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Abstract

Automatic Detection of Cybercrime-suspected Threads in Online Underground Forums

Jian Liu

Recently, online underground markets emerging in the form of underground forums have enabled cybercriminals to exchange knowledge, trade in illicit products or services, which have played a central role in the cybercriminal ecosystem. In order to facilitate the deployment of effective countermeasures to disrupt the illicit activities, it’s important to analyze these underground forums to gain deep insights into the ecosystem. Unfortunately, due to the number of forums, their size, and the expertise required, it’s infeasible to perform manual exploration to understand their behavioral processes.

To address the above challenges, in this thesis, we propose a novel framework to automate the analysis of underground forums for the detection of cybercrime-suspected threads. To detect whether a given thread is cybercrime-suspected or not, we not only analyze the content in the threads, but also utilize the relations among threads, users, replies, and topics. To model the rich semantic relationships (i.e., thread-user, thread-reply, thread-topic, reply-user and reply-topic relations), we introduce a structured Heterogeneous Information Network (HIN) for representation, which is capable to be composed of different types of entities and relations. To capture the complex relationships (e.g., two threads are relevant if they were posted by the same user and discussed the same topic), we use a meta-structure-based approach to characterize the semantic relatedness over threads. As different meta-structures depict the relatedness over threads at different views, we then build a classifier using Laplacian scores to aggregate different similarities formulated by different meta-structures to make predictions. Comprehensive experiments on the data collections from online underground forums are conducted to validate the effectiveness of our developed system in cybercrime-suspected thread detection by comparisons with alternative approaches.
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Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Motivation

As Internet becomes increasingly ubiquitous, computing devices connected to Internet have permeated all facets of people’s daily life (e.g., online shopping, social networking). Driven by the innovation centering on Internet ecosystem, the growth of e-commerce has been significantly increased in the overall sluggish economy [1]: worldwide e-commerce sales reached over $2.14 trillion in 2017 and are expected to increase to about $4 trillion in 2020 [2]. Though the Internet has become one of the most important drivers in the worldwide economy, it also provides an open and shared platform by dissolving the barriers so that everyone has opportunity to realize his/her innovations, which implies higher prospects for illicit profits at lower degrees of risk. That is, the Internet can virtually provide a natural and excellent platform for illegal Internet-based activities, commonly known as cybercrimes [3] (e.g., hacking, online scam, credit card and identity fraud, etc.). Cybercrime has become increasingly dependent on the online underground markets, especially underground forums, through which cybercriminals can not only acquisitive the tools, methods and ideas to commit cybercrimes, but also trade the illicit products (e.g., stolen credit cards), resources (e.g., website traffics), and services (e.g., Denial of Service (DDoS) attacks). For example, Fig. 1 shows a Facebook hacking tool advertised in an underground forum (i.e., Hack Forums). The emerging underground forums have enabled cybercriminals to realize considerable profits. For example, the estimated annual revenue for an individual credit card steal organization was $300 million [4]; it’s also revealed that a group of cybercriminals profited $864 million per year by renting out the DDoS attacks [5], [6].
Fig. 1: Facebook hacking tool advertised in Hack Forums.

As underground forums (e.g., Blackhat World, Hack Forums, Nulled, Free-hack, etc.) have been widely used by cybercriminals to trade the illicit products, resources and services, they have played a central role in the cybercriminal ecosystem [7]. Therefore, analysis of underground forums can provide invaluable insight into cybercrime, and thus facilitate the law enforcement communities, security researchers and industry practitioners to devise effective interventions to disrupt the illicit activities [7]–[11]. For example, based on an influx of stolen credit card numbers being advertised for sale on an online forum, Brian Krebs has successfully alerted Target to an ongoing massive data breach [12].
Unfortunately, the underground forums are run in a covert and dynamic environment, where the nature of trading behaviors are concealed. For example, without further information, it’s hard to determine whether the thread of “Amazon account. Pricing: $19.95...” was a legitimate thread posted by an Amazon user to transfer his/her account or a cybercrime-suspected thread posted by a cybercriminal to sell the compromised account. To uncover the burgeoning information of this underground trove, human analysts need to continually spend a multitude of time to keep the latest statuses and variances of all threads and topics under observation. This calls for novel tools and methodologies to automate the analysis of underground forums to gain insights into their behavioral processes.

