2020

Modulation of Semiconductor Photoconversion with Surface Modification and Plasmon

Joeseeph Martin Bright

West Virginia University, jbright3@mail.wvu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd>

Part of the [Semiconductor and Optical Materials Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bright, Joeseeph Martin, "Modulation of Semiconductor Photoconversion with Surface Modification and Plasmon" (2020). *Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports*. 7798.

<https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd/7798>

This Dissertation is protected by copyright and/or related rights. It has been brought to you by the The Research Repository @ WVU with permission from the rights-holder(s). You are free to use this Dissertation in any way that is permitted by the copyright and related rights legislation that applies to your use. For other uses you must obtain permission from the rights-holder(s) directly, unless additional rights are indicated by a Creative Commons license in the record and/ or on the work itself. This Dissertation has been accepted for inclusion in WVU Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports collection by an authorized administrator of The Research Repository @ WVU. For more information, please contact researchrepository@mail.wvu.edu.

2020

Modulation of Semiconductor Photoconversion with Surface Modification and Plasmon

Joeseeph Martin Bright

Follow this and additional works at: <https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd>

Part of the [Semiconductor and Optical Materials Commons](#)

Modulation of Semiconductor Photoconversion with Surface Modification and Plasmon

Joeseeph Bright

Dissertation submitted
to the Benjamin M. Statler College of Engineering and Mineral Resources
at West Virginia University

in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in
Materials Science and Engineering

Nianqiang Wu, Ph.D. (Co-Chair)
Terence Musho, Ph.D. (Co-Chair)
Ever J. Barbero, Ph.D.
Lawrence Hornak, Ph.D.
Dongling Ma, Ph.D.

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering
West Virginia University
Morgantown, West Virginia
2020

Keywords: Plasmonics, Solar Energy, Photoelectrochemistry, Sensors, Heavy Metals, Immunosensor

Copyright 2020 - Joeseeph Bright

Abstract

Modulation of Semiconductor Photoconversion with Surface Modification and Plasmon

Joeseeph Bright

Semiconductor devices are the basis of modern technology. Semiconductor-based photoconversion devices that convert light into electrical signals have shown potential for light energy harvesting and conversion, environmental remediation, and sensors for detection of light, chemicals, and biological substances. Despite this potential for use in many applications, semiconductor photoconversion devices need further improvement in the photoconversion performance. This photoconversion improvement may be manifested as increased photoconversion efficiencies for light harvesting devices for power generation such as photovoltaics and photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells or improved photoconversion modulation to increase the sensitivity of semiconductor photoconversion-based sensors. In addition, alternative semiconductor materials to semiconductors that utilize toxic heavy metals such as cadmium and lead must be found for use in certain semiconductor photoconversion devices.

In this dissertation, three separate projects related to improving the performance of semiconductor photoconversion devices are presented. In the first project presented, a rutile titanium dioxide (TiO_2) nanorod array photoanode is coated with an ultra-thin porphyrin-based metal-organic framework (MOF) layer to improve the overall photoconversion of the photoelectrode for solar water splitting. The porphyrin-based MOF coated TiO_2 nanorod array showed a 2.7x increase in photocurrent versus bare TiO_2 nanorod arrays. The porphyrin-based MOF layer suppressed surface states on the rutile TiO_2 nanorod array and increased charge separation and extraction from the rutile TiO_2 due to the built-in electric field formed by a depleted p-n junction between the porphyrin-based MOF layer and the rutile TiO_2 nanorods.

In the second project presented, different plasmonic (hot electron injection and plasmon-induced resonant energy transfer (PIRET)) and non-plasmonic photoconversion enhancement mechanisms were tested for modulating photocurrent in PEC-based sensors using $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) thin film semiconductor photoelectrodes and Hg^{2+} as a proof-of-concept analyte for detection. The possible plasmonic and non-plasmonic photoconversion enhancement mechanisms were controlled by choice of conjugated plasmonic nanoprobe between Au and Au@ SiO_2 core-shell nanoparticles with the BFMO. The conjugated Au NPs enhanced the BFMO thin film's PEC performance through a combination of plasmonic hot electron injection, PIRET, Fermi-level equilibration, and a non-plasmonic internal reflection within the BFMO caused by the conjugated Au NPs. The conjugated Au@ SiO_2 NPs enhanced the BFMO thin film's PEC performance via PIRET and the non-plasmonic internal reflection within the BFMO caused by the Au@ SiO_2 NPs.

A PEC sensor using the Au NPs as nanoprobe showed sensitivity and selectivity towards Hg^{2+} showing this PEC sensor design's potential.

In the third project presented, based on the comparison study of plasmonic and non-plasmonic photoconversion enhancement mechanisms with BFMO thin-film photoanodes, a PEC-based immunosensor utilizing PIRET from Au NP-based nanoprobe conjugated to BFMO thin-film photoanodes to modulate photoconversion of the BFMO is synthesized and studied using human immunoglobulin G (IGG) as a proof-of-concept analyte. The plasmonic Au NPs are conjugated in the presence of human IGG via antibody-antigen reactions. The resulting PIRET-based PEC immunosensor shows some sensitivity towards IGG detection. However, the sensitivity of the PIRET-based PEC immunosensor is limited due to the large separation distance (~10 nm) between the plasmonic Au NPs the BFMO thin films from the antibody-antigen sandwich used for Au NP conjugation. As such, further work must focus on improving PIRET between the Au NP based nanoprobe and the BFMO thin film photoanodes.

Acknowledgements

“Success is not final; failure is not fatal: It is the courage to continue that counts.” – Winston Churchill

Of the many quotes on success that I have read, this one most completely summarizes my journey to complete this dissertation. However, my “courage” as Mr. Churchill put it has been built up and reinforced by many people along this journey that I would be remiss not to thank. Without them, it is very likely that this dissertation would not have been completed at all.

First, I would like to thank my parents, Jim and Amy, for instilling in me the required work ethic and self-reliance from a young age needed for these last seven years. I would like to Dr. Jiangtian Li and Scott Cushing for being my early research mentors. Without their early guidance, I would have been lost as I navigated a new world of scientific journals, conferences, and scientific research in general. The foundation that they built for me has been essential to completion of this journey. I would also like to thank the many graduate students and “post-docs” that I have worked with over the years. In particular, I would like to Fanke Meng, Peng Zheng, Dr. Xuefei Gao, Sujun Kasani, and Botong Liu. You have helped me so much from the beginning through the end of this journey.

I would like to thank my wife and colleague, Dr. Hui Yang. Without your guidance, being a springboard for my wacky ideas, and telling me when I have lost focus of the big picture, I genuinely do not think I could have completed this dissertation. Your support and refusal to let me give up has been the difference between courage and despair in this journey.

Last of all, I would like to thank Dr. Nianqiang Wu for being my research adviser for the last seven years. You have taught me a great deal about life as a researcher that I will not forget and have served as a positive influence in general.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Research Motivations.....	1
1.2 Objectives	2
1.3 Innovation and Significance.....	2
1.4 References.....	3
Chapter 2: Technical Background.....	5
2.1 Solar Energy Harvesting	5
2.2 Semiconductors.....	5
2.3 Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Cells and Photocatalysis	9
2.4 Metal-Organic Frameworks	18
2.5 Plasmonics for Light Energy Harvesting Applications.....	23
2.5.1 Plasmonic Effects for Modulating Semiconductor Photoconversion	25
2.5.2 Non-Plasmonic Effects for Enhancing Photoelectrochemical Cell Performance	29
2.6 Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Biosensors	32
2.7 References.....	34
Chapter 3: Porphyrin-based Metal-Organic Framework Coated Titanium Dioxide Nanorod Array for Improved Photoelectrochemical Cell Performance	46
3.1 Introduction.....	46
3.2 Results.....	48
3.3 Conclusions.....	63
3.4 Methods.....	63
3.4.1 Materials	63
3.4.2 Growth of TiO ₂ nanorod array on FTO.....	63
3.4.3 Fabrication of p–n TiO ₂ @MOF nanorod arrays.....	64
3.4.4 Co ³⁺ functionalization of p–n TiO ₂ @MOF nanorod array on FTO.....	64
3.4.5 Synthesis of porphyrin MOF and Co-MOF powder	64
3.4.6 Characterization	64
3.5 References.....	67
Chapter 4: Rational Design for a Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Sensor Utilizing Plasmonic Energy Transfer for Hg ²⁺ Detection	72
4.1 Introduction.....	72
4.2 Results.....	73
4.3 Conclusions.....	80
4.4 Methods.....	81

4.4.1 Materials	81
4.4.2 Characterization	82
4.4.3 Gold Nanoparticles (Au NPs) Synthesis	82
4.4.4 Gold@Silicon Dioxide Core@Shell Nanoparticles (Au@SiO ₂ NPs) Synthesis	82
4.4.5 Bi ₃ FeMo ₂ O ₁₂ (BFMO) Thin Film Synthesis	83
4.4.6 Labeling Ag@SiO ₂ and Au@SiO ₂ with Amine Group Linked Detection DNA Probe	83
4.4.7 Labeling Au with Thiol-Group Linked Detection DNA Probe	83
4.4.8 Labeling Amine Group Linked Capture DNA Probe on BFMO Films	84
4.4.9 Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Testing	84
4.4.10 Mercury (II) Ion (Hg ²⁺) PEC Sensor Testing	85
4.5 References	85
Chapter 5: Semiconductor Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Immunosensor Utilizing Plasmonic Energy Transfer for Immunoglobulin G (IgG) Detection	90
5.1 Introduction	90
5.2 Results	91
5.3 Conclusions	102
5.4 Methods	102
5.4.1 Materials	102
5.4.2 Characterization	102
5.4.3 Gold Nanoparticles (Au NPs) Synthesis	103
5.4.4 Bi ₃ FeMo ₂ O ₁₂ (BFMO) Thin Film Synthesis	103
5.4.5 Labeling Anti-Human Immunoglobulin G (IGG) Capture Antibody onto BFMO Films	104
5.4.6 Labeling Anti-Human IGG Capture Antibody onto Au NPs	104
5.4.7 Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Testing	104
5.4.8 Human IGG PEC Sensor Testing	105
5.5 References	106
Chapter 6: Future Outlook and Conclusions	110
6.1 Refining the PIRET Immunosensor Design	110
6.2 Conclusions	113
6.3 References	115
Appendix: Manuscripts and Publications	118
Completed Manuscripts	118
Publications (* Co-First Authorship)	118

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Research Motivations

Semiconductor devices are the basis of modern technology such as computers, cell phones, solid-state lighting, lasers, etc.^[1] One type of semiconductor device of interest is semiconductor-based photoconversion devices where incident light is converted into useful electrical signals. The useful electrical signals can be photoinduced changes to baseline currents, voltage, and resistance through the semiconductor. Semiconductor-based photoconversion devices can be used for several applications including light energy harvesting and conversion into more useful forms of energy such as heat, electricity or chemical energy, environmental remediation, and sensing of light, chemicals, biological substances.

Despite the usefulness of semiconductor photoconversion devices, these devices need further improvement to their photoconversion performance. Specific examples of the need for improved photoconversion performance are improved light energy harvesting and conversion efficiencies to electrical energy or chemical energy in photovoltaics and photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells respectively and improved photoconversion modulation response in the presence of analytes to increase the sensitivity of photoconversion-based sensors. While certain photovoltaic devices such as those based on silicon have become commercially viable, thin-film photovoltaics with commercially viable efficiencies are currently based on semiconductors composed of compounds containing toxic heavy metals such as cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), tellurium (Te), and selenium (Se) or extremely rare metals such as indium (In).^[2, 3] As such, development of replacement semiconductors to replace heavy metal containing semiconductors is needed for these photoconversion devices.

In the case of PEC cells, commercial viability for solar energy harvesting applications has not been achieved due to materials challenges for finding semiconductors with sufficient light absorption, charge transport, and long-term chemical stability under operation to be efficient and stable enough for commercial application.^[4, 5] As such, new semiconductor materials and materials combinations must be designed and tested to make PEC cells commercially viable for solar energy harvesting applications. However, applications that do not require high photoconversion device efficiencies but rather emphasize the ability to modulate the photoconversion performance of devices in response to the presence of some stimulus such as photoconversion-based sensors can utilize the PEC cell as a device architecture. In order for photoconversion-based sensors utilizing PEC cells as the device architecture to be commercially viable, new mechanisms for modulating photoconversion processes within semiconductor photoelectrodes in PEC cells must be developed.

1.2 Objectives

The research presented in this dissertation shows three projects that focus on enhancing and/or controllably modulation photoconversion processes of semiconductor photoelectrodes within PEC cells. The objectives of the research presented within this dissertation are as follows:

- Design and test an ultra-thin porphyrin-based metal-organic framework overlayer onto metal-oxide based rutile titanium dioxide nanorod array photoelectrodes in order to enhance the performance towards solar water-splitting reactions.
- Compare the effectiveness of different photoconversion enhancement mechanisms from plasmonic metal-based nanoparticles evaluating mechanisms of both plasmonic and non-plasmonic origin for modulating photoconversion of semiconductor photoelectrodes for use as photoelectrochemical sensors.
- Practically apply plasmon-induced resonant energy transfer (PIRET) from plasmonic metal nanoparticles conjugated with semiconductor photoelectrodes for use in photoelectrochemical immunosensors for protein biomarker detection.

1.3 Innovation and Significance

In the first research presented, a porphyrin-based metal organic framework overlayer was coated onto a rutile titanium dioxide nanorod array for photoelectrochemical water-splitting. While metal-organic framework overlayers have been coated onto metal-oxide photoelectrodes including specifically rutile titanium dioxide nanorod arrays, there are no examples in literature of porphyrin-based metal-organic framework overlayers on metal oxide photoelectrodes.^[6,7] The research presented here demonstrates the potential utility of such porphyrin-based metal-organic frameworks as overlayers for improving the PEC water-splitting performance of metal-oxide photoelectrodes.

The second research project that evaluates different plasmonic and non-plasmonic photoconversion enhancement mechanisms from plasmonic metal nanoparticles for their use in modulating photoconversion within semiconductors. Most PEC sensors that utilize plasmonic energy transfer from plasmonic metals to modulate semiconductor photoconversion utilize almost exclusively the injection of hot electrons from plasmonic metal to semiconductor^[8-10] and Förster resonant energy transfer (FRET) to plasmonic metal nanoparticles from a semiconductor to modulate the photocurrent of semiconductor photoelectrodes.^[11-13] Few examples of PIRET-based photoconversion modulation in PEC sensors exist in literature and have no physics-based explanations of the resulting photoconversion modulation. As a result, no studies comparing different plasmonic and non-plasmonic photoconversion enhancement mechanisms for use in PEC sensors has not performed. The presented research remedies this lack of comparative studies while also providing a physics-based evaluation of the viability of different plasmonic and non-plasmonic photoconversion modulation mechanisms for use in PEC sensors.

The last research project introduces a new concept for PEC sensors – the “turn-on” PIRET-based PEC immunosensor for protein biomarker detection. The non-radiative energy transfer nature of PIRET allows for it to occur over small separation distances between the plasmonic metal nanoparticle and semiconductor acceptor unlike hot electron injection processes that need direct contact between plasmonic metal nanoparticles and semiconductor.^[14,15] This ability to operate over short separation distances is necessary to overcome the separation distances induced by the antibody-antigen sandwich used for capturing analytes and secondary signal probes. While the ability to occur over small separation distances is also possible with FRET-based PEC sensors, FRET-based PEC sensors are typically “turn-off” devices that utilize quantum dots with long carrier dephasing times that are made of toxic heavy metals such as Cd, Pb, Se, and Te.^[11-13] PIRET does not require quantum dots to function effectively, allowing for non-toxic metal-oxide based semiconductors to be used as the semiconductor photoelectrode materials. In addition, the overall energy transfer efficiencies of PIRET are higher than hot electron injection, enabling more sensitivity with PIRET-based PEC sensors.^[14, 15] As such, the presented research lays a foundation for designing PEC-based sensors utilizing PIRET to modulate photoconversion within semiconductor photoelectrodes.

1.4 References

- [1] Muller, R. S.; Kamins, T. I.; Chan, M. Semiconductor Electronics. *Device Electronics for Integrated Circuits*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2003; pp 2-55.
- [2] Green, M. A.; Hishikawa, Y.; Dunlop, E. D.; Levi, D. H.; Hohl-Ebinger, J.; Yoshita, M.; Ho-Baillie, A. W. Y. Solar cell efficiency tables (Version 53). *Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl.*, **2019**, 27, 3-12.
- [3] Wallace, S. K.; Mitzi, D. B.; Walsh, A. The steady rise of kesterite solar cells. *ACS Ener. Lett.*, **2017**, 2, 776-779.
- [4] Li, J.; Wu, N. Semiconductor-based photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells for solar fuel generation: a review. *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, **2015**, 5, 1360-1384.
- [5] Sivula, K.; van de Krol, R. Semiconducting materials for photoelectrochemical energy conversion. *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, **2016**, 1, 15010.
- [6] Zhang, L.; Cui, P.; Yang, H.; Chen, J.; Xiao, F.; Guo, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, W.; Huo, F.; Liu, B. Metal-organic frameworks as promising photosensitizers for photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Adv. Sci.*, **2016**, 3, 1500243.
- [7] Jiao, Z.; Zheng, J.; Feng, C.; Wang, Z.; Wang, X.; Lu, G.; Bi, Y. Fe/W co-doped BiVO₄ photoanodes with a metal-organic framework cocatalyst for improved photoelectrochemical stability and activity. *ChemSusChem*, **2016**, 9, 2824-2831.

- [8] Shi, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Zhai, T.-T.; Zhou, Y.; Yang, D.-R.; Wang, F.-B.; Xia, X.-H. Localized surface plasmon resonance enhanced label-free photoelectrochemical immunoassay by Au-MoS₂ nanohybrid. *Electrochim. Acta*, **2018**, *271*, 361-369.
- [9] Da, P.; Li, W.; Lin, X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, J.; Zheng, G. Surface plasmon resonance enhanced real time photoelectrochemical protein sensing by gold nanoparticle-decorated TiO₂ nanowires. *Anal. Chem.*, **2014**, *86*, 6633-6639.
- [10] Qiao, Y.; Li, J.; Li, H.; Fang, H.; Fan, D.; Wang, W. A label-free photoelectrochemical aptasensor for bisphenol A based on surface plasmon resonance of gold nanoparticle-sensitized ZnO nanopencils. *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2016**, *86*, 315-320.
- [11] Zhao, W.-W.; Yu, P.-P.; Shan, Y.; Wang, J.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Exciton-plasmon interactions between CdS quantum dots and Ag nanoparticles in photoelectrochemical system and its biosensing application. *Anal. Chem.*, **2012**, *84*, 5892-5897.
- [12] Han, D.-M.; Jiang, L.-Y.; Tang, W.-Y.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Photoelectrochemical determination of inorganic mercury ions based on energy transfer between CdS quantum dots and Au nanoparticles. *Electrochem. Commun.*, **2015**, *51*, 72-75.
- [13] Wang, Y.; Yu, X.; Ye, X.; Wu, K.; Wu, T.; Li, C. Resonance energy transfer between ZnCdHgSe quantum dots and gold nanorods enhancing photoelectrochemical immunosensing of prostate specific antigen. *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2016**, *943*, 106-113.
- [14] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Plasmon-induced resonance energy transfer for solar energy conversion. *Nat. Photon.*, **2015**, *9*, 601-607.
- [15] Wu, N. Plasmonic metal-semiconductor photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells: a review. *Nanoscale*, **2018**, *10*, 2679-2696.

Chapter 2: Technical Background

2.1 Solar Energy Harvesting

The amount of incident sunlight reaching the Earth's surface each year is enough to provide 7500 times the world's current yearly energy demands.^[1] There are various methods to harvest the energy from solar radiation and convert it into other forms of energy that are useful within society such as heat and electricity. Such methods include photovoltaics, solar-thermal technology, photosynthetic microbe-based biofuels, and photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells or photocatalysis. Of the listed techniques, photovoltaics is the most commonly used solar energy harvesting technology. However, thin-film photovoltaics that generate electricity from photoexcited charge carriers are not efficient or cheap enough to manufacture to be currently economically competitive with fossil fuels on a widescale.

For the purposes of this dissertation, research focus will be spent on solar energy harvesting using semiconductor photoconversion from photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells and photocatalysis only. The basic physics of semiconductors and semiconductor materials in general must be discussed before discussing PEC cells and photocatalysis. In addition, discussion on the concept of the semiconductor p-n junction is necessary for context on the research within Chapter 3 of this dissertation.

2.2 Semiconductors

Semiconductors are a class of materials that is the basis of most electronics technology since the 1950's due to the advent of single crystal silicon manufacturing.^[2] The main property that distinguishes semiconductors from metals and insulator materials is the small finite energy gap, known as a bandgap, between the valence band and conduction band of the material.^[3-4] The valence band and conduction bands may also be called the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) respectively particularly within the chemistry community. Metals have overlapping valence and conduction bands while insulators have extremely large bandgaps that significantly limit charge carrier movement through the material. There is some conflict as to the range of bandgap energies that constitute a semiconductor versus an insulator. Traditional definitions state semiconductors have bandgaps between 1 – 2 eV.^[3] This definition has been relaxed somewhat in recent years to accommodate wider bandgap materials that are used for optical devices. For the purposes of this dissertation, semiconductors will be treated as materials with bandgaps within the energy range of the solar spectrum (1.0 – 4.0 eV).

For solar or light energy harvesting applications, the optical and charge transport properties of semiconductors are of the most interest. Semiconductors have several unique properties due to

their small bandgaps. Starting with optical properties, a semiconductor can absorb light photons with energy greater than or equal than its bandgap ($h\nu \geq E_g$).^[9,10] The incident light energy results in excitation of an electron from the ground state in the valence band to an excited state within the conduction band. The resulting electron vacancy in the valence band, typically considered a quasiparticle called a “hole”, is also a charge carrier that can move through the semiconductor.^[9-11] There are two different types of semiconductor bandgap types as depicted in Figure 2.1.

Figure 2.1: Band diagrams (E - k diagrams) illustrating the types of semiconductor bandgaps.

The first type of semiconductor bandgap is a “direct” bandgap. A direct bandgap has valence and conduction bands with matching crystal momenta (k).^[3,5] The second type of semiconductor bandgap is an “indirect” bandgap where the valence and conduction bands have mismatching crystal momenta with a momenta difference of Δk . The mismatched valence band and conduction band momenta of an indirect bandgap requires an additional source or sink of momentum such as a phonon to facilitate an electron transition between valence and conduction bands.^[3,5] As such, absorption coefficients are significantly lower for indirect bandgap semiconductors than direct bandgap semiconductors that do not require an additional momentum source or sink to facilitate an electronic transition.^[3,6]

Electrons can have two competing possibilities happen after they are photoexcited from the valence band to the conduction band in a semiconductor. The excited electron can return its ground state by recombining with a hole with the excess energy released through either radiative, non-radiative or Auger recombination.^[5-7] The other possibility is that the electron or hole can be extracted from the semiconductor through different ways (e.g. transfer to an external circuit, transfer to a chemical species, etc.) before recombination takes place. Determining whether excited electrons and holes recombine or are extracted from a semiconductor depends on a variety of semiconductor material properties such as excited carrier lifetimes, electron and hole

conductivities, electron and hole mobilities, etc. in addition to the interface or surrounding media around the semiconductor.^[5-7]

One of the most important properties of a semiconductor is whether negatively charged electrons or positively charged holes are the majority or dominant charge carrier population within the semiconductor. A n-type semiconductor with electrons as the dominant carrier has a significant non-negligible population of electrons within the conduction band at equilibrium conditions. This results in a Fermi level (E_F), defined as the energy at which the probability of finding a free electron is 50 percent, that is close to the conduction band.^[3-5] Similarly, a p-type semiconductor with holes as the dominant carrier has a negligible free electron population within the conduction band at equilibrium.^[3-5] The lack of free electrons within the conduction band results in a Fermi level close to valence band. Figure 2.2 illustrates this difference. To alter the type of dominant charge carrier or other electrical or optical properties of a semiconductor, introduction of dopant ions into the semiconductor may be performed. A classic example of this is phosphorous or aluminum doping of silicon to form n-type or p-type silicon with high free carrier densities respectively.^[8]

Figure 2.1: Types of semiconductors on basis of majority charge carrier.

Additionally, the electrical conductivity of a semiconductor increases with temperature and light illumination (known as photoconductivity).^[4] The increase in a semiconductor's electrical conductivity results from charge carrier excitation from valence band or defect states to conduction band either thermally or by light absorption respectively. These excited charges in the conduction band are more easily transported through the semiconductor than unexcited charge carriers in the valence band due to the delocalized nature of electrons within a semiconductor's conduction band. However, conductivity is compromised at elevated temperatures due free carrier scattering from collisions with phonons and ion impurities. As such, temperature control is a necessity for semiconductor devices.^[4]

The “p-n junction” is a layered combination of n-type and p-type semiconductors in direct contact with each other. Figure 2.3 illustrates the electronic structure of the semiconductors before and after formation of a p-n homojunction. The resulting contact between n-type and p-type semiconductors causes equilibrium electron and hole populations to form within the semiconductors, as denoted by a constant Fermi level (E_F) across both semiconductors).^[7, 9, 10] To reach this equilibrium, a significant migration of free electrons from n-type semiconductor to p-type semiconductor within part of the n-type semiconductor near the contact with the p-type semiconductor. A similar migration of free holes from the p-type semiconductor to the n-type semiconductor near the contact between semiconductors also occurs. The migration of free electrons and holes from the n-type and p-type semiconductors and introduction of those migrated electrons and holes into the p-type and n-type semiconductors respectively results in the region immediately surrounding the p-n junction having negligible free charge carriers. This region is colloquially referred to as a “depletion zone”.^[7, 9, 10] The width of this depletion zone depends on the charge carrier densities of the n-type and p-type semiconductors with higher carrier densities resulting in a shorter depletion zone width. The formation of the depletion region within the p-n junction also results in the formation of a built-in electric field ($\vec{E}_{bi}$) and built-in voltage (V_{bi}) with magnitude related to the difference in Fermi levels in the p-type and n-type semiconductor.

Figure 2.2: Equilibrium energy band diagram of a p-n homojunction as a function of depth (x) within semiconductors.

Once a semiconductor p-n junction is illuminated, electrons are excited from valence band to conduction band. This results in a new non-equilibrium carrier distribution throughout the p-n

junction.^[6, 7, 11] The traditional approach to evaluate this non-equilibrium is treat electrons and holes separately by giving both electrons and holes their own Fermi levels (called a quasi-Fermi level) that reflect the non-equilibrium carrier distributions within the p-n junction. The non-equilibrium carrier distribution results in charge carriers moving throughout the p-n junction to reach a new equilibrium condition.^[6, 7, 11] The flow of charge carriers is a photocurrent that can be used to generate electricity in the case of photovoltaics or produce chemical products in the case of PEC cells or photocatalysts.^[11] The amount of current produced by a photovoltaic device is related to the balance of photoexcited electron and photoexcited hole generation with photoexcited electron recombination with photoexcited holes. Several factors affect the both photogeneration rates and recombination rates. These include but are not limited to the absorption coefficient of the semiconductor, the intensity of light-illumination with the semiconductor device, and charge transport properties (i.e. electron/hole mobilities, electron/hole diffusion lengths). The separation between quasi-Fermi levels for electrons and holes results in a photovoltage being generated. In the case of p-n junction, the upper limit for this photovoltage is ultimately the original built-in voltage from the formation of the semiconductor p-n junction. Thus, the upper limit to photovoltage which occurs at an open-circuit condition with no flowing current, is directly tied to selection of materials used to create the semiconductor p-n junction device.^[11]

2.3 Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Cells and Photocatalysis

The main solar energy harvesting research topic that utilizes semiconductor photoconversion devices discussed in this dissertation is utilization of photocatalysis or photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells.^[12-32] Unlike photovoltaics that directly generate electricity using photoexcited charge carriers from semiconductors, semiconductor-based photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells directly use photoexcited charge carriers to drive reduction-oxidation (redox) reactions on the surface of a semiconductor immersed in an electrolyte solution. The main advantage to photocatalysis and PEC cells in comparison to photovoltaic devices for solar energy harvesting is that solar energy is directly stored in the form of chemical bonds of photocatalytic reaction products. The reaction products could be used for either chemical feedstock for manufacturing or for power generation. Figure 2.4 shows the basic operating principles for photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells. The working principle illustrated applies regardless of the chemical reaction that is being performed on the photocatalyst/photoelectrode. Common photocatalytic reactions that are heavily researched are solar water-splitting into H₂ and O₂ gas^[12-28], CO₂ reduction in the presence of protons into hydrocarbons^[29-31], and fixation of atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) into nitrogen containing compounds such as ammonia (NH₃)^[32].

As can be seen from Figure 2.4 below, sunlight illumination and subsequent photoexcited charge generation occurs within the bulk of the semiconductor photocatalyst (Figure 2.4a). Both electrons and holes can migrate to the surface of the photocatalyst with a barrier for transport to the semiconductor/electrolyte interface for the photocatalyst's majority charge carriers due to band bending that occurs due to Fermi level equilibration between the semiconductor photocatalyst and the redox potential of the electrolyte solution used that favors minority charge transport to the

semiconductor/electrolyte interface. (Figure 2.4b). Once the photoexcited electrons and holes reach the surface, they can be consumed in redox reactions that produce potentially useful products.

Figure 2.3: Basic operating principles for particle-based photocatalysts; (a) excited electron-hole (e-h) pair generated upon light excitation; (b) Photoexcited electrons (e^-) and holes (h^+) separate and migrate to photocatalyst surface; (c) Photoexcited electrons and holes drive reduction and oxidation reactions at photocatalyst surface using reduced (RED) and oxidized (OX) forms of chemicals as reaction reactants and products.

In the case of photoelectrodes in a PEC cell (Figure 2.5), majority carriers travel towards back contact of the photoelectrode to be transported to the counter electrode used to complete the circuit in the PEC cell. At the semiconductor/electrolyte interface, accumulated charge carriers, holes and electrons for n-type photoanodes (Figure 2.5a) and p-type photocathodes (Figure 2.5b) respectively, either are injected into chemical species in the electrolyte or recombine with accumulated charge carrier of opposite charge. In both the case of particulate photocatalysts or semiconductor photoelectrodes, both electrons and holes must be extracted from the photocatalyst material for efficient operation or significant recombination due to an excess of the unextracted carrier will limit the efficiency of the process.

Figure 2.5: Basic operating principles for (A) photocatalysts and (B) n-type photoanodes in electrolytes; Blue circles: electrons; Gray circles: holes; Red circles or rectangles: photocatalyst or photoanode material; Tan circle: potentiostat; Gray line: counter electrode.

The performance of semiconductor-based photoconversion devices such as photovoltaics, photocatalysts, photoelectrochemical cells is tied directly to three processes – (1) light absorption by the device, (2) photoexcited charge transport within the device, and (3) injection of photoexcited charge to chemical species (like in photocatalysts and PEC cells) or an external circuit (in the case of photovoltaics). Photocurrent from light harvesting devices can be expressed as in Equation 2.1:

[19]

$$J_{ph} = J_{Abs} \cdot P_{Sep} \cdot P_{Inject}, \quad (2.1)$$

where J_{ph} is the measured photocurrent of a device, J_{Abs} is the theoretical photocurrent a device should have based on its light absorption spectrum assuming all photoexcited charge is harvested, P_{Sep} is the efficiency for photoexcited charge separation and transport within a device without recombination, and P_{Inject} is the efficiency for photoexcited charge injection to surface adsorbed chemical species as in the case of photocatalysts and photoelectrodes in PEC cells or an external circuit as in the case of photovoltaics. As such, improving photoconversion efficiencies in semiconductor-based light harvesting devices can only be done by improving these three basic processes.

Based on the processes just described in Figure 2.4, Figure 2.5, and Equation 2.1, there are several properties that ideal photocatalyst and photoelectrode materials must have. First, the photocatalyst/photoelectrode material should have a bandgap well matched to the solar spectrum to increase J_{abs} while still having sufficient energy to overcome overpotentials associated with driving the desired chemical reaction. To use a photocatalyst/photoelectrode based on a single material designed for driving solar water-splitting as an example, the ideal bandgap for a single photocatalyst/photoelectrode system is 1.9 – 2.2 eV due to reaction energetics and overpotentials associated with water-splitting^[13] with recent studies placing realistic efficiencies for single material junction photoelectrodes at 15.6% for 2.05 eV bandgap material^[22].

Second, the photocatalyst/photoelectrode should have sufficient charge transport properties (i.e. electron and hole mobilities and diffusion lengths) to enable minority carriers to diffuse from the bulk to the semiconductor/electrolyte interface while the material is still optically thick enough to absorb most incoming sunlight. This balance between charge transport and optical absorption lengths is one of the major challenges in photocatalysis/PEC cell materials research and highlights that the three underlying processes in semiconductor-based photoconversion are linked.^[13-19]

Third, the photocatalyst/photoelectrode material must have good catalytic ability (i.e. fast charge transfer kinetics) for driving the desired photocatalytic/photoelectrochemical reaction. If the photocatalyst/photoelectrode has low catalytic activity for driving a certain reaction, excited charge carriers at the semiconductor/electrolyte interface are more likely to recombine than react with chemical species - resulting in a low P_{Inject} and overall poor photocurrent for the photocatalyst/photoelectrochemical device.^[16-19]

Lastly, the photocatalyst/photoelectrode material should be photostable (i.e stable during light-illumination) while in the electrolyte solution used for photocatalytic/photoelectrochemical reactions. The main causes of photodegradation are possible redox reactions resulting in irreversible changes to the photocatalyst/photoelectrode material being more thermodynamically and kinetically favorable to the desired photocatalytic/photoelectrochemical reaction.^[13-16, 18, 19] A classic example of this tungsten (VI) oxide (WO_3) being stable in electrolytes with $pH \leq 4$.^[23] This is due to WO_3 conversion to WO_4^{2-} in the presence of OH^- ions being a more favorable redox reaction than solar water-splitting to O_2 gas and protons. By keeping the $pH \leq 4$, water-oxidation becomes more kinetically favorable due to a lack of OH^- needed for WO_4^{2-} ion formation with WO_3 – thus keeping WO_3 photostable for solar water-splitting. As such, photostability of photocatalyst/photoelectrode materials and good catalytic activity towards a given reaction can be

directly related. This is an additional design consideration with photocatalyst/PEC cell systems. In the case of photoelectrodes in a PEC cell, excess majority carriers can be extracted into an external circuit and routed to a counter electrode carrying out a complementary half-reaction to complete the circuit. In the case of particulate photocatalysts or photoelectrodes with poor majority carrier transport, the photocatalyst or photoelectrode must be able to drive two separate reactions using both electrons and holes to prevent performance-limiting recombination or even photodegradation of the photocatalyst/photoelectrode system. To solve this issue, different approaches have been used.

