]$^+$: 449.0318, Found: 449.0322.
23k: 2-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)-6-((4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)thio)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure at 120 °C and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 65%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.86 (s, 1H), 8.92 (dd, $J = 7.2, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.62 (dd, $J = 4.2, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 8.15 (dd, $J = 8.3, 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.58-7.55 (m, 2H), 7.49 (s, 1H), 7.41 (dd, $J = 8.3, 4.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.28-7.26 (m, 5H), 2.49 (s, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 166.6, 148.1, 141.4, 138.35, 138.24, 136.7, 136.3, 134.1, 133.16, 133.15, 131.9, 131.3 (q, $J = 31.7$ Hz), 130.8, 130.6, 130.0, 129.4, 127.9, 127.4, 126.4 (q, $J = 3.9$ Hz), 123.3 (q, $J = 3.9$ Hz), 122.9-121.8 (q, $J = 113$ Hz), 122.1, 121.6, 116.8, 19.6

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{24}$H$_{18}$F$_3$N$_2$O$^+$]: 439.1086, Found: 439.1093.

23l: 2-((4-methoxyphenyl)thio)-6-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 85%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.97 (s, 1H), 9.01 (dd, $J = 7.5, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 8.72 (dd, $J = 4.2, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.16 (dd, $J = 8.3, 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.62-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.37 (m, 3H),
7.18 (t, \(J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}\)), 7.10 (d, \(J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}\)), 7.01 (t, \(J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}\)), 6.78-6.76 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.45 (s, 3H).

\(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (101 MHz; CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 167.0, 159.7, 148.2, 138.5, 138.2, 136.2, 135.8, 135.2, 134.3, 129.4, 128.4, 128.0, 127.4, 126.6, 124.6, 121.9, 121.6, 116.9, 114.8, 114.3, 55.2, 19.5

\textbf{HRMS} Calculated for \([\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_{2}\text{O}_{2}]^{+}\): 401.1318, Found: 401.1323.
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\textbf{23m: 2-methyl-6-(phenylselanyl)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide} was prepared following the general procedure with 1.5 equiv. diphenylselenium and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 81%.

\(^{1}\text{H-NMR}\) (400 MHz; CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 9.93 (s, 1H), 8.98 (dd, \(J = 7.4, 1.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}\)), 8.69 (dd, \(J = 4.2, 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}\)), 8.17 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}\)), 7.63-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.48 (m, 2H), 7.43 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 4.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}\)), 7.29 (t, \(J = 4.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}\)), 7.20-7.17 (m, 5H), 2.49 (s, 3H).

\(^{13}\text{C NMR}\) (101 MHz; CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 167.5, 148.2, 140.6, 138.5, 136.3, 135.9, 134.3, 133.9, 131.6, 130.9, 129.8, 129.58, 129.46, 129.2, 128.0, 127.56, 127.43, 122.0, 121.6, 116.9, 19.9

\textbf{HRMS} Calculated for \([\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{19}\text{N}_{2}\text{OSe}]^{+}\): 419.0657, Found: 419.0655.
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\textbf{23n: 2-(ethylthio)-6-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide} was prepared following the general procedure with 1.5 equiv. disulfide and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 70%;
$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.95 (s, 1H), 9.01 (dd, $J = 7.5$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.63-7.55 (m, 2H), 7.44 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.31 (m, 2H), 7.15 (dt, $J = 7.3$, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (q, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.26 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 4H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 167.4, 148.2, 140.0, 138.6, 136.3, 135.8, 134.5, 133.3, 129.3, 128.6, 128.3, 128.0, 127.5, 121.9, 121.6, 116.9, 29.1, 19.5, 14.3

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{19}$H$_{19}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 323.1213, Found: 323.1216.

23o: 2-methyl-6-(propylthio)-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure with 1.5 equiv. disulfide and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 72%;

$^1$H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.94-9.94 (m, 1H), 9.01 (dd, $J = 7.5$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (dd, $J = 4.2$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.64-7.55 (m, 2H), 7.44 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.14 (ddd, $J = 7.4$, 1.3, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 1.66-1.57 (m, 2H), 0.93 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 3H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 162.0, 148.2, 140.0, 139.5, 136.3, 135.8, 134.5, 133.6, 129.3, 128.51, 128.41, 128.0, 127.5, 121.9, 121.6, 116.9, 37.2, 22.6, 19.5, 13.4

HRMS Calculated for [C$_{20}$H$_{21}$N$_2$OS]$^+$: 337.1369, Found: 323.1371.

23p: 2-(butylthio)-6-methyl-N-(quinolin-8-yl)benzamide was prepared following the general procedure with 1.5 equiv. disulfide and purified by flash chromatography (Hexane-EtOAc, v/v 20/1) as colorless oil; Isolated yield 73%;
\textbf{\textsuperscript{1}H-NMR} (400 MHz; CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 9.95 (s, 1H), 9.01 (dd, \(J = 7.5, 1.4\) Hz, 1H), 8.73 (dd, \(J = 4.2, 1.7\) Hz, 1H), 8.17 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 7.63-7.54 (m, 2H), 7.43 (dd, \(J = 8.3, 4.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.30 (dt, \(J = 14.8, 7.4\) Hz, 2H), 7.14 (dd, \(J = 7.4, 0.5\) Hz, 1H), 2.91 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 1.61-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.37 (dt, \(J = 15.0, 7.4\) Hz, 2H), 0.83 (t, \(J = 7.4\) Hz, 3H).

\textbf{\textsuperscript{13}C NMR} (101 MHz; CDCl\textsubscript{3}): \(\delta\) 167.4, 148.2, 139.9, 138.5, 136.3, 135.7, 134.5, 133.8, 129.3, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.5, 121.9, 121.6, 116.8, 34.8, 31.2, 21.9, 19.5, 13.6

\textbf{HRMS} Calculated for [\(\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_{2}\text{OS}\)]\textsuperscript{+}: 351.1526, Found: 351.1530.
Figure 2. ORTEP Drawing of the X-ray Crystal Structure 22a (C_{23}H_{18}N_2OS)
CCDC Number: 1052404

Figure 3. ORTEP Drawing of the X-ray Crystal Structure 22e (C_{22}H_{15}N_2ClSO)
CCDC Number: 1052405
Part VI

$^1$H NMR, $^{13}$C NMR
Part I
1,2,3-Triazoles as versatile directing group for selective sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ C–H activation: cyclization vs substitution
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- Chemical structure of the compound.
- Spectral data for various peaks.
- ppm values along the x-axis.
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Part II

Palladium-Catalyzed Aerobic Oxidative C–H Olefination with Removable 1,2,3-Triazole Directing Group
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Part III

Nickel-catalyzed directed sulfonylation of sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ C–H bonds
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