1.2 Research Objective

To address the above challenges, in this thesis, we design and develop an intelligent system to automate the analysis of underground forums for the detection of cybercrime-suspected threads. To detect whether the given threads are cybercrime-suspected, we not only analyze the content of the threads, but also utilize the relations among threads, users, replies, and topics. For example, as shown in Fig.2, to decide whether Thread-1 is a cybercrime-suspected thread, using its content “Amazon account. Pricing: $19.95...” is not sufficient; however, with the further information: (1) there’s another thread (Thread-2) “Random Cracked Amazon account. Pricing & Content: per account $19.95...”, (2) both Thread-1 and Thread-2 were posted by the same user (i.e., a cybercriminal), and (3) both threads discussed the same topic (i.e., “Amazon account”), it can be inferred that Thread-1 is highly possible a cybercrime-suspected thread.
To model this kind of rich semantic relationships, we first introduce a structured heterogeneous information network (HIN) [13], [14] for representation, which is capable to be composed of different types of entities and relations. To capture the complex relationships (e.g., as illustrated in Fig. 2, two threads are relevant if they were posted by the same user and discussed the same topic), we use a meta-structure [15] based approach to characterize the semantic relatedness over threads. Then, we further integrate content-based similarity (i.e., similarity of posted threads) and relatedness depicted by each meta-structure to formulate a similarity measure over threads. Later, we build a classifier using Laplacian scores to aggregate different similarities formulated by different meta-structure to make predictions. We design and develop the system which integrates our proposed method for automatic detection of cybercrime-suspected threads in the online underground forums.

1.3 Major Contributions

In sum, the major contributions of our work can be summarized as followings.
• **Novel feature representation to depict posted thread in underground forum:** Instead of only using the content in the posted threads, we further utilize the rich relationships among threads, users, replies and topics (i.e., thread-user, thread-reply, thread-topic, reply-user and reply-topic relations) to represent the threads. Based on different kinds of relationships through different types of entities, the threads will be represented by a HIN, and a meta-structure based approach will be used to depict the relatedness between threads. To utilize both content-based and relation-based information, we integrate similarity of the content information and relatedness depicted by each meta-structure to formulate a similarity measure over threads. The proposed solution provides a more feasible way to express the complex relationships among different types of entities (i.e., threads, users, replies, and topics) in underground forums than traditional approaches.

• **Aggregation of different similarities for prediction:** Different meta-structures capture the relatedness between threads at different views. The similarities over threads formulated by different meta-structures can be used to make decisions in an aggregated way. In this thesis, we propose to aggregate different similarities using Laplacian scores to make predictions.

• **A practical developed system to automate underground forum analysis:** Based on the collected and annotated data from underground forums, we develop a practical system to automate the analysis of underground forums for the detection of cybercrime-suspected threads. Comprehensive experimental studies are also provided to validate the performance of our developed system in comparisons with other alternative approaches.
1.4 Organization of the Thesis

The remainder of this thesis is organized as follows: Chapter 2 discusses the related work. Chapter 3 presents our proposed method in detail. Chapter 4 describes the system architecture. Chapter 5 introduces experimental results and analysis. Finally, Chapter 6 concludes the work in this thesis and discusses our future work.
Chapter 2. Related Work

There have been many research efforts on automated analysis of online underground forums [7], [10], [11], [30]–[35]. While existing research results are encouraging, many of these works systematized the analysis of the forums into a framework and only made an aggregate summary of forum activities without providing methodology [7], [11], or proposed some promising tools to study particular topics only utilizing the content information in the posted threads (e.g., SVM classifiers associated with LDA model based on the content in the posted threads were built to understand the functions and characteristics of assets in underground forums [36]), which still leave a large room for improvement. Different from these existing works, in this thesis, we propose to utilize not only the content information in the posted threads, but also the relationships among threads, users, replies and topics (i.e., thread-user, thread-reply, thread-topic, reply-user and reply-topic relations) for cybercrime-suspected thread detection. Based on the extracted features, the threads are represented by a structured heterogeneous information network.