The first approach to reduce charge carrier recombination and is to use a very easily oxidized or reduced chemical (commonly referred to as a sacrificial reagent) with redox potential well matched to the energy of the charge carrier that needs to be extracted. Common examples of this are hole scavengers such as hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), triethanolamine (TEA), and sodium sulfite (Na_2SO_3)^[33] or electron scavengers like Ag^+ and Fe^{3+} .^[34] However, since the sacrificial reagent is irreversibly consumed during the reaction, a steady supply of sacrificial reagent is needed for continuous operation. This can add to the cost of a photocatalyst system unless the products from sacrificial reagent consumption are more economically valuable than the original sacrificial reagent.^[23, 36, 37] A good example of this case is the use of 5-hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF) as a hole scavenger to produce valuable 2,5-furandicarboxylic acid (FDCA).^[36, 45] An additional problem with this approach is so called “current-doubling” that can result from consumed sacrificial reagent products undergoing further chemical reactions that result in charge carrier injection from chemical to photocatalyst/photoelectrode.^[34] The additionally injected majority charge carrier artificially increases the measured current without a change in the overall number of minority carriers being consumed. A good example of this is methanol oxidation (CH_3OH) to formaldehyde (HCHO) without the presence of O_2 in photocatalyst/PEC systems. To further oxidize the first reaction intermediate (CH_2OH^*) to HCHO without O_2 as an oxidizer, the CH_2OH^* injects an electron into the photoelectrode and releases a proton to complete HCHO formation. This subsequently obfuscates how effectively a photocatalyst/photoelectrode system is performing since an additional charge carrier from an outside source is injected into the system. As such, sacrificial reagents are not a preferred way to improve photocatalyst/PEC cell performance if other alternatives are available.

The second approach to reduce charge carrier recombination and photostability is to use a redox mediator in conjunction with two photoelectrodes or a photoelectrode and electrocatalyst designed to drive separate but complementary reduction or oxidation reactions. An increasingly popular example of this approach is the use of electron-coupled proton buffers (ECPBs) such as phosphomolybdic acid ($\text{H}_3\text{PMo}_{12}\text{O}_{40}$)^[37, 38], silicotungstic acid ($\text{H}_4[\text{SiW}_{12}\text{O}_{40}]$)^[39], or quinones^[40], that can be reversibly oxidized and reduced using the majority carrier from the photoelectrode on a third electrocatalyst. This approach does not require a constant supply of available sacrificial reagent for consumption and do not suffer from current-doubling. However, current ECPBs are expensive chemicals that would significantly increase the cost of a photoelectrochemical systems. Nonetheless, this approach may be more economically viable if current ECPBs manufacturing costs decrease or more commonly available chemicals are discovered to be viable ECPBs.

The third and most commonly utilized approach to reduce charge carrier recombination and is the use of co-catalyst materials for driving the desired redox reaction. These co-catalyst materials on the surface of the photocatalyst/photoelectrode rapidly extract the minority charge carrier to be used in driving the desired redox reaction out of the photocatalyst/photoelectrode and then inject the minority charge carriers into chemical species in the electrolyte. By rapidly extracting the minority carriers out of the semiconductor photocatalyst/photoelectrode, recombination with majority carriers at the surface is prevented. In the case of water-oxidation, examples of common co-catalyst materials are cobalt phosphate (Co-Pi)^[16, 18, 41], nickel and iron oxyhydroxides (NiOOH and FeOOH)^[18, 42], and amorphous cobalt-iron oxides and nickel-iron oxides (CoFeO_x and NiFeO_x)^[17, 41]. For H₂ evolution, platinum (Pt) nanoparticles are still the dominant co-catalyst material^[13, 14, 27]. There are several design characteristics that must be considered for designing and adding co-catalysts to photocatalyst/photoelectrode systems. First, the electronic interface between semiconductor and co-catalyst must be favorable for minority carrier extraction from the semiconductor. Fast extraction is needed to prevent minority carrier recombination within the semiconductor. Second, the co-catalyst must have high catalytic activity towards the desired redox reaction to be driven to prevent recombination of the charge carriers within the co-catalyst material. Lastly, the co-catalyst must have optical properties such that it does not block or compete with light absorption by the photocatalyst/photoelectrode. If the co-catalyst blocks or absorbs light that would otherwise be absorbed by the photocatalyst/photoelectrode, overall performance of the photocatalyst/PEC cell system decreases due to a smaller amount of photoexcited charge carriers being generated.

Significant problems exist with current photocatalyst/PEC cell that limit their performance below where they are economically viable to use commercially. First, photocatalyst/PEC systems are not efficient enough to be cost-effective currently. The U.S. Department of Energy placed the benchmark solar-to-hydrogen (STH) efficiency of 10% for economic viability.^[43] While some PEC systems have been demonstrated efficiencies exceeding 10%, they have not proven stable for extended operation or are based on rare materials that are not economically viable for widescale production.^[20, 21]

One of the major causes for efficiency problems of photocatalyst/PEC cell systems is a lack of available photocatalyst/photoelectrode materials that are photostable while still able to absorb a significant part of the solar spectrum. Two examples that illustrate this dichotomy are titanium (IV) dioxide (TiO₂) and copper (I) oxide (Cu₂O). Both rutile and anatase phase TiO₂ are photostable with good catalytic activity towards water-oxidation.^[44, 45] However, rutile and anatase TiO₂ have bandgaps of 3.0 eV and 3.2 eV respectively – limiting light absorption to near visible and UV light and smaller wavelengths respectively that compose less than 5% of the solar spectrum. On the opposite case, Cu₂O is a p-type semiconductor with a 2.1 eV bandgap that can lead to a theoretical maximum STH efficiency of 18% based on light absorption only.^[13, 46, 47] However, Cu₂O is not photostable due to extremely favorable kinetics for Cu⁺ oxidation to Cu²⁺ using photoexcited holes. While combinations of co-catalysts have been employed to improve Cu₂O stability, the added cost to the manufacturing process required to make the Cu₂O stable are not economically viable currently. Without discovery of new photocatalyst/photoelectrode

materials with narrow bandgaps that are photostable during operation, photocatalyst and photoelectrochemical cell systems will not be economically viable for production.

An additional problem with most photocatalyst/photoelectrode materials with sufficiently narrow bandgaps to absorb sunlight and photostability is poor charge transport properties. Most photocatalyst/photoelectrode materials are metal oxide semiconductors with low charge conductivity and mobility.^[15-18] With photocatalyst/photoelectrode materials with narrow bandgaps but poor charge transport, a significant amount of charge that has been photoexcited recombines before reaching the semiconductor/electrolyte interface or an external contact for extraction from the semiconductor.^[17] This premature recombination results in decreased performance of the photocatalyst/PEC cell system. While doping with various metal ions has been demonstrated to improve charge transport and performance of some photocatalyst/photoelectrode materials (i.e. Mo⁶⁺ doping of BiVO₄)^[15, 16, 18], effective dopants to improve charge transport have not been found for all narrow bandgap photocatalyst/photoelectrode materials that are stable.

With the underlying performance considerations for semiconductor photoelectrode materials for the PEC cells discussed, the current state-of-the-art for photoelectrodes can put into context. For this, focus will be placed separately on traditional (Si or III-V) semiconductor and metal-oxide based semiconductor photoelectrodes. Peak STH efficiencies for traditional semiconductor based photoelectrodes for PEC water-splitting based on traditional semiconductors as the photoelectrode materials currently exceed the efficiencies obtained from metal-oxide based semiconductor photoelectrode materials. In 1998, John Turner's group at NREL in the United States developed a monolithic photovoltaic/photoelectrode composed of a GaAs photovoltaic cell connected to a p-type GaInP₂ photoelectrode to provide the needed photovoltage to drive solar water splitting.^[21] Under 11 suns illumination, a peak STH efficiency of 12.4% was obtained. While this solar-to-hydrogen efficiency meets the U.S. DOE's target 10% STH efficiency, the materials and manufacturing costs of the GaAs and GaInP₂ used and stability of the overall cell are not sufficient to meet the DOE's other requirements. In 2000, Stuart Licht's group at George Washington University in the United States developed a multiple junction photoelectrolysis cell utilizing AlGaAs/Si multijunction photovoltaic cell where photoexcited electrons and holes were routed to Pt supported on carbon black and RuO₂ co-catalysts respectively.^[20] This design resulted in a 18.3% STH efficiency under 1.35 suns illumination. As with the research performed by John Turner's group, the cost of AlGaAs and RuO₂ are cost prohibitive towards widespread use despite meeting the U.S. DOE's target. Another extremely promising photoelectrode composed of traditional semiconductors for PEC water splitting developed at the Eindhoven University of Technology in the Netherlands in 2016.^[48] This photocathode is composed of 1 μm long p-type InP (E_g = 1.34 eV) nanopillar arrays with overlayers of n-type doped InP (100 nm) and n-type TiO₂ to form a buried p-n junction and passivate the photoelectrode against photocorrosion, respectively. Pt nanoparticles were also decorated onto the InP nanopillars to act as a co-catalyst for H₂ evolution. The resulting electric field from the buried p-n junction extracts photoexcited electrons out of the p-type InP nanopillars, drives them towards the photoelectrode surface, and changes the band bending at the surface of the photoelectrode to increase the photovoltage from the photoelectrode. The resulting p-type InP/n-type InP/TiO₂/Pt nanopillars had a 15.8% applied bias photoconversion efficiency (ABPE), a metric used for measuring an equivalent STH

efficiency for three-electrode PEC cell configurations where bias between working and reference electrodes is the measured bias instead of between working and counter electrodes, under 1 sun illumination. This value is currently the highest recorded ABPE on any single junction device. In addition, the p-InP/n-InP/TiO₂/Pt photoelectrode exhibited stability during six hours of continuous operation at peak efficiency conditions. However, as with the other discussed photoelectrodes using traditional semiconductors, cost and scarcity of indium (In) needed for InP prevent the InP based photoelectrode from being commercially viable for widespread use.

The most promising metal-oxide semiconductor based photoelectrodes are typically comprised of either BiVO₄, hematite (α -Fe₂O₃), or Cu₂O. As such, the state-of-the-art for each material will be discussed. BiVO₄ is a promising n-type semiconductor with a direct bandgap of 2.4 eV. Based on semiconductor bandgap, BiVO₄ has a theoretical maximum STH efficiency of 9.1%.^[13] While this does not meet U.S. DOE STH target efficiency on its own, BiVO₄ does have potential in a multi-junction photoelectrode as a wider bandgap component. BiVO₄ has some advantages for solar water splitting such as its bandgap and favorable valence band energetics for driving water oxidation. However, BiVO₄ suffers from poor charge transport resulting from small polaron trapping within the bulk of BiVO₄ and severe surface charge recombination.^[15, 16, 18] BiVO₄'s charge transport can be improved by cationic doping particularly Mo⁶⁺ and/or use of 1D heterostructuring with semiconductors that have favorable band energetic alignments with BiVO₄. BiVO₄'s surface charge recombination can be mitigated by rapid extraction of accumulated photoexcited charge carriers into a surface co-catalyst layer. In addition, BiVO₄ is not photostable except in neutral electrolyte solutions such as phosphate buffered saline (PBS) or by coating with passivation layers that act as co-catalysts such as Co-Pi or NiFeO_x.^[16, 18] The current state-of-the-art for BiVO₄-based photoelectrodes is a BiVO₄-based photoanode developed in China in 2019.^[49] This BiVO₄-based photoanode is a heterostructure composed of a highly porous Mo⁶⁺ doped BiVO₄ photoanode coated with thin layers of boron-doped C₃N₄ and NiFeO_x to extract photoexcited holes out of the Mo-doped BiVO₄ and act as a co-catalyst for water oxidation respectively. The Mo⁶⁺ doping content within the BiVO₄ was optimized to be 0.1% mol to improve charge carrier transport within the bulk BiO₄ without excess Mo to act as charge recombination centers. B-doped C₃N₄ was chosen as a heterostructuring semiconductor due to minimal band offsets between the 0.1% Mo-doped BiVO₄ and B-doped C₃N₄ that enabled for effective extraction of photoexcited holes with minimal overpotential losses. The use of NiFeO_x as a co-catalyst limits recombination of the photoexcited holes within the outer B-doped C₃N₄ layers while being mostly transparent to incident light. The 0.1% Mo-doped BiVO₄/B-doped C₃N₄/NiFeO_x photoanode has shown a 2.67% ABPE in a neutral electrolyte with an 8% loss in photocurrent after 10 hours of operation at 1.23 V vs. RHE. The 2.67% ABPE is significantly below the target 10% STH efficiency. In addition, this 0.1% Mo-doped BiVO₄/B-doped C₃N₄/NiFeO_x photoanode has not been tested in a two-electrode PEC cell configuration consistent with a realistic commercial system. The main source for the relatively low ABPE versus the STH efficiency limit for BiVO₄-based photoanodes is the slow rise in photocurrent at low potentials despite the use of heterostructures and co-catalysts. As such, there is significant room for performance improvement with BiVO₄ photoelectrodes.

α -Fe₂O₃ is another promising n-type semiconductor with an indirect bandgap of 2.0 eV and direct bandgap of 2.2 eV. Based on these bandgaps, α -Fe₂O₃ has a maximum theoretical STH efficiency of 12.9%, high enough to meet the U.S. DOE efficiency target.^[13] The main advantages of α -Fe₂O₃ as a photoelectrode are its narrow bandgap, photostability, sufficient valence band energetics to drive water oxidation, and its composition being made from one of the most abundant metals available in iron. However, α -Fe₂O₃ also has several problems that have limited its potential for PEC water splitting. α -Fe₂O₃ has extremely poor transport due to small polaron trapping.^[50, 51] While BiVO₄ has been able to overcome small polaron trapping through doping with Mo⁶⁺, small polaron trapping within α -Fe₂O₃ has not been overcome despite widespread testing of various cationic dopants for α -Fe₂O₃. In addition, surface trap states that form in response to adsorbed intermediate products during solar water-splitting trap photoexcited charge carriers and result in Fermi level pinning.^[50] The consequences of the surface trap state formation and Fermi level pinning are increased surface charge carrier recombination and a significantly lower generated photovoltage versus what should be expected based on α -Fe₂O₃'s bandgap. The lower generated photovoltage when coupled with poor catalytic activity of α -Fe₂O₃ towards water-splitting results in a high onset potential for photocurrent that compromises α -Fe₂O₃'s STH efficiency. Despite these problems, significant research focus has been spent on overcoming the charge transport issues, low photovoltage, and catalytic activity. The current state-of-the-art for α -Fe₂O₃ photoelectrodes is the α -Fe₂O₃ photoanode developed at POSTECH in South Korea in 2017.^[52] With this α -Fe₂O₃ photoanode, 1-D α -Fe₂O₃ nanorod arrays (~730 nm long and 20-30 nm in diameter) were grown on FTO glass by hydrothermal methods and then subsequently heated under H₂ at 350 °C to induce oxygen vacancies and Fe²⁺ formation within the α -Fe₂O₃ nanorods. The induced Fe²⁺ states within the α -Fe₂O₃ nanorods act as additional small polaron hopping sites for improved charge transport. In addition, a 3.5 nm thick amorphous TiO₂ layer and Co-Pi layer to act as passivation and co-catalyst layers respectively. The amorphous TiO₂ layer was also treated under H₂ at 200-250 °C to boost the conductivity of the layer to further allow for photoexcited holes from the α -Fe₂O₃ nanorods to reach the photoanode surface. The α -Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/Co-Pi photoanode was ultimately able to generate photocurrents of 6 mA/cm² under 1 sun illumination at an applied bias of 1.23 V vs. RHE (the thermodynamic potential for solar water-splitting). This photocurrent is comparable to the state-of-the-art BiVO₄ photoelectrode previously discussed. While the ABPE was not calculated in this paper, the relatively high photocurrent onset potential (~0.65 V vs. RHE) and potential where photocurrent saturation begins (~0.8 V vs. RHE) for this photoanode results in a lower ABPE in comparison to the state-of-the-art BiVO₄ photoelectrode. The α -Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/Co-Pi photoanode does exhibit photostability with a negligible decrease in performance after 100 hours of continuous operation. The main bottleneck for improving the performance of the α -Fe₂O₃/TiO₂/Co-Pi photoanode still remains charge transport and separation within the α -Fe₂O₃ nanorods even after the H₂ treatment as η_{sep} does not exceed 50%.

Cu₂O is a promising p-type semiconductor with a direct bandgap of 2.1 eV. As stated previously, based on bandgap, Cu₂O has a theoretical STH efficiency of 18%, far beyond the U.S. DOE efficiency target efficiency. Cu₂O has additional advantages for solar water-splitting due to it being composed of earth abundant Cu and sufficient conduction band energy to drive the hydrogen evolution reaction.^[46, 47] However, Cu₂O has several disadvantages for solar water-splitting as well. As previously mentioned, Cu₂O has poor photostability in aqueous electrolytes.

The poor photostability has been the most difficult problem to overcome historically for Cu₂O. However, recent breakthroughs with protective overlayer materials and manufacturing have significantly improved the photostability of Cu₂O-based photocathodes.^[46, 47] Cu₂O has low absorption coefficients at wavelengths above 500 nm despite its bandgap and poor electron transport properties.^[53] The low absorption coefficients and poor electron transport can be overcome using nanostructuring the Cu₂O such as creating 1-D nanorod arrays to trap light and limit required electron diffusion lengths within the Cu₂O photocathodes. In addition, doping Cu₂O with Na⁺ can significantly improve electron transport within Cu₂O.^[54] Cu₂O also has poor catalytic activity towards the hydrogen evolution reaction which can be overcome with the use of co-catalysts. The current state-of-the-art for Cu₂O-based photocathodes was created by Michael Grätzel's research group in 2018.^[55] With this Cu₂O-based photocathode, a Cu₂O nanorod array (3-5 μm long, 200-400 nm in diameter) is grown on a copper coated FTO glass substrate. Thin n-type Ga₂O₃ and amorphous TiO₂ overlayers are grown on the Cu₂O nanorod array to aid in extraction of photoexcited photoelectrons from the Cu₂O and passivate the Cu₂O nanorods against photocorrosion respectively. The use of Ga₂O₃ as the n-type charge extraction material was chosen due to its minimal conduction band energy difference with Cu₂O, maximizing the photovoltage generated within the photocathode. The Cu₂O/Ga₂O₃/TiO₂ nanorod arrays were also coated with either a RuO_x or a NiMo co-catalyst layer to improve the catalytic activity of the photocathode for hydrogen evolution. The resulting Cu₂O/Ga₂O₃/TiO₂/RuO_x photocathode showed photocurrent onset for hydrogen evolution at +1.0 V vs. RHE with photocurrents of 10 mA/cm² at 0 V vs. RHE (the thermodynamic potential for hydrogen evolution) under 1 sun illumination in an aqueous electrolyte at pH 5. In addition, the Cu₂O/Ga₂O₃/TiO₂/RuO_x photocathode showed stability for over 100 hours of continuous testing. However, Ru is too scarce to be used on wide scale. After switching the RuO_x for the NiMo composed of earth-abundant metals, the resulting Cu₂O/Ga₂O₃/TiO₂/NiMo nanorod array photocathode shows slightly lower photocurrent (~7.5 mA/cm² at 0 V vs. RHE under 1 sun illumination in an aqueous electrolyte at pH 9) due to the lower catalytic activity of the NiMo co-catalyst versus the RuO_x co-catalyst. Stability testing showed only a 10% decrease in photocurrent after 8 hours. Further, a planar (i.e. not a nanorod array) version of the Cu₂O/Ga₂O₃/TiO₂/NiMo photocathode was placed in tandem with a n-type BiVO₄ photoanode tested for performance. The tandem Cu₂O / BiVO₄ PEC system had a STH in excess of 3% and significantly improved versus other tandem photoelectrodes that utilized traditional semiconductor photoelectrodes as one of the photoelectrodes in the PEC cell. As such, Cu₂O-based photocathodes are currently the closest metal-oxide photoelectrode materials capable of meeting efficiency and cost requirements.

2.4 Metal-Organic Frameworks

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of materials built around nanometer scale metal-based inorganic nodes interconnected by organic linker ligands.^[56, 57] Metal-organic frameworks have significantly tunable chemical, electronic, and optical properties due to the large variety of combinations of metal-based nodes and organic linkers available. MOFs are highly

crystalline due to ordered nature of the inorganic-organic network. This crystallinity tends to give MOFs high thermal and chemical stability depending on the choice of constituent nodes and linkers in comparison to purely organic compounds. In addition, MOFs are highly porous due to the frame-like structure formed by the node-ligand networks. Due to the combination of porosity and tunable properties, MOFs are researched for use as batteries, electrocatalysts, photocatalysts, gas storage, etc. This section will primarily be focused on MOFs as candidates for electrocatalyst and photocatalyst materials.^[56-58]

Photocatalysts based on MOFs can be classified based on the nature of their photoabsorber component and catalytic centers. Three different cases summarize the possible classes of MOF photocatalysts. With the first case, the photoabsorber material are inorganic semiconductor quantum dots forming the nodes of the MOF (Figure 2.6).^[57-60] The organic linker network serves to separate the quantum dots from each other to create a porous network of isolated photocatalysts in the MOF. The porous nature of the MOF allows for mass transfer of reaction products and reactants away from the quantum dots provided that the pores of the MOF are sufficiently large enough for transport.

Figure 2.6: MOF-based photocatalyst with photoactive semiconductor quantum dot nodes as photoexcited charge generation sites.

The second case is the organic linker ligands that connect the nodes in the MOF are organic semiconductor materials (i.e. dye molecules) that serve as the photoabsorber material (Figure 2.7).^[31, 57, 61] The metal-based nodes can serve as connectors between organic semiconductor ligands and as potential catalyst sites for photocatalytic reactions. Due to the nature of the linker ligands as organic semiconductors, charge separation and transport, excitons (bound electron-hole pairs) rather than free electrons and holes are formed. As such, MOFs utilizing organic semiconductor ligands must be designed to facilitate easy transport of excitons and its subsequent separation into free electrons and holes.

Figure 2.7: MOF-based photocatalyst with organic semiconductor linkers as photoexcited exciton generation sites with subsequent charge carrier separation, transport and catalysis at MOF's metal-based nodes.

The last case is that a separate photoabsorber (inorganic or organic) is introduced into the porous structure of the MOF (Figure 2.8). In this case, the MOF serves as a high surface area scaffold to serve a complementary role to the introduced photoabsorber such as acting as a catalyst or conductive material for charge extraction purposes. In this case, the MOF's pore structure must be sufficiently large to allow for photoabsorber uptake. In addition, the MOF must facilitate charge extraction from the introduced photoabsorber.^[57]

Figure 2.8: MOF-based photocatalyst with photoactive semiconductor quantum dots introduced into the MOF's pore network acting as photoexcited charge generation sites with charge carrier hopping and catalysis at MOF's metal-based nodes.

MOF synthesis is done primarily by two different methods. In the first method, MOF particles can be synthesized through solvothermal methods where node and linker precursors are dissolved in a solvent and heated to elevated temperatures.^[59-61] The synthesized MOF particles are typically hundreds of nanometers in size. While MOF particles of that size are suitable for particle-based photocatalysts, they are difficult to form into dense films needed to form photoelectrodes for use as in a PEC cell. The second method for MOF synthesis is a layer-by-layer deposition approach where ligands and metal-based nodes are deposited in repeatedly alternating steps.^[62] This approach can yield conformal MOF thin films on a variety of substrates – including nanostructured substrates. However, the need for repeated, time-consuming deposition steps for layer-by-layer MOF deposition steps makes thick films greater than 50-100 nm impractical to synthesize. While physically thin films have advantages for charge transport and charge collection, physically thin films are very rarely optically thick. To compensate for the lack of optical thickness with thin MOF films, light trapping techniques may be employed.

There are candidate MOFs (UiO-66, ZIF-8, NTU-9, MIL-125, and the PCN-22x family) that have shown the most promise for photocatalytic/PEC applications for driving various chemical reactions. Of these MOFs, UiO-66 is the most explored MOFs for photocatalysis. UiO-66 is a MOF with Zr-based nodes with 1,4-benzodicarboxylic acid linker ligands.^[63-68] UiO-66 is mostly chemically stable. Pristine UiO-66 has a wide bandgap of 3.5 eV, thus limiting its light absorption to the UV spectrum. However, a few modifications to the UiO-66 MOF can extend its absorption to the visible spectrum. Functionalizing the 1,4-benzodicarboxylic acid linker ligands

with groups such as $-\text{NO}_2$ and $-\text{NH}_2$ results in new electronic states within the bandgap that enables visible light absorption up to 450 nm.^[63, 64] Additionally, partial substitution of the 1,4-benzodicarboxylic acid linker ligands with other ligands such as 2-aminoterephthalic acid can also introduce additional electronic states within UiO-66's bandgap to further enable visible light absorption.^[63] Lastly, partial substitution of the Zr from within the UiO-66 nodes with other elements such as Ti can also introduce new electronic states to redshift the absorption of the UiO-66.^[65] It is important to note that these general approaches to modifying UiO-66 to redshift its absorption to visible wavelengths are applicable to other MOFs as well. UiO-66 has been used as a photocatalyst for dye degradation^[65, 67], reduction of heavy metal ions such as Cr^{6+} ^[67], hydrogen evolution^[68], CO_2 reduction to HCOO^- ^[63], and organic transformation reactions such as conversion of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde.^[66] The relative chemical stability, tunability of the electronic structure, and large variety of catalytic reactions that can be driven highlight the potential of UiO-66 as a photocatalyst material.

ZIF-8 is a MOF with Zn-based nodes and 2-methylimidazole ligand linkers.^[69-74] ZIF-8 has a wide bandgap of 5.0 eV, limiting its light absorption and photocatalytic activity to the UV spectrum only. ZIF-8 has shown some photocatalytic activity towards dye degradation and reduction of Cr(VI) .^[71-74] However, part of ZIF-8's main appeal as a MOF photocatalyst is its similarity to zeolite materials that are commonly used for adsorption and capture of gases such as CO_2 . As such, ZIF-8 is commonly used in heterostructures as an overlayer with other semiconductors such as ZnO ^[69, 70], Zn_2GeO_4 ^[71], and TiO_2 ^[73, 74] as a gas capture and cocatalyst material whose photocatalytic activity supplements the photocatalytic activity of the underlying semiconductor in the heterostructure.

NTU-9 is a MOF with Ti-based nodes and 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid ligand linkers.^[60, 75] The main advantages of NTU-9 as a photocatalyst or photoelectrode material for PEC cells are its p-type semiconducting behavior, narrow bandgap (1.74 eV), and conduction band sufficiently negative enough to drive the solar water-splitting reaction among other reactions. In addition, NTU-9 has shown activity towards driving photocatalytic reactions under visible light by itself and when used in heterostructures with CdTe nanorods.^[60, 75] However, as with several other MOF materials, low carrier concentrations ($\sim 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) and poor charge separation and transport currently limit the performance of this MOF for photocatalytic applications.^[60]

MIL-125 is a MOF with Ti-based nodes and 1,4-benzodicarboxylic acid ligand linkers.^[59, 76-78] Pristine MIL-125 has a wide bandgap of 3.6 eV that limits its photocatalytic activity to the UV spectrum only. However, like in the case of UiO-66, $-\text{NH}_2$ functionalization of the 1,4-benzodicarboxylic acid linkers introduces new electronic states within the bandgap that extends light absorption to the visible spectrum up to wavelengths of 550 nm.^[59, 76-78] The $-\text{NH}_2$ functionalized MIL-125 has been used for photocatalytic CO_2 reduction to hydrocarbons^[59, 77, 78], dye degradation^[78], and solar water-splitting.^[76] As with most MOFs, poor charge transport and separation limit the photocatalytic performance of (pristine and $-\text{NH}_2$ functionalized) MIL-125 MOF. However, some research on utilizing heterostructures composed of thin $-\text{NH}_2$ functionalized MIL-125 layers on 1-D TiO_2 nanorod arrays show the promise of $-\text{NH}_2$ functionalized MIL-125 MOF as a photocatalyst material with visible light activity.^[76]

PCN-22x ($x = 2 - 5$) is a family of MOFs with Zr-based nodes and tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl) porphyrin ligand linkers.^[79-83] One of the major disadvantages of porphyrinic MOFs is the degradation of the MOFs due to photobleaching of the porphyrin linkers. However, the Zr-based nodes, similar to those used in UiO-66, provide some chemical stability to the PCN-22x family while the porphyrinic linkers are strong light absorption within the visible spectrum up to wavelengths of 750 nm. In addition, the structure of porphyrin molecules enables incorporation of different transition metal cations such as Fe^{3+} that can be used to enhance the catalytic activity of PCN-22x MOFs.^[79, 81, 82] The PCN-22x family of MOFs have been demonstrated as visible light active photocatalysts for CO_2 reduction to HCOO^- ^[80] and ordinary catalysts for organic transformation reactions such as transformation of benzaldehydes.^[79, 81, 82] Research presented within this dissertation focuses on using PCN-225 in heterostructures for solar water-splitting. However, the charge carriers generated within the porphyrin linker ligands are excitonic in nature resulting in extremely poor charge separation within PCN 22x MOFs. Nonetheless, the wide spectrum of light absorption by the PCN-22x MOF is appealing for future research.

2.5 Plasmonics for Light Energy Harvesting Applications

Plasmonics is a field of research focused on utilizing surface plasmon resonance (SPR), a phenomenon where light illumination photoexcites the surface electrons of certain metals and degenerate semiconductors to coherently oscillate, for various applications. For the purposes of this dissertation, focus will be on plasmonic metals only despite recent growth in research on plasmonic semiconductors. Typical plasmonic metals of interest include gold (Au), silver (Ag), copper (Cu), aluminum (Al) since their plasmonic resonance occur within the UV-Visible-NIR spectrum that can be utilized for energy and technological applications such as telecommunications. SPR can occur in two forms – surface plasmon polaritons (SPP) (Figure 2.9a) and localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) (Figure 2.9b). Both forms of SPR can have applications in light and solar energy harvesting.

Figure 2.9: Types of surface plasmon resonance (SPR); (a) surface plasmon polaritons; (b) localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR).

In the case of surface plasmon polaritons (SPP), the excited electron oscillations propagate along the interface of the plasmonic metal and the surrounding dielectric medium.^[84, 85] This propagating wave of oscillating electrons can be utilized for light-trapping within semiconductors for solar energy harvesting applications due to the considerable generated electromagnetic fields along the plasmonic metal / dielectric interface. However, excitation of SPPs requires an additional source of momentum or employing grating to make up for a difference in dispersion between a photon and SPP. The need for additional momentum is a design consideration before utilizing SPP for optoelectronic devices.^[85]

In the case of localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR), the plasmonic material has a characteristic dimension much smaller than the wavelength of light (i.e. nanoparticles (NPs)) used to excite the LSPR.^[84-86] Due to the confinement of the plasmon to the surface of the plasmonic NP, the dispersion relation of the LSPR does not have a momentum mismatch with the light used to excite it.^[85] As a result, plasmonic metal NPs have extremely large absorption and/or scattering cross-sections relative to their physical size. This gives plasmonic metal nanoparticles and nanostructures great potential as photosensitizers for solar energy. In addition, the confined but coherent oscillation of electrons with LSPR results in extremely high localized electromagnetic

(EM) fields around the plasmonic metal nanoparticles. This is useful in both solar energy harvesting^[85-96] and for sensors based on surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS).^[84] The research within this dissertation uses LSPR and not SPP as the SPR type of interest. As such, all further discussion will be focused on LSPR from plasmonic NPs and its applications towards enhancing the PEC performance of semiconductor photoelectrodes. In addition, additional effects of plasmonic NPs that are non-plasmonic in nature that can enhance the PEC performance of semiconductor photoelectrodes will be discussed as to highlight how to distinguish between plasmonic and non-plasmonic effects of plasmonic metal NPs.

2.5.1 Plasmonic Effects for Modulating Semiconductor Photoconversion

The LSPR from plasmonic nanoparticles has many appeals for use in light harvesting and sensing applications. One of the most appealing traits of plasmonic nanoparticles and nanostructures is the high tunability of their optical properties by varying different properties such as shape, size, and material of the plasmonic nanoparticles and nanostructures. To illustrate the effect of changing plasmonic material, 15 nm diameter silver (Ag) nanospheres have LSPR at wavelengths of ~400 nm while gold (Au) nanospheres of comparable size have a LSPR at wavelengths of ~520 nm.^[97] To illustrate the effects of size on the LSPR of plasmonic nanoparticles, the LSPR of 15 nm diameter Au nanospheres is ~520 nm while the LSPR of 50 nm diameter Au nanospheres red-shifts to ~535 nm.^[98] Furthermore, increasing the size of plasmonic nanoparticles also increases the relative contribution of scattering versus absorption towards the overall extinction spectrum of the plasmonic nanoparticle. To illustrate the effects of shape, ~60 nm Au nanospheres have LSPR at wavelengths around 550 nm. By changing the shape from nanospheres to nanostars with multiple sharp tips, the LSPR can be red-shifted into the NIR part of the spectrum with LSPR between 750 – 800 nm for Au nanostars of similar size.^[99] In addition, sharp corners and edges serve as focal points for LSPR – resulting in further amplified local EM fields around the sharp features of the Au nanostars and similar plasmonic nanoparticles and nanostructures with sharp features.