Heterogeneous Information Network (HIN) is used to model different types of entities and relations [37]. It has been applied to various applications [19], [23], [24], [38]–[40], such as scientific publication network analysis. Several studies have already investigated the use of HIN information for relevance computation, however, most of them only use meta-path [19], [41] to measure the similarity. Such simple path structure fails to capture a more complex relationship between two entities. To address this problem, Huang et al. [15] proposed to use meta-structure, which is a directed acyclic graph of entity and relation
types to measure the proximity between two entities. Their work only considered one particular meta-structure to capture the relatedness over entities. Different from these works, in this thesis, we consider different meta-structures which characterize the relatedness over threads at different views, and further propose a solution to aggregate different similarities formulated by different meta-structures to predict whether the given threads are cybercrime-suspected threads or not.
Chapter 3. Proposed Method

In this section, we introduce the detailed approaches of how we represent underground forum threads utilizing both content- and relation-based features simultaneously, and how we solve the problem of cybercrime-suspected thread detection based on this representation.

3.1 Feature Extraction

As the above discussion, to determine whether a thread is cybercrime-suspected thread, we not only use the content-based features, but also the complex relationships among threads, users, replies, and topics. To characterize the relatedness of two threads, we consider various kinds of relationships which include the followings.

- **R1:** To describe the relation between a user and his/her posted thread, we generate the user-post-thread matrix $P$ where each element $p_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ denotes if user $i$ posts thread $j$.

- **R2:** To denote the relation that a user writes a reply, we build the user-write-reply matrix $W$ where each element $w_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ indicates whether user $i$ writes reply $j$.

- **R3:** To depict whether a reply comments on a specific thread, we build the reply-comment-thread matrix $C$ where element $c_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ denotes if reply $i$ comments on thread $j$.

- **R4:** To represent the relation that a thread discusses a specific topic, we generate the thread-discuss-topic matrix $D$ where each element $d_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ indicates whether thread $i$ discusses topic $j$. In this application, we use Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA)
R5: To denote the relation that a reply retains (i.e., holds) a specific topic, we generate the reply-hold-topic matrix $H$ where each element $h_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ indicates whether reply $i$ holds topic $j$. Here, we also use LDA [18] for the topic extraction from users’ replies.

A summary of the description of the above relations and elements in each relation matrix is shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>$p_{i,j}$</td>
<td>If user $i$ posts thread $j$, then $p_{i,j} = 1$; otherwise, $p_{i,j} = 0$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$</td>
<td>$w_{i,j}$</td>
<td>If user $i$ writes reply $j$, then $w_{i,j} = 1$; otherwise, $w_{i,j} = 0$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>$c_{i,j}$</td>
<td>If reply $i$ comments on thread $j$, then $c_{i,j} = 1$; otherwise, $c_{i,j} = 0$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>$d_{i,j}$</td>
<td>If thread $i$ discusses topic $j$, then $d_{i,j} = 1$; otherwise, $d_{i,j} = 0$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>$h_{i,j}$</td>
<td>If reply $i$ holds topic $j$, then $h_{i,j} = 1$; otherwise, $h_{i,j} = 0$.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I:** The description of each matrix and its element.

### 3.2 Heterogeneous Information Network Construction

In order to depict threads, users, replies, topics and the rich relationships among them, it is important to model them in a proper way so that different kinds of relations can be better and easier handled. We introduce how to use HIN, which is capable to be composed of different types of entities and relations, to represent the threads by using the features described above. We first present the concept related to HIN.
Definition 1. Heterogeneous Information Network (HIN) [14]. A HIN is defined as a graph $G = (V, \varepsilon)$ with an entity type mapping $\phi: V \rightarrow A$ and a relation type mapping $\psi: \varepsilon \rightarrow R$, where $V$ denotes the entity set and $\varepsilon$ is the relation set, $A$ denotes the entity type set and $R$ is the relation type set, and the number of entity types $|A| > 1$ and the number of relation types $|R| > 1$. The network schema [19] for a HIN $G$, denoted as $T_G = (A, R)$, is a graph with nodes as entity types from $A$ and edges as relation types from $R$.