To effectively utilize LSPR for light energy harvesting, an understanding of how the LSPR and constituent electrons behave from excitation to complete relaxation. First, incident light is absorbed and the LSPR is excited. The electrons that compose the LSPR continue to oscillate coherently for between 20 fs and 30 fs after initial LSPR excitation. After the first 20-30 fs, the electron oscillations begin to lose coherence due to Landau damping.^[86, 87, 90, 67] After 100 fs from initial excitation, the LSPR ceases completely. The LSPR's energy may be relaxed either through radiative scattering (typical of larger plasmonic nanoparticles) or released non-radiatively (typical of smaller plasmonic nanoparticles). In the case of non-radiative relaxation, there still exists a population of “hot” electrons and “hot” holes with energies above the Fermi level and below the Fermi level of the metal nanoparticle with a distribution that can be described as thermal distribution at an elevated temperature relative to the bulk plasmonic nanoparticle immediately after the LSPR has fully decayed. These hot electrons and holes will relax back to the Fermi level of the metal over the course of 10 ns due to electron-phonon scattering (100 fs – 1 ps after LSPR

excitation) and electron-electron scattering (1 ps – 10 ns after LSPR excitation). There are multiple energy transfer processes that can occur at different timescales during the LSPR's lifetime when the plasmonic metal is placed in contact or near a semiconductor.

The first LSPR energy transfer process that is possible is plasmon-induced resonant energy transfer (PIRET) (Figure 2.10).^[86, 90, 91, 93-96] plasmonic NPs with diameters or characteristic dimensions with less than 50 nm can absorb light and then directly transfer the energy from the plasmonic NPs' LSPR into nearby semiconductor acceptors. PIRET is a non-radiative resonant energy transfer process, discovered by Nianqiang Wu's research group in 2012, where energy stored in the LSPR of a plasmonic NPs such as Au NPs is transferred via dipole-dipole interactions similar to Förster resonant energy transfer (FRET) to form new excited electron-hole pairs within a nearby semiconductor acceptor.^[89-91, 93, 100] This process occurs while the plasmon is still coherent ($t \leq 30$ fs after plasmon excitation). As with scattering by Au NPs, there are pre-requisites for PIRET to occur between Au NPs and semiconductors. For PIRET to occur, there must be a spectral overlap between the Au NPs' LSPR and the absorption spectrum of the semiconductor acceptor with the Au NPs' LSPR red-shifted with respect to the semiconductor acceptor's absorption edge. PIRET's energy transfer will follow the spectral overlap integral between the plasmonic Au NP donor and semiconductor acceptor. As in the case of FRET, PIRET has a $1/r^6$ distance dependence on the energy transfer efficiency. Therefore, the Au NPs and semiconductor must be near to (i.e. within 10 nm with less separation being better) but not necessarily in contact with each other to enable PIRET. In addition, interface effects between the Au NPs and the semiconductor must be accounted for as well since electron transfer between the Au NPs and semiconductor can result in early dephasing of the Au NPs LSPR and decreased PIRET efficiency. Since PIRET is a coherent resonant energy transfer process, the dephasing times of both the Au NPs' LSPR and the semiconductor are significant factors on the efficiency of PIRET. In general, it is preferable to have a smaller dephasing time with the semiconductor versus the Au NPs to prevent back-transfer of energy to the Au NPs from the semiconductor via FRET. While dephasing times for Au NPs or plasmonic nanoparticles can be determined from the LSPR spectrum line-shape, semiconductor dephasing times are difficult to measure and not readily available in literature.^[101] This lack of known dephasing times for semiconductors hinders designing devices that utilize PIRET from Au NPs to semiconductors. PIRET is still a promising method for transferring the energy stored in plasmons into nearby acceptors with over 30% of the plasmon's energy being transferred into the semiconductor acceptor.^[90, 95]

Figure 2.10: Schematic for plasmon-induced resonant energy transfer between plasmonic nanoparticles and a n-type semiconductor.

The second LSPR energy transfer process that is possible is radiative scattering of the LSPR's energy as light (Figure 2.11).^[85, 86] Scattering occurs as the immediately after the plasmon decays (~ 100 fs after light excitation). Since the plasmon's energy released during scattering takes the form of light photons, the semiconductor coupled does not need to be in direct contact with plasmonic NPs. In addition, large scattering cross-sections are typical of plasmonic nanostructures only if they are above a certain size. For example, scattering for plasmonic nanospheres only begin to dominate absorption when the nanosphere is greater than 50 nm in dimension.^[85] Depending on the size and distribution of the plasmonic NPs with respect to the coupled semiconductor, light may be scattered multiple times within the semiconductor before total absorption, resulting in light-trapping within semiconductor.^[85, 102, 103] The resulting increased effective optical pathlengths from scattering and light trapping are useful especially when dealing with semiconductor thin films with low absorption coefficients. However, since the coupled semiconductor within the heterostructure must absorb wavelengths matching the scattered light from the plasmonic NPs for the light to be harvested and converted into useful current, scattering from plasmonic NPs cannot extend the spectrum of useful wavelengths for a light harvesting device.

Figure 2.11: Schematic for radiative scattering of light by plasmonic nanoparticles resulting in increased light absorption and charge carrier generation within a n-type semiconductor.

The third LSPR energy transfer process that can occur is injection of energetic hot carriers from plasmonic metal to semiconductor (Figure 2.12).^[94-96,99-100, 102,104-106] Hot carrier injection (also known as plasmon-induced charge separation (PICS)) was discovered by Dr. Tetsu Tatsuma's group studying Au NPs on TiO₂ in 2004.^[104-109] The energetic hot charge carriers are a remnant of the plasmonic NPs LSPR after the LSPR has lost coherence and have energy distributions that follow the plasmonic NPs' LSPR. Like with radiative scattering, hot carrier injection starts to occur after the LSPR loses coherence since hot carriers are only generated in the plasmonic metal after Landau damping has occurred. As such, subsequent hot carrier transfer processes occur between 100 fs to 1 ns after LSPR excitation during which hot carriers are also losing energy through electron-phonon and electron-electron collisions.

Since the energetic hot carriers are generated within the plasmonic NPs and then transferred to the semiconductor, hot carrier injection can occur regardless of the spectral overlap between the Au NPs' LSPR and the contacting semiconductor's absorption spectrum. However, the nature of hot carrier injection as a charge transfer process necessitates contact or a very small tunneling barrier between the plasmonic NPs and semiconductor to which the carriers are being transferred. The hot electrons with sufficient energy to overcome the energetic barrier between plasmonic metal nanoparticle and a contacting semiconductor can be injected into the conduction band of the semiconductor (Figure 2.12a). Similarly, hot holes may also be injected into the valence band of a contacting semiconductor if the hot holes have sufficient energy to overcome the energetic barrier between plasmonic metal and semiconductor (Figure 2.12b). However, since the direction and magnitude of band bending at the interface between the plasmonic metal nanoparticle and contacting semiconductor depends on the difference in Fermi level energies of the plasmonic metals and the contacting semiconductor, As such, hot electrons and hot holes are more effectively

injected into n-type and p-type semiconductors respectively. Since the hot carriers originate from the LSPR in the plasmonic material and not the semiconductor, hot carrier injection can occur regardless of whether the semiconductor can absorb at the LSPR's excitation wavelengths. However, momentum considerations of the hot electrons and hot holes relative to the semiconductor limit the maximum efficiency of the hot carrier injection process to under 10% under ideal conditions without special measures to overcome this momentum barrier with current practical transfer efficiencies currently at 1-2%..^[95, 96, 109] Nonetheless, the simple requirements for hot carrier injection results in hot carrier injection being a widely used plasmonic enhancement mechanism for improved light harvesting device performance.

Figure 2.12: Schematic for plasmonic hot carrier injection between plasmonic nanoparticles and semiconductors; (a) hot electron injection into the conduction band of a n-type semiconductor; (b) hot hole injection into the valence band of a p-type semiconductor.

2.5.2 Non-Plasmonic Effects for Enhancing Photoelectrochemical Cell Performance

As stated previously, there are non-plasmonic effects that can occur when plasmonic NPs are coupled to semiconductor photoelectrodes. Small plasmonic NPs with diameters or characteristic dimension less than or equal to 10 nm can be used as electron sinks when deposited on the surface of semiconductor photocatalysts and photoelectrodes. plasmonic NPs' potential to act as electron sinks is due to a phenomenon known as Fermi level equilibration (Figure 2.13) discovered by Dr. Prashant Kamat's group also studying Au NPs on TiO_2 in the early 2000's.^[110-112] With Fermi level equilibration, electrons are transferred from the semiconductor into vacant conduction band states within the Au NPs due to quantum mechanics related effects of small Au NPs. The electron transfer into the surface Au NPs results in a depletion of surface electrons and a corresponding shift in the Fermi level and an increased photovoltage from the semiconductor. The shift in Fermi level can be detected as from a shift in the flat-band potential measured from

Mott-Schottky (M-S) plots or from illuminated open-circuit voltage measurements.^[113] Fermi level equilibration can result in reduced surface charge carrier recombination that can be measured in general from chronoamperometry experiments (J-t)^[114] and an onset potential shift for photocurrent that can lead to improved device efficiencies.

Figure 2.13: Schematic for Fermi level equilibration within a n-type semiconductor as a result of small plasmonic nanoparticles acting as charge carrier sinks upon light illumination.

In addition, plasmonic NPs can be used as electron relays between layers within semiconductor heterostructures.^[115] Photoexcited electrons are transferred from one semiconductor to the plasmonic NPs and then transferred from the plasmonic NPs to another semiconductor. By using Au NPs as electron relays, interfacial charge recombination between layers of the semiconductor heterostructure may be suppressed, leading to improved charge transport and overall device conversion efficiencies. Determining whether plasmonic NPs are acting as electron relays is more difficult than testing for Fermi level equilibration. Since the plasmonic NPs are buried and make no contact with the electrolyte, other techniques that can measure charge carrier dynamics are needed to determine whether the Au NPs are acting as electron relays. Ultra-fast transient absorption spectroscopy (TAS) is a useful technique for probing charge carrier dynamics that can be used to determine whether plasmonic NPs are acting as electron relays. In TAS experiments, an ultra-fast laser of fixed wavelength is used to “pump” or excite an initial population of photoexcited charge carriers while a second continuous low intensity light is used to “probe” changes in absorption by the sample across a wide spectrum of wavelengths over femtosecond (fs) to microsecond (μ s) timescales. Depending on the pump and probe wavelengths and the materials being studied (i.e. semiconductors with or without plasmonic NPs), charge carrier recombination lifetimes and mechanisms and charge carrier transfer processes can be determined. However, the complex experimental equipment (lasers and optics to generate laser pulses on a fs timescale and physics knowledge required for data analysis unfortunately limits the widespread use of TAS experiments. Since the electron sink and electron relay behaviors do

not require excitation of the plasmonic NPs' LSPR, the PEC performance enhancement from these mechanisms does not have an illumination wavelength dependence.

Figure 2.14: Schematic for plasmonic NPs acting as electron relays at the interface of two n-type semiconductors.

In addition, plasmonic NPs in contact with the electrolyte within a PEC cell may also exhibit catalytic activity towards reactions. Small Au NPs can be used as catalysts for driving chemical reactions as shown by Dr. Masatake Haruta's group in the 1985. Ultra-small Au NPs (2-5 nm) and Au atomic clusters on metal oxide and metal hydroxide supports have shown significant catalytic activity towards CO oxidation, propylene epoxidation, hydrogen dissociation at elevated temperatures.^[116, 117] Furthermore, Au atomic clusters with significant amounts of exposed high energy edge sites show increased activity show versus larger Au NPs with less edge sites. While Au NPs are useful for catalyzing some reactions, Au NPs are typically limited as thermally driven catalysts since they typically require elevated temperatures to be effective catalysts. Ultra-small Au NPs and Au nanowires with ultra-small cross-sections have been previously used as electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction to CO.^[107, 118, 119] However, these Au NPs still have issues with morphology stability (i.e. avoiding agglomeration) and reaction selectivity between CO and H₂ generation. In addition, Cu NPs have shown electrocatalytic activity towards CO₂ reduction^[120, 121] and Ag NPs have shown electrocatalytic activity towards CO₂ and NO₃⁻ reduction^[122]. Au NPs can act as weak co-catalysts for water oxidation in highly alkaline electrolytes.^[123] However, this catalytic activity is from a thin catalytically active Au(OH)₃ layer on the Au NPs formed during operation rather than from the Au NPs themselves. There are more effective co-catalyst options for driving water oxidation such as Co-Pi, FeOOH, and NiOOH.^[16, 18, 41, 42] As such, catalysis by Au NPs is typically a secondary benefit rather than a explicitly sought after design consideration.

The necessary potential and current required to drive electrochemical reactions can be provided by light energy harvesting devices.

Figure 2.15: Schematic of plasmonic NPs acting as co-catalysts for a n-type semiconductor photoelectrode.

2.6 Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Biosensors

Sensors are a device used for detection and quantification of a physical phenomena within an environment. For the purposes of this dissertation, focus will be on sensors that utilize photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells for detection of analytes using biological components. The analyte itself may be a biological or organic in nature or components used to bind the analyte to the photoelectrode may be biological or organic in nature. With PEC biosensors, the measured PEC-based signal such as photovoltage and photocurrent of a photoelectrode is modulated in response to the presence of an analyte.^[124-125] The analyte may be contained within the electrolyte of the PEC cell or functionalized onto the surface of the photoelectrode outside of the PEC cell used for testing. The main advantages of PEC sensors are the simple and inexpensive equipment requirements (light source, potentiostat, computer, counter and reference electrodes, and a designed photoelectrode for analyte detection) and simple operation versus other techniques such as SERS.^[124-125]

In the case of PEC biosensors where the analyte is contained within the electrolyte, the photoelectrode used as the sensing device may directly reduce or oxidize the analyte or may indirectly reduce or oxidize the analyte using a redox mediator that the photoelectrode may reduce or oxidize to then subsequently oxidize or reduce the analyte respectively. However, these two approaches rely on analytes that are electrochemically active species to enable successful detection. In addition, the photoelectrode used in the PEC biosensor must be catalytically active

towards redox reactions involving the analyte or redox mediator. Selectivity for detection of the analyte is typically achieved by tuning the electrochemical bias used during operation along with tuning the catalytic activity of the photoelectrode to favor redox reactions with the analyte only. Examples of PEC biosensors are the ZnO/Cu₂O p-n junction photoelectrode used for direct detection of glutathione^[126]. The projects presented within this dissertation do not deal with analytes that are readily electrochemically active. As such, this type of bi all further discussion will focus on PEC sensors that utilize an analyte functionalization step that occurs outside of the PEC cell used for testing.

In the case of PEC biosensors that have the analyte functionalized onto the photoelectrode, the analyte of choice is selectively bound to the photoelectrode. Common methods for binding of analytes include analyte binding to DNA strands^[127-131], aptamer-analyte reactions^[132-134], and antibody-antigen reactions^[135-137]. The bound analyte itself may alter the PEC performance of the photoelectrode^[132-135, 136, 138] or a secondary probe may be bound selectively to the photoelectrode at sites where the analyte is already bound^[127-131, 137, 139] to modulate the PEC performance of the photoelectrode. The photoelectrochemical performance of the PEC biosensor's photoelectrode may be modulated utilizing different mechanisms. The following mechanisms are some commonly employed mechanisms for modulating the PEC performance of photoelectrodes in PEC biosensors. It should be noted that more than one of these mechanisms may be combined with each other on a single PEC biosensor.

A commonly employed technique for modulating the PEC performance of photoelectrodes in PEC biosensors is increased charge transfer resistance at the photoelectrode surface due to the presence of bound analyte and bound secondary probes.^[132-136, 140-143] The presence of biological analytes such as proteins or large probes conjugated to the analyte can impede the diffusion of reactants through steric hinderance to the photoelectrode surface. In addition, the presence of the bound analyte (and bound probes) blocks electrochemically active sites on the photoelectrode surface. The amount of steric hinderance and blocked electrochemically active sites is directly proportional to the concentration of bound analyte. As such, the photocurrent also decreases in a manner that is also proportional to the concentration of bound analyte making this mechanism useful for sensing applications.

Another commonly employed technique for modulating the PEC performance of photoelectrodes in PEC biosensors is the modulation of different energy transfer processes between plasmonic NPs and a semiconductor photoelectrodes. Three different mechanisms, hot electron injection^[132-136], PIRET^[139], and FRET^[127-131, 137, 144], have been utilized as energy transfer mechanisms. PEC biosensors that utilize hot electron injection have plasmonic nanoparticles already bound to the surface of the semiconductor photoelectrode with analyte binding modulates the magnitude of hot electron injection between the plasmonic nanoparticle and semiconductor photoelectrode. As discussed previously in Section 2.5.1, PIRET and FRET are similar in that they are resonant energy transfer processes that rely on dipole-dipole interactions between plasmonic nanoparticles and semiconductors. However, the direction of energy transfer differs between PIRET and FRET. In PIRET, energy from the LSPR of bound plasmonic nanoparticles is transferred to the semiconductor photoelectrode. The transfer of energy from the plasmonic NPs

to the semiconductor photoelectrode via PIRET results in increased charge carrier generation and corresponding photocurrent from the semiconductor photoelectrode. To date, there have been few sensors that utilize PIRET from plasmonic NPs in PEC sensors. These PIRET-based PEC sensors utilize selective binding of plasmonic NP probes to semiconductor photoelectrodes in the presence of analyte to initiate PIRET and increase the photocurrent of the photoelectrode. The resulting photocurrent increase is proportional to the amount of bound plasmonic NPs and thus the concentration of analyte used to bind the plasmonic NPs.

In FRET, energy is transferred from the semiconductor to the plasmonic nanoparticle. As such, the loss of energy from the semiconductor photoelectrode results in decreased charge carrier generation and corresponding photocurrent. In the case of FRET-based PEC sensors, the photocurrent of the photoelectrode system may be decreased by selective binding of plasmonic NP probes in the presence of analyte to the photoelectrode surface to initiate FRET^[127-131, 135] or increased by selectively increasing the separation distance between the plasmonic nanoparticles and semiconductor photoelectrode to decrease the magnitude of FRET.^[144]

2.7 References

- [1] World Energy Council. Solar. *World Energy Resources: 2013 Survey*, World Energy Council: London, 2013; pp 8.1-8.28.
- [2] Jenkins, T. A brief history of ... semiconductors. *Phys. Edu.*, **2005**, *40*, 430-439.
- [3] Ashcroft, N. W.; Mermin, N. D. Homogeneous Semiconductors. *Solid State Physics*, Harcourt College Publishers: New York, 1976; pp 561-587.
- [4] Muller, R. S.; Kamins, T. I.; Chan, M. Semiconductor Electronics. *Device Electronics for Integrated Circuits*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2003; pp 2-55.
- [5] Bhattacharya, P. Electronic Properties of Semiconductors. *Semiconductor Optoelectronic Devices*, Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, 1994; pp 59-111.
- [6] Bhattacharya, P. Optical Processes in Semiconductors. *Semiconductor Optoelectronic Devices*, Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, 1994; pp 112-155.
- [7] Muller, R. S.; Kamins, T. I.; Chan, M. Currents in pn Junctions. *Device Electronics for Integrated Electronics*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2003; pp 226-277.
- [8] Muller, R. S.; Kamins, T. I.; Chan, M. Silicon Technology. *Device Electronics for Integrated Electronics*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2003; pp 56-138.
- [9] Ashcroft, N. W.; Mermin, N. D. Inhomogeneous Semiconductors. *Solid-State Physics*, Harcourt College Publishers: New York, pp 589-614.
- [10] Muller, R. S.; Kamins, T. I.; Chan, M. pn Junctions. *Device Electronics for Integrated Circuits*, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2003; pp. 174-225

- [11] Bhattacharya, P. Solar Cells. *Semiconductor Optoelectronic Devices*, Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, 1994; pp 413-431.
- [12] Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode. *Nature*, **1972**, 238, 37-38.
- [13] Li, J.; Wu, N. Semiconductor-based photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells for solar fuel generation: a review. *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, **2015**, 5, 1360-1384.
- [14] Sivula, K.; van de Krol, R. Semiconducting materials for photoelectrochemical energy conversion. *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, **2016**, 1, 15010.
- [15] Seabold, J. A.; Zhu, K.; Neale, N. R. Efficient solar photoelectrolysis by nanoporous Mo:BiVO₄ through controlled electron transport. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2014**, 16, 1121-1131.
- [16] Pilli, S. K.; Furtak, T. E.; Brown, L. D.; Deutsch, T. G.; Turner, J. A.; Herring, A. M. Cobalt-phosphate (Co-Pi) catalyst modified Mo-doped BiVO₄ photoelectrodes for solar water oxidation. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **2011**, 4, 5028-5034.
- [17] Du, C.; Yang, X.; Mayer, M. T.; Hoyt, H.; Xie, J.; McMahon, G.; Bischoff, G.; Wang, D. Hematite-based water splitting with low turn-on voltages. *Angew. Chem. Intl. Ed.*, **2013**, 52, 12692-12695.
- [18] Abdi, F. F.; van de Krol, R. Nature and light dependence of bulk recombination in Co-Pi-catalyzed BiVO₄ photoanodes. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2012**, 116, 9398-9404.
- [19] Kim, T. W.; Choi, K.-S. Nanoporous BiVO₄ photoanode with dual-layer oxygen evolution catalysts for solar water splitting. *Science*, **2014**, 343, 990-994.
- [20] Licht, S.; Wang, B.; Mukerji, S.; Soga, T.; Umeno, M.; Tributsch, H. Efficient solar water splitting, exemplified by RuO₂-catalyzed AlGaAs/Si photoelectrolysis. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **2000**, 104, 8920-8924.
- [21] Khaselev, O.; Turner, J. A. A monolithic photovoltaic-photoelectrochemical device for hydrogen production via water splitting. *Science*, **1998**, 280, 425-427.
- [22] Fountaine, K. T.; Lewerenz, H. J.; Atwater, H. A. Efficiency limits for photoelectrochemical water-splitting. *Nat. Commun.*, **2016**, 7, 13706.
- [23] Cha, H. G.; Choi, K.-S. Combined biomass valorization and hydrogen production in a photoelectrochemical cell. *Nat. Chem.*, **2015**, 7, 328-333.
- [24] Wang, G.; Ling, Y.; Wang, H.; Yang, X.; Wang, C.; Zhang, J. Z.; Li, Y. Hydrogen-treated WO₃ nanoflakes show enhanced photostability. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **2012**, 5, 6180-6187.
- [25] Zhong, X.; Zhu, Y.; Yang, X.; Wang, S.; Shen, J.; Lin, B.; Li, C. Enhanced visible light photocatalytic activity of interlayer-isolated triplex Ag@SiO₂@TiO₂ core-shell nanoparticles. *Nanoscale*, **2013**, 5, 3359-3366.

- [26] Li, Y.; Cheng, X.; Ruan, X.; Song, H.; Lou, Z.; Ye, Z.; Zhu, L. Enhancing photocatalytic activity for visible-light-driven H₂ generation with the surface reconstructed LaTiO₂N nanostructures. *Nano Energy*, **2015**, *12*, 775-784.
- [27] Meng, F.; Cushing, S. K.; Li, J.; Hao, S.; Wu, N. Enhancement of solar hydrogen generation by synergistic interaction of La₂Ti₂O₇ photocatalyst with plasmonic gold nanoparticles and reduced graphene oxide nanosheets. *ACS Catal.*, **2015**, *5*, 1949-1955.
- [28] Meng, F.; Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Zhi, M.; Wu, N. Solar hydrogen generation by nanoscale p-n junction of p-type molybdenum disulfide/n-type nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, *135*, 10286-10289.
- [29] Matsumoto, Y.; Obata, M.; Hombo, J. Photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide on p-type CaFe₂O₄ powder. *J. Phys. Chem.*, **1994**, *98*, 2950-2951.
- [30] Wang, S.; Hou, Y.; Wang, X. Development of a stable MnCo₂O₄ cocatalyst for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction with visible light. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **2015**, *7*, 4327-4335.
- [31] Xu, H.-Q.; Hu, J.; Wang, D.; Li, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Luo, Y.; Yu, S.-H.; Jiang, H.-L. Visible-light photoreduction of CO₂ in a metal-organic framework: Boosting electron-hole separation via electron trap states. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2015**, *137*, 13440-13443.
- [32] Medford, A. J.; Hatzell, M. C. Photon-driven nitrogen fixation: Current progress, thermodynamic considerations, and future outlook. *ACS Catal.*, **2017**, *7*, 2624-2643.
- [33] Berr, M. J.; Wagner, P.; Fischbach, S.; Vaneski, A.; Schneider, J.; Susa, A. S.; Feldmann, J. Hole scavenger redox potentials determine quantum efficiency and stability of Pt-decorated CdS nanorods for photocatalytic hydrogen generation. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **2012**, *100*, 223903.
- [34] Schneider, J.; Bahnemann, D. W. Undesired role of sacrificial reagents in photocatalysis. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, **2013**, *4*, 3479-3483.
- [35] Jiang, N.; You, B.; Boonstra, R.; Terrero Rodriguez, I. M.; Sun, Y. Integrating electrocatalytic 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural oxidation and hydrogen production via Co-P derived electrocatalysts. *ACS Energy Lett.*, **2016**, *1*, 386-390.
- [36] You, B.; Liu, X.; Jiang, N.; Sun, Y. A general strategy for decoupled hydrogen production from water splitting by integrating oxidative biomass valorization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2016**, *138*, 13639-13646.
- [37] Bloor, L. G.; Solarska, R.; Bienkowski, K.; Kulesza, P. J.; Augustynski, J.; Symes, M. D.; Cronin, L. Solar-driven water oxidation and decoupled hydrogen production mediated by an electron-coupled-proton buffer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2016**, *138*, 6707-6710.
- [38] Rausch, B.; Symes, M. D.; Chisholm, G.; Cronin, L. Decoupled catalytic hydrogen evolution from a molecular metal oxide redox mediator in water splitting. *Science*, **2014**, *345*, 1326-1330.

- [39] Symes, M. D.; Cronin, L. Decoupling hydrogen and oxygen evolution during electrocatalytic water splitting using an electron-coupled-proton buffer. *Nat. Chem.*, **2013**, *5*, 403-409.
- [40] Rausch, B.; Symes, M. D.; Cronin, L. A bio-inspired, small molecule electron-coupled-proton buffer for decoupling the half reactions of electrolytic water splitting. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, *135*, 13656-13659.
- [41] McCrory, C. C.; Jung, S.; Peters, J. C.; Jaramillo, T. F. Benchmarking heterogeneous electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, *135*, 16977-16987.
- [42] Cai, L.; Zhao, J.; Li, H.; Park, J.; Cho, I. S.; Han, H. S.; Zheng, X. One-step hydrothermal deposition of Ni:FeOOH onto photoanodes for enhanced water oxidation. *ACS Energy Lett.*, **2016**, *1*, 624-632.
- [43] Miller, E. L. *Photoelectrochemical Hydrogen Production: DOE PEC Working Group Overview FY 2010*. U.S. Department of Energy: Washington D.C., 2011.
- [44] Wang, G.; Wang, H.; Ling, Y.; Tang, Y.; Yang, X.; Fitzmorris, R. C.; Wang, C.; Zhang, J. Z.; Li, Y. Hydrogen-treated TiO₂ nanowire arrays for photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Nano Lett.*, **2011**, *11*, 3026-3033.
- [45] Wu, N.; Wang, J.; Tafen, D. N.; Wang, H.; Zheng, J.-G.; Lewis, J. P.; Liu, X.; Leonard, S. S.; Manivannan, A. Shape-enhanced photocatalytic activity of single-crystalline anatase TiO₂ (101) nanobelts. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2010**, *132*, 6679-6685.
- [46] Paracchino, A.; Laporte, V.; Sivula, K.; Gratzel, M.; Thimsen, E. Highly active oxide photocathode for photoelectrochemical water reduction. *Nat. Mater.*, **2011**, *10*, 456-461.
- [47] Luo, J.; Steier, L.; Son, M.-K.; Schreier, M.; Mayer, M. T.; Gratzel, M. (2016). Cu₂O nanowire photocathodes for efficient and durable solar water splitting. *Nano Lett.*, **2016**, *16*, 1848-1857.
- [48] Gao, L.; Cui, Y.; Vervuurt, R. H. J.; van Dam, D.; van Veldhoven, R. P. J.; Hofmann, J. P.; Bol, A. A.; Haverkort, J. E. M.; Notten, P. H. L.; Bakkers, E. P. A. M.; Hensen, E. J. M. High-efficiency InP-based photocathode for hydrogen production by interface energetics design and photon management. *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, **2016**, *26*, 679-686.
- [49] Ye, K.-H.; Li, H.; Huang, D.; Xiao, S.; Qui, W.; Li, M.; Hu, Y.; Mai, W.; Ji, H.; Yang, S. Enhancing photoelectrochemical water splitting by combining work function tuning and heterojunction engineering. *Nat. Commun.*, **2019**, *19*, 3687.
- [50] Dotan, H.; Sivula, K.; Grätzel, M.; Rothschild, A.; Warren, S. C. Probing the photoelectrochemical properties of hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) electrodes using hydrogen peroxide as a hole scavenger. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, **2011**, *4*, 958-964.

- [51] Carneiro, L. M.; Cushing, S. K.; Liu, C.; Su, Y.; Yang, P.; Alivisatos, A. P.; Leone, S. R. Excitation-wavelength-dependent small polaron trapping of photoexcited carriers in α -Fe₂O₃. *Nat. Mater.*, **2017**, *16*, 819-826.
- [52] Jeon, T. H.; Moon, G.-H.; Park, H.; Choi, W. Ultra-efficient and durable photoelectrochemical water oxidation using elaborately designed hematite nanorod arrays. *Nano Energy*, **2017**, *39*, 211-218.
- [53] Marin, A. T.; Muñoz-Rojas, D.; Iza, D. C.; Gershon, T.; Musselman, K. P.; Macmanus-Driscoll, J. L. Novel atmospheric growth technique to improve both light absorption and charge collection in ZnO/Cu₂O thin film solar cells. *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, **2013**, *23*, 3413-3419.
- [54] Minami, T.; Nishi, Y.; Miyata, T. Impact of incorporating sodium into polycrystalline p-type Cu₂O for heterojunction solar cell applications. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **2014**, *105*, 212104.
- [55] Pan, L.; Kim, J. H.; Mayer, M. T.; Son, M.-K.; Ummadisingu, A.; Lee, J. S.; Hagfeldt, A.; Luo, J.; Grätzel, M. Boosting the performance of Cu₂O photocathodes for unassisted solar water splitting devices. *Nat. Catal.*, **2018**, *1*, 412-420.
- [56] Furukawa, H.; Cordova, K. E.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. The chemistry and applications of metal-organic-frameworks. *Science*, **2013**, *341*, 1230444.
- [57] Zeng, L.; Guo, X.; He, C.; Duan, C. Metal-organic frameworks: Versatile materials for heterogeneous photocatalysis. *ACS Catal.*, **2016**, *6*, 7935-7947.
- [58] Nguyen, H. L. The chemistry of titanium-based metal-organic frameworks. *New J. Chem.*, **2017**, *41*, 14030-14043.
- [59] Fu, Y.; Sun, D.; Chen, Y.; Huang, R.; Ding, Z.; Fu, X.; Li, Z. An amine-functionalized titanium metal-organic framework photocatalyst with visible-light-induced activity for CO₂ reduction. *Angew. Chem.*, **2012**, *124*, 3420-3423.
- [60] Gao, J.; Miao, J.; Li, P.-Z.; Teng, W. Y.; Yang, L.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, B.; Zhang, Q. A p-type Ti(IV)-based metal-organic framework with visible-light photoresponse. *Chem. Commun.*, **2014**, *50*, 3786-3788.
- [61] Fateeva, A.; Chater, P. A.; Ireland, C. P.; Tahir, A. A.; Khimyak, Y. Z.; Wiper, P. V.; Rosseinsky, M. J. A water-stable porphyrin-based metal-organic framework active for visible light photocatalysis. *Angew. Chem.*, **2012**, *124*, 7558-7562.
- [62] Park, H. J.; So, M. C.; Gosztola, D.; Wiederrecht, G. P.; Emery, J. D.; Martinson, A. B.; Er, S.; Wilmer, C. E.; Vermeulen, N. A.; Aspuru-Guzik, A.; Stoddart, J. F.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T. Layer-by-layer assembled films of perylene diimide- and squaraine containing metal-organic framework-like materials: Solar energy capture and directional energy transfer. *ACS Appl. Mater. Inter.*, **2016**, *8*, 24983-24988.

- [63] Sun, D.; Fu, Y.; Liu, W.; Ye, L.; Wang, D.; Yang, L.; Fu, X.; Li, Z. Studies on photocatalytic CO₂ reduction over NH₂-UiO-66(Zr) and its derivatives: Towards a better understanding of photocatalysis on metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2013**, *19*, 14279-14285.
- [64] Shen, L.; Liang, R.; Luo, M.; Jing, F.; Wu, L. Electronic effects of ligand substitution on metal-organic framework photocatalysts: the case study of UiO-66. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2015**, *16*, 117-121.
- [65] Wang, A.; Zhou, Y.; Wang, Z.; Chen, M.; Sun, L.; Liu, X. Titanium incorporated with UiO-66(Zr)-type metal-organic framework (MOF) for photocatalytic application. *RSC Adv.*, **2016**, *6*, 3671-3679.
- [66] Li, J.; Musho, T.; Bright, J.; Wu, N. Functionalization of a metal-organic framework semiconductor for tuned band structure and catalytic activity. *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **2019**, *166*, H3029-H3034.
- [67] Shen, L.; Wu, W.; Liang, R.; Lin, R.; Wu, L. Highly dispersed palladium nanoparticles anchored on UiO-66(NH₂) metal-organic framework as a reusable and dual functional visible-light-driven photocatalyst. *Nanoscale*, **2013**, *5*, 9374-9382.
- [68] Wang, R.; Gu, L.; Zhou, J.; Liu, X.; Teng, F.; Li, C.; Shen, Y.; Yuan, Y. Quasi-polymeric metal-organic framework UiO-66/g-C₃N₄ heterojunctions for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light irradiation. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, **2015**, *2*, 1500037.
- [69] Zhan, W.; Kuang, Q.; Zhou, J.; Kong, X. Xie, Z.; Zheng, L. Semiconductor@metal-organic framework core-shell heterostructures: A case of ZnO@ZIF-8 nanorods with selective photoelectrochemical response. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, *135*, 1926-1933.
- [70] Liu, Q.; Low, Z.-X.; Li, L.; Razmjou, A.; Wang, K.; Yao, J.; Wang, H. ZIF-8/Zn₂GeO₄ nanorods with an enhanced CO₂ adsorption property in an aqueous medium for photocatalytic synthesis of liquid fuel. *J. Mater. Chem. A*, **2013**, *1*, 11563-11569.
- [71] Wang, X.; Liu, J.; Leong, S.; Lin, X.; Wei, J.; Kong, B.; Xu, Y.; Low, Z.-X.; Yao, J.; Wang, H. Rapid construction of ZnO@ZIF-8 heterostructures with size-selective photocatalysis properties. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **2016**, *8*, 9080-9087.
- [72] Jing, H.-P.; Wang, C.-C.; Zhang, Y.-W.; Wang, P.; Li, R. Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue in ZIF-8. *RSC Adv.*, **2014**, *4*, 54454-54462.
- [73] Zeng, X.; Huang, L.; Wang, C.; Wang, J.; Li, J.; Luo, X. Sonocrystallization of ZIF-8 on electrostatic spinning TiO₂ nanofibers surface with enhanced photocatalysis property through synergistic effect. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **2016**, *8*, 20274-20282.
- [74] Isimjan, T. T.; Kazemian, H.; Rohani, S.; Ray, A. K. Photocatalytic activities of Pt/ZIF-8 loaded highly ordered TiO₂ nanotubes. *J. Mater. Chem.*, **2010**, *20*, 10241-10245.

- [75] Kaur, R.; Rana, A.; Singh, R. K.; Chhabra, V. A.; Kim, K.-H.; Deep, A. Efficient photocatalytic and photovoltaic applications with nanocomposites between CdTe QDs and an NTU-9 MOF. *RSC Adv.*, **2017**, 7, 29015-29024.
- [76] Zhang, L.; Cui, P.; Yang, H.; Chen, J.; Xiao, F.; Guo, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, W.; Huo, F.; Liu, B. Metal-organic frameworks as promising photosensitizers for photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Adv. Sci.*, **2016**, 3, 1500243.
- [77] Sun, D.; Liu, W.; Fu, Y.; Fang, Z.; Sun, F.; Fu, X.; Zhang, Y.; Li, Z. Noble metals can have different effects on photocatalysis over metal-organic frameworks (MOFs): A case study on M/NH₂-MIL-125(Ti) (M=Pt and Au). *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2014**, 20, 4780-4788.
- [78] Chambers, M. B.; Wang, X.; Ellezam, L.; Ersen, O.; Fontecave, M.; Sanchez, C.; Rozes, L.; Mellot-Draznieks, C. Maximizing the photocatalytic activity of metal-organic frameworks with aminated-functionalized linkers: Substoichiometric effects in MIL-125-NH₂.
- [79] Feng, D.; Gu, Z.-Y.; Li, J.-R.; Jiang, H.-L.; Wei, Z.; Zhou, H.-C. Zirconium-metalloporphyrin PCN-222: Mesoporous metal-organic frameworks with ultrahigh stability as biomimetic catalysts. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2012**, 51, 10307-10310.
- [80] Xu, H.-Q.; Hu, J.; Wang, D.; Li, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Luo, Y.; Yu, S.-H.; Jiang, H.-L. Visible-light photoreduction of CO₂ in a metal-organic framework: Boosting electron-hole separation via electron trap states. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2015**, 137, 13440-13443.
- [81] Feng, D.; Gu, Z.-Y.; Chen, Y.-P.; Park, J.; Wei, Z.; Sun, Y.; Bosch, M.; Yuan, S.; Zhou, H.-C. A highly stable porphyrinic zirconium metal-organic framework with shp-a topology. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2014**, 136, 17714-17717.
- [82] Feng, D.; Chung, W.-C.; Wei, Z.; Gu, Z.-Y.; Jiang, H.-L.; Chen, Y.-P.; Darensbourg, D. J.; Zhou, H.-C. Construction of ultrastable porphyrin Zr metal-organic frameworks through linker elimination. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, 135, 17105-17110.
- [83] Jiang, H.-L.; Feng, D.; Wang, K.; Gu, Z.-Y.; Wei, Z.; Chen, Y.-P.; Zhou, H.-C. An exceptionally stably, porphyrinic Zr metal-organic framework exhibiting pH-dependent fluorescence. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2013**, 135, 13934-13938.
- [84] Willets, K. A.; Van Duyne, R. P. Localized surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy and sensing. *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, **2007**, 58, 267-297.
- [85] Maier, S. A.; Atwater, H. A. Plasmonics: Localization and guiding of electromagnetic energy in metal/dielectric structures. *J. Appl. Phys.*, **2005**, 98, 011101.
- [86] Wu, N. Plasmonic metal-semiconductor photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells: a review. *Nanoscale*, **2018**, 10, 2679-2696.
- [87] Clavero, C. Plasmon-induced hot electron generation at nanoparticle/metal-oxide interfaces for photovoltaic and photocatalytic devices. *Nat. Photon.*, **2014**, 8, 95-103.

- [88] Cushing, S. K.; Wu, N. Plasmon-enhanced solar energy harvesting. *Interface*, **2013**, 63-67.
- [89] Cushing, S. K.; Li, J.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Suri, S.; Zhi, M.; Li, M.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Photocatalytic activity enhanced by plasmonic resonant energy transfer from metal to semiconductor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, *134*, 15033-15041.
- [90] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Plasmon-induced resonance energy transfer for solar energy conversion. *Nat. Photon.*, **2015**, *9*, 601-607.
- [91] Meng, F.; Cushing, S. K.; Li, J.; Hao, S.; Wu, N. Enhancement of solar hydrogen generation by synergistic interaction of $\text{La}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ photocatalyst with plasmonic gold nanoparticles and reduced graphene oxide nanosheets. *ACS Catal.*, **2015**, *5*, 1949-1955.
- [92] Zhong, X.; Zhu, Y.; Yang, X.; Wang, S.; Shen, J.; Lin, B.; Li, C. Enhanced visible light photocatalytic activity of interlayer-isolated triplex $\text{Ag}@\text{SiO}_2@\text{TiO}_2$ core-shell nanoparticles. *Nanoscale*, **2013**, *5*, 3359-3366.
- [93] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Bright, J.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Zheng, P.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. $\text{Ag}@\text{Cu}_2\text{O}$ core-shell nanoparticles as visible-light plasmonic photocatalysts. *ACS Catal.*, **2013**, *3*, 47-51.
- [94] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Zheng, P.; Meng, F.; Chu, D.; Wu, N. Plasmon-induced photonic and energy-transfer enhancement of solar water splitting by a nanorod array. *Nat. Commun.*, **2013**, *4*, 2651.
- [95] Cushing, S. K.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Theoretical maximum efficiency of solar energy conversion in plasmonic metal-semiconductor heterojunctions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2015**, *17*, 30013-30022.
- [96] Cushing, S. K.; Wu, N. Progress and perspectives of plasmon-enhanced solar energy conversion. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, **2016**, *7*, 666-675.
- [97] Cushing, S. K.; Li, J.; Bright, J.; Yost, B. T.; Zheng, P.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Controlling plasmon-induced resonance energy transfer and hot electron injection processes in $\text{metal}@\text{TiO}_2$ core-shell nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2015**, *119*, 16239-16244.
- [98] Link, S.; El-Sayed, M. A. Size and temperature dependence of the plasmon absorption of colloidal gold nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **1999**, *103*, 4212-4217.
- [99] Li, M.; Cushing, S. K.; Zhang, J.; Lankford, J.; Aguilar, Z. P.; Ma, D.; Wu, N. Shape-dependent surface-enhanced Raman scattering in gold-Raman-probe-silica sandwiched nanoparticles for biocompatible applications. *Nanotechnology*, **2012**, *23*, 115501.
- [100] DuChene, J. S.; Williams, B. P.; Johnston-Peck, A. C.; Qiu, J.; Gomes, M.; Amilhau, M.; Bejleri, D.; Weng, J.; Su, D.; Huo, F.; Stach, E. A.; Wei, W. D. Elucidating the sole contribution from electromagnetic near-fields in plasmon-enhanced Cu_2O photocathodes. *Adv. Energy Mater.*, **2016**, *6*, 1501250.

- [101] Klar, T.; Perner, M.; Grosse, S.; von Plessen, G.; Spirkel, W.; Feldmann, J. Surface-plasmon resonances in single metallic nanoparticles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **1998**, *80*, 4249-4252.
- [102] Schaadt, D. M.; Feng, B.; Yu, E. T. Enhanced semiconductor optical absorption via surface plasmon excitation in metal nanoparticles. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **2005**, *86*, 063106.
- [103] Derkacs, D.; Lim, S. H.; Matheu, P.; Mar, W.; Yu, E. T. Improved performance of amorphous silicon solar cells via scattering from surface plasmon polaritons in nearby metallic nanoparticles. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **2006**, *89*, 093103.
- [104] Tian, Y.; Tatsuma, T. Plasmon-induced photoelectrochemistry at metal nanoparticles supported on nanoporous TiO₂. *Chem. Commun.*, **2004**, 1810-1811.
- [105] Yu, K.; Tian, Y.; Tatsuma, T. Size effects of gold nanoparticles on plasmon-induced photocurrents of gold-TiO₂ nanocomposites. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2006**, *8*, 5417-5420.
- [106] Nishi, H.; Sakamoto, M.; Tatsuma, T. Local trapping of energetic holes at gold nanoparticles on TiO₂. *Chem. Commun.*, **2018**, *54*, 11741-11744.
- [107] DuChene, J. S.; Tagliabue, G.; Welch, A. J.; Cheng, W.-H.; Atwater, H. A. Hot hole collection and photoelectrochemical CO₂ reduction with plasmonic Au/p-GaN photocathodes. *Nano Lett.*, **2018**, *18*, 2545-2550.
- [108] Mubeen, S.; Hernandez-Sosa, G.; Moses, D.; Lee, J.; Moskovits, M. Plasmonic photosensitization of a wide band gap semiconductor: Converting plasmons to charge carriers. *Nano Lett.*, **2011**, *11*, 5548-5552.
- [109] Leenheer, A. J.; Narang, P.; Lewis, N. S.; Atwater, H. A. Solar energy conversion via hot electron internal photoemission in metallic nanostructures: Efficiency estimates. *J. Appl. Phys.*, **2014**, *115*, 134301.
- [110] Subramanian, V.; Wolf, E. E.; Kamat, P. V. Catalysis with TiO₂/gold nanocomposites. Effect of metal particle size on the Fermi level equilibration. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2004**, *126*, 4943-4950.
- [111] Chandrasekharan, N.; Kamat, P. V. Improving the photoelectrochemical performance of nanostructured TiO₂ films by adsorption of gold nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **2000**, *104*, 10851-10857.
- [112] Subramanian, V.; Wolf, E.; Kamat, P. V. Semiconductor-metal composite nanostructures. To what extent do metal nanoparticles improve the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ films? *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **2001**, *105*, 11439-11446.
- [113] Chen, Z.; Dinh, H. N.; Miller, E. Flat-band potential techniques. *Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting: Standards, Experimental Methods, and Protocols*, Springer Briefs in Energy; Springer: New York, 2013; pp 63-84.

- [114] Tafalla, D.; Salvador, P.; Benito, R. M. Kinetic approach to the photocurrent transients in water photoelectrolysis at n-TiO₂ electrodes II. Analysis of the photocurrent-time dependence. *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **1990**, *137*, 1810-1815.
- [115] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Zheng, P.; Senty, T.; Meng, F.; Bristow, A. D.; Manivannan, A.; Wu, N. Solar hydrogen generation by a CdS-Au-TiO₂ sandwich nanorod array enhanced with Au nanoparticle as electron relay and plasmonic photosensitizer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2014**, *136*, 8438-8449.
- [116] Haruta, M., When gold is not noble: Catalysis by nanoparticles. *Chem. Rec.*, **2003**, *3*, 75-87
- [117] Haruta, M., Role of perimeter interfaces in catalysis by gold nanoparticles. *Faraday Discuss.*, **2011**, *152*, 11-32.
- [118] Trindell, J. A.; Clausmeyer, J.; Crooks, R. M. Size stability and H₂/CO selectivity for Au nanoparticles during electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2017**, *139*, 16161-16167.
- [119] Zhu, W.; Zhang, Y.-J.; Zhang, H.; Lv, H.; Li, Q.; Michalsky, R.; Peterson, A. A.; Sun, S. Active and selective conversion of CO₂ to CO on ultrathin Au nanowires. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2014**, *136*, 16132-16135.
- [120] Gao, D.; Zegkinoglou, I.; Divins, N. J.; Scholten, F.; Sinev, I.; Grosse, P.; Roldan Cuenya, B. Plasma-activated copper nanocube catalysts for efficient carbon dioxide electroreduction to hydrocarbons and alcohols. *ACS Nano*, **2017**, *11*, 4825-4831.
- [121] Reske, R.; Mistry, H.; Behafarid, F.; Roldan Cuenya, B.; Strasser, P. Particle size effects in the catalytic electroreduction of CO₂ on Cu nanoparticles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2014**, *136*, 6978-6986.
- [122] Kim, Y.; Creel, E. B.; Corson, E. R.; McCloskey, B. D.; Urban, J. J.; Kostecki, R. Surface-plasmon assisted photoelectrochemical reduction of CO₂ and NO₃⁻ on nanostructured silver electrodes. *Adv. Energy Mater.*, **2018**, *8*, 1800363.
- [123] Koren, M. G.; Dotan, H.; Rothschild, A. Nano gold rush: On the origin of the photocurrent enhancement in hematite photoanodes decorated with gold nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2016**, *120*, 15042-15051.
- [124] Zhao, W.-W., Xu, J.-J., & Chen, H.-Y. Photoelectrochemical bioanalysis: The state of the art. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2015**, *44*, 729-741.
- [125] Zhang, X., Guo, Y., Liu, M., & Zhang, S. Photoelectrochemically active species and photoelectrochemical biosensors. *RSC Adv.*, **2013**, *3*, 2846-2857.
- [126] Kang, Z.; Yan, X.; Wang, Y.; Bai, Z.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Lin, P.; Zhang, X.; Yuan, H.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, Y. Electronic structure engineering of Cu₂O/ZnO nanorods array all-oxide p-n heterostructure for enhanced photoelectrochemical property and self-powered biosensing application. *Sci. Rep.*, **2015**, *5*, 7882.

- [127] Zhao, W.-W.; Yu, P.-P.; Shan, Y.; Wang, J.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Exciton-plasmon interactions between CdS quantum dots and Ag nanoparticles in photoelectrochemical system and its biosensing application. *Anal. Chem.*, **2012**, *84*, 5892-5897.
- [128] Han, D.-M.; Jiang, L.-Y.; Tang, W.-Y.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Photoelectrochemical determination of inorganic mercury ions based on energy transfer between CdS quantum dots and Au nanoparticles. *Electrochem. Commun.*, **2015**, *51*, 72-75.
- [129] Dong, Y.-X.; Cao, J.-T.; Wang, B.; Ma, S.-H.; Liu, Y.-M. Exciton-plasmon interactions between CdS@g-C₃N₄ heterojunction and Au@Ag nanoparticles coupled with DNAase-triggered signal amplification: Toward highly sensitive photoelectrochemical bioanalysis of microRNA. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, **2017**, *5*, 10840-10848.
- [130] Shi, X.-M.; Mei, L.-P.; Wang, Q.; Zhao, W.-W.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Energy transfer between semiconducting polymer dots and gold nanoparticles in a photoelectrochemical system: A case application for cathodic bioanalysis. *Anal. Chem.*, **2018**, *90*, 4277-4281.
- [131] Dong, Y.-X.; Cao, J.-T.; Liu, Y.-M.; Ma, S.-H. A novel immunosensing platform for highly sensitive prostate specific antigen detection based on dual-quenching of photocurrent from CdSe sensitized TiO₂ electrode by gold nanoparticles decorated polydopamine nanospheres. *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2017**, *91*, 246-252.
- [132] Da, P.; Li, W.; Lin, X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, J.; Zheng, G. Surface plasmon resonance enhanced real time photoelectrochemical protein sensing by gold nanoparticle-decorated TiO₂ nanowires. *Anal. Chem.*, **2014**, *86*, 6633-6639.
- [133] Xin, Y.; Li, Z.; Zhang, Z. Photoelectrochemical aptasensor for the sensitive and selective detection of kanamycin based on Au nanoparticle functionalized self-doped TiO₂ nanotube arrays. *Chem. Commun.*, **2015**, *51*, 15498-15501.
- [134] Qiao, Y.; Li, J.; Li, H.; Fang, H.; Fan, D.; Wang, W. A label-free photoelectrochemical aptasensor for bisphenol A based on surface plasmon resonance of gold nanoparticle-sensitized ZnO nanopencils. *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2016**, *86*, 315-320.
- [135] Zhu, Y.-C.; Zhang, N.; Ruan, Y.-F.; Zhao, W.-W.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Alkaline phosphatase tagged antibodies on gold nanoparticles/TiO₂ nanotubes electrode: A plasmonic strategy for label-free and amplified photoelectrochemical immunoassay. *Anal. Chem.*, **2016**, *88*, 5626-5630.
- [136] Shi, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Zhai, T.-T.; Zhou, Y.; Yang, D.-R.; Wang, F.-B.; Xia, X.-H. Localized surface plasmon resonance enhanced label-free photoelectrochemical immunoassay by Au-MoS₂ nanohybrid. *Electrochim. Acta*, **2018**, *271*, 361-369.
- [137] Wang, Y.; Yu, X.; Ye, X.; Wu, K.; Wu, T.; Li, C. Resonance energy transfer between ZnCdHgSe quantum dots and gold nanorods enhancing photoelectrochemical immunosensing of prostate specific antigen. *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2016**, *943*, 106-113.

- [138] Wang, S.-S.; Zhao, X.-P.; Liu, F.-F.; Younis, M. R.; Xia, X.-H.; Wang, C. Direct plasmon-enhanced electrochemistry for enabling ultrasensitive and label-free detection of circulating tumor cells in blood. *Anal. Chem.*, **2019**, *91*, 4413-4420.
- [139] Shu, J.; Qiu, Z.; Lv, S.; Zhang, K.; Tang, D. Plasmonic enhancement coupling with defect-engineered TiO_{2-x} : A mode for sensitive photoelectrochemical biosensing. *Anal. Chem.*, **2018**, *90*, 2425-2429.
- [140] Liu, Y.; Yan, T.; Li, Y.; Cao, W.; Pang, X.; Wu, D.; Wei, Q. A simple label-free photoelectrochemical immunosensor for highly sensitive detection of aflatoxin B1 based on $\text{CdS-Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ magnetic nanocomposites. *RSC Adv.*, **2015**, *5*, 19581-19586.
- [141] Wang, Y.; Fan, D.; Zhao, G.; Feng, J.; Wei, D.; Zhang, N.; Cao, W.; Du, B.; Wei, Q. Ultrasensitive photoelectrochemical immunosensor for the detection of amyloid β -protein based on $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2/\text{Ag}_2\text{S}$ nanocomposites. *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2018**, *120*, 1-7.
- [142] Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Li, R.; Khan, M. S.; Gao, P.; Zhang, Y.; Wei, Q. Visible-light driven photoelectrochemical immunosensor based on $\text{SnS}_2@\text{mpg-C}_3\text{N}_4$ for detection of prostate specific antigen. *Sci. Rep.*, **2017**, *7*, 4629.
- [143] Fan, D.; Wu, D.; Cui, J.; Chen, Y.; Ma, H.; Liu, Y.; Wei, Q.; Du, B. An ultrasensitive label-free immunosensor based on CdS sensitized Fe-TiO_2 with high visible-light photoelectrochemical activity. *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2015**, *74*, 843-848.
- [144] Liu, S.; Cao, H.; Wang, X.; Tu, W.; Dai, Z. Green light excited ultrasensitive photoelectrochemical biosensing for microRNA at a low applied potential based on the dual role of Au NPs in TiO_2 nanorods/Au NPs composites. *Nanoscale*, **2018**, *10*, 16474-16478.

Chapter 3: Porphyrin-based Metal-Organic Framework Coated Titanium Dioxide Nanorod Array for Improved Photoelectrochemical Cell Performance

3.1 Introduction

Fossil fuels currently provide for most of the world's energy needs. However, consumption of fossil fuels releases pollutants and greenhouse gases that cause global climate change. Therefore, new sustainable energy sources are needed to meet the world's increasing energy demand. Solar fuels generated through photoelectrochemical or photocatalytic water-splitting, which represents a sustainable energy source^[1–8]. Unfortunately, commercialization of semiconductor-based photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells (PECs) is still hindered by low solar-to-chemical energy conversion efficiency due to the lack of semiconductors with sufficient sunlight absorption, charge transport properties and catalytic activity for water-splitting^[9]. Titanium dioxide (TiO_2) is a commonly used photocatalyst and photoelectrode material due to its low cost, favorable valence band energetics for water oxidation, ease in forming nanostructures (i.e. nanorods) and photoelectrochemical stability in aqueous electrolytes^[10]. However, TiO_2 has several problems such as a high density of surface trap-states, poor catalytic activity for water oxidation and poor sunlight absorption due to its wide bandgap, which limits its efficiency for solar-water splitting.

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of porous inorganic–organic hybrid materials built with metal–oxo clusters bridged by organic ligands, resulting in a three-dimensional (3D) porous structure^[11]. MOFs can be constructed with various ligands and metal centers as the molecular building blocks, which provides great flexibility for tuning chemical and physical properties^[12–14]. They have the highest specific surface area and pore volume among all porous materials, proved to be a superior platform for immobilization of molecular catalysts on conductive substrates; can be tuned to have high selectivity towards a specific chemical reaction. Theoretical calculation predicts that the optical band gap of MOF semiconductors can be tuned from 1.0 to 5.5 eV^[14]. Therefore, MOFs are appealing candidates for photocatalysts^[15]. The application of MOFs in photoelectrochemical cells as photoelectrodes is rare although particulate MOF photocatalysts have been reported^[11, 12, 16–20]. The photoelectrochemical water splitting behavior of MOFs remains poorly understood.

In this chapter, a thin, uniform and conformal p-type porphyrin-based MOF, known as PCN-225 (Fig. 3.1) is coated on the surface of a n-type TiO_2 nanorod array through a layer-by-layer (LbL) self-assembly method as shown in Fig. 3.2. TCPP (TCPP = tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin) was employed as a ligand; and highly stable Zr_6 clusters were chosen as nodes for the assembly of MOFs. A porphyrinic MOF is selected as the test material because of

its high stability in aqueous solutions favorable band gap and relatively high electronic conductivity compared to other MOF counterparts^[21–27].

Figure 3.1: Chemical structure and synthetic route of the PCN-225 MOF.

Figure 2. Scheme for the synthesis of $\text{TiO}_2\text{@MOF}$ nanorod array photoanode through layer by layer method.

This $\text{TiO}_2\text{@MOF}$ core–shell nanorod array photoanode, which is vertically aligned on a fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) electric contact, allowing for a long optical path-length along the longitudinal axis of the nanorods while maintaining a short path-length for hole transport to the photoanode/electrolyte interface along the radius of the nanorods (the transverse direction), as illustrated in Fig. 3.3. The p-type MOF coating provides a porous surface with more reaction active sites than rutile TiO_2 alone, which is expected to improve the charge injection from the photoanode surface to the electrolyte. The formation of a p–n heterojunction is expected to aid in charge

extraction from the photoanode. Therefore, coating TiO_2 with MOF is expected to improve overall photoelectrochemical water-splitting performance. In this study, surface photovoltage spectroscopy (SPV) and electrochemical analysis are performed to gain fundamental understanding of the roles of MOF in the TiO_2 @Co-MOF nanorod array photoanode.

Figure 3.3: Photoelectrochemical water-splitting with TiO_2 @MOF nanorod array photoanode.

3.2 Results

Figure 3.4 shows the single-crystalline rutile TiO_2 nanorod array that was grown on the FTO substrate through a hydrothermal method. The SEM images reveal that the TiO_2 nanorods were $\sim 2.2 \mu\text{m}$ long with an edge length of $\sim 190 \text{ nm}$ ^[28, 29]. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Fig. 3.4(c)) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (Fig. 3.4(d)) display that there are MOF thin films covered on the surface of TiO_2 . Examination of individual nanorods through high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images (Fig. 4(e)) shows that inner core TiO_2 is completely crystalline along their entire lengths. Lattice fringes with interplanar spacing $d_{110} = 3.18 \text{ \AA}$ are consistent with rutile phase of TiO_2 .

Figure 3.4: Microstructure of the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ nanorod array. (a) Top-view SEM Images of TiO_2 nanorod array; (b) Cross-section SEM Image of TiO_2 NR array; (c) Top-view of $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ nanorod array; (d) TEM and (e) HRTEM images of a $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ nanorod.

The optimized thickness of MOF layer was ~ 8 nm with 5 repeated coating cycles based on the photocurrent density–voltage (J – V) curves for $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ samples (Fig. 3.5). All subsequent data regarding the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ samples refer to samples with the optimized 5 coating cycles for the rest of this manuscript unless otherwise denoted. The MOF structure has an interplanar spacings of $d_{062} = 2.75$ Å, coinciding its ordered structure. After the introducing of Co into the MOF, the morphology of the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ doesn't change (Fig. 3.6). X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to characterize the crystal structure of TiO_2 nanoarray and MOF coating on TiO_2 nanoarray. Fig. 3.7 displays the XRD pattern of the bare TiO_2 nanoarray grown on the FTO substrate. All the diffraction peaks, which appear upon TiO_2 nanorod growth, agree well with the tetragonal rutile phase. The $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ coating in Fig. 3.8 was prepared in a relatively large thickness (30 cycles of coating) to increase the XRD intensity. It can be seen that the XRD pattern matched well with the simulated pattern of PCN-225^[30], which can be indexed as the (011), (013), (112) and (121) planes of PCN-225, demonstrating the presence of an ordered and preferentially oriented crystalline PCN-225 on the surface of TiO_2 . In addition, an XRD pattern was acquired from the powder sample of PCN-225, which indicated that the MOF coating exhibited the same crystal structure with the bulk counterpart.

Figure 3.5: Photoelectrochemical performance of the TiO₂ nanorod array and the TiO₂@MOF nanorod arrays with different numbers of MOF coating layers.

Figure 3.6: HRTEM image of a TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod.

Figure 3.7: Powder X-ray diffraction profiles for the bare TiO_2 nanorods on FTO substrate.

Figure 3.8: Powder X-ray diffraction profiles for the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}(\text{PCN-225})$, the PCN-225 powder and the simulated PCN-225. The simulated PCN-225 was taken from the literature (Jiang, H-L., Feng, D. W., Wang, K. C., Gu, Z-Y., Wei, Z. W., Chen, Y-P., Zhou, H-C., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 13934).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed to confirm the growth and chemical composition of MOF structure. In the spectra of $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ nanorod array in Fig. 3.9, the peaks corresponding to C 1s (284.8 eV), N 1s (398.2 and 400.3 eV),

Zr 3d (182.5 eV) clearly indicate the presence of Zr-based porphyrin MOFs on the TiO₂ nanorod array surface. The carbon and nitrogen elements were exclusively attributed to the porphyrin molecules while the zirconium element came from the metal clusters in the MOF. The C 1s core-level XPS spectrum can be deconvoluted into three subcomponents at 284.8, 286.6, and 288.8 eV (Fig. 3.9(a) in the ESM), corresponding to the C–C, C–O and –COOH bonds, respectively. The N 1s peak (Fig. 3.9(c)) was fitted to two subcomponents at 398.2 eV (C=N) and 400.3 eV (C–NH)^[31]. A small signal corresponding to Ti 2p (458.7 eV) was detected, which indicated that the MOF coating was slightly thinner than the maximum escape depth for photoelectrons from the sample. This was consistent with the MOF layer thickness obtained from the HRTEM image in Fig. 3.4(e). After introducing of cobalt into the MOF coating to form the TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod array, a new peak corresponding to Co 2p was found at 781.7 eV (Fig. 3.10(f)). Based on the 15.0 eV doublet separation energy between Co 2p_{3/2} and Co 2p_{1/2}, the introduced cobalt ions were determined to be in the Co³⁺ state. In addition, a 0.2 eV shift in binding energy for both N sub-peaks indicated that the Co(III) was coordinated within the center of the porphyrin ligands^[32]. FTIR spectra in Fig. 3.11 show significant difference between the TiO₂@-MOF and the TiO₂@Co-MOF samples. The N–H bond stretching and bending frequencies of TCPP located at 994 cm^{−1} decreased dramatically after cobalt ions were incorporated with porphyrin, which indicates the formation of a cobalt porphyrin compound. No changes were observed for the peaks at 1656 cm^{−1} (C=N), 1287 cm^{−1} (C–O), 857 cm^{−1} (C–H bending) attributed to the porphyrin molecules^[33, 34]

Figure 3.9: XPS Spectra for TiO₂@MOF samples; (a) C1s, (b) O1s, (c) N1s, (d) Zr3d, (e) Ti2p, (f) Co2p.

Figure 3.10: XPS Spectra for $\text{TiO}_2\text{@Co-MOF}$ samples; (a) C1s , (b) O1s , (c) N1s , (d) Zr3d , (e) Ti2p , (f) Co2p .

Figure 3.11: FTIR Spectra for $\text{TiO}_2\text{@MOF}$, $\text{TiO}_2\text{@Co-MOF}$ samples.

Photoelectrochemical testing was performed on the TiO_2 and $\text{TiO}_2\text{@MOF}$ samples (Fig. 3.12(a)). Dark currents of the TiO_2 , $\text{TiO}_2\text{@MOF}$, $\text{TiO}_2\text{@Co-MOF}$ samples indicate that the water oxidation reaction only occurred under light illumination. The J - V curves show that there was

significant enhancement in photocurrent density after MOF was coated onto the TiO₂ nanorod array. The bare TiO₂ nanorod array displayed a photocurrent density of 1.09 mA/cm² at 1.23 V (vs. RHE). The photocurrent density of the TiO₂@MOF core-shell nanorod array increased to 1.99 mA/cm² at 1.23 V, which was 1.8 times greater than that of the TiO₂ nanorod array. After Co³⁺ was introduced into the porphyrin, the photocurrent density of TiO₂@Co-MOF sample exhibited 2.93 mA/cm² at 1.23 V, which was approximately 2.7 times greater than that of the TiO₂ nanorod array alone. It is worth noting that the onset potentials for all the three samples were the same (Fig. 3.12(a)).

Figure 3.12: Photoelectrochemical performance of nanorod array photoanodes in 0.2 M Na₂SO₄ (pH=7). (a) Photocurrent-applied potential (*J*-*V*) curves for TiO₂, TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod arrays under irradiation of the full-spectrum unfiltered Xe lamp light; (b) Chronoamperometry (*J*-*t*) curves at 0 V (vs. Ag|AgCl); (c) ln(*D*)-*t* plots for TiO₂, TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod arrays.

Mott-Schottky (M-S) experiments were performed to clarify the nature of the junction formed between TiO₂ and MOF. The negative linear slope on the M-S plot for the porphyrin MOF film on FTO glass (Fig. 3.13(a)) indicates that the porphyrin MOF alone showed a p-type semiconducting behavior. The p-type nature of this MOF was confirmed by the negative in-phase and out-of-phase surface photovoltage (SPV) signals for the MOF alone (Fig. 3.14). The positive linear slope on the M-S plot proved the n-type nature of the TiO₂ nanorod array (Fig. 3.13(b)). The “inverted V” M-S curves suggested the formation of the p-n junction between MOF and TiO₂ in the TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF samples (Figs. 3.13(c) and 3.13(d))^[35]. Given the thin nature (~ 8 nm) of the MOF coating on the TiO₂ nanorods, the p-type MOF layer is likely fully-depleted. There is insufficient thickness for a barrier to hole-injection to electrolyte to form while the built-in electric field can still form between the TiO₂ core and MOF shell, which will help drive the photogenerated holes from TiO₂ into the MOF layer. In addition, there was no evident difference in the flat-band potential among the TiO₂, TiO₂@MOF, and TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod arrays according to the M-S plots.

Figure 3.13: Mott-Schottky (M-S) plot measurement. (a) MOF film on FTO glass; (b) TiO₂ nanorod array; (c) TiO₂@MOF; and (d) TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod array.

Figure 3.14: (a) Surface photovoltage (SPV) amplitude and (b) in-phase (X) and out-of-phase (Y) components of the SPV signal for MOF film on FTO glass.

To understand the roles of the MOF coating with and without Co(III) in enhancing the overall photocurrent density of PEC, the transient photocurrent ($J-t$ curves) was measured at 0 V (vs. Ag/AgCl, 0.61 V vs. RHE) to investigate the charge recombination behavior at a potential where photocurrent onset has just started for all samples. The $J-t$ curves in Fig. 3.12(b) were used to construct the plots of the natural logarithm of dimensionless parameter (D) to determine the apparent charge carrier lifetime (τ_{rec}) in each sample (see Equation 3 in Section 3.4.6.2 for

calculation). τ_{rec} was 0.16 s for the TiO₂ nanorod array alone. τ_{rec} increased to 0.29 s after coating MOF onto TiO₂, and further increased to 1.26 s after Co³⁺ was introduced into the MOF (Fig. 3.12(c)). An increase in τ_{rec} indicated that coating of MOF or Co-MOF on the TiO₂ nanorod array facilitated the charge extraction inside the photoanode and the charge injection from the photoanode to the electrolyte, which in turn resulted in significant enhancement in photocurrent density.