HIN not only provides the network structure of the data associations, but also provides a high-level abstraction of the categorical association. For the detection of cybercrime - suspected threads, we have four entity types (i.e., thread, user, reply and topic) and five types of relations among them as described above. Based on the above definition, the network schema for HIN in our application is shown in Fig. 3.

![Network schema for HIN in our application](image)

**Fig. 3:** Network schema for HIN in our application.

### 3.3 Meta-structure Based Relatedness

The different types of entities and different relations between them motivate us to use a machine-readable representation to enrich the semantics of relatedness among threads.
Meta-path [19] is used in the concept of HIN to formulate the semantics of higher-order relationships among entities. A **meta-path** [19] \( P \) is a path defined on the graph of network schema \( T_G = (A, R) \), and is denoted in the form of \( A_1 \xrightarrow{R_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{R_2} \ldots \xrightarrow{R_L} A_{L+1} \), which defines a composite relation \( R = R_1 \cdot R_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot R_L \) between types \( A_1 \) and \( A_{L+1} \), where \( \cdot \) denotes relation composition operator, and \( L \) is the length of \( P \). An example of a meta-path for threads based on HIN schema shown in Fig. 4 is: \( \text{thread} \xrightarrow{\text{post}^{-1}} \text{user} \xrightarrow{\text{post}} \text{thread} \), which states that two threads can be connected through the same user who posted them (i.e., \( P_1 \) in Fig. 4); another example is \( \text{thread} \xrightarrow{\text{comment}^{-1}} \text{reply} \xrightarrow{\text{write}^{-1}} \text{user} \xrightarrow{\text{write}} \text{reply} \xrightarrow{\text{comment}} \text{thread} \), which denotes that two threads are related through their replies written by the same user (i.e., \( P_3 \) in Fig. 4). Although meta-path can be used to depict the relatedness over threads in our application, it fails to capture a more complex relationship, such as two threads were posted by the same user and both discussed the same topic. This calls for a better characterization to handle such complex relationship.

![Fig. 4: Meta-paths (left) and meta-structures (right) on HIN.](image)
(The symbols in this figure are the abbreviations in Fig. 3.)
Meta-structure [15] is proposed to use a directed acyclic graph of entity and relation types to capture more complex relationship between two HIN entities. The concept of meta-structure is given as following [15].

**Definition 2.: Meta-structure [15].** A meta-structure $S$ is a directed acyclic graph with a single source node $n_s$ and a single target node $n_t$, defined on a HIN $G = (V, \varepsilon)$ with schema $T_G = (A, R)$. Formally, $S = (N, M, n_s, n_t)$, where $N$ is a set of nodes and $M$ is a set of edges. For any node $x \in N; x \in A$; for any link $(x, y) \in M, (x, y) \in R$.

In our application, based on the HIN schema shown in Fig.3, we generate three meaningful meta-structures to characterize the relatedness over threads (i.e., $S_1$-$S_3$ shown in Fig.4): (1) $S_1$ depicts that two threads are related as they were posted by the same user and also discussed the same topic; (2) $S_2$ describes that two threads are connected since they had replies written by the same user and both their replies held (i.e., retained) the same topic; (3) $S_3$ denotes that two threads are relevant as they discussed the same topic which was also discussed in another thread and held (i.e., retained) in another reply. In fact, meta-path is a special case of a meta-structure (e.g., $P_1$ and $P_2$ are just particular cases of $S_1$). In Fig. 4, the meta-paths of $P_1$-$P_6$ (left) are the special cases of the constructed meta-structures of $S_1$-$S_3$ (right). But meta-structure is capable to express more complex relationship in a convenient way.