To determine the relative enhancement of the charge injection and the charge separation by the MOF and Co-MOF coatings on the TiO₂ nanorod array, J – V curves were acquired in the Na₂SO₄ aqueous solutions in the presence and absence of triethanolamine (TEOA) under illumination of a 300 W Xe lamp (Fig. 3.15). The incident light was unfiltered for measuring charge injection efficiencies and filtered using a 275–375 nm bandpass filter for measuring relative charge separation efficiency enhancement. The total steady-state water oxidation photocurrent density ($J_{\text{photocurrent/H}_2\text{O}}$) generated in the aqueous electrolyte is expressed as:^[36]

$$J_{\text{photocurrent/H}_2\text{O}} = J_{\text{absorb}} \times P_{\text{sep}} \times P_{\text{inject}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where J_{absorb} is the theoretical maximum photocurrent determined by the optical band gap and optical absorption coefficient of the photoanode; P_{sep} is the charge separation efficiency that reflects the fraction of the photogenerated holes reaching the photoanode/ electrolyte interface; and P_{inject} is the charge injection efficiency that reflects the fraction of the photogenerated holes injected to the redox pair in the electrolyte. If 10 vol.% TEOA is present in the electrolyte as a hole scavenger, P_{inject} can be considered as approximately 100% due to the fast oxidation kinetics of TEOA^[36, 37]. Based on this, P_{inject} can be calculated by taking the ratio of the photocurrent densities of the photoanode in electrolyte without and with TEOA. P_{inject} was estimated to be 58%, 71% and 88% at 1.23 V (vs. RHE) for the TiO₂, TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF photoanodes, respectively. The low charge injection efficiency indicated the abundant surface trap-states on bare TiO₂. Coating TiO₂ with MOF and Co-MOF passivated the surface trap-states, and the porous MOF favored the charge injection into the electrolyte. To determine the relative change in charge separation efficiency, the photocurrent density was measured in the TEOA-containing electrolyte under 275–375 nm bandpass filtered illumination to minimize the differences in J_{absorb} between sample types.

Figure 3.15: Charge injection efficiency for the PECs with different photoanodes in the Na_2SO_4 solution electrolyte in the presence and absence of TEOA. (a) J-V curves obtained from the PEC with the TiO_2 photoanode; (b) J-V curves obtained from the PEC with the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ photoanode; (c) J-V curves obtained from the PEC with the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ photoanode; (d) charge injection efficiency for TiO_2 , $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$, $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ photoanodes in the Na_2SO_4 solution electrolyte.

The relative enhancement in charge separation efficiency can be found by taking the ratio of the photocurrent density in the TEOA-containing electrolyte for the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ or $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ with respect to the bare TiO_2 nanorod array. Figure 3.16 reveals the resulting J - V curves in TEOA electrolyte and the relative charge separation efficiency enhancement by the MOF and Co-MOF. The $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ sample provided a charge separation efficiency of 2.7 times larger than the bare TiO_2 photoanode. In short, the overall photocurrent enhancement in the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ or $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ samples were ascribed to the relative changes in both the charge separation and the charge injection efficiencies.

Figure 3.16. Charge separation efficiency enhancement in the photoanodes under incident light at the window of 275–375 nm. (a) J–V curves obtained from the PEC with the TiO₂, TiO₂@MOF, TiO₂@Co-MOF photoanodes in the TEOA-containing Na₂SO₄ solution electrolyte; (b) enhancement factors for the charge separation.

The incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectrum was measured to correlate the quantum efficiency with the wavelength of the incident light (Fig. 3.17). Figure 3.17(a) displays the ultraviolet–visible (UV–Vis) spectra of the three photoanodes. A significant increase in IPCE was observed in the TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF samples with reference to the bare TiO₂ nanorod array (Fig. 3.17(b)). In the wavelength range of 320–420 nm, the IPCE enhancement factor was obtained by the IPCE ratio of TiO₂@MOF (or TiO₂@Co-MOF) to TiO₂; and its value was 10.8 at 350 nm for the TiO₂@Co-MOF, and decreased to a nearly constant of ~1.8 in the range of 420–580 nm (Fig. 3.17(d)). Close examination of the IPCE at $\lambda \geq 420$ nm (Fig. 3.17(c)), where the TiO₂ nanorod array had negligible light absorption, the TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF showed only a small IPCE ($\leq 0.06\%$ at wavelengths between 460 and 580 nm). The IPCE was very small for both the TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF samples in this spectral range. Although coating MOF and Co-MOF onto TiO₂ extended the light absorption spectral range up to 700 nm (Fig. 3.17(a)), the extension of light absorption range by the MOF coating was not responsible for the overall photocurrent enhancement in the PECs with the TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF photoanodes. In other words, light absorption by the MOF had negligible contribution to photo-generation of electrons and holes in the MOF layer. This was not surprising because the MOF layer was only 8 nm thick, which led to a limited optical path length in the MOF layer. Instead, the photocurrent enhancement by the MOF coating onto TiO₂ was mainly attributed to the IPCE increase in the spectra range below 420 nm, which came from an improvement in the charge separation efficiency and charge injection efficiency. The applied bias photon-to-current efficiency (ABPE)^[38] was shown in Fig. 3.18.

Figure 3.17: Optical absorption and IPCE measurement. (a) UV-Vis absorbance spectra; (b) IPCE spectra for TiO₂, TiO₂@MOF, and TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod arrays; (c) Magnified IPCE spectra between 460 nm and 580 nm for all samples; (d) IPCE enhancement for the TiO₂@MOF, and TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod array.

Figure 3.18: The applied bias photon-to-current efficiency (ABPE) of TiO₂, TiO₂@MOF, and TiO₂@Co-MOF samples.

It is important to reiterate that an unfiltered 300 W Xe lamp with an output power density set to 100 mW/cm^2 was used as the illumination source for all measured J - V and J - t curves. The resulting power of incident light exceeded the normal incident illumination power of the solar AM1.5 spectrum. As such, the photocurrent density obtained for the TiO_2 @MOF and TiO_2 @Co-MOF samples in Fig. 3.12(b) exceeded the theoretical maximum achievable photocurrent density for rutile TiO_2 under AM1.5 illumination is 1.8 mA/cm^2 [39]. The J - V curves under irradiation of the simulated AM 1.5G light source are provided for better comparison with the literature (Fig. 3.19). The bare TiO_2 nanorod array displayed a photocurrent density of 0.84 mA/cm^2 at 1.23 V (vs. RHE), which was comparable with the data in Refs. [40, 41].

Figure 3.19: Photoelectrochemical performance of the nanorod array photoanodes. (a) Photocurrent-applied potential (J - V) curves for the TiO_2 , TiO_2 @MOF and TiO_2 @Co-MOF nanorod arrays under irradiation of the simulated AM 1.5G light source.

Surface photovoltage spectroscopy is a nondestructive surface characterizing technique by monitoring change in the surface potential developed under light illumination^[42–44]. In this chapter, surface photovoltage spectroscopy is used to determine the magnitude of photogenerated surface charge in the TiO_2 and TiO_2 @MOF samples as a function of the wavelength of incident light. It can be seen from Fig. 3.20(a) that the TiO_2 nanorod array exhibited a small, positive in-phase (X) surface photovoltage signal, which was consistent with the expected SPV behavior of n-type semiconductors. In addition, a large negative out-of-phase (Y) surface photovoltage signal indicated the slow electron transport towards the FTO back contact of the TiO_2 nanorod array (Fig. 3.20(a)). Hence, the TiO_2 nanorod array exhibited the smallest overall SPV amplitude among all three samples (Fig. 3.20(d)). For the TiO_2 @MOF nanorod array (Fig. 3.20(b)), a significant enhancement in the positive in-phase (X) SPV signal was observed along with a decrease in the negative out-of-phase (Y) SPV signal. As a result, the overall SPV amplitude of the TiO_2 @MOF sample was greater than that of TiO_2 alone (Fig. 3.20(d)). This indicated that the MOF coating promoted the charge separation and the charge extraction out of the photoanode. Introduction of cobalt into the MOF (TiO_2 @Co-MOF) further increased the overall SPV amplitude (Fig. 3.20(d)).

In addition, the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ and $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ samples showed an evident SPV signal in the visible-light range ($\lambda \geq 440$ nm). These surface charges must come from the MOF layer because only the MOF layer can absorb light in this spectral range, which was concluded from the comparison of Fig. 3.20(d) with Fig. 3.17(a). Keeping in mind that the IPCE value in the same spectral range ($\lambda \geq 440$ nm) was very small (Figs. 3.17(b) and 3.17(c)), it can be concluded that photogenerated charge carriers accounted for a very small portion in the overall photocurrent in the PEC because the MOF layer was too thin (8 nm thick). In short, herein MOF did not act as a photosensitizer. Instead, it just assisted the extraction of the photogenerated carriers out of TiO_2 and promoted the injection of these carriers into the electrolyte. The internal electric field at the p–n junction reduced the charge recombination rate, as confirmed by the extended τ_{rec} (Fig. 3.12(c)) and promoted the charge mobility of the photogenerated charge carriers in the photoanode as shown by the SPV spectra. Coating TiO_2 with MOF reduced the trapping of photogenerated holes at the photoanode/electrolyte interface and favored the charge injection into the electrolyte. Incorporation of Co(III) into MOF further improved the charge mobility of the photogenerated charge carriers in the photoanode and enhanced the charge injection into the electrolyte.

Figure 3.20: Surface photovoltage (SPV) measurement. (a) in-phase (X) and out-of-phase (Y) components of the SPV signal for TiO_2 nanorod array on FTO glass; (b) in-phase (X) and out-of-phase (Y) components of the SPV signal for $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ nanorod array; (c) in-phase (X) and out-of-phase (Y) components of the SPV signal for $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ nanorod array; (d) SPV amplitude comparison of TiO_2 , $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$, and $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ nanorod arrays.

Finally, the stability of the photoanodes during photoelectrochemical operation was tested. The TiO_2 , $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$ and $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ samples were operated continuously at 1.23 V (vs. RHE) for 3 h under full spectrum illumination (Fig. 3.21). The test results showed that the

TiO₂@MOF arrays exhibited excellent stability during operation for photoelectrochemical water-splitting. It was observed that bubbles were generated and attached on the surface of TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod photoanode, which caused the noises to the $J-t$ curve. However, a smooth curved was obtained under stirring. SEM images were taken from the TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF samples after the stability tests (Fig. 3.22). The MOF thin films were still covered on the surface of TiO₂, which indicated that the MOF layer was stable under irradiation and bias. Given all experiments were performed in neutral electrolyte solutions, this porphyrin-based MOF may be useful for passivation of semiconductor photoanode materials with poor stability in neutral electrolytes, such as BiVO₄ and CuWO₄^[45,46].

Figure 3.21: Stability tests for different nanorod array photoanodes. (a) TiO₂, (b) TiO₂@MOF, (c) TiO₂@Co-MOF.

Figure 3.22: SEM images of (a) TiO₂@MOF, and (b) TiO₂@Co-MOF samples after stability test.

3.3 Conclusions

In summary, a p–n heterojunction photoanode was formed by coating a conformal thin p-type porphyrin-based MOF or Co-MOF onto the n-type TiO₂ nanorod array. The MOF coating and subsequent introduction of Co³⁺ into the MOF resulted in a 2.7 times enhancement in photocurrent density at 1.23 V (vs. RHE) with respect to the bare TiO₂ nanorod array. The photocurrent enhancement for the TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF has been attributed to multiple factors. Charge separation and hole extraction from TiO₂ into the MOF layer was improved due to the built-in electric field at the p–n junction between TiO₂ and MOF. Also, the MOF coating improved remarkably the charge injection into the electrolyte. Incorporation of Co(III) into MOF further improved the charge mobility of the photogenerated charge carriers in the photoanode, enhanced the charge injection into the electrolyte. This chapter has demonstrated MOFs have a great promise in solar water-splitting.

3.4 Methods

3.4.1 Materials

All chemicals were purchased from without further purification. FTO glass and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 99.5+%) were purchased from Sigma-Millipore. Titanium n-butoxide (99+%), hydrochloric acid (36% w/w), ammonium hydroxide (28% w/v NH₃), zirconium(IV) chloride (ZrCl₄, 99.5+%), cobalt(II) chloride hexahydrate (CoCl₂ · 6H₂O, ACS, 98%–102%), diethylformamide (DEF, anhydrous, 99.8%), and TEOA (98+%) were purchased from Alfa-Aesar. Anhydrous sodium sulfate was purchased from Amresco. Tetra-meso(4-carboxyphenyl) porphyrine (TCPP) was purchased from Frontier Scientific.

3.4.2 Growth of TiO₂ nanorod array on FTO

Oriented rutile TiO₂ nanowire arrays were grown on FTO substrate through a hydrothermal method^[28]. Typically, 1.5 mL of titanium n-butoxide was dissolved with 60 mL of 6 M hydrochloric acid. After stirring for 30 min, the solution was transferred into a 125 mL of Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave (Parr Instruments 4748), four pieces of pre-cleaned FTO glass substrates (2.0 cm x 1.25 cm x 2 mm) were placed into the autoclave. The hydrothermal reaction was conducted in an electronic oven at 180 °C for 2.5 h. After the reaction, the TiO₂ covered FTO glass was rinsed with deionized water and ethanol, dried with an air flow, and sintered in a furnace at 450 °C for 1 h. After sintering, the TiO₂ nanorod arrays were further immersed in a “Base Piranha” (H₂O₂:NH₃ · H₂O:H₂O (v:v:v = 1:1:5) solution to improve the their hydrophilicity for subsequent MOF coating.

3.4.3 Fabrication of p–n TiO₂@MOF nanorod arrays

Thin films of porphyrin-based PCN-225 MOF on TiO₂ nanorod arrays were fabricated using a LbL approach. The LbL approach provides a direct route to MOFs containing metal-free porphyrins. In a typical procedure, the TiO₂ nanorod arrays were alternately immersed in a 0.5 mM TCPP in ethanol solution followed by a 2 mM ZrCl₄ in ethanol solution at 40 °C for 10 min intervals. The immersion process was subsequently repeated for 5 cycles to form a MOF layer of a given thickness denoted as TiO₂@MOF 5 Cycles for the rest of this manuscript. TiO₂@MOF samples were subsequently heated at 150 °C under an N₂ gas environment to promote better contact between the TiO₂ nanorods and PCN-225 MOF coating^[26, 27, 30].

3.4.4 Co³⁺ functionalization of p–n TiO₂@MOF nanorod array on FTO

Co³⁺ ions were incorporated into the center of TCPP molecule in the PCN-225 MOF structure to form a Co-TCPP complex, synthesized according to the previous literature^[47]. Briefly, TiO₂@MOF nanorod arrays on FTO glass were placed into a 0.24 mM CoCl₂ · 6H₂O in DMSO solution, followed by refluxing 138 °C for 12 h. The resulting Co-functionalized TiO₂@MOF samples were referred to as TiO₂@Co-MOF. After the solution was cooled to room temperature, the TiO₂@Co-MOF on FTO glass was removed, washed with ethanol, and dried by an air flow.

3.4.5 Synthesis of porphyrin MOF and Co-MOF powder

ZrCl₄ (70 mg), TCPP (50 mg) and benzoic acid (2,700 mg) were added into 8 mL of DEF, ultrasonically dissolved. The mixture was heated in 120 °C oven for 48 h. After the reaction, red needle shaped crystals were harvested by filtration (35 mg, 47% yield)^[30].

3.4.6 Characterization

Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images were taken with a JEOL JSM-7600 with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. TEM images were taken with a JEOL JEM 2100F. The UV–Vis absorption spectra were measured by the diffuse-reflection mode with Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrometer equipped with an integrating sphere (UV 2401/2, Shimadzu). XPS measurements were performed with Physical Electronics PHI 5000 Versa Probe to analyze the chemical state and atomic concentrations of elements. Binding energies (BE) were calibrated at adventitious carbon BE of 284.8 eV.

3.4.6.1 Photoelectrochemical measurements

The performance of the PEC was measured with a three-electrode configuration using a Gamry Reference 3000 Potentiostat/Galvanostat/ZRA Instrument. An aqueous electrolyte

containing 0.2 M Na₂SO₄ (pH = 7) was purged with N₂ for 30 min prior to measurement. The TiO₂, TiO₂@MOF and TiO₂@Co-MOF nanorod arrays were used as the working electrode with a Pt wire as the counter electrode and the Ag/AgCl (Sat. KCl, $E^\circ = +0.1976$ V vs. NHE) as the reference electrode. An unfiltered 300 W Xe lamp was used as the light source. The choice to use an unfiltered Xe lamp was made to preserve UV light at wavelengths below 370 nm that are cut-off by a traditional AM1.5 filter in PEC experiments^[48]. As the AM1.5G spectrum does contain non-negligible amounts of light at wavelengths below 370 nm and the TiO₂ nanorod arrays have a significant part of their total light absorption confined to the UV part of the spectrum below 370 nm. The potential vs. RHE was calculated with a reference to Ag/AgCl according to the Nernst equation.^[43]

$$E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.05916\text{pH} + E_0, \quad (3.2)$$

where E_{RHE} is the potential vs. RHE, $E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}}$ is the measured potential vs. Ag/AgCl, and $E_0 = 0.1976$ V at 25 °C.

3.4.6.2 Transient photocurrent density measurements

Transient photocurrent density measurements were performed at 0 V vs. Ag/AgCl for all samples. The obtained transient photocurrents were then processed to make plots of the natural logarithm of D , a dimensionless parameter calculated by the following equation.^[49]

$$\ln(D) = \frac{J(t) - J_{ss}}{J_{in} - J_{ss}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $J(t)$ is the photocurrent density at a given time (t), J_{ss} is the steady-state photocurrent density at a given time, and J_{in} is the initial photocurrent density once light illumination begins. The nominal charge recombination lifetime for each sample (τ_{rec}) was taken as the time at which $\ln(D) = -1$.

3.4.6.3 Wavelength-dependent IPCE

IPCE was measured with a 300W Xe lamp with an aligned monochromator (Oriel Cornerstone 130 1/8m). The IPCE was calculated according to Equation (3.4):^[50, 51]

$$IPCE = \frac{1240J}{\lambda \cdot I_{\text{light}}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where J is the measured photocurrent density at +0.6 V vs. Ag/AgCl at a certain wavelength (λ), and I_{light} is the irradiance intensity at the specific wavelength (λ).

3.4.6.4 M-S plots

M-S plots were obtained with a three-electrode cell at an alternating current (AC) frequency of 5 kHz using an AC amplitude of 20 mV. The capacitance (C) was calculated from the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) spectra at a potential according to Equation (3.5):^[50, 51]

$$Z_{img} = \frac{1}{2\pi f C}, \quad (3.5)$$

where Z_{img} is the imaginary part of the impedance and, f is the frequency, and C is the capacitance. The M-S plots were then generated with the capacitance value normalized with the exposed planar surface area of the electrode. Flat-band potentials were obtained from the M-S plots ($1/C^2$ vs. potential) by linearly interpolating the linear region of the M-S plots to its intercept with the potential axis.

3.4.6.5 Surface photovoltage spectroscopy

Surface photovoltage spectroscopy were performed on samples by placing a transparent FTO electrode on the TiO_2 and TiO_2 @MOF nanorod array on FTO glass samples with air/insulator as a dielectric medium in between sample and FTO electrode to form a parallel plate capacitor. In the case of PCN-225 MOF powder, a small amount of PCN-225 powder was sandwiched between two FTO electrodes. The samples were illuminated with chopped monochromatic light ($f_{chop} = 35$ Hz) at varying wavelengths. The surface charge is collected through lock-in amplifier (Stanford Research SR830) as in phase (X) and out of phase (Y) signals. The in phase (X -signal) and out of phase (Y -signal, 90 shift) give information about the instantaneous charge collected on the sample surface/quartz + FTO electrode interface and the time response of the charge separation respectively^[42]. The SPV amplitude is the square root of the sum of the squares of the X and Y signals^[52, 53].

$$\text{SPV amplitude} = (X^2 + Y^2)^{1/2}, \quad (3.6)$$

where X is in-phase signal with respect to modulated input light illumination and Y is out-of-phase signal shifted by 90° with respect to modulated input light illumination.

3.4.6.6 Calculation of charge injection efficiency and charge separation efficiency

The charge injection efficiency and charge separation efficiency can be evaluated as follows: The total steady-state water oxidation photocurrent density ($J_{\text{photocurrent}/\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) generated in the aqueous electrolyte is expressed as:

$$J_{\text{photocurrent}/\text{H}_2\text{O}} = J_{\text{absorb}} \times P_{\text{sep}} \times P_{\text{inject}}, \quad (3.7)$$

where J_{absorb} is the photocurrent density that result from an absorbed photon conversion efficiency

(APCE) of 100%. P_{sep} and P_{inject} are the charge separation efficiency and the charge injection efficiency, respectively.

For oxidation of TEOA, P_{inject} is considered to be approximately 100% at all potentials, then:

$$J_{photocurrent/TEOA} = J_{absorb} \times P_{sep}. \quad (3.8)$$

The charge injection efficiency P_{inject} for TiO_2 , $\text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}$, $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ photoanodes can be expressed as:

$$P_{inject} = J_{photocurrent/H_2O} / J_{photocurrent/TEOA}. \quad (3.9)$$

The charge separation efficiency enhancement factor in the presence of MOF coating and Co-MOF coating can be expressed as:

$$P_{sep, \text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}} / P_{sep, \text{TiO}_2} = J_{photocurrent/TEOA, \text{TiO}_2@\text{MOF}} / J_{photocurrent/TEOA, \text{TiO}_2}, \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$P_{sep, \text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}} / P_{sep, \text{TiO}_2} = J_{photocurrent/TEOA, \text{Co-TiO}_2@\text{MOF}} / J_{photocurrent/TEOA, \text{TiO}_2}. \quad (3.11)$$

Applied bias photoconversion efficiency (ABPE) represents the photoelectrode performance as a function of the applied potential. The ABPE efficiency is calculated by:

$$\text{ABPE (\%)} = J_{ph} \frac{(1.23 \text{ V} - V_{app})}{\rho} \times 100, \quad (3.12)$$

where J_{ph} is the measured photocurrent density under AM1.5G illumination, 1.23 V is the standard state reversible potential of H_2O oxidation, V_{app} is the applied potential during the measurement of the photocurrent density versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) potential, ρ is the total integrated optical power input density (100 mW/cm^2) using AM1.5G illumination (M. Shaban, M. Rabia, A.M.A. El-Sayed, A. Ahmed, S. Sayed, *Sci. Rep.*, **2017**, 7, 14100.). J-V curve data under AM1.5G illumination (Figure S13) was used for calculating the APBE.

3.5 References

- [1] Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode. *Nature* **1972**, 238, 37–38.
- [2] Kang, D.; Kim, T. W.; Kubota, S. R.; Cardiel, A. C.; Cha, H. G.; Choi, K.S. Electrochemical synthesis of photoelectrodes and catalysts for use in solar water splitting. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, 115, 12839–12887.
- [3] Dresselhaus, M. S.; Thomas, I. L. Alternative energy technologies. *Nature* **2001**, 414, 332–337.

- [4] Tachibana, Y.; Vayssieres, L.; Durrant, J. R. Artificial photosynthesis for solar water-splitting. *Nat. Photonics* **2012**, *6*, 511–518.
- [5] Walter, M. G.; Warren, E. L.; McKone, J. R.; Boettcher, S. W.; Mi, Q. X.; Santori, E. A.; Lewis, N. S. Solar water splitting cells. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 6446–6473.
- [6] Katz, M. J.; Riha, S. C.; Jeong, N. C.; Martinson, A. B. F.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T. Toward solar fuels: Water splitting with sunlight and “rust”? *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *256*, 2521–2529.
- [7] Mayer, M. T.; Du, C.; Wang, D. W. Hematite/si nanowire dual-absorber system for photoelectrochemical water splitting at low applied potentials. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 12406–12409.
- [8] Chernomordik, B. D.; Russell, H. B.; Cvelbar, U.; Jasinski, J. B.; Kumar, V.; Deutsch, T.; Sunkara, M. K. Photoelectrochemical activity of as-grown, α -Fe₂O₃ nanowire array electrodes for water splitting. *Nanotechnology* **2012**, *23*, 194009.
- [9] Osterloh, F. E. Inorganic nanostructures for photoelectrochemical and photocatalytic water splitting. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 2294–2320.
- [10] Zhang, Y. H.; Tang, Z. R.; Fu, X. Z.; Xu, Y. J. TiO₂-graphene nanocomposites for gas-phase photocatalytic degradation of volatile aromatic pollutant: Is TiO₂-graphene truly different from other TiO₂-carbon composite materials? *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4*, 7303–7314.
- [11] Zhou, H. C.; Long, J. R.; Yaghi, O. M. Introduction to metal-organic frameworks. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 673–674.
- [12] Xia, W.; Mahmood, A.; Zou, R. Q.; Xu, Q. Metal-organic frameworks and their derived nanostructures for electrochemical energy storage and conversion. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 1837–1866.
- [13] Wang, W.; Xu, X. M.; Zhou, W.; Shao, Z. P. Recent progress in metal-organic frameworks for applications in electrocatalytic and photocatalytic water splitting. *Adv. Sci.* **2017**, *4*, 1600371.
- [14] Kuc, A.; Enyashin, A.; Seifert, G. Metal-organic frameworks: Structural, energetic, electronic, and mechanical properties. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**, *111*, 8179–8186.
- [15] Zhang, P.; Guan, B. Y.; Yu, L.; Lou, X. W. Facile synthesis of multi-shelled ZnS-CdS cages with enhanced photoelectrochemical performance for solar energy conversion. *Chem* **2018**, *4*, 162–173.
- [16] Zhang, H. B.; Nai, J. W.; Yu, L.; Lou, X. W. Metal-organic-framework-based materials as platforms for renewable energy and environmental applications. *Joule* **2017**, *1*, 77–107.
- [17] Wu, H. B.; Lou, X. W. Metal-organic frameworks and their derived materials for electrochemical energy storage and conversion: Promises and challenges. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*, eaap9252.

- [18] Wang, W.; Xu, X. M.; Zhou, W.; Shao, Z. P. Recent progress in metal-organic frameworks for applications in electrocatalytic and photocatalytic water splitting. *Adv. Sci.* **2017**, *4*, 1600371.
- [19] Zhang, L. P.; Cui, P.; Yang, H. B.; Chen, J. Z.; Xiao, F. X.; Guo, Y. Y.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, W. N.; Huo, F. W.; Liu, B. Metal-organic frameworks as promising photosensitizers for photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Adv. Sci.* **2016**, *3*, 1500243.
- [20] Dou, Y. B.; Zhou, J.; Zhou, A. W.; Li, J. R.; Nie, Z. R. Visible-light responsive MOF encapsulation of noble-metal-sensitized semiconductors for high-performance photoelectrochemical water splitting. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 19491–19498.
- [21] Otsuki, J. Supramolecular approach towards light-harvesting materials based on porphyrins and chlorophylls. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2018**, *6*, 6710–6753.
- [22] Gao, W. Y.; Chrzanowski, M.; Ma, S. Q. Metal-metalloporphyrin frameworks: A resurging class of functional materials. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 5841–5866.
- [23] Zhao, M.; Ou, S.; Wu, C. D. Porous metal-organic frameworks for heterogeneous biomimetic catalysis. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 1199–1207.
- [24] Huh, S.; Kim, S. J.; Kim, Y. Porphyrinic metal-organic frameworks from custom-designed porphyrins. *CrystEngComm* **2016**, *18*, 345–368.
- [25] Farha, O. K.; Shultz, A. M.; Sarjeant, A. A.; Nguyen, S. T.; Hupp, J. T. Active-site accessible, porphyrinic metal-organic framework materials. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 5652–5655.
- [26] Deria, P.; Bury, W.; Hupp, J. T.; Farha, O. K. Versatile functionalization of the NU-1000 platform by solvent-assisted ligand incorporation. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 1965–1968.
- [27] So, M. C.; Jin, S. Y.; Son, H. J.; Wiederrecht, G. P.; Farha, O. K.; Hupp, J. T. Layer-by-layer fabrication of oriented porous thin films based on porphyrin-containing metal-organic frameworks. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 15698–15701.
- [28] Liu, B.; Aydil, E. S. Growth of oriented single-crystalline rutile TiO₂ nanorods on transparent conducting substrates for dye-sensitized solar cells. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 3985–3990.
- [29] Li, J. T.; Hoffmann, M. W. G.; Shen, H.; Fabrega, C.; Prades, J. D.; Andreu, T.; Hernandez-Ramirez, F.; Mathur, S. Enhanced photoelectrochemical activity of an excitonic staircase in CdS@TiO₂ and CdS@anatase@rutile TiO₂ heterostructures. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2012**, *22*, 20472–20476.
- [30] Jiang, H. L.; Feng, D. W.; Wang, K. C.; Gu, Z. Y.; Wei, Z. W.; Chen, Y. P.; Zhou, H. C. An exceptionally stable, porphyrinic Zr metal-organic framework exhibiting pH-dependent fluorescence. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 13934–13938.
- [31] Yang, H.; Zhang, S. L.; Han, L. H.; Zhang, Z.; Xue, Z.; Gao, J.; Li, Y. J.; Huang, C. H.; Yi, Y. P.; Liu, H. B. et al. High conductive two-dimensional covalent organic framework for lithium storage with large capacity. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 5366–5375.

- [32] Sarno, D. M.; Matienzo, L. J.; Jones, W. E. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy as a probe of intermolecular interactions in porphyrin polymer thin films. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 6308–6315.
- [33] Fidalgo-Marijuan, A.; Barandika, G.; Bazán, B.; Urtiaga, M. K.; Arriortua, M. I. Self-assembly of iron TCPP (meso-tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)porphyrin) into a chiral 2D coordination polymer. *Polyhedron* **2011**, *30*, 2711–2716.
- [34] Sonkar, P. K.; Prakash, K.; Yadav, M.; Ganesan, V.; Sankar, M.; Gupta, R.; Yadav, D. K. Co(II)-porphyrin-decorated carbon nanotubes as catalysts for oxygen reduction reactions: An approach for fuel cell improvement. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 6263–6276.
- [35] Meng, F. K.; Li, J. T.; Cushing, S. K.; Zhi, M. J.; Wu, N. Q. Solar hydrogen generation by nanoscale p–n junction of p-type molybdenum disulfide/ n-type nitrogen-doped reduced graphene oxide. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 10286–10289.
- [36] Kim, T. W.; Choi, K. S. Nanoporous BiVO₄ photoanodes with dual-layer oxygen evolution catalysts for solar water splitting. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 990–994.
- [37] Zhou, M.; Bao, J.; Bi, W. T.; Zeng, Y. Q.; Zhu, R.; Tao, M. S.; Xie, Y. Efficient water splitting via a heteroepitaxial BiVO₄ photoelectrode decorated with Co-Pi catalysts. *ChemSusChem* **2012**, *5*, 1420–1425.
- [38] Shaban, M.; Rabia, M.; El-Sayed, A. M. A.; Ahmed, A.; Sayed, S. Photocatalytic properties of PbS/graphene oxide/polyaniline electrode for hydrogen generation. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 14100.
- [39] Li, J. T.; Wu, N. Q. Semiconductor-based photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells for solar fuel generation: A review. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *5*, 1360–1384.
- [40] Wang, W. H.; Dong, J. Y.; Ye, X. Z.; Li, Y.; Ma, Y. R.; Qi, L. M. Heterostructured TiO₂ nanorod@nanobowl arrays for efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Small* **2016**, *12*, 1469–1478.
- [41] Hwang, Y. J.; Hahn, C.; Liu, B.; Yang, P. D. Photoelectrochemical properties of TiO₂ nanowire arrays: A study of the dependence on length and atomic layer deposition coating. *ACS Nano* **2012**, *6*, 5060–5069.
- [42] Kronik, L.; Shapira, Y. Surface photovoltage phenomena: Theory, experiment, and applications. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **1999**, *37*, 1–206.
- [43] Goodman, A. M. A method for the measurement of short minority carrier diffusion lengths in semiconductors. *J. Appl. Phys.* **1961**, *32*, 2550–2552.
- [44] Lagowski, J. Semiconductor surface spectroscopies: The early years. *Surf. Sci.* **1994**, *299–300*, 92–101.
- [45] Zhong, D. K.; Choi, S.; Gamelin, D. R. Near-complete suppression of surface recombination in solar photoelectrolysis by “Co-Pi” catalyst-modified W:BiVO₄. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 18370–18377.

- [46] Yourey, J. E.; Pyper, K. J.; Kurtz, J. B.; Bartlett, B. M. Chemical stability of CuWO₄ for photoelectrochemical water oxidation. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 8708–8718.
- [47] Nakazono, T.; Parent, A. R.; Sakai, K. Cobalt porphyrins as homogeneous catalysts for water oxidation. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 6325–6327.
- [48] Abdi, F. F.; van de Krol, R. Nature and light dependence of bulk recombination in Co-Pi-catalyzed BiVO₄ photoanodes. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012**, *116*, 9398–9404.
- [49] Li, J. T.; Cushing, S. K.; Zheng, P.; Senty, T.; Meng, F. K.; Bristow, A. D.; Manivannan, A.; Wu, N. Q. Solar hydrogen generation by a CdS-Au-TiO₂ sandwich nanorod array enhanced with Au nanoparticle as electron relay and plasmonic photosensitizer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8438–8449.
- [50] Li, J. T.; Cushing, S. K.; Zheng, P.; Meng, F. K.; Chu, D.; Wu, N. Q. Plasmon-induced photonic and energy-transfer enhancement of solar water splitting by a hematite nanorod array. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2651.
- [51] Schmuki, P.; Böhni, H.; Bardwell, J. A. *In situ* characterization of anodic silicon oxide films by Ac impedance measurements. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1995**, *142*, 1705–1712.
- [52] Wafula, H.; Juma, A.; Sakwa, T.; Musembi, R.; Simiyu, J. A surface photovoltage study of surface defects on Co-doped TiO₂ thin films deposited by spray pyrolysis. *Coatings* **2016**, *6*, 30.
- [53] Ivanov, T.; Donchev, V.; Germanova, K.; Kirilov, K. A vector model for analysing the surface photovoltage amplitude and phase spectra applied to complicated nanostructures. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2009**, *42*, 135302.

Chapter 4: Rational Design for a Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Sensor Utilizing Plasmonic Energy Transfer for Hg^{2+} Detection

4.1 Introduction

With the population of Earth expected to exceed 10 billion by 2100^[1], there is an increasing need for food, safe drinking water, and improved health care to meet societal demands. To aid in dealing with these challenges, accurate detection and quantification of environmental pollutants and biological markers for health diagnostics is needed. Sensors are commonly based on fluorescence, surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS), or photoelectrochemistry (PEC) as actuation mechanisms. PEC-based sensors where the presence of an analyte modulates photocurrent or photovoltage are of interest due to their low instrumentation cost, low background signals, and simplicity in operation versus established methods like gas and liquid chromatographies.^[2-5]

One area of research that has applications in sensing is plasmonics. In plasmonics, light illumination triggers the coherent oscillation of conduction band electrons (called surface plasmon resonance (SPR) of nanoparticles of certain metals (e.g. Ag, Au, and Cu)^[6-10] and defective semiconductors (e.g. MoO_{3-x} , WO_{3-x} , Cu_{2-x}S , Cu_{2-x}Se , Cu_{2-x}Te).^[11-13] Focus is paid to localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) that occurs when the plasmon is confined to the surface of extremely small nanoparticles. The light energy stored in the LSPR of these materials can be utilized in multiple ways to increase the photocurrent of a nearby semiconductor. Depending on the size and morphology of the plasmonic nanoparticles, the light energy stored in the plasmon can be radiatively scattered to improve effective optical pathlengths^[10, 14] or concentrated into intense local electromagnetic fields within the semiconductor^[7, 10, 15]. While the LSPR of the plasmonic nanoparticle is coherent ($t < 30$ fs), energy from the plasmon can also be transferred by plasmon-induced resonant energy transfer (PIRET) via dipole-dipole interactions to a semiconductor acceptor.^[16-19] After the LSPR of a plasmonic nanoparticle loses coherence, a population of energetic “hot” carriers (electrons and holes) remains that can be transferred to another material and/or directly drive chemical reactions before the hot carriers relax to their ground state.^[20-24] All of these mechanisms can be utilized to increase the photocurrent of semiconductor based PEC cells for applications including sensing^[25-30]. Additional mechanisms where energy is transferred from a semiconductor into plasmonic nanoparticles such as Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) can also be used to decrease the photocurrent of a nearby semiconductor in a manner that can be used for sensing.^[31-33]

Several PEC-based sensors use plasmonic nanoparticles in their design in order to modulate photocurrent. Specifically focusing on PEC-based sensors that increase in photocurrent

in the presence of an analyte, insufficient attention is given towards optimizing the energy transfer mechanism between the plasmonic nanoparticle. Most PEC-based sensors that utilize plasmonic nanoparticles to increase photocurrent are based on hot electron injection.^[25-] However, the maximum theoretical efficiency for hot electron injection is limited to ~10% due to energetics and momentum mismatches between the plasmonic hot carriers and the contacting semiconductor.^[34] PIRET is rarely used in PEC-based sensors with limited examples^[27] available in literature despite potential energy transfer efficiencies theoretically being as high as 30%.^[35] In addition, to the authors' knowledge, no papers directly attempt to compare and contrast PIRET and hot electron transfer as photocurrent enhancement mechanisms in PEC-based sensors using the same semiconductor film.

In this chapter, we compare hot electron transfer and PIRET from plasmonic Au and Au@SiO₂ core@shell nanoparticles (NPs) to a thin Bi₃FeMo₂O₁₂ (BFMO) semiconductor film and explore the potential use of BFMO-plasmonic nanoparticle conjugates as PEC-based sensors for mercury (II) (Hg²⁺) ion detection. Plasmonic nanoparticles (NPs) were controllably linked to the BFMO thin film by use of mismatched single-strand DNA (ssDNA) that could be linked in the presence of Hg²⁺ as an analyte by formation of double-strand DNA (dsDNA). The nature of the energy transfer between the plasmonic nanoparticles and the BFMO film was tuned by the presence of a dielectric silica (SiO₂) spacer layer.

4.2 Results

The main purpose of this chapter is to demonstrate and compare different plasmon-based energy transfer mechanisms and evaluate their potential for use in PEC sensors. Different pairings of plasmonic nanoparticles with Bi₃FeMo₂O₁₂ (BFMO) films are studied. Plasmonic nanoparticles are bound to the BFMO thin films on FTO glass (50-80 nm thick; see Fig. 4.1) By utilizing Hg²⁺ ions affinity for thymine, Hg²⁺ can be selectively bound to ssDNA strands on the BFMO thin films and used to bind to the thymine bases on the complementary ssDNA strands on the plasmonic nanoparticles as shown in the schematic in Fig. 4.2. After a washing step to remove non-specifically bound plasmonic nanoparticles from the BFMO thin film substrate, the remaining bound plasmonic nanoparticles must be linked to the BFMO through the newly conjugated double stranded DNA (dsDNA). This ensures that plasmonic energy transfer that can increase the overall photocurrent of the BFMO based photoelectrode occurs only when Hg²⁺ is present with the number of plasmonic nanoparticles bound being proportional to the amount of Hg²⁺ present in the test sample.

Figure 4.1: SEM Images of $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) thin film; (a) Top-down SEM; (b) Cross-Section SEM Image of BFMO thin film.

Figure 4.2: Schematic of Plasmonic Nanoparticle Binding to $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) Films for Use as a PEC Sensor; (a) Hg^{2+} conjugation with ssDNA on BFMO film; (b) Conjugation of plasmonic nanoparticles with Hg^{2+} conjugated BFMO film.

Figure 4.3 shows the UV-Visible light absorption spectra for the BFMO films and the different types of plasmonic nanoparticles (Au NPs, and Au@SiO₂ NPs) studied in this chapter.

Figure 4.3: Normalized UV-Visible Light Absorption Spectra of Bi₃FeMo₂O₁₂ (BFMO) films, Au, and Au@SiO₂ NPs.

The main overriding requirement for PIRET from a plasmonic metal to a semiconductor acceptor is a spectral overlap between the LSPR of the plasmonic metal and the absorption spectrum of the semiconductor film with the plasmonic metal's LSPR red-shifted relative to the absorption edge of the semiconductor acceptor^[16,17]. As seen from Fig. 4.3, the LSPRs of the Au NPs and Au@SiO₂ NPs and BFMO absorption spectrum have a spectral overlap. However, the spectral overlap between the Au NPs and BFMO is limited to only BFMO's absorption tail between 480 – 550 nm and part of the BFMO absorption edge between 440 – 480 nm based on extrapolation of the Au and Au@SiO₂ LSPRs. The limited spectral overlap means that the PIRET between the Au NPs and BFMO would be weak due to the weak dipole strength of the BFMO at the absorption tail wavelengths.

Figure 4.4: Schematic of photocurrent enhancement for the different BFMO – DNA – Plasmonic Nanoparticle combinations studied; (a) BFMO-DNA-Au, (b) BFMO-DNA-Au@SiO₂.

In the case of Au NPs without a SiO₂ spacer layer (Figure 4.4(a)), hot electron transfer from the Au NPs to the BFMO is possible in addition to PIRET because of the intimate contact between the plasmonic Au and BFMO and the favorable energetics of the BFMO conduction band and the hot electrons of the Au NPs.^[36,37] The presence of a 5 nm SiO₂ spacer layer on the outside of the Au@SiO₂ NPs (Figure 4.5(b)) limits the plasmonic energy transfer to PIRET with the BFMO thin film without any hot electron transfer (Figure 4.4(b)).

Figure 4.5: HRTEM Images of (a) Au NPs and (b) Au@SiO₂ NPs.

To understand the nature of the energy transfer between the plasmonic nanoparticles and the BFMO films, photoelectrochemical (PEC) testing of the BFMO-DNA films before and after incubation with a solution containing 500 ppb of Hg²⁺ ions and then plasmonic nanoparticles was performed. Figure 4.6 shows J-V curves and M-S plots when Au NPs and Au@SiO₂ NPs were the conjugated plasmonic nanoparticles.

Figure 4.6: (a-b) J-V Curves and (c-d) M-S Plots of BFMO-DNA films before and after incubation with 500 ppb of Hg^{2+} and plasmonic nanoparticle addition; with (a, c) Au NPs added, and (b, d) Au@SiO_2 NPs added.

The addition of Au NPs (Figure 4.6(a)), and Au@SiO_2 NPs (Figure 4.6(b)) result in enhanced photocurrent of the sample. However, there is a negative shift in the photocurrent onset potential +0.05 V shift in flat-band potential on the M-S plot (Figure 4.6(c)) for the BFMO-DNA-Au sample that indicates a change in the Fermi level of the sample after the Au NPs have been added to the sample. The shifts in photocurrent onset and flat-band potentials are consistent with Fermi level equilibration between the BFMO and the contacting Au NPs as a contributing mechanism towards the photocurrent enhancement by the Au NPs^[38, 39]. With Au@SiO_2 NPs added, there is no change in the photocurrent onset potential or the flat-band potential (Figure 4.6(d)). The lack of change in photocurrent onset potential and flat-band potential indicates that the SiO_2 shell does not improve the catalytic activity of the BFMO-DNA- Au@SiO_2 samples within the potential window tested and that charge transfer between the Au cores has been successfully blocked by the SiO_2 shell layers. To separate wavelength-independent enhancement mechanisms like Fermi level equilibration and wavelength-dependent photocurrent enhancement mechanisms, incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) (Figure 4.7) measurements were performed at +0.15 V vs. Ag | AgCl using 500 ppb Hg^{2+} for plasmonic nanoparticle conjugation.

Figure 4.7: (a,d) IPCE Spectra measured at +0.15 V vs. Ag | AgCl for BFMO-DNA films before and after incubation with 500 ppb of Hg²⁺ and plasmonic nanoparticle addition, Insets: magnified IPCE spectra between 420 nm and 580 nm. (b, e) Ratio of IPCE Spectra (After versus Before Hg²⁺ and plasmonic nanoparticle incubation) and (c,f) normalized UV-Vis absorption spectra for BFMO and corresponding plasmonic nanoparticles: (a-c) with Au NPs, (d-f) with Au@SiO₂ NPs.

The overall IPCEs of the BFMO film before incubation with Hg²⁺ and plasmonic nanoparticles (Figs. 4.7(a) and 4.7(d)) show an onset at 470 nm, consistent with the band edge of the BFMO thin film shown in Figure 1a. Upon incubation with 500 ppb Hg²⁺ and Au NPs (Figs. 4.7(a) and 4.7(b)) or Au@SiO₂ NPs (Figs. 4.7(d) and 4.7(e)), there is a noticeable increase in the IPCE at wavelengths less than or equal to 550 nm. The 550 nm onset for IPCE enhancement is consistent with the overlap between weak absorption tail of the BFMO film and the LSPRs of the Au NPs. The IPCE enhancement can be split into two separate spectral regions. The first region between 350 – 450 nm (within the bandgap absorption of the BFMO but below the Au/Au@SiO₂ LSPR wavelengths), there is still wavelength-dependent enhancement in the IPCE spectra. However, while there is an IPCE at the wavelengths between 480 and 550 nm for both the BFMO-Au NPs case and BFMO-Au@SiO₂ NPs case, the overall IPCEs are still under 0.005%. In the case of BFMO-Au films, the enhancement within this spectral region is a combination of hot electron injection, PIRET, and Fermi level equilibration from the Au NPs to the BFMO film. Between 350 – 450 nm (within the bandgap absorption of the BFMO but below the Au/Au@SiO₂ LSPR wavelengths), there is still wavelength-dependent enhancement in the IPCE spectra. However, PIRET between the Au NPs or Au@SiO₂ NPs and the BFMO or hot electron injection from the bare Au NPs to the BFMO thin film are not possible at these wavelengths since the LSPR of the Au/Au@SiO₂ NPs is not excited. To determine the source of the IPCE enhancement, UV-Visible light absorption spectroscopy was performed for the BFMO-DNA-Au (Fig. 4.8) samples before and after incubation with 500 ppb Hg²⁺ and Au NPs. From Figures S4, there is an increase in light absorption between 350 – 450 nm for the BFMO-DNA-Au case.

Figure 4.8: UV-Visible light absorption spectra of BFMO-DNA films before and after addition of Au NPs incubated with 500 ppb Hg^{2+} .

This means that at least part of the photocurrent enhancement is due to an optical absorption enhancement not directly related to the LSPR of the Au and Au@SiO_2 NPs. However, the source of this optical absorption enhancement has not been fully explored. Given the wide spectral range of enhancement, Au NPs were used as the plasmonic nanoparticle for subsequent sensor tests for detection of Hg^{2+} in deionized water (Fig. 4.9).

Figure 4.9: (a) Baseline subtracted photocurrent for BFMO-DNA-Au films as a function of incubated Hg^{2+} concentration; (b) Linear (1.6 – 360 ppb) range of the photocurrent increase curve with linear fit.

From Figure 4.9(a), BFMO incubated with Hg^{2+} and Au NPs results in a photocurrent increase. The change in photocurrent increases linearly with respect to the logarithmically (base 10) with increasing Hg^{2+} concentration up to 360 ppb followed by a significantly decrease at Hg^{2+} concentrations higher than 360 ppb. The decrease in photocurrent at higher Hg^{2+} concentrations is

attributed to a combination of the Au NPs blocking light and surface reaction sites. The linear range for Hg^{2+} detection would be sufficient for the typical concentrations of Hg^{2+} in wastewater and starts just below the limit of Hg^{2+} in drinking water within the United States.^[40-41] This demonstrates the practical applications of the BFMO-DNA-Au conjugate photoelectrode for sensing of Hg^{2+} . To further prove potential application of the BFMO-DNA-Au conjugate photoelectrode, the selectivity of the BFMO-DNA-Au photoelectrode for detection of Hg^{2+} was measured with and without the presence of potential interference cations (Fe^{3+} , Zn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , As^{3+} , Cd^{2+} , and Pb^{2+}) and shown in Figure 4.10.

Figure 4.10: Signal intensity change of the photocurrent from the BFMO-DNA-Au towards Hg^{2+} only (100 ppb Hg^{2+}) and the 100 ppb interfering ion mixture (100 ppb Total of Fe^{3+} , Zn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} , As^{3+} , Cd^{2+} , and Pb^{2+}) with 100 ppb of added Hg^{2+} in DI water.

Figure 4.10 shows a $98.1 \pm 0.6\%$ increase in photocurrent versus baseline is obtained when incubating the BFMO film with 100 ppb Hg^{2+} and Au NPs. There is a $21.4 \pm 0.2\%$ decrease in photocurrent upon incubation with a mixture of 100 ppb combined of interfering ions without any added Hg^{2+} . However, the photocurrent again increases by $87.5 \pm 3.2\%$ versus the baseline photocurrent without Hg^{2+} and Au incubation, indicating that the interfering ions at low concentrations do not interfere significantly with the Hg^{2+} binding to the BFMO-DNA substrate. Overall, the concept of utilizing PIRET between plasmonic nanoparticles and a semiconductor film combined with the conjugation of plasmonic nanoparticles to the semiconductor to enhance the semiconductor's photocurrent has been demonstrated as a potential sensor working mechanism.

4.3 Conclusions

In this chapter, a comparison between hot electron injection and PIRET as photocurrent enhancement mechanisms is explored using plasmonic Au and Au@SiO_2 nanoparticles in

conjunction with a BFMO semiconductor photoanode using ssDNA strands with mismatched thymine bases and Hg^{2+} to facilitate DNA conjugation. Au NPs were found to enhance the BFMO's photocurrent through a combination of hot electron injection, PIRET, and Fermi-level equilibration, and an optical absorption enhancement mechanism at wavelengths where the Au NPs LSPR is not excited. Au@SiO₂ NPs were found to enhance the BFMO's photocurrent through PIRET and the previously mentioned optical absorption enhancement mechanism. A PEC sensor for detecting Hg^{2+} in water was demonstrated using the BFMO-DNA-Au conjugate photoanode due to the higher magnitude of enhancement across a wide wavelength spectrum versus the BFMO-DNA-Au@SiO₂ photoanode. The resulting BFMO-DNA-Au sensor has a limit of detection (1.3 ppb) and linear range (1.6 – 360 ppb) that is sufficient for detection of Hg^{2+} in common wastewater sources and drinking water. Further research will be focused on optimizing the effectiveness of the plasmonic energy transfer from the plasmonic metal to different semiconductors.

4.4 Methods

4.4.1 Materials

All chemicals and materials were used as received without further purification. (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (97%), ammonium hydroxide (28% NH_3 in H_2O), bismuth (III) nitrate pentahydrate (ACS, 98%), copper (II) nitrate hemi(pentahydrate), hydrochloric acid (36% w/w), hydrogen tetrachloroaurate (III) trihydrate (ACS, 99.99%), iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate (98+% metals basis), and trisodium citrate dihydrate (ACS, 99.0% min) were purchased from Alfa-Aesar. Acetone, citric acid, isopropyl alcohol, mercury (II) chloride, reagent alcohol, and sucrose were purchased from VWR International. Triethoxysilylpropyl succinic anhydride (TEPSA) was purchased from Gelest, Inc. 1-ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino)-propyl) carbodiimide (EDC), arsenic ICP/DCP standard solution, bovine serum albumin (BSA), cadmium (II) nitrate tetrahydrate, deoxyadenosine triphosphate (dATP), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), lead (II) nitrate, phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH = 7.4) tablets, sodium chloride, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), sodium phosphate ($\text{Na}_3\text{PO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$), sodium silicate solution (reagent grade), and Tween 20 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Zinc (II) nitrate hexahydrate was purchased from Strem Chemicals. Ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate ($(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$) was purchased from Ward's Science. Fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) coated glass (TEC 15) was purchased from MTI Corporation.

DNA probes were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Coralville, IA) and have the following sequences:

Detection DNA for SiO₂ Coated NPs (amine functionalized): 5'-/NH₂/CAGTTTGAC-3'

Detection DNA for Au NPs (Thiol Functionalized): 5'-/ThioMC6-D/AAAAACAGTTTGAC-3'

Capture DNA probe for BFMO (amine functionalized: 5'-/5AmMC6/GTCTTTCTG/NH₂/3'

4.4.2 Characterization

UV-Visible light absorption spectra were measured using a Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrometer with an integrating sphere (Shimadzu UV 2401/2) using BaSO₄ as the optical “white” reference material. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) was performed using JEOL JSM-7600 and Hitachi S-4700 FESEMs. TEM images were obtained using a JEOL JEM 2100F TEM.

4.4.3 Gold Nanoparticles (Au NPs) Synthesis

Gold nanoparticles (Au NPs) were synthesized using a traditional citrate reduction method^[42, 43]. In a typical synthesis, 0.0197 g of hydrogen tetrachloroaurate (III) trihydrate (HAuCl₄·3H₂O) was dissolved in 100 mL of DI water. This solution was then heated to boiling. Once the HAuCl₄·3H₂O solution was boiling, 3 mL of a 1% (w/v) trisodium citrate dihydrate in DI water solution was added to the HAuCl₄·3H₂O solution where the color changed from light yellow to wine-red over a period of a few minutes. The HAuCl₄·3H₂O/citrate solution was boiled continuously for another 30 minutes and then allowed to cool to room temperature naturally. The as-prepared Au NPs solution was stored for further use.

4.4.4 Gold@Silicon Dioxide Core@Shell Nanoparticles (Au@SiO₂ NPs) Synthesis

Silicon dioxide coating of the Au NPs was performed using a condensation-polymerization method^[44,45]. In a typical synthesis, 200 μ L of a 2 mM (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane aqueous solution was added to 20 mL of the as-prepared Au NPs solution and stirred for 30 minutes. Then, 1 mL of a 0.54 wt% sodium silicate solution was added dropwise under stirring to the Au NPs solution. The Au NPs solution was then stirred for another 10 minutes and then allowed to stand overnight. 10 mL of reagent alcohol was then added to the Au NPs solution. The Au NPs solution was stirred for 10 minutes and then allowed to stand overnight. Another 10 mL of reagent alcohol was then added to the Au NPs solution. The solution was again stirred for 10 minutes and then allowed to stand overnight. The resulting Au@SiO₂ NPs solution was then collected and washed using reagent alcohol at least 3 times via centrifugation. The Au@SiO₂ NPs were finally redispersed in reagent alcohol for further use.

4.4.5 Bi₃FeMo₂O₁₂ (BFMO) Thin Film Synthesis

Bi₃FeMo₂O₁₂ (BFMO) thin films were synthesized using a modified “Pechini” complex precursor containing Bi(III), Fe(III), and Mo(VI) salts as metal sources. 2.91 g of bismuth (III) nitrate pentahydrate and 0.808 g of iron (III) nitrate were dissolved in 10 mL of ethylene glycol. Then, 0.706 g of ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate and 4.61 g of citric acid were added and dissolved in the precursor solution. The BFMO precursor solution was stirred overnight at room temperature before use.

FTO glass substrates were cleaned by alternating ultrasonication in reagent alcohol, 9% w/w hydrochloric acid, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol for 20 minutes each followed by treatment under a radio frequency excited oxygen plasma for 90 seconds to ensure substrate hydrophilicity. BFMO deposition onto the cleaned FTO glass substrates was performed via spin coating of the BFMO precursor (4000 RPM, 100 seconds) followed by drying the films at 225 °C for 15 minutes. The BFMO films were then placed into a muffle furnace and sintered at 650 °C for 1 hour (1 °C/min ramp from 25 °C to 600 °C with 10 °C/min ramp from 600 °C to 650 °C; cooling to 25 °C at 10 °C/min).

4.4.6 Labeling Ag@SiO₂ and Au@SiO₂ with Amine Group Linked Detection DNA Probe

Labeling of silicon dioxide coated nanoparticles was performed based on procedures in literature.^[46] 3.0 mL of Ag@SiO₂ or Au@SiO₂ nanoparticles were mixed with 20 µL of TEPSA. The mixture solution was incubated overnight to achieve carboxyl group-terminated Ag@SiO₂ nanoparticles. After washing with ethanol and D.I. water for several times, the resulting nanoparticles were re-suspended in 0.5 mL of the solution containing 50 mM NHS and 200 mM EDC. After incubation for 2 h, the COOH group was activated. 50 µL of 20 µM ssDNA (amine group labeled detection DNA) solution was then added into the mixture. After overnight incubation, the solution was washed with a buffer solution (PBS containing 0.1% of BSA) for three times. The resulting functionalized Ag@SiO₂ and Au@SiO₂ NPs were finally suspended in 200 µL of eluent buffer (20 mM of Na₃PO₄·12H₂O containing 5% BSA, 0.25% Tween 20, and 10% sucrose) and stored at 4 °C for future use.

4.4.7 Labeling Au with Thiol-Group Linked Detection DNA Probe

The Au NPs/detection DNA conjugate was prepared according to the reported methods^[47]. dATP was added into 1 mL of concentrated Au NPs solution (final concentration of dATP is 7.05 µM). The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 20 minutes. 15 µL of 1% of SDS was slowly added into the mixture and incubated using a shaker for 10 minutes. 50 µL of 2 M NaCl

aqueous solution was dropped into the mixture at a rate of 2 $\mu\text{L}/2$ min. Then, 0.25 OD of thiolated detection DNA was added and the mixture was incubated for 3 hours in oven at 60°C. After the incubation, the mixture was centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 15 minutes. The supernatant was discarded. The Au NPs were then washed with 1 mL of PBS for 3 times. The resulting red sediments were re-suspended in 500 μL of eluent buffer (20 nM of $\text{Na}_3\text{PO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ containing 5% BSA, 0.25% Tween 20 and 10% sucrose).

4.4.8 Labeling Amine Group Linked Capture DNA Probe on BFMO Films

The BFMO films were labelled with capture DNA according to reported methods^[47]. The BFMO films on FTO glass were first cleaned by successive immersion in ethanol and DI water each for 10 min. The cleaned BFMO films were incubated overnight in an ethanolic solution containing 0.5% TEPSA and then washed with ethanol to remove free TEPSA. The resulting TEPSA-modified BFMO films were activated by immersion in a PBS solution containing 50 mM NHS and 200 mM EDC. After being washed with PBS solution, chips were incubated overnight in PBS solution containing 20 μM of ssDNA (amine group labeled capture DNA), followed by rigorously washing with PBS solution to remove free capture DNA and kept in a humid chamber prior to assay.

4.4.9 Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Testing

All photoelectrochemical (PEC) testing was performed using a three-electrode cell configuration in a 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (pH = 7.4) aqueous electrolyte. BFMO-DNA thin films with and without plasmonic nanoparticles functionalization were used as the working electrodes. An Ag|AgCl electrode (Sat. KCl; $E^0 = +0.197$ V vs. NHE) and a platinum mesh were used as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively. All PEC measurements were made using a Gamry Reference 3000 potentiostat/galvanostat/ZRA instrument.

J-V curves were recorded using simulated sunlight from a 300 W Xe arc lamp with an AM1.5G filter calibrated to 100 mW/cm^2 using a thermopile sensor (Newport 818P) as the light source. Wavelength-dependent incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) measurements were performed using light from the 300 W Xe arc lamp channeled through a monochromator (Oriel Cornerstone™ 130 1/8m) as the light source. Wavelength-dependent optical power measurements were performed using a Newport 71675 silicon photodiode detector. The IPCE for a given light wavelength was calculated using Equation 1:^[48]

$$IPCE = \frac{1240 J}{\lambda \cdot P}, \quad (1)$$

where J (in mA/cm²) is the photocurrent measured under a given light wavelength (λ in nm) and P is the optical power density (in mW/cm²) of the incident light at a given light wavelength.

Mott-Schottky (M-S) plots were obtained at $f = 5000$ Hz with an applied AC bias of 10 mV RMS. The obtained electrochemical impedance spectra were used to calculate the space charge capacitance using Equation 2:^[49]

$$Z_{img} = \frac{1}{2\pi f C}, \quad (2)$$

where Z_{img} is the imaginary component of the measured electrochemical impedance, f is the frequency of the applied AC bias, and C is the space charge capacitance of the sample.

4.4.10 Mercury (II) Ion (Hg²⁺) PEC Sensor Testing

Initially, the baseline PEC performance (J-V curves, M-S, and IPCE) of BFMO films labeled with only the capture DNA was tested. Then, the BFMO films are conjugated with mercury (II) ions (Hg²⁺) and plasmonic nanoparticles in a two-step process. 50 μ L of target solution containing various mercury (II) ion concentrations (0-500 ppb) were dropped onto the detection area of the BFMO chip. After incubation for 30 min, the BFMO film was vigorously rinsed with PBS to remove unbound Hg²⁺ ions. Then, 50 μ L of the synthesized detection DNA probe linked plasmonic nanoparticles conjugates were dropped onto the detection area and incubated for 30 min, followed by rinsing with PBS to remove free conjugates. The resulting BFMO-DNA-plasmonic nanoparticle sandwich films was subject to the PEC measurements.

The sensitivity and selectivity of the BFMO-DNA-Au photoelectrodes towards Hg²⁺ was measured using simulated sunlight from 300 W Xe lamp calibrated to 100 mW/cm². The limit of detection of the BFMO-DNA-Au was determined using Equation 3:^[50]

$$LOD = 10^{\frac{3 \cdot (SD)}{Slope}}, \quad (3)$$

where LOD is the limit of detection for Hg²⁺, SD is the standard deviation of the photocurrent during measurement of a blank sample (0 ppb of Hg²⁺), and $Slope$ is the slope of the linear region of the photocurrent as a function of added Hg²⁺ concentration.

4.5 References

- [1] Lee, R. The Outlook for Population Growth. *Science* **2011**, 333, 569-573
- [2] Zhao, W.-W.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Photoelectrochemical bioanalysis: the state of the art. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, 44, 729-741

- [3] Peng, M.; Guan, G.; Deng, H.; Han, B.; Tian, C.; Zhuang, J.; Xu, Y.; Liu, W.; Lin, Z. PCN-224/rGO nanocomposite based photoelectrochemical sensor with intrinsic recognition ability for efficient p-arsanilic acid detection. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2019**, 6, 207-215.
- [4] Zhang, B.; Guo, L.-H. Highly sensitive and selective photoelectrochemical DNA sensor for the detection of Hg^{2+} in aqueous solutions. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2012**, 37, 112-115.
- [5] Li, H.; Li, J.; Yang, Z.; Xu, Q.; Hu, X. A novel photoelectrochemical sensor for the organophosphorus pesticide dichlofenthion based on nanometer-sized titania coupled with a screen-printed electrode. *Anal. Chem.* **2011**, 83, 5290-5295.
- [6] Maier, S. A.; Atwater, H. A. Plasmonics: Localization and guiding of electromagnetic energy in metal/dielectric structures. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2005**, 98, 011101.
- [7] Wu, N. Plasmonic metal–semiconductor photocatalysts and photoelectrochemical cells: a review. *Nanoscale* **2018**, 10, 2679-2696.
- [8] Stewart, M. E.; Anderton, C. R.; Thompson, L. B.; Maria, J.; Gray, S. K.; Rogers, J. A.; Nuzzo, R. G. Nanostructured plasmonic sensors. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, 108, 494-521.
- [9] Schuller, J. A.; Barnard, E. S.; Cai, W.; Jun, Y. C.; White, J. S.; Brongersma, M. L. Plasmonics for extreme light concentration and manipulation. *Nat. Mater.* **2010**, 9, 193-205.
- [10] Atwater, H. A.; Polman, A. Plasmonics for improved photovoltaic devices. *Nat. Mater.* **2010**, 9, 205-213.
- [11] Li, Y.; Cheng, J.; Liu, Y.; Liu, P.; Cao, W.; He, T.; Chen, R.; Tang, Z. Manipulation of surface plasmon resonance in sub-stoichiometry molybdenum oxide nanodots through charge carrier control technique. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, 121, 5208-5214.
- [12] Lou, Z.; Zhu, M.; Yang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Whangbo, M.-H.; Li, B.; Huang, B. Continual injection of photoinduced electrons stabilizing surface plasmon resonance of non-elemental-metal plasmonic photocatalyst $\text{CdS}/\text{WO}_{3-x}$ for efficient hydrogen generation. *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* **2018**, 226, 10-15.
- [13] Kriegel, I.; Jiang, C.; Rodriguez-Fernandez, J.; Schaller, R. D.; Talapin, D. V.; da Como, E.; Feldmann, J. Tuning the excitonic and plasmonic properties of copper chalcogenide nanocrystals. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 1583-1590.
- [14] Catchpole, K. R.; Polman, A. Design principles for particle plasmon enhanced solar cells. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2008**, 93, 191113.

- [15] Seh, Z. W.; Liu, S.; Low, M.; Zhang, S.-Y.; Liu, Z.; Mlayah, A.; Han, M.-Y. Janus Au-TiO₂ photocatalysts with strong localization of plasmonic near-fields for efficient visible-light hydrogen generation. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, 24, 2310-2314.
- [16] Cushing, S. K.; Li, J.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Suri, S.; Zhi, M.; Li, M.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Photocatalytic activity enhanced by plasmonic resonant energy transfer from metal to semiconductor. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, 134, 15033-15041.
- [17] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Plasmon-induced resonance energy transfer for solar energy conversion. *Nat. Photon.* **2015**, 9, 601-608.
- [18] Meng, F.; Cushing, S. K.; Li, J.; Hao, S.; Wu, N. Enhancement of solar hydrogen generation by synergistic interaction of La₂Ti₂O₇ photocatalyst with plasmonic gold nanoparticles and reduced graphene oxide nanosheets. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, 5, 1949-1955.
- [19] Li, G.; Cherqui, C.; Bigelow, N. W.; Duscher, G.; Straney, P. J.; Millstone, J. E.; Masiello, D. J.; Camden, J. P. Spatially mapping energy transfer from single plasmonic particles to semiconductor substrates via STEM/EELS. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, 15, 3465-3471.
- [20] Tian, Y.; Tatsuma, T. Plasmon-induced photoelectrochemistry at metal nanoparticles supported on nanoporous TiO₂. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 1810-1811.
- [21] Clavero, C. Plasmon-induced hot-electron generation at nanoparticle/metal-oxide interfaces for photovoltaic and photocatalytic devices. *Nat. Photon.* **2014**, 8, 95-103.
- [22] Sousa-Castillo, A.; Comesaña-Hermo, M.; Rodríguez-González, B.; Pérez-Lorenzo, M.; Wang, Z.; Kong, X.-T.; Govorov, A. O.; Correa-Duarte, M. A. Boosting hot electron-driven photocatalysis through anisotropic plasmonic nanoparticles with hot spots in Au-TiO₂ nanoarchitectures. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, 120, 11690-11699.
- [23] Sundararaman, R.; Narang, P.; Jermyn, A. S.; Goddard III, W. A.; Atwater, H. A. Theoretical predictions for hot-carrier generation from surface plasmon decay. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, 5, 5788.
- [24] DuChene, J. S.; Tagliabue, G.; Welch, A. J.; Cheng, W.-H.; Atwater, H. A. Hot hole collection and photoelectrochemical CO₂ reduction with plasmonic Au/p-GaN photocathodes. *Nano Lett.* **2018**, 18, 2545-2550.
- [25] Da, P.; Li, W.; Lin, X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, J.; Zheng, G. Surface plasmon resonance enhanced real-time photoelectrochemical protein sensing by gold nanoparticle-decorated TiO₂ nanowires. *Anal. Chem.* **2014**, 86, 6633-6639.
- [26] Xin, Y.; Li, Z.; Zhang, Z. Photoelectrochemical aptasensor for the sensitive and selective detection of kanamycin based on Au nanoparticle functionalized self-doped TiO₂ nanotube arrays. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, 51, 15498-15501.

- [27] Shu, J.; Qiu, Z.; Lv, S.; Zhang, K.; Tang, D. Plasmonic enhancement coupling with defect-engineered TiO_{2-x} : A mode for sensitive photoelectrochemical biosensing. *Anal. Chem.* **2018**, 90, 2425-2429.
- [28] Qiao, Y.; Li, J.; Li, H.; Fang, H.; Fan, D.; Wang, W. A label-free photoelectrochemical aptasensor for bisphenol A based on surface plasmon resonance of gold nanoparticle-sensitized ZnO nanopencils. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2016**, 86, 315-320.
- [29] Shi, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Zhai, T.-T.; Zhou, Y.; Yang, D.-R.; Wang, F.-B.; Xia, X.-H. Localized surface plasmon resonance enhanced label-free photoelectrochemical immunoassay by Au-MoS₂ nanohybrid. *Electrochimica Acta* **2018**, 271, 361-369.
- [30] Zhu, Y.-C.; Zhang, N.; Ruan, Y.-F.; Zhao, W.-W.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Alkaline phosphatase tagged antibodies on gold nanoparticles/TiO₂ nanotubes electrode: A plasmonic strategy for label-free and amplified photoelectrochemical immunoassay. *Anal. Chem.* **2016**, 88, 5626-5630.
- [31] Zhao, W.-W.; Yu, P.-P.; Shan, Y.; Wang, J.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Exciton-plasmon interactions between CdS quantum dots and Ag nanoparticles in photoelectrochemical system and its biosensing application. *Anal. Chem.* **2012**, 84, 5892-5897.
- [32] Dong, Y.-X.; Cao, J.-T.; Wang, B.; Ma, S.-H.; Liu, Y.-M. Exciton-plasmon interactions between CdS@g-C₃N₄ heterojunction and Au@Ag nanoparticles coupled with DNAase-triggered signal amplification: Toward highly sensitive photoelectrochemical bioanalysis of microRNA. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2017**, 5, 10840-10848.
- [33] Liu, S.; Cao, H.; Wang, X.; Tu, W.; Dai, Z. Green light excited ultrasensitive photoelectrochemical biosensing for microRNA at a low applied potential based on the dual role of Au NPs in TiO₂ nanorods/Au NPs composites. *Nanoscale* **2018**, 10, 16474-16478.
- [34] Leenheer, A. J.; Narang, P.; Lewis, N. S.; Atwater, H. A. Solar energy conversion via hot electron internal photoemission in metallic nanostructures: efficiency estimates. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2014**, 115, 134301.
- [35] Cushing, S. K.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Theoretical maximum efficiency of solar energy conversion in plasmonic metal-semiconductor heterojunctions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, 17, 30013-30022.
- [36] Liu, B.; Yasin, A. S.; Musho, T.; Bright, J.; Tang, H.; Huang, L.; Wu, N. Visible-light bismuth iron molybdate photocatalyst for artificial nitrogen fixation. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2019**, 166, H3091-H3096.