To compute the relatedness over threads using particular meta-structure designed above, we use the commuting matrix [19], [20] to compute the counting-based similarity matrix for a meta-structure. Take $S_2$ as an example, the commuting matrix of threads computed
using $S_2$ is $M_{S_2} = C^T[(W^T W) \odot (H H^T)] C$, where $C$, $W$, $H$ are the adjacency matrices between two corresponding entity types, $\odot$ denotes the Hadamard product [21] of two matrices. $M_{S_2}(i, j)$ denotes the number of reply pairs commented on thread $i$ and $j$ that were written by the same users and held same topics. The commuting matrix of threads computed using $S_1$ is $M_{S_1} = (P^T P) \odot (D D^T)$, whose element denotes the number of topic pairs discussed in thread $i$ and $j$ that were also posted by same users; while the commuting matrix of threads computed using $S_3$ is $M_{S_3} = D[(D^T D) \odot (H H^T)] D^T$, whose element denotes the number of topic pairs discussed in thread $i$ and $j$ that were also discussed in other threads and held in other replies.

After characterizing the relatedness of threads based on each meta-structure, we utilize both content and relation-based information to measure the similarity over threads: we integrate similarity of threads’ content and relatedness depicted by meta-structure to form a similarity measure matrix over threads. The similarity matrix over threads is denoted as $Z$, whose element is a combination of content-based similarity and meta structure-based relatedness. We define the similarity matrix $Z_{S_k}$ based on $M_{S_k}$ as:

$$Z_{S_k}(i, j) = [1 + \ln (M_{S_k}(i, j) + 1)] \times \cos(t_i, t_j),$$

(1)

where $\cos(t_i, t_j)$ is the cosine similarity between thread $i$ and $j$: for each thread, we convert them into a bag-of-words feature vector and then use cosine similarity measure [22] to estimate the closeness of two threads’ content.
3.4 Classification Model Construction

Different meta-structures capture the relatedness over threads at different views, i.e., $S_1$-$S_3$. Since HIN can naturally provide us different relatedness with different semantic meanings, instead of using a single meta-structure to depict the relatedness between threads, we propose to use Laplacian scores to weight the importance of different similarities based on different meta-structures for thread classification (i.e., whether a thread is cybercrime-suspected thread).

Suppose that there are $K$ meta-structures $S_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$), we can calculate their corresponding commuting matrices $M_{S_k}$ ($k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$). Then, we use Eq. (1) to compute the similarity matrix $Z_{S_k}$ ($k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$) based on $M_{S_k}$. Following [23], [24], we combine different similarities to form a new similarity measure:

$$Z'(i, j) = \frac{2 \times \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k Z_{S_k}(i,j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k Z_{S_k}(i,i) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k Z_{S_k}(j,j)}$$

where $w = [w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_K]$ is the weighted vector of different similarities formulated by different meta-structures. In our application, we use Laplacian score [25] to learn the weight of each similarity, since it can be computed to reflect the locality preserving power of each feature. In this way, a new kernel is formed, and we feed it to the Support Vector Machine (SVM) for classification. Note that if the matrix $Z'$ is not a kernel (not a positive semi-definite matrix), we simply use the trick to remove the negative eigenvalues.
Chapter 4. System Architecture

We design and develop a system, which integrate the proposed method described in Chapter 3, to automate the analysis of underground forums for the detection of cyber-suspected threads. The system architecture is shown in Fig. 5, which mainly contains the following components.

Fig. 5: System architecture.

- **Data Collector and Preprocessor**

We first develop web crawling tools to collect the threads and their replies, as well as the users’ profiles from underground forums. Note that the information of individual user is kept anonymous. For the collected threads and their replies, the preprocessor will further remove all the punctuations and stop words, and then conduct lemmatization by using Stanford CoreNLP [16].
• **Feature Extractor and HIN Constructor**

A bag-of-words [17] feature vector will be extracted to represent each thread. Then, the relationships among threads, users, replies and topics will be further analyzed, such as, i) user-post-thread (i.e., a user posts a thread), ii) user-write-reply (i.e., a user writes a reply), iii) reply-comment-thread (i.e. a reply comments on a thread), iv) thread-discuss-topic (i.e. a thread discusses a specific topic) and v) reply-hold-topic (i.e. a reply holds (retains) a specific topic). Based on the extracted features, a structural HIN will be constructed.