- [37] Cushing, S. K.; Chen, C.-J.; Dong, C. L.; Kong, X.-T.; Govorov, A. O.; Liu, R.-S.; Wu, N. Tunable nonthermal distribution of hot electrons in a semiconductor injected from a plasmonic gold nanostructure. *ACS Nano* **2018**, 12, 7117-7126.
- [38] Subramanian, V.; Wolf, E. E.; Kamat, P. V. Catalysis with TiO₂/gold nanocomposites. effect of metal particle size on the Fermi level equilibration. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 4943-4950.
- [39] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Chu, D.; Zheng, P.; Bright, J.; Castle, C.; Manivannan, A.; Wu, N. Distinguishing surface effects of gold nanoparticles from plasmonic effect on photoelectrochemical water splitting by hematite. *J. Mater. Res.* **2016**, 31, 1608-1615.
- [40] *Mercury Pollutant Minimization Program Guidance*; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 5 NPDES Programs Branch: Chicago, 2004.
- [41] *2018 Edition of the Drinking Water Standards and Health Advisories*; EPA 822-F-18-001; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington D.C., 2018.
- [42] Frens, G. Controlled nucleation for the regulation of the particle size in monodisperse gold suspensions. *Nat. Phys. Sci.* **1973**, 241, 20-22.
- [43] Kimling, J.; Maier, M.; Okenve, B.; Kotaidis, V.; Ballot, H.; Plech, A. Turkevich method for gold nanoparticle synthesis revisited. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, 110, 15700-15707.
- [44] Liz-Marzán, L. M.; Giersig, M.; Mulvaney, P. Synthesis of nanosized gold– silica core– shell particles. *Langmuir* **1996**, 12, 4329-4335.
- [45] Li, M.; Cushing, S. K.; Zhang, J.; Lankford, J.; Aguilar, Z. P.; Ma, D.; Wu, N. Shape-dependent surface-enhanced Raman scattering in gold–Raman-probe–silica sandwiched nanoparticles for biocompatible applications. *Nanotechnology* **2012**, 23, 115501.
- [46] Gao, X.; Xu, H.; Baloda, M.; Gurung, A. S.; Xu, L.-P.; Wang, T.; Zhang, X.; Liu, G. Visual detection of microRNA with lateral flow nucleic acid biosensor. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2014**, 54, 578–584.
- [47] Zheng, P.; Li, M.; Jurevic, R.; Cushing, S. K.; Liu, Y.; Wu, N. A gold nanohole array based surface-enhanced Raman scattering biosensor for detection of silver (I) and mercury (II) in human saliva. *Nanoscale* **2015**, 7, 11005-11012.
- [48] Bak, T.; Nowotny, J.; Rekas, M.; Sorrell, C. C. Photo-electrochemical hydrogen generation from water using solar energy. Materials-related aspects. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2002**, 27, 991–1022.
- [49] Bott, A. W. Electrochemistry of semiconductors. *Current Separations* **1998**, 17, 87-91.
- [50] Long, G. L.; Winefordner, J. D. Limit of detection. A closer look at the IUPAC definition. *Anal. Chem.* **1983**, 55, 712-724.

Chapter 5: Semiconductor Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Immunosensor Utilizing Plasmonic Energy Transfer for Immunoglobulin G (IgG) Detection

5.1 Introduction

As the human population continues to increase across the world, there is a need for new and improved strategies for ensuring the health of the population. One of the major concerns for ensuring the health of humanity is the improved detection and diagnosis of human illnesses. As rapid detection and diagnosis of illness significantly improves the prognosis for patient recovery, new biosensors must be developed to diagnose illness.

In recent years, significant focus has been spent on designing immunosensors, a type of biosensor that utilize antibody-antigen reactions for detection of proteins biomarkers that may be part of the human body's immune system response to illness.^[1-3] These protein biomarkers range from biomarkers for infectious disease agents such as bacteria and viruses to other proteins released as the result of trauma or non-infectious illness in the human body. The main appeal of immunosensors is the specificity and stability of the antibody-antigen binding events used to capture and detect desired analytes. As with other types of biosensors, immunosensors can utilize a variety of signal transduction mechanisms ranging from colorimetry^[4-5], fluorescence^[6, 7], electrochemistry^[1, 2], and surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS)^[8].

Photoelectrochemical (PEC) immunosensors have been previously built using internally photoexcited electrical current from within a semiconductor photoelectrode to drive electrochemical reactions at the photoelectrode surface. These PEC immunosensors utilize different methods for modulating photocurrent, photovoltage, or charge transfer resistance in the presence of analytes as the sensing actuation mechanism.^[3, 9-23] There are several advantages to PEC-based immunosensors versus other immunosensor techniques due to inexpensive equipment needed (light source and potentiostat) and relatively simple operation versus other techniques like SERS or commercially available colorimetric immunosensors like the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits.^[24, 25]

Some PEC immunosensors incorporate nanoparticles made of plasmonic materials such as Au or Ag as an active component of the PEC immunosensor design. The appeal of plasmonic nanoparticles is the strong light absorption and intense localized electromagnetic fields around the plasmonic nanoparticles from their localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR). While plasmonic nanoparticles are commonly used in colorimetric, fluorescence, or SERS-based biosensors, PEC immunosensors utilize plasmonic nanoparticles modulate photocurrent through Förster resonant energy transfer (FRET) from semiconductor photoelectrode to conjugated plasmonic nanoparticles^[22, 23] or changes to hot electron injection processes from already decorated plasmonic nanoparticles to the supporting semiconductor photoelectrode^[19-21, 26-28]. However, to

the authors' knowledge, there are currently no immunosensors that utilize plasmon-induced resonant energy transfer (PIRET) from conjugated plasmonic nanoparticles to the semiconductor photoelectrode. PIRET is a plasmonic energy transfer process that utilizes non-radiative dipole-dipole interactions to transfer energy stored in the localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) from plasmonic nanoparticles to nearby semiconductors. PIRET is a more efficient plasmonic energy transfer process than hot electron injection with as much as 30% of the harvested light energy converted into useful photoexcited carriers within the semiconductor photoelectrode versus a theoretical maximum hot carrier injection efficiency of 10%.^[29-31] In addition, the nature of PIRET allows for plasmonic energy to be transferred across short distances even if the plasmonic nanoparticles are not contact with the semiconductor. While FRET and PIRET are both resonant energy transfer processes that rely on dipole-dipole interactions over similar distances, there are similar advantages between FRET and PIRET. However, the direction of energy transfer differs between those processes. Energy transfer from the semiconductor into the plasmonic nanoparticles by FRET decreases the semiconductor's photocurrent. However, PIRET from plasmonic nanoparticles to the semiconductor increases the semiconductor's photocurrent.

In this chapter, a PEC immunosensor utilizing PIRET between plasmonic gold nanoparticles (Au NPs) and a $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) semiconductor thin film for detection of human immunoglobulin G (IGG) in buffer is demonstrated. Human IGG is chosen as the analyte due to it being a well-studied protein biomarker within the human body that allows for comparison of sensor performance across literature Au NPs are controllably linked to the BFMO thin film via anti-human IGG antibody-IGG antigen reactions. PIRET from the conjugated Au NPs results in an increased photocurrent that is proportional to the amount of conjugated Au NPs. While the resulting PIRET sensor shows some sensitivity towards IGG detection, the large separation distance between the Au NPs and BFMO film and weak dipole strength of the BFMO film necessitates further refinement of the PIRET sensor design.

5.2 Results

For this PIRET based PEC immunosensor requires multiple components in order to function. The first component is a semiconductor capable of driving PEC reactions. The semiconductor must have an optical absorbance that can overlap with the LSPR of plasmonic nanoparticles in order for PIRET to be possible.^[30,31] In addition, the semiconductor should also be thin enough such that light absorption rather than charge carrier transport within the semiconductor is limiting factor for photocurrent generation. For the semiconductor, $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) was chosen due to its bandgap (2.25 eV) which overlaps with the LSPR of plasmonic Au NPs and its relative PEC stability.^[32, 33] Figure 5.1 shows SEM images of synthesized BFMO thin films. From the cross-section and top-view SEM images in Figures 5.1a and 5.1b respectively, the synthesized BFMO thin films are between 150 – 200 nm in thickness and polycrystalline in nature with crystallites 50 – 200 nm in dimension.

Figure 5.1: (a) Cross-Section and (b) Top view SEM images of $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) thin film substrates.

To verify the successful synthesis of pure phase $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ thin films, an X-ray diffraction spectrum (Figure 5.2) was collected of the BFMO thin films on FTO glass.

Figure 5.2: X-ray Diffraction (XRD) spectrum for $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) film on fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) coated glass substrate.

From Figure 5.2, there are two distinct sets of peaks detected. The first peak set characterized by major peaks at 26.5° , 37.8° , and 51.8° matches reference data for tin oxide (SnO_2 ; ICSD 98-003-9177) consistent with the conductive FTO layer on the FTO glass substrate. The second peak set characterized by major peaks at 28.0° , 28.4° , 30.6° , 33.2° , and 34.0° are consistent with monoclinic BFMO ($\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$; ICSD 98-000-0045). The lower intensity of the BFMO peaks relative to the FTO peaks is reasonable given the differences in thickness between the BFMO

and FTO layers. No peaks corresponding to secondary impurity phases were detected within the spectrum in Figure 5.2, indicating the BFMO thin films are pure in phase.

After synthesizing pure phase BFMO thin films, in order to form an immunoassay, the BFMO are labeled with human immunoglobulin G (IGG) capture antibodies in accordance with the schematic in Figure 5.3. Liquid sample containing human IGG is deposited onto an anti-human IGG capture antibody labeled BFMO film. Human IGG within the deposited sample conjugates with the labeled human IGG capture antibodies on the surface with the number of conjugated antibodies directly proportional to the amount of human IGG contained within the deposited sample (Figure 5.3a). Subsequently, anti-human IGG capture antibody labeled Au NPs are added after the human IGG conjugation (Figure 5.3b). The antibody labeled Au NPs should only specifically bind to the antibodies on the BFMO that have already conjugated with IGG with sufficient washing to remove non-specifically bound Au NPs. To confirm successful labeling of the anti-human IGG capture antibodies onto the surface of the synthesized BFMO thin films, Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Figure 5.4) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Figure 5.5) were performed on anti-human IGG antibody labeled BFMO thin films.

(a) Human Immunoglobulin G (IGG) Containing Sample Added to BFMO-Antibody (Ab) Film

(b) Antibody Functionalized Au NPs Conjugated with BFMO-Antibody-IGG Film

Figure 5.3: Schematic for conjugation of gold nanoparticles (Au NPs) with $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) film for use as photoelectrochemical sensor for human immunoglobulin G (IGG) detection; (a) Human IGG containing sample incubated with anti-human IGG antibody (Ab) functionalized BFMO film (BFMO-Ab); (b) anti-human IGG antibody functionalized Au NPs added to BFMO-Ab-IGG film with Au NPs to bind with already conjugated human IGG.

Figure 5.4: Fourier Transform Infrared Transmission spectra of $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) film before and after labeling with anti-human IGG antibodies.

From the FTIR spectra in Figure 5.4, several new peaks appear after the human IGG labeling. The new peaks at 739, 1520, 1558, and 1635 cm^{-1} are consistent with the wagging, scissoring, and stretching modes of N-H bonds that would be present from amine ($-\text{NH}_2$) groups on the labeled anti-human IGG antibodies.^[24, 34] The peaks at 1105 and 1215 cm^{-1} are consistent with C-N stretching^[34] from the linking of the human IGG antibodies to XPS spectra of the BFMO film labeled with anti-human IGG antibodies before conjugation with human IGG (Figure 5.5) further indicates the presence of carboxylic ($-\text{COOH}$) (Figures 5.5a and 5.5c) and amine ($-\text{NH}_2$) (Figure 5.5b) functional groups consistent with the NHS-EDC linker used to attach the human IGG antibodies to the BFMO and the anti-human IGG antibodies themselves.^[35, 36] The results are consistent with the FTIR spectra in Figure 5.4.

Figure 5.5: XPS Spectra of Bi₃FeMo₂O₁₂ (BFMO) film functionalized with anti-human IGG antibodies before conjugation with IGG and Au NPs; (a) C1s; (b) N1s; (c) O1s; (d) Au4f.

After confirmation of successful anti-human IGG antibody labeling onto the BFMO film, confirmation that the Au NPs could successfully conjugate with the human IGG already conjugated with the anti-human IGG antibody labeled BFMO film is needed. To confirm successful Au NP conjugation, XPS spectra of the anti-human IGG antibody labeled BFMO were collected after Au NPs conjugation (Figure 5.6).

Figure 5.6: XPS Spectra of Bi₃FeMo₂O₁₂ (BFMO) film functionalized with IGG antibodies after conjugation with 200 ng/mL IGG and Au NPs; (a) C1s; (b) N1s; (c) O1s; (d) Au4f.

After anti-human IGG antibody labeled Au NPs have been conjugated, the C1s, N1s, and O1s (Figures 5.6a through Figures 5.6c) are similar to the XPS spectra obtained before Au NP conjugation, indicating that the surface. However, after Au NP conjugation, a new Au4f signal at 83.6 eV (Figure 5.6d) that was not present before Au NP conjugation (see Figure 5.5d), indicating successful conjugation of the Au NPs.^[37] After confirming the ability to conjugate Au NPs to the BFMO films utilizes antibody-antigen binding, PEC testing of the BFMO-antibody films and the effects of the human IGG and Au NP conjugation on the PEC performance of the BFMO-antibody films are tested using sample solutions containing 30 ng/mL of human IGG in PBS buffer.

Figure 5.7: (a) Photocurrent-Voltage (J-V) curves and (b) Mott-Schottky (M-S) plots for $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) films conjugated with IGG and Au NPs.

Conjugation with a sample solution containing 30 ng/mL human IGG and then with the antibody labeled Au NPs results in an increase in photocurrent from $4.48 \mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ to $5.65 \mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ at +0.15 V vs. Ag | AgCl (Figure 5.7a). The change in photocurrent is sufficient in magnitude to be detectable, showing the potential of this immunosensor design. In addition, conjugation with the human IGG and Au NPs does not result in a change in photocurrent onset potential or flat-band potential as measured from Mott-Schottky plots (Figure 5.7b), indicating that there is no Fermi-level equilibration between the BFMO and Au NPs.^[38, 39] The lack of Fermi-level equilibration is expected given the lack of contact between the bound Au NPs and the BFMO as the expected separation distance due to the antibody-antigen-antibody sandwich is approximately 10-20 nm depending on orientation.^[40-42] To verify that the mechanism for photocurrent enhancement by the Au NPs is PIRET, wavelength-dependent incident-photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) measurements were performed at +0.15 V vs. Ag | AgCl (Figure 5.8).

Figure 5.8: (a) Incident-Photon-to-Current Efficiency (IPCE) curves for $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) films conjugated with IGG and Au NPs measured at +0.15 V vs. Ag | AgCl; (b) Ratio of IPCE spectra for BFMO films conjugated with 30 ng/mL IGG versus 0 ng/mL IGG.

From the measured IPCE spectra, there is a decrease in IPCE after conjugation with the 30 ng/mL human IGG and Au NPs between 350 nm and 470 nm. This decrease in IPCE can be attributed primarily to two reasons. First, a slight photoelectrochemical degradation of the BFMO film occurs due to prolonged testing under applied electric bias required for IPCE measurements. In addition, Au NPs absorb light via photoexcited interband transitions between 350 – 470 nm.^[28] The light energy harvested through the Au NPs' interband transitions cannot be transferred to the BFMO film, effectively blocking a portion of usable light from reaching the BFMO. Between 480 nm and 560 nm, there is an increase in IPCE that correlates well with the LSPR of the Au NPs used for conjugation and the region of spectral overlap between the BFMO and Au NPs. In theory, this enhancement in IPCE between 480 – 560 nm could be due to either hot electron injection or PIRET. However, the separation between the conjugated Au NPs and BFMO film due to the antibody-antigen-antibody sandwich prevents electrical contact needed for hot electron injection to be possible.^[26-29] As such, the likely photocurrent enhancement mechanism is PIRET as expected. UV-Visible light absorption spectroscopy was performed to see the effects of the conjugated Au NPs on the overall light absorption of the BFMO thin films (Figure 5.9). The UV-Visible light absorption spectra (Figure 5.9a) taken before and after conjugation of 100 ng/mL human IGG and Au NPs shows a small but clear increase in light absorption at wavelengths below 600 nm. Taking the difference in absorption (ΔAbs) spectra taken before and after human IGG and Au NPs conjugation (Figure 5.9b), the difference in absorption matches the expected absorption spectrum shape of Au NPs with a clear peak centered at 530 nm and rising background consistent with the interband transition of Au. The interband transition absorption at wavelengths below 480 nm acts as a parasitic absorption that blocks light from the BFMO. Since it has been shown that the photocurrent can be enhanced by the conjugated Au NPs, a sensitivity curve for the BFMO PIRET-based immunosensor is tested (Figure 5.10).

Figure 5.9: (a) UV-Visible light absorbance spectrum of $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) film functionalized with IGG antibodies before and after conjugation with 100 ng/mL IGG and Au NPs; (b) Change in absorbance after conjugation with 100 ng/mL IGG and Au NPs.

Figure 5.10: (a) Baseline subtracted photocurrent for BFMO Films conjugated with IGG and Au NPs as a function of IGG concentration; (b) Linear (0.1 – 250 ng/mL) range of the photocurrent increase curve with linear fit.

From Figure 5.10a, there is a sudden and obvious increase in photocurrent even after small amounts (100 pg/mL) of human IGG are conjugated to the BFMO-antibody film with the rate of photocurrent increase beginning to level off after concentrations on the order of 10 ng/mL human IGG. When plotted on a log-linear plot (Figure 5.10b), a mostly linear slope can be seen for the photocurrent increase as a logarithm of the conjugated human IGG concentration up to 250 ng/mL, the highest concentration tested. On the basis of linear slope and standard deviation of measurements in Figure 5.10b (see Section 5.4.8 for the calculation details), a limit of detection of 47 pg/mL was obtained. However, the magnitude of the photocurrent increase detected in response to conjugated human IGG and Au NPs ($\sim 87 \text{ nA}/\text{cm}^2$ per decade) is low enough that increased noise present during measurements may influence the accuracy of results. Figure 5.11 shows the selectivity of the photocurrent change of the BFMO-IGG-Au photoelectrodes towards human IGG with and without the presence of human anti-mouse antibody and human anti-goat antibody as interference biomolecules commonly found in human blood.

Figure 5.11: Signal intensity change in measured photocurrent signal of the BFMO-IGG-Au in the presence of IGG only, IGG and interference biomolecules (human anti-mouse antibody and human anti-goat antibody), versus photocurrent measured using a 0 ng/mL human IGG sample as a baseline.

As can be seen from Figure 5.11, there are photocurrent increases $7.33 \pm 0.19\%$ and $8.10 \pm 1.09\%$ increase in photocurrent for the BFMO-IGG-Au photoelectrodes when human IGG and human IGG mixed with the interference biomolecules were incubated with the BFMO-antibody films before Au NPs respectively. The positive photocurrent increases on the same magnitude when incubating the BFMO-antibody photoelectrodes with either human IGG or the human IGG and the interference biomolecules indicates that the tested interference biomolecules do not interfere with human IGG conjugation to the BFMO-antibody photoelectrodes. This indicates that the BFMO PIRET-based PEC immunosensor is selective towards human IGG as a test analyte with very limited interference from the other biomolecules tested.

The overall low sensitivity of the as-designed BFMO PIRET-based PEC immunosensor is mainly due to the limitations of the materials selected. First, while BFMO has a 2.25 eV bandgap that allows for spectral overlap with the LSPR spherical Au NPs between 480 – 560 nm, the overall IPCE within this spectral overlap region is low for BFMO in general. The low IPCE is due to a combination of a low absorption coefficient at these wavelengths and poor charge transport due to the formation of small polarons within this spectral window.^[32] The main consequence of the low absorption coefficient for BFMO within the spectral overlap region is that it results in a weak acceptor dipole strength that limits the strength of the dipole-dipole interactions that enable PIRET to happen. The dipole-dipole interaction strength is further weakened by the relatively large separation distance (~10-20 nm) between the conjugated Au NPs and BFMO film as the strength of PIRET has r_o/r^6 dependence with r and r_o representing the separation distance between the semiconductor acceptor and plasmonic nanoparticle donor and the separation distance between semiconductor and plasmonic nanoparticles where the PIRET efficiency is equal to 50%.^[30, 31] Due to the discussed issues with BFMO for application in this PIRET-based PEC immunosensor, a different semiconductor that is more sensitive towards PIRET may be used to replace BFMO along with another plasmonic nanoparticle probe designed such that the separation distance between the plasmonic nanoparticle probe and semiconductor photoelectrode is decreased.

However, a suitable replacement semiconductor candidate or plasmonic nanoparticle probe design have not been tested. Further research will focus on improving the PIRET-based PEC immunosensor design.

5.3 Conclusions

In this chapter, a PEC immunosensor utilizing PIRET from Au NPs conjugated with a BFMO semiconductor thin film. Human IGG was used as a protein biomarker for proof-of-concept testing. BFMO thin films and Au NPs were successfully labeled with anti-human IGG capture antibodies. Successful conjugation of the Au NPs and the BFMO through antibody-antigen reactions was confirmed using XPS. PEC performance testing shows that conjugation of human IGG and the Au NPs to the BFMO thin films enhanced the PEC performance versus BFMO only with the photocurrent enhancement mechanism confirmed as PIRET. Sensitivity testing of the BFMO PIRET-based PEC immunosensor as a function of human IGG concentration showed a low sensitivity (87 nA/cm² per decade increase in IGG concentration) that was selective to human IGG only. The as-designed PIRET-based PEC immunosensor requires further refinement.

5.4 Methods

5.4.1 Materials

All chemicals and materials were used as received without further purification. (3-aminopropyl)trimethoxysilane (97%), ammonium hydroxide (28% NH₃ in H₂O), bismuth (III) nitrate pentahydrate (ACS, 98%), hydrochloric acid (36% w/w), hydrogen tetrachloroaurate (III) trihydrate (ACS, 99.99%), iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate (98+% metals basis), and trisodium citrate dihydrate (ACS, 99.0% min) were purchased from Alfa-Aesar. Acetone, citric acid, isopropyl alcohol, reagent alcohol, and sucrose were purchased from VWR International. Triethoxysilylpropyl succinic anhydride (TEPSA) was purchased from Gelest, Inc. 1-ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino)-propyl) carbodiimide (EDC), bovine serum albumin (BSA), deoxyadenosine triphosphate (dATP), goat anti-human IgG polyclonal antibody, human anti-mouse antibody, human anti-goat antibody, IgG from human serum, N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH = 7.4) tablets, sodium chloride, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), sodium phosphate (Na₃PO₄·12H₂O), and Tween 20 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate ((NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O) was purchased from Ward's Science. Fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) coated glass (TEC 15) was purchased from MTI Corporation.

5.4.2 Characterization

UV-Visible light absorption spectra were measured using a Shimadzu UV-2550 spectrometer with an integrating sphere (Shimadzu UV 2401/2) using BaSO₄ as an optical “white”

reference material. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) was performed using a Hitachi S-4700 FESEM. X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra were collected using a PANalytical X'pert Pro X-ray diffractometer. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was performed using a Thermo Scientific Nicolet 6700 spectrometer using an attenuated total reflection (ATR) accessory. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a Physical Electronics Versa Probe 5000. Obtained XPS spectra were corrected for charging using the adventitious carbon C1s peak at 284.8 eV as a reference.

5.4.3 Gold Nanoparticles (Au NPs Synthesis)

Gold nanoparticles (Au NPs) were synthesized using a traditional citrate reduction method^[43, 44]. In a typical synthesis, 0.0197 g of hydrogen tetrachloroaurate (III) trihydrate ($\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$) was dissolved in 100 mL of DI water. This solution was then heated to boiling. Once the $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution was boiling, 3 mL of a 1% (w/v) trisodium citrate dihydrate in DI water solution was added to the $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution where the color changed from light yellow to wine-red over a period of a few minutes. The $\text{HAuCl}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ /citrate solution was boiled continuously for another 30 minutes and then allowed to cool to room temperature naturally. The as-prepared Au NPs solution was stored for further use without further purification.

5.4.4 $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) Thin Film Synthesis

$\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) thin films were synthesized using a modified “Pechini” complex precursor containing Bi(III), Fe(III), and Mo(VI) salts as metal sources. 2.91 g of bismuth (III) nitrate pentahydrate and 0.808 g of iron (III) nitrate were dissolved in 10 mL of ethylene glycol. Then, 0.706 g of ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate and 4.61 g of citric acid were added and dissolved in the precursor solution. The BFMO precursor solution was stirred overnight at room temperature before use.

FTO glass substrates were cleaned by alternating ultrasonication in reagent alcohol, 9% w/w hydrochloric acid, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol for 20 minutes each followed by treatment under a radio frequency excited oxygen plasma for 90 seconds to ensure substrate hydrophilicity. BFMO deposition onto the cleaned FTO glass substrates was performed via spin coating of the BFMO precursor (4000 RPM, 100 seconds) followed by drying the films at 225 °C for 15 minutes. The BFMO films were then placed into a muffle furnace and sintered at 650 °C for 1 hour (1 °C/min ramp from 25 °C to 600 °C with 10 °C/min ramp from 600 °C to 650 °C; cooling to 25 °C at 10 °C/min).

5.4.5 Labeling Anti-Human Immunoglobulin G (IgG) Capture Antibody onto BFMO Films

Anti-human IgG capture antibodies were labeled onto the BFMO thin films based on procedures in literature.^[45] The BFMO thin films were first cleaned by successive immersion in ethanol and DI water each for 10 min. The cleaned BFMO films were incubated overnight in an ethanolic solution containing 0.5% TEPSA and then washed with ethanol to remove free TEPSA. The resulting TEPSA-modified chips were activated by immersion in a PBS solution containing 50 mM NHS and 200 mM EDC. After being washed with PBS solution, chips were incubated overnight in PBS solution containing 1 mg/mL of anti-human IgG antibody, followed by rigorously washing with PBS solution to remove free anti-human IgG antibody and kept in a humid chamber prior to assay. The conjugation of antibody onto the chip surface was confirmed by FT-IR spectroscopy.

5.4.6 Labeling Anti-Human IgG Capture Antibody onto Au NPs

Five milliliters of Au nanospheres were concentrated into one milliliter. Then 0.01 mg of anti-human IgG antibody was added to the concentrated nanoparticles (pH 9.0). The mixture was gently incubated for 1 h and blocked by 0.1 mL of 10 wt% BSA for 30 min. The resulting solution was centrifuged at 12,500 rpm for 15 min, and the nanoparticles were washed with PBS (1% BSA) 3 times. The resulting nanoparticles were dispensed in 1.0 mL of Eluent buffer containing 20 mM $\text{Na}_3\text{PO}_4 \cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 0.25% Tween 20, 10% sucrose, and 5% BSA and stored under 4 °C for future use.

5.4.7 Photoelectrochemical (PEC) Testing

All photoelectrochemical (PEC) testing was performed using a three-electrode cell configuration in a 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (pH = 7.4) aqueous electrolyte. BFMO-Antibody thin films with and without Au NPs functionalization were used as the working electrodes. An Ag|AgCl electrode (Sat. KCl; $E^\circ = +0.197$ V vs. NHE) and a platinum mesh were used as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively. All PEC measurements were made using a Gamry Reference 3000 potentiostat/galvanostat/ZRA instrument.

J-V curves were recorded using simulated sunlight from a 300 W Xe arc lamp with an AM1.5G filter calibrated to 100 mW/cm² using a thermopile sensor (Newport 818P) as the light source. Wavelength-dependent incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) measurements were performed using light from the 300 W Xe arc lamp channeled through a monochromator (Oriel

Cornerstone™ 130 1/8m) as the light source. Wavelength-dependent optical power measurements were performed using a Newport 71675 silicon photodiode detector. The IPCE for a given light wavelength was calculated using Equation 1:^[46]

$$IPCE = \frac{1240 J}{\lambda \cdot P}, \quad (1)$$

where J (in mA/cm²) is the photocurrent measured under a given light wavelength (λ in nm) and P is the optical power density (in mW/cm²) of the incident light at a given light wavelength.

Mott-Schottky (M-S) plots were obtained at $f = 5000$ Hz with an applied AC bias of 10 mV RMS. The obtained electrochemical impedance spectra were used to calculate the space charge capacitance using Equation 2:^[47]

$$Z_{img} = \frac{1}{2\pi f C}, \quad (2)$$

where Z_{img} is the imaginary component of the measured electrochemical impedance, f is the frequency of the applied AC bias, and C is the space charge capacitance of the sample.

5.4.8 Human IGG PEC Sensor Testing

Initially, the baseline PEC performance (J-V curves, M-S, and IPCE) of BFMO films labeled with the anti-human IGG capture antibody. Then, the BFMO films are conjugated with Au NPs in a two-step process. 20 μ L of target solution containing various human IGG concentrations (0-250 ng/mL) were dropped onto the detection area of the BFMO-Ab chip. After incubation for 20 min, the BFMO-Ab-IGG film was vigorously rinsed with PBS to remove non-specifically bound human IGG. Then, 20 μ L of the synthesized anti-human IGG antibody labeled Au NPs were dropped onto the detection area and incubated for 30 min, followed by rinsing with PBS to remove free conjugates. The resulting BFMO-Ab-IGG-Ab-Au film was subjected to the PEC measurements outlined in Section 5.4.7.

The sensitivity of the BFMO-Ab-IGG-Ab-Au photoelectrodes towards human IGG was measured from chronoamperometry (J-t) curves taken at +0.15 V vs. Ag | AgCl using simulated sunlight from 300 W Xe lamp calibrated to 100 mW/cm². The limit of detection of the BFMO-DNA-Au was determined using Equation 3:^[48]

$$LOD = 10^{\frac{3 \cdot (SD)}{Slope}}, \quad (3)$$

where LOD is the limit of detection for human IGG, SD is the standard deviation of the photocurrent during measurement of a blank sample (0 ng/mL of human IGG), and $Slope$ is the slope of the linear region of the photocurrent as a function of added human IGG concentration.

5.5 References

- [1] Felix, F. S.; Angnes, L. Electrochemical immunosensors – A powerful tool for analytical applications. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2018**, *102*, 470-478.
- [2] Lei, K. F. Electrical detection of sandwich immunoassay on indium tin oxide interdigitated electrodes *Micro Nano Lett.*, **2011**, *6*, 157-160.
- [3] Zhao, W.-W.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Photoelectrochemical immunoassays *Anal. Chem.*, **2018**, *90*, 615-627.
- [4] Liu, H.; Rong, P.; Jia, H.; Yang, J.; Dong, B.; Dong, Q.; Yang, C.; Hu, P.; Wang, W.; Liu, H.; Liu, D. A wash-free homogeneous colorimetric immunoassay method *Theranostics*, **2016**, *6*, 54-64.
- [5] Alamer, S.; Eissa, S.; Chinnappan, R.; Zourob, M. A rapid colorimetric immunoassay for the detection of pathogenic bacteria on poultry processing plants using cotton swabs and nanobeads *Microchim. Acta*, **2018**, *185*, 164.
- [6] Li, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wang, J.; Tang, Z.; Pounds, J. G.; Lin, Y. Rapid and sensitive detection of protein biomarker using a portable fluorescence biosensor based on quantum dots and a lateral flow test strip *Anal. Chem.*, **2010**, *82*, 7008-7014.
- [7] Chen, R.; Huang, X.; Li, J.; Shan, S.; Lai, W.; Xiong, Y. A novel fluorescence immunoassay for the sensitive detection of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in milk based on catalase-mediated fluorescence quenching of CdTe quantum dots *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2016**, *947*, 50-57.
- [8] Gao, X.; Zheng, P.; Kasani, S.; Wu, S.; Yang, F.; Lewis, S.; Nayeem, S.; Engler-Chiurazzi, E. B.; Wigginton, J. G.; Simpkins, J. W.; Wu, N. Paper-based surface-enhanced Raman scattering lateral flow strip for detection of neuron-specific enolase in blood plasma *Anal. Chem.*, **2017**, *89*, 10104-10110.
- [9] Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Li, R.; Khan, M. S.; Gao, P.; Zhang, Y.; Wei, Q. Visible-light driven photoelectrochemical immunosensor based on SnS₂@mpg-C₃N₄ for detection of prostate specific antigen *Sci. Rep.*, **2017**, *7*, 4629.
- [10] Liu, Y.; Yan, T.; Li, Y.; Cao, W.; Pang, X.; Wu, D.; Wei, Q. A simple label-free photoelectrochemical immunosensor for highly sensitive detection of aflatoxin B₁ based on CdS-Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanocomposites *RSC Adv.*, **2015**, *5*, 19581-19586.
- [11] An, Y.; Tang, L.; Jiang, X.; Chen, H.; Yang, M.; Jin, L.; Zhang, S.; Wang, C.; Zhang, W. A photoelectrochemical immunosensor based on Au-doped TiO₂ nanotube arrays for the detection of α -synuclein *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2010**, *16*, 14439-14446.

- [12] Wang, Y.; Fan, D.; Zhao, G.; Feng, J.; Wei, D.; Zhang, N.; Cao, W.; Du, B.; Wei, Q. Ultrasensitive photoelectrochemical immunosensor for the detection of amyloid β -protein based on $\text{SnO}_2/\text{SnS}_2\text{Ag}_2\text{S}$ nanocomposites *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2018**, *120*, 1-7.
- [13] Wang, H.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Q.; Ren, X.; Wu, D.; Wei, Q. Photoelectrochemical immunosensor for detection of carcinoembryonic antigen based on 2D TiO_2 nanosheets and carboxylated graphitic carbon nitride *Sci. Rep.*, **2016**, *6*, 27385.
- [14] Shu, J.; Tang, D. Current advances in quantum-dots-based photoelectrochemical immunoassays *Chem. Asian J.*, **2017**, *12*, 2780-2789.
- [15] Li, Y.-J.; Ma, M.-J.; Zhu, J.-J. Dual-signal amplification strategy for ultrasensitive photoelectrochemical immunosensing of α -fetoprotein *Anal. Chem.*, **2012**, *84*, 10492-10499.
- [16] Haddour, N.; Chauvin, J.; Gondran, C.; Cosnier, S. Photoelectrochemical immunosensor for label-free detection and quantification of anti-cholera toxin antibody *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2006**, *128*, 9693-9698.
- [17] Yang, H.; Zhao, X.; Wang, H.; Deng, W.; Tan, Y.; Ma, M.; Xie, Q. Sensitive photoelectrochemical immunoassay of *Staphylococcus aureus* based on one-pot electrodeposited ZnS/CdS heterojunction nanoparticles *Analyst*, **2020**, *148*, 165-171.
- [18] Zhao, W.-W.; Chen, R.; Dai, P.-P.; Li, X.-L.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. A general strategy for photoelectrochemical immunoassay using an enzyme label combined with a CdS quantum dot/ TiO_2 nanoparticle composite electrode *Anal. Chem.*, **2014**, *86*, 11513-11516.
- [19] Zhu, Y.-C.; Zhang, N.; Ruan, Y.-F.; Zhao, W.-W.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Alkaline phosphatase tagged antibodies on gold nanoparticles/ TiO_2 nanotubes electrode: A plasmonic strategy for label-free and amplified photoelectrochemical immunoassay *Anal. Chem.*, **2016**, *88*, 5626-5630.
- [20] Lv, S.; Zhang, K.; Lin, Z.; Tang, D. Novel photoelectrochemical immunosensor for disease-related protein assisted by hemin/G-quadruplex-based DNAzyme on gold nanoparticles to enhance cathodic photocurrent on p- CuBi_2O_4 semiconductor *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2017**, *96*, 317-323.
- [21] Hu, Y.; Huang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Wang, Y.; Ye, X.; Wong, W.; Li, C.; Sun, D. Gold/ WS_2 nanocomposites fabricated by in-situ ultrasonication and assembling for photoelectrochemical immunosensing of carcinoembryonic antigen *Microchim. Acta*, **2018**, *185*, 570.
- [22] Dong, Y.-X.; Cao, J.-T.; Liu, Y.-M.; Ma, S.-H. A novel immunosensing platform for highly sensitive prostate specific antigen detection based on dual-quenching of photocurrent from CdSe sensitized TiO_2 electrode by gold nanoparticles decorated polydopamine nanospheres *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2017**, *91*, 246-252.

- [23] Wang, Y.; Yu, X.; Ye, X.; Wu, K.; Wu, T.; Li, C.; Resonance energy transfer between ZnCdHgSe quantum dots and gold nanorods enhancing photoelectrochemical immunosensing of prostate specific antigen *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2016**, *943*, 106-113.
- [24] Vashist, S. K.; Schneider, E. M.; Lam, E.; Hrapovic, S.; Luang, J. H. T. One-step antibody immobilization-based rapid and highly sensitive sandwich ELISA procedure for potential in vitro diagnostics *Sci. Rep.*, **2014**, *4*, 4407.
- [25] Lequin, R. M. Enzyme immunoassay (EIA)/enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) *Clin. Chem.*, **2005**, *51*, 2415-2418.
- [26] Tian, Y.; Tatsuma, T., Plasmon-induced photoelectrochemistry at metal nanoparticles supported on nanoporous TiO₂ *Chem. Commun.*, **2004**, 1810-1811.
- [27] Yu, K.; Tian, Y.; Tatsuma, T., Size effects of gold nanoparticles on plasmon-induced photocurrents of gold–TiO₂ nanocomposites *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2006**, *8*, 5417-5420.
- [28] Clavero, C. Plasmon-induced hot-electron generation at nanoparticle/metal-oxide interfaces for photovoltaic and photocatalytic devices *Nat. Photon.*, **2014**, *8*, 95-103.
- [29] Leenheer, A. J.; Narang, P.; Lewis, N. S.; Atwater, H. A. Solar energy conversion via hot electron internal photoemission in metallic nanostructures: efficiency estimates. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2014**, *115*, 134301.
- [30] Cushing, S. K.; Li, J.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Suri, S.; Zhi, M.; Li, M.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Photocatalytic activity enhanced by plasmonic resonant energy transfer from metal to semiconductor *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, *134*, 15033-15041.
- [31] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Plasmon-induced resonance energy transfer for solar energy conversion *Nat. Photon.*, **2015**, *9*, 601-607.
- [32] Liu, B.; Yasin, A. S.; Musho, T.; Bright, J.; Tang, H.; Huang, L.; Wu, N. Visible-light bismuth iron molybdate photocatalyst for artificial nitrogen fixation *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **2019**, *166*, H3091-H3096.
- [33] Nie, X.; Wulayin, W.; Song, T.; Wu, M.; Qiao, X. Surface, optical characteristics and photocatalytic ability of Scheelite-type monoclinic Bi₃FeMo₂O₁₂ nanoparticles *App. Surf. Sci.*, **2016**, *387*, 351-357.
- [34] Glassford, S. E.; Byrne, B.; Kazarian, S. G. Recent applications of ATR FTIR spectroscopy and imaging to proteins *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **2013**, *1834*, 2849-2858.
- [35] Moulder, J. F.; Stickle, W. F.; Sobol, P. E.; Bomben, K. D. *Handbook of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy*; Perkin-Elmer Corporation: 1992; pp 41.
- [36] Moulder, J. F.; Stickle, W. F.; Sobol, P. E.; Bomben, K. D. *Handbook of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy*; Perkin-Elmer Corporation: 1992; pp 227-228.

- [37] Moulder, J. F.; Stickle, W. F.; Sobol, P. E.; Bomben, K. D. *Handbook of X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy*; Perkin-Elmer Corporation: 1992; pp 183.
- [38] Subramanian, V.; Wolf, E. E.; Kamat, P. V. Catalysis with TiO₂/gold nanocomposites. effect of metal particle size on the Fermi level equilibration. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 4943-4950.
- [39] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Chu, D.; Zheng, P.; Bright, J.; Castle, C.; Manivannan, A.; Wu, N. Distinguishing surface effects of gold nanoparticles from plasmonic effect on photoelectrochemical water splitting by hematite. *J. Mater. Res.* **2016**, 31, 1608-1615.
- [40] Wadu-Mesthrige, K.; Amro, N. A.; Garino, J. C.; Xu, S.; Liu, G.-Y. Fabrication of nanometer-sized protein patterns using atomic force microscopy and selective immobilization *Biophys. J.*, **2001**, 80, 1891-1899.
- [41] Reth, M. Matching cellular dimensions with molecular sizes *Nat. Immunol.*, **2013**, 14, 765-767.
- [42] Sheriff, S.; Silverton, E. W.; Padlan, E. A.; Cohen, G. H.; Smith-Gill, S. J.; Finzel, B. C.; Davies, D. R. Three-dimensional structure of an antibody-antigen complex *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **1987**, 84, 8075-8079.
- [43] Frens, G. Controlled nucleation for the regulation of the particle size in monodisperse gold suspensions. *Nat. Phys. Sci.* **1973**, 241, 20-22.
- [44] Kimling, J.; Maier, M.; Okenve, B.; Kotaidis, V.; Ballot, H.; Plech, A. Turkevich method for gold nanoparticle synthesis revisited. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, 110, 15700-15707.
- [45] Zheng, P.; Li, M.; Jurevic, R.; Cushing, S. K.; Liu, Y.; Wu, N. A gold nanohole array based surface-enhanced Raman scattering biosensor for detection of silver (I) and mercury (II) in human saliva. *Nanoscale* **2015**, 7, 11005-11012.
- [46] Bak, T.; Nowotny, J.; Rekas, M.; Sorrell, C. C. Photo-electrochemical hydrogen generation from water using solar energy. Materials-related aspects. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2002**, 27, 991-1022.
- [47] Bott, A. W. Electrochemistry of semiconductors. *Current Separations* **1998**, 17, 87-91.
- [48] Long, G. L.; Winefordner, J. D. Limit of detection. A closer look at the IUPAC definition. *Anal. Chem.* **1983**, 55, 712-724.

Chapter 6: Future Outlook and Conclusions

6.1 Refining the PIRET Immunosensor Design

As stated in Chapter 5 of this dissertation, the existing plasmon-induced resonant energy transfer (PIRET)-based PEC immunosensor design based on antibody labeled $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) semiconductor thin film coupled to antibody-labeled plasmonic Au nanoparticle (Au NPs) probes using the desired antigen analyte to conjugate them does function as a PEC immunosensor. However, the existing design has low sensitivity in its current form. The sources of the low sensitivity are briefly discussed in Chapter 5, but this discussion will be expanded upon further in this chapter.

When utilizing PIRET to improve light harvesting of the semiconductor as the working mechanism to increase the photocurrent in the PIRET immunosensor design, the semiconductor must still have a finite amount of light absorption within the region of spectral overlap to facilitate the dipole-dipole interactions for PIRET.^[1,2] However, despite the need for finite semiconductor light absorption, the balance between light absorption and charge transport within the semiconductor photoelectrode must still be maintained. If the semiconductor film is still optically thin (i.e. not all light is absorbed by the semiconductor film) but physically too thick for all photoexcited charge to be collected, the semiconductor photoelectrode performance will be charge transport limited which PIRET cannot overcome. In the case of the BFMO photoelectrodes used, the dipole-dipole interactions with Au NPs were weak due in part to the low light absorption within the region of spectral overlap with the plasmonic Au nanoparticle probes.

Due to the relative ease of synthesis, spherical Au nanospheres make for an ideal plasmonic nanoparticle probe. If the plasmonic nanoparticle probe is kept as spherical Au NPs ($\lambda_{\text{LSPR}} \approx 520$ nm), candidate semiconductors to replace BFMO would need to have a bandgap between 2.0 eV ($\lambda_{\text{onset}} = 620$ nm) and 2.5 eV ($\lambda_{\text{onset}} = 496$ nm) to ensure at least partial spectral overlap with the LSPR of the Au NPs. In addition, candidate semiconductors should be either be photoelectrochemically stable in neutral aqueous electrolytes or easily stabilized by charge carrier scavengers or ultra-thin passivation overlayers. Use of charge carrier scavengers or redox couples such as ascorbic acid or ferrocyanide/ferricyanide is already common with PEC sensors due to general photocurrent increases from using readily reduced or oxidized compounds versus difficult to reduce or oxidize compounds like protons or water respectively.^[3-11] However, the use of passivation overlayers is not preferable for the PIRET-based PEC immunosensor since the overlayer would be an additional physical barrier that separates the plasmonic nanoprobe and semiconductor photoelectrode that lowers the PIRET efficiency. Based on these guidelines, the following semiconductors may be potential candidates to replace BFMO as the semiconductor in the PIRET-based PEC immunosensor design (Table 6.1):

Table 6.1: Candidate Semiconductors to Replace Bi₃FeMo₂O₁₂ (BFMO) in the PIRET-based PEC Immunosensor

Semiconductor	Bandgap (eV)	Semiconductor Type	Stable in Neutral Aqueous Electrolyte?
Copper (I) Oxide (Cu ₂ O) [12-14]	2.1	p-type	No
Bismuth Vanadate (BiVO ₄) [15-17]	2.4	n-type	No
Copper (II) Tungstate (CuWO ₄) [18-20]	2.25	n-type	Yes
Cadmium Sulfide (CdS) [4, 10, 21]	2.4	n-type	No

Of the candidate semiconductors in Table 6.1, copper (I) oxide (Cu₂O) and cadmium sulfide (CdS) are most commonly used in sensors. PIRET between Cu₂O and Au NPs has already been studied extensively. As such, there are no mechanistic concerns whether PIRET into Cu₂O is possible. However, under cathodic PEC operating conditions, Cu₂O is not stable due to parasitic reduction of the Cu₂O to metallic Cu discussed in detail in Chapter 2.3.^[12] Cu₂O can be stabilized at least in part using passivation overlayers and using electron scavengers such as methyl viologen or hydrogen peroxide.^[13, 14] However, the stability of the labeled antibodies in the presence of methyl viologen and hydrogen peroxide must be further studied. Cadmium sulfide is commonly utilized in PEC sensors including those based on Förster resonant energy transfer (FRET) with spherical Au NPs.^[4, 10] CdS can also be readily stabilized using hole scavengers such as sodium sulfite, sodium sulfide, and ascorbic acid.^[21] However, the ease of FRET between CdS and Au NPs is also problematic for use a PIRET sensor since the direction of FRET counters any photocurrent enhancement that would occur from PIRET.^[2, 4] In addition, CdS utilizes cadmium, a heavy metal, as a constituent material. The toxicity of cadmium should limit the use of CdS to controlled settings where the cadmium can be contained. Bismuth vanadate (BiVO₄) and copper (II) tungstate (CuWO₄) are both oxide semiconductors that have been heavily studied for PEC water-splitting for solar energy harvesting with limited use for sensing. BiVO₄ is one of the most promising material for PEC water-splitting. In addition, PIRET between spherical Au NPs and BiVO₄ has been previously observed.^[16, 17] However, BiVO₄ is not stable in neutral aqueous electrolytes without either a passivation overlayer or a hole scavenger. CuWO₄ is stable in neutral aqueous borate buffers but not in neutral aqueous phosphate buffers.^[18] However, there is limited study on plasmonic energy transfer between plasmonic nanoparticles and CuWO₄.^[20] Nonetheless, both BiVO₄ and CuWO₄ are potential replacement photoelectrode materials for consideration.

The large separation distance (~10-20) nm) between the BFMO thin film and plasmonic Au nanoparticle probes resulting from the antibody-antigen-antibody sandwich that conjugates the BFMO and Au NPs together.^[22-24] As described previously, PIRET is a resonant energy transfer process that utilizes dipole-dipole interactions to transfer energy from a plasmonic nanoparticle

donor to a nearby semiconductor acceptor. While PIRET does not require direct contact between the semiconductor and plasmonic nanoparticle to occur, the efficiency of PIRET falls off with a (r_o/r^6) dependence with r and r_o representing the separation distance between the semiconductor acceptor and plasmonic nanoparticle donor and the separation distance between semiconductor and plasmonic nanoparticles where the PIRET efficiency is equal to 50%.^[2] While r_o is an empirically derived semiconductor material dependent constant typically on the order of ~ 10 nm, there is a rapid drop-off in the PIRET efficiency as the separation distance increases. The practical separation distance of 10-20 nm from the antibody-antigen-antibody sandwich is too much for efficient PIRET to occur between the BFMO and Au NPs in the existing PIRET immunosensor design. It should be noted that this is a similar problem for signal “turn-off” PEC immunosensors based on signal quenching by Förster resonant energy transfer (FRET) between conjugated plasmonic nanoparticles and a semiconductor photoelectrode as a working mechanism. Similar approaches for overcoming the separation distance problem in FRET-based PEC immunosensors may be applicable to the PIRET immunosensor.

A possible solution to reduce the separation distance would be to create a plasmonic nanoprobe based on plasmonic nanoparticles conjugated with single-strand DNA-antibody (ssDNA-Ab) conjugates rather than only to antibodies (Figure 6.1). Such ssDNA-AB conjugates are already studied for their applications for immuno-PCR, a technique used for protein detection.^[26, 27] In the proposed plasmonic nanoparticle probes, long single stranded DNA strands would act as flexible tethers that allow conjugated Au NPs to rest very near or in contact with the semiconductor photoelectrode. This approach is similar in concept to the use of compatible ssDNA of mismatched length conjugated to Au NPs utilized for the PEC sensor for Hg^{2+} detection presented in Chapter 4 of this dissertation. The resulting reduced separation distance between the plasmonic nanoprobe and semiconductor film should greatly increase the PIRET efficiency while hopefully offsetting additional steric hinderance from the additional bulk of the ssDNA-Ab conjugates.

Figure 6.1: Proposed replacement plasmonic Au NP nanoprobe conjugate system for conjugating Au NPs to $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) semiconductor film for PIRET-based PEC immunosensor.

6.2 Conclusions

In this dissertation, three photoelectrochemistry (PEC) based projects utilizing semiconductor photoelectrodes are presented for applications related to solar energy harvesting and sensing. In the first presented project, a titanium dioxide (TiO_2) nanorod array was coated with an ultra-thin zirconium and Co^{3+} infiltrated porphyrin-based metal-organic framework (MOF) known as PCN-225 for use as an improved photoanode for solar water-splitting. The TiO_2 nanorod array coated with the Co^{3+} infiltrated PCN-225 MOF ($\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$) exhibited enhanced PEC performance for solar water-splitting. Despite the wide absorption spectrum of the PCN-225 MOF, the enhanced solar-water splitting performance of the $\text{TiO}_2@\text{Co-MOF}$ was confined to light illumination wavelengths where the TiO_2 nanorod array already absorbed rather than extending the spectrum of useful light wavelengths. The photocurrent enhancement from the Co^{3+} infiltrated PCN-225 MOF coating is due to improved charge separation from the suppression of surface states on the TiO_2 and the built-in potential from a depleted p-n junction formed between the PCN-225 and TiO_2 . This research further shows the potential of thin surface coatings for improving the PEC performance and the difficulties in utilizing metal-organic frameworks for efficient PEC water-splitting.

In the second and third projects presented, a phenomenon known as localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) that occurs with nanoparticles of certain metals such as Au and Ag is utilized to modulate the PEC response of $\text{Bi}_3\text{FeMo}_2\text{O}_{12}$ (BFMO) semiconductor photoelectrodes for sensing applications. In the second presented project, the presence of plasmonic energy transfer mechanisms such as hot electron injection and plasmon-induced resonant energy transfer (PIRET) as signal “turn-on” mechanisms for use in PEC sensing. Single-strand DNA (ss-DNA) and Hg^{2+} ions’ affinity for the thymine bases on DNA were used to conjugate plasmonic Au NPs and Au@ SiO_2 core-shell NPs to BFMO semiconductor thin-film photoelectrodes. When Au NPs were conjugated with the BFMO thin-film, a combination of hot electron injection, PIRET, wavelength-independent Fermi-level equilibration, and a wavelength-dependent internal reflection that increased light absorption within the BFMO photoelectrode increased the photocurrent of the BFMO photoelectrode. When Au@ SiO_2 NPs were conjugated to the BFMO thin-film, the thin insulating SiO_2 layer blocked hot electron injection and prevented Fermi level equilibration while PIRET and the wavelength dependent internal reflection still occurred. A PEC sensor for Hg^{2+} detection was created using bare Au NPs as the plasmonic probe and demonstrated a sensitivity and selectivity for detection of Hg^{2+} ions in water sufficient to meet detection requirements for safe drinking and waste-water limits on Hg^{2+} ions. levels. The results from this project highlight the promise that plasmonic energy transfer mechanisms have as a method to modulate the PEC response of photoelectrodes for sensing applications.

In the third project, the proof-of-concept for a PEC immunosensor for human immunoglobulin G (IgG) detection utilizing PIRET from plasmonic Au NP nanoparticle-based probes to a BFMO semiconductor thin film as the working mechanism for photocurrent modulation. The resulting PIRET-based PEC immunosensor did exhibit a wavelength-dependent photocurrent enhancement consistent with PIRET between the Au nanoprobe and the BFMO thin film. However, while the PEC immunosensor functions, the sensor exhibited low sensitivity towards human IgG due to low PIRET efficiency between the conjugated Au NPs and BFMO thin film. The low PIRET efficiency is attributed to low light absorption of the BFMO within the spectral overlap with the LSPR of the spherical Au NPs leading to low dipole-dipole interaction strength and a relatively large separation distance (10-20 nm) between the BFMO and conjugated Au NPs resulting from the size of the antibody-antigen-antibody sandwich used for conjugation. As such, further optimization of the BFMO semiconductor photoelectrode and the design of the plasmonic Au NP probes is needed to improve the PIRET-based PEC immunosensor design.

While research on PEC for solar energy harvesting applications has stagnated due to insufficient progress towards reaching efficiency and cost requirements to be competitive on the energy market, PEC for sensing applications is an alive and growing field. The potential growth in the PEC-based sensor field is due to two reasons. The first reason is that light conversion efficiency is less important than the ability to modulate the PEC performance of a photoelectrode directly or indirectly in response to the presence of an analyte for sensing applications. This allows for use of less expensive materials such as metal oxide semiconductors for the photoelectrode that may not produce as much photocurrent as more expensive III-V semiconductors. The second reason is the low equipment requirements (potentiostat, light source, and a transparent PEC cell) and technical expertise required to setup and operate the PEC experiments used for sensing. This

dissertation has focused on utilizing plasmonic photocurrent enhancement mechanisms (PIRET and hot electron injection) as a photocurrent modulation mechanism for the signal “turn-on” type of PEC sensor. While the presented results are promising, further design optimization particularly in the case of the PIRET-based PEC immunosensor is necessary for creating a sensor with the sensitivity and limit of detection required for current analytes of interest.

6.3 References

- [1] Cushing, S. K.; Li, J.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Suri, S.; Zhi, M.; Li, M.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Photocatalytic activity enhanced by plasmonic resonant energy transfer from metal to semiconductor *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, *134*, 15033-15041.
- [2] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Meng, F.; Senty, T. R.; Bristow, A. D.; Wu, N. Plasmon-induced resonance energy transfer for solar energy conversion *Nat. Photon.*, **2015**, *9*, 601-607.
- [3] Wang, Y.; Yu, X.; Ye, X.; Wu, K.; Wu, T.; Li, C.; Resonance energy transfer between ZnCdHgSe quantum dots and gold nanorods enhancing photoelectrochemical immunosensing of prostate specific antigen *Anal. Chim. Acta*, **2016**, *943*, 106-113.
- [4] Han, D.-M.; Jiang, L.-Y.; Tang, W.-Y.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. Photoelectrochemical determination of inorganic mercury ions based on energy transfer between CdS quantum dots and Au nanoparticles *Electrochem. Comm.*, 2015, *51*, 72-75.
- [5] Li, Y.-J.; Ma, M.-J.; Zhu, J.-J. Dual-signal amplification strategy for ultrasensitive photoelectrochemical immunosensing of α -fetoprotein *Anal. Chem.*, **2012**, *84*, 10492-10499.
- [6] Zhao, W.-W.; Chen, R.; Dai, P.-P.; Li, X.-L.; Xu, J.-J.; Chen, H.-Y. A general strategy for photoelectrochemical immunoassay using an enzyme label combined with a CdS quantum dot/TiO₂ nanoparticle composite electrode *Anal. Chem.*, **2014**, *86*, 11513-11516.
- [7] An, Y.; Tang, L.; Jiang, X.; Chen, H.; Yang, M.; Jin, L.; Zhang, S.; Wang, C.; Zhang, W. A photoelectrochemical immunosensor based on Au-doped TiO₂ nanotube arrays for the detection of α -synuclein *Chem. Eur. J.*, **2010**, *16*, 14439-14446.
- [8] Wang, Y.; Fan, D.; Zhao, G.; Feng, J.; Wei, D.; Zhang, N.; Cao, W.; Du, B.; Wei, Q. Ultrasensitive photoelectrochemical immunosensor for the detection of amyloid β -protein based on SnO₂/SnS₂Ag₂S nanocomposites *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2018**, *120*, 1-7.
- [9] Wang, H.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, Q.; Ren, X.; Wu, D.; Wei, Q. Photoelectrochemical immunosensor for detection of carcinoembryonic antigen based on 2D TiO₂ nanosheets and carboxylated graphitic carbon nitride *Sci. Rep.*, **2016**, *6*, 27385.

- [10] Liu, Y.; Yan, T.; Li, Y.; Cao, W.; Pang, X.; Wu, D.; Wei, Q. A simple label-free photoelectrochemical immunosensor for highly sensitive detection of aflatoxin B₁ based on CdS-Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanocomposites *RSC Adv.*, **2015**, *5*, 19581-19586.
- [11] Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Li, R.; Khan, M. S.; Gao, P.; Zhang, Y.; Wei, Q. Visible-light driven photoelectrochemical immunosensor based on SnS₂@mpg-C₃N₄ for detection of prostate specific antigen *Sci. Rep.*, **2017**, *7*, 4629.
- [12] Paracchino, A.; Brauer, J. C.; Moser, J.-E.; Thimsen, E.; Graetzel, M. Synthesis and characterization of high-photoactivity electrodeposited Cu₂O solar absorber by photoelectrochemistry and ultrafast spectroscopy *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2012**, *116*, 7341-7350.
- [13] Luo, J.; Steier, L.; Son, M.-K.; Schreier, M.; Mayer, M. T.; Grätzel, M. Cu₂O nanowire photocathodes for efficient and durable solar water splitting *Nano Lett.*, **2016**, *16*, 1848-1857.
- [14] Somasundaram, S.; Chenthamarakshan, C. R. N.; de Tacconi, N. R.; Rajeshwar, K. Photocatalytic production of hydrogen from electrodeposited p-Cu₂O film and sacrificial electron donors *Int. J. Hydrog. Ener.*, **2007**, *32*, 4661-4669.
- [15] Abdi, F. F.; van de Krol, R. Nature and light dependence of bulk recombination in Co-Pi-catalyzed BiVO₄ photoanodes *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2012**, *116*, 9398-9404.
- [16] Abdi, F. F.; Dabirian, A.; Dam, B.; van de Krol, R. Plasmonic enhancement of the optical absorption and catalytic efficiency of BiVO₄ photoanodes decorated with Ag@SiO₂ core-shell nanoparticles *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **2014**, *16*, 15272-15277.
- [17] Zhang, L.; Lin, C.-Y.; Valev, V. K.; Reisner, E.; Steiner, U.; Baumberg, J. J. Plasmonic enhancement in BiVO₄ photonic crystals for efficient water splitting *Small*, **2014**, *10*, 3970-3978.
- [18] Yourey, J. E.; Pyper, K. J.; Kurtz, J. B.; Bartlett, B. M. Chemical stability of CuWO₄ for photoelectrochemical water oxidation *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2013**, *117*, 8708-8718.
- [19] Gao, Y.; Hamann, T. W. Quantitative hole collection for photoelectrochemical water oxidation with CuWO₄ *Chem. Commun.*, **2017**, *53*, 1285-1288.
- [20] Valenti, M.; Dolat, D.; Biskos, G.; Schmidt-Ott, A.; Smith, W. A. Enhancement of the photoelectrochemical performance of CuWO₄ thin films for solar water splitting by plasmonic nanoparticle functionalization *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2015**, *119*, 2096-2104.
- [21] Li, J.; Cushing, S. K.; Zheng, P.; Senty, T.; Meng, F.; Bristow, A. D.; Manivannan, A.; Wu, N. Solar hydrogen generation by a CdS-Au-TiO₂ sandwich nanorod array enhanced with Au nanoparticle as electron relay and plasmonic photosensitizer *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2014**, *136*, 8438-8449.

- [22] Wadu-Mesthrige, K; Amro, N. A.; Garno, J. C.; Xu, S.; Liu, G.-Y. Fabrication of nanometer-sized protein patterns using atomic force microscopy and selective immobilization *Biophys. J.*, **2001**, *80*, 1891-1899.
- [23] Reth, M. Matching cellular dimensions with molecular sizes *Nat. Immunol.*, **2013**, *14*, 765-767.
- [24] Sheriff, S.; Silverton, E. W.; Padlan, E. A.; Cohen, G. H.; Smith-Gill, S. J.; Finzel, B. C.; Davies, D. R. Three-dimensional structure of an antibody-antigen complex *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **1987**, *84*, 8075-8079.
- [25] Fan, D.; Wu, D.; Cui, J.; Chen, Y.; Ma, H.; Liu, Y.; Wei, Q.; Du, B. An ultrasensitive label-free immunosensor based on CdS sensitized Fe-TiO₂ with high visible-light photoelectrochemical activity *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, **2015**, *74*, 843-848.
- [26] Kazane, S. A.; Sok, D.; Cho, E. H.; Uson, M. L.; Kuhn, P.; Schultz, P. G.; Smider, V. V. Site-specific DNA-antibody conjugates for specific and sensitive immuno-PCR *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **2012**, *109*, 3731-3736.
- [27] van Buggenum, J. A. G. L.; Gerlach, J. P.; Eising, S.; Schoonen, L.; van Eijl, R. A. P. M.; Tanis, S. E. J.; Hogeweg, M.; Hubner, N. C.; van Hest, J. C.; Bongers, K. M.; Mulder, K. W. A covalent and cleavable antibody-DNA conjugation strategy for sensitive protein detection via immuno-PCR *Sci. Rep.*, **2016**, *6*, 22675.

Appendix: Manuscripts and Publications

Completed Manuscripts

1. Comparison of Plasmon-based Photocurrent Enhancement Mechanisms for Use in Photoelectrochemical Sensors
Joeseeph Bright, Xuefei Gao, Jennifer Boryczka, Kathrine Curtin, Nianqiang Wu
2. Multifaceted Roles of Gold Nanoparticles in Light Energy Harvesting and Conversion Devices
Joeseeph Bright and Nianqiang Wu

Publications (* Co-First Authorship)

1. “A Single-Ion Conducting UiO-66 Metal-Organic Framework Electrolyte for All-Solid-State Lithium Batteries”
ACS Applied Energy Materials, 2020, Accepted Manuscript
Hui Yang, Botong Liu, **Joeseeph Bright**, Sujana Kasani, Jianhui Yang, Xiangwu Zhang, and Nianqiang Wu
2. “Chemical Interaction and Enhanced Interfacial Ion Transport in a Ceramic Nanofiber-Polymer Composite Electrolyte for All-Solid-State Lithium Metal Batteries”
Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2020, 8, 7261-7272
Hui Yang, **Joeseeph Bright**, Banghao Chen, Peng Zheng, Xuefei Gao, Botong Liu, Sujana Kasani, Xiangwu Zhang, and Nianqiang Wu
3. “Tunable Visible-Light Surface Plasmon Resonance of Molybdenum Oxide Thin Films Fabricated by E-beam Evaporation”
ACS Applied Electronic Materials, 2019, 1, 11, 2389-2395.
Sujana Kasani, Peng Zheng, **Joeseeph Bright**, and Nianqiang Wu
4. “Functionalization of a Metal-Organic Framework Semiconductor for Tuned Band Structure and Catalytic Activity”
Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 2019, 155, 5 H3029-H3034
Jiangtian Li, Terence Musho, **Joeseeph Bright**, and Nianqiang Wu
5. Metal-organic Framework Coated Titanium Dioxide Nanorod Array p-n Heterojunction Photoanode for Solar Water-Splitting
Nano Research, 2019, 12, 3, 643-650
Hui Yang, **Joeseeph Bright***, Sujana Kasani, Peng Zheng, Terence Musho, Banglin Chen, Ling Huang, Nianqiang Wu

6. "Visible-Light Bismuth Iron Molybdate Photocatalyst for Artificial Nitrogen Fixation"
Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 2019, 155, 5, H3091-H3096
Botong Liu, Alhassan S. Yasin, Terence Musho, **Joseph Bright**, Haibin Tang, Ling Huang, and Nianqiang Wu

7. "Effects of Defects on Photocatalytic Activity of Hydrogen-Treated Titanium Oxide Nanobelts"
ACS Catalysis, 2017, 7, 3, 1742-1748
Scott K. Cushing, Fanke Meng, Junying Zhang, Bangfu Ding, Chih Kai Chen, Chih-Jung Chen, Ru-Shi Liu, Alan D. Bristow, **Joseph Bright**, Peng Zheng, and Nianqiang Wu

8. "Distinguishing Surface Effects of Gold Nanoparticles from Plasmonic Effect on Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting by Hematite"
Journal of Materials Research, 2016, 31, 11, 1608-1615
Jiangtian Li, Scott K. Cushing, Deryn Chu, Peng Zheng, **Joseph Bright**, Conner Castle, Ayyankkanu Manivannan, and Nianqiang Wu

9. "Controlling Plasmon-Induced Resonance Energy Transfer and Hot Electron Injection Processes in Metal@TiO₂ Core-Shell Nanoparticles"
Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2015, 119, 28, 16239-16244
Scott K. Cushing, Jiangtian Li, **Joseph Bright**, Brandon T. Yost, Peng Zheng, Alan D. Bristow, and Nianqiang Wu

10. "Investigation of Band Gap Narrowing in Nitrogen-Doped La₂Ti₂O₇ with Transient Absorption Spectroscopy"
Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 2015, 17, 31039-31043
Brandon T. Yost, Scott K. Cushing, Fanke Meng, **Joseph Bright**, Derek A. Bas, Nianqiang Wu, and Alan D. Bristow

11. "Photocatalytic Water Oxidation by Hematite/Reduced Graphene Oxide Composites"
ACS Catalysis, 2013, 3, 4, 746-751
Fanke Meng, Jiangtian Li, Scott K. Cushing, **Joseph Bright**, Mingjia Zhi, Joseph D. Rowley, Zhanglian Hong, Ayyankannu Manivannan, Alan D. Bristow, and Nianqiang Wu

12. "Ag@Cu₂O Core-Shell Nanoparticles as Visible-Light Plasmonic Photocatalysts"
ACS Catalysis, 2013, 3, 1, 47-51
Jiangtian Li, Scott K. Cushing, **Joseph Bright**, Fanke Meng, Tess R. Senty, Peng Zheng, Alan D. Bristow, and Nianqiang Wu