• **Meta-structure Builder**

In this module, different meta-structures are built from HIN to capture the relatedness between threads from different views. Then, we integrate similarity of the posted threads and relatedness depicted by different meta-structures to formulate a set of similarity measures over threads.

• **Classification Model**

Given the similarity matrices over threads formulated by different meta-structures from the previous component, a classifier is built to aggregate different similarities using Laplacian scores for the detection of cybercrime-suspected threads in the underground forums.

Based the developed system, given an unlabeled thread and its replies, the content-based features will be extracted, and the above-mentioned relationships will be further analyzed; based on these extracted features and the constructed classification model, this thread will be labeled as either benign or cybercrime-suspected.
Chapter 5. Experimental Results and Analysis

In this section, we show three sets of experimental studies using real sample collections from Hack Forums (hackforums.net) to fully evaluate the performance of our developed system for automatic detection of cybercrime-suspected threads: (1) In the first set of experiments, based on HIN schema, we fully assess the performance of our proposed method; (2) In the second set of experiments, we evaluate our developed system which integrates our proposed method by comparisons with other alternative classification approaches; (3) Finally, we conduct case studies based on the detected cybercrime-suspected threads to gain deep insights into the behavioral processes of Hack Forums. The measures for evaluation of different methods are shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td># correctly classified as cybercrime-suspected threads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td># correctly classified as benign threads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td># mistakenly classified as cybercrime-suspected threads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FN</td>
<td># mistakenly classified as benign threads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>( \frac{TP}{TP + FP} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>( \frac{TP}{TP + FN} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACC</td>
<td>( \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>( \frac{2 \times Precision \times Recall}{Precision + Recall} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: Performance indices for different methods.

5.1 Data Collection and Annotation

To obtain the data from Hack Forums, we develop a set of crawling tools to collect the
posted threads and their replies as well as the users’ profiles in a period. By the date, we have collected 12,021 threads posted by 5,571 users through March 2015 to December 2017. Note that the information for individual user is kept anonymous. After data collection and preprocessing, the five relationships (i.e., $R_1$-$R_5$) introduced in Chapter 3.1 are further extracted.

To obtain the prelabeled data for training, three groups of annotators (i.e., 15 persons) with knowledge from domain professional (i.e., cybersecurity researcher) spent 45 days to label whether the collected threads are cybercrime-suspected threads or not by cross-validations. The mutual agreement is above 95%, and only the ones with agreements are retained; that is, for the collected threads, 4,304 are labeled as cybercrime-suspected threads and 7,717 are labeled as benign.

### 5.2 Evaluation of the Proposed Method

In this set of experiments, based on the annotated dataset described in Chapter 5.1, we fully evaluate our proposed method by 10-fold cross-validations: (1) based on the HIN schema (as described in Chapter 3.2), we first evaluate the performance of meta structure based method in cybercrime-suspected thread detection by comparisons with meta-path based approach; (2) we then evaluate the proposed method using Laplacian scores for aggregation of different similarities formulated by different meta-structures.

We first construct three meta-structures (i.e., $S_1$-$S_3$ shown in Fig. 4: right) and generate the corresponding six meta-paths (i.e., $P_1$-$P_6$ shown in Fig. 4: left). To measure the similarity over threads, we integrate similarity of posted threads and relatedness depicted by each meta-structure or meta-path to form a similarity measure matrix. We evaluate their performances for cybercrime-suspected thread detection using SVM. For each meta-
structure or meta-path, the generated similarity measure matrix is used as the kernel fed to SVM. For SVM, we use LibSVM in our experiments. The penalty is empirically set to be 10 and other parameters are set by default.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Kernel</th>
<th>Commuting Matrix</th>
<th>ACC</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>$ZP_1$</td>
<td>$P^T P$</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>$ZP_2$</td>
<td>$DD^T$</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>$ZP_3$</td>
<td>$C^T W^T WC$</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>0.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>$ZP_4$</td>
<td>$C^T HH^T C$</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_5$</td>
<td>$ZP_5$</td>
<td>$DD^T DD^T$</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_6$</td>
<td>$ZP_6$</td>
<td>$DH^T HD^T$</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>$ZS_1$</td>
<td>$(P^T P) \circ (DD^T)$</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>$ZS_2$</td>
<td>$C^T [(W^T W) \circ (HH^T)] C$</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3$</td>
<td>$ZS_3$</td>
<td>$D[(D^T D) \circ (H^T H)] DT$</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Combined-kernel (3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>0.833</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III:** Evaluation of the proposed method.

The results in Table III show that each meta-structure does perform better than its corresponding meta-paths. For example, meta-paths of $P_1$ and $P_2$ are special cases of meta-structure $S_1$; but $S_1$ works better than $P_1$ and $P_2$ in the problem of cybercrime-suspected thread detection. The reason behind this is that meta-structure is more expressive to characterize a complex relatedness over threads than meta-path. This also demonstrates that we can use meta-structure with subtle differences to significantly improve the quality of relation-based features and better express different relatedness over threads in our application.
We then combine all the generated similarity matrices formulated by the three different meta-structures (i.e., $S_1$, $S_2$) using Laplacian scores as the weights to construct a more powerful kernel (i.e., ID10) fed to SVM (as described in Chapter 3.4). From the results shown in Table III, we can observe that Laplacian score indeed helps us select the important similarities. The “Combined-kernel (3)” is with 86.0% accuracy and 0.833 F1 score, which successfully outperforms any single similarities formulated by different meta-structures.

This also shows Laplacian score can better reflect classification property and thus improve the cybercrime-suspected detection performance. To demonstrate the effectiveness of Laplacian score, we further study the correlation between each similarity and their related Laplacian score. From Fig. 6, we can see that the Laplacian score can successfully filter out the performance of each similarity. We also further evaluate the parameter sensitivity of our proposed method with different values of the penalty parameter $C$. From Fig. 7, we can see in a wide range of numbers, the performance of combined similarity is stable and not very sensitive to the penalty parameter. This indicates that for practical use, we can simply tune a parameter using some training data based on cross-validations and apply that parameter to the test set without concerning the change of the parameter affecting the online performance.
5.3 Comparisons with Alternative Methods

In this set of experiments, based on the dataset described in Chapter 5.1, we compare our proposed method described in Chapter 3 with other alternative methods by 10-fold cross-validations. For these methods, we construct four types of features:

- **Bag-of-words** [17]: Each thread is represented as a bag-of-words feature vector.
- **LDA** [18]: Based on the LDA model, each thread is represented as an LDA-based feature vector. In our case, a tool provided by Blei [26] is used to train the LDA model and the number of topics is empirically set to 50.
- **Word2vec** [27]: Each thread is presented as a weighted word2vec feature vector using the skip-gram model. In our application, we use the word2vec tool provided by Google [28] and the dimension of the word vectors is empirically set to 50 while other parameters are set by default.
- **Augmented**: This augments bag-of-words with relations of $R_1$-$R_5$ described in Chapter 3.1 as flat features.
Based on these features, we consider two typical classification models, i.e., Naive Bayes (NB) and SVM. The experimental results are illustrated in Table IV. From the results, we can see that, compared with the other three features, feature engineering (i.e., denoted as “augmented”) helps the performance of machine learning, since the rich semantics encoded in different types of relations can bring more information. However, the use of this information for traditional machine learning algorithms is simply flat features, i.e., concatenation of different features altogether. The results in Table IV also show that our system further outperforms all these alternative classification methods in automatic cybercrime-suspected thread detection. The reason behind this is that, we use more expressive representation for the data, and build the connection between the higher-level semantics of the data and the results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>ACC</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bag-of-words</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>0.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Word2vec</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Augmented</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Bag-of-words</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Word2vec</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Augmented</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>our system</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV: Comparisons with other alternative methods.
In this set of experiments, we further evaluate the scalability and stability of our developed system. For scalability evaluation, we evaluate the training time of our proposed method with different sizes of the training data sets. The scalability is shown in Fig. 8. It is illustrated that the running time is quadratic to the number of training samples. When dealing with more data, approximation or parallel algorithms should be developed. Therefore, for practical use, our approach is feasible for real application in automatic cybercrime-suspected thread detection. For stability evaluation, Fig. 9 shows the overall receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves based on the 10-fold cross validations, from which we can see that we achieve an impressive 0.877 average TP rate for cybercrime-suspected threads detection.

Fig. 8: Scalability evaluation.  
Fig. 9: Stability evaluation.
5.4 Case Studies

In this section, we first showcase examples of a detected cybercrime-suspected thread vs. a benign thread using our developed system as illustrated in Fig. 10. (a) and (c).

(a) A detected cybercrime-suspected thread in Hack Forum.

https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5819607

(b) A detected benign thread in Hack Forum.

https://hackforums.net/showthread.php?tid=5812856

Fig. 10. Examples of cybercrime-suspected vs. benign threads.
After the automatic detection of cybercrime-suspected threads from Hack forums using our developed system, to better understand and gain deep insights into its behavioral process, we further analyze and categorize those cybercrime-suspected threads and have several valuable findings. Table V shows the distribution of cybercrime-suspected threads in different sub-boards, from which we can observe that some sub-boards, such as “Premium Sellers Section” and “Online Accounts”, play more significant roles than others in Hack forums. Table VI shows different topics discussed by these detected cybercrime-suspected threads, which indicates that “Cracked Account and Phishing” and “Password hacking” are the most prevalent illicit services provided on Hack Forums. One of the interesting findings is that cybercriminals sell the cracked individual credit card information by exploiting the vulnerabilities of online banking systems. This study also reveals that: (1) to protect legitimate users’ privacy, there is an imminent need to improve the security of online banking services; (2) using the automatic tools to perform the surveillance of underground forums can be a valuable and supplementary way to facilitate the understanding of the behavioral processes of cybercrimes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-boards</th>
<th>cs-threads</th>
<th>Replies</th>
<th>Users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marketplace Discussions</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>2,875</td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium Sellers Section</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>9,002</td>
<td>1,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Sellers’ Market</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>5,07</td>
<td>4,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Accounts</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>7,046</td>
<td>1,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1249</td>
<td>9,811</td>
<td>1,415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE V:** Distribution of cybercrime-suspected threads in different sub-boards.

(“cs-threads” represent the detected cybercrime-suspected threads)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topics of cybercrime-suspected</th>
<th>threads #</th>
<th>threads Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracked Account and Phishing</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>27.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Password hacking</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>14.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web exploit and Vulnerabilities</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>12.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software cracking and Crypters</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>12.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQL injection</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>7.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malware and Virus</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>7.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>19.21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE VI: Different topics discussed in the detected cybercrime-suspected threads.
Chapter 6. Conclusion and Future Work

In this thesis, we design and develop an intelligent system to automate the analysis of underground forums for cybercrime-suspected thread detection. We first construct a structural HIN to leverage the information of threads, users, replies and topics as well as the rich relationships among them, which gives the thread a higher-level semantic representation. Then, meta structure-based approach is used to characterize the semantic relatedness over threads. Afterwards, we integrate content-based similarity and the relatedness depicted by each meta-structure to formulate a similarity measure over threads. We then use Laplacian scores to aggregate different similarities formulated by different meta-structures to build a classifier for cybercrime-suspected thread detection. The promising experimental results based on the collected and annotated data from Hack forums demonstrate that our proposed method outperforms other alternative approaches.

In our future work, we will continue to use our system to automate analysis in other underground forums (e.g., Blackhat World, Nulled, Free-hack, etc). Another aspect is that the cost of computational complexity of commuting matrix is really high. We need alternative solution.